

### PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

# Robust approximation rules for critical electric field of dielectric gas mixtures

To cite this article: N A Garland et al 2024 J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 57 245202

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

## You may also like

- Interruption characteristic of <u>3%C<sub>5</sub>F<sub>10</sub>O/97%CO<sub>2</sub> gas mixture in a 40.5</u> <u>kV circuit breaker</u> Zhaoxuan Cui, Xin Lin, Yalong Xia et al.

 Fundamental physicochemical properties of SF<sub>6</sub>-alternative gases: a review of recent progress
 Boya Zhang, Jiayu Xiong, Li Chen et al.

- Structural evolution and thermal runaway of refractory W and Mo nanotips in the vacuum under high electric field from PIC-ED-MD simulations

Xinyu Gao, Nan Li, Andreas Kyritsakis et al.





This content was downloaded from IP address 137.219.201.177 on 17/06/2025 at 02:25

OPEN ACCESS OP Publishing

J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 57 (2024) 245202 (10pp)

# Robust approximation rules for critical electric field of dielectric gas mixtures

# N A Garland<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, D L Muccignat<sup>3</sup>, G J Boyle<sup>3</sup> and R D White<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Centre for Quantum Dynamics, Griffith University, Nathan 4111, Australia

<sup>2</sup> School of Environment and Science, Griffith University, Nathan 4111, Australia

<sup>3</sup> College of Science and Engineering, James Cook University, Townsville 4810, Australia

E-mail: n.garland@griffith.edu.au

Received 6 December 2023, revised 18 February 2024 Accepted for publication 27 February 2024 Published 19 March 2024



#### Abstract

A semi-analytic method for quickly approximating the density-reduced critical electric field for arbitrary mixtures of gases is proposed and validated. Determination of this critical electric field is crucial for designing and testing alternatives to  $SF_6$  for insulating high voltage electrical equipment. We outline the theoretical basis of the approximation formula from electron fluid conservation equations, and demonstrate how for binary mixtures the critical electric field can be computed from the transport data of electrons in the pure gases. We demonstrate validity of the method in mixtures of  $N_2$  and  $O_2$ , and  $SF_6$  and  $O_2$ . We conclude with an application of the method to approximate the critical electric field for mixtures of  $SF_6$  and HFO1234ze(E), which is a high interest mixture being actively studied for high voltage insulation applications.

Keywords: sulphur hexafluoride, dielectric gas, gas mixtures, electron transport, Boltzmann equation, swarm

#### 1. Introduction

Presently, most high voltage electrical infrastructure relies on sulphur hexafluoride (SF<sub>6</sub>). This inert gas is utilised in equipment such as circuit breakers, transformers, and transmission infrastructure due its excellent electric insulation and arc-extinguishing capacity [1]. Identified as a greenhouse gas in the Kyoto protocol [2], SF<sub>6</sub> has since been labeled by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change as the most potent greenhouse gas with a global warming potential (GWP) approximately 23 500 times that of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), and with an anticipated lifetime of up to 3200 years.

The bulk of  $SF_6$  emissions come from the electric power industry, often during maintenance of electrical equipment or simply due to aging or faulty storage vessels and electrical

equipment. Recently, some success has been reported in legislatively constraining SF<sub>6</sub> emissions [3, 4]. However, ultimately the global SF<sub>6</sub> gas content is still increasing year-on-year and growth in newly installed gas-insulated equipment is overpowering industry regulation and financial penalty policies in reducing SF<sub>6</sub> emissions. [4].

To date, a number of replacements to  $SF_6$  have been deployed in the power industry, such as dry air, N<sub>2</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>, or various admixtures of natural and proprietary synthetic gases [1]. Despite some adoption of replacements with lower GWP, the widespread global use of  $SF_6$  prevails in many industrial applications where it has not yet been bettered on an engineering basis for insulation and/or current quenching requirements. In addition, the logistical and/or financial burdens to replace  $SF_6$  based equipment are often significant.

Driving the effort towards replacing  $SF_6$  is considerable investment in academic and commercial research performing dielectric breakdown experiments [5, 6] or swarm experiments [7, 8] to characterise insulating gases. There are many complexities and challenges in adequately performing and interpreting measurements of such experiments in their varying geometries (e.g. needle-plane, parallel plate,

<sup>\*</sup> Author to whom any correspondence should be addressed.

Original Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

coaxial) and varying operating conditions (e.g. low or high pressure/temperature, gas purity, pulsed Townsend or steady state Townsend in the case of swarm experiments). As a result, theory and simulation are vital methods helping to complement experiments.

The power and flexibility of theory and simulation applied to highly unconstrained design problems can enable a quick and affordable scan of very large parameter spaces of material choices or design configurations. This computational sieve approach to rapidly explore, trial, and assess potential scenarios then informs the design of targeted and efficient experiments and solution prototypes. For example, insight through large simulations of breakdown scenarios in often complex geometries [9] or detailed kinetic calculations of electron energy distribution functions (EEDFs) in gas mixtures over density or pressure windows [10] are now capable with advances in computing. This being said, these non-trivial computational tasks can also present their own challenges and barriers to entry, such as advanced computational domain knowledge or access to sufficient computing power or time.

