

# A morphological identification key to the mosquito disease vectors of the Pacific

Narayan Gyawali<sup>1</sup>  | Tanya L. Russell<sup>2</sup> | Thomas R. Burkot<sup>2</sup> | Gregor J. Devine<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Mosquito Control Laboratory, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia

<sup>2</sup>Australian Institute of Tropical Health and Medicine, James Cook University, Cairns, Queensland, Australia

## Correspondence

Thomas R. Burkot, Australian Institute of Tropical Health and Medicine, James Cook University, Cairns, Queensland 4870, Australia.  
Email: [tom.burkot@jcu.edu.au](mailto:tom.burkot@jcu.edu.au)

## Funding information

The development of this guide was supported by the Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade (Complex Grant Agreement 75894) to James Cook University under the PacMOSSI project (<https://pacmossi.org>).

## Abstract

An identification guide is provided for female adults of the mosquito groups, complexes or species that can be morphologically differentiated and that are likely to transmit arboviruses (e.g., dengue, Zika, chikungunya, Ross River and Japanese encephalitis) or parasites (e.g., *Plasmodium* spp. and *Wuchereria bancrofti*) in the Pacific Islands, countries and territories. This dichotomous key is adapted, with permissions, from a variety of text and image sources to facilitate the identification of disease vectors by individuals with limited taxonomic training including Pacific island country Vector Surveillance and Environmental Health officers, other public health officials and students.

## KEYWORDS

*Aedes*, *Anopheles*, *Culex*, identification, morphology, mosquitoes, Pacific Islands, taxonomy

## INTRODUCTION

The Pacific is home to over 400 mosquito species. A small number of mosquitoes in the *Aedes* (*Ae.*), *Anopheles* (*An.*) and *Culex* (*Cx.*) genera (Table 1) are responsible for transmitting pathogens causing diseases in humans including malaria, lymphatic filariasis, dengue, Zika, chikungunya, Ross River and Japanese encephalitis (Matthews et al. 2022). The mosquito species that transmit these pathogens differ in their behaviours, distributions and competency to transmit pathogens with the distributions of many species overlapping (Figure 1). It is therefore essential that mosquitoes collected as a part of vector surveillance programmes are correctly identified so that their distributions, abundance, ecology and susceptibility to insecticides may be understood. This information is critical for predicting the risk of pathogen transmission and to ensure the relevance of vector control operations. For example, mosquito species that bite indoors at night can be targeted by insecticide treated nets, while mosquitoes that rest outdoors might be better controlled by harborage spraying of insecticides on vegetation (Sinka et al. 2016).

## Medically important mosquitoes in the Pacific Island countries and territories

Malaria remains an important vector-borne disease with 10 000 000 people considered at risk in Papua New Guinea, the Solomon Islands and Vanuatu (WHO 2022). The malaria vectors in the region are composed of groups and complexes of closely related, morphologically similar, cryptic or sibling *Anopheline* species. The primary vectors are members of the *Cellia* sub-genus, *Punctulatus* group (Beebe et al. 2013). *Aedes* vectors, principally *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus*, are responsible for transmitting dengue and the emerging arboviral diseases—Zika and chikungunya. The burden of these viruses has dramatically increased in recent years in the Pacific island countries (PICs) (Matthews et al. 2022). Some *Aedes* species also vector other public health pathogens such as Ross River virus, Japanese encephalitis virus and the helminth, *Wuchereria bancrofti*, responsible for lymphatic filariasis in the Polynesian countries and Fiji (WHO 2020). Medically important *Aedes* vectors are in the sub-genera *Finlaya*, *Ochlerotatus*, *Rampamyia* and *Stegomyia*. In the Pacific region, 113 species of the genus *Culex* have been

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.

© 2025 The Author(s). Austral Entomology published by John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd on behalf of Australian Entomological Society.

