# JCU ePrints

This file is part of the following reference:

Stump, Richard J. W. (1994) Age determination and lifehistory characteristics of Acanthaster planci(L.)(Echinodermata:Asteroidea). PhD thesis, James Cook University.

Access to this file is available from:

http://eprints.jcu.edu.au/8186



Age determination and life-history characteristics of *Acanthaster planci* (L.) (Echinodermata: Asteroidea).

7

# Thesis submitted by Richard Julian Witherington Stump October 1994

for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in the Department of Zoology at James Cook University of North Queensland

# STATEMENT OF ACCESS

I, the undersigned author of this work, understand that James Cook University will make this thesis available for use within the University Library and, via the Australian Digital Theses network, for use elsewhere.

I understand that, as an unpublished work, a thesis has significant protection under the Copyright Act and;

I do not wish to place any further restriction on access to this work

Signature

Date

# STATEMENT ON SOURCES

# **Declaration**

I declare that this thesis is my own work and has not been submitted in any form for another degree or diploma at any university or other institution of tertiary education. Information derived from the published or unpublished work of others has been acknowledged in the text and a list of references is given.

(Date)

#### In support of this thesis

The following publication has been derived from work connected with the production of this thesis, and a copy of the publication is included in the back of this thesis:

Stump, R.J.W. and J.S. Lucas, 1990

Linear growth in spines from *A canthaster planci* (L.) involving growth lines and periodic pigment bands. Coral Reefs 9:149-154.

#### Acknowledgments

The project was funded by the Crown-Of-Thorns Research Committee (COTSREC) through the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority (GBRMPA), and the Department of Industry, Technology and Commerce (DITAC). Additional funding was obtained through GBRMPA Augmentative Grants and JCUNQ Merit Research Awards (MRA). Their support is gratefully acknowledged. Considerable support came from the AIMS infrastructure, fortunately available at that time.

To my supervisor, Professor John Lucas, my thanks for his consistent guidance and support over seven years from the beginnings as a research assistant for Brett Kettle's project, and for his helpful consultations throughout the evolution and completion of this project. I would like to thank Professor Rhondda Jones (JCUNQ) for her support and allowing me to develop both her and John's ideas to investigate methods of-determining age in *A. planci*. Also, belated thanks to Dr Roger Bradbury and Dr Peter Moran (AIMS), who gave me the initial opportunity in marine science in 1985, through the COTS-CCEP program, which led to this project.

Dr Peter Moran (AIMS) was instrumental in his support of the project from its early stages, providing access to valuable AIMS shiptime for the field studies and for extending the availability of benchspace and aquaria. I am especially indebted to Mr John Hardman (AIMS) who fearlessly provided essential support and access to equipment for many of the field trips, including to Lord Howe Island. Thanks to Dr Glenn De'ath (JCUNQ) who freely offered consultation and advice and helped me with the analyses, although any incorrect results are solely my own responsibility. Dr John Keesing (AIMS), Dr Russ Babcock (AIMS) and Dr Jean-Pierre Gattuso (AIMS) also assisted with shared shiptime. Dr Leon Zann (GBRMPA), Dr Brian Lassig (GBRMPA), Dr John Lawrence (USF, Florida), Professor Charles Birkeland (UOG, Guam), Professor Robin South (USP, Suva), Dr Ross Alford (JCUNQ), Dr John Glazebrook (JCUNQ) and Dr Brett Kettle, all contributed through helpful discussions and encouragement.

A large contingent of friends and willing assistants gave up their time to enable the field and laboratory work to be completed, I give thanks for their labour and patience. The bulk of an enormous pile of *A*. *planci* skeletal remains, approximately  $1m^3$ , was duly processed, sorted and measured with much perseverance by Evizel Seymour and Judy Logan. Their tireless, reliable assistance is testament to their scientific skills.

Ed Lovell and Veikila Vuki (Biological Consultants, Fiji P/L) provided excellent service and scientific support in all aspects of the project during the Suva Reef study. Fiu Manueli (IMR/USP, Fiji) and Chris Basseler (UOG Marine Laboratory, Guam) organised and provided able field support for the field collections, their humour and patience made all tasks possible. Tony McKenna took on the, at times unpleasant, task of watching over aquaria at AIMS when I was unable to travel to Cape Ferguson from town; a lesson for postgraduates attempting manipulative experiments on marine species some distance from home and university. Steve Clark (AIMS) and Fiona<sup>-</sup> Alongi (GBRMPA) provided expertise in the production of the graphics and maps, their assistance is gratefully appreciated.

David Hannan (Coral Sea Images) was a valued assistant in the early stages of the field study, his underwater skills are remarkable. David and I conducted a field trip to Lord Howe Island and I pay tribute to his enthusiasm, perseverance and financial help during a trip where mischievous information thwarted the best laid plans, and without his help I would have returned empty handed. I also acknowledge the assistance from the Lord Howe Island Board, the Australian National Parks and Wildlife Service (ANPWS) and Mobil Australia during the field trip. Dr John Blyth (Lord Howe Island Medical Centre) volunteered his time to help with field work and obtain further data after my visit, which was a tremendous help.

I would also like to thank: Marlene McBrien, Craig Mundy, Debbie Bass, Angus Thompson, Ian Miller, Bruce Miller-Smith, Rundi Larsen, Kirsten McAllister, Steve Lindsay, Erin Shanahan, Nina Morrisette, Carol Eberhardt, Joan Millett, David Ahearn, Ben Lees, Susie Griffiths, David and Anne Wadley, Toni Davis, Rob Rowan, Paul Chirichetti, David Welsch, Sheryl Fitzpatrick, Andrew Halford, Peter Eden, Simeon De Vow, Valonna Baker, Mark Lennard, Dave Duncan, Bill Gladstone, Udo Engelhardt, the captains and crews of the "RV Harry Messel" and "RV Lady Basten" (AIMS), QNPWS (Marine Parks) Whitsunday Group and Capricorn-Bunker Group Branches. Tony and Kathy Tubbenhauer (Burnett Heads) freely provided lodging, meals, assistance and considerable local knowledge during the Lady Musgrave Reef field trip, purely out of their interest in marine biology. They are also recognised for their contribution to marine research on the GBR for over 30 years. Thanks to my friends, Keith and Sue Harron, and Mark and Rowena Martin for their support, in every sense.

