

JCU ePrints

This file is part of the following reference:

Preite, Carrie (2008) *Phytoplankton dynamics of riverine water holes in the dry tropics of north Queensland, Australia*. PhD thesis, James Cook University.

Access to this file is available from:

<http://eprints.jcu.edu.au/8234>

Phytoplankton Dynamics of Riverine Water Holes in the Dry Tropics of North Queensland, Australia

Thesis submitted by
Carrie Kendra PREITE BSc MSc
in September 2008

for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy
in Zoology and Tropical Ecology
within the School of Marine and Tropical Biology
James Cook University

STATEMENT OF ACCESS

I, the undersigned author of this work, understand that James Cook University will make this thesis available for use within the University Library and, via the Australian Digital Theses network, for use elsewhere.

I understand that, as an unpublished work, a thesis has significant protection under the Copyright Act and;

I do not wish to place any further restriction on access to this work

Signature

Date

Abstract

Microalgae are key components of aquatic food webs and biodiversity, yet dynamics of algal assemblages in tropical systems are largely unknown. This study investigated algal assemblages and physico-chemical properties of remnant riverine water holes in the Burdekin River catchment, which is located in the seasonal Australian tropics. A seasonal survey was conducted of three sites in three rivers, followed by a more detailed study on one site at each river. Principle component analyses revealed significant differences in physico-chemical parameters between rivers and seasons. Non-metric multi-dimensional scaling demonstrated compositional differences in phytoplankton assemblages between seasons and rivers, and showed that conductivity and temperature were major physico-chemical correlates contributing to the observed differences. Since sites differed substantially in turbidity, it was expected that turbidity would be important in determining phytoplankton assemblages. Multivariate statistics confirmed that turbidity had a significant effect on phytoplankton assemblages. Although phytoplankton assemblages differed between season and river, the differences were not systematic. At a fine-scale within a single water hole, season had a large influence on phytoplankton assemblages at each site. Season, in the dry tropics, encompasses not only a change in temperature, but also levels of irradiance and flow regimes. Phytoplankton assemblages are largely governed by a rather obvious suite of physical and chemical variables, especially relating to seasonal change in, flow and temperature, and such factors as turbidity, pH and nutrient concentrations, which relate to lithology, soils and land use. A majority of the study sites showed dominance of Chlorophyta, followed by Cyanobacteria and diatoms. Overall, 138 phytoplankton species were identified; of these Chlorophyta were most species rich and Cyanobacteria were most abundant.

Major drivers of the phytoplankton assemblages identified from the field analyses – temperature and conductivity – were tested under a controlled environment on three monoclonal species of *Scenedesmus* – *S. quadricauda*, *S. dimorphus*, and *S. ellipticus* – cultured from field collections. The conductivity experiments established significant differences in growth rates between the species. The temperature experiments revealed significant differences in growth rates between the species, although this was mainly due to the large growth rate changes of *S. ellipticus* at extreme temperatures (below 16° C and above 30°C). The laboratory experiments were designed to validate the identified drivers of phytoplankton community composition in the field. However, growth responses of *Scenedesmus* spp. to either temperature or conductivity changes alone under laboratory conditions did not show the same strong correlations as seen in the field, but in combination with main effect ANOVAs of field data the main drivers identified by multivariate statistics were confirmed.

The results provide an improved understanding of phytoplankton dynamics in seasonal tropical rivers. They are patchy systems, driven by both predictable (seasonal) change and stochastic events (flood, drought). Water holes develop their own characteristic assemblages, according to local factors, but those within rivers are more similar than those between rivers, as a result of underlying differences in catchment characteristic. The influences of water quality and other variables therefore operate at catchment scales for part of the annual cycle, but at a local scale for the majority of it. The tolerance of phytoplankton species to environmental parameters, especially those that can be linked to river health, needs further investigation. Currently, the use of algal assemblages for regular or routine assessment of tropical river health is not practical because of their patchy and variable distribution.

Acknowledgements

I would like to start by thanking the people that helped throughout my years here at James Cook University. The help and guidance from my advisors was invaluable not only in my research, but also in teaching and in general life as a post-graduate student. Dr. Damien Burrows was extremely helpful in introducing me to the Australian dry tropical outback. His knowledge of the freshwater systems in the Burdekin River catchment was exactly what I needed in choosing my field sites. I am truly grateful to Dr. Kirsten Heimann for all her support and determination during this long arduous process. Her know-how in the lab (and my lack there of) is next to none and I am very fortunate to have had her assistance in this area. And finally, Professor Richard Pearson, I was privileged to be able to work with him and under his guidance. He is a dedicated advisor, a devoted mentor, and showed enthusiasm in guiding me through this degree. I was extremely honored to be able to work with such an able, knowledgeable group.

