

ARTICLE

Mark Mayall, MBBS, BSc, MRCPsych, MRCGP, MPhil, FRANZCP, is Associate Professor of Child Adolescent Psychiatry at James Cook University, Townsville, Queensland, and a child and adolescent psychiatrist at Townsville University Hospital and Health Service, Townsville, Queensland, Australia. His current research interests include multiaxial classification and its extended uses and adverse childhood experiences.

Brett McDermott, MD, FRANZCP, Cert Child Psych, is Professor of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry at James Cook University, Townsville, Queensland, and State-Wide Director of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Hobart, Tasmania, Australia. He has a long history of published research, especially in the field of post-traumatic stress disorder, where he has led eight major post-disaster programmes. **Raja Sadhu**, MBBS, MD, FRANZCP, Cert Child Psych, is a consultant child and adolescent psychiatrist with Townsville Hospital and Health Service, and a part-time senior lecturer in James Cook University, Townsville, Queensland, Australia. He has special interests in the areas of neurodevelopmental disorders, adverse childhood events and sleep disorders. **Yvonne Teoh**, MBBS, FRACP, is in dual training in community child health and general paediatrics. She is currently provisional Fellow in Developmental/Behavioural Paediatrics in the Department of Paediatrics at South West Sydney Local Health District, Liverpool, New South Wales, Australia. Additional interests include medical education and quality improvement. **Margot Bosanquet**, FRACP, is senior staff specialist paediatrician and a paediatric rehabilitation specialist at Townsville University Hospital, Townsville, and a senior lecturer in the School of Medicine at James Cook University, Townsville, Queensland, Australia. She has clinical and research interest in detection and care of neurodevelopmental disability in early life.

Sunaina Nundeeekasen, MBChB, FRACP, completed her MBChB at the University of Leeds, UK, and completed paediatric and neonatal training in Australia. She is currently a staff specialist neonatologist in the Centenary Hospital for Women and Children, Garran, Australian Capital Territory, Australia.

Correspondence Dr Mark Mayall. Email: mark.mayall@health.qld.gov.au

Practical aspects of multiaxial classification: a clinically useful biopsychosocial framework for child and adolescent psychiatry

Mark Mayall , **Brett McDermott** , **Raja Sadhu** , **Yvonne Teoh** , **Margot Bosanquet**  & **Sunaina Nundeeekasen** 

SUMMARY

Multiaxial classification system development (organising important and relevant clinical factors under multiple headings or ‘axes’) has a long history stretching back to the 1940s. The World Health Organization supported the development of a multiaxial system of classification for children from the 1960s and in the 1990s produced a comprehensive multiaxial system which could be used with ICD-10. Using the multiaxial approach provides for an atheoretical framework that can integrate factors from within the child and the environmental influences on the child. This article presents a variety of ways in which the ICD-10 multiaxial framework can be extended from its classic usage to provide clinicians with valuable tools to assist in a biopsychosocial clinical assessment. Using the multiaxial system in an extended format allows a more comprehensive diagnosis and planning of treatments and is helpful in the training and teaching of juniors. It is also useful in evaluating responses to medication when it is combined with a chronological analysis and can provide other useful ways of integrating information relevant to understanding clinical cases.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES

After reading this article you will be able to:

- understand the history and development of multiaxial classification systems in the context of child and adolescent psychiatry
- use the ICD-10 multiaxial classification system for child and adolescent psychiatry to assist in a biopsychosocial approach to children and adolescents with mental health problems
- understand the extended use of the ICD-10 multiaxial classification system for hypothesising about differential diagnosis, planning psychotherapeutic interventions, teaching and training, analysing complex cases, and using a chronological perspective to look at symptoms and medication response.

KEYWORDS

Multiaxial classification; history of multiaxial classification system; biopsychosocial; child and adolescent psychiatry; application of multiaxial classification system.

Mental disorders are frequently complicated and often involve several potentially important factors which ‘do not in any meaningful sense, constitute alternatives’ (Taylor 2008: p. 21). For instance, someone may have depression but also have an intellectual disability. To address the frequent issue in psychiatry of having several clinically important separate factors salient in diagnosis a variety of ‘multiaxial’ systems have been proposed over the years. These multiaxial systems have in common the distribution or clustering of suggested relevant factors into different axes to help in the overall understanding of the individual.

Although the interest in multiaxial classification stretches at least into the 1940s (Essen-Moller 1947), it was the World Health Organization (WHO) that brought it into prominence for child psychiatry in the 1960s, largely through the efforts of Michael Rutter and others. A paper reporting on the third WHO seminar on psychiatric disorders held in Paris in 1967 was published in 1969 regarding psychiatric disorders in childhood, with an aim ‘to make an early beginning on the task of developing a useful and scientifically sound system of classification in child psychiatry [...] acceptable for international application’ (Rutter 1969). The diagnostic exercises carried out in the 1960s involved case histories and diagnostic video recordings. The question as to different axes of classification arose and was illustrated by the case of an 11-year-old child ‘who had an epileptic fit, whose general level of functioning was in the mentally subnormal

TABLE 1 Multiaxial classification system for ICD-10**Axis One (1) – Clinical psychiatric syndromes**

All ICD-10 F codes, minus F70–79/F80–83/F88–89 (e.g. F32.0 Mild depressive episode)

Axis Two (2) – Specific disorders of psychological development

ICD-10 F80–83 and F88–89 (e.g. F80.1 Expressive language disorder)

Axis Three (3) – Intellectual level

ICD-10 F70–79 (e.g. F70 Mild intellectual disability)

Axis Four (4) – Medical conditions from ICD-10 often associated with mental and behavioural disorders

ICD-10 A–E and G–Y; note that X codes for Self-harm are also included (e.g. G40.6 Grand mal seizure, unspecified, X60 Intentional self-poisoning by and exposure to nonopioid analgesics, antipyretics and antirheumatics)

Axis Five (5) – Associated abnormal psychosocial situations

Selected ICD-10 Z codes (e.g. Z61.6 Problems related to alleged physical abuse of child)

Axis Six (6) – Global assessment of psychosocial disability

Not ICD-10 associated, coded 0 (superior/good social functioning) to 8 (profound and pervasive social disability)

First received 15 Feb 2023

Final revision 8 Jun 2023

Accepted 10 Jun 2023

Copyright and usage

© The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Royal College of Psychiatrists. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.

Source: adapted from World Health Organization (1996).

range, and who showed a very severe disorder of behaviour, emotions and relationships. Some participants diagnosed psychosis [autism], others diagnosed mental subnormality [intellectual disability], and yet others chronic brain condition. It was generally recognised that all three diagnoses were probably correct but that each diagnosis concerned a separate and independent axis of classification'. This led to a proposed triaxial classification scheme (Supplementary Table S1, available at <https://doi.org/10.1192/bja.2023.39>) (Rutter 1969).

