

**Broodstock Management and Egg Quality of the Pearl**

**Oysters *Pinctada margaritifera* and *Pinctada fucata***

Thesis submitted by  
Héctor Acosta-Salmón

for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Aquaculture of the  
School of Marine Biology and Aquaculture  
James Cook University.  
June 2004

**Statement of Access.**

I, the undersigned, author of this thesis, understand that James Cook University of North Queensland will make it available for use within the university library, by microfilm or other photographic means, allow access to users in other approved libraries. All users consulting this thesis will have to sign the following statement:

“In consulting with this thesis I agree not to copy or closely paraphrase it in whole or in part without the written consent of the author and to make proper written acknowledgement for any assistance which I have obtained from it.”

Beyond this, I do not wish to place any restriction on access to this thesis.

Héctor Acosta-Salmón

Date

## Abstract

Marine pearl culture is one of the most valuable aquaculture industries in the world with a total estimated value of about US\$500 million. The major pearl producing nations are Australia and French Polynesia and, although reliable methods for hatchery culture of pearl oysters were developed in the 1980's and 1990's, pearl production in these countries still relies primarily on oysters collected from the wild. Generally, the cultured pearl industry, particularly the 'black' pearl industry, has been slow to adopt important advances in aquaculture and other relevant disciplines and is still based on 'traditional' methods. Nevertheless, over recent years there has been increasing interest in research relating to general culture methods for pearl oysters and pearl oyster genetics (particularly relating to pearl quality), and there has been increasing reliance on hatchery production. This study addressed important issues relating to broodstock selection, breeding cycle and egg quality in pearl oysters.

*Pinctada margaritifera* and *P. fucata* were exposed to propylene phenoxetol at a concentration of 2.5 mL L<sup>-1</sup> and benzocaine at concentrations of 250, 500 and 1200 mg L<sup>-1</sup>. Once relaxed, oysters were observed every 5 minutes to evaluate the condition of the mantle and gills. Oysters were classified as either 'suitable saibo' or 'non-suitable saibo' depending on their suitability for use as saibo donors for pearl production. Survival of oysters in all treatments was 100%. With the exception of oysters relaxed with 250 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of benzocaine, where no relaxation was recorded, oysters exposed to all other treatments became relaxed and showed good condition and acceptable characteristics to be used as saibo donors.

To determine whether mantle tissue could be removed from oysters without mortality, *P. margaritifera* and *P. fucata* were anaesthetised with 500 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of

benzocaine and had the ventral margin of either their left, right or both left and right mantle lobes removed. Survival after 4 weeks was 100% for all treatments and oysters showed regeneration of excised mantle tissue. Mantle border grew back to almost its original extent within 60 days after excision. Muscular fibres within the new tissue were not seen until 30 days after mantle excision. Functional (secretory) abilities were presumably recovered before day 15 when conchiolin secretions and secretory cells were seen in the newly regenerated epithelia. Mantle regeneration in *P. margaritifera* up to 90 days after mantle excision was similar to that for *P. fucata*. Anaesthetised oysters can provide mantle tissue for pearl seeding and be kept alive for future uses which may include receptors for pearl production (following seeding season) as broodstock (only those providing mantle that produced high quality pearls) and possibly as multiple saibo donors.

A biopsy technique to obtain gonad tissue was assessed in *P. margaritifera*. Prior to biopsy, oysters were anaesthetised with 2 mL L<sup>-1</sup> of propylene phenoxetol. Three different 9 cm long biopsy needles (16, 18 and 20 gauge) with a 10 mm sample notch, were compared as a means of obtaining gonad tissue from 20 oysters. Samples were removed from each oyster using each of the 3 biopsy needles. Following the biopsy procedure, each oyster was killed and the gonad sectioned for standard histological preparation. Samples were observed microscopically to assess gonad condition and to compare samples taken using biopsy with those taken using destructive sampling. Oysters showed 100% recovery from the anaesthetic and biopsy procedure after 2 weeks. Non-destructive biopsy sampling was an accurate means of assessing gonad condition in male pearl oysters. However, the use of thicker biopsy needles (e.g. 14 or 12 gauge) may allow better interpretation of gonad stage,

particularly in female oysters for which results showed that 16 gauge biopsy needles (the thickest used in this study) were unsatisfactory.

