Patient blood management guideline for adults with critical bleeding

Biswadev Mitra^{1,2} , Margaret Jorgensen³, Michael C Reade^{4,5} , Anastazia Keegan^{6,7}, Anthony Holley^{4,5}, Shannon Farmer^{8,9}, Nichole Harvey¹⁰, James Winearls^{2,11}, Michael Parr^{12,13,14,15}, Craig J French^{16,17}, for the Clinical and Consumer Reference group for the update of Patient Blood Management Guidelines (Module 1: Critical Bleeding/Massive Transfusion)*

ritical, or life-threatening, bleeding results in decreased circulating blood volume, loss of oxygen-carrying capacity, organ dysfunction, and coagulopathy. Critical bleeding remains a leading cause of death and years lived with disability.^{1,2} Data from the Australian and New Zealand Massive Transfusion Registry showed that, between 2011 and 2015, 19.4% of patients who received a massive transfusion due to critical bleeding died while in hospital.³ Improved outcomes in patients with critical bleeding have been associated with early recognition, haemorrhage control, regular assessment of the efficacy of therapy using clinical assessment of bleeding and monitoring of coagulation parameters.⁴

In 2011, the Australian National Blood Authority (NBA) published the *Patient blood management guidelines: module 1 — critical bleeding massive transfusion*. In 2016, the NBA convened a Clinical/Consumer Reference Group to review and update the guidelines to ensure they remain current and relevant to the Australian population. For this guideline, critical bleeding refers to major haemorrhage that is life-threatening and is likely to result in the need for massive transfusion (ie, five or more units of red blood cells [RBCs] in four hours).^{5,6} The final guideline was published online by the NBA in 2023.⁷

Methods

The revision of the guidelines followed methods outlined by the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC)⁸ and the Appraisal of Guidelines for Research and Evaluation (AGREE) II instrument.⁹ The reference group consisted of experts in critical care medicine, emergency medicine, trauma, haematology and transfusion medicine and included a consumer representative.

In a series of meetings between September 2016 and June 2018, the reference group reviewed all research questions from the 2011 guidelines and considered the need to develop new questions. The nine research questions included in this update of the guidance are outlined in Box 1. Systematic reviews for each question were conducted based on methods described in the *Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions*¹⁰ and relevant sections in the Joanna Briggs Institute's manual for evidence synthesis.¹¹ A summary of study designs eligible for each question is provided in Box 2. Case series with post-test or pre-test/post-test outcomes, cross-sectional studies and case reports were not eligible for inclusion.

For all questions, the population included patients who were critically bleeding, which is defined as people with decreased

Abstract

Introduction: The management of patients with critical bleeding requires a multidisciplinary approach to achieve haemostasis, optimise physiology, and guide blood component use. The 2011 *Patient blood management guidelines: module 1 — critical bleeding/massive transfusion* were updated and published. Systematic reviews were conducted for pre-specified research questions, and recommendations were based on meta-analyses of included studies.

Main recommendations: The critical bleeding/massive transfusion guideline includes seven recommendations and 11 good practice statements addressing:

- major haemorrhage protocols (MHPs) facilitating a multidisciplinary approach to haemorrhage control, correction of coagulopathy and normalisation of physiological derangement;
- measurement of physiological, biochemical and metabolic parameters in critical bleeding/massive transfusion;
- the optimal ratio of red blood cells to other blood components;
- the use of tranexamic acid;
- viscoelastic haemostatic assays; and
- cell salvage.

Changes in management as a result of the guideline: The new guideline recommends MHPs be established as standard of care in all institutions managing patients with critical bleeding. In addition to routine physiological markers, the new guideline recommends temperature, biochemistry and coagulation profiles be measured early and frequently, providing parameters that define critical derangements. Ratio-based MHPs should include no fewer than four units of fresh frozen plasma and one adult unit of platelets for every eight units of red blood cells. In the setting of trauma and obstetric haemorrhage, administration of tranexamic acid within three hours of bleeding onset is recommended. The use of recombinant activated factor VII (rFVIIa) is not recommended. There was insufficient evidence to make recommendations on the use of viscoelastic haemostatic assays or cell salvage as part of MHPs.

circulating volume, loss of oxygen-carrying capacity, or coagulopathy due to major haemorrhage that was life threatening and likely to result in the need for massive transfusion. There were no limits to age or ethnicity. Specific interventions for each research question are listed in Box 2.

