

## PROJECT REPORT

The Rural Hand Therapy Project - providing hand therapy services closer to home

## AUTHORS



Sue Williams<sup>1</sup> Bachelor of Occupational Therapy (Hons), Occupational Therapist – Advanced \*



Lin Wegener<sup>2</sup> PhD, Occupational Therapy Clinical Educator



Gail A Kingston<sup>3</sup> PhD, Senior Allied Health Research Fellow and Adjunct Senior Lecturer

## CORRESPONDENCE

\*Ms Sue Williams susan.williams5@health.qld.gov.au

## **AFFILIATIONS**

<sup>1</sup> Allied Health, Toowoomba Hospital, Darling Downs Health, Toowoomba, Qld 4350, Australia

<sup>2</sup> Southern Queensland Rural Health, Toowoomba, Qld 4350, Australia

<sup>3</sup> Allied Health Services Division, Townsville University Hospital, Townsville, Qld 4810, Australia; and School of Public Health and Tropical Medicine, James Cook University, Townsville, Qld 4810, Australia

## PUBLISHED

14 November 2023 Volume 23 Issue 4

HISTORY RECEIVED: 27 May 2022

REVISED: 12 June 2023

ACCEPTED: 6 August 2023

## CITATION

Williams S, Wegener L, Kingston GA. The Rural Hand Therapy Project – providing hand therapy services closer to home. Rural and Remote Health 2023; 23: 7672. https://doi.org/10.22605/RRH7672

## ETHICS APPROVAL

The service evaluation and implementation of the trial model of care was considered a quality activity and thus an ethical review was not required.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Licence

# ABSTRACT:

**Context**: Hand therapy optimises functional use of the hand and arm after injury and is an expert area of practice for occupational therapists (OTs) and physiotherapists. In rural Australia, patients frequently travel to metropolitan or larger regional centres for initial hand surgery and rehabilitation. However, rural patients'

access to follow-up hand therapy after the initial phase of care is impacted by several factors such as transport options, distance, staff shortages and availability of therapists skilled in hand therapy. To ensure service equity, these challenges require consideration of an alternative model of care that can be provided in rural areas. The aim of this project was to develop a shared care model that would better support rural OTs and rural patients in accessing follow-up hand therapy services closer to home. Issue: Two part-time accredited hand therapists (herein referred to as clinical leads) were employed in 2019-2020 to investigate a suitable model of care. Consultation with key stakeholders identified the following core issues: barriers, enablers, processes and intervention, and technology and resources. These findings were combined with recommendations from the literature to develop a model of service delivery: the Rural Hand Therapy Project (RHTP). Under the RHTP, eligible rural patients with complex hand conditions were either seen for their initial appointment, or had their referral screened, by a clinical lead at the regional hospital (Toowoomba Hospital, Queensland). During this process, a detailed handover to the rural OT was completed. Weekly case conferences with a clinical lead were available to all rural clusters. Rural patient cases remained open at the regional hospital for at least 3 months to allow patients to be

easily seen by a clinical lead, face to face, or via telehealth (with the rural OT) if needed. The clinical leads also served as the primary contact for any clinical questions from rural OTs. Additionally, the clinical leads provided support and professional development to rural OTs based on the mix of patient cases at the time. Lessons learned: The RHTP clinical leads were involved in both initial assessment and ongoing intervention for 56% of rural hand therapy patients. The provision of videoconference occasions of service increased from 1% to 8%. Although a low response rate impaired therapist evaluation, an unexpected positive outcome of the RHTP was its flexibility to respond temporarily during rural staff crises and provide vital patient care. The RHTP model of care has shown promise in addressing the challenges faced by rural patients in accessing follow-up hand therapy services closer to home. Further research has been initiated to inform care at a local level. By sharing the model of RHTP, it is hoped that the equity of hand therapy service provision can be increased to improve patient outcomes in other rural and remote localities.

#### Keywords:

Australia, hand injuries, occupational therapists, telehealth, videoconferencing.