Collection of oysters from culture stock held at Magnetic Island and Orpheus Island was conducted from August 2003 to February 2004 to describe biochemical and histological changes associated to gamete development. Six oysters from each site were collected every month for seven months. Samples of mantle, adductor muscle, gonad and digestive gland were obtained for biochemical analyses. Two spawning peaks (winter and summer) were confirmed for *P. margaritifera* in north Queensland. The adductor muscle played an important role in storage of protein and carbohydrate during gonad development.

To analyse changes in micronutrient composition during embryological development of *P. margaritifera*, samples of eggs, embryos and larvae were taken for determination of carotenoid, ascorbic acid (vitamin C),  $\alpha$ -tocopherol (vitamin E) and fatty acid content 0, 4, 8, 12, 16, 24 and 46 h after fertilisation. Carotenoids were found only in trace amounts in *P. margaritifera* eggs and probably do not have an important role in embryo development. The vitamin C and vitamin E contents of *P. margaritifera* embryos increased with time and may not be limiting nutrients for embryological development. The fatty acids 14:0, 16:0 and most unsaturated C18s were highly utilised during embryological development of *P. margaritifera* as demonstrated by their decline during development. These fatty acids may be good indicators of egg quality.

Much of the research in this study was conducted for the first time with pearl oysters. This study describes new and novel information relating to the breeding cycle, broodstock selection and egg quality of pearl oysters. The results of this study provide a basis for more efficient culture methods and may facilitate significant changes to traditional aspects of pearl oyster culture and pearl production.

## **Statements of contribution of others**

The following people in addition to my supervisor provided support, which aided in the preparation of my thesis:

The project team led by Dr. Malcolm Brown (CSIRO, Marine Research Laboratories, Hobart, Tasmania) undertook the analyses of micronutrients reported in Chapter 8 of this thesis. Sections of the scientific report prepared by Dr. Brown were used in the writing of Chapter 8 of this thesis.

Erika Martinez-Fernandez provided technical cooperation in a number of field trips and during the biochemical analyses reported in Chapter 7 of this thesis.

Héctor Acosta-Salmón

Date

## Acknowledgements

This thesis would not have been possible without the supervision and support of Assoc. Prof. Paul Southgate. His guidance and assistance during this study is very much appreciated.

Thanks to the technical and administrative staff of the School of Marine Biology and Aquaculture, James Cook University, for their support, especially to Savita Francis (Laboratories), Sue Reilly (Histology) and Gordon Bailey (Computing). Special thanks to Laura Castell (Overseas Student Advisor) for her support and very valuable guidance on scientific writing as well as critical reviews of early drafts of this thesis. Thanks to Prof. James Burnell and Dr. Moira McCann for their early advise on biochemical methods. Josiah Pit provided important technical assistant on field trips and the *Pinctada fucata* oysters used throughout this study.

The financial support of my sponsor CONACyT (México) is greatly appreciated. Thanks to all personnel from the *Departamento de Becas al Extranjero* for their kind support. Financial support of the School of Marine Biology & Aquaculture is also acknowledged.

Thanks to the JCU's Marine and Aquaculture Research Facilities Unit (MARFU) staff at the Aquarium Complex and Orpheus Island Research Station in particular to John Morrison and Peter Wruck. Thanks as well to the permanent staff at "Magnetic Island Research Station" Keith Bryson.

I want to thank all my friends in Mexico and Australia for their support; especial thanks to Peter and Amy for making us feel at home.

Finally, I want to thank all my family in México, especially my parents, sister and *suegrita* for their moral support. Very special thanks to my wife Erika for all her help, support and understanding during this period.