The critical outcome measure to inform decisions on benefits was all-cause mortality reported at 30 days or at the latest measured time point. Other measures related to mortality (eg, death due to bleeding) were also recorded. The critical outcome measures to inform decisions on harms were related to morbidity, specifically thromboembolic events, acute respiratory distress syndrome,

^{*}Members of the Clinical and Consumer Reference group for the update of Patient Blood Management Guidelines (Module 1: Critical Bleeding/Massive Transfusion): Don Campbell, Shannon Farmer, Craig J French, Nichole Harvey, Anthony Holley, Anastazia Keegan, Biswadev Mitra, Mihcael Parr, Michael C Reade, Cindy Schultz-Ferguson (Consumer representative), Richard Seigne, James Winearls.

[[]Correction added on 5 February 2024 after first online publication: Affiliation has been added and/or updated for all authors.]

¹ Emergency and Trauma Centre, Alfred Health, Melbourne, VIC. ² Monash University, Melbourne, VIC. ³ Health Technology Analysts, Sydney, NSW. ⁴ Joint Health Command, Australian Defence Force, Canberra, ACT. ⁵ Royal Brisbane and Women's Hospital, Brisbane, QLD. ⁶ PathWest Laboratory Medicine, King Edward Memorial Hospital, Perth, WA. ⁷ Australia Red Cross Lifeblood, Perth, WA. ⁸ University of Western Australia, Perth, WA. ⁹ Royal Perth Hospital, Perth, WA. ¹⁰ James Cook University, Townsville, QLD. ¹¹ Gold Coast University Hospital, Gold Coast, QLD. ¹² Liverpool Hospital, Sydney, NSW. ¹³ University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW. ¹⁴ Macquarie University Hospital, Sydney, NSW. ¹⁵ Macquarie University, Sydney, NSW. ¹⁶ Western Health, Melbourne, VIC. ¹⁷ University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC. 🔀 biswadev.mitra@monash.edu • doi: 10.5694/mja2.52212

1 The 2023 critical bleeding guideline research questions

Question 1: In patients with critical bleeding, which physiological, biochemical and metabolic (including temperature) parameters should be measured early and frequently, and what values of these parameters are indicative of critical physiological derangement?

Question 2: In patients with critical bleeding, what is the effectiveness of major haemorrhage protocols?

Question 3: In patients with critical bleeding, what is the optimal dose, timing and ratio of red blood cells (RBCs) to blood component therapy to reduce morbidity, mortality and transfusion?

Question 4: In patients at risk of critical bleeding, is the transfusion of higher volumes of RBCs associated with an increased risk of mortality or adverse effects?

Q5: In patients with critical bleeding, what is the effect of recombinant activated factor VII treatment on morbidity, mortality and transfusion rate?

Question 6: In patients with critical bleeding, what is the effect of fresh frozen plasma, cryoprecipitate, fibrinogen concentrate, prothrombin complex concentrates and/or platelet transfusion on RBC transfusion and patient outcomes?

Question 7: In patients with critical bleeding, what is the effect of antifibrinolytics on blood loss, RBC transfusion and patient outcomes?

Question 8: In patients with critical bleeding, does the use of viscoelastic haemostatic assays change patient outcomes?

Question 9: In patients with critical bleeding, what is the effect of cell salvage on patient outcomes?

duration of mechanical ventilation, transfusion-related acute lung injury, transfusion-associated circulatory overload, and multiple organ failure. Important or critical outcome measures related to resource use included volumes of blood components transfused, wastage of blood components, time to delivery of blood components, and length of hospital or intensive care unit stay.

Detailed search strategies are available with the complete guidelines.⁷ Search strategies were developed in Ovid (for

Embase and MEDLINE) based on key elements of the research questions (ie, patients, intervention, comparison, or prognostic factors). The search strategy was then adapted to suit the Cochrane Library and PubMed (limited to in-process citations and citations not indexed in MEDLINE).

Methodological filters for identifying different study designs were applied, with exclusions for added publication type. In addition to the primary databases listed above, searches of OpenGrey, clinical trial registries (ClinicalTrials.gov and World Health Organization International Clinical Trials Registry Platform), health technology assessment/government websites (National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health, and Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality), and guideline databases (Guidelines International Network and National Guidelines Clearinghouse) were conducted.

Additional trials or studies recommended by reference group members, and potentially relevant trials or studies and systematic reviews identified in a scoping report were also included if they satisfied the eligibility criteria and were published within the specified search period (last performed on 28 September 2021). Pivotal new evidence could also be referenced and used to inform good practice statements.