This thesis is dedicated to my parents, Gay and Dion.

#### Abstract

In the past, age classes in *A canthaster planci* (L.) populations have been interpreted from modes in size frequency distributions. The relationship between size and age has continued to be used in studies despite increasing evidence of growth characteristics which are inconsistent with inherent assumptions. This approach was rationalised because the ability to determine age is fundamental to understanding the ecology and life history of this unique species, capable of developing massive outbreak populations and incurring widespread mortality of hard coral species. Therefore, the aims of the project were to develop a valid method of age determination and employ it to investigate the population dynamics, the morphometry of individual and skeletal growth and other life-history characteristics of several populations from the Western Pacific region.

Valid age determination in echinoderms has been achieved almost exclusively with echinoid species through skeletochronometric techniques. Periodic growth rings are generally found in larger skeletal elements such as test plates, since the echinoderm skeleton consists of an open tridimensional network, the calcitic stereom. However, the Asteroidea characteristically develop a skeleton of smaller ossicles which allows for a wide range of flexible movement, for locomotion, climbing and food handling. An exception is *A*. *planci* which has large spines that rest on pedicels, rooted in the aboral body wall, that do not restrict its habits.

The aboral spine ossicles of adult A. planci have a linear growth pattern unlike the mode of development previously reported for echinoids. Numerous growth lines, perpendicular to the long axis were evident in spine sections and confirmed with tetracycline staining, apparently caused by frequent growth episodes. Spine growth in adults is by elongation with addition of new stereom at the base, preserving the entire growth history. Broad pigment bands develop parallel to the growth lines and are visible on the ossicle surface after the removal of soft tissues. Therefore, it was hypothesised that spine pigment band counts (SPBC) can be used to determine age in A. planci, commencing after sexual maturity, in the third (2+) year. At this time,

body growth slows and spine ossicle growth changes from enlargement in three dimensions to a mode primarily of elongation. Therefore, one SPBC (light and dark band pair) = 3+ years, two SPBC = 4+ years, etc,. A biosynthetic mechanism was proposed to explain the functional role of the pigment banding process.

Field studies were conducted on Davies Reef, Central GBR, to validate the SPBC method. They consisted of mark/recapture exercises and collections of morphometric data for seasonal and longer-term growth analyses. The recapture rate for marked individuals was 3.5%. Twelve of thirteen recaptured individuals whose release periods were at least twelve months supported the validation of age classes 3+, 4+ and 5+ years. A further ten recaptures were obtained with release periods of less than twelve months, with incomplete band pair formation, also supporting the method. Further independent evidence comes from morphometric results, including: annual incremental growth in the SPBC classes; a significant increase in mean spine ossicle length over the 38 month study period; consistent estimates of the growth constant (K = 0.039 mo.<sup>-1</sup>) between the recapture and morphometric analyses; and the coincidence of the timing of the outbreak from survey results with the estimated age of the first outbreak cohort.

The outbreak population density on Davies Reef was approximately 420ha.<sup>-1</sup>. This is at the lower end of the scale of outbreak sizes, and consisted of four principal cohorts, estimated to have settled between 1983 and 1986. A significant reduction in population size over the study period, following a profound decline in coral cover, was caused by high mortality rates in the post-outbreak cohorts. Lower mean asymptotic body sizes in each successive cohort occurred as a response to the increasingly limited resources.

A. planci can grow to well over 60cm in diameter and 4kg in wet weight, but more often exhibits lower ranges, well below maximum attainable size. The mode of growth varies between habitat-dependent, asymptotic growth (determinate) and plastic asymptotic growth (indeterminate). Therefore, determinate growth occurs when constraints are imposed on an underlying potential for indeterminate growth. Further physiological studies are required to describe precisely how *A*. *planci* reach very large body sizes under solely intrinsic resource limitation.

Sexually dimorphic characteristics were found in the Davies Reef outbreak population, where male starfish had lower gonad weights, and longer lifespans, promoting high fertilization rates during the decline phase of outbreaks. Higher estimated reproductive effort and a seasonal oscillation in whole body diameter of 2 to 3cm occurred in the post-outbreak cohorts. Therefore, larger body sizes in the preoutbreak cohorts allowed for storage of relatively greater energy reserves to offset fluctuations in body size and the energetic demands of reproduction, promoting iteroparity and longevity. When resources became limited in higher densities, body reserves were drawn upon more heavily in order to support the increased reproductive effort causing resorption of body wall and skeletal tissues, resulting in shrinkage and presumably reduced lifespan.

Among the Western Pacific populations studied (Suva Reef, Guam and Davies Reef) reproductive tactics were described as "big-bang iteroparity" (Davies Reef and Suva Reef), approaching semelparity in higher density outbreaks, and iteroparous with a lower reproductive output (Guam). A life-history strategy of phenotypically polymorphic bet-hedging is proposed for A. planci, which varies according to sex, population density, the pattern of mortality from stress (decreased production), and disturbance (loss of biomass). Therefore, A. planci owes its success to the ability to vary its channelling of resources into the various functions of growth, somatic maintenance, protection and reproduction. To maintain this variable strategy between iteroparity and semelparity implies that periodic outbreaks of A. planci occur within regions under natural conditions. The immediate concerns of management agencies regarding the prediction of outbreaks should focus on the dynamics of expanding populations i.e. those leading to primary outbreaks. These issues can only be addressed through the implementation of long-term population studies, including the assessment of age structure, particularly in areas where primary outbreaks are suspected to occur.

ix

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

# Acknowledgments

Abstract	vii
Table of contents	x
List of tables	XVIII
List of figures	xxvi

# CHAPTER 1

#### GENERAL INTRODUCTION

1.1.	Introductio	n	1
1.2.	Ecology of	populations in the Indo-Pacific region	5
1.3.	Characteris	tics of growth in Asteroidea	8
1.4.	Longevity	in Asteroidea	11
1.5.	The study	of life history in A. planci	13
	1.5.1.	Evaluation of life-history theory	13
	1.5.2.	Age and life histories	18
	1.5.3.	Assessment of life histories	19