This thesis is dedicated to *Don Héctor, 'La Chula' Salmon, Ana and Erika.*

## Contents

<b>Statement of access</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>Abstract</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>Statement of contribution of others</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>Contents</b>	<b>viii</b>
<b>List of figures</b>	<b>xii</b>
<b>List of tables</b>	<b>xviii</b>
<b>Statement of sources</b>	<b>xx</b>
<b>Chapter 1 General Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<i>1.1 Pearl production</i>	1
<i>1.2 General biology</i>	4
1.2.1 Distribution and taxonomy	4
1.2.2 External anatomy	5
1.2.3. Internal anatomy	6
1.2.3.1 <u>The mantle</u>	7
1.2.3.2 <u>The gonad</u>	7
1.2.3.3 <u>The adductor muscle</u>	8
1.2.3.4 <u>The digestive gland</u>	9
1.2.4 Life cycle and reproduction	9
<i>1.3 Physiological factors influencing gametogenesis</i>	11
<i>1.4 Broodstock management and egg quality</i>	12
<i>1.5 Biochemical changes associated with gonad development</i>	13



<i>1.6 Nutritional factors influencing egg quality</i>	14
1.6.1 Major nutrients	14
1.6.2 Energy	15
1.6.3 Micronutrients	15
1.6.3.1 <u>Fatty acids</u>	15
1.6.3.2 <u>Carotenoids and vitamins</u>	16
<i>1.7 Broodstock selection</i>	17
<i>1.8 Anaesthetics</i>	18
<i>1.9 Major objectives of this study</i>	19
<b>Chapter 2 General methods</b>	<b>21</b>
2.1 <i>Research sites</i>	21
2.2 <i>Oyster stock</i>	21
2.3 <i>Spawning and larval culture</i>	25
2.4 <i>Measurements</i>	26
2.5 <i>General histology</i>	27
2.6 <i>General biochemical analyses</i>	28
<b>Chapter 3 Use of anaesthetics with pearl oysters</b>	<b>30</b>
3.1 <i>Introduction</i>	30
3.2 <i>Material and methods</i>	31
3.3 <i>Results</i>	33
3.4 <i>Discussion</i>	37
<b>Chapter 4 Use of a biopsy technique to obtain gonad tissue</b>	<b>40</b>
4.1 <i>Introduction</i>	40
4.2 <i>Material and methods</i>	41
4.3 <i>Results</i>	43

<i>4.4 Discussion</i>	47
<b>Chapter 5 Excision of mantle tissue</b>	<b>49</b>
<i>5.1 Introduction</i>	49
<i>5.2 Material and methods</i>	50
<i>5.3 Results</i>	51
<i>5.4 Discussion</i>	52
<b>Chapter 6 Regeneration of mantle tissue</b>	<b>59</b>
<i>6.1 Introduction</i>	59
<i>6.2 Material and methods</i>	60
<i>6.3 Results</i>	60
<i>6.4 Discussion</i>	65
<b>Chapter 7 Nutrient management during breeding season</b>	<b>69</b>
<i>7.1 Introduction</i>	69
<i>7.2 Material and methods</i>	70
<i>7.3 Results</i>	71
7.3.1 Histological analysis	72
7.3.2 Biochemical analysis	72
7.3.2.1 <u>Gonad tissue</u>	74
7.3.2.2 <u>Digestive gland tissue</u>	76
7.3.2.3 <u>Mantle tissue</u>	79
7.3.2.4 <u>Adductor muscle</u>	81
<i>7.4 Discussion</i>	87
<b>Chapter 8 Micronutrient changes during embryogenesis</b>	<b>96</b>
<i>8.1 Introduction</i>	96
<i>8.2 Material and methods</i>	97

8.2.1 Analysis of carotenoids	98
8.2.2 Analysis of ascorbic acid	98
8.2.3 Analysis of $\alpha$ -tocopherol	99
8.2.4 Analysis of fatty acids	99
<i>8.3 Results</i>	100
8.3.1 Analysis of carotenoids	101
8.3.2 Analysis of ascorbic acid	101
8.3.3 Analysis of $\alpha$ -tocopherol	101
8.3.4 Analysis of fatty acids	103
<i>8.4 Discussion</i>	103
<b>Chapter 9 General discussion and conclusions</b>	<b>108</b>
<i>9.1 Introduction</i>	108
<i>9.2 Anaesthetics and saibo excision</i>	109
<i>9.3 Broodstock management and selection</i>	113
<i>9.4 Breeding cycle</i>	115
<i>9.5 Egg quality</i>	116
<i>9.6 Future research</i>	116
<b>References</b>	<b>119</b>
<b>Appendix 1 Histological and histochemical methods</b>	<b>136</b>
<b>Appendix 2 Biochemical methods</b>	<b>139</b>