Covidence was used for screening citations and recording decisions.¹² At the title/abstract stage, one systematic reviewer independently screened each citation and discarded ineligible studies. Full text articles for possible inclusion in the evidence synthesis were assessed independently by two reviewers. Where uncertainty existed, a decision was made after discussion with the lead reviewer (MJ), or advice sought from the reference group.

Judgements about the quality of included systematic reviews or risk of bias within primary studies were made using assessment tools appropriate to the study design.¹³⁻¹⁶ Data synthesis was performed by two reviewers (MJ and AM). Using RevMan 5.4, studies with matched cohorts reporting prespecified outcomes were included for meta-analysis.¹⁷ Effect estimates were combined across studies for each outcome

Question No.	Participants	Interventions	Study design features
1	People with critical bleeding	Physiological, biochemical and metabolic parameters	SR, observational cohort or single arm analysis of an RCT
2	People with critical bleeding	Major haemorrhage protocol	SR, RCT or observational cohort
3	People who received a massive transfusion	RBC:FFP ratio, RBC:PLT ratio, RBC:CRYO ratio	SR, RCT or observational cohort
4	People who were at risk of critical bleeding	RBC volumes	SR, observational cohort or single arm analysis of an RCT
5	People who failed to achieve adequate haemostasis despite surgical management and appropriate blood component therapy	rFVIIa administered as treatment	SR, RCT or observational cohort
6	People with critical bleeding	FFP, CRYO, PLT, FgC, PCC	SR, RCT or observational cohort with at least 500 participants
7	People with critical bleeding including a subgroup of patients who received a massive transfusion	Antifibrinolytics (TXA)	SR, RCT or observational cohort with at least 500 participants
8	People with critical bleeding, including subgroups of patients with trauma, obstetrics, perioperative and other settings	Use of VHA to guide transfusion of blood component therapy	SR, RCT or observational cohort
9	People in the emergency setting (not elective)	Cell salvage	SR, RCT or observational cohort

MJA 220 (4) - 4 March 2024

CRYO = cryoprecipitate; FFP = fresh frozen plasma; FgC = fibrinogen concentrate; PCC = prothrombin complex concentrate; PLT = platelets; RBC = red blood cell; RCT = randomised controlled trial; rFVIIa = recombinant activated factor VII; SR = systematic review; TXA = tranexamic acid; VHA = viscoelastic haemostatic assay.

using Mantel–Haenszel random effects models, with data from randomised controlled trials (RCTs) and observational studies considered separately.

As per the Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) guidance, the body of evidence was rated across five key domains: risk of bias, inconsistency, imprecision, indirectness, and publication bias.^{18,19} For each domain, a judgement was made about whether there were serious, very serious or no concerns, resulting in an overall certainty of evidence (high, moderate, low or very low) for each outcome.

Recommendations were developed according to the evidence to decision process outlined by the GRADE working group^{18,20,21} within MAGICApp (https://magicevidence.org/magicapp). The recommendations considered the benefits and harms, certainty of evidence, values and preferences, resources, equity, acceptability and feasibility.

Based on systematic reviews, the recommendations were graded as either strong or weak and for or against an intervention. Good practice statements were developed based on indirect evidence and expert opinion from the reference group.²² The recommendations and good practice statements were reviewed by the reference group between November 2021 and September 2022.

Public consultation and external review

The draft guideline was advertised and published online for a six-week public consultation in September 2022. There were 26 public consultation submissions, and all were considered. No errors of fact or significant omissions of evidence were identified but the phrasing of the guideline and accompanying resources was clarified. The draft guideline was sent to two Australian reviewers, independent to the guideline development process, who used the AGREE II tool to assess the quality and usability of the guideline against international quality standards.

Recommendations and good practice statements

The recommendations are outlined below with a summary of the evidence for benefits and harms, certainty of the evidence and, where relevant, values and preferences for consumers, impact on resources, equity, acceptability and feasibility.

Recommendation 1. In patients with critical bleeding, it is recommended that institutions use a major haemorrhage protocol (MHP) that includes a multidisciplinary approach to haemorrhage control, correction of coagulopathy and normalisation of physiological derangement (*Strong recommendation*).