#### FULL ARTICLE:

#### Context

Hand therapy optimises functional use of the hand and arm after injury and is an expert area of practice for occupational therapists (OTs) and physiotherapists. Hand therapy is the evaluation and treatment of injuries and conditions of the upper extremity<sup>1</sup>. Combined with generalist therapy skills of communication, professionalism and resource management, hand therapy requires specific anatomical and biomechanical knowledge, clinical reasoning and technical skills<sup>2</sup>. Accredited hand therapists are required to undertake further specialised training through a Master's degree, the Certified Hand Therapy Exam<sup>3</sup> or courses offered by the Australian Hand Therapy Association and must maintain 20 professional development hours each year specifically related to hand therapy practice and contribute 25 hours per 5-year period to the profession<sup>4</sup>.

In contrast, rural clinicians must demonstrate expertise, not only in hand therapy, but in several different caseloads<sup>5</sup>. Professional development opportunities in specialist clinical areas, such as hand therapy, are generally offered in urban areas and are costly to access<sup>6,7</sup>. Patients who have sustained a hand injury often receive initial hand surgery and rehabilitation in metropolitan or larger regional centres. Rural patients' access to follow-up hand therapy after the initial phase of care can be affected by transport options, distance, staff shortages and availability of therapists skilled in hand therapy. Due to the manual nature of rural occupations, the impact of incomplete functional return of both hands after injury/surgery is significant<sup>8</sup>.

### lssue

Toowoomba Hospital is a regional hospital within the Darling Downs Health Service (DDHS) in Queensland, Australia. The DDHS is divided into four clusters: Toowoomba, South Burnett, Southern and Western. The Toowoomba Hospital occupational therapy department receives over 1000 referrals for hand therapy each year, and at least 25% of these referrals are for patients who live more than 50 km from Toowoomba Hospital, herein called rural patients. At the time of the project, 14 (predominantly part-time) rural OTs across the DDHS provided follow-up for rural patients.

Between 2017 and 2019, Toowoomba Hospital orthopaedic department patient activity generated significant growth in hand therapy occasions of service for rural clusters. Allied health activity data for this period, obtained from local hospitals' statistic databases, showed a 53% increase in occasions of service, with some rural clusters recording growth of up to 79%. After surgery, more orthopaedic outpatient reviews were offered via videoconference consultation, and patients subsequently requested hand therapy appointments at their local facility. Brief referrals were forwarded to rural therapists with minimal additional information, and handovers for ongoing care were emailed in a non-standardised way. After patients were referred to rural locations, they were discharged from Toowoomba Hospital occupational therapy. Consequently, if a rural therapist contacted the Toowoomba Hospital OT regarding a patient, the clinical history was not readily available, and if an appointment was requested for the patient then the rural therapist was required to write a new referral.

Rural therapists expressed low confidence in managing the increasing volume and complexity of hand therapy referrals. Toowoomba Hospital OTs provided ad-hoc support to rural colleagues in the context of a busy outpatient clinic. Available support mediums included telephone communication or scheduled videoconference, but there was limited uptake of the latter service. It was noted that provision of telephone advice without patient assessment posed a medico-legal risk. The existing model did not meet the needs of rural patients or therapists and, as a result, poorer clinical outcomes of hand injuries became a potential risk.

A new model was required to support rural therapists and provide service equity for rural and remote patients. This report outlines the development of a model of care for the provision of occupational therapy to rural patients who have sustained a hand injury and/or undergone hand surgery. An application for a Not Requiring Ethical Review letter for a quality activity was made to the Darling Downs Hospital and Health Service ethics committee and approved on the 3 July 2019 (Reference number LNR/2019/QTDD/55524).

In July 2019, two part-time advanced OTs, who are also accredited hand therapists, were employed as clinical leads to conduct a service evaluation. A literature review was conducted and an experienced researcher on hand therapy service provision for rural patients consented to mentor the project. The main components of the service evaluation included a survey of rural therapists and a service mapping activity. Feedback from orthopaedic surgeons was also obtained and a patient survey was planned; however, service changes due to the COVID-19 pandemic meant the survey had to be abandoned after only seven responses.