**TABLE 1** List of *Anopheles*, *Aedes* and *Culex* species and complexes identifiable with this morphological key.

Genus	Sub-genus	Group	Complex	Mosquito name			
<i>Anopheles</i>	<i>Anopheles</i>	<i>bancroftii</i>	<i>bancroftii</i>	<i>Anopheles</i> ( <i>Anopheles</i> ) <i>bancroftii</i> s.l. Giles 1902 (genotype A, B, C, D)			
		<i>barbirostris</i>	<i>barbirostris</i>	<i>Anopheles</i> ( <i>Anopheles</i> ) <i>barbirostris</i> van der Wulp 1884 <i>Anopheles</i> ( <i>Anopheles</i> ) <i>campestris</i> Reid 1962			
	<i>Cellia</i>			<i>longirostris</i>	<i>Anopheles</i> ( <i>Cellia</i> ) <i>longirostris</i> s.l. Brug 1928		
				<i>subpictus</i>	<i>Anopheles</i> ( <i>Cellia</i> ) <i>subpictus</i> Grassi 1899		
				<i>annulipes</i>	<i>Anopheles</i> ( <i>Cellia</i> ) <i>annulipes</i> Walker 1856		
				<i>lungae</i>	<i>Anopheles</i> ( <i>Cellia</i> ) <i>lungae</i> Belkin & Schlosser 1944		
				<i>punctulatus</i>	<i>Anopheles</i> ( <i>Cellia</i> ) <i>punctulatus</i> Donitz 1901		
				<i>farauti</i>	<i>Anopheles</i> ( <i>Cellia</i> ) <i>farauti</i> s.l. Laveran 1902 ( <i>farauti</i> s.s., <i>farauti</i> 4, <i>farauti</i> 5, <i>farauti</i> 8, <i>hinesorum</i> , <i>irenicus</i> , <i>oreios</i> , <i>torresiensis</i> )		
				<i>koliensis</i>	<i>Anopheles</i> ( <i>Cellia</i> ) <i>koliensis</i> s.l. (genotype 1, 3) <i>Anopheles</i> ( <i>Cellia</i> ) <i>vagus</i> Donitz 1902 <i>Anopheles</i> ( <i>Cellia</i> ) <i>litoralis</i> King 1932 <i>Anopheles</i> ( <i>Cellia</i> ) <i>karwari</i> (James) 1903		
		<i>Aedes</i>	<i>Finlaya</i>	<i>kochi</i>		<i>Aedes</i> ( <i>Finlaya</i> ) <i>fijiensis</i> Marks 1947 <i>Aedes</i> ( <i>Finlaya</i> ) <i>kochi</i> (Donitz) 1901 <i>Aedes</i> ( <i>Finlaya</i> ) <i>samoanus</i> (Grünberg) 1913	
<i>Ochlerotatus</i>				<i>Aedes</i> ( <i>Ochlerotatus</i> ) <i>normanensis</i> (Taylor) 1915			
	<i>empihals</i>				<i>Aedes</i> ( <i>Ochlerotatus</i> ) <i>vigilax</i> (Skuse) 1889		
<i>Rampamyia</i>	<i>notoscriptus</i>			<i>Aedes</i> ( <i>Rampamyia</i> ) <i>notoscriptus</i> (Skuse) 1889			
<i>Stegomyia</i>	<i>aegypti</i>				<i>Aedes</i> ( <i>Stegomyia</i> ) <i>aegypti</i> (Linnaeus) 1762		
			<i>scutellaris</i>		<i>Aedes</i> ( <i>Stegomyia</i> ) <i>albopictus</i> (Macquart) 1903 <i>Aedes</i> ( <i>Stegomyia</i> ) <i>cooki</i> Belkin 1962 <i>Aedes</i> ( <i>Stegomyia</i> ) <i>hebrideus</i> Edwards 1962 <i>Aedes</i> ( <i>Stegomyia</i> ) <i>hensilli</i> Farner 1945 <i>Aedes</i> ( <i>Stegomyia</i> ) <i>kesseli</i> Huang & Hitchcock 1980 <i>Aedes</i> ( <i>Stegomyia</i> ) <i>marshallensis</i> (Stone & Bohart) 1944 <i>Aedes</i> ( <i>Stegomyia</i> ) <i>polynesiensis</i> Marks 1951 <i>Aedes</i> ( <i>Stegomyia</i> ) <i>pseudoscutellaris</i> (Theobald) 1901 <i>Aedes</i> ( <i>Stegomyia</i> ) <i>rotumae</i> Belkin 1962 <i>Aedes</i> ( <i>Stegomyia</i> ) <i>scutellaris</i> (Walker) 1858 <i>Aedes</i> ( <i>Stegomyia</i> ) <i>upolensis</i> Marks 1957		
			<i>tongae</i>		<i>Aedes</i> ( <i>Stegomyia</i> ) <i>tongae</i> s.s. Edwards 1926		
	<i>Culex</i>		<i>Culex</i>	<i>pipiens</i>		<sup>a</sup> <i>Culex</i> ( <i>Culex</i> ) <i>pacificus</i> Edwards 1916 <sup>a</sup> <i>Culex</i> ( <i>Culex</i> ) <i>pervigilans</i> (Bergroth) 1889	
						<i>pipiens</i>	<sup>a</sup> <i>Culex</i> ( <i>Culex</i> ) <i>australicus</i> Dobrotworsky & Drummond 1953
						<i>sitiens</i>	<i>Culex</i> ( <i>Culex</i> ) <i>quinqfasciatus</i> Say 1823 <i>Culex</i> ( <i>Culex</i> ) <i>annulirostris</i> Skuse 1889 <i>Culex</i> ( <i>Culex</i> ) <i>gelidus</i> Theobald 1901 <i>Culex</i> ( <i>Culex</i> ) <i>palplis</i> Taylor 1912 <i>Culex</i> ( <i>Culex</i> ) <i>sitiens</i> Wiedemann 1828 <i>Culex</i> ( <i>Culex</i> ) <i>tritaeniorhynchus</i> Giles 1901 <sup>a</sup> <i>Culex</i> ( <i>Culex</i> ) <i>whitmorei</i> (Giles), 1904
							<i>Culex</i> ( <i>Oculeomyia</i> ) <i>bitaeniorhynchus</i> , Giles 1901

Note: s.l. (sensu lato, used to denote complex); s.s. (sensu stricto, used to denote species).