Later it was suggested that the third axis, of associated or aetiological factors, be divided into two, one covering physical conditions and the other psychosocial conditions (Rutter 1975). In 1975, in the UK, a fifth axis for specific developmental disorders was added – these disorders had previously been included with the 'biological factors' in the third axis (Rutter 1975; World Health Organization 1996). Modification of Axis Five was later made to improve its reliability (van Goor-Lambo 1987, 1990).

After the introduction of ICD-10 in 1993, it was suggested by Rutter that a sixth axis, 'global assessment of psychosocial disability', be introduced to assess disability. This was because disability was recognised by the WHO as a vital component of the assessment of psychiatric disorder (Reed 2009): 'Its inclusion should be regarded as a first step towards the development of a systematic scheme for assessing social disability in children and adolescents with psychiatric disorder' (WHO 1996: p. 2). Axis Six attempts to capture the individual's level of functioning, with the various aspects of functioning captured in a single global score, ranging from superior/good social functioning (scored 0) to profound and pervasive social disability (scored 8). It is the only axis that does not include codes found in ICD-10.

Axes One to Five are populated with the codes present in ICD-10, with axes One to Three drawn

from the 'F' codes (Chapter V of ICD-10, 'Mental and behavioural disorders' F00–F99; WHO 2016). By previous convention, 'Autism' (F84) has been assigned to Axis One rather than Axis Two. Axis Four includes 'Medical conditions from ICD-10 often associated with mental and behavioural disorders', and Axis Five uses selected ICD-10 'Z' codes (Chapter XXI, 'Factors influencing health status and contact with health services', Z00–Z99; WHO 2016), which are placed within 'Associated abnormal psychosocial situations'. Expanded definitions are available for the Z codes and an atheoretical approach to timing was taken, with lifetime coding suggested but 'with the recognition that users of the scheme will need to decide for themselves the timeframe that best suits their purposes' (WHO 1996: p. 8).

Table 1 shows the six axes, together with the coding covered within each one. The latest iteration of ICD, ICD-11, remains as previous versions, uniaxial, but there is no reason why the multiaxial framework cannot be utilised with coding from ICD-11, even if a similar publication to that which accompanied ICD-10 is not published by the WHO (Rutter 2015).

Other multiaxial schemes

ICD-10 has remained a uniaxial system and allows, if you like, a parallel multiaxial system to exist for child and adolescent psychiatry, which is especially helpful in complex presentations.

A multiaxial system for adult psychiatry was published by the WHO in 1997 (Janca 1997). This system had only three axes, with all ICD-10 codes (excluding Z codes) clustered somewhat unsatisfactorily in Axis I, and Axis II attempting to capture 'Disability', with Axis III capturing 'Contextual factors' using the Z codes.

In 1980 the American Psychiatric Association published DSM-III, which differed from its

predecessor by its multiaxial approach (Williams 1985). Although there were aspects that were, to contemporaries, unsatisfactory, these very critics acknowledged it as ‘a landmark in the development of psychiatric classification’ and that ‘the introduction of a multiaxial framework is a step forward in terms of its recognition that clinical diagnosis necessarily involves several different elements which do not constitute alternatives to one another’ (Rutter 1980). The multiaxial organisation continued through DSM-III-R (Revised) and DSM-IV-TR (Text Revision) but was abandoned in DSM-5.

This difference in approach was reported by DSM-5 to be an inability to find ‘fundamental differences’ between Axes I to III, lack of ‘frequent’ usage of Axis IV categories and ‘lack of clarity’ in the usage of Axis V (American Psychiatric Association 2013: pp. 16–17). This abandonment of the multiaxial system does not seem to capture the history of the debate concerning its inclusion/exclusion in DSM-5 (Probst 2014) and although there were deficiencies to be addressed, especially in regard to Axis IV (Kress 2014), it is not clear that exclusion of the multiaxial system actually led to a classification system with better clinical utility. However, the recent and substantial harmonisation of coding in the newly released DSM-5-TR (American Psychiatric Association 2022) with ICD-10 provides an opportunity for the use of the DSM with the ICD-10 multiaxial system.

The Diagnostic Classification (DC) of Mental Health and Developmental Disorders of Infancy and Early Childhood in its various iterations – DC:0–3, DC:0–3R (Revised) and DC:0–5 (Zero to Three 2016) – has also favoured a multiaxial system but with axes to cover ‘Relational context’ (Axis II) and ‘Developmental competence’ (Axis V), along with axes familiar from the ICD, including ‘Clinical disorder’ (Axis I), ‘Physical health’ (Axis III) and ‘Psychosocial stressors’ (Axis IV).

The rationale for multiaxial classification in child and adolescent psychiatry

Before exploring the practical ways in which the ICD-10 multiaxial system can assist in clinical practice it is first important to look at the reasons and rationale behind the development of such a framework. As shown above, one driver for the development of multiaxial classification was the problem of being forced to choose between non-exclusive diagnostic alternatives. A multiaxial system helps to avoid this situation as well as providing a much more holistic understanding of the child within their environment, taking into consideration medical conditions, past environmental events and stressors. The multiaxial system, by taking an

atheoretical view of causation and allowing a straightforward presentation of possibly important factors, can be utilised by clinicians with differing theoretical frameworks. For instance, one is not forced into deciding whether in a particular case autism or parental separation might be the reason for some challenging behaviours – both might be contributory. Possible aetiological factors can be listed and further elucidated to reach a more thorough formulation. It is also ‘much closer to most clinicians’ preferred style of conceptualisation than is the case with a system that forces everything into the Procrustean bed of a diagnosis based only on symptoms’ (Taylor 2008: p. 21).

Over time, the evidence for the necessity of the biopsychosocial model in child and adolescent psychiatry that is encapsulated with the multiaxial approach has been fundamentally strengthened by the acceptance that mental disorders must often be viewed differently from physical disorders. Unlike many physical illnesses, for example infectious diseases, frequently in mental illness no single aetiological agent can be identified. Even where aetiological factors have been identified in mental illness, they do not act in a straightforward way, many of the ‘causal factors’ appearing to be transdiagnostic even if the underlying mechanisms are unclear (McLaughlin 2020). The realisation that psychopathology ‘generally arises from multiple biological, behavioural, psychosocial and cultural factors, all interacting through an individual’s lifetime of experience’ and that ‘mental disorders are not distinct conditions that someone “has”; rather they are complex, multidimensional phenomena with multiple causal strands’ (Clark 2017) is another reason for embracing a multiaxial system. That is because the use of such a system allows the capture of multiple factors beyond just a symptom cluster and categorical diagnosis. It allows a far richer understanding of the clinical presentation (Mayall 2021) while hopefully suggesting interventions (as discussed below) that are not just targeted at a single categorical diagnosis.