The rural OT survey included questions about the duration of rural practice, hand therapy experience and current caseload,

confidence, perception of strengths and weaknesses of current local and regional hand therapy service, and clinical knowledge. In July 2019, an email was sent to 14 rural DDH OTs, inviting them to complete an anonymous survey via Survey Monkey. Results from the seven responses are summarised in Tables 1 and 2.

The clinical leads visited the rural sites during the service mapping activity to gather information on referral processes, booking systems, resources, training needs, allied health assistant support, and the structure of generalist OTs' caseload. Feedback from discussions with Toowoomba Hospital orthopaedic doctors confirmed the need for change to the service delivery model and support for an alternative model of care.

Information from the mapping activity and rural therapist survey were grouped into themes, and four core issues were identified: barriers, enablers, processes and intervention, and technology and resources, as outlined in Table 2.

Table 1: Pre- and post-trial rural occupational therapist survey data<sup>+</sup>

Content of survey question	Pre-trial	, July 2019 ( <i>n</i> =7)	Post-trial, March 2020 (n=4)		
Duration of rural employment (years)		1	0		
<5 6–10		1 3	0		
11–15		3	2		
>16	2		2		
Completion of further	2		2		
study/workshops/courses in hand therapy					
No	2		1		
Yes	5		3		
Previous work, or current work, involves >50% patients with hand injuries					
No		6	3		
Yes		1	1		
Most common hand conditions treated					
Tendon injuries		6	4		
Fractures		7	4		
Crush injuries/multiple trauma		1		1	
Amputations		3	2		
Nerve injuries		4		2	
Burns		2		1	
Overuse injuries		6		2	
Services that therapist provides					
Static splinting		6		4	
Dynamic splinting		0			
Splint modifications		7	4		
Continuation of exercises		7		4	
Clinical reasoning for exercise		6	4		
prescription		4			
Wound care		4	4		
Scar management	7		4		
Oedema management		6 5	4		
Functional assessments			2 3		
Pain management Garment prescription	2 4		3		
	Not	Somewhat to very	Not	Somewhat to	
	confident to neutral	confident	confident to neutral	very confiden	
Confidence in treating certain hand conditions					
The sector of the family of					
Tendon injuries	5	2	1	3	
Tendon injuries Fractures	3	2 4	1 0	3	
•					
Fractures	3	4	0	4	
Fractures Crush injuries/multiple trauma	3 7	4 0 2 1	0 2	4	
Fractures Crush injuries/multiple trauma Amputations	3 7 5	4 0 2	0 2 1	4 2 3	
Fractures Crush injuries/multiple trauma Amputations Nerve injuries	3 7 5 6	4 0 2 1	0 2 1 1	4 2 3 3	
Fractures Crush injuries/multiple trauma Amputations Nerve injuries Burns Overuse injuries	3 7 5 6 4	4 0 2 1 3	0 2 1 1 1 1	4 2 3 3 3 3	
Fractures Crush injuries/multiple trauma Amputations Nerve injuries Burns Overuse injuries	3 7 5 6 4 1 3	4 0 2 1 3 6 4	0 2 1 1 1 0 0	4 2 3 3 4 4	
Fractures Crush injuries/multiple trauma Amputations Nerve injuries Burns Overuse injuries Confidence in providing specific services Static splinting Dynamic splinting	3 7 5 6 4 1	4 0 2 1 3 6 4 0	0 2 1 1 1 0	4 2 3 3 3 4	
Fractures Crush injuries/multiple trauma Amputations Nerve injuries Burns Overuse injuries Confidence in providing specific services Static splinting	3 7 5 6 4 1 3 7 0	4 0 2 1 3 6 4 0 7	0 2 1 1 0 0 4 0	4 2 3 3 4 4 4 0 4	
Fractures Crush injuries/multiple trauma Amputations Nerve injuries Burns Overuse injuries Confidence in providing specific services Static splinting Dynamic splinting	3 7 5 6 4 1 3 7	4 0 2 1 3 6 4 0 7 7	0 2 1 1 0 0 4	4 2 3 3 4 4 4 0	