Source: Adapted from Russell and Burkot (2023).

<sup>a</sup>Non-vectors.



damage). While both larvae and adult mosquitoes can be identified using their physical characteristics (their morphology), this key focuses on adult female mosquitoes using variations in physical characteristics including size, colour, wing vein patterns and the arrangement of scales and hairs on the wings and body. Scales and hairs often occur in unique designs that may interact with light to create different colours. A stereomicroscope or dissecting microscope (10–40× magnification) is required to see many of these key features. Mosquito specimens may be manipulated with forceps or pinned into position in petri dishes to focus on specific features. Good reference specimens preserved as pinned adults or larvae mounted in resins are useful to compare with the specimens being identified.

Morphological characteristics coupled with known geographic distributions can be used to accurately identify many mosquito species, but others can be extremely difficult or even impossible to differentiate by morphology. Closely related species, with morphological characteristics that are very similar to other closely affiliated taxa, are often referred to as species complexes or sibling species. The assemblage of these very similar species is called a group. Mosquito complexes require molecular analysis to confirm their identification to species. Even when species are amenable to identification, their classification can be compromised or hesitated if they are outside their usual geographic distribution, or if they have been damaged during collection. It should be recognised therefore,




**FIGURE 2** General anatomy of an adult mosquito (Source: <https://freesvg.org/mosquito-parts>).

that not all specimens will be identifiable and individuals attempting to identify mosquitoes should resist the temptation to force specimen identifications to species. When verification of species is required, advice from recognised experts should be sought. The user is further cautioned that mosquito species not included in this key may be found in the same habitats as the vector species listed, so not all specimens in local collections can be keyed out using this guide. Additionally, mosquito distributions are dynamic with constant movement and establishment into areas where they were not previously found. The distributions of mosquitoes shown in Figure 1, while reflecting current knowledge at the time of this publication, may not be

accurate. Table 1 lists the key species of PICTs by genus, sub-genus, group, complex and species. The taxonomic authorities are also included.

### Morphology of an adult mosquito


An adult mosquito is small, generally 0.4–0.9 cm long with wings that do not extend beyond the abdomen. The proboscis is elongated and wings are covered with scales, creating a fringe-like border on the hind edge. Morphological identification is based on features of the mosquito body parts (head, thorax, abdomen, wings and legs) shown in Figure 2.




**FIGURE 3** Head and thorax (dorsal view) of an adult mosquito (Source: modified from Disa Eklöf and Anders Lindstrom, National Veterinary Institute, Sweden).

### Head and thorax

The major structures of the head include the maxillary palps, antennae and proboscis. Behind the head is the thorax to which the legs, abdomen and a single pair



**FIGURE 5** Mosquito wing and its venation (Becker et al. 2010).



**FIGURE 4** Thorax (lateral view) of an adult mosquito (Source: modified from Rueda 2004).


of wings are attached. The dorsal part of the thorax (Figure 3) includes the scutum and scutellum. Scale patterns (e.g., medial or sub-medial stripes) on the scutum and scutellum are important for morphological characteristics as is the postspiracular area and mesepimeron on the lateral side (Figure 4) of the thorax.

## Wings

The wings of mosquitoes (Figure 5) are long and slender, with wing veins covered with scales. The principal longitudinal veins of mosquitoes (from anterior to posterior) are the costa, subcosta, radius, media, cubitus and anal vein. The branches of these veins are differentiated by numbers; for example, the anterior branch of the media is named media-one ( $M_1$ ) and the posterior branch is media-two ( $M_2$ ).

## Abdomen

The abdomen (Figure 6) is posterior to the thorax. The dorsal sclerite is called the ‘tergum’ (plural terga), and the ventral sclerite is called the ‘sternum’ (plural sterna). Each tergum or sternum is divided into plates called tergites and sternites, respectively. There are 10 segments in a mosquito’s abdomen. Segments 1 to 8 are easily identified under a stereomicroscope. Each segment may have basal, apical or lateral patches of pale scales, which are useful taxonomic markers. Segments 9 and 10 are very small and modified as external genitalia. The paired cerci projecting beyond the last segment are part of the female terminalia and more noticeable in genera with pointed abdomens.




**FIGURE 6** Abdomen of adult mosquito (a) lateral view showing dorsal side (tergum) and ventral side (sternum). (b) Dorsal view showing abdominal segments of an adult mosquito (Source: Narayan/QIMRB).

## Legs

Adult mosquitoes have three pairs of jointed legs, composed of a femur, tibia and five tarsomeres (Figure 7). Identification keys often refer to ‘Ta-III4’ or Ta-III5’. ‘Ta’ refers to the tarsus, ‘III’ refers to the third set of legs (i.e., the hind legs) and 4 and 5 refer to tarsal segments (i.e., tarsomere 4 or tarsomere 5). The banding patterns of these different leg segments are often used to differentiate mosquito species.