I also want to send out a huge thanks to all of the people that helped me so much in the field and lab including, Peter Ide, Cheryl Chapman, Lauren Chapman, Jessica Gautherot, Tim Wright, Christine Stevens, Sara Ceccarelli, Li Ling Hamady, Melanie Blanchette, Marie Magnussen, Laura Fantoni, Steffi Gottschalk, Philip Bowles, Bronwyn Goodfellow (and Doris), Kaylene Allen, Vivian McConnell, Sarah Thornton, Dominica Loong, Jenny Cook, and Joanne Knott. A special thanks to Stan Hudson for all his help in the lab and to Bob Congdon for allowing me to use the spectrophotometer in his lab.

And to the administration, technical, and support staff of the School of Marine and Tropical Biology, thank you so very much. This is a group of people that are often overlooked, but could never be done without. A special thank you to Rita Foster and Paula Roger for helping me find my way through the university's paperwork!

This research would not have been possible without the cooperation of the landowners. A debt of gratitude goes to Tony and Patricia Allingham of the Emu Valley Station, Jack and Rhonda Smith of the Bluff Downs Station, Tom and Eva Mann of the Hillgrove Station, Robert and Isabel Hicks of the Mirambeena Station, Donald Burnett of the Mt. Douglas Station, Tim Kirkwood of the Disney Station, Richard and Anna Appleton of the Mt. Hope Station and the Australian Army.

I am very grateful for the monetary support for this research. Appreciation goes to the School of Marine and Tropical Biology at James Cook University, the River Basin Management Society and the CRC's Catchment to Reef Program. In-kind support is acknowledged from the Australian

Centre for Tropical Freshwater Research and the North Queensland Algal Identification and Culture Facility.

And especially a big, big thanks to Sara Ceccarelli and Jodi Rowley for being wonderful, supporting friends, for keeping me sane when I was going insane, for keeping me going when I wanted to throw in the towel, for just being there when I needed you!! Thank you for being my friends.

Lastly, I would like to thank my family. They were there for me through thick and thin, even though they live half a world away. They were there to provide me with moral support, without them I would not have made it through. Specifically to my mother, Diana R. Hunter, you told me that anything I dreamed was possible, any goal I set myself achievable, then you gave me the strength to do it. Thank you, I love you very much.

This thesis is dedicated to and in memory of my mother
Diana Ruth Hunter (1950-2006).

Table of Contents

Statement of Access.....	1
Abstract.....	2
Acknowledgements.....	4
Table of Contents.....	6
List of Tables.....	9
List of Figures.....	11
Statement on Sources.....	13
Chapter 1 . Introduction.....	14
1.1 Introduction.....	14
1.2 Dryland rivers.....	14
1.3 Australian river phytoplankton.....	15
1.4 Tropical river water quality and phytoplankton.....	17
1.4.1 Tropical river water quality.....	17
1.4.2 Tropical river phytoplankton.....	18
1.5 Use of phytoplankton as indicators in river monitoring.....	20
1.6 Tropical riverine water holes.....	21
1.7 Aims of this study.....	22
Chapter 2 . Study region and sites.....	23
2.1 Burdekin River Catchment.....	23
2.1.1 Hydrology and Rainfall.....	25
2.1.2 Geology and Soils.....	28
2.1.3. Vegetation and Bioregions.....	29
2.1.4 Water Quality.....	30
2.2 Study Sites.....	31
2.2.1 Hydrology of the study rivers.....	31
2.2.2 Basalt River.....	35
2.2.3 Keelbottom Creek.....	35
2.2.4 Belyando and Suttor Rivers.....	37
Chapter 3 . Biophysical characteristics of water holes in the Burdekin Catchment.....	39
3.1 Introduction.....	39
3.2 Methods.....	42
3.2.1 Water quality.....	42
3.2.2 Phytoplankton.....	44
3.2.3 Analyses.....	45
3.3 Results.....	46
3.3.1 Physico-chemical data.....	46