## List of figures

- Fig. 1.1** Orientation of *P. fucata* (left) and *P. margaritifera* (right) shells showing the anterior side (Ant), byssal notch (Bn), hinge (H), posterior side (Post) and ventral side (Vs).....5
- Fig. 1.2** Internal anatomy of *P. fucata* with the right shell valve removed showing the adductor muscle (Am), byssus (B), foot (F), gills (G), growth processes (Gp), mantle (M) and visceral mass (Vm).....6
- Fig. 1.3** Photograph of *P. margaritifera* with the left shell valve removed to show the central zone (Cz), marginal zone (Mz), pallial zone (Pz) and the isthmus (It) of the mantle tissue.....8
- Fig. 1.4** Generalised life cycle of cultured pearl oysters (modified from Southgate and Lucas, 2003).....10
- Fig. 2.1** Location of research sites used during this study. 1: Orpheus Island; 2: Magnetic Island.....22
- Fig. 2.2** Orpheus Island Research Station: Location of the culture long-line at Pioneer Bay, Orpheus Island (▲).....23
- Fig. 2.3** Horseshoe Bay at Magnetic Island: Location of the culture long-line (▲).  
.....24
- Fig. 2.4** Pearl oyster species used for this study. A, blacklip pearl oyster *Pinctada margaritifera* (Linnaeus); B, Akoya pearl oyster *Pinctada fucata* (Gould). Photos not at scale.....25
- Fig. 2.5** Photograph of (A) pearl oyster eggs, (B) D-stage larvae and (C) adult shell. Arrows indicate measures taken for each development stage.....27

- Fig. 3.1** Percentage of non-suitable saibo (NS) and suitable saibo (SS) *P. margaritifera* at different times after exposure to 2.5 mL L<sup>-1</sup> propylene phenoxetol.....35
- Fig. 3.2** Percentage of non-suitable saibo (NS) and suitable saibo (SS) *P. margaritifera* at different times after exposure to 500 mg L<sup>-1</sup> benzocaine. ....36
- Fig. 3.3** Percentage of non-suitable saibo (NS) and suitable saibo (SS) *P. margaritifera* at different times after exposure to 1,200 mg L<sup>-1</sup> benzocaine. ....37
- Fig. 4.1** Diagram showing the position at which biopsy samples were taken from the gonad of *P. margaritifera* with the dotted arrow showing the direction of the incision. *Am*, adductor muscle; *B*, byssus; *G*, gonad; *Gl*, gills.....42
- Fig. 4.2** Histological view of a portion of *P. margaritifera* gonad. A: Male gonad in developing stage (40X). *sg*, spermatogonia; *sc*, spermatocytes; *st*, spermatids; *sp*, spermatozoa; *t*, tails; *ac*, acinus. B: Female gonad in developing stage (20X). *oo*, oogonia; *pro*, previtellogenic oocyte; *vo*, vitellogenic oocyte; *psø*, postvitellogenic oocyte; *n*, nuclei.....44
- Fig. 4.3** Samples obtained from male *P. margaritifera* using the different biopsy needles (40X). A: 16 gauge biopsy needle (GBN); B: 18 GBN; C: 20 GBN. *sg*, spermatogonia; *sc*, spermatocytes; *st*, spermatids; *sp*, spermatozoa; *t*, tails.....45

- Fig. 4.4** Samples obtained from female *P. margaritifera* using the 3 different biopsy needles (20X). A: 16 GBN; B: 18 GBN; C: 20 GBN. *vo*, vitellogenic oocyte; *pso*, postvitellogenic oocyte; *n*, nuclei.4.....46
- Fig. 5.1** Photograph of *P. fucata* with one shell valve removed to show A (adductor muscle), M (mantle edge). Area included within the dotted line indicates the section of mantle tissue excised.....51
- Fig. 5.2** Histological view of the mantle border of *P. fucata*. A: regenerated tissue; B: normal tissue; C: regenerated tissue; cs: conchiolin secretion; CZ: Central Zone; ee: external epithelium; el: external lobe; ie: internal epithelium; il: internal lobe; lm: longitudinal muscles; ml: middle lobe; MZ: Marginal Zone; pa: pallial artery; pn: pallial nerve; PZ: Pallial Zone; rm: radial muscles. (A: Masson Trichrome; B and C: Haematoxilin eosin-erithrosin technique).....54
- Fig. 5.3** Histological view of the pallial external epithelium (used for pearl production) of the mantle border of *P. fucata*. A: normal tissue; B: regenerated tissue. bv: blood vessel; ct: connective tissue; lce: low columnar epithelium. Haematoxilin eosin-erithrosin technique.....55
- Fig. 5.4** Histological view of the pallial external epithelium of the mantle border of *P. fucata*. A: normal tissue; B: regenerated tissue. pa: pallial artery; ct: connective tissue; ee: external epithelium; b1: type b1 secretory cells. Alcian Blue-Periodic acid-Shiffer (PAS) technique.....56