In addition to highly intensive computational methods, analytic or simplified semi-analytic methods can be employed to provide very simple and rapid estimations of design parameters. In particular, simple design formulas can be accessed by a wide user base, allowing experiment scenarios to be quickly explored. In section 2 we present a semi-analytic method to quickly predict the critical reduced electric field of gas mixture combinations based entirely on calculated electron transport properties of the constituent pure gases only. We first demonstrate the validity of this model in section 3 by benchmarking the predictive capability with relatively simple mixtures of well studied gases, such as N<sub>2</sub>-O<sub>2</sub>, and SF<sub>6</sub>-O<sub>2</sub>. Finally, in section 4 we demonstrate the ability of the model to correctly predict the positive synergy in critical reduced electric field for mixtures of SF<sub>6</sub> and HFO-1234ze(E). This molecule (1E)-1,3,3,3-Tetrafluoroprop-1-ene (C3H2F4), often termed HFO-1234ze(E) or R-1234ze, is a hydrofluoroolefin (HFO) used as a refrigerant and is studied as a potential SF<sub>6</sub> replacement [8, 11].

#### 2. Theory

The macroscopic properties of a gas, e.g. breakdown voltage, are often used to guide design of electrical equipment. Fundamentally, the macroscopic behaviours are underpinned by the microscopic interactions between electrons and gas molecules. Pulsed Townsend (PT) and steady-state Townsend (SST) swarm experiments have been used to study electron transport properties in insulating gases, such as drift velocity, diffusion coefficients, and importantly ionisation and attachment rates [1]. One quantity of immediate relevance to the community is the critical electric field, where the ionisation rate equals the electron attachment rate. Beyond this field the rate of ionisation will exceed electron attachment and so it marks the transition of a gas from being a free electron sink to being a source, precipitating the dielectric breakdown of the medium. Note that in this work rather than use the electric field, *E* often in V/m units, we refer to the density reduced electric field in Townsend,  $E/n_0$  where  $n_0$  is the background gas density and 1 Td  $\equiv 10^{-21}$  Vm<sup>2</sup>.

Primary methods of approximating swarm properties in gas mixtures have largely been based on projecting functional dependence onto the reduced electric field,  $E/n_0$ . Note that in equations and formulas to come we will often abbreviate  $E/n_0$ as  $\check{E} = E/n_0$  for brevity and readability of the mathematics, while still referring to  $E/n_0$  in text. One then approximates quantities of a gas mixture as linear combinations of pure gas quantities evaluated at a common value of  $E/n_0$ , weighted by gas density fractions of the mixture. For example, for simple gas mixtures, many have employed Blanc's law [12] to approximate drift velocity

$$\frac{1}{W_{\rm m}\left(\check{E}\right)} = \frac{x}{W_{\rm A}\left(\check{E}\right)} + \frac{(1-x)}{W_{\rm B}\left(\check{E}\right)},\tag{1}$$

where  $W_{\rm m}$  is the electron drift velocity in the mixture,  $W_{\rm A}$  and  $W_{\rm B}$  are drift velocities in gas A and gas B, and

$$x = \frac{n_{\rm A}}{n_{\rm A} + n_{\rm B}},\tag{2}$$

where  $n_A$  and  $n_B$  are present gas densities of constituent gases A and B evaluated at a common value of  $E/n_0$ .

In a similar fashion, a so-called Wieland approximation has been used to approximate ionisation,  $k_{i,m}$ , or attachment,  $k_{a,m}$ , rates of gas mixtures [13]

$$k_{\rm m}\left(\check{E}\right) = xk_{\rm A}\left(\check{E}\right) + (1-x)k_{\rm B}\left(\check{E}\right),\tag{3}$$

where  $k_{\rm m}$  is a rate in the mixture and  $k_{\rm A}$  and  $k_{\rm B}$  are the rates in pure gases A and B evaluated at a common value of  $E/n_0$ .

Implicit in its derivation, Blanc's Law [12] does not inherently include inelastic collisions. A key assumption inherent in the Blanc and Wieland approximations that limit their validity are that the steady state EEDF is the same Maxwellian for each component gas, and the combination mixture, at a given value of  $E/n_0$  [14]. This can be a reasonably good approximation for some certain conditions near thermal equilibrium, however in general this assumption of the EEDF can be overly restrictive.

Previous studies have highlighted shortcomings in the Wieland approximation [15, 16], with one notable limitation being an inability to predict positive synergism in gas mixtures—where a higher critical electric field of the mixture, above that of either constituent gases, is obtained. To remedy shortcomings, extensions on this style of approximation, allowing for a non-linear perturbation around the basic linear combination, have been explored [7, 8]. Indeed, van Brunt [16] proposed a novel alternative parameterisation of swarm properties via consideration of electron temperatures, similar to what is presented in this current study. However, while this parameterisation identifies the crucial role of mean electron energy, it relies on multiple free parameters that require specification for each gas being considered.

To better account for the dependence of energy transfer on inelastic collisions as  $E/n_0$  increases an alternative approach to Blanc's law based on electron mean energy was developed [14]. This alternative approach for evaluating collision and transport data of electrons in gas mixtures assumes equivalence of a shared common electron mean energy (CME),  $\langle \varepsilon \rangle$ , instead of a common reduced electric field,  $E/n_0$  [14]. This assumption therefore allows for arbitrary EEDFs in either constituent gas or the mixture itself, with the restriction that the integrated moment of  $mv^2/2$  over each EEDF must be equivalent. The CME approach has been well adopted by many areas of the community, however use of Blanc's Law still persists in some studies.