3.3.2 Phytoplankton	59
3.4 Discussion	64
3.4.1 Physical characteristics	64
3.4.2 Water quality	65
3.4.3 Phytoplankton	67
3.4.4 Correlations between water quality and phytoplankton	68
Chapter 4 . Dynamics of phytoplankton communities at fine temporal and spatial scales.....	70
4.1 Introduction	70
4.2 Methods	70
4.2.1 Water quality	70
4.2.2 Phytoplankton	72
4.2.3 Statistics	72
4.3 Results	73
4.3.1 Physico-chemical parameters of rivers studied	73
4.3.2 All rivers physico-chemical data sample days 1, 2 & 3 – PCA analysis.....	79
4.3.3 All rivers physico-chemical data and phytoplankton data sample day 3 – PCA and NMS analyses	81
4.3.4 Physico-chemical data for sample days 1, 2 & 3	88
4.3.5 Keelbottom Creek physico-chemical data and phytoplankton data, day 3	88
4.3.6 Basalt River physico-chemical data and phytoplankton data sample day 3.....	94
4.3.7 Suttor River physico-chemical parameters and phytoplankton data	101
4.4 Discussion	107
4.4.1 Water quality	107
4.4.2. Correlations between phytoplankton and water quality	109
4.4.3 Comparable studies	112
Chapter 5 . Growth responses of three species of <i>Scenedesmus</i> isolated from the Burdekin catchment study sites to changes in conductivity and temperature.....	115
5.1 Introduction	115
5.1.1. Phytoplankton as indicators of water quality	115
5.1.2 <i>Scenedesmus</i> spp. as indicators of water quality	117
5.2 Methods	119
5.2.1 Sample collection	119
5.2.2 Establishment of unialgal cultures	119
5.2.3 Culture maintenance.....	121
5.2.4 Conductivity experiments	121
5.2.5 Temperature experiments.....	123
5.2.6 Statistics	124

5.2.7 Representative growth curve examples.....	126
5.3 Results	126
5.3.1 Correlation between % transmission and cells counts	126
5.3.2 Conductivity experiments	128
5.3.3 Temperature experiments.....	129
5.4 Discussion	133
5.4.1 Conductivity.....	133
5.4.2. Temperature	135
Chapter 6 . General discussion.....	138
6.1 Physical environment	138
6.2 Water quality	138
6.3 Algal diversity	139
6.4 Relationships between algal assemblages and water quality.....	139
6.5 Ecological dynamics in the Burdekin system.....	141
6.6 River health	142
6.7 Conclusion.....	145
References.....	146
Appendix 1 - Raw data	168
Appendix 2 - Algal species identified from the field study sites. Taxonomy after Guiry and Guiry (2007) and Graham and Wilcox (2000).....	170
Appendix 3 – Recipes for medium used in laboratory experiments	175
Appendix 4 – Statistics tables for temperature and conductivity experiments. Statistics generated using Statistica 7.	176
4.1 Laboratory experiments: temperature.....	176
4.2 Laboratory experiments: conductivity.....	176
4.3 Field experiments: general study.....	177
4.4 Field experiments: intensive study	179
Appendix 5 – Post hoc statistics tables for temperature / conductivity experiments. Statistics generated using Statistica 7.....	181

List of Tables

Table 2.1 - Catchment Contributions to the Burdekin River	25
Table 2.2- Rainfall, temperatures and humidity of the Burdekin River sub-catchments.....	26
Table 2.3 - Summary of characteristics of the nine study sites.....	38
Table 3.1 – Studies of phytoplankton in tropical regions	40
Table 3.2 - Presence or absence of thermal stratification within the nine study sites.....	47
Table 3.3 - Correlation table showing r values for physico-chemical parameters corresponding to different axes of a PCA analysis.....	58
Table 3.4- MRPP table showing A-statistic and p-value comparing physico-chemical parameters among sites between groups.	59
Table 3.5 – Abundance and species richness of phytoplankton identified from the general study.	59
Table 3.6 - Correlation table showing r values for algal species corresponding to different axes of a NMS analysis	62
Table 3.7 - Correlation table showing r values for physico-chemical parameters corresponding to different axes of a NMS analysis for algal species	63
Table 3.8 - MRPP table showing A-statistic and p-value comparing algal species among sites between groups.	64
Table 3.9 – Physical and chemical characteristics in the upper stratum (0.0 – 2.0 m) of tropical rivers / water holes.....	68
Table 3.10 – Number of phytoplankton species identified from subtropical and tropical field studies	69
Table 4.1- Correlation table showing r values for physico-chemical parameters corresponding to first two axes of a PCA analysis	80
Table 4.2 - MRPP table showing T-statistic, A-statistic and p-value comparing physico-chemical parameters among seasons, rivers and sites within rivers.....	80
Table 4.3- Correlation table showing r values for physico-chemical parameters corresponding to PCA axes	82
Table 4.4 - MRPP table showing T-statistic, A-statistic and p-value comparing physico-chemical parameters among seasons, rivers and sites within rivers.....	83
Table 4.5- r values for physico-chemical parameters corresponding to different axes of a NMS analysis for algal species.....	84
Table 4.6 - MRPP table showing T-statistic, A-statistic and p-value comparing algal species among season, river, sites and strata.....	84
Table 4.7- Correlation table showing r values for physico-chemical parameters corresponding to different axes of a CCA analysis for algal species	86
Table 4.8- Indicator species table for rivers studied, ordered according to p and indicator values.	87