# VALIDATION STUDY OF THE SPINE PIGMENT BAND COUNT (SPBC) METHOD OF AGE DETERMINATION FOR A. planci.

2.1.	Introductio	D <b>n</b>	23
	2.1.1.	Morphological characteristics, growth and age	23
	21.2.	Marking and identification in the field	25
	2.1.3.	The SPBC method of age determination	26
2.2.	Methods		27
	2.2.1.	Davies Reef recapture study	27
	2.2.2.	Site selection	28
	2.2.3.	Morphometry in other populations	29
	2.2.4.	Experimental methods	29 -
	2.2.4.1.	Use of tetracycline as a permanent skeletal marker	29
	2.2.4.2.	Effects of starvation on morphometric variables	30
	2.2.5.	Field collections	31
	2.2.6.	Morphometry and age determination procedures	33
	2.2.7.	Population size and density	34
	2.2.8.	Spine growth in the Western Pacific populations	35
2.3.	Results		36
	2.3.1.	The aboral spine appendage	36
	2.3.1.1.	Structure and growth of aboral spines	36
	2.3.2.	Skeletal ossicle and whole body morphometry	38
	2.3.2.1.	Effect of concentrations of the tetracycline marker	38
	2.3.3.	Validation of the age determination method	39
	2.3.4.	Assessment of the SPBC method	42
	2.3.4.1.	Recapture analyses	42
	2.3.4.2.	Spine ossicle length and whole body diameter morphometry	44
	2.3.4.3.	Estimated population size and density	45
	2.3.5.	Analyses of the morphometric variables	45
	2.3.5.1.	Assessment of pigment band readability	45

2.3.5.2.	Sample sizes of variables within individuals	46
2.3.5.3.	Morphometry of unfed A. planci	47
2.3.5.4.	Population allometry in the Western Pacific region	49
Discussion		54
2.4.1.	Validity of the method of age determination	54
2.4.2.	Growth lines and pigment bands	55
2.4.3.	Spine growth in echinoderms	57
2.4.4.	Growth and age estimation in A. planci	58
2.4.5.	Regeneration of amputated arms	59
2.4.6.	Optimum dosage of tetracycline	59
2.4.7.	Spine growth and life history of A. planci	60
2.4.8	Population allometry of body size and spine ossicle length	61
	<ul> <li>2.3.5.2.</li> <li>2.3.5.3.</li> <li>2.3.5.4.</li> <li>Discussion</li> <li>2.4.1.</li> <li>2.4.2.</li> <li>2.4.3.</li> <li>2.4.4.</li> <li>2.4.5.</li> <li>2.4.6.</li> <li>2.4.7.</li> <li>2.4.8</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2.3.5.2. Sample sizes of variables within individuals</li> <li>2.3.5.3. Morphometry of unfed <i>A. planci</i></li> <li>2.3.5.4. Population allometry in the Western Pacific region</li> <li>Discussion</li> <li>2.4.1. Validity of the method of age determination</li> <li>2.4.2. Growth lines and pigment bands</li> <li>2.4.3. Spine growth in echinoderms</li> <li>2.4.4. Growth and age estimation in <i>A. planci</i></li> <li>2.4.5. Regeneration of amputated arms</li> <li>2.4.6. Optimum dosage of tetracycline</li> <li>2.4.7. Spine growth and life history of <i>A. planci</i></li> <li>2.4.8 Population allometry of body size and spine ossicle length</li> </ul>

# CHAPTER 3

# THE DAVIES REEF POPULATION STUDY OF A. planci.

3.1.	Introduction		77
	3.1.1.	A. planci population studies	77
	3.1.2.	Recent history of populations in the Central GBR	78
	3.1.3.	Life-history characteristics of A. planci	79
	3.1.3.1.	Life history information from experimental A. planci	79
	3.1.3.2.	The mode of growth in A. planci	80
	3.1.4.	The principle of symmetry in life histories	84
3.2.	Methods		86
	3.2.1.	Davies Reef collections	86
	3.2.2.	Other populations from the GBR region	87
	3.2.3.	Population morphometric analyses	88
•	3.2.3.1.	Analyses for seasonal variation and asymptotic growth	88
	3.2.3.2.	Mortality rate	89
	3.2.3.3.	Curve fitting	89

3.3.	Results		90
	3.3.1.	Population dynamics	90
	3.3.1.1.	Changes in population density	90
	3.3.1.2.	Timing of settlement of the outbreak cohorts	91
	3.3.2.	Population morphometric analyses	91
	3.3.2.1.	Analyses of size frequency distributions	91
	3.3.2.2.	Analyses of growth in morphometric variables	92
	3.3.3.	Allometry in pre and post-outbreak groups	94
	3.3.4.	Cohort morphometric analyses	99
	3.3.4.1.	Whole body diameter growth in cohorts	99
	3.3.4.2.	Growth of spine ossicle and whole spine appendage	
		growth in cohorts	101
	3.3.4.3.	Primary and secondary oral ossicle growth in cohorts	105
	3.3.5.	Morphometric analyses among three GBR populations	107
	3.3.6.	Life-history constants	110
3.4.	Discussion	l · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	113
	3.4.1.	Further support for the SPBC method	113
	3.4.2.	Time series morphometric study	115
	3.4.2.1.	Population dynamics in the Central GBR	115
	3.4.2.2.	Assessment of the Davies Reef population estimates	116
	3.4.2.3.	Davies Reef population characteristics	117
	3.4.2.4.	Seasonal and long term variability in cohorts	119
	3.4.2.5.	Mortality in cohorts	121
	3.4.3.	Life-history characteristics in the Davies Reef population	122
	3.4.4.	Mode of body growth in A. planci	126
	3.4.5.	An alternative assessment of body growth	129
	3.4.6.	Longevity in populations from the GBR	131