Of particular note to this study is the prior demonstration [15] of using the CME approximation for estimation of non-conservative collision rates,  $\nu^*$ , in mixtures of molecular gases

$$\nu_{\rm m}^*(\langle \epsilon \rangle) = x \nu_{\rm A}^*(\langle \epsilon \rangle) + (1-x) \nu_{\rm B}^*(\langle \epsilon \rangle), \qquad (4)$$

this assumption was shown to be a much better method of projecting complex, non-linear electron kinetic effects onto a single macroscopic swarm variable. In arriving at the semianalytic model presented in this study, we will also adopt the approximation for estimation of non-conservative collision rates in equation (4), and leverage the CME approach to determine  $E/n_0$  in the mixture conditions; this will enable estimation of the critical reduced electric field.

As a foundation for our approach, we refer to the momentum and energy conservation equations for electron transport, that can be derived by taking integral moments of the Boltzmann equation over trial functions for electron momentum, mv, and kinetic energy,  $mv^2/2$  [17–20]. In this work we assume a 0D model, given negligible time and spatial gradients in momentum and energy equations, as is often assumed in transport parameter calculations [19]. This assumption is effective to understand fundamental electron transport and collision dynamics with background neutral species. In the case of distinct boundaries, such as electrodes in a dielectric breakdown experiment, time and spatial gradients would be necessarily retained in simulation of electron transport equations specific to a given physical scenario.

Assuming that the momentum transfer collision frequency is a slowly varying function of electron mean energy, and applying first order momentum transfer theory [21] and the CME approximation [14], it has been previously demonstrated [14, 21] that in the steady state limit a general electron momentum balance equation may be written

$$\frac{e}{m_e}\check{E}(\langle\epsilon\rangle) = W(\langle\epsilon\rangle)\nu_m(\langle\epsilon\rangle),\tag{5}$$

where *e* is the elementary charge,  $m_e$  electron mass, and  $\nu_m$  is the momentum transfer collision frequency.

If one considers the three momentum balance expressions that can be written from (5) for pure gas species A and B and a mixture, and then, as per prior studies, assuming the momentum transfer collision frequency of the mixture of A and B may be written

$$\nu_{\mathrm{m,m}}(\langle \epsilon \rangle) = x \nu_{\mathrm{m,A}}(\langle \epsilon \rangle) + (1-x) \nu_{\mathrm{m,B}}(\langle \epsilon \rangle), \qquad (6)$$

one may then obtain an expression for the electron drift velocity in the mixture

$$\frac{1}{W_{\rm m}(\langle\epsilon\rangle)} = x \frac{\dot{E}_{\rm A}(\langle\epsilon\rangle)}{\check{E}_{\rm m}(\langle\epsilon\rangle)} \frac{1}{W_{\rm A}(\langle\epsilon\rangle)} + (1-x) \frac{\dot{E}_{\rm B}(\langle\epsilon\rangle)}{\check{E}_{\rm m}(\langle\epsilon\rangle)} \frac{1}{W_{\rm B}(\langle\epsilon\rangle)}.$$
(7)

Conversely, instead of momentum balance one can consider the energy balance equation in the steady state, where transport coefficients are a function of  $\langle \epsilon \rangle$ ,

$$e\check{E}(\langle\epsilon\rangle)W(\langle\epsilon\rangle) = \left(\langle\epsilon\rangle - \frac{3}{2}k_{\rm B}T\right)\nu_e(\langle\epsilon\rangle) + \Sigma_i\,\Delta\epsilon_i\nu_i(\langle\epsilon\rangle),\tag{8}$$

where  $k_{\rm B}$  is the Boltzmman constant, *T* is the temperature of the neutral gases,  $\nu_e$  is the energy transfer collision frequency, and the final term denotes summation over possible energy transfer via inelastic processes with threshold energy  $\Delta \epsilon_i$  and collision frequency  $\nu_i$ .

Once again, by writing steady state energy balance expressions of both pure gases A and B, and an arbitrary mixture of the two, it has been shown prior [14, 21] that after some algebra a second expression for the electron drift velocity in the mixture can be found

$$W_{\rm m}(\langle\epsilon\rangle) = x \frac{\dot{E}_{\rm A}(\langle\epsilon\rangle)}{\check{E}_{\rm m}(\langle\epsilon\rangle)} W_{\rm A}(\langle\epsilon\rangle) + (1-x) \frac{\dot{E}_{\rm B}(\langle\epsilon\rangle)}{\check{E}_{\rm m}(\langle\epsilon\rangle)} W_{\rm B}(\langle\epsilon\rangle).$$
<sup>(9)</sup>

Crucial to this CME approach is the recognition that, unlike the Wieland approximation or Blanc's Law, the reduced electric field in the mixture,  $\check{E}_m$ , may **not** be the same as the reduced electric field in either pure gases at the common mean energy,  $\langle \epsilon \rangle$ , considered.

With (7) and (9) both explicitly referring to the value of  $E/n_0$  in the mixture, we can combine (7) and (9) to obtain either an expression for the drift velocity if one desires

$$W_{\rm m}^2(\langle\epsilon\rangle) = \frac{x\check{E}_{\rm A}W_{\rm A} + (1-x)\check{E}_{\rm B}W_{\rm B}}{x\check{E}_{\rm A}W_{\rm B} + (1-x)\check{E}_{\rm B}W_{\rm A}}W_{\rm A}W_{\rm B},\qquad(10)$$

or an expression for the reduced electric field in the mixture

$$=\frac{\left(x\check{E}_{\mathrm{A}}W_{\mathrm{A}}+(1-x)\check{E}_{\mathrm{B}}W_{\mathrm{B}}\right)\left(x\check{E}_{\mathrm{A}}W_{\mathrm{B}}+(1-x)\check{E}_{\mathrm{B}}W_{\mathrm{A}}\right)}{W_{\mathrm{A}}W_{\mathrm{B}}},$$
(11)

where  $\check{E}_{A} = \check{E}_{A}(\langle \epsilon \rangle)$ ,  $\check{E}_{B} = \check{E}_{B}(\langle \epsilon \rangle)$ ,  $W_{A} = W_{A}(\langle \epsilon \rangle)$ , and  $W_{B} = W_{B}(\langle \epsilon \rangle)$  are all functions of the common mean electron energy being considered,  $\langle \epsilon \rangle$ .