Fractures Crush injuries/multiple trauma Amputations Nerve injuries Burns Overuse injuries Confidence in providing specific services Static splinting Dynamic splinting Splint modifications Continuation of exercises Clinical reasoning for exercise	3 7 5 6 4 1 3 7 0	4 0 2 1 3 6 4 0 7	0 2 1 1 0 0 4 0	4 2 3 3 4 4 4 0 4	
Fractures         Crush injuries/multiple trauma         Amputations         Nerve injuries         Burns         Overuse injuries         Confidence in providing specific services         Static splinting         Dynamic splinting         Splint modifications         Continuation of exercises         Clinical reasoning for exercise         prescription	3 7 5 6 4 1 3 7 0 0 0 4	4 0 2 1 3 6 4 0 7 7 7 3	0 2 1 1 0 0 4 0 0 0 0	4 2 3 3 4 4 0 4 4 4 4	
Fractures         Crush injuries/multiple trauma         Amputations         Nerve injuries         Burns         Overuse injuries         Confidence in providing specific services         Static splinting         Dynamic splinting         Splint modifications         Continuation of exercises         Clinical reasoning for exercise         prescription         Wound care	3 7 5 6 4 1 3 7 0 0 0 4	4 0 2 1 3 6 4 0 7 7 7 3 0	0 2 1 1 0 0 4 0 0 0 0 2	4 2 3 3 4 4 4 0 4 4 4 2	
Fractures         Crush injuries/multiple trauma         Amputations         Nerve injuries         Burns         Overuse injuries         Confidence in providing specific services         Static splinting         Dynamic splinting         Splint modifications         Continuation of exercises         Clinical reasoning for exercise         prescription         Wound care         Scar management	3 7 5 6 4 1 3 7 0 0 0 4 7 1	4 0 2 1 3 6 4 0 7 7 7 3 3 0 6	0 2 1 1 0 0 4 0 0 0 0 2 0	4 2 3 3 4 4 0 4 4 4 4 2 4	
Fractures         Crush injuries/multiple trauma         Amputations         Nerve injuries         Burns         Overuse injuries         Confidence in providing specific services         Static splinting         Dynamic splinting         Splint modifications         Continuation of exercises         Clinical reasoning for exercise         prescription         Wound care         Scar management         Oedema management	3 7 5 6 4 1 3 7 0 0 0 4 7 1 1	4 0 2 1 3 6 4 0 7 7 3 0 6 6 6 6 6	0 2 1 1 0 0 4 0 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 0	4 2 3 3 4 4 0 4 4 4 2 4 4 4	
Fractures         Crush injuries/multiple trauma         Amputations         Nerve injuries         Burns         Overuse injuries         Confidence in providing specific services         Static splinting         Dynamic splinting         Splint modifications         Continuation of exercises         Clinical reasoning for exercise         prescription         Wound care         Scar management         Oedema management         Functional assessments	3 7 5 6 4 1 7 0 0 0 4 7 1 1 3	4 0 2 1 3 6 4 0 7 7 3 0 6 6 6 6 6 6 4	0 2 1 1 0 0 4 0 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	4 2 3 3 4 4 0 4 4 4 2 4 4 3 (1 N/A)	
Fractures         Crush injuries/multiple trauma         Amputations         Nerve injuries         Burns         Overuse injuries         Confidence in providing specific services         Static splinting         Dynamic splinting         Splint modifications         Continuation of exercises         Clinical reasoning for exercise         prescription         Wound care         Scar management         Oedema management         Functional assessments         Pain management	3 7 5 6 4 1 7 0 0 0 4 7 1 1 3 6	4 0 2 1 3 6 4 0 7 7 3 0 6 6 6 6 6 6 4 1	0 2 1 1 0 0 4 0 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 2	4 2 3 3 4 4 0 4 4 4 2 4 4 3 (1 N/A) 2	