#### **CHAPTER 4**

# COMPARATIVE MORPHOMETRIC STUDY OF A. planci POPULATIONS FROM THE WESTERN PACIFIC REGION

4.1.	Introduction		158
4.2.	Methods		159
	4.2.1.	Description of regions and population histories	159
	4.2.1.1.	Davies Reef, Central GBR	159
	4.2.1.2.	Guam, USA	160
	4.2.1.3.	Suva Reef, Fiji	160
	4.2.2.	Collection methods for each location	161
	4.2.2.1.	Davies Reef	161
	4.2.2.2.	Guam	161
	4.2.2.3.	Suva Reef	161
	4.2.3.	Sample preparation	162
	4.2.4.	Age determination and morphometry	162
	4.2.5.	Morphometric analyses	163
4.3.	Results		165
	4.3.1.	Populations and habitats	165
	4.3.1.1.	Davies Reef	165
	4.3.1.2.	Guam	165
	4.3.1.3.	Suva Reef	166
	4.3.2.	Frequency distribution analyses	167
	4.3.3.	Sexual dimorphism	170
	4.3.4.	Allometry of body size and skeletal ossicles	171
	4.3.5.	Influence of estimated age on population morphometry	174
	4.3.6.	Adult population morphometric analyses	1 <b>7</b> 8
	4.3.6.1.	Underwater weight and whole body diameter	1 <b>7</b> 9
	4.3.6.2.	Whole wet weight and whole body diameter	180
	4.3.6.3.	Underwater weight and whole wet weight	182
	4.3.6.4.	Spine ossicle length and estimated age	184

	4.3.7.	Adult body growth in populations	186
	4.3.8.	Multiple regression models for skeletal ossicle variables	187
	4.3.8.1.	Minimal model analysis for spine ossicle length	188
	4.3.8.2.	Minimal model analysis for whole spine appendage length	189
	4.3.8.3.	Minimal model analysis for adjusted primary oral ossicle	
		weight	190
	4.3.8.4.	Minimal model analysis for adjusted secondary	
		oral ossicle weight	191
	4.3.8.5.	Minimal model analysis for adjusted interbrachial ossicle	
		weight	192
	4.3.8.6.	Minimal model analysis for adjusted madreporite ossicle	
		weight	193
	4.3.8.7.	Summary of principal dependent variables used in the	
		multiple regression modelling analyses of the skeletal	
		ossicle variables	194
	4.3.9.	Life-history characteristics among populations	194
4.4.	Discussion		199
	4.4.1.	Morphometric characteristics of the populations	199
	4.4.1.1.	Morphometric characteristics in the lower density populations	199
	4.4.1.2.	Morphometric characteristics in a higher density population	200
	4.4.2.	Allometric relationships for body size	201
	4.4.3.	Morphometry and estimated longevity	204
	4.4.4.	Multiple regression models	207
	4.4.5.	Life-history characteristics	209
	4.4.5.1.	Variation in life-history constants	209
	4.4.5.2.	Life-history characteristics of populations	212

# REPRODUCTIVE MORPHOMETRY OF *A. planci* FROM THE WESTERN PACIFIC REGION

5.1.	Introductio	on de la constante de la const	247
	5.1.1.	Reproduction as the foundation of life histories	247
	5.1.2.	Physiological trade offs involving reproduction	248
	5.1.3.	Reproductive characteristics in populations	251
5.2.	Methods		253
	5.2.1.	Population sample collections	253
	5.2.1.1.	Davies Reef	253
	5.2.1.2.	Fiji and Guam	254
	5.2.2.	Morphometric analyses of reproduction	254
	5.2.2.1.	Analyses of Covariance (ANCOVA)	254
5.3.	Results		255
	5.3.1.	Sexual dimorphism	255
	5.3.2.	Allometry of gonad weight and whole wet weight	258
	5.3.2.1.	Power analyses for whole testes weight and whole wet weight	258
	5.3.2.2.	Power analyses for whole ovary weight and whole wet	
		weight	259
	5.3.2.3.	Linear regression analyses for whole testes weight and	
		whole wet weight	260
	5.3.2.4.	Linear regression analyses of whole ovary weight and	
		whole wet weight	261
	5.3.2.5.	Summary of allometric relationships for gonad weights	
		and whole wet weight	263
	5.3.3.	Analyses for gonad weights and estimated age	264
	5.3.4.	Analyses of size-adjusted gonad weights and estimated age	265
	5.3.4.1.	Analyses of size-adjusted gonad weights in three populations	267
	5.3.4.2.	Analyses of size adjusted gonad weights between sexes	268
	5.3.5.	Analyses of somatic weights in five populations	269

	5.3.6.	Analyses for the Davies pre and post-outbreak groups	272
	5.3.7.	Summary of reproductive characteristics in the five	
		populations from the Western Pacific region	279
5.4.	Discussion		280
	5.4.1.	General reproductive characteristics	280
	5.4.2.	Body growth and fecundity	281
	5.4.3.	Reproductive allometry	283
	5.4.4.	Population reproductive characteristics	284
	5.4.5.	Characteristics of sex	287
	5.4.6.	Resource demand in high density populations	288
	5.4.7.	Reproduction and life-history characteristics	289

# CHAPTER 6

A LIFE-HISTORY STRATEGY FOR A. planci		300
6.1.	The development of a life-history strategy for A. planci	300
6.2.	A summary of the principal results from this study	302
6.4.	A specific life-history strategy for A. planci	309
6.5.	Life-history variation and fitness	315

# REFERENCES

# APPENDICES

341

319

#### CHAPTER 1.

Table 1.1. The primary life history strategies in species, sensu stricto Grime (1977),determined by factors limiting biomass, i.e. environmentally determined combinationsof characteristics for habitat-determined strategies.17

CHAPTER 2. Validation of the SPBC method of age determination.