Figure 1. Flow chart representation of the present discussed method to approximate the critical reduced electric field of a mixture of two component gases, gas A and gas B.

#### 2.1. Approximation rule for critical reduced electric field

By using the earlier approximation for a mixture ionisation or attachment collision frequency in equation (4), and the expression in equation (11) for equivalent reduced electric field in the mixture, we can now state the procedure to extract a value of critical electrical field as:

- (a) Using a platform of choice (e.g. Boltzmann equation solver, Monte Carlo simulation) compute steady state electron swarm parameters for pure gases A and B.
- (b) Extract necessary variables: reduced electric field (E/n<sub>0</sub>), drift velocity (W), mean energy (〈ε〉), ionisation coefficient (k<sub>i</sub>), attachment coefficient (k<sub>a</sub>).
- (c) Using the available swarm data and desired density fraction given by (2), evaluate ionisation and attachment coefficients of a mixture as a function of mean energy

$$k_{i,m}(\langle \epsilon \rangle) = xk_{i,A}(\langle \epsilon \rangle) + (1-x)k_{i,B}(\langle \epsilon \rangle), \qquad (12)$$

$$k_{a,m}(\langle \epsilon \rangle) = xk_{a,A}(\langle \epsilon \rangle) + (1-x)k_{a,B}(\langle \epsilon \rangle).$$
(13)

- (d) Using the available data, evaluate (11) to obtain the reduced electric field,  $\check{E}_{\rm m}(\langle \epsilon \rangle)$ , in the mixture as a function of mean energy.
- (e) Evaluate the net effective ionisation coefficient

$$k_{\rm eff,m}(\langle \epsilon \rangle) = k_{\rm i,m}(\langle \epsilon \rangle) - k_{\rm a,m}(\langle \epsilon \rangle), \qquad (14)$$

which can now be expressed, or plotted for convenience, using  $\check{E}_{\rm m}(\langle \epsilon \rangle)$  as a function  $k_{\rm eff,m}(E/n_0)$  to determine the zero-crossing and thus the critical reduced electric field of the gas mixture.

This procedure is graphically summarised in figure 1 for convenience. So, with zero knowledge of the electron transport in the mixture, we have detailed an approximation of the critical reduced electric field of a gas mixture. This uses only the electron swarm data computed for pure gases, which is a standard exercise being made evermore accessible by multiple freely available software for download, or even codes evaluated online via a web browser [22–25]. To validate the use of this presented method, we now present benchmarking results of approximating the critical reduced electric field for mixtures of relatively well-studied molecular gases.

#### 3. Benchmarking and model validation

To validate the proposed method to rapidly approximate the critical reduced electric field, we compare to the calculated critical reduced electric field determined for various mixture combinations. The calculated value is determined by first solving the Boltzmann kinetic equation for the EEDF in a mixture gas using a well-benchmarked multi-term solution technique and software framework [19, 26, 27]. One can then compute the effective net electron creation rate,  $k_{\text{eff,m}}$ , as the difference of the integrated ionisation and attachment rates  $k_{i,m} - k_{a,m}$ . The critical reduced electrical field is naturally determined when  $k_{\text{eff,m}} = 0$ .

We first present results for a simplified case of  $N_2$  and  $O_2$  mixtures, since only the  $O_2$  target will contribute to electron attachment processes. We then follow with benchmarking of SF<sub>6</sub> and  $O_2$  mixtures, where the addition of SF<sub>6</sub> provides a large attachment cross-section to rigorously test the proposed approximation. For the calculations detailed in this study electron scattering cross sections for SF<sub>6</sub>,  $O_2$ , and  $N_2$  were obtained from the Biagi database<sup>4</sup> of the LXCat online database [28].

#### 3.1. Benchmark: N<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> mixtures

Mixtures of molecular nitrogen and oxygen are often studied as a surrogate for air. As a result, there has been a wealth of scientific data and understanding produced for electron scattering cross-sections and subsequent transport in pure  $N_2$ ,  $O_2$ , and indeed mixtures of the two. Therefore, it is a good candidate system for benchmarking and validation of theory and methods.

For validation in the case of N<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> mixtures, in figure 2 we present the results of approximating both the drift velocity, *W*, and net effective ionisation rate,  $k_{eff}$ , as a function of  $E/n_0$ ; for clarity of plot presentation we show comparisons for mixture fractions at 25%, 50%, and 75% ratios.

The present study is chiefly concerned with the net effective ionisation rate, however since drift velocity is also a common transport quantity used in assessment of swarm analysis we include it in figure 2 as an additional demonstration of the applicability of the approximation method presented in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Biagi-v7.1 database, www.lxcat.net, retrieved on 30 November 2023.



**Figure 2.** Comparison of (top) flux drift velocity, *W*, and (bottom) net effective ionisation rate,  $k_{\text{eff}}$ , for various mixtures of N<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> as a function of  $E/n_0$ . Solid line (—) denotes exact values computed via multi-term solution of the EEDF from the Boltzmann equation, dotted line (···) denotes approximations determined from procedure proposed in this study, dashed line (- - ) denotes pure gas data for reference. Horizontal axis in  $k_{\text{eff}}$  plot drawn in thicker line to highlight where zero-crossing of curves denotes critical reduced electric field.