- Fig. 5.5** Histological view of the internal side of the pallial zone of mantle border of *P. fucata*. A: normal tissue; B: regenerated tissue; cce: ciliated columnar epithelium; ie: internal epithelium; lm: longitudinal muscles; rm: radial muscles. Haematoxilin eosin-erithrosin technique.....57
- Fig. 5.6** Shell valves from *P. fucata* from which mantle tissue was excised on the left valve and left intact on the right valve. The left valve shows new nacre and periostracum laid down by regenerated mantle tissue (p, periostracum; n, nacre). The shell valve on the right is 'normal' .....58
- Fig. 6.1** Macroscopic progression of mantle regeneration in *P. fucata* at A: 3 days; B: 6 days; C: 12 days; D: 20 days; E: 30 days; F: 60 days after mantle excision. The black line in F indicates the extent of normal fully extended mantle lobe. Arrows indicate assumed directions of mantle re-growth Cz: central zone; Gl: gills; M: adductor muscle; Mz: marginal zone.....62
- Fig. 6.2** Histological views of mantle regeneration in *P. fucata* at A: 3 days; B: 6 d; C: 12 d; D: 15 d; E: 20 d; F: 30 d; after mantle excision. Ar: artery; Cs: conchiolin secretion; Ct: connective tissue; Ee: external epithelium; H: presumably haemocytes; Ie: internal epithelium; Mz: marginal zone; Pn: pallial nerve.....63
- Fig. 6.3** Microphotograph of normal (A) and regenerated (B) mantle tissue of *P. margaritifera* three months after mantle excision. cs: conchiolin secretions; ct: connective tissue; ee: external epithelium; ie: internal epithelium; pa: pallial artery; pn: pallial nerve. Stained using Alcian blue-PAS technique.....64

<b>Fig. 7.1</b>	Mean monthly water temperature at Magnetic Island (◆) and Orpheus Island (■).....	71
<b>Fig. 7.2</b>	Relative frequency (%) of gonad stages for <i>P. margaritifera</i> held at Magnetic Island between August 2003 and February 2004.....	73
<b>Fig. 7.3</b>	Relative frequency (%) of gonad stages for <i>P. margaritifera</i> held at Orpheus Island between August 2003 and February 2004.....	73
<b>Fig. 7.4</b>	Mean ( $\pm$ SD) monthly protein content of the gonad of <i>P. margaritifera</i> at Orpheus Island (◆) and Magnetic Island (■).....	75
<b>Fig. 7.5</b>	Mean ( $\pm$ SD) monthly lipid content of the gonad of <i>P. margaritifera</i> at Orpheus Island (◆) and Magnetic Island (■).....	75
<b>Fig. 7.6</b>	Mean ( $\pm$ SD) monthly carbohydrate content of the gonad of <i>P. margaritifera</i> at Orpheus Island (◆) and Magnetic Island (■).....	76
<b>Fig. 7.7</b>	Mean ( $\pm$ SD) monthly protein content in the digestive gland of <i>P. margaritifera</i> at Orpheus Island (◆) and Magnetic Island (■).....	77
<b>Fig. 7.8</b>	Mean ( $\pm$ SD) monthly lipid content in the digestive gland of <i>P. margaritifera</i> at Orpheus Island (◆) and Magnetic Island (■).....	78
<b>Fig. 7.9</b>	Mean ( $\pm$ SD) monthly carbohydrate content in the digestive gland of <i>P. margaritifera</i> at Orpheus Island (◆) and Magnetic Island (■).....	78
<b>Fig. 7.10</b>	Mean ( $\pm$ SD) monthly protein content in the mantle tissue of <i>P. margaritifera</i> at Orpheus Island (◆) and Magnetic Island (■).....	80
<b>Fig. 7.11</b>	Mean ( $\pm$ SD) monthly lipid content in the mantle tissue of <i>P. margaritifera</i> at Orpheus Island (◆) and Magnetic Island (■).....	80
<b>Fig. 7.12</b>	Mean ( $\pm$ SD) monthly carbohydrate content in the mantle tissue of <i>P. margaritifera</i> at Orpheus Island (◆) and Magnetic Island (■).....	81