Classic ICD-10 multiaxial classification and classic ICD-10 classification plus additional clinically useful information

There are several very useful ways in which the ICD-10 multiaxial classification system (WHO 1996) can be used. First, if used as intended by the WHO, it can clearly communicate the central clinical entity, along with any other factors considered helpful in understanding the case. Table 2 shows its use for a young person with a diagnosed moderate depressive episode, corresponding to ICD-10 code

TABLE 2 Classic use of the ICD-10 multiaxial classification system: young person with a moderate depressive episode with no self-harming

Axis ^a	Diagnosis
1 Clinical psychiatric syndromes	F32.1 Moderate depressive episode
2 Specific disorders of psychological development	No abnormality (XX)
3 Intellectual level	No abnormality (XX)
4 Medical conditions (from ICD-10 often associated with Mental and Behavioural Disorders)	No significant medical conditions known (XX)
5 Associated abnormal psychosocial situations	Z63.5 Disruption of family by separation and divorce Z81.8 Family history of other mental and behavioural disorders.
6 Global assessment of psychosocial disability	Code 2 – slight social disability

a. Axes One–Six (World Health Organization 1996).

F32.1 (i.e. their symptoms on examination meet the ICD-10 diagnostic criteria).

Additionally, if thought helpful a coding could be made as to whether a somatic syndrome is present or absent (F32.10, without somatic syndrome, or F32.11, with somatic syndrome). Thus, for the young person in Table 2, it can easily be seen that no abnormality is present in Axes Two and Three and no medical conditions (Axis Four) are thought to be relevant, and this is specifically spelled out but could also be coded with ‘XX’ (WHO 1996). That at some point a disruption of family has taken place is indicated by the appropriate Z code and a family history of some form of mental or behavioural disorders is also coded. An attempt has been made in Axis Six to identify the degree of psychosocial disability. The table as presented communicates and summarises clearly a relatively uncomplicated hypothetical case.

Table 3 shows the classic use of the multiaxial system in a hypothetical complex neurodevelopmental case in a 7-year-old boy. A range of mental and physical diagnoses are clearly identified, along with other possibly significant factors. Additional important information can be helpfully added.

Using the multiaxial framework in a variant manner to identify ages at which certain diagnoses were made can give clues as to the aetiology of certain symptoms; for instance, Table 4 shows a modified Table 3 with certain additional information that some clinicians might find extremely useful. In this case might there be a link between parental separation and the emergence of more recent challenging behaviours? The fact that only a single epileptic seizure has apparently occurred, and some time ago, possibly clarifies any possible connection between the seizures and the current escalation in behaviour; reassurance by clinical history and examination that this is accurate, and that seizure activity does not seem to be related to the

more recent behavioural concerns, is important. Where there is doubt, it might lead to a review of any previous electroencephalogram (EEG) (after review of the notes it is ascertained that no EEG was carried out previously) or a request for an EEG if clinically indicated. Additionally, previous standardised testing and the results could be linked in the electronic notes to the various diagnoses. For example, the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children Fifth Edition (WISC-V) result showing the Full-Scale Intelligence Quotient (FSIQ) and subset scores might assist in planning school-based interventions. Again, slightly departing from the classic use of the multiaxial classification system, the result of adaptive behaviour testing, using for example the Adaptive Behaviour Assessment System Third Edition (ABAS-3), might be incorporated into Axis Three, supporting the confirmation of the diagnosis of mild intellectual disability and, if thought helpful, also in Axis Six along with the already coded ‘Global assessment of psychosocial disability’. Further information that might prove useful for understanding the case can be added (e.g. results on the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule Second Edition, ADOS-2). In a concise way, a much more comprehensive and useful summary has been produced by the additional information and the potential clinical utility enhanced.

Teaching, case review and hypothesising using the ICD-10 framework

The multiaxial classification framework can be useful in both training and teaching of junior doctors as well as child and adolescent mental health service (CAMHS) clinicians. It can also be useful in practical preparation for a clinical assessment to ensure thorough exploration of factors that are suggested from the information contained in the referral letter.

TABLE 3 Classic use of the ICD-10 multiaxial classification system: complex neurodevelopmental case, male child 7 years old

Axis	Diagnosis
1 Clinical psychiatric syndromes	F91.3 Oppositional defiant disorder F84 Childhood autism
2 Specific disorders of psychological development	F82 Specific developmental disorder of motor function
3 Intellectual level	F70 Mild intellectual disability
4 Medical conditions from ICD-10 often associated with mental and behavioural disorders	K59.0 Constipation, unspecified G40.6 Grand mal seizure, unspecified Q02 Microcephaly
5 Associated abnormal psychosocial situations	Z55.4 Educational maladjustment and discord with teachers and classmates Z63.5 Disruption of family by separation and divorce
6 Global assessment of psychosocial disability	Code 5 – serious and pervasive social disability

Fig. 1 shows a letter from a hypothetical general practitioner (GP) Dr Goodletter.

Using the multiaxial framework, the various bits of information can quickly and easily be placed in the appropriate axis. For illustration, Fig. 2 shows the various factors highlighted with colours, along with a colour-coded reference to the various axes. Of course, this colour coding is not necessary in normal clinical practice and once one is familiar with content of each of the individual axes can easily be done in moments.

Fig. 3(a) shows for illustration a colour-coded multiaxial presentation of the information taken from the GP's letter. By the side of the multiaxial framework is the coding that can be summarised from the letter (assuming accuracy of the information provided). Here one can see that Axes Four and Five can be partially coded and, to the right of the first column in the box, several hypothesised

diagnoses are suggested. Later in the assessment these hypothesised diagnoses can be confirmed or discarded. For completeness of the hypothetical case referred by Dr Goodletter an additional column has been added in Fig. 3(b) showing the confirmed diagnoses further to clinical/psychometric/adaptive behaviour assessment and collateral history from other sources.

In this hypothetical case it was considered that the symptoms in Axis One were best understood as primarily the result of an adjustment to a variety of factors, including parental separation, recent diagnosis of diabetes and an unaddressed intellectual disability. By further clinical enquiry, the boy's apparent self-harm has been found to be episodes of scratching his arm with his nails. It can be appreciated how helpful this process might be in thinking systematically about a clinical case even when the information is initially incomplete. It also allows a

TABLE 4 Extended use of the ICD-10 multiaxial classification system: complex neurodevelopmental case, male child 7 years old^a

Axis ^b	Diagnosis
1 Clinical psychiatric syndromes	F91.3 Oppositional defiant disorder (<i>diagnosed age 7</i>) F84 Childhood Autism (<i>diagnosed age 3</i>), ADOS-2 link
2 Specific disorders of psychological development	F82 Specific developmental disorder of motor function (<i>diagnosed age 5</i>), OT Report Link
3 Intellectual level	F70 Mild intellectual disability (<i>diagnosed age 7</i>) WISC-V link Full-scale IQ (FSIQ) 66 ABAS-3 report link
4 Medical conditions from ICD-10 often associated with mental and behavioural disorders	K59.0 Constipation, unspecified (<i>from age 2</i>) G40.6 Grand mal seizure, unspecified (<i>one seizure at age 4</i>), N.B. no EEG carried out Q02 Microcephaly
5 Associated abnormal psychosocial situations	Z55.4 Educational maladjustment and discord with teachers and classmates Z63.5 Disruption of family by separation and divorce (<i>age 7</i>)
6 Global assessment of psychosocial disability	Code 5 – serious and pervasive social disability

ADOS-2, Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule Second Edition; OT, occupational therapist; WISC-V, Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children Fifth Edition; FSIQ, Full-Scale Intelligence Quotient; ABAS-3, Adaptive Behaviour Assessment System Third Edition; EEG, electroencephalogram.

a. Additional information is shown in bold and chronology is shown in italics.

b. Axes One–Six (World Health Organization 1996).