Fractures         Crush injuries/multiple trauma         Amputations         Nerve injuries         Burns         Overuse injuries         Confidence in providing specific services         Static splinting         Dynamic splinting         Splint modifications         Continuation of exercises         Clinical reasoning for exercise         prescription         Wound care         Scar management         Oedema management         Functional assessments	3 7 5 6 4 1 7 0 0 0 4 7 1 1 3 6 2	4 0 2 1 3 6 4 0 7 7 3 0 6 6 4 0 6 6 4 0 6 4 1 5	0 2 1 1 0 0 4 0 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 2 1	4 2 3 3 4 4 0 4 4 4 2 4 4 3 (1 N/A) 2 3	
Fractures         Crush injuries/multiple trauma         Amputations         Nerve injuries         Burns         Overuse injuries         Confidence in providing specific services         Static splinting         Dynamic splinting         Splint modifications         Continuation of exercises         Clinical reasoning for exercise         prescription         Wound care         Scar management         Oedema management         Functional assessments         Pain management	3 7 5 6 4 1 3 7 0 0 0 4 7 1 1 3 6 2 <i>Strongly</i> <i>disagree</i> <i>to</i>	4 0 2 1 3 6 4 0 7 7 3 0 6 6 6 6 6 6 4 1	0 2 1 1 0 0 4 0 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 2	4 2 3 3 4 4 0 4 4 4 2 4 4 3 (1 N/A) 2 3 3 Agree to	
Fractures         Crush injuries/multiple trauma         Amputations         Nerve injuries         Burns         Overuse injuries         Confidence in providing specific services         Static splinting         Dynamic splinting         Splint modifications         Continuation of exercises         Clinical reasoning for exercise         prescription         Wound care         Scar management         Oedema management         Functional assessments         Pain management         Garment prescription         Enjoys providing hand therapy services as	3 7 5 6 4 1 3 7 0 0 0 4 7 1 1 3 6 2 Strongly disagree	4 0 2 1 3 6 4 0 7 7 3 0 6 6 6 6 4 1 5 Agree to strongly	0 2 1 1 0 0 4 0 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 2 1 5trongly disagree to	4 2 3 3 4 4 0 4 4 4 2 4 4 3 (1 N/A) 2 3 3 Agree to	
Fractures         Crush injuries/multiple trauma         Amputations         Nerve injuries         Burns         Overuse injuries         Confidence in providing specific services         Static splinting         Dynamic splinting         Splint modifications         Continuation of exercises         Clinical reasoning for exercise prescription         Wound care         Scar management         Oedema management         Functional assessments         Pain management         Garment prescription         Enjoys providing hand therapy services as part of caseload         Looks for opportunities to increase hand therapy skills	3 7 5 6 4 1 3 7 0 0 0 4 7 1 1 3 6 2 Strongly disagree to neutral	4 0 2 1 3 6 4 0 7 7 3 0 6 6 6 6 6 4 1 5 Agree to strongly agree	0 2 1 1 0 0 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 1 1 Strongly disagree to neutral	4 2 3 3 4 4 0 4 0 4 4 4 2 4 4 3 (1 N/A) 2 3 Agree to strongly agree	
Fractures         Crush injuries/multiple trauma         Amputations         Nerve injuries         Burns         Overuse injuries         Confidence in providing specific services         Static splinting         Dynamic splinting         Splint modifications         Continuation of exercises         Clinical reasoning for exercise prescription         Wound care         Scar management         Oedema management         Functional assessments         Pain management	3 7 5 6 4 1 3 7 0 0 0 4 7 1 1 3 6 2 5 trongly disagree to neutral 4	4 0 2 1 3 6 4 0 7 7 3 0 6 6 6 6 4 1 5 Agree to strongly agree 3	0 2 1 1 0 0 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	4 2 3 3 4 4 0 4 4 0 4 4 2 4 3 (1 N/A) 2 3 Agree to strongly agree 4	