**Fig. 7.13** Mean ( $\pm$ SD) monthly protein content in the adductor muscle of *P. margaritifera* at Orpheus Island (◆) and Magnetic Island (■).....82

**Fig. 7.14** Mean ( $\pm$ SD) monthly lipid content in the adductor muscle of *P. margaritifera* at at Orpheus Island (◆) and Magnetic Island (■).....83

**Fig. 7.15** Mean ( $\pm$ SD) monthly carbohydrate content in the adductor muscle of *P. margaritifera* at Orpheus Island (◆) and Magnetic Island (■).....83

**Fig. 8.1** Changes in mean ( $\pm$  S.D.) dry weight per egg or larva of *P. margaritifera* up to 46 h after fertilisation.....100

**Fig. 9.1** Generalized scheme of the pearl oyster culture cycle. Important developments made in this study will allow the use of donor oysters as future broodstock, future donors or future pearl recipients (dashed line) .....111

## List of tables

<b>Table 3.1</b>	Evaluation criteria to define stages of relaxation in oysters treated with different anaesthetics.....	33
<b>Table 3.2</b>	Mean ( $\pm$ S.D.) times of relaxation and time <i>P. fucata</i> remained as suitable saibo when exposed to 2.5 mL L <sup>-1</sup> propylene phenoxetol (PP), 500 (B500) and 1,200 (B1200) mg L <sup>-1</sup> benzocaine. ND: Not determined due to technical difficulties.....	36
<b>Table 7.1</b>	Mean ( $\pm$ SD) protein content of the digestive gland (D), gonad (G), mantle (M) and adductor muscle (S) of <i>P. margaritifera</i> over a seven month period from August 2003 to February 2004 at Orpheus Island (OI) and Magnetic Island (MI). Values are mg g <sup>-1</sup> dry weight.....	84
<b>Table 7.2</b>	Mean ( $\pm$ SD) lipid content of the digestive gland (D), gonad (G), mantle (M) and adductor muscle (S) of <i>P. margaritifera</i> over a seven month period from August 2003 to February 2004 at Orpheus Island (OI) and Magnetic Island (MI). Values are mg g <sup>-1</sup> dry weight.....	85
<b>Table 7.3</b>	Mean ( $\pm$ SD) carbohydrate content of the digestive gland (D), gonad (G), mantle (M) and adductor muscle (S) of <i>P. margaritifera</i> over a seven month period from August 2003 to February 2004 at Orpheus Island (OI) and Magnetic Island (MI). Values are mg g <sup>-1</sup> dry weight.....	86
<b>Table 7.4</b>	Comparison of protein (PRO), lipid (LIP), glycogen (GLY) and carbohydrate (CHO) management in different bivalve species related to their gametogenic cycles. ND: not determined.....	90

<b>Table 8.1</b>	Mean ( $\pm$ S.D.) concentrations and content of AsA in eggs and larvae of <i>P. margaritifera</i> . Values within the same column sharing a common superscript are not significantly different ( $P > 0.05$ ).....	102
<b>Table 8.2</b>	Mean ( $\pm$ S.D.) concentrations and content of $\alpha$ -T in eggs and larvae of <i>P. margaritifera</i> . Values within the same column sharing a common superscript are not significantly different ( $P > 0.05$ ).....	102
<b>Table 8.3</b>	Percentages of major fatty acid (as % of total fatty acids) in eggs and larvae of <i>P. margaritifera</i> .....	104
<b>Table 9.1</b>	Summary of the importance and application of the results of this thesis .....	112

## **Statement of sources**

I declare that this thesis is my own work and has not been submitted in any form for another degree or diploma at any university or other institution of tertiary education.

Information derived from the published or unpublished work of others has been acknowledged in the text and a list of references is given.

Héctor Acosta-Salmón

Date