In the meta-analysis of cohort studies, a large beneficial effect on mortality (latest time point or all-cause) associated with MHPs was demonstrated; however, the certainty of evidence is very low (Supporting Information 1, figure S1). The magnitude of any benefit, therefore, remains uncertain (Supporting Information 1, table S1). The available evidence did not demonstrate an increase or decrease in the transfusion volume of RBCs or fresh frozen plasma (FFP) associated with MHPs in any setting, and in the absence of high certainty evidence, the resource implications of MHPs are uncertain (Supporting Information 1, tables S1–S3).

Good practice statement 1. The reference group agreed that it is essential to identify the cause of bleeding and control it as soon as possible. This reinforces the importance of early identification of the cause of bleeding and haemorrhage control. Specific strategies for haemorrhage control were outside the scope of this guideline.

Recommendation 2. In patients with critical bleeding requiring activation of an MHP, it is recommended that the following parameters be measured early and frequently: temperature, acid-base status, ionised calcium, haemoglobin, platelet (PLT) count, prothrombin time, international normalised ratio, activated partial thromboplastin time (aPTT), and fibrinogen levels, in addition to standard physiological monitoring (*Strong recommendation*).

The identified cohort studies suggested an association between prognostic factors and an increased risk of mortality (Supporting Information 1, tables S4–S11). However, the overall certainty of the evidence was low or very low.

Good practice statement 2. The reference group agreed that it is good practice to monitor the parameters (Box 3) and include a full blood count on, or before, activation of an MHP and consider repeating after administration of every four units of RBCs. Acknowledging the overall certainty of evidence is very low, the reference group listed values indicative of critical physiological derangement of the assessed clinical and laboratory parameters.

Recommendation 3. In patients with critical bleeding managed with a ratio-based MHP, a high ratio of RBC:FFP:PLT may be beneficial, although there is insufficient evidence to support a 1:1:1 ratio over a 2:1:1 ratio (*Weak recommendation*).

A transfusion ratio of 2:1:1 of RBC:FFP:PLT is lower than a transfusion ratio of 1:1:1, as the number of units of RBCs increases without a proportionate increase in FFP or platelets. A transfusion ratio of 2:1:1 would equate to eight units of RBCs, four units of FFP and one adult unit of platelets. One adult unit of apheresis or pooled platelets in Australia is equivalent to platelets derived from four single whole blood donor units. Therefore, a transfusion ratio of 1:1:1 would equate to four units of RBCs, four units of FFP and one adult unit of platelets.

In the meta-analysis of RCTs comparing 1:1:1 with 2:1:1 ratios, no effect on mortality was demonstrated. In the meta-analysis of cohort studies, a large effect favouring ratio-based transfusion on mortality was demonstrated (Supporting Information 1, figure S2). However, the certainty of the evidence was very low and, based on the available evidence, the magnitude of

3 Critical clinical and laboratory derangements in patients with critical bleeding/massive transfusion

	Values
Temperature (°C)	< 35
pН	< 7.2
Base excess (mEq/L)	< -6
Lactate (mmol/L)	> 4
Ionised calcium (mmol/L)	<1
PT (seconds)	> 1.5*
INR	> 1.5
aPTT (seconds)	> 1.5*
Fibrinogen level (g/L)	< 2.0
aDTT - activated partial thromhoplactin time: IND	- international normalized ratio

aPTT = activated partial thromboplastin time; INR = international normalised ratio; PT = prothrombin time. * × Upper limit of normal. ◆ any true benefit is unknown. In the meta-analysis of RCTs, thromboembolic events and multiple organ failure rates did not differ among populations that received higher ratios of blood components compared with those who received lower ratios (Supporting Information 1, tables S12–S14). Based on the available evidence, the harms are unknown.

Good practice statement 3. The reference group agreed that in a ratio-based MHP, it is good practice for the transfusion ratio of RBC:FFP:PLT to be no lower than 2:1:1. The direct evidence regarding the optimal dose of RBC:FFP:PLT is weak, but guidance is provided for patient care.

Good practice statement 4. The reference group agreed that in a ratio-based MHP, it is good practice that the ratio of RBC:FFP:PLT of at least 2:1:1 be achieved as soon as possible and be maintained until critical bleeding is controlled. In addition, fibrinogen should be assessed and replaced as required. Options to assess fibrinogen level and function include laboratory measures of fibrinogen or viscoelastic haemostatic assay (VHA).