Table 4.9 - MRPP table showing T-statistic, A-statistic and p-value comparing physico-chemical parameters by season, site, time and day between rivers.....	88
Table 4.10- Correlation table showing r values for physico-chemical parameters in Keelbottom Creek corresponding to different axes of a PCA analysis	89
Table 4.11- Table showing r values for physico-chemical parameters corresponding to different axes of a NMS analysis for algal species in Keelbottom Creek.	92
Table 4.12 - MRPP table showing T-statistic, A-statistic and p-value comparing algal species among sites and season in the Keelbottom Creek.....	92
Table 4.13 - Correlation table showing r values for physico-chemical parameters corresponding to different axes of a CCA analysis for algal species in the Keelbottom Creek	93
Table 4.14- Indicator species table for Keelbottom Creek.	94
Table 4.15- Correlation table showing r values for physico-chemical parameters in the Basalt River corresponding to different axes of a PCA analysis	97
Table 4.16 – r values for physico-chemical parameters corresponding to different axes of a NMS analysis for algal species in the Basalt River.....	99
Table 4.17 - MRPP table showing T-statistic, A-statistic and p-value comparing algal species among Season, sites and strata in the Basalt River.	99
Table 4.18 – Correlation table showing r values for physico-chemical parameters corresponding to different axes of a CCA analysis for algal species in the Basalt River.....	100
Table 4.19- Indicator species table for Basalt River.....	101
Table 4.20- Correlation table showing r values for physico-chemical parameters in the Suttor River corresponding to different axes of a PCA analysis	103
Table 4.21 – r values for physico-chemical parameters corresponding to different axes of a NMS analysis for algal species in the Suttor River	105
Table 4.22 – MRPP table showing T-statistic, A-statistic and p-value comparing algal species in the Suttor River among season and sites between groups.	105
Table 4.23 – Correlation table showing r values for physico-chemical parameters corresponding to different axes of a CCA analysis for algal species in the Suttor River	106
Table 4.24 – Indicator species table for Suttor River	107
Table 5.1 - Titration table for conductivity adjustments of Bold medium.....	123
Table 6.1 - Species richness of phytoplankton in sites across the latitudinal gradient	141