Table 1. Errors in critical reduced electric field approximation for various mixtures of  $N_2 \mbox{ and } O_2.$ 

	Critic	Critical $E/n_0$ [Td]	
	Actual	Approximate	Relative error
25% N <sub>2</sub>	117	122	4.3%
50% N <sub>2</sub>	116	123	6.0%
75% N <sub>2</sub>	109	112	2.8%

this work. For both transport quantities the approximation produces excellent qualitative agreement compared to the exact calculations. As a quantitative measure of the critical reduced electric field accuracy, table 1 shows that, at worst, the agreement is about 5-6%, which was representative of the general case for other mixture ratios not shown in figure 2.

#### 3.2. Benchmark: SF<sub>6</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> mixtures

Mixtures of sulphur hexafluoride,  $SF_6$ , and molecular oxygen,  $O_2$ , have been well studied in the semiconductor etching industry. As it was for our previous benchmark case,



**Figure 3.** Comparison of (top) flux drift velocity, *W*, and (bottom) net effective ionisation rate,  $k_{eff}$ , for various mixtures of SF<sub>6</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> as a function of  $E/n_0$ . Solid line (—) denotes exact values computed via multi-term solution of the EEDF from the Boltzmann equation, dotted line (···) denotes approximations determined from procedure proposed in this study, dashed line (- - -) denotes pure gas data for reference. Horizontal axis in  $k_{eff}$  plot drawn in thicker line to highlight where zero-crossing of curves denotes critical reduced electric field.

choosing a well studied pair of molecules is a wise choice for benchmarking and validation of theory and methods. In particular, validation in the presence of a highly attaching gas like  $SF_6$  accompanied by another attaching gas is worth demonstrating before trialing the methods presented in this study on more complex molecules such as HFO-1234ze(E).

For validation in the case of SF<sub>6</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> mixtures, in figure 3 we present the results of approximating both the drift velocity, *W*, and net effective ionisation rate,  $k_{eff}$ , as a function of  $E/n_0$ ; for clarity of presentation we show comparisons for mixture fractions at 25%, 50%, and 75% ratios.

Once again, figure 3 demonstrates excellent qualitative agreement produced by the approximation, for both drift velocity and net creation rate. In these cases the transition from pure SF<sub>6</sub> to  $O_2$  extremes is somewhat monotonic. In terms of the critical reduced electric field values, table 2 values of relative error summarise that there is generally improved accuracy compared with the prior N<sub>2</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> benchmark case.

As an additional point of interest, figure 4 shows the EEDFs at (a) the critical reduced electric field point for pure SF<sub>6</sub>,  $O_2$ , and a mixture of 50% SF<sub>6</sub> and 50% O<sub>2</sub>. Here, subfigure

	Critical $E/n_0$ [Td]		
	Actual	Approximate	Relative error
25% SF <sub>6</sub>	206	217	5.3%
50% SF <sub>6</sub>	266	273	2.6%
75% SF <sub>6</sub>	313	317	1.3%

**Table 2.** Errors in critical reduced electric field approximation for various mixtures of  $SF_6$  and  $O_2$ .



**Figure 4.** Comparison of EEDFs for SF<sub>6</sub> (- - -), O<sub>2</sub> (-·), and a mixture of 50% SF<sub>6</sub> and 50% O<sub>2</sub> (--). (Left) EEDFs at each critical  $E/n_0$  value for the three background gas cases. (Right) EEDFs for each background gas case associated with a mean electron energy  $\langle \epsilon \rangle = 7.0 \text{ eV}$  corresponding to the mixture EEDF at the critical field threshold. Equivalent Maxwellian EEDF (···) shown for reference.

(a) shows that for the wide range of critical  $E/n_0$  values there is some variation in EEDFs. The mixture EEDF generally resembles that for SF<sub>6</sub>, with some deviation around the distribution peak. Notably the EEDF in O<sub>2</sub> peaks at a lower energy. Subfigure (b) shows the same EEDF for the mixture as in (a), however for pure SF<sub>6</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> the EEDFs are shown corresponding to the same electron mean energy value at the mixture's critical  $E/n_0$ ,  $\langle \epsilon \rangle = 7.0$  eV. This demonstrates that for the bulk of the distribution population, the EEDFs are indeed quite similar, particularly between approximately 0.1-20 eV. This demonstration highlights that abstracting the dynamics of the bulk population of EEDFs onto the common mean energy  $\langle \epsilon \rangle$ , invoked in the derivation of our approximation method, is indeed a reasonable approach.

When discussing the nature of EEDFs it is often instructive to compare against a Maxwellian distribution often used by the community. For this purpose, figure 4(b) also allows comparison against a Maxwellian EEDF of the same  $\langle \epsilon \rangle$  as the calculated distributions. This highlights that while the bulk of these computed EEDFs reasonably follow the Maxwellian shape about the peak, the sharp roll-off to a depleted tail of higher energy electrons is not sufficiently captured by a Maxwellian.

#### 4. Application to dielectric insulator design

In the search for alternatives to sulphur hexafluoride,  $SF_6$ , industry and scientific communities are considering a wide range of options. One such option that has been heavily studied [5, 11, 29, 30] is (1E)-1,3,3,3-Tetrafluoroprop-1-ene ( $C_3H_2F_4$ ), often abbreviated to HFO-1234ze(E). This gas is one of an emerging generation of hydrofluoroolefins (HFOs) originally targeted for use as refrigerants in place of traditional hydrofluorocarbons. The industry use of HFOs is being spurred by their often very low ozone depeletion and GWPs.