Table 2.1 Location and dates of population collection of A. planci from the WesternPacific region.35

Table 2.2 Analyses of changes in whole body morphometry in unfed *A*. *planci* over six months (where a = start; b = 3 months; c = 6 months): (i) Paired t test; (ii) ANOVA; (iii) Curve fitting analyses, using  $y = a \cdot e^{-bx}$  39

Table 2.3.Replicated madreporite patterns from the Davies Reef A. plancipopulation.40

Table 2.4a.Summary of results from the mark and recapture exercise for A. plancion Davies Reef (October, 1988 to December, 1991).Recapture results (mm) forvalidation of the method where individuals were released and recaptured for morethan 12 months.b.Recapture results (mm) for validation of the method whereindividuals were released and recaptured within 12 months.41

Table 2.5 Results of assessment of readability of spine pigment band counts, forbetween and within readers.46

Table 2.6 Analyses of changes in whole body morphometry in unfed A. planci over6 months (where: a = start; b = 3 months; c = 6 months); (i) paired t test; (ii)ANOVA; (iii) curve fitting analyses, using:  $y = e^{-b.x}$ .48

Table 2.7Summary of regression analyses of the relationship between spine ossiclelength and whole body diameter in eight populations from the Western Pacific region(DA Davies Reef; HI Hook Island; KI Kiribati; GU Guam; TO Tonga; LH Lord HoweIsland; SU Suva Reef; LM Lady Musgrave Reef).49

#### **CHAPTER 3**

Table 3.1. Estimates of collection rates (CR, person<sup>-1</sup>.hr<sup>-1</sup>) on Davies Reef betweenOctober 1988 and December 1991.90

Table 3.2. Linear regression analyses of five variables using whole samples (\* exceptfor the relationship between (S) and (BD) where individuals < 3 years were omitted)</td>from Davies Reef, between October 1988 to December 1991.92

Table 3.3 Linear regression analyses of five morphometric variables and estimatedage (AGE) using all data from the Davies Reef A. planci population.93

Table 3.4.Multiple regression analyses for the dependent variable spine ossiclelength from the Davies Reef A. planci population.95

Table 3.5.Multiple regression analyses for the dependent variable whole spineappendage length from the Davies Reef A. planci population.96

Table 3.6.Multiple regression analyses for the dependent variable primary oralossicle weight from the Davies Reef A. planci population.97

Table 3.7. Multiple regression analyses for the dependent variable secondary oralossicle weight from the Davies Reef A. planci population.98

Table 3.8. Summary of curve analyses of spine ossicle length (S) for A. plancicohorts from Davies Reef which settled between 1982 and 1987 (Figure 3.11;Appendix 3.1).102

Table 3.9.Summary of curve analyses of whole spine appendage length (WS) forA. planci cohorts from Davies Reef which settled between 1982 and 1987 (Figure3.17; Appendix 3.3).

Table 3.10. Mean whole spine appendage length and SE of A. planci in the earlysummer spawning season (samples from 3 consecutive seasons) at (AGE) 2+, 3+ and4+ years from Davies Reef.104

Table 3.11. Summary of curve analyses of primary and secondary oral ossicle weightfor A. planci cohorts which settled between 1982 and 1987 from Davies Reef ((PO);Figure 3.16; Appendix 3.4) and ((SO); Figure 3.18; Appendix 3.5).

Table 3.12. Mean whole body diameter and estimated population density in four A.planci populations from the GBR Region.107

Table 3.13.Summary of life history coefficients calculated for four cohorts (19831986) from the A. planci population on Davies Reef.110

Table 3.14. Life-history constants calculated for the four principal cohorts (1983 to1986) in the A. planci population from Davies Reef.111

Table 3.15. Linear regression analyses for: growth rate using  $(K_{(S)}, K_{(BD)})$  and mortality rate M and, estimated maximum size using (BD), (S) and mortality rate M for the four principal cohorts (1983 to 1986) of A. planci from Davies Reef. 112

#### CHAPTER 4.

Table 4.1. Ranked A. planci population characteristics according to mean adult bodydiameter (MA(BD)) in five populations from the Western Pacific region.168

Table 4.2.Summary of non parametric size frequency analyses (Appendix 4.1) togroup five A. planci populations from the Western Pacific region using ANOVAwhere no significant differences was found among the frequency distributions in eachof the morphometric variables.169

Table 4.3a, b, c.Allometric power coefficients for five A. planci populations fromthe Western Pacific region derived from analyses between skeletal ossicle variablesand whole body size variables (BD, WET, UW).172

Table 4.4. Five A. planci populations from the Western Pacific region ranked using the size of exponents from the power equation  $(y = b \cdot X^*)$  for three variables measuring whole body size (UW, WET and BD). 173

Table 4.5a. Summary of Bartlett's test of equal variances between estimated age classes in five A. planci populations from the Western Pacific region (including all age classes); where  $H_0$  = there is no significant difference in variances of the variable between estimated age groups. 175

Table 4.5b. Summary of Bartlett's test of equal variances between estimated age classes in five A. planci populations from the Western Pacific region (selected individuals which were sexed);  $H_0$  = there was no significant difference in variances of the variable between estimated age groups (i.e. P > 0.01). Where: NA = not tested due to single value for one age class. 176

Table 4.6a. Summary of results of the replication tests-of-fit analyses for all age groups in five A. planci populations from the Western Pacific region. Full results are presented in Appendix 4.3A.  $H_0$  = there was a linear trend with estimated age. 176

Table 4.6b. Summary of results of the replication tests-of-fit analyses in five A. *planci* populations from the Western Pacific region, omitting starfish which were not sexed. Full results are presented in Appendix 4.4B.  $H_0$  = there was a linear trend with estimated age. 177

Table 4.7. Ranked mean standard error of estimates for each morphometric variablefor five A. planci populations from the Western Pacific region.178

Table 4.8. Summary of linear regression analyses of adult growth (where (AGE) > 3 years) in five A. planci populations from the Western Pacific region, using log normal transformed dependent variables; whole body diameter, underwater weight and whole wet weight. 186

Table 4.9. Summary of principal dependent variables used to explain ossicle length(S) or (WS), or weight (POA), (SOA), (IBA) and (MA) among five A. plancipopulations of the Western Pacific region.194

Table 4.10. Summary of life-history characteristics in five A. planci populations from the Western Pacific region predicted from analyses using the von Bertalanffy growth equation (where coefficients significance is P < 0.01). 195

Table 4.11. Life-history constants and mortality rates calculated for five A. plancipopulations from the Western Pacific region.196

Table 4.12. Pearson correlation coefficient analyses for life-history constants: the growth constant using  $(K_{(S)}, K_{(BD)})$  and mortality rate M and, estimated maximum size using (BD), (S) for the five A. planci populations from the Western Pacific region. 198

Table 4.13.Summary of population and morphometric characteristics of A. plancifrom five populations in the Western Pacific region.213

#### **CHAPTER 5**

Fable 5.1. The morphometric characteristics of reproduction; mean body size andmean gonad weight in five A. planci populations.256

Table 5.2. The morphometric characteristics of reproduction at sexual maturity; mean body size and gonad weight at maturity ( $\alpha$ ) in five *A*. *planci* populations, ( $\alpha$ ) = estimated age at first spawning, i.e. 3 years). 257

Table 5.3. Regression analyses and Student' t test for isometry of whole testes weightand whole wet weight among five A. planci populations from the Western Pacificregion.258

Table 5.4. Regression analyses and Student' t test for isometry of whole ovaryweight and whole wet weight among five A. planci populations from the WesternPacific region.259

Table 5.5. Population samples which gonad growth demonstrated positive allometry(a > 1) in A. planci populations from the Western Pacific region.263

Table 5.6. Regression analyses of testes weight and estimated age in five A. plancipopulations from the Western Pacific region.264

Table 5.7. Linear regression analyses between whole ovary weight and estimated agein five A. planci populations from the Western Pacific region.265

Table 5.8. Linear regression analyses for adjusted testes weight and estimated agein three A. planci populations from the Western Pacific region.266

Table 5.9. Linear regression analyses for adjusted ovary weight and estimated age ((AGE) = 3 to 7 years) in three *A*. *planci* populations from the Western Pacific region. 267

Table 5.10.Summary of mean adjusted gonad weights (adjusted for whole wetweight = 1636g) in three A. planci populations from the Western Pacific region; (a)adjusted testes weight (AGWT), (b) adjusted ovary weight (AGWO).

Table 5.11. ANOVA of adjusted gonad weights between sexes in three A. plancipopulations from the Western Pacific region.270

Table 5.12.Summary of mean adjusted male somatic weights in five A. plancipopulations from the Western Pacific region.271

Table 5.13.Summary of mean adjusted female somatic weights in five A. plancipopulations from the Western Pacific region.272

Table 5.14. Summary of analyses of adjusted somatic weights between sexes in fiveA. planci populations from the Western Pacific region.273

Table 5.15. Summary of mean whole wet weights for PRE and PST groups in (a) male starfish and, (b) female starfish from the Davies Reef A. planci population.

274

Table 5.16.Summary of mean gonad weights and ANOVA between sexes in theDavies Reef PRE group, (a) unadjusted and, (b) adjusted for mean whole wet weight= 2208g.275

Table 5.17. Summary of mean gonad weights and ANOVA between sexes in the Davies Reef PST group, (a) unadjusted and, (b) adjusted for mean whole wet weight = 2208g.

Table 5.18.Regression analysis for whole testes weight and whole wet weightbetween the PRE and PST groups.277

Table 5.19. Regression analysis for whole ovary weight and whole wet weightbetween the PRE and PST groups.278

Table 5.20.Summary of mean adjusted ovary weights (adjusted for whole wetweight = 2208g) between Davies Reef PRE and PST groups.279

Table 5.21. Summary of reproductive characteristics of A. planci developed undervarious conditions.289

CHAPTER 2. Validation of the SPBC method of age determination

Figure 2.1. Map of the Western Pacific Region with location of seven populations used in the comparative morphometric study between spine ossicle length and whole body diameter.

Figure 2.2(a-h).

(a) Aboral spine ossicle and pedicel from the aboral arm of *A. planci*. The spine ossicle shows 6 pigment bands on its shaft and a pigment capped spine apex (a). The pedicel has a flanged root (r) at its base and pigment bands occur toward the tip (t). The base of the spine ossicle (b) rests on the tip of the pedicel (t), where the whole spine articulates. (bar = 10mm)

(b) Section of the base of an aboral spine ossicle, showing stereom growth stained with tetracycline. The stained region lies parallel to the basal surface (b). (bar = 0.5mm)

(c) Section of the tip of an aboral spine pedicel showing stereom growth stained with tetracycline. The stained region lies parallel to the surface of the pedicel tip. (bar = 0.7mm)

(d) Longitudinal thin-section of an aboral spine ossicle demonstrating numerous growth lines parallel to the spine base (b) in both the medulla (m) and outer cortex(c). (bar = 0.3mm)

(e) Longitudinal thin-section of aboral spine ossicle from A. planci raised in the laboratory (20 months). The developing structure of the medulla with linear arrangement of trabeculae (lt) is distinct from the rest of the juvenile spine stereom. (bar = 0.5mm)

(f) Adult aboral spine ossicle in longitudinal section showing detail of the intersection between the spine apex and shaft. The medulla (m) develops from the spine apex. The cortex (c) develops and expands from the remanent juvenile spine margins. (bar = 0.5mm)

(g) Spine and pedicel ossicles from a juvenile A. *planci* reared in the laboratory (BD = 12cm) and an adult A. *planci* (BD = cm) collected from Davies Reef, Central GBR. The spear-like spine apex has developed prior to the spine shaft in the older specimen. (bar = 10mm)

(h) Aboral spines from one A. *planci* recaptured after 6 months on Davies Reef, Central GBR (October, 1988 to April, 1989 (A)). Pigment bands are matched between the two sets of spines revealing the new spine growth and banding in the lower group of 3 spines. (bar = 10mm)

Figure 2.3a-c. Spine ossicle samples from 3 marked and recaptured individuals which demonstrate the growth and banding pattern developed over 12 to 14 months; arrowheads indicate light bands (L) developed between dark bands (D) on the shaft after spine apex (scale approx. bar = 0.7mm):

(a) Released 10/89, for 14 months (growth = D + L)

(b) Released 10/89, for 18 months (growth D + L + D)

(c) Released 3/90, for 14 months (growth L + D)

(d) Recapture after identification in the field using two adjacent regenerated arms (arrows) which are smaller than neighbouring arms and the spines are commensurately short (body diameter = 37cm).