Dear

I would be most grateful if you could see this ten-year-old boy who has been making his arm bleed. I'm wondering whether he is depressed. His sleep is very disturbed and from time to time he says that he wishes he was dead.

In the background the birth history is complicated: he was premature, and his mother had postnatal depression; there is a further family history of depression and significant drug misuse in the extended family.

The school are raising concerns about attention and failure to concentrate in the classroom and his mother says that he is not progressing and is behind especially in his reading and writing. He has few if any friends.

To complicate matters, he has just been diagnosed with type one diabetes and his parents have recently separated.

Your expert help would be much appreciated.

Yours Sincerely

Dr Goodletter

FIG 1 A hypothetical GP's letter.

trainee or other junior clinician to at least start the process of thinking of the differential diagnoses from the outset.

A process similar to that described above (Figs 1–3) can be usefully employed when one clinician approaches another with a more complicated case for which they are seeking advice and direction. Visualising the multiaxial framework together during case consultation using a whiteboard or electronic equivalent can be useful in this regard. The symptoms and factors relevant to the case can then be placed in the appropriate axes, along with previously confirmed diagnoses. Having laid out the

multiaxial framework with the information already gleaned by the clinician, a series of hypothesised diagnoses can be placed to the right of the first two columns and, to the side of these, further information needed to clarify and substantiate these hypothesised diagnoses could be suggested. A blank template for such a framework is shown in Supplementary Table S2. This approach is also very helpful when teaching about differential diagnosis and also showing clearly how the multiaxial framework allows easy identification of any gaps in the knowledge about individual clinical cases.

Dear

I would be most grateful if you could see this ten-year-old who has been making his arm bleed. I'm wondering whether he is depressed. His sleep is very disturbed and from time to time he says that he wishes he was dead.

In the background the birth history is complicated: he was premature, and his mother had postnatal depression; there is a further family history of depression and significant drug misuse in the extended family.

The school are raising concerns about attention and failure to concentrate in the classroom and his mother says that he is not progressing and is behind especially in his reading and writing. He has few if any friends.

To complicate matters, he has just been diagnosed with type one diabetes and his parents have recently separated.

Your expert help would be much appreciated.

Yours Sincerely

Dr Goodletter

FIG 2 The GP's letter with colour-coded symptoms using Axes 1–6 (One to Six) of the ICD-10 multiaxial classification system (World Health Organization (1996)).

Axis 1 – Clinical psychiatric syndromes
 Axis 2 – Specific disorders of psychological development
 Axis 3 – Intellectual level
 Axis 4 – Medical conditions from ICD-10 often associated with mental and behavioural disorders
 Axis 5 – Associated abnormal psychosocial situations
 Axis 6 – Global assessment of psychosocial disability

(a)

GP Letter

Axis 1 – Clinical psychiatric syndromes

Depressed
 Sleep is very disturbed
 Says that he wishes he was dead
 Concerns about attention and failure to concentrate in classroom
 He has few if any friends

Axis 2 – Specific disorders of psychological development
 Trouble with reading and writing

Axis 3 – Intellectual level

Not progressing at school and is behind

Axis 4 – Medical conditions from ICD-10 often associated with mental and behavioural disorders

Making his arm bleed
 He was premature
 Diagnosed with type one diabetes

Axis 5 – Associated abnormal psychosocial situations

His mother had postnatal depression
 Family history of depression
 Significant drug misuse in the extended family
 Parents have recently separated

Axis 6 – Global assessment of psychosocial disability
 History suggestive of at least moderate social disability

ICD10 Coding from letter	Hypothesised diagnoses for further evaluation
	<u>Axis 1</u> Adjustment disorder (with depressive symptoms) (?F43.2) OR Depressive episode (?F32) Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorders (?F90) Childhood autism (?F84.0)
	<u>Axis 2</u> Mixed disorder of scholastic skills (?F81.3) Specific developmental disorder of motor function (?F82)
	<u>Axis 3</u> Mild/Moderate intellectual disability (?F70-71)
E10 P07	<u>Axis 4</u> Type 1 Diabetes (see paediatric notes) ?X84 Intentional self-harm by unspecified means Prematurity
Z81.8 Z81.3 Z63.5	<u>Axis 5</u> Family history of other mental and behavioural disorders Family history of other psychoactive substance abuse and dependence Disruption of family by separation and divorce
	<u>Axis 6</u> Moderate social disability (?3)

(b)

GP Letter

Axis 1 – Clinical psychiatric syndromes

Depressed
 Sleep is very disturbed
 Says that he wishes he was dead
 Concerns about attention and failure to concentrate in classroom
 He has few if any friends

Axis 2 – Specific disorders of psychological development
 Trouble with his reading and writing

Axis 3 – Intellectual level

Not progressing at school and is behind

Axis 4 – Medical conditions from ICD-10 often associated with mental and behavioural disorders

Making his arm bleed
 He was premature
 Diagnosed with type one diabetes

Axis 5 – Associated abnormal psychosocial situations

His mother had postnatal depression
 Family history of depression
 Significant drug misuse in the extended family
 Parents have recently separated

Axis 6 – Global assessment of psychosocial disability
 History suggestive of at least moderate social disability

ICD10 Coding from letter	Hypothesised diagnoses for further evaluation	Confirmed diagnosis after further assessment (with notes)
	<u>Axis 1</u> Adjustment disorder (with depressive symptoms) (?F43.2) OR Depressive episode (?F32) Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorders (?F90) Childhood Autism (?F84.0)	F43.2 Adjustment disorder (with depressive symptoms) Understood as related to general level of intellectual functioning
	<u>Axis 2</u> Mixed disorder of scholastic skills (?F81.3) Specific developmental disorder of motor function (?F82)	Understood as related to general level of intellectual functioning
	<u>Axis 3</u> Mild/Moderate intellectual disability (?F70-71)	F70 Mild Intellectual disability
E10 P07	<u>Axis 4</u> Type 1 Diabetes (see paediatric notes) Intentional self-harm by unspecified means (?X84) Prematurity	E10 Type 1 Diabetes P07 Prematurity X84 scratches with fingernails
Z81.8 Z81.3 Z63.5	<u>Axis 5</u> Family history of other mental and behavioural disorders Family history of other psychoactive substance abuse and dependence Disruption of family by separation and divorce	Z81.8 Family history of other mental and behavioural disorders Z81.3 Family history of other psychoactive substance abuse and dependence Z63.5 Disruption of family by separation and divorce
	<u>Axis 6</u> Moderate social disability (?3)	Moderate social disability (3)

FIG 3 Using the GP's letter: (a) from letter to ICD-10 coding and hypothesised diagnoses; (b) from letter to ICD-10 coding and confirmed diagnoses (post-assessment).