## THE PICTORIAL KEY AND MOSQUITO IDENTIFICATION

The resources for the preparation of this mosquito identification key were obtained from Belkin (1962), Cooper et al. (2010), Huang (1977), Huang and Hitchcock (1980), Lee et al. (1982), the Department of Health Western Australia (2020) and Wilkerson et al. (2021). The images used in the identification keys were captured by the authors, and by Chen Wu (Mosquito Control Laboratory QIMRB), Disa Eklöf and Anders Lindstrom (National Veterinary Institute, Sweden),



**FIGURE 7** Hind leg of an adult mosquito (Source: Rueda 2004).

Huang (1977), Huang and Hitchcock (1980), Walter Reed Biosystematics Unit ([http://www.wrbu.org/VeclD\\_MQ.html](http://www.wrbu.org/VeclD_MQ.html)), Rattanarithikul et al. (2006), Rueda (2004), Robert D. Cooper (personal communication), Stephen Doggett (NSW Health Pathology) and the World Health Organisation (WHO 2020).

This pictorial guide to mosquito identification takes the form of a dichotomous key. Dichotomous keys offer a straightforward, step-by-step approach to morphological identification, presenting two choices at each step. These direct the user to the next step and, ultimately, the identification of a species. This binary choice simplifies decision making for non-experts, making it easier for users to navigate through the key. A list of terminologies and definitions for the traits mentioned in the key is given in Table 2. When cross-referenced to Figures 1–7, these will help the user understand and follow the guide's decision-making process.

This guide only identifies adult, female mosquitoes in the genera *Anopheles*, *Aedes* and *Culex*. To identify a specimen, follow the steps in Part A to ensure that the insect is a female mosquito (Figure 8) and to determine the genus to which it belongs (Figure 9). To differentiate

whether the mosquito is male or female, look at the density of hairs on the antennae. Hairs of male antennae are numerous and feather-like (plumose). Hairs of female antennae are few and short (pilose).

Having established the genus as *Anopheles*, *Aedes* or *Culex*, use the relevant figures in the rest of the key (Part B: *Anopheles* [Figures 10–14]; Part C: *Aedes* [Figures 15–21]; and Part D: *Culex* [Figures 22–26]) to examine the morphological characteristics that differentiate the sub-genera. Finally, based on all of the morphological features, identify the mosquito to complex or species level.

The key was reviewed by subject matter experts Arnaud Cannet (Directorate of Health and Social Affairs, New Caledonia), Robert D. Cooper (retired entomologist, Australian Defence Force) and Mark Schmaedick (Community College, American Samoa). The key was also tested and validated during PacMOSSI training workshops held in Brisbane (Australia) by experienced entomologists Brian Johnson (QIMRB), Andrew van den Hurk (Queensland Health) and Michael Onn (Brisbane City Council) and in Fiji by Vineshwaran Rama (Ministry of Health and Medical Services, Fiji).

**TABLE 2** A glossary for understanding the pictorial key of mosquito identification.

Words	Definitions
Anterior	Situated before or towards the front
Apical	The tip or apex of a structure or a body part
Basal	The base of a structure or a body part
Clypeus	A broad plate on the front of mosquito head in front of the antennal pedicels and behind the maxillary palpi
Integument	The outer layers of the body comprised of membranes and sclerites
Maxillary palps	Paired sensory structures originated from the head, directly in front of the clypeus
Median	The middle of a structure or a body part
Posterior	Situated at, or towards the back or hindmost
Prescutellar area	The median posterior area of the scutum, in front of the scutellum
Scales	Extrusions of insect cuticle, made of chitin and evident as hairs or small plates. These cover large parts of the mosquito's body
Sensu lato ( <i>s.l.</i> )	In the broad sense. Used to indicate all members of a species complex
Sensu stricto ( <i>s.s.</i> )	In the strictest sense. Used to indicate a specific species within a complex
Setae	Hair or bristle
Sternite	The ventral sclerotized part of the exoskeleton, usually describing an abdominal segment
Sub-median	To the side of the median or midline
Supra-alar	A small lateral area of the scutum just above and in front of the scutellum
Tergite	The dorsal sclerotized part of the exoskeleton, usually describing an abdominal segment

# Part A: Identify specimen as a mosquito, and identify sex and genus



Size, Proboscis and wings



Fringe-like border

Proboscis

**Proboscis:** elongated  
**Wings:** covered with scales, creating a fringe-like border on the hind edge

Present

Absent



Mosquito

Other insects

Distinguishing sex on the basis of antenna


Male

Female




*Anopheles*

*Aedes/Culex*



Hairs of male antennae are numerous and feather-like (plumose)  
Maxillary palps in male *Anopheles* are club-shaped at their ends



Hairs of female antennae are few and short (pilose)  
Maxillary palps in female *Anopheles* have no club-shaped ends

Go to FIGURE 9

FIGURE 8 Identifying a specimen as a mosquito, and identify its sex.




FIGURE 9 Identifying a mosquito specimen to its genus *Anopheles*, *Aedes* and *Culex*.