Figure 2.4. Plot of linear regression for spine ossicle growth increment and spine ossicle length (at 0.5 x duration of the interim period) in 23 recaptured *A*. *planci* from Davies Reef (October, 1988 to December, 1991). Where the interim period is the time between release and recapture.

Figure 2.5. (a) Relationship between spine ossicle length and estimated age (month) from the Davies Reef population, using samples October, 1988 and April, 1989; (b) Relationship between whole body diameter and estimated age (month) from the Davies Reef population, using samples October, 1988 and April, 1989.

Figure 2.6. The effect of sample size on the coefficient of variation (CV %) for: (a) Spine ossicle length (mm); (b) Whole spine appendage (mm).

Figure 2.7. The effect of sample size on the coefficient of variation (CV %) for: (a) Primary oral ossicle weight (g); (b) Secondary oral ossicle weight (g).

Figure 2.8. The influence of starvation over six months on three morphometric variables: (a) Whole wet weight (g); (b) Whole body diameter (cm); (c) Underwater weight (g).

Figure 2.9. (a) Plot of standardised residuals for multiple regression model demonstrating a lack of residual trend in data after model has been fitted. (b) Plot of log transformed spine ossicle length (mm) and whole body diameter (cm) for seven populations sampled from the Western Pacific Region: Davies Reef, Central GBR (DA); Hook Island, Whitsunday Group GBR (HI); Lady Musgrave Reef (LM), Southern GBR; Tonga (TO), Central Pacific; Guam (GU) North West Pacific; Kiribati (KI) Central Pacific Lord Howe Island (LH), NSW; and Suva Reef, Fiji (SU), Central Pacific.

CHAPTER 3. The Davies Reef population study

Figure 3.1 Serial map of the Central Section (GBR) showing the annual distribution of outbreaks of *A. planci* between 1983 and 1988. The position of the reef used for the population study is indicated: Davies Reef (October, 1988 to December, 1991).

Figure 3.2 Size/frequency distributions of whole body diameter (BD) cm from Davies Reef (October 1988 to December 1991).

Figure 3.3 Size/frequency distributions of whole spine ossicle length (S) mm from Davies Reef (October 1988 to December 1991).

Figure 3.4 Size/frequency distributions of whole spine appendage length (WS) mm from Davies Reef (October 1988 to December 1991).

Figure 3.5 Size/frequency distributions of spine pigment band counts (SPBC) from Davies Reef (October 1988 to December 1991).

Figure 3.6 Size/frequency distributions of estimated age (AGE) from Davies Reef (October 1988 to December 1991).

Figure 3.7 Size/frequency distributions of primary oral ossicle weight (PO) g estimated age (AGE) from Davies Reef (October 1988 to December 1991).

Figure 3.8 Size/frequency distributions of secondary oral ossicle weight (PO) g estimated age (AGE) from Davies Reef (October 1988 to December 1991).

Figure 3.9 Plot of fitted cubic spline curves (dotted) for whole body diameter (BD) and (T) in the principal cohorts (1984 to 1987) and overlay of combined mean plot (solid) with SE, over the 38 month study on Davies Reef (October, 1988 to December, 1990)

Figure 3.10 Plot of mean and SE of whole body diameter (BD) in each sample and (T) joined by straight lines in each cohort (1983 to 1988) over the study period on Davies Reef (October, 1988 to December, 1990).

Figure 3.11 Plot of linear regressions (dotted) for spine ossicle length (S) and (T) in the principal cohorts (1984 to 1987) and overlay of combined means and SE with a cubic spline fit (dashed) and linear regression fit (solid), over the 38 month study on Davies Reef (October, 1988 to December, 1990)

Figure 3.12 Plot of mean and SE of spine ossicle length (S) in each sample and (T) joined by straight lines in each cohort (1982 to 1988) over the study period on Davies Reef (October, 1988 to December, 1990).

Figure 3.13 Plot of linear regressions (dotted) for whole spine appendage length (WS) and (T) in the principal cohorts (1984 to 1987) and overlay of combined means and SE with a cubic spline fit (dashed) and linear regression fit (solid), over the 38 month study on Davies Reef (October, 1988 to December, 1990)

Figure 3.14 Plot of mean and SE of whole spine appendage length (S) in each sample and (T) joined by straight lines in each cohort (1982 to 1988) over the study period on Davies Reef (October, 1988 to December, 1990).

Figure 3.15 Plot of linear regressions (dotted) for primary oral ossicle weight (PO) and (T) in the principal cohorts (1984 to 1987) and overlay of combined means and SE with a cubic spline fit (dashed) and linear regression fit (solid), over the 38 month study on Davies Reef (October, 1988 to December, 1990).

Figure 3.16 Plot of mean and SE of primary oral ossicle weight (PO) in each sample and (T) joined by straight lines in each cohort (1982 to 1987) over the study period on Davies Reef (October, 1988 to December, 1990). Figure 3.17 Plot of linear regressions (dotted) for secondary oral ossicle weight (SO) and (T) in the principal cohorts (1984 to 1986) and overlay of combined means and SE with a cubic spline fit (dashed) and linear regression fit (solid), over the 38 month study on Davies Reef (October, 1988 to December, 1990).

Figure 3.18 Plot of mean and SE of secondary oral ossicle weight (PO) in each sample and (T) joined by straight lines in each cohort (1983 to 1987) over the study period on Davies Reef (October, 1988 to December, 1990).

Figure 3.19. Linear regression analysis of mean whole body diameter (cm) and estimated population density (ha.<sup>-1</sup>) in four populations from the GBR region: Helix Reef (from Kettle, 1990); Davies Reef; Butterfly Bay, Hook Island; and Lady Musgrave Reef.

Figure 3.20 Linear regression analyses of spine ossicle length (S) and estimated age (AGE) in three populations: Davies Reef (n = 1549); Butterfly Bay, Hook Island (n = 68); and Lady Musgrave Reef (n = 9).