meet service needs	Ĭ	· · ·	· ·	Ĭ
Satisfaction with current level of support	5	2	1	3
Confidence in responding to hand therapy referrals	4	3	0	4
Perception of supports that would enhance therapists' ability and confidence to provide hand therapy services to rural patients				
Scheduled videoconferencing with a senior therapist in Toowoomba during patient appointments	7		3	
Scheduled videoconferencing with a senior therapist in Toowoomba for training and mentoring in relation to hand therapy cases	7		2	
Regular onsite training at your facility from a senior therapist in Toowoomba	7		2	
Access to training at Toowoomba Hospital in relation to hand therapy	7		4	
Other	Rostering of rural therapists in Toowoomba Access to updated protocols		Linking in with in-services and training currently offered in Toowoomba Hospital hands department	
	6–7/8 correct	8/8 correct	6–7/8 correct	8/8 correct
Correct responses to questions related to specific hand therapy knowledge	5	2	3	1

<sup>†</sup> Responses to open-ended questions regarding strengths and weakness of current rural hand therapy service/supports currently received and supports desired are included in Table 2.

N/A, not applicable.

Table 2: Core issues identified in the service evaluation

Issue	Details
Barriers	<ul> <li>Therapists' limited knowledge or experience with hand therapy</li> <li>Limited practice due to infrequency of hand injury/surgery presentations</li> <li>Additional administrative support requirements</li> <li>Referrer and patient misconceptions</li> </ul>
Enablers	<ul> <li>Reduced patient transport requirements</li> <li>Access to local knowledge/rapport afforded by rural therapists</li> <li>Upskilling of rural therapists</li> <li>Increased uptake of telehealth</li> </ul>
Processes and intervention	<ul> <li>Appropriateness of referral (in relation to rural therapists' skill set or resources such as tools to make dynamic splints, and timeframes)</li> <li>Inadequate referral and handover information</li> <li>Prioritising competing service demands within a rural generalist caseload</li> </ul>
Technology and resources	Inadequacy of in-service and training provision     Availability and quality of telehealth equipment

#### Development and implementation of the new model of care

Utilising the results from the service evaluation, the RHTP model of care was developed from September to November 2019. The model is a 'shared care approach' that provides optimal care through 'a range of frequent, flexible, accessible and reliable communication strategies'<sup>8</sup> between the clinical leads and rural therapists in the DDHS. Table 3 outlines the inclusion and exclusion criteria for referral to the RHTP. To minimise medico-legal risk, patients who were treated at a hospital or practice outside of the DDHS and had an experienced OT to provide clinical advice were not included in the project. The criteria also aimed to capture patients who were referred to rural therapists by GPs.

A clinical lead in Toowoomba saw eligible rural patients with complex injuries/conditions for their initial appointment. This attempted to address therapists' concerns regarding skillset and presentation complexity. Familiarity with these patients with complex hand injuries reduced medico-legal risk associated with the lead clinician later providing advice on ongoing patient care. Referrals were accompanied by doctor outpatient notes (if required to fully understand a patient's history) and initial triaging by the lead clinician with a timeline for initial review. An email proforma was created to ensure detailed handover of patients initially seen at Toowoomba Hospital. These changes enabled more efficient communication between sites, which in turn reduced the risk of delayed or inappropriate treatment. The new service delivery model ensured that all rural patient cases remained open at Toowoomba Hospital for a minimum of 3 months, allowing patients to be seen by the clinical lead as needed. Appointments were available for initial assessment or review by the local therapist with the clinical lead present via telehealth. Furthermore, a rural therapist or patient could request a review appointment in Toowoomba for complex splinting or face-to-face shared care.

The lead clinician became the consistent main contact for any clinical questions regarding hand therapy practice or individual patient care from rural therapists. This led to greater continuity of information and uniform patient care. Weekly or fortnightly case conferences for all rural clusters were introduced. The rural therapist decided on the format of the case conference, and all open cases or cases of note were discussed. This allowed for timely identification of issues, verbal handover and context-specific education. The final component of the RHTP was for the lead clinician to provide targeted support and education to rural therapists. The aim was to increase the capability and skills of the rural OTs to provide hand therapy services in rural locations.