Planning psychotherapeutic interventions using the ICD-10 framework

Table 5 shows a variant framework for a young adolescent who has presented for assessment in the

CAMHS clinic. The framework this time allows clustering of confirmed and possible diagnoses, along with the proposed interventions, a timescale and who will be overseeing these interventions. The

TABLE 5 Use of the ICD-10 multiaxial classification system to plan psychotherapeutic interventions: young adolescent

Axis ^a	Confirmed/possible diagnoses	Intervention	Timescale	Managing clinician/service
1 Clinical psychiatric syndromes	F32.1 Moderate depressive episode	Fluoxetine 10 mg CBT– number of sessions	Possible use after psychiatry assessment February 2022 – start	Psychiatrist – review in 2 weeks Clinical psychologist
2 Specific disorders of psychological development	Described as ‘dyslexic’ by mother in assessment (?F81 Specific reading disorder)	Standardised reading assessment	Booked for March 2022	Special needs teacher
	Problem in spelling noted by teachers and mother (?F81.1 Specific spelling disorder)	Standardised spelling assessment	Booked for March 2022	Special needs teacher
3 Intellectual level	Continues to struggle at school, ‘falling behind by years’ (?F70 Mild/moderate ID)	WISC-V ABAS-3	Appointment June 2022	Guidance officer /educational psychologist
4 Medical conditions	E10 – Insulin dependent diabetes (type 1 diabetes mellitus)	Paediatrician managed/ liaison with paediatrician, letter copied for information	On-going 3 monthly	Paediatrician
5 Associated abnormal psychosocial situations	Z55.3 Underachievement in school	Suggest additional teaching assistant support in discussion with school	New term	Education/school
6 Global assessment of psychosocial disability	Code 3 – moderate disability	Regular review	3 monthly	Clinical psychologist/psychiatrist

CBT, cognitive-behavioural therapy; ID, intellectual disability; WISC-V, Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children Fifth Edition; ABAS-3, Adaptive Behaviour Assessment System Third Edition.

a. Axes One–Six (World Health Organization 1996).

assessment has been carried out and a primary diagnosis of moderate depressive episode made. However, some areas are identified as requiring clarification. Possible interventions can be suggested for treatment of the primary diagnosis by the treating team, while other disciplines and organisations will be required, if possible, to create a more comprehensive understanding of this young person's problems. In this hypothetical case the adolescent is already being considered for the special needs (additional needs) unit of the school, although no formal testing has taken place. The school's special needs teacher has been identified as being able to carry out a standardised reading and spelling assessment, and the educational psychologist has arranged for psychometric and adaptive behaviour assessment to be undertaken. The WISC-V will be helpful not just in excluding any formal intellectual disability but also in identifying any subtests within the WISC that might indicate the presence of cognitive problems which could be interfering with school progress, such as very low processing speed index (PSI) or working memory index (WMI). Liaison with the paediatrician managing the diabetes, especially if medication is contemplated for the depressive symptoms, will be important. Information might be sought regarding adjustment to the diabetes diagnosis, along with how well the insulin administration is managed, insulin adherence and glycaemic stability. It is further suggested to the school, in the meantime, that additional support is obtained for the child in the special needs unit. Additionally, an assessment of the degree of psychosocial disability can be carried out on a regular basis, using the Global Assessment of Psychosocial Disability (WHO 1996) or other measures, such as the Child Global Assessment Scale (CGAS) (Shaffer 1983; Schorke 2004).

Chronology and the ICD-10 framework

In the discussion above regarding a complex neurodevelopmental presentation (Table 4) it was shown how chronology can be added to a multiaxial framework to potentially enhance the understanding of the case. Another way of presenting the chronological data in this case is shown in Fig. 4.

Here the simplified format shows, at a glance, the interrelationships in time. In this hypothetical case further enquiry shows parental discord occurring in the year before the parents separated and this fits at least in time with a previous deterioration at school. The increasing behavioural problems that have emerged have triggered a request by the psychiatrist for an assessment of cognitive ability. An additional diagnosis of mild intellectual disability was

made after the WISC-V and an adaptive behaviour scale were completed. Axis Six could be further used to code a series of measurements across time.

This construction of a developmental multiaxial framework has many possible uses and can helpfully integrate the research evidence on developmental timings, while a knowledge of the 'continuities and discontinuities in psychopathology' in children and adolescents (Rutter 2006) can also be usefully brought to bear (Kessler 2005; Beesdo 2009; Lijster 2017; Thapar 2020). Furthermore, although the exact manner in which adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) operate in producing childhood psychopathology remains unclear, the evidence points to substantial impacts and the age at which they occur is likely to be very relevant to predicting the effects (Sonuga-Barke 2017; Danese 2022). All in all, a system that allows the easy integration of a developmental or 'lifespan' approach can provide assistance in the analysis of cases, especially if complex. Symptoms over time can also be tracked and this may be valuable in any aetiological hypotheses. In more complex cases, as discussed further below, this approach has clinical utility in assessing medication response over time, as well as tracking the general level of psychosocial disability and the impact on functioning of underlying problems, diagnoses and intervention.

Figure 5 shows in a very simplified manner how other symptoms of concern can be added, along with their chronology. The mental health symptoms can be gathered in Axis One and then the age at which the symptoms were first identified or recorded added to the table. From the further history elucidated in this case a symptom of anxiety was found to have been present from at least the time of the initial diagnosis of autism. Subsequently, low mood, aggression and oppositionality have emerged as symptoms and more recently the young child has engaged in self-biting.

Use of the ICD-10 framework in analysing complex cases, symptom chronology and medication response

Presented above in the hypothetical case of a young boy it has been portrayed how symptoms can be added to a simple presentation. Sometimes, however, complex cases arise that require an even more thorough clinical analysis. Often this occurs when the patient has been in the service for some time without a satisfactory outcome, or when significant deterioration of symptoms has occurred. A similar approach to that of Figs 4 and 5 could assist in the process and in this case a spreadsheet could be utilised to help with it. Let us assume another hypothetical case in which a consultant

Axis	Age at diagnosis							
	Birth – 12mo	1yo	2yo	3yo	4yo	5yo	6yo	7yo
1 Clinical psychiatric syndrome				F84 Childhood autism				F91.3 Oppositional defiant disorder
2 Specific disorders of psychological development						F82 Specific developmental disorder of motor function		
3 Intellectual level								F70 Mild intellectual disability
4 Medical conditions (from ICD-10 often associated with mental and behavioural disorders)	Q02 Microcephaly		K59.0 Constipation, unspecified		G40.6 Grand mal seizure, unspecified			
5 Associated abnormal psychosocial situations							Z55.4 Educational maladjustment and discord with teachers and classmates	Z63.5 Disruption of family by separation and divorce
6 Global assessment of psychosocial disability								5 - Serious and pervasive social disability

FIG 4 Chronological data – diagnoses using Axes 1–6 (One to Six) of the the ICD-10 multi-axial classification system (World Health Organization (1996) (see also Table 4). mo, months old; yo, years old.

