



## The oral repellent – Whatever happened to it?

Dear Editor,

### The oral repellent – whatever happened to it?

Arthropod-borne diseases are endemic in many regions of the world with insect habitat expanding due to global temperature changes. Enormous amounts of money have been invested for decades in research and development of vector control and personal protection measures, yet, despite small successes, millions around the world still suffer or die from vector-borne diseases, such as malaria, dengue fever, leishmaniasis, Zika, chikungunya and many more. Consequently, travellers, scientists, missionaries, expats, and military personnel leaving for such destinations are at risk of infection and often diagnosed on return [1]. The reason for infection rests on the shortcomings of the two main control measures: 1) vector control, and 2) personal protection [2]. Table 1 presents a small sample of such shortcomings from a long list presented elsewhere [2].

The question of what attracts arthropods, and especially mosquitos, to humans in the first place has a long history leading to the equally long contemplated theory that it might be possible to ingest something to render us unattractive to bloodsuckers in general. Peculiar experiments failed to find an answer. In the 1930s, brimstone and treacle seemed to offend South African mosquitos, but work was discontinued [3]. In the 1960s, the US Defence Forces searched for a substance to address the many deployment-days lost to vector-borne diseases [4], but

no results were achieved. Bizarre trials ingesting and injecting repellents, coffee, smelly fruit and more, also failed. It is easy today to be smug about those early attempts with only basic equipment and limited knowledge of mosquito physiology available at the time.

Luckily for us, rapidly evolving technology, and recent groundbreaking insights into the complicated mosquito chemo-sensation [5] could provide a fresh anchor for innovative research to find the illusive magic substance. An oral preparation, perhaps to be taken sometime before and then throughout the trip, much like malaria prophylaxis, would not only protect the individual but also interrupt the cycle of microbe-uptake by the vector from an infected person. On a larger scale, an oral compound could address many tropical and neglected tropical diseases when administered with tried-and-tested methods of mass distribution. Clearly, not being bitten would be the ideal vector-control strategy.

In addition, vector-control measures impacting on non-target species including on animal and human health would become obsolete. One-Health often favours human health over the supposed equal importance of the health of animals and the environment. Not exterminating target and non-target insects at a time when insect populations are in steep decline would not only improve human health considerably, but insects could recuperate to fulfil their many roles preserving life on this planet.

**Table 1**  
Selected shortcomings of vector control and personal protection measures.

Shortcomings of vector control measures	
Physical	- Impact on aquatic and surface non-target species
Environmental	- Limited to no use in large or natural habitats
Chemical	- Potential replacement by other vectors
Biological	- Natural habitat and all oviposition sites impossible to remove
Genetic	- Severe impact on aquatic and surface non-target species
	- Resistance requires increasingly higher dosage
	- Invasion of 'control/predator'-species
	- Locally restricted
	- Potential unplanned consequences
	- Absence of community participation
Shortcomings of personal protection measures	
Impregnated material	- May not coincide with insects' activity cycle
Spatial protection	- Loss of bio-efficacy
Topical repellents	- Impact on non-target species
	- Respiratory health impact
	- Practical problems: abrasion, wash-off, sunscreen, and more
	- Care required with DEET

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tmaid.2023.102617>

Received 3 July 2023; Accepted 8 July 2023

Available online 11 July 2023

1477-8939/© 2023 The Author. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

## Declaration of competing interest

No competing interests

## References

- [1] Camprubi-Ferrer D, Cobuccio L, Van den Broucke S, et al. Causes of fever in returning travelers: a European multicenter prospective cohort study. *J Trav Med* 2022;29. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jtm/taac002>. taac002.
- [2] Bauer I. The oral repellent – science fiction or common sense? Insects, vector-borne diseases, failing strategies, and a bold proposition. *Trop Dis Travel Med Vaccines* 2023;9:7. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40794-023-00195-9>.
- [3] Burman C. Impressions of, and personal observations on, the recent epidemic of malaria in Maritzburg. *SAMJ (S Afr Med J)* 1932;6:591–4.
- [4] Sherman J. Development of a systemic insect repellent. *JAMA* 1966;196:166–8.
- [5] Herre M, Goldman O, Lu T, et al. Non-canonical odor coding in the mosquito. *Cell* 2022;185:3104–23. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2022.07.024>.

Irmgard L. Bauer

*College of Healthcare Sciences, Academy - Tropical Health and Medicine,  
James Cook University, Townsville, QLD, 4811, Australia*  
E-mail address: [Irmgard.Bauer@jcu.edu.au](mailto:Irmgard.Bauer@jcu.edu.au).