Mixtures of sulphur hexafluoride, SF<sub>6</sub>, and HFO-1234ze(E), are also being studied to maximise insulating and arc quenching properties [8, 31] as part of the large design and development research efforts to reduce SF<sub>6</sub> use in the high voltage electricity industry. For the HFO-1234ze(E) electron scattering cross-section data, a preliminary crosssection set produced by applying neural network based swarm inversion techniques [32, 33] to experimental swarm data is employed. A collection of published [8, 11] and soon to be published experimental swarm data, kindly made available by colleagues, was used as input to the inversion model [34]. In this work, we focus on the development and application of a



**Figure 5.** Comparison of net effective ionisation rate,  $k_{eff}$ , for various mixtures of SF<sub>6</sub> and HFO-1234ze(E) as a function of  $E/n_0$ . Solid line (—) denotes exact values computed via multi-term solution of the EEDF from the Boltzmann equation, dotted line (…) denotes approximations determined from procedure proposed in this study, dashed line (- -) denotes pure gas data for reference, dash-dot line (- .) denotes results of evaluating (3), the Wieland approximation. Horizontal axis in  $k_{eff}$  plot drawn in thicker line to highlight where zero-crossing of curves denotes critical reduced electric field.

mixture approximation method, and as such the details of the preliminary cross-section set for HFO will shortly be made available and properly analysed in a forthcoming dedicated study.

In figure 5 we focus on probing mixtures of SF<sub>6</sub> and HFO-1234ze(E) for approximating the net effective ionisation rate,  $k_{\text{eff}}$ , as a function of  $E/n_0$ . For clarity of presentation we show comparisons for mixture fractions of 25%, 50%, and 75%.

The results in figure 5, and subsequent figures 7 and 8, clearly demonstrate the well established non-monotonic behaviour of the critical field increasing with increasing SF<sub>6</sub> fraction but then reducing as the fraction of  $SF_6$  approaches 100%. The ability of these mixtures to yield a critical reduced field greater than either case of pure gas has been termed as a positive synergy in the insulating gas literature. This positive synergy effect has been well studied and discussed [8, 31, 35] for insulating gases. The mechanism behind this positive synergy has previously been speculated to be related to pressuredependent three body attachment processes, however more recently it has been reinterpreted to be an outcome of electron impact excitation of HFO limiting the increase of electron mean energy in the mixed gas with increasing  $E/n_0$ . As a result, for higher values of  $E/n_0$  than possible in pure SF<sub>6</sub> a sufficient low energy electron population can be maintained to interact with the large SF<sub>6</sub> attachment cross-section and thus increase the value of  $E/n_0$  at which attachment and ionisation processes are in equilibrium [8].

An additional result shown in figure 5 is a comparison to the standard Wieland approximation by evaluating (3). Here, we can see that the approximated mixture quantities for all mixture fractions simply span the range of rates between the two pure gas extremes. In no case shown does the Wieland approximation get close to estimating the critical electric field

**Table 3.** Errors in critical reduced electric field approximation for various mixtures of  $SF_6$  and HFO-1234ze(E).

	Critical $E/n_0$ [Td]		
	Actual	Approximate	Relative error
25% SF <sub>6</sub>	402	403	0.2%
50% SF <sub>6</sub>	426	434	1.9%
75% SF <sub>6</sub>	401	398	0.7%

determined for synergistic combinations of SF<sub>6</sub> and HFO, as may be expected of linear combinations of pure gas rates dependent only on a common  $E/n_0$  value.

Being able to reproduce this important physics phenomenon from the present approximation formula, despite only using known data for pure gas cases, is an important outcome of the present approximate method. We can see from table 3 there is excellent quantitative agreement between the approximated value for the critical reduced electric field for mixtures, with relative errors on the order of 1%-2%.

Comparing the EEDFs, in figure 6(a), we can see quite different distributions for the case of pure SF<sub>6</sub>, HFO-1234ze(E), and a mixture of 50% SF<sub>6</sub> and 50% HFO-1234ze(E). At low energies the mixture EEDF resembles that of SF<sub>6</sub>, however beyond about 1 eV the mixture EEDF adopts qualitative features of the pure HFO-1234ze(E) distribution. To compare EEDFs corresponding to the same common electron mean energy, we refer to subfigure (b). Here, we see that when considering EEDFs yielding the same mean energy as the mixture critical point,  $\langle \epsilon \rangle = 5.2$  eV, the distributions are once again very similar. Once again, this outcome strengthens the use of the underlying common mean energy assumption discussed in section 2.

We now demonstrate an example of high-throughput data generation and understanding that the present semi-analytic method offers, compared to having to calculate the exact EEDF via the Boltzmann equation for each system configuration. Scanning over a range of mixture combinations  $0 \le x \le 1$  and a range of applied  $E/n_0$  values allows a surface of the net effective ionisation rate,  $k_{\text{eff}}$ , to be constructed over the domain of possible parameter space, as shown in figure 7. Considering the colour gradient changes projected to the base of the plot, one can clearly see the non-linear and non-monotonic features of the levels of  $k_{\text{eff},m}$ .

For the possible mixture combinations in the case of  $k_{\rm eff,m} (E/n_0) = 0$ , black marker points are placed to highlight the critical field curve for the mixtures of SF<sub>6</sub> and HFO-1234ze(E). These points can be projected onto a more convenient 2D plot, like that commonly presented in literature, in figure 8. For comparison the pulsed Townsend swarm measurements of Egüz*et al* [7] have been plotted. Further, the critical electron mean energy,  $\langle \epsilon \rangle_c$ , at a given critical electric field is plotted against the right-hand axis of figure 8.

In contrast to the non-monotonic nature of  $(E/n_0)_c$ , the critical electron mean energy,  $\langle \epsilon \rangle_c$ , plotted in figure 8 demonstrates a monotonic increase with increasing fraction of SF<sub>6</sub>.



**Figure 6.** Comparison of EEDFs for SF<sub>6</sub> (- - -), HFO-1234ze(E) (--), and a mixture of 50% SF<sub>6</sub> and 50% HFO-1234ze(E) (--). (Left) EEDFs at each critical  $E/n_0$  value for the three background gas cases. (Right) EEDFs for each background gas case associated with a mean electron energy  $\langle \epsilon \rangle = 5.2$  eV corresponding to the mixture EEDF at the critical field threshold. Equivalent Maxwellian EEDF (...) shown for reference.



**Figure 7.** Surface of net effective ionisation rate,  $k_{\text{eff}}$ , for mixtures of SF<sub>6</sub> and HFO-1234ze(E) as a function of  $E/n_0$  and % fraction of SF<sub>6</sub>. Level curves projected on lower plane, and critical field points where  $k_{\text{eff}} = 0$  marked in black (•).

By plotting and comparing  $(E/n_0)_c$  and the equivalent critical  $\langle \epsilon \rangle_c$  we highlight why indeed a mixture approximation of linear addition of constituent gas quantities with functional dependence on  $E/n_0$  may fail. In contrast, the monotonic nature in  $\langle \epsilon \rangle_c$  allows a linear combination of pure gas quantities with mean energy functional dependence to be used effectively.



**Figure 8.** (Left-hand axis) Approximate critical reduced electric field where attachment transitions to ionisation for increasing fractions of SF<sub>6</sub> in mixture with HFO-1234ze(E). Present approximation result shown in black markers (•), swarm measurements of Egüz *et al* [8] shown in red markers (•). (Right-hand axis) Mean electron energy,  $\langle \epsilon \rangle$ , at critical electric field plotted in triangle markers (•).

#### 5. Conclusion

In summary, we have presented the formulation and validation of a semi-analytic formula and method for approximating the critical reduced electric field for insulating gas mixtures. These findings demonstrate a rapid and accurate approximate approach to assessing the breakdown electric field properties of arbitrary insulating gas mixtures. Importantly, our results show immediate application and promise towards parameter space exploration and design studies of potential insulating gases to replace  $SF_6$  in the high voltage electricity industry. The method presented in this study is well suited and presently being applied to high-throughput machine learning driven parameter space exploration, by virtue of being able to rapidly generate training data of arbitrary mixtures. While this study provides valuable insights into how industry standard electron transport data of individual pure gases can be combined to estimate mixture properties, further investigation is needed, for example, to develop improvements to the model to facilitate the use of experimentally measured swarm quantities as a function of  $E/n_0$  if the underlying scattering cross-sections are unknown. This type of extension from the presented method would address the limitation of requiring calculated pure gas swarm data as a function of mean energy. Future studies will explore this by studying functional dependencies of electron mean energy, characteristic energy, and quantities measured directly in swarm experiments. By continuing to investigate these aspects, we can expand the set of quality tools available to scientists and engineers in the design and development of effective, safe, and climate neutral insulating gas technologies for high voltage electricity industries.

#### Data availability statement

The data cannot be made publicly available upon publication because no suitable repository exists for hosting data in this field of study. The data that support the findings of this study are available upon reasonable request from the authors.

#### **ORCID iDs**

- N A Garland (b) https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0343-0199
- D L Muccignat ( https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6693-6851
- G J Boyle () https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8581-4307
- R D White D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5353-7440

#### References

- Tian S, Zhang X, Cressault Y, Hu J, Wang B, Xiao S, Li Y and Kabbaj N 2020 Research status of replacement gases for SF<sub>6</sub> in power industry *AIP Adv.* **10** 050702
- [2] United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change 2005 A Kyoto protocol to the United Nations framework convention on climate change Kyoto, 11 December 1997 A Kyoto Protocol to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (Kyoto, 11 December 1997) (Treaty Series vol 2303) (United Nations) p 162
- [3] Hu L et al 2023 Declining, seasonal-varying emissions of sulfur hexafluoride from the United States Atmos. Chem. Phys. 23 1437–48
- [4] Simmonds P G et al 2020 The increasing atmospheric burden of the greenhouse gas sulfur hexafluoride (SF<sub>6</sub>) Atmos. Chem. Phys. 20 7271–90
- [5] Basu D, Pachin J, Eguz E and Franck C 2023 Improved Estimation of Uniform AC Electric Breakdown Field

