

This is the author-created version of the following work:

# Tebbett, Sterling B., Connolly, Sean R., and Bellwood, David R. (2023) *Benthic composition changes on coral reefs at global scales*. Nature Ecology and Evolution, 7 (1) pp. 71-81.

Access to this file is available from: https://researchonline.jcu.edu.au/78566/

Published Version: © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Limited 2023. Author Accepted Version may be made open access in an Institutional Repository after a 6 month embargo.

Please refer to the original source for the final version of this work: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559%2D022%2D01937%2D2

- 1 Title:
- 2 Benthic composition changes on coral reefs at global scales
- 3

## 4 Author list:

- 5 Sterling B. Tebbett<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>, Sean R. Connolly<sup>2,3,4</sup>, David R. Bellwood<sup>1,2,3</sup>
- 6

# 7 \*Corresponding Author:

- 8 Email: sterling.tebbett@my.jcu.edu.au
- 9

# 10 Affiliations:

- <sup>11</sup> Research Hub for Coral Reef Ecosystem Functions, James Cook University, Townsville,
- 12 Queensland 4811, Australia
- <sup>2</sup>ARC Centre of Excellence for Coral Reef Studies, James Cook University, Townsville,
- 14 Queensland 4811, Australia
- <sup>3</sup>College of Science and Engineering, James Cook University, Townsville, Queensland 4811,
   Australia
- <sup>4</sup> Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, Balboa, Republic of Panama
- 18
- 19

20

# 21 Abstract

22 Globally, ecosystems are being reconfigured by a range of intensifying human-induced

23 stressors. Coral reefs are at the forefront of this environmental transformation, and if we are

to secure their key ecosystem functions and services, it is important to understand the likely

configuration of future reefs. However, the composition and trajectory of global coral reef

- benthic communities is currently unclear. Our global dataset of 24,468 observations spanning
  22 years (1997-2018), revealed that particularly marked declines in coral cover occurred in
- the Western Atlantic and Central Pacific. The data also suggests that high macroalgae cover,
- 29 widely regarded as the major degraded state on coral reefs, is a phenomenon largely restricted
- to the Western Atlantic. At a global scale, the raw data suggests decreased average ( $\pm$  SE)
- hard coral cover from  $36 \pm 1.4\%$  to  $19 \pm 0.4\%$  (during a period delineated by the first global
- coral bleaching event [1998] until the end of the most recent event [2017]) was largely
- associated with increased low-lying algal cover such as algal turfs and crustose coralline
   algae. Enhanced understanding of reef change, typified by decreased hard coral cover and
- algae. Enhanced understanding of reef change, typified by decreased hard coral cover and
   increased cover of low-lying algal communities, will be key to managing Anthropocene coral
- 36 reefs.
- 37

# 38 Main Text

# 39 Introduction

The pace and spatial extent of ecosystem transformation is expected to increase in the Anthropocene as the strength of human-mediated stressors intensifies <sup>1–3</sup>. The magnitude of these stressor effects is so large that it has led to suggestions that returning altered ecosystems to configurations of the recent past may be an unattainable goal <sup>4–6</sup>. Instead, the primary goals now are to maintain the functions that sustain these ecosystems, and to secure the services 45 that they deliver to people, even in their highly-altered configurations 4-6. A prerequisite to

these goals is an understanding of the variability in the structure of altered ecosystems at aglobal scale.

These challenges are epitomised by coral reefs, vulnerable ecosystems at the forefront 48 of environmental transformations <sup>7–9</sup>. Climate change is foremost among the stressors 49 50 modifying these ecosystems, with repeated mass coral bleaching events at global spatial scales <sup>10,11</sup>. It is inevitable that coral reefs of the future will be different and will continue to 51 change. These changing configurations may have far-reaching consequences for marine 52 biodiversity <sup>12</sup>, reef ecosystem functions <sup>13</sup>, and for the hundreds of millions of people that 53 rely on coral reefs for services <sup>14,15</sup>. Clearly, if we are to manage reefs through these changes, 54 we need to understand their likely composition in the near future. Given the spatial and 55 temporal scope of the stressors currently acting upon coral reefs, this understanding must be 56 57 at a global scale.