paediatric colleague has contacted you in your capacity as the child psychiatry consultation liaison consultant. The case in question is of a 12-year-old girl with Prader–Willi syndrome as the only current diagnosis, and although the eating behaviour has been well managed (with weight within the average range) other significant challenging behaviours have emerged and medication tried. Complicating the case have been frequent moves geographically, such that the young person has been in and out of different paediatric services. The family has presented to the current service in the past few months. There are many notes available, and the psychiatry and paediatric registrars are available to assist. To use the ICD-10 multi-axial framework in this case may very well provide a helpful analysis of a complicated clinical case. First, from the notes is extracted the information to populate the multi-axial schema and, as in the example above of the GP letter, some coding could initially take place, particularly regarding any Z codes. However, it is not necessary to be extremely formal at this stage, especially as in Axis One symptoms, not diagnoses, can be initially gathered and their place in time subsequently indicated. Chart analysis of this type can be initially complicated and ‘messy’, but the simplified example in Fig. 6 shows the general idea.

Axis Four can now serve to identify the medications trialled, doses and symptomatic control achieved (or not), by virtue of comparison with symptom resolution on Axis One. This process can often also identify medication groups that have not been tried and suggest avenues for future medication trials if thought clinically appropriate. Regarding the symptoms and potential diagnoses, these can be correlated with knowledge of the disorder in question, in this case Prader–Willi syndrome. So, if it is found, for instance, that there are suggestions of peer relationship problems and established issues in social communication, a formal diagnosis of autism spectrum disorder (ASD) could be formally considered, as from the literature, ASD has a significant co-occurrence with Prader–Willi syndrome (Dykens 2011). Likewise, intellectual disability can be a feature of Prader–Willi syndrome and if this is an area of concern then checking for previous testing might help to exclude this diagnosis. If testing has never taken place, as in this case, then it becomes one of the recommendations. In Axis Five an eye would be kept out for any significant psychosocial factors and in Axis Six it would be useful to try to gauge as far as possible the severity of any psychosocial disability related to the condition.

Axis		Age at diagnosis							
		Birth – 12mo	1yo	2yo	3yo	4yo	5yo	6yo	7yo
1 Clinical psychiatric syndrome (ICD-10 diagnoses)					F84 Childhood autism				F91.3 Oppositional defiant disorder
Symptom	Anxiety				Anxiety				
	Low mood							Low mood	
	Aggression							Aggression	
	Oppositionality							Oppositionality	
	Biting self								Biting self
2 Specific disorders of psychological development							F82 Specific developmental disorder of motor function		
3 Intellectual level									F70 Mild intellectual disability
4 Medical conditions (from ICD-10 often associated with mental and behavioural disorders)		Q02 Microcephaly		K59.0 Constipation, unspecified		G40.6 Grand mal seizure, unspecified			
5 Associated abnormal psychosocial situations							Z55.4 Educational maladjustment and discord with teachers and classmates	Z63.5 Disruption of family by separation and divorce	
6 Global assessment of psychosocial disability									5 - Serious and pervasive social disability

FIG 5 Chronological data – diagnoses and symptoms using Axes 1–6 (One to Six) of the ICD-10 multiaxial classification system (World Health Organization (1996).

Figure 6 shows the final multiaxial scheme (in spreadsheet format) and how it might appear. At the top of the chart is the age and timeline. The chart has been populated from the information gathered. In this case it seems that generally, symptoms had deteriorated up until the referral to the current treating paediatric team, except for a brief period where the mother had instituted strict boundaries (age 8). The first vertical green column shows suggested intervention after a multiaxial analysis (the chart to the left of the first green column shows the historical information recorded). The three subsequent out-patient appointments after the multiaxial analysis are shown to the right, and the final vertical green column at the extreme right shows the outcomes of the suggested interventions. The outcomes include established diagnoses of ASD, with the standardised testing for speech and language and scholastic skills, in keeping with the diagnosis of intellectual disability (supported by the WISC-V and ABAS scores). The increase in risperidone was suggested during the appointment in November 2019 because since its introduction in February 2019 there appeared to be a response with less aggression. The introduction of the selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor (SSRI) was suggested to manage the anxiety symptoms and given the evidence base for its use (like risperidone) in Prader–Willi syndrome (Bonnot 2016). The

N-acetylcysteine (NAC) had been started prior to the move to the new home and was continued for the skin picking, but the SSRI appeared to have a greater effect. In Axis Five suggestions were made for entry into a local special school, with increased one-to-one support recommended as well as funding for overnight respite. A global assessment of psychosocial disability score has been attempted in Axis Six for the period prior to entry into the current paediatric service and within the current episode of care, as has a CGAS.

Suggestions for including positive, resilience and cultural factors

The ICD-10 multiaxial framework in both its classic usage and its variant uses as described above is very useful for collecting data relevant to understanding and making diagnoses in clinical cases. There is an argument, however, that although it is understandably focused on collecting the information on clinical pathology and potential negative environmental exposure it does not collect any direct positive, resilience or compensatory factors. In Fig. 6 the ABAS report was placed in Axis Three, where along with the FSIQ it allowed a diagnosis of mild intellectual disability. However, if the ABAS had hypothetically identified some significant strengths in functioning (perhaps showing better adaptive skills than might be predicted from the FSIQ), this

Axis	6	7		8	9	10		11	Suggested intervention after	12			Outcomes	
Year	2015	2016		2017	2018	2019		2020	multiaxial analysis	2021				
Months (clinic appointments)		Feb	Oct	Feb	July	Feb	Nov	May		Jan	Mar	Oct		
1 - Clinical psychiatric symptoms	Symptoms								ADOS plus MDT				Fits diagnosis of ASD (F84)	
	Poor social/communication													
	Rigidity							Increased				LESS		
	Obsessive													
	Hyperphagia													
	Tantrums				LESS		Increased	LESS	Increased		LESS	LESS		
	Aggression				LESS		Increased	LESS	Increased		LESS	LESS		
	Skin picking/nail picking		Worse	Tearing skin	LESS	Worse	Increased	Increased	Increased			LESS		
	Anxiety				Increased	Increased	Increased	Increased	Increased			LESS		
Sleep Issues														
2 - Specific disorders of psychological development		Falling behind in reading					Poor writing	Behind in reading	Speech and language				Extremely low range	
		Speech delay						Speech delay	Standardised scholastic assessments				Between year 1 and 2	
3 - Intellectual level							Yr 2 Level		WISC-V (ABAS as below)	Yr 2 Level			FSIQ-53 Fits diagnosis F70.1	
4 - Medical conditions/medication	Prader Willi (Q87.11)													
	Growth hormone													
	Fluoxetine								Trial SSRI					
	10mg													
	20mg													
	Risperidone												Ongoing metabolic monitoring	
	0.25mg								Trial increase risperidone dose					
	0.5mg													
	1mg													
	NAC													
600mg														
Clonidine														
50mcg														
100mcg														
5 - Associated abnormal psychosocial situations and other significant events	School (Z65.4)		New school	School struggling to manage		New school	School disrupted		School disrupted	1 on 1 support teacher	Special school	In school	In school	1:1 teacher aide
	Significant events	Moved to new city	Moved city	Mother working full time	Mother part time	Moved to new city		Mother home	Support special school application			Mother part time		Accepted into special school
		Financial difficulty		Child in childcare	Strict routine and boundaries	Limited support			Respite care					Granted respite care
		Parental divorce (Z63.5)												
		Less support at school												
		Mother anxious												
6 - Global assessment of psychosocial disability (0-9) CGAS (100-0)	Retrospective score	3	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	ABAS				Extremely low range
	Current clinical score										5	4	3	0.2nd percentile
	Current clinical score										31	48		