Recommendation 4. In patients with critical bleeding, FFP at a minimum one unit per two units of RBC and platelets at a minimum dose of one adult unit with every eight units of RBC are suggested (*Weak recommendation*). This recommendation focuses on the quantity of blood components to achieve high ratios. One adult unit of apheresis or pooled platelets in Australia is equivalent to platelets derived from four single whole blood donor units. Substantial clinical heterogeneity between identified studies precluded a strong recommendation regarding administration and dose of FFP, platelets, prothrombin complex concentrate (PCC), cryoprecipitate or fibrinogen concentrate (FgC; Supporting Information 1, figures S3–S6 and tables S15–S19).

Good practice statement 5. For other blood components and products, the reference group agreed that the following doses are a guide:

- fibrinogen replacement 3–4g of fibrinogen which may be achieved using FgC or cryoprecipitate (ten units of wholeblood cryoprecipitate, or four units of apheresis cryoprecipitate in Australia, or one unit of cryoprecipitate per 30kg body weight in New Zealand); and
- prothrombin complex concentrates for warfarin reversal — 25–50 IU/kg.

Good practice statement 6. The reference group agreed that it is good practice to administer RBCs through a blood warming device whenever possible and aim to maintain the patient's core temperature \geq 35°C. Evidence regarding the warming of blood components was not evaluated, but guidance is provided for patient care.

Good practice statement 7. The reference group agreed that it is good practice to administer group-specific blood components as soon as possible. Transition to ABO-identical blood components as soon as possible is to ensure optimal stewardship of scarce blood components, especially group O negative RBCs.²³

Good practice statement 8. When critical bleeding is controlled, the reference group agreed that it is good practice to cease the MHP and proceed to targeted optimisation of coagulation, physiological and biochemical parameters and continued patient assessment. After critical bleeding is controlled, recommendations on further management were outside the scope of this guideline.

Recommendation 5. In patients with critical bleeding, the reference group suggests against the routine use of recombinant activated factor VII (rFVIIa) (*Weak recommendation*). There was no statistically significant survival benefit observed in critically bleeding patients who received rFVIIa, and there is limited evidence of harm from thromboembolism (Supporting Information 1, tables S20–S23). In the meta-analysis of placebo-controlled trials, treatment with high doses of rFVIIa on an off-label basis significantly increased the risk of arterial but not venous thromboembolic events (Supporting Information 1, figure S7). The use of rFVIIa in patients with critical bleeding has been declining, and the urgency to address the off-label use of this product has waned. Use of rFVIIa should only be considered in exceptional circumstances where all other available measures to control bleeding have been exhausted.

Recommendation 6. In trauma patients with critical bleeding, the reference group suggests the early (within three hours of injury) use of tranexamic acid as part of an MHP (*Weak recommendation*). Evidence from RCTs showed a slight decrease in the risk of mortality (latest time point) among trauma patients who received tranexamic acid (Supporting Information 1, figure S8). The PATCH-Trauma trial was published after the census date of the systematic reviews, but the results on the outcome of mortality at hospital discharge were consistent with the evaluated evidence.²⁴ Among cohort studies, the risk of mortality was not different between groups. The certainty of evidence was low to very low (Supporting Information 1, tables S24–S25). The effects on harms were uncertain.

Good practice statement 9. The reference group agreed that there was insufficient evidence to provide a recommendation on the use of tranexamic acid in patients with critical gastrointestinal bleeding (Supporting Information 1, table S25). This statement is based on the results of a single RCT that concluded no effect of tranexamic acid on the primary outcome of death due to bleeding, but potential increase in the risk of thromboembolic events.²⁵

Recommendation 7. In obstetric patients with critical bleeding, the early (within three hours of the onset of bleeding) use of tranexamic acid may be considered as part of an MHP (*Weak recommendation*). In obstetric patients, although no difference was observed for the primary outcome of overall hospital mortality, a large RCT demonstrated a reduction in the number of deaths attributable to bleeding (Supporting Information 1, table S26).²⁶ In 2018, there were 15 maternal deaths in Australia and only one was attributable to bleeding.²⁷ This makes assessment of the benefits and risks of tranexamic acid difficult in the Australian population. As a result of this uncertainty, a weak recommendation was made.

Good practice statement 10. The reference group agreed that the use of VHAs may be beneficial in patients with critical bleeding. There was insufficient evidence to provide a recommendation. If VHAs are used in the assessment of patients with critical bleeding, they must be used in conjunction with an MHP.

Among trauma patients, evidence from RCTs showed no difference in mortality when blood component therapy was guided by VHA compared with haemostatic management guided by standard laboratory tests (Supporting Information 1, figure S9). Evidence from cohort studies suggested that blood component therapy guided by VHA was associated with significantly lower mortality than treatment guided by standard laboratory tests. There were no differences with regards to morbidity or transfusion volumes. Based on this available

MJA 220 (4) • 4 March 2024

evidence, the true benefit is unknown (Supporting Information 1, tables S27–S29). There are significant additional resources associated with the implementation and use of VHAs as part of an MHP and substantial jurisdictional, geographical and institutional variability.

Good practice statement 11. Cell salvage is a technique that involves aspirating patient's own blood that is lost within a surgical field and processing of the blood for re-infusion to the patient. The reference group agreed that the use of cell salvage in patients with critical bleeding may be considered as part of an MHP. There was insufficient evidence to provide a recommendation. In the meta-analysis of observational cohort studies, little to no effect on mortality was demonstrated and evidence of harm was uncertain (Supporting Information 1, table S31 and figure S10). A subgroup of patients may decline cell salvage based on personal preference or beliefs.

Collating the recommendations, a template MHP is provided in the Supporting Information 2.²⁴ Adaptation of this template and guidance at a local level is required upon consideration of the resources available. The full guideline, including technical details of the evidence are available online.⁷

Limitations and challenges

A key feature of the guideline was the foundation of systematic reviews for the research questions. Therefore, the strength of recommendations was limited by the certainty of evidence. The weak strength of many recommendations highlights the challenges and paucity of high quality trials among patients with critical bleeding. The NBA manages the Australian national blood supply to ensure reliable and efficient access to blood components and products and its cost effectiveness. Collating the available evidence on the key interventions is therefore essential to inform standardised management.

There exists geographical and institutional variability in composition and delivery of MHPs throughout Australia. There are many contributing factors to this including variations in access to blood components, access to results from laboratory tests, point of care tests and/or VHAs. Facilities that can store and supply blood components/products are limited by requirements for storage conditions, financial costs, education, staff training and wastage implications. Implementation of this guideline requires adaptation to the local context. Health service organisations should have local policies and procedures

4 Evidence gaps and potential research priorities

- Indications for initiation and cessation of a major haemorrhage protocol:
 patient-specific physiological and biochemical endpoints to guide cessation of a major haemorrhage protocol
- The optimal strategy for storage, transport and use of blood
- components and products including, but not limited to:
 - whole blood
- plasma
- platelets
- fibrinogen
- coagulation factor concentrates
 Adjuvant interventions, for example:
- viscoelastic haemostatic assay-guided major haemorrhage protocols
- cell salvage
- novel methods for assessment of oxygen delivery and tissue perfusion
- alternatives to blood components and products
- variations in assessment and management of critical bleeding for age-specific subgroups, such as paediatric and older patients

outlining the structure, composition, and delivery of an MHP that is appropriate for their local inventory, supply logistics, resources requirements, local practice and system limitations.

Future directions

The uptake of this guideline will be measured under a comprehensive evaluation of Australia's 2017–2024 National Patient Blood Management Implementation Strategy.²⁸ The ongoing review of the guideline will be necessary to reduce variation in practice patterns, support appropriate use of blood component therapy and reduce inappropriate exposure of patients to blood components. In addition, this review of evidence identified areas where best practice is uncertain or unknown. A summary of potential research priorities is provided in Box 4 and suggested to be topics for further research.

Acknowledgements: The Australian National Blood Authority provided funding, secretariat and project management for this guideline. The development of clinical guidance has not been influenced by the views or interests of the funding body. We thank Alison Miles, Stephanie Allerdice, Jessica Shi, Jack Hide, Aiya Taylor, and Adrian Peacock, who were the additional members of the systematic review team, for their contributions.

Open access: Open access publishing facilitated by Monash University, as part of the Wiley – Monash University agreement via the Council of Australian University Librarians.

Competing interests: Don Campbell receives income from Oueensland Health. Shannon Farmer has received patient blood management (PBM) lectures and consultancy fees through involvement with the International Foundation for PBM, PBM lecture honoraria from Ethicon Biosurgery, a PBM webinar honorarium from Pfizer Australia, and a PBM in a pandemic webinar honorarium from Baxter Australia; has memberships or affiliations with the Executive Committee, Western Australia PBM Group, the University of Western Australia; a Scientific Associate of the International Foundation for Patient Blood Management, was a member of the World Health Organization (WHO) External Working Group to develop a WHO PBM Policy Brief (2022), and is a member of the WHO External Steering Committee for development of the WHO Guidance for implementation of PBM. Craig French received funding from the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) for the TRANSFUSE study; he is a member of the Lifeblood Advisory Committee. Nichole Harvey is employed at James Cook University and is a member of both the Australian College of Nursing and the Australian College of Midwifery. Anthony Holley is a member of the Australian and New Zealand Intensive Care Society (ANZICS) Board and has also served as the Treasurer and President of the ANZICS Board; he receives income from Queensland Health and the Australian Defence Force. Anastazia Keegan is employed at PathWest Laboratory Medicine, King Edward Memorial Hospital and the Australian Red Cross Lifeblood, Transfusion Policy and Education; she has memberships or affiliations with the Australian and New Zealand Society of Blood Transfusion (ANZSBT), the International Society of Blood Transfusion, the Royal College of Pathologists of Australasia, and the Royal Australasian College of Physicians; she was awarded an ANZSBT Research Grant in 2019 and a National Blood Authority (NBA) grant for the RATIONAL study in 2016. Biswadev Mitra (Chair) has received seed funding from the NBA for a pilot pre-hospital trial on lyophilised plasma, and NHMRC funding for the PATCH-Trauma trial (a double-blinded placebocontrolled trial of tranexamic acid for trauma), and is a member of the Australian Red Cross Lifeblood medical advisory committee. Biswadev Mitra's spouse owns shares in CSL through a managed fund. Michael Parr has received benefits from the CONTROL study (Efficacy and safety of recombinant activated factor VII in the management of refractory traumatic haemorrhage) Steering Committee (funded by NovoNordisk) and the Chinese Critical Care Society (funded by CSL); he was an advisory committee member to NovoNordisk from 2004 to 2009, and was a lecturer/ advisor to CSL on albumin use in the intensive care unit in 2019. Michael Reade has received travel funds to consult for Hospira and Bard on pharmaceuticals/devices that are not related to blood transfusion (fees did not exceed \$1000); he was the Co-Chief Investigator in the NHMRC Blood Synergy grant held by Monash University and the Chief Investigator A in the NHMRC-funded CLIP-II trial of cryopreserved platelets. Cindy Schultz-Ferguson (Consumer representative) is a Board member of Dhelkaya Health. Richard Seigne receives income from the Canterbury District Health Board; he has served as the vice-chair of the Canterbury District Health Board Transfusion Committee. James Winearls receives income from Queensland Health and received a CSL Travel Grant in 2015.

Provenance: Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

@ 2024 The Authors. Medical Journal of Australia published by John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd on behalf of AMPCo Pty Ltd.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

- 1 GBD 2017 Causes of Death Collaborators. Global, regional, and national age-sex-specific mortality for 282 causes of death in 195 countries and territories, 1980–2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Lancet* 2018; 392: 1736-1788.
- 2 GBD 2015 Disease and Injury Incidence and Prevalence Collaborators. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 310 diseases and injuries, 1990–2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. *Lancet* 2016; 388: 1545-1602.
- 3 Ruseckaite R, McQuilten ZK, Oldroyd JC, et al. Descriptive characteristics and in-hospital mortality of critically bleeding patients requiring massive transfusion: results from the Australian and New Zealand Massive Transfusion Registry. *Vox Sang* 2017; 112: 240-248.
- 4 Leahy MF, Hofmann A, Towler S, et al. Improved outcomes and reduced costs associated with a health-system-wide patient blood management program: a retrospective observational study in four major adult tertiary-care hospitals. *Transfusion* 2017; 57: 1347-1358.
- 5 Mitra B, Cameron PA, Gruen RL, et al. The definition of massive transfusion in trauma: a critical variable in examining evidence for resuscitation. *Eur J Emerg Med* 2011; 18: 137-142.
- 6 Zatta AJ, McQuilten ZK, Mitra B, et al. Elucidating the clinical characteristics of patients captured using different definitions of massive transfusion. *Vox Sang* 2014; 107: 60-70.
- 7 National Blood Authority. Patient blood management guideline for adults with critical bleeding. https://blood.gov.au/best-practice/ patient-blood-management/pbm-guidelines/ patient-blood-management-guideline-adults (viewed July 2023).
- 8 National Health and Medical Research Council. Guidelines for guidelines handbook. Canberra: NHMRC, 2016. https://www.nhmrc.gov.au/guide linesforguidelines (viewed Dec 2023).
- **9** Brouwers MC, Kho ME, Browman GP, et al. AGREE II: advancing guideline development, reporting and evaluation in health care. *CMAJ* 2010; 182: E839-E842.