## Part B: *Anopheles*



### List of *Anopheles* species and complexes identifiable with this morphological key

- Anopheles (Anopheles) bancroftii* s.l. Giles 1902 (genotype A, B, C, D)  
*Anopheles (Anopheles) barbirostris* van der Wulp 1884  
*Anopheles (Anopheles) campestris* Reid 1962  
*Anopheles (Cellia) longirostris* s.l. Brug 1928  
*Anopheles (Cellia) subpictus* Grassi 1899  
*Anopheles (Cellia) annulipes* Walker 1856  
*Anopheles (Cellia) lungae* Belkin & Schlosser 1944  
*Anopheles (Cellia) punctulatus* Donitz 1901  
*Anopheles (Cellia) farauti* s.l. Laveran 1902 (*farauti* s.s., *farauti* 4, *farauti* 5, *farauti* 8, *hinesorum*, *irenicus*, *oreios*, *torresiensis*)  
*Anopheles (Cellia) koliensis* s.l. (genotype 1, 3)  
*Anopheles (Cellia) vagus* Donitz 1902  
*Anopheles (Cellia) litoralis* King 1932  
*Anopheles (Cellia) karwari* (James 1903)

# Part B: *Anopheles*

# Subgenus *Anopheles*



Wings: number of pale bands on costa and sub-costa

uniformly dark without pale bands



Sub-genus *Bironella*

pale bands <4




Sub-genus *Anopheles*

- An. bancroftii* s.l.
- An. barbirostris* s.s.
- An. campestris*

Go to FIGURE 11

pale bands ≥ 4



Sub-genus *Cellia*




- An. annulipes*
- An. longirostris* s.l.
- An. lungae* s.l.
- An. punctulatus*
- An. farauti* s.l.
- An. koliensis* s.l.
- An. litoralis*
- An. karwari*
- An. subpictus* s.l.
- An. vagus*

Go to FIGURE 12

**FIGURE 10** Identifying *Anopheles* mosquito: *An. bancroftii* s.l., *An. barbirostris* s.s., *An. campestris*, *An. annulipes*, *An. longirostris* s.l., *An. lungae* s.l., *An. punctulatus*, *An. farauti* s.l., *An. koliensis* s.l., *An. karwari*, *An. litoralis*, *An. subpictus* s.l. and *An. vagus*.

Part B: *Anopheles*



Subgenus *Anopheles*



**FIGURE 11** Identifying *An. barbirostris s.s.*, *An. campestris* and *An. bancroftii s.l.*

Part B: *Anopheles*

Subgenus *Cellia*



**FIGURE 12** Identifying *An. annulipes*, *An. longirostris s.l.*, *An. lungae s.l.*, *An. punctulatus*, *An. farauti s.l.*, *An. koliensis s.l.*, *An. litoralis*, *An. karwari*, *An. subpictus s.l.* and *An. vagus*.

Part B: *Anopheles*

Subgenus *Cellia*






FIGURE 13 Identifying *An. annulipes*, *An. longirostris s.l.*, *An. lungae s.l.*, *An. punctulatus*, *An. farauti s.l.*, *An. koliensis s.l.* and *An. litoralis*.

Part B: *Anopheles*

Subgenus *Cellia*







Bands on proboscis and palps			
	Proboscis	Palps	
<i>An. farauti s.l.</i>	Mostly dark, but small apical pale/indistinct band	Dorsal palp has 4 white and 3 black bands. Distinctive pair of black bands, separated by narrow pale band. Sometimes 4 <sup>th</sup> white band is reduced or completely dark	
<i>An. koliensis s.l.</i>	Ventral pale patch on apical region, Sometimes extended dorsally and distally to form incomplete ring	Intermediate between <i>An. farauti s.l.</i> and <i>An. punctulatus</i> – not distinctive	
<i>An. punctulatus</i>	Apical proboscis has complete pale ring, but often interrupted by dark scales ventrally or dorsally	Similar to <i>An. farauti s.l.</i> , apical half of palpus has mostly 4 white and 3 black bands. 4 <sup>th</sup> white band is usually broader. Occasionally, 3 <sup>rd</sup> and 4 <sup>th</sup> white band fuse together to form a broad pale band (similar to <i>An. annulipes</i> )	
<i>An. annulipes</i>	Half of the proboscis on apical side is white banded	3 pale/white broader bands on apical half divided by 2 thin black bands	

FIGURE 14 Identifying *An. annulipes*, *An. punctulatus*, *An. farauti s.l.* and *An. koliensis s.l.*


## Part C: *Aedes*



### List of *Aedes* species and complexes identifiable with this morphological key

- Aedes (Finlaya) fijiensis* Marks 1947
- Aedes (Finlaya) kochi* (Donitz 1901)
- Aedes (Finlaya) samoanus* (Grünberg) 1913
- Aedes (Ochlerotatus) normanensis* (Taylor) 1915
- Aedes (Ochlerotatus) vigilax* (Skuse) 1889
- Aedes (Rampamyia) notoscriptus* (Skuse) 1889
- Aedes (Stegomyia) aegypti* (Linnaeus) 1762
- Aedes (Stegomyia) albopictus* (Macquart) 1903
- Aedes (Stegomyia) cooki* Belkin 1962
- Aedes (Stegomyia) hebrideus* Edwards 1962
- Aedes (Stegomyia) hensilli* Farner 1945
- Aedes (Stegomyia) kesseli* Huang & Hitchcock 1980
- Aedes (Stegomyia) marshallensis* (Stone & Bohart) 1944
- Aedes (Stegomyia) polynesiensis* Marks 1951
- Aedes (Stegomyia) pseudoscutellaris* (Theobald) 1901
- Aedes (Stegomyia) rotumae* Belkin 1962
- Aedes (Stegomyia) scutellaris* (Walker) 1858
- Aedes (Stegomyia) upolensis* Marks 1957
- Aedes (Stegomyia) tongae s.s.* Edwards 1926


### Part C: *Aedes*



**FIGURE 15** Identifying *Ae. fijiensis*, *Ae. kochi*, *Ae. samoanus*, *Ae. normanensis*, *Ae. vigilax*, *Ae. notoscriptus*, *Ae. aegypti*, *Ae. albopictus*, *Ae. hebrideus*, *Ae. hensilli*, *Ae. marshallensis*, *Ae. polynesiensis*, *Ae. pseudoscutellaris*, *Ae. rotumae*, *Ae. scutellaris*, *Ae. upolensis*, *Ae. cooki*, *Ae. kesseli* and *Ae. tongae s.l.*

Part C: *Aedes*

Subgenus *Finlaya* and *Rampamyia*





**These species have non-overlapping distributions.** *Ae. kochi* is found in PNG. *Ae. samoanus* is found in Samoa and American Samoa. *Ae. fijiensis* is found in Fiji only.

**FIGURE 16** Identifying *Ae. fijiensis*, *Ae. kochi*, *Ae. samoanus* and *Ae. notoscriptus*.

Part C: *Aedes*

Subgenus *Ochlerotatus* and *Stegomyia*




Additional cues: the thorax of *Ae. aegypti* is also distinctive, with two medial stripes and a pair of submedial lyre-shaped white markings.

The clypeus of female *aegypti* has two silvery white dots, which are lacked in mosquitoes of *Scutellaris* group.

**FIGURE 17** Identifying *Ae. normanensis*, *Ae. vigilax*, *Ae. aegypti*, *Ae. albopictus*, *Ae. hebrideus*, *Ae. hensilli*, *Ae. marshallensis*, *Ae. polynesiensis*, *Ae. pseudoscutellaris*, *Ae. rotumae*, *Ae. scutellaris*, *Ae. upolensis*, *Ae. cooki*, *Ae. kesseli* and *Ae. tongae s.l.*

Part C: *Aedes*

Subgenus *Ochlerotatus* and *Stegomyia*



Thorax: supra-alar white line

Incomplete, narrow scales over wing root only



Additional cues: scales on the lateral thorax that have a distinctive boomerang shape



*Ae. albopictus*

Complete, broad flat scales over wing root and towards scutellum



- Ae. cooki*
- Ae. kesseli*
- Ae. hensilli*
- Ae. hebrideus*
- Ae. marshallensis*
- Ae. polynesiensis*
- Ae. pseudoscutellaris*
- Ae. rotumae*
- Ae. scutellaris*
- Ae. tongae s.l.*
- Ae. upolensis*

Number and arrangement of white Scale patches on lower mesepimeron

Present, well developed, >3 scales



- Ae. cooki*, *Ae. kesseli*, *Ae. hebrideus*,
- Ae. marshallensis*, *Ae. pseudoscutellaris*,
- Ae. polynesiensis*, *Ae. scutellaris*, *Ae. tongae s.l.*

Go to FIGURE 19

Absent or very small, <3 scales




*Ae. rotumae*, *Ae. upolensis*

Go to FIGURE 20 A

**FIGURE 18** Identifying *Ae. albopictus*, *Ae. hebrideus*, *Ae. hensilli*, *Ae. marshallensis*, *Ae. polynesiensis*, *Ae. pseudoscutellaris*, *Ae. rotumae*, *Ae. scutellaris*, *Ae. upolensis*, *Ae. cooki*, *Ae. kesseli* and *Ae. tongae s.l.*

Part C: *Aedes*

Subgenus *Ochlerotatus* and *Stegomyia*



Pattern of sub-basal white bands on abdominal terga

Tergite bands incomplete, but VII is usually complete or dotted silvery band. One or more additional tergite bands may also be complete



*Ae. cooki*, *Ae. kesseli*, *Ae. hebrideus*, *Ae. hensilli*  
*Ae. marshallensis*, *Ae. scutellaris*, *Ae. tongae* s.l.

Tergite bands incomplete and not connected



*Ae. pseudoscutellaris*  
*Ae. polynesiensis*


Lateral prescutal white lines

Absent



*Ae. polynesiensis*

Present



*Ae. pseudoscutellaris*

proportion of tarsomere 4, hind leg, that is covered in a band of pale scales

0.75




*Ae. hebrideus*  
*Ae. marshallensis*  
*Ae. scutellaris*

0.5 to 0.6



*Ae. cooki*  
*Ae. hensilli*  
*Ae. kesseli*

0.4 to 0.5






*Ae. tongae* s.l.