To gain an insight into likely coral reef composition in the near future, and to identify 58 59 the most pressing future research endeavours, we need to answer two relatively straightforward questions: 1) how is the benthic composition of coral reefs changing at a 60 global scale? and 2) how, and to what extent, do these changes vary among the world's 61 62 marine realms? To explore these questions, we compiled an extensive, global, dataset composed of 24,468 observations of mean coral reef benthic composition. These observations 63 spanned 13,802 unique GPS coordinates and 22 years from 1997 (i.e. prior to the first global 64 mass-coral bleaching event <sup>16</sup>) until 2018 (i.e. a year after the most recent global coral 65 bleaching event <sup>16</sup>). They encompassed all major reef regions across 60° of latitude (Fig. 1a). 66

67

### 68 **Results**

### 69 *Reef benthic composition data – a global overview*

70 An initial exploration of the dataset suggests that, from 1997-2018, variation in the benthic composition of the world's coral reefs has largely been typified by the dynamics of 71 reef building scleractinian corals (i.e. hard corals) and low-lying algal communities (Fig. 1). 72 Indeed, this initial visualisation shows low-lying algal communities largely replacing hard 73 74 corals on reefs as their cover decreased, especially in the last decade (Fig. 1b). Interestingly, 75 there is also a signal in the data that is potentially related to the three global mass coral bleaching events; in the year following each bleaching event, there was a decrease in hard 76 77 coral cover and a concomitant increase in low-lying algal cover (Fig. 1b). Overall, from the onset of the first global bleaching event (1998) to the end of the most recent event (2017), the 78 79 global average ( $\pm$  SE) of hard coral cover decreased from  $36 \pm 1.4\%$  to  $19 \pm 0.4\%$  while lowlying algae cover increased from  $37.7 \pm 1.3\%$  to  $50.8 \pm 0.5\%$ . Although for the full temporal 80 span of our analysis (1997-2018), the average hard coral cover decreased from  $32.4 \pm 0.9\%$  to 81 82  $25 \pm 0.6\%$  while low-lying algal cover increased from  $37.7 \pm 0.9\%$  to  $39.8 \pm 0.6\%$  (Fig. 1b). This decrease in coral cover is expected; widespread coral mortality typically follows mass 83 bleaching events<sup>17,18</sup>. However, the increase in low-lying algae has not been well documented 84 in previous global scale studies of coral reef change. These low-lying algal communities 85 86 range from biofilms and crustose coralline algae (CCA) to long sediment-laden algal turfs (see Supplementary Text 1 and Supplementary Figs 1-4 for a comprehensive discussion of 87 this continuum of algal groups, and a justification for pooling them in this study) and they are 88 poorly captured in most existing coral reef data, frequently being lumped together as 'dead 89 coral', 'pavement', or even 'bare space' (Supplementary Fig. 1). This situation limits our 90

capacity to divide them further and make more informed inferences about how they relate to
 coral loss (Supplementary Text 1). However, once corals are lost, it is these low-lying algal
 communities, especially algal turfs and cyanobacteria, that generally fill the vacated space
 <sup>18,19</sup>, with such reef conditions often being perceived as a 'degraded' (i.e. low coral cover)
 <sup>20,21</sup>.

Beyond hard coral cover and low-lying algal community cover, there is an apparent 96 trend of increasing macroalgae, from an average of  $5.9 \pm 0.6\%$  to  $16.7 \pm 0.5\%$  cover (across 97 98 the entire temporal span 1997-2018), at a global scale. This is somewhat intriguing given that high macroalgae cover is widely considered to represent a common degraded condition on 99 coral reefs <sup>7,20</sup>. Indeed, given the occurrence of multiple bleaching events during this period, 100 one would expect a stronger signal of increased macroalgae cover in the data. It is also 101 interesting to note that despite a growing focus in the literature on soft corals and other 102 benthic organisms (e.g. sponges and ascidians)<sup>22</sup>, these benthic categories have never 103 represented major components of global reef cover, consistently covering just ~5-10% of the 104 benthos, on average (Fig. 1b). 105