- 10 Higgins J, Thomas J, Chandler J, et al. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions, version 6.3. Cochrane Training, 2022. https://training.cochrane.org/handbook (viewed Dec 2022)
- 11 Munn Z, Tufanaru C, Aromataris E. JBI's systematic reviews: data extraction and synthesis. *Am J Nurs* 2014; 114: 49-54.
- 12 Covidence systematic review software. Melbourne: Veritas Health Innovation, 2023. https://www.covidence.org/ (viewed Dec 2023).
- 13 Shea BJ, Grimshaw JM, Wells GA, et al. Development of AMSTAR: a measurement tool to assess the methodological quality of systematic reviews. *BMC Med Res Methodology* 2007; 7: 10.
- **14** Shea BJ, Reeves BC, Wells G, et al. AMSTAR 2: a critical appraisal tool for systematic reviews that include randomised or non-randomised studies of healthcare interventions, or both. *BMJ* 2017; 358: j4008.
- **15** Higgins JP, Altman DG, Gøtzsche PC, et al. The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. *BMJ* 2011; 343: d5928.
- **16** Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist G, et al. GRADE guidelines: 4. Rating the quality of evidence study limitations (risk of bias). *J Clin Epidemiol* 2011; 64: 407-415.
- 17 Cochrane Training. ReviewManager (RevMan) [software program], version 5.4. Cochrane Collaboration, 2020. https://training.cochrane. org/online-learning/core-software/revman (viewed Dec 2023).
- 18 Schünemann H, Brożek J, Guyatt G, et al. GRADE Handbook. Handbook for grading the quality of evidence and the strength of recommendations using the GRADE approach [updated Oct 2013]. https://gdt.gradepro.org/app/handbook/handb ook.html (viewed Dec 2023).
- 19 Santesso N, Glenton C, Dahm P, et al. GRADE guidelines 26: informative statements to communicate the findings of systematic reviews of interventions. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2020; 119: 126-135.
- 20 Hultcrantz M, Rind D, Akl EA, et al. The GRADE Working Group clarifies the construct of

certainty of evidence. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2017; 87: 4-13.

13265377, 2024

, 4, Downl

from https

arry.wiley.com/doi/10.5694/mja2.52212 by Eddie Koiki Mabo Library, Wiley Online Library on [07/04/2024]. See the Term

and Condition:

(https

) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Comm

- 21 Alonso-Coello P, Schünemann HJ, Moberg J, et al. GRADE Evidence to Decision (EtD) frameworks: a systematic and transparent approach to making well informed healthcare choices. 1: introduction. *BMJ* 2016; 353: i2016.
- 22 Guyatt GH, Alonso-Coello P, Schünemann HJ, et al. Guideline panels should seldom make good practice statements: guidance from the GRADE Working Group. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2016; 80: 3-7.
- 23 Australian and New Zealand Society of Blood Transfusion. Guidelines for the administration of blood products. Sydney: ANZSBT, 2023. https:// anzsbt.org.au/guidelines-standards/anzsbtguidelines/ (viewed Sept 2023).
- 24 PATCH-Trauma Investigators and the ANZICS Clinical Trials Group; Gruen RL, Mitra B, Bernard SA, et al. Prehospital tranexamic acid for severe trauma. *N Engl J Med* 2023; 389: 127-136.
- **25** HALT-IT Trial Collaborators. Effects of a high-dose 24-h infusion of tranexamic acid on death and thromboembolic events in patients with acute gastrointestinal bleeding (HALT-IT): an international randomised, doubleblind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* 2020; 395:1927-1936.
- **26** WOMAN Trial Collaborators. Effect of early tranexamic acid administration on mortality, hysterectomy, and other morbidities in women with post-partum haemorrhage (WOMAN): an international, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* 2017; 389:2105-2116.
- 27 Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. Maternal deaths in Australia [Cat. No. PER 99]. Canberra: AIHW, 2020. https://www.aihw.gov. au/reports/mothers-babies/maternal-deaths-inaustralia (viewed Sept 2023).
- 28 National Blood Authority Australia. National Patient Blood Management Implementation Strategy 2017–2024. https://blood.gov.au/ system/files/documents/National%20Patient% 20Blood%20Management%20Strategy% 202017-2024%20%281%29.pdf (viewed Dec 2023). ■

Supporting Information

Additional Supporting Information is included with the online version of this article.