



Correction: Gender-Based Violence and Carceral Feminism in Australia: Towards Decarceral Approaches

Rachel Loney-Howes¹ · Marlene Longbottom² · Bianca Fileborn³

Published online: 26 July 2024
© The Author(s) 2024

Correction: Feminist Legal Studies
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10691-024-09546-z>

In the last paragraph of the **Introduction** section of the original article, the sentence beginning “We contend that the prominent activist and politico-legal and neocolonial responses to gender-based violence in Australia undermine visions for reimagining justice and opportunities to listen to Indigenous expertise in shaping responses to gender-based violence.” should have been “In making this claim and the critiques provided in this article, we do not mean to detract from the significant work that goes into advocating for legal reforms, and we recognise that mainstream advocacy has led to important reforms that benefit some (but not all) survivors. We also want to be clear that in critically interrogating the responses advocated for in mainstream advocacy and activism, we are not critiquing the decisions of individual survivors to engage with the criminal legal system. However, we contend that the prominent activist and politico-legal and neocolonial responses to gender-based violence in Australia can and do (though not necessarily intentionally) undermine visions for reimagining justice and opportunities to listen to Indigenous expertise in shaping responses to gender-based violence.”

In the third paragraph of the section **Gender-Based Violence and Criminal Justice Reforms in Australia**, the sentence beginning “One example of recent activism

The online version of the original article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10691-024-09546-z>

✉ Rachel Loney-Howes
rlhowes@uow.edu.au

¹ School of Health and Society, University of Wollongong, Northfields Avenue, Wollongong, NSW 2522, Australia

² Indigenous Education & Research Centre, James Cook University, Cairns, QLD, Australia

³ School of Political and Social Sciences, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Melbourne, VIC 3010, Australia

centring the law as a site of redress in Australia is the #LetHerSpeak campaign, which initially sought to change Section 194k of the Evidence Act in the State of Tasmania prohibiting survivors from publicly discussing their experiences without court approval.” should have been “One example of recent activism centring the law as a site of redress in Australia is the #LetHerSpeak campaign, launched in 2018 with the express purpose of eliminating gag laws preventing survivors from speaking publicly about their experiences of sexual violence (<https://www.letusspeak.com.au/>). Most famously, the campaign successfully changed Section 194k of the Evidence Act in the State of Tasmania, which prohibited survivors from publicly discussing their experiences without court approval.”

Footnote 8 also has a typo: it says “Jell Hill” rather than “Jess Hill.”

In the fourth paragraph of the section **Critiquing the Carceral Reform Agenda**, the sentence beginning “We raise these examples not so much out of concern for the nature of the reforms in and of themselves but rather to highlight the continued emphasis on the criminal legal system as the site of justice to gendered violence in mainstream activism and advocacy work, particularly in the absence of concomitant work focusing on the development of alternative sites of justice.” should be removed.

The original article has been corrected.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Publisher’s Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.