Go to FIGURE 20 B

Go to FIGURE 21

FIGURE 19 Identifying *Ae. hebrideus*, *Ae. hensilli*, *Ae. marshallensis*, *Ae. polynesiensis*, *Ae. pseudoscutellaris*, *Ae. rotumae*, *Ae. scutellaris*, *Ae. upolensis*, *Ae. cooki*, *Ae. kesseli* and *Ae. tongae* s.l.

Part C: *Aedes*Subgenus *Ochlerotatus* and *Stegomyia*a. Identifying *Ae. rotumae*, *Ae. upolensis*b. Identifying *Ae. hebrideus*, *Ae. marshallensis*, *Ae. scutellaris*

*Ae. scutellaris* is in PNG and Palau but not the Solomon Islands or Vanuatu; *Ae. hebrideus* is in Solomon Islands and Vanuatu but not Palau or PNG

Note: The following members of the *Scutellaris* group are non-overlapping in distribution. Please note the geographic location when making an identification.

<i>Ae. tongae s.l.</i>	Only in Tonga
<i>Ae. rotumae</i>	Only in Rotuma island, Fiji
<i>Ae. upolensis</i>	Confirmed in Samoan Islands, possibly present in Tonga
<i>Ae. marshallensis</i>	Only in Marshall Island and Federated States of Micronesia

FIGURE 20 Identifying (A) *Ae. rotumae* and *Ae. upolensis* and (B) *Ae. hebrideus*, *Ae. marshallensis* and *Ae. scutellaris*.

Part C: *Aedes*

Subgenus *Ochlerotatus* and *Stegomyia*






FIGURE 21 Identifying *Ae. cooki*, *Ae. kesseli* and *Ae. hensilli*.


## Part D: *Culex*



### List of *Culex* species and complexes identifiable with this morphological key

- Culex (Culex) pacificus* Edwards 1916
- Culex (Culex) pervigilans* (Bergroth) 1889
- Culex (Culex) australicus* Dobrotworsky & Drummond 1953
- Culex (Culex) quinquefasciatus* Say 1823
- Culex (Culex) annulirostris* Skuse 1889
- Culex (Culex) gelidus* Theobald 1901
- Culex (Culex) palplis* Taylor 1912
- Culex (Culex) sitiens* Wiedemann 1828
- Culex (Culex) tritaeniorhynchus* Giles 1901
- Culex (Culex) whitmorei* (Giles), 1904
- Culex (Oculeomvia) bitaeniorhynchus*, Giles 1901



**Part D: *Culex***



**FIGURE 22** Identifying *Cx. annulirostris*, *Cx. bitaeniorhynchus*, *Cx. gelidus*, *Cx. palpalis*, *Cx. sitiens*, *Cx. tritaeniorhynchus*, *Cx. whitmorei*, *Cx. quinquefasciatus*, *Cx. pacificus*, *Cx. pervigilans* and *Cx. australicus*.

Part D: *Culex*


Subgenus *Culex*/ Group *Sitiens*



**FIGURE 23** Identifying *Cx. annulirostris*, *Cx. gelidus*, *Cx. palpalis*, *Cx. sitiens*, *Cx. tritaeniorhynchus*, *Cx. vishnui* and *Cx. whitmorei*.


Part D: *Culex*

Subgenus *Culex*/ Group *Sitiens*




**Basal section of proboscis with pale patches**

Absent



*Cx. annulirostris*, *Cx. palpalis*,  
*Cx. sitiens*


Present



*Cx. tritaeniorhynchus*


**Characteristics of abdominal tergal bands**

pale triangular bands on basal tergites




*Cx. annulirostris*

Terga IV–VII; narrow and almost same width in middle and edges




*Cx. palpalis*, *Cx. sitiens*

Additional cues: *Cx. annulirostris*'s fore tibia has usually a line of pale spots on anterior surface, but *Cx. palpalis* and *Cx. sitiens* have no pale spots on anterior surface



*Cx. annulirostris*




*Cx. palpalis*, *Cx. sitiens*

Go to FIGURE 26a

FIGURE 24 Identifying *Cx. annulirostris*, *Cx. palpalis*, *Cx. sitiens* and *Cx. tritaeniorhynchus*.


Part D: *Culex*

Subgenus *Culex*/ Group *Sitens*




Presence of scales on mesepimeron

Numerous scales at the base of upper setae



*Cx. quinquefasciatus*  
*Cx. australicus*  
*Cx. pervigilans*

Less than 6 scales at the base of upper setae



*Cx. pacificus*

Colour and contrast of integument on lateral thorax

Thoracic integument dark, but scales light



*Cx. pervigilans*

Thoracic integument light



*Cx. quinquefasciatus*  
*Cx. australicus*

Go to FIGURE 26b


FIGURE 25 Identifying *Cx. quinquefasciatus*, *Cx. australicus*, *Cx. pervigilans* and *Cx. pacificus*.

**Part D: *Culex***


Subgenus *Culex*/ Group *Sitiens*/ Group *Piapiens*



**a. Identifying *Cx. palpalis* and *Cx. sitiens***



**b. Identifying *Cx. quinquefasciatus* and *Cx. australicus***



**FIGURE 26** Identifying A. *Cx. palpalis* and *Cx. sitiens* B. *Cx. quinquefasciatus* and *Cx. australicus*.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We acknowledge PacMOSSI and its partners for organising the taxonomic workshops. Open access publishing facilitated by James Cook University, as part of the Wiley - James Cook University agreement via the Council of Australian University Librarians.

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

## DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analyzed in this study.

## ORCID

Narayan Gyawali  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1738-8315>

## REFERENCES

- Becker, N., Petric, D., Zgomba, M., Boase, C., Madon, M., Dahl, C. et al. (2010) *Mosquitoes and their control*. Berlin: Springer Science & Business Media. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-92874-4>
- Beebe, N.W., Russell, T.L., Burkot, T.R., Lobo, N.F. & Cooper, R.D. (2013) The systematics and bionomics of malaria vectors in the Southwest Pacific. In: Manguin, S. (Ed.) *Anopheles mosquitoes—new insights into malaria vectors*. London: In Tech. <https://doi.org/10.5772/55999>. 978–953–51–1188–7
- Belkin, J.N. (1962) *The mosquitoes of the South Pacific (Diptera, Culicidae)*. Berkeley and Los Angeles, USA: University of California Press.
- Cooper, R.D., Edstein, M.D., Frances, S.P. & Beebe, N.W. (2010) Malaria vectors of Timor-Leste. *Malaria Journal*, 9(40), 40. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-9-40>
- Department of Health WA. 2020. Adult mosquito identification. Available from [https://www.health.wa.gov.au/Articles/J\\_M/Mosquito-identification-adult](https://www.health.wa.gov.au/Articles/J_M/Mosquito-identification-adult). (Accessed 14/06/2024)
- Huang, Y.M. (1977) The mosquitoes of Polynesia with a pictorial key to some species associated with filariasis and/or dengue fever. *Mosquito Systematics*, 9(3), 289–322.
- Huang, Y.M. & Hitchcock, J.C. (1980) A revision of the *Aedes scutellaris* group of Tonga (Diptera: Culicidae). *Contributions of the American Entomological Institute*, 17(3), 1–106.
- Lee, D.J., Hicks, M.M., Griffiths, M., Russell, R.C. & Marks, E.N. (1982) The Culicidae of the Australasian region. nomenclature, synonymy, literature, distribution, biology and relation to disease. genus *Aedeomyia*, genus *Aedes* (subgenera *Aedes*, *Aedimorphus*, *Chaetocruiomyia*, *Christophersiomyia*, *Edwardsaedes* and *Finlaya*). In: *Entomology monograph*, Vol. 2. Canberra: Australian Government Publishing Service.
- Matthews, R.J., Kaluthotage, I., Russell, T.L., Knox, T.B., Horwood, P.F. & Craig, A.T. (2022) Arboviral disease outbreaks in the Pacific Islands countries and areas, 2014 to 2020: a systematic literature and document review. *Pathogens*, 11(1), 74. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens11010074>
- Rattananarithkul, R., Harrison, B.A., Harbach, R.E., Panthusiri, P. & Coleman, R.E. (2006) Illustrated keys to the mosquitoes of Thailand. iv. *Anopheles*. *Southeast Asian Journal of Tropical Medicine and Public Health*, 37(2), 1–128.
- Rueda, L.M. (2004) Pictorial keys for the identification of mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) associated with dengue virus transmission. *Zootaxa*, 589(1), 1–60. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.589.1.1>
- Russell, T.L. & Burkot, T.R. (2023) *A guide to mosquitoes in the Pacific*. Noumea: Pacific Community. <https://pacmossi.org/a-guide-to-mosquitoes-in-the-pacific>
- Sinka, M.E., Golding, N., Massey, N.C., Wiebe, A., Huang, Z., Hay, S.I., et al. (2016) Modelling the relative abundance of the primary African vectors of malaria before and after the implementation of indoor, insecticide-based vector control. *Malaria Journal*, 15(1), 142. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-016-1187-8>
- Wilkerson, R.C., Linton, Y.M. & Strickman, D. (2021) *Mosquitoes of the world*, Vol. 1 and Vol. 2. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1353/book.79680>
- World Health Organization. (2020) Pictorial identification key of important disease vectors in the WHO South-East Asia region. <https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/334210/9789290227588-eng.pdf>
- World Health Organization (WHO). (2022) *World malaria report 2022*. <https://iris.who.int/bitstream/handle/10665/365169/9789240064898-eng.pdf?sequence=1>

**How to cite this article:** Gyawali, N., Russell, T.L., Burkot, T.R. & Devine, G.J. (2025) A morphological identification key to the mosquito disease vectors of the Pacific. *Austral Entomology*, 64(1), e70003. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1111/aen.70003>