FIG 6 Symptom and medication chronology using Axes 1–6 (One to Six) of the ICD-10 multiaxial classification system (World Health Organization (1996)). ADOS, Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule; MDT, multidisciplinary team; ASD, autism spectrum disorder; Yr, year; WISC-V, Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children Fifth Edition; FSIQ, Full-Scale Intelligence Quotient.

potentially important information might be lost among the intellectual disability diagnosis. Might Axis Six be used to accumulate such positive functional descriptors and other strengths in psychosocial functioning? Also, although it is clear that there are negative impacts on a child of environmental adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) and these ACEs can be collected in Axis Five, might there also be an argument for the expanded use of the multi-axial framework for including information on protective, resilience and compensatory factors, as these have been shown to have significant modulating effects (Rutter 2012; Crandall 2019)? Also, Axis Five might serve as a repository for the key issues in any cultural formulation of the child and family as per DSM-5.

Conclusions

Classification in psychiatry in general, and in child psychiatry in particular, remains a highly complex and continuously developing area (Cantwell 1996; Scott 2002; Taylor 2008; Rutter 2011; Uher 2012; Surís 2016; Clark 2017; Gaebel 2020).

Those that contributed to the development of the ICD-10 multi-axial classification system with their foresight and empirical testing have gifted a practical and usable framework which, decades on, continues to provide enormous clinical utility. In terms of limitations it should be remembered, however, that over the years there have been many multi-axial systems proposed with different designated axes/number of axes and that the choice of axes is often based on 'looking for a group of quasi-independent clinical aspects representing a reasonable combination of parsimony and richness of information' (Mezzich 1979). It is therefore important that no classification system become reified, as there may be further improvements that can still emerge and multi-axial classification, like psychiatric classification in general, has itself a complex history. It is also the case that there are added complexities in inter-axis relationships, that is although the axes may be separated for the purpose of providing clinical utility, they often have significant relationships to each other (Mezzich 1979).

The multi-axial system developed for child and adolescent psychiatry by the ICD has stood the test of time for many reasons, including its eminently atheoretical approach and very practical nature. This is because clinicians can see that it reflects, far more comprehensively, the clinical picture of a child within their environment. This article suggests some additional usages of the multi-axial system beyond the standard classic use already developed for ICD-10 (WHO 1996). In many ways the multi-axial biopsychosocial framework is best seen as a

logical extension of the comprehensive assessment already entirely familiar to the child and adolescent psychiatrist, once the rationale for dividing up the information among the six axes is fully appreciated. Beyond this it has potential to provide a common framework across the multidisciplinary child mental health space, as well as being helpful as a shared clinical tool in communicating with paediatric colleagues (Mayall 2022).

Future directions

Although the ICD multi-axial system for children and adolescents has had its 'field tests' and improvements in the past, which have shown its acceptability and usefulness, with potential to enhance diagnostic reliability (Rutter 1969, 1975; Skovgaard 1988; van Gooor-Lambo 1990; Shaffer 1991), there remain questions as to its current frequency of clinical usage and its utility in practical clinical terms for contemporary clinicians. Do clinicians find it useful and do they use it in their clinical practice? If so, which parts of the classic/extended format outlined above might be most useful? We hope to address these questions in an assessment of a teaching module for junior psychiatrists, paediatricians and other mental health professionals in the near future for which ethics approval has already been sought and granted (the corresponding author, M.M., can be contacted regarding any details). There are clearly training and resource issues, as well as the need to address time constraints in busy clinical areas, and all these might affect the use and usefulness of the multi-axial system. Might it be helpful in ongoing clinical communication, especially in clinics where there are complex cases and a frequent turnover of medical staff? How far can the multi-axial system support a robust mental health formulation? Might it be of value in clinical discussions with children/adolescents and their families to show both the complexity of a presenting problem and how different areas require different solutions? These questions and many others remain.

Supplementary material

Supplementary material is available online at <https://doi.org/10.1192/bja.2023.39>.

Data availability

Data availability is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analysed in this study.

Author contributions

M.M.: conceptualisation, original draft preparation and rewriting, final editing. B.M., R.S., Y.T., M.B. and S.N.: writing, review, editing.

Funding

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Declaration of interest

None.

References

- American Psychiatric Association (2013) *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (5th edn) (DSM-5). APA Publishing.
- American Psychiatric Association (2022) *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (5th edn, text revision) (DSM-5-TR). APA Publishing.
- Beesdo KP, Knappe SD-P, Pine DS (2009) Anxiety and anxiety disorders in children and adolescents: developmental issues and implications for DSM-V. *The Psychiatric Clinics of North America*, **32**: 483–524.
- Bonnot O, Cohen D, Thuilleaux D, et al (2016) Psychotropic treatments in Prader-Willi syndrome: a critical review of published literature. *European Journal of Pediatrics*, **175**: 9–18.
- Cantwell DP (1996) Classification of child and adolescent psychopathology. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, **37**: 3–12.
- Clark LA, Cuthbert B, Lewis-Fernández R, et al (2017) Three approaches to understanding and classifying mental disorder: ICD-11, DSM-5, and the National Institute of Mental Health's research domain criteria (RDoC). *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, **18**: 72–145.
- Crandall A, Miller JR, Cheung A, et al (2019) ACEs and counter-ACEs: how positive and negative childhood experiences influence adult health. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, **96**: 104089.
- Danese A, Lewis SJ (2022) New directions in research on childhood adversity. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, **220**: 107–8.
- Dykens EM, Lee E, Roof E (2011) Prader-Willi syndrome and autism spectrum disorders: an evolving story. *Journal of Neurodevelopmental Disorders*, **3**: 225–37.
- Essen-Moller E, Wohlfahrt S (1947) VI, 4. Suggestions for the amendment of the official Swedish classification of mental disorders. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, **22**(s47): 551–5.
- Gaebel W, Stricker J, Kerst A (2020) Changes from ICD-10 to ICD-11 and future directions in psychiatric classification. *Dialogues in Clinical Neuroscience*, **22**: 7–15.
- Janca A (1997) *Multiaxial Presentation of the ICD-10 for Use in Adult Psychiatry*. Cambridge University Press.
- Kessler RC, Berglund P, Demler O, et al (2005) Lifetime prevalence and age-of-onset distributions of DSM-IV disorders in the national comorbidity survey replication. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, **62**: 593–602.
- Kress VE, Barrio Minton CA, Adamson NA, et al (2014) The removal of the multiaxial system in the DSM-5: implications and practice suggestions for counselors. *The Professional Counselor*, **4**: 191–201.
- Lijster J, Dierckx B, Utens L, et al (2017) The age of onset of anxiety disorders: a meta-analysis. *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, **62**: 237–46.
- Mayall M, McDermott B, Sadhu R, et al (2021) Multiaxial classification in child and adolescent mental health – a reaffirmation of benefit and practical applications. *Australasian Psychiatry*, **29**: 493–7.
- Mayall M, Teoh Y, McDermott B, et al (2022) Child and adolescent mental health multiaxial classification: a useful biopsychosocial framework for paediatrics? *Journal of Paediatrics and Child Health*, **58**: 1130–5.
- McLaughlin KA, Colich NL, Rodman AM, et al (2020) Mechanisms linking childhood trauma exposure and psychopathology: a transdiagnostic model of risk and resilience. *BMC Medicine*, **18**(1): 96.
- Mezzich JE (1979) Patterns and issues in multiaxial psychiatric diagnosis. *Psychological Medicine*, **9**: 125–37.
- Probst B (2014) The life and death of Axis IV: caught in the quest for a theory of mental disorder. *Research on Social Work Practice*, **24**: 123–31.
- Reed GM, Spaulding WD, Bufka LF (2009) The relevance of the International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF) to mental disorders and their treatment. *Alter*, **3**: 340–59.
- Rutter M, Lebovici S, Eisenberg L, et al (1969) A tri-axial classification of mental disorders in childhood: an international study. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, **10**: 41–61.
- Rutter M, Shaffer D, Shephard M, et al (1975) *A Multi-Axial Classification of Child Psychiatric Disorders: An Evaluation of a Proposal*. World Health Organization.
- Rutter M, Shaffer D (1980) DSM-III: A step forward or back in terms of the classification of child psychiatric disorders? *Journal of the American Academy of Child Psychiatry*, **19**: 371–94.
- Rutter M, Kim-Cohen J, Maughan B (2006) Continuities and discontinuities in psychopathology between childhood and adult life. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, **47**: 276–95.
- Rutter M (2011) Research review: child psychiatric diagnosis and classification: concepts, findings, challenges and potential. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, **52**: 647–60.
- Rutter M (2012) Resilience as a dynamic concept. *Development and Psychopathology*, **24**: 335–44.
- Rutter M, Pine DS (2015) Diagnosis, diagnostic formulations, and classification. In *Rutter's Child and Adolescent Psychiatry* (6th edn) (eds A Thapar, DS Pine, JF Leckman, et al): 17–30. John Wiley & Sons.
- Schorre BEH, Vandvik IH (2004) Global assessment of psychosocial functioning in child and adolescent psychiatry: a review of three unidimensional scales (CGAS, GAF, GAPD). *European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, **13**: 273–86.
- Scott S (2002) Classification of psychiatric disorders in childhood and adolescence: building castles in the sand? *Advances in Psychiatric Treatment*, **8**: 205–13.
- Shaffer D, Gould MS, Brasic J, et al (1983) A Children's Global Assessment Scale (CGAS). *Archives of General Psychiatry*, **40**: 1228–31.
- Shaffer D, Gould MS, Rutter M, et al (1991) Reliability and validity of a psychosocial axis in patients with child psychiatric disorder. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, **30**: 109–15.
- Skovgaard AM, Isager T, Jorgensen OS (1988) The reliability of child psychiatric diagnosis: A comparison among Danish child psychiatrists of traditional diagnosis and a multiaxial diagnostic. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, **77**: 469–76.
- Sonuga-Barke EJS, Kennedy M, Kumsta R, et al (2017) Child-to-adult neurodevelopmental and mental health trajectories after early life deprivation: the young adult follow-up of the longitudinal English and Romanian Adoptees study. *Lancet*, **389**: 1539–48.
- Suris A, Holliday R, North CS (2016) The evolution of the classification of psychiatric disorders. *Behavioral Sciences*, **6**(1): 5.
- Taylor E, Rutter M (2008) Classification. In *Rutter's Child and Adolescent Psychiatry* (5th edn) (eds M Rutter, DVM Bishop, D Pine, et al): Ch 2. Blackwell Science.
- Thapar A, Riglin L (2020) The importance of a developmental perspective in psychiatry: what do recent genetic-epidemiological findings show? *Molecular Psychiatry*, **25**: 1631–9.
- Uher R, Rutter M (2012) Basing psychiatric classification on scientific foundation: problems and prospects. *International Review of Psychiatry*, **24**: 591–605.
- van Goor-Lambo G (1987) The reliability of Axis V of the multiaxial classification scheme. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry and Allied Disciplines*, **28**: 597–612.
- van Goor-Lambo G, Orley J, Poustka F, et al (1990) Classification of abnormal psychosocial situations: preliminary report of a revision of a WHO scheme. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, **31**: 229–41.

MCQ answers

1 d 2 e 3 d 4 b 5 e

Williams J (1985) The multiaxial system of DSM-III: where did it come from and where should it go? I. Its origins and critiques. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, **42**: 175–80.

World Health Organization (1996) *Multiaxial Classification of Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Disorders: The ICD-10 Classification of Mental and Behavioural Disorders in Children and Adolescents*. Cambridge University Press.

World Health Organization (2016) *The ICD-10 Classification of Mental and Behavioural Disorders (ICD-10)* (2016 online version). WHO (<https://icd.who.int/browse10/2016/en>).

ZERO TO THREE (2016) *DC:0–5 - Diagnostic Classification of Mental Health and Developmental Disorders of Infancy and Early Childhood (Version 2.0)*. ZERO TO THREE.

MCOs

Select the single best option for each question stem

1 How many axes does the current ICD-10 multiaxial classification system for child and adolescent psychiatry have?

- a five
- b three
- c four
- d six
- e none.

2 Which of the following diagnostic systems allowed/allows multiaxial classification in some form?

- a DSM-III
- b DC0–5
- c DSM-IV
- d ICD-10
- e all of the above.

3 Which of the following is not a recognisable rationale/characteristic of the ICD-10 multiaxial classification for child and adolescent psychiatry?

- a it stops clinicians being forced to choose between non-exclusive diagnoses
- b it has an atheoretical approach
- c it allows a biopsychosocial approach
- d it creates definitive aetiology for each diagnosis
- e it has an axis for psychosocial disability.

4 In the ICD-10 multiaxial classification for child and adolescent psychiatry which axis covers physical or medical conditions?

- a Axis Three
- b Axis Four
- c Axis Two
- d Axis Five
- e Axis One.

5 In the extended use of the ICD-10 multiaxial system of classification outlined in this article, what additional uses are suggested?

- a planning of psychotherapeutic interventions
- b analysis of complex cases, including medication response
- c hypothesising about differential diagnosis
- d reviewing symptom chronology
- e all of the above.