List of Figures

Figure 2.1 - Map of the Burdekin catchment in Queensland, Australia, showing major tributaries	23
Figure 2.2 - Land use within the Burdekin River catchment	24
Figure 2.3 - Water use within the Burdekin River catchment	24
Figure 2.4 - Map of the Burdekin catchment showing the four sub-catchments	26
Figure 2.5 - Annual stream flow (in thousands of gigaliters) recorded for the period 1949 to 1999 in the Upper Burdekin catchment	27
Figure 2.6 - Weekly stream flow (in gigaliters, 1 gigaliter = 10 ⁹ liters) recorded for a flood year (1998) in the Upper Burdekin catchment.....	27
Figure 2.7- Bioregions of the Burdekin River catchment.....	30
Figure 2.8 - Burdekin River catchment showing sub-catchments and study sites.....	32
Figure 2.9 – Hydrographs showing monthly flow data in megaliters for 2000-2006.....	33
Figure 2.10 – Hydrographs showing monthly flow data for 2000-2006 using a logarithmic scale	34
Figure 2.11 - Photograph of Basalt River at Bluff Downs Station.	35
Figure 2.12 - Keelbottom Creek at Mirambeena Station.....	36
Figure 2.13 - Suttor River at Mt. Hope Station.....	37
Figure 3.1 - Survey sampling design	43
Figure 3.2 - Temperature records at specific depths at nine sites over three seasons among three rivers.....	51
Figure 3.3 - Dissolved oxygen records at specific depths at nine sites over three seasons among three rivers.....	52
Figure 3.4 - pH records at specific depths at nine sites over three seasons among three rivers.....	53
Figure 3.5 - Conductivity records at specific depths at nine sites over three seasons among three rivers.....	54
Figure 3.6 - PAR records at specific depths at nine sites over three seasons among three rivers.....	55
Figure 3.7 - PCA ordination of samples using physico-chemical data, with overlays of (a) season and (b) river	56
Figure 3.8 - PCA ordination of samples using physico-chemical data, with overlays of (a) time and (b) habitat.....	57
Figure 3.9 - NMS ordination of samples using algal data, with overlay of season	60
Figure 3.10 - NMS ordination of samples using algal data, with overlays of (a) river and (b) habitat	61
Figure 4.1 - Intensive study sampling design	71
Figure 4.2 – Summaries of (a) Temperature and (b) Dissolved oxygen from two seasons from three rivers studied.....	75
Figure 4.3 – Summaries of (a) pH and (b) Conductivity	76

Figure 4.4 – Summaries of (a) Turbidity and (b) Chlorophyll <i>a</i>	77
Figure 4.5 – Summaries of (a) Total Nitrogen and (b) Total Phosphorus	78
Figure 4.6 - PCA ordination of samples using physico-chemical data with overlay of river	79
Figure 4.7 – Relationships between physico-chemical variables and PCA axis scores.....	80
Figure 4.8 - PCA ordination of samples using physico-chemical data with overlay of river	81
Figure 4.9 – Relationships between physico-chemical variables and PCA axis scores.....	82
Figure 4.10 - NMS ordination of sites using phytoplankton data.....	83
Figure 4.11 - CCA ordination of sites using algal data, with vectors indicating significant physico-chemical parameters	85
Figure 4.12 - PCA ordination of samples using physico-chemical data for Keelbottom Creek, by time	89
Figure 4.13 – Relationships between selected variables and PCA axis scores	90
Figure 4.14 - NMS ordination of locations in Keelbottom Creek using algal data, with overlay of season.....	91
Figure 4.15 – CCA ordination of samples using algal data for Keelbottom Creek, with vectors indicating significant physico-chemical parameters	93
Figure 4.16 – PCA ordination of samples using algal data for the Basalt River by time	95
Figure 4.17 – Relationships between selected variables and PCA axes	96
Figure 4.18 – NMS ordination of sites based on algal data for the Basalt River with overlay of season and strata	98
Figure 4.19 – CCA ordination of sites using algal data for the Basalt River. Vectors indicate significant physico-chemical parameters	100
Figure 4.20 – PCA ordination of samples using physico-chemical data for the Suttor River by time and season.....	102
Figure 4.21 – Relationships between selected variables and PCA axes	103
Figure 4.22 – NMS ordination of samples using algal data for the Suttor River with overlay of season.....	104
Figure 4.23 – CCA ordination of samples using algal data for the Suttor River, vectors indicating significant physico-chemical parameters	106
Figure 5.1 - Micrographs of <i>Scenedesmus</i> spp. used in laboratory experiments.....	120
Figure 5.2 - Flow chart for conductivity acclimation experiments.....	122
Figure 5.3 - Flow chart for temperature acclimation experiments.....	125
Figure 5.4 - Representative growth curves for <i>Scenedesmus dimorphus</i>	126
Figure 5.5 - Linear regression between counted cells mL ⁻¹ and turbidity (% transmission)	127
Figure 5.6 – Effect of conductivity on growth of three species of <i>Scenedesmus</i>	131
Figure 5.7 - Effects of controlled temperature changes on 3 species of <i>Scenedesmus</i> spp.....	132

Statement on Sources

Declaration

I declare that this thesis is my own work and has not been submitted in any form for another degree or diploma at any university or other institution of tertiary education. Information derived from the published or unpublished work of others has been acknowledged in the text and a list of references is given.

Carrie K. Preite

May 2009

Date