Strength of HFO1234ze(E) *IEEE Trans. Dielectr. Electr. Insul.* **30** 263–70

- [6] Zeng F, Xie B, Su D, Li C, Lei Z, Ma G, Dai L, Li L and Tang J 2022 Breakdown characteristics of Eco-friendly gas C5F10O/CO2 under switching impulse in nonuniform electric field *IEEE Trans. Dielectr. Electr. Insul.* 29 866–73
- [7] Egüz E A, Pachin J, Vemulapalli H and Franck C M 2023 Synergism in SF<sub>6</sub> mixtures with C = C-C backbone compounds J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 56 395203
- [8] Egüz E A, Pachin J and Franck C M 2022 Discussion on the mechanism leading to positive synergism in SF<sub>6</sub> mixtures with HFO1234ze(E) *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* 55 315203
- [9] Zhang R, Wang L, Liu J and Lian Z 2022 Numerical simulation of breakdown properties and streamer development processes in SF<sub>6</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub> mixed gas *AIP Adv.* 12 015003
- [10] Flynn M, Neuber A and Stephens J 2021 Benchmarking the calculation of electrically insulating properties of complex gas mixtures using a multi-term Boltzmann equation model *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* 55 015201
- [11] Chachereau A, Rabie M and Franck C M 2016 Electron swarm parameters of the hydrofluoroolefine HFO1234ze Plasma Sources Sci. Technol. 25 045005
- [12] Blanc A 1908 Recherches sur les mobilités des ions dans les gaz J. Phys. Theor. Appl. 7 825–39
- [13] Wieland A 1973 Gasdurchschlagmechanismen in elektronegativen Gasen (SF6) und in Gasgemischen ETZ-A 94 370–3
- [14] Chiflikian R V 1995 The analog of Blanc's law for drift velocities of electrons in gas mixtures in weakly ionized plasma *Phys. Plasmas* 2 3902–9
- [15] Marić D, Radmilović-Radenović M, Petrović Lj Z 2005 On parametrization and mixture laws for electron ionization coefficients *Eur. Phys. J.* D **35** 313–21
- [16] Van Brunt R J 1987 Common parametrizations of electron transport, collision cross section and dielectric strength data for binary gas mixtures J. Appl. Phys. 61 1773–87
- [17] Garland N A, Cocks D G, Boyle G J, Dujko S and White R D 2017 Unified fluid model analysis and benchmark study for electron transport in gas and liquid analogs *Plasma Sources Sci. Technol.* 26 075003
- [18] Simonović I, Garland N A, Bošnjaković D, Lj Petrović Z, White R D and Dujko S 2019 Electron transport and negative streamers in liquid xenon *Plasma Sources Sci. Technol.* 28 015006
- Boyle G J, Stokes P W, Robson R E and White R D 2023
   Boltzmann's equation at 150: traditional and modern solution techniques for charged particles in neutral gases J. Chem. Phys. 159 024306
- [20] Garland N A, Simonović I, Boyle G J, Cocks D G, Dujko S and White R D 2018 Electron swarm and streamer transport across the gas–liquid interface: a comparative fluid model study *Plasma Sources Sci. Technol.* 27 105004
- [21] Garland N A, Boyle G J, Cocks D G and White R D 2018 Approximating the nonlinear density dependence of electron transport coefficients and scattering rates across the gas-liquid interface *Plasma Sources Sci. Technol.* 27 024002
- [22] Hagelaar G J M and Pitchford L C 2005 Solving the Boltzmann equation to obtain electron transport coefficients and rate coefficients for fluid models *Plasma Sources Sci. Technol.* 14 722–33
- [23] Rabie M and Franck C M 2016 METHES: A Monte Carlo collision code for the simulation of electron transport in low temperature plasmas *Comput. Phys. Commun.* 203 268–77
- [24] Stephens J 2018 A multi-term Boltzmann equation benchmark of electron-argon cross-sections for use in low temperature plasma models J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 51 125203

- [25] Park R, Scheiner B S, and Zammit M C 2023 Thunderboltz: an open-source DSMC-based Boltzmann solver for plasma transport, chemical kinetics, and 0D plasma modeling (arXiv:2310.07913)
- [26] White R D et al 2018 Electron transport in biomolecular gaseous and liquid systems: theory, experiment and self-consistent cross-sections Plasma Sources Sci. Technol. 27 053001
- [27] Boyle G J, Tattersall W J, Cocks D G, McEachran R P and White R D 2017 A multi-term solution of the space-time Boltzmann equation for electrons in gases and liquids *Plasma Sources Sci. Technol.* 26 024007
- [28] Pitchford L C et al 2017 Lxcat: an open-access, web-based platform for data needed for modeling low temperature plasmas Plasma Processes Polym. 14 1600098
- [29] Chachereau A and Franck C 2017 Characterization of HFO1234ze mixtures with N2 and CO2 for use as gaseous electrical insulation media 20th Int. Symp. on High Voltage Engineering (ISH 2017)
- [30] Li Y, Wang Y, Xiao S, Li Z, Tang N, Zhou Y, Li L, Zhang Y, Tang J and Zhang X 2023 Partial discharge induced decomposition and by-products generation properties of

HFO-1234ze(E)/CO2: a new eco-friendly gas insulating medium J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 56 165203

- [31] Hosl A, Pachin J, Eguz E, Chachereau A and Franck C M 2020 Positive synergy of SF <sub>6</sub> and HFO1234ze(E) *IEEE Trans. Dielectr. Electr. Insul.* 27 322–4
- [32] Stokes P W, Cocks D G, Brunger M J and White R D 2020 Determining cross sections from transport coefficients using deep neural networks *Plasma Sources Sci. Technol.* 29 055009
- [33] Stokes P W, Casey M J E, Cocks D G, de Urquijo J, García G, Brunger M J and White R D 2020 Self-consistent electron-THF cross sections derived using data-driven swarm analysis with a neural network model *Plasma Sources Sci. Technol.* 29 105008
- [34] Muccignat D L, Boyle G G, Garland N A, Stokes P W and White R D 2023 An iterative deep learning procedure for determining electron scattering cross-sections from transport coefficients (arXiv:2311.13170)
- [35] Hunter S R and Christophorou L G 1985 Pressuredependent electron attachment and breakdown strengths of unary gases and synergism of binary gas mixtures: a relationship J. *Appl. Phys.* 57 4377–85