Table 3: Inclusion and	l exclusion	criteria	for the	Rural	Hand	Therapy Proje	ect
------------------------	-------------	----------	---------	-------	------	---------------	-----

Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria			
<ul> <li>Adult (person aged 16 years or more)</li> <li>Lives within DDHS region and not in Toowoomba or surrounds, including Milmerran</li> <li>Patients who have previously been seen by the Toowoomba Hospital orthopaedic department either as outpatients or inpatients OR have been referred by a therapist who works in a rural location within DDHS</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Patients living within the Toowoomba catchment area, including Milmerran</li> <li>Patients treated at a hospital outside of DDHS and ongoing medical care is not being managed by Toowomba Hospital orthopaedic department</li> <li>Patients who have an active third-party case for the presenting injury (eg Workcover, Compulsory Third Party, National Disability Insurance Scheme) and are agreeable to being seen by the private hand therapy practice</li> <li>Patients receiving ongoing care at a private hand therapy practice</li> </ul>			

DDHS, Darling Downs Health Service.

#### Lessons learned

During the trial phase, from 1 December 2019 to 31 March 2020, the OT department at Toowoomba Hospital received referrals for 343 patients aged 16 years or more who had sustained a hand injury. Eighty-seven patients lived in one of the rural clusters, at least 50 km from Toowoomba. At the time of the chart review, one of these patients was deceased and the chart was not available for inclusion. Thirty-seven patients lived in the South Burnett cluster, 25 lived in the Western cluster and 24 patients lived in the Southern cluster. Table 4 details the diagnosis that resulted in rural patients' referral to hand therapy.

Of the 87 rural patients who received hand therapy during the trial phase, the RHTP clinical leads were involved in both initial assessment and ongoing intervention for 48 patients (56%). This included requests from the local therapist for a collaborative clinical lead review via videoconference, support via email or a face-to-face review after a patient's orthopaedic review and subsequent handover. Two clusters commenced weekly case conferences, which involved discussion of active referrals. The commencement of the RHTP led to a notable increase in the provision of videoconference occasions of service. In the same 3-month period in the preceding year, only 1% of occasions of service were via videoconference, whereas during the trial period videoconference occasions of service increased to 8%.

After the early cessation of the trial, the self-reported therapist survey was repeated, and four responses were received, as shown in Table 1. Data collection during the trial phase was limited due to staffing and COVID-related service issues. Research has commenced to determine the impact of the new model of care on patient outcomes, equity and acceptability.

The evolution of a model of care is an iterative process<sup>9</sup>. Clear criteria were initially required to give a foundation to the new

model of care. Improvements in the flexibility of service delivery have made redundant the initial criterion that 'all eligible rural patients with complex injuries/conditions were seen for their initial appointment at Toowoomba'. Now, rural therapists are consulted to determine whether a patient should initially be seen in Toowoomba or locally.

Retention issues in the rural allied health workforce can negatively impact patient care and place additional strain on remaining staff<sup>10</sup>. One positive outcome of the RHTP was the flexibility to respond temporarily during rural staff crises and provide essential patient care. From November 2020 to February 2021, staffing shortages affected a subregion where 34% of all occupational therapy referrals were for hand therapy. The RHTP ensured continuity of care and patient safety by two methods. First, the local allied health assistant was trained by a clinical lead to assist with videoconferencing clinics run by a clinical lead. In the 4-month period, 33 occasions of service were provided by the clinical lead via these clinics. This approach not only ensured continuity of care and patient safety but also equipped the allied health assistant with new work-ready skills. Second, patients willing to travel were offered ongoing hand therapy treatment at Toowoomba Hospital. During this period, 44 occasions of service (5 by videoconference, 39 face-to-face) were provided to patients in this subregion at Toowoomba Hospital.

The RHTP was developed to meet the needs of rural patients with hand injuries and their treating therapists. Through a process of service evaluation, literature review and translation of knowledge into practice, an alternative model of care has been developed. Survey data, chart review and anecdotal evidence indicate that the aims of the project are being achieved. Further research is being conducted to evaluate the model, and it is hoped that, in sharing the RHTP model, health services worldwide will implement the principles outlined in this service model to improve rural/remote patient outcomes.

#### Table 4: Reasons for referral to hand therapy, Toowomba Hospital, Queensland

Diagnosis	n (%)	Details
Distal radius fracture – open reduction and internal fixation	15 (17)	
Tendon injury	14 (16)	Mallet fingers, finger and thumb extensor and flexor repairs and wrist flexor repairs
Fracture – excluding bony mallet injuries, scaphoid fractures, and distal radius fractures	10 (12)	Fractures of the trapezium, metacarpals, proximal, middle and distal phalanx
Distal radius fracture – non-operative management	5 (6)	
Dupuytren's excision	5 (6)	
Trigger digit	4 (5)	Three of these patients were surgically managed
Wrist sprain	4 (5)	
Scaphoid fracture – open reduction and internal fixation	3 (3)	
Wrist fusion	3 (3)	
Carpal tunnel release	2 (3)	
Ligament reconstruction tendon interposition for thumb basal arthritis	1 (1)	
Radial nerve palsy following a humeral fracture	1 (1)	
Brachial plexus injury following a shoulder injury	1 (1)	Injury sustained in 2018 and patient referred to the rural hand therapy project by the local therapist for collaborative care
Isolated diagnosis	18 (21)	

#### Acknowledgements

Thanks to Vilma Girardi and Marguerite Bennetts who championed the development of the project and ensured its ongoing funding. Thanks to Anna Tynan, who guided the development of the service evaluation outcome measures and assisted with our research questions. Thanks to Dr Shridhar (Director Orthopaedics TH) for supporting the implementation of the project and ensuring collaboration between doctors and OTs to enable quality patient care. Thanks to each DDH OT who provided hand therapy to patients involved in the project.

## **REFERENCES**:

**1** American Society for Surgery of the Hand. *Hand therapist: what is a CHT, OT or PT?* 2022. Available: web link (Accessed 17 September 2021).

**2** Kasch MC, Greenberg S, Muenzen PM. Competencies in hand therapy. *Journal of Hand Therapy* 2003; **16(1):** 49-58. DOI link, PMid:12611446

**3** Hand Therapy Certification Commission. *Certified hand therapist examination*. 2022. Available: web link (Accessed 13 October 2022).

**4** Australian Hand Therapy Association. *AHT credential*. Available: web link (Accessed 28 September 2021).

**5** Leeuwenburg TJ, Parker C. Free open access medical education can help rural clinicians deliver 'quality care, out there'. *Rural and Remote Health* 2015; **15(3):** 3185. DOI link, PMid:6278340

**6** Brockwell D, Wielandt T, Clark M. Four years after graduation: occupational therapists' work destinations and perceptions of preparedness for practice. *Australian Journal of Rural Health* 2009;

#### 17(2): 71-76. DOI link, PMid:19335596

**7** Kingston GA, Williams G, Judd J, Gray MA. Hand therapy services for rural and remote residents: results of a survey of Australian occupational therapists and physiotherapists. *Australian Journal of Rural Health* 2015; **23(2):** 112-121. DOI link, PMid:25616064

8 Kingston G, Tanner B, Gray MA. The functional impact of a traumatic hand injury on people who live in rural and remote locations. *Disability and Rehabilitation* 2010; **32(4):** 326-335. DOI link, PMid:20055571

**9** Davidson P, Halcomb E, Hickman L, Phillips J, Graham B. Beyond the rhetoric: what do we mean by a 'model of care'? *Australian Journal of Advanced Nursing* 2006; **23(3):** 47-55.

**10** Keane S, Lincoln M, Rolfe M, Smith T. Retention of the rural allied health workforce in New South Wales: a comparison of public and private practitioners. *BMC Health Services Research* 2013; **13:** 32. DOI link, PMid:23351491

This PDF has been produced for your convenience. Always refer to the live site https://www.rrh.org.au/journal/article/7672 for the Version of Record.