CHAPTER 4.

Figure 4.1. Regional map of the Indo-Pacific with inset detail of the 5 study areas: Davies Reef (GBR), Suva Reef (Fiji) and the north western side of Guam with the positions of Hospital Point, South Tumon Bay and Double Reef.

Figure 4.2. Size frequency distributions of whole body diameter (cm) for A. planci in five populations.

Figure 4.3. Size frequency distributions of underwater weight (g) for *A*. planci in five populations.

Figure 4.4. Size frequency distributions of whole wet weight (g) for *A. planci* in 5 populations.

Figure 4.5. Size frequency distributions of spine ossicle length (mm) for *A*. *planci* in five populations.

Figure 4.6. Size frequency distributions of whole spine length (mm) for *A*. *planci* in five populations.

Figure 4.7. Size frequency distributions of spine pigment band counts for *A*. *planci* in five populations.

Figure 4.8. Size frequency distributions of estimated age (year) determined by spine pigment band counts for *A*. *planci* in five populations.

Figure 4.9. Size frequency distributions of primary oral ossicle weight (g) (adjusted for number of arms per individual) for *A*. *planci* in five populations.

Figure 4.10. Size frequency distributions of secondary oral ossicle weight (g) (adjusted for number of arms per individual) for *A*. *planci* in five populations.

Figure 4.11. Size frequency distributions of inter brachial ossicle weight (g) (adjusted for number of arms per individual) for *A*. *planci* in five populations.

Figure 4.12. Size frequency distributions of madreporite ossicle weight (g) (adjusted for number of madreporites per individual) for *A. planci* in five populations.

Figure 4.13a-i. Plots of standardised residuals derived from ANOVA for nine variables in five populations of *A*. *planci*.

Figure 4.14. Allometric relationships between whole body diameter (cm) and eight morphometric variables (two whole body and six skeletal ossicle variables) for A. *planci* in five populations.

Figure 4.15. Allometric relationships between underwater weight (g) and eight morphometric variables (two whole body and six skeletal ossicle variables) for A. *planci* in five populations.

Figure 4.16. Allometric relationships between whole wet weight (g) and eight morphometric variables (two whole body and six skeletal ossicle variables) for A. *planci* in five populations.

Figure 4.17. Relationships between all estimated age groups using spine pigment band counts (year) and nine morphometric variables (three whole body and six skeletal ossicle variables) for *A. planci* in five populations.

Figure 4.18. Relationships between estimated age groups > three years using spine pigment band counts and nine morphometric variables (three whole body and six skeletal ossicle variables) for *A. planci* in five populations.

Figure 4.19a. Plot of standardised residuals of underwater weight (g) and whole body diameter (cm) for *A*. *planci* in five populations.

Figure 4.19B. Linear regressions of ln (underwater weight) (g) and ln (whole body diameter) (cm) for *A*. *planci* in five populations omitting estimated age < three years.

Figure 4.20a. Plot of standardised residuals of whole wet weight (g) and whole body diameter (cm) for *A*. *planci* in five populations.

Figure 4.20b. Linear regressions of ln (whole wet weight) (g) and ln (whole body diameter) (cm) for *A*. *planci* in five populations omitting estimated age < three years.

Figure 4.21a. Plot of standardised residuals of whole wet weight (g) and underwater weight (cm) for *A*. *planci* in five populations. 4.21b. Linear regressions of ln (whole wet weight) (g) and ln (whole body diameter) (cm) for 5 populations omitting estimated age < three years.

Figure 4.22. Linear regressions of ln (spine ossicle length) (mm) and age (month) estimated by spine ossicle pigment band counts for A. *planci* in five populations omitting estimated age < three years.

Figure 4.23a-d. Growth curves derived from; (a) whole body diameter (cm) and estimated age (month), (b) spine ossicle length (mm) and estimated age, (c) whole wet weight (g) and estimated age (month), and (d) underwater weight and estimated age (month) using spine ossicle pigment band counts in three Western Pacific A. *planci* populations; Davies Reef (GBR), Double Reef (Guam) and Suva Reef (Fiji) populations.

Figure 4.24a-f. Standardised residual plots for final models estimated for six skeletal ossicle types for *A*. *planci* in five populations

#### **CHAPTER 5**

Figure 5.1. Percentage frequency histograms of gonad weights (g) in five *A. planci* populations from the Western Pacific region; (a) Davies Reef PRE group, (b) Davies Reef PST group, (c) Suva Reef, (d) Hospital Point, Guam, (e) South Tumon Bay, Guam, (f) Double Reef, Guam.

Figure 5.2. Relationship between (GW) (g) and (WET) (g) for five A. planci populations from the Western Pacific region using the power equation, (GW) = a.  $(WET)^{b}$ ; for (a) testes weight, and (b) ovary weight; where (GW) = gonad weight (g); (WET) = whole wet weight; a and b are constants.

Figure 5.3. Linear regression analyses of ln (GWT) and ln (WET) for male starfish in five *A. planci* populations from the Western Pacific region; where (a) is the residual plot for fitted values, and (b) is the regression plot.

#### xxxiv

Figure 5.4. Linear regression analyses of ln (GWO) and ln (WET) for female starfish in five *A. planci* populations from the Western Pacific region; where (a) is the residual plot for fitted values, and (b) is the regression plot.

Figure 5.5. Relationships between  $\ln$  (GW) and estimated age (AGE) in five A. *planci* populations from the Western Pacific region; where (a) testis weight, and (b) ovary weight.

Figure 5.6. Relationships between ln (AGWO) (ovary weight, adjusted for mean whole wet weight = 1636 g) and estimated age (AGE) in five A. planci populations from the Western Pacific region.

#### CHAPTER 6

Figure 6.1. A putative life-history strategy for *A. planci*; a phenotypically polymorphic bet-hedging strategy is determined by the post-settlement density, the levels of *stress* experienced, the reproductive schedule and various forces of mortality resulting in a continuum developed between the semelparous outbreaking pattern and the iteroparous long-lived pattern.