106

### 107 Reef benthic composition among realms

While the analyses above provide an overall picture of average global trends, both the 108 109 composition of reef systems and the nature and intensity of human impacts varies considerably, both geographically and among habitat types. Therefore, we explored how 110 benthic composition varied across four major marine realms (Central Pacific, Indian Ocean, 111 Indo-West Pacific, Western Atlantic). We focused in on three equidistant time points (1997, 112 2007, 2017), while also accounting for the influence of depth and habitat. To do this, we first 113 114 tested if the four factors (realm, year, depth, habitat) were significantly correlated with the multivariate benthic composition data using a permutational multivariate analysis of variance 115 (PERMANOVA). This analysis suggested that all factors were significantly correlated with 116 the data (p <0.001 in all cases; Supplementary Table 1), although among-realm differences 117 overwhelmingly explained more variation than the other factors (Supplementary Table 1). To 118 119 visualise these differences, we utilised a multivariate ordination constrained by these four key factors (Fig. 2). This revealed three distinct patterns. Firstly, from 1997 to 2017 the Western 120 Atlantic has occupied an increasingly distinct area of multivariate space compared to the 121 other realms, characterized by a relatively high cover of macroalgae and 'other' organisms 122 (Fig. 2b-d; Extended Data Fig. 1). Secondly, the Central Pacific has become increasingly 123 restricted to an area of multivariate space typified by a high relative cover of low-lying algal 124 communities (Fig. 2b-d; Extended Data Fig. 1). Thirdly, despite numerous large-scale 125 disturbances in the 20-year period <sup>10,11,23</sup>, reefs in the Indian Ocean and Indo-West Pacific 126 have consistently occupied an area of multivariate space typified by relatively high hard coral 127 cover (Fig. 2; Extended Data Fig. 1). Importantly, these three general inferences were 128 consistent when the data were examined using different start and end points (1998 and 2016, 129 1999 and 2015; Supplementary Figs 5, 6; Supplementary Table 1). Overall, this suggests that 130 131 there are major differences in benthic compositions across realms.

132

#### 133 Among-realm dynamics of key benthic categories

Given the overwhelming contribution of hard corals, low-lying algal communities,and macroalgae to benthic dynamics and associated changes (Figs 1, 2), the among-realm

variation of these key benthic categories clearly warrants more detailed examination. To do 136 this, we used generalised additive mixed effects models (GAMMs) to specifically focus on 137 the dynamics of hard corals, macroalgae and low-lying algal communities from 1997-2018 138 (Fig. 3; Supplementary Fig. 7). These models revealed a trend of decreasing hard coral cover 139 in the Western Atlantic and Central Pacific, with limited net change in the Indo-West Pacific 140 and Indian Ocean (Fig. 3a; Extended Data Fig. 2a; Supplementary Table 2). In the Western 141 Atlantic, along with coral loss, there has been a significant concomitant non-linear increase in 142 macroalgal coverage (F value = 13.68, p < 0.001; Fig. 3b; Extended Data Fig. 2b; 143 Supplementary Table 2). Thus, it is likely that this increase in macroalgae in the Western 144 145 Atlantic is underpinning the signal of increasing macroalgae cover at a global scale (Fig. 1). This is because, macroalgal cover has remained consistently low in the Indo-West Pacific and 146 Central Pacific (Fig. 3b; Extended Data Fig. 2b), with only a small, but strongly fluctuating, 147 148 net increase in the Indian Ocean (Fig. 3b; Extended Data Fig. 2b). Unlike in the Western 149 Atlantic, coral loss in the Central Pacific has largely been associated with a concomitant change in the cover of low-lying algal communities (Fig. 3c; Extended Data Fig. 2c; 150 Supplementary Table 2). Given that many reefs in the Indo-West and Central Pacific have 151 152 been repeatedly subjected to a wide array of stressors, including repetitive mass coral bleaching events and widespread coral mortality <sup>10,11</sup>, we would have expected a more 153 detectable signal in the data if macroalgae were going to increase in these realms. We 154 conclude, therefore, that the dynamics between hard coral and macroalgae cover differ 155 markedly between the Western Atlantic versus Indo-West, Central Pacific and Indian Ocean 156 157 realms.

It is important to note that these general inferences were found to be robust when the 158 influence of potential confounding factors were explored. Specifically, we performed 159 additional GAMMs to examine if model trajectories differed substantially when: a) the data 160 were pooled at two increasingly coarse spatial resolutions (i.e. to explore if sample size 161 and/or if numerous data points in close proximity were influencing patterns) (Supplementary 162 Figs 8, 9; Supplementary Table 3); b) only a subset of the benthic composition data were 163 used (to explore susceptibility to collection methods) (Supplementary Fig. 10; Supplementary 164 Table 4) and c) variation of the temporal extent (to explore sensitivity to start and end years) 165 (Supplementary Fig. 11; Supplementary Table 5). In all cases the general trajectories of hard 166 167 coral, macroalgae and low-lying algae cover across realms were maintained, or even strengthened, suggesting that our inferences were robust. Additionally, we explored the 168 dynamics of the other benthic categories that made up the dataset (i.e. soft corals, other 169 170 organisms and sand) through time and across depths (see Supplementary Fig. 12; Supplementary Table 2). However, as these benthic categories only made up a minor fraction 171 of benthic composition (often less than 5% of average benthic cover) and/or there was limited 172 net change through time (Supplementary Fig. 12), we have not expanded on the discussion of 173 these findings herein. 174

175

### 176 Habitat and depth specific dynamics of key benthic categories

Beyond realm differences, the largest ecological gradients on coral reefs are often
cross-habitat or cross-depth gradients <sup>24</sup>. It is for this reason that these factors were
specifically accounted for in our analysis of hard coral, macroalgae and low-lying algae cover
trajectories across realms, and the trends presented above are standardized for such effects.
However, given the extent of variation that may occur across these gradients, further, detailed
analysis was warranted. To do this, we focused on two habitats which were generally most

frequently sampled in each of the four realms (Extended Data Fig. 3; Supplementary Fig. 13):
the reef crest (0-5 m) and upper reef slope (5-15 m). Based on these habitat subsets, we
performed the same analyses as above, to explore benthic trajectories through time across
realms.

In general, the habitat-specific analyses revealed similar trends to the complete 187 analyses (Figs 3, 4; Supplementary Tables 2, 6). However, there were three more nuanced 188 trends which were particularly notable. Firstly, the extent of hard coral loss in the Central 189 Pacific was far greater on shallow reef crests compared to the upper slope (Fig. 4a, b). 190 191 Consequently, concomitant increases in the cover of low-lying algae in the Central Pacific were also higher on the crest relative to the slope (Fig. 4e, f). Secondly, in the Western 192 Atlantic, macroalgae cover has increased to a greater extent on the reef crest compared to the 193 upper slope (Fig. 4c, d). Finally, there was a particularly strong signal of coral loss and 194 195 increased low-lying algal cover in the Indian Ocean on upper reef slopes in the late 1990s (Fig. 4b, f). This strong signal aligns well with the 1998 coral bleaching event in this realm. 196 Taken together, these analyses support the general inferences from the full dataset, but also 197 198 extend the findings by revealing more nuanced variation of benthic trajectories within specific habitats. 199

200

#### 201 Discussion

The change in coral reef benthic composition is generally associated with the loss of 202 hard scleractinian coral cover <sup>7,20,25</sup>. In this respect, our results are consistent with previous 203 204 studies. For example, the long history of declining hard coral cover in the Western Atlantic, especially in the Caribbean, is well established in the literature  $^{26-28}$ . Our results suggest that 205 the declines documented in earlier studies in this realm<sup>26,27</sup>, have continued, with the low 206 levels of 10-15% coral cover in our study aligning well with recent reports <sup>29</sup>. Moreover, the 207 208 relative stability of hard coral cover during this period (1997-2018) in the Indo-West Pacific  $^{30}$  as well as in the Indian Ocean (with the notable exception of the 1998 bleaching event)  $^{31}$ , 209 has been previously documented, with our levels of 25-30% also aligning well with recent 210 reports from these regions <sup>29</sup>. Nevertheless, our study differs from these past studies as we 211 separated algal forms and highlight that increasing cover of tall macroalgae is largely 212 restricted to the Western Atlantic, with ramifications for how we perceive coral reef change 213 globally. However, it is important to highlight that our study, along with the previous studies, 214 all represent realm-wide average trends, with changes within specific locations potentially 215 diverging from these trajectories <sup>27,29</sup>. 216

Importantly, we also explored how benthic trajectories varied within reef crest and 217 slope habitats within realms. In doing so, we revealed that the trajectories were quite 218 219 consistent across these two habitats, but that there were some key differences in the magnitude of benthic change. Such differences likely relate to a range of abiotic (e.g. light 220 levels, wave exposure) and biotic (e.g. herbivory, competition) factors that may vary across 221 222 habitats (i.e. at a scale of 10s of metres). Unfortunately, we were only able to explore benthic trajectories in reef crest and slope habitats due to the relative paucity of benthic data from 223 other habitats (Extended Data Fig. 3). While the only habitat/depth related restriction we 224 placed on the inclusion of data in our study was that it had to be collected at depths shallower 225 than 30 m, most data (76%) came from reef crest and slope habitats (Extended Data Fig. 3). 226 By contrast, just 7% of data were derived from reef flat habitats (Extended Data Fig. 3), 227 228 despite this habitat generally being the most expansive (by areal extent) on coral reefs  $^{32}$ .

Interestingly, the same patterns have recently been described for research on reef fishes <sup>32</sup>,
 suggesting that, as a whole, research on reefs is focused on a subset of habitats, potentially
 resulting in an incomplete understanding of how they function <sup>33</sup>.

Furthermore, most benthic composition data on coral reefs were collected after the 232 global 1998 coral bleaching event (Extended Data Fig. 4), which means that large scale 233 234 monitoring efforts often began on systems which were already disturbed. Indeed, it is for this reason that our timeseries only focused on data from 1997 onwards. It could be that the 235 relatively stable levels of hard coral cover documented in some regions (i.e. the Indo-West 236 Pacific and Indian Ocean) in recent years may not reflect historical baselines that may have 237 been higher preceding the initial global mass bleaching event <sup>20,30,34</sup>. Unfortunately, benthic 238 composition data is exceedingly sparse in earlier years <sup>29,34</sup> (Extended Data Fig. 4), making it 239 hard to discern the full extent of reef benthic community change. 240

Nevertheless, given a situation of relatively low, and in some cases decreasing, hard 241 coral cover on reefs in recent decades, we might ask: what benthic category is replacing 242 243 corals? This is where our study differs from many previous studies, particularly those at a similar spatial scale, as we specifically endeavoured to separate large (i.e.  $\sim >2$  cm tall) 244 macroalgae from low-lying algal forms (e.g. CCAs and turfs). Previous global data 245 compilations have largely focused on 'algae' cover, with the constituent algal groups (tall 246 macroalgae, turf algae, CCA etc) often being unclear <sup>22,29</sup>. Indeed, it is exactly this lack of 247 resolution from past studies that hinders the inclusion of more specific categories herein, and 248 why our division of algal categories was limited to macroalgae versus low-lying algae (see 249 Supplementary Text 1 for a discussion about problems with the historical quantification of 250 low-lying algae in coral reef monitoring). However, this division between macroalgae and 251 252 low-lying algae was sufficient to reveal that while 'algae' may be increasing on many reefs globally<sup>29</sup>, in most cases this is not tall macroalgae but rather low-lying algae, such as turfs. 253 Moreover, increases in macroalgae cover are largely restricted to Western Atlantic reefs (Figs 254 2, 3, 4 and Extended Data Fig. 5). This has important implications for our understanding of 255 256 changing coral reefs.

Much of our understanding about coral reef decline is based on reefs in the Western 257 Atlantic realm <sup>20,28,35</sup>. However, our analyses strongly support the hypothesis that the Western 258 Atlantic is functioning in a fundamentally different manner to other coral reef realms, and 259 calls into question the extent to which insights gleaned there can inform our understanding of 260 ongoing change in other realms (see <sup>25,36,37</sup>). It has previously been highlighted that many top-261 down (e.g. herbivory) and bottom-up (e.g. macroalgal growth rate) factors, as well as 262 anthropogenic stressors (e.g. fishing pressure, relative accessibility of reefs [but see 263 264 Supplementary Text 2; Supplementary Fig. 14]) can, and probably do, differ fundamentally between reefs in the Western Atlantic versus Indo-West Pacific, with implications for their 265 respective resilience to perturbations <sup>36</sup>. Deciphering how these various explanatory variables 266 are potentially linked to differences in benthic composition across realms could thus be a 267 worthwhile future research endeavour. However, it is important to note that any resulting 268 269 correlations from these analyses could be spurious, or proximal, as the ultimate drivers may 270 largely be related to differences in historical biogeography among realms.

Marked differences in biodiversity and functional diversity between the Indo-West Pacific and the Western Atlantic <sup>20,36</sup> stem from their fundamentally distinct biogeographical histories <sup>38,39</sup>. For instance, these realms differ more than 3-fold and 7-fold in their diversity of fishes and scleractinian corals, respectively <sup>20</sup>. Importantly, these disparities could explain some of the variation in benthic composition trajectories between the Indo-West Pacific and

- the Western Atlantic. Many fast-growing *Acropora* coral growth forms, which drive early
- 277 coral recovery on Indo-West Pacific reefs  $^{20,40}$ , as well as functional groups of key
- herbivorous fishes that may be key in controlling macroalgae proliferation (e.g. crevice
- cleaning surgeonfishes/rabbitfishes and browsing rabbitfishes/nasine surgeonfishes) <sup>36,41</sup>, are
- completely lacking in the Western Atlantic. This potentially pre-disposes Atlantic reefs to
   much higher macroalgal cover following coral loss. Consequently, the modern-day
- configurations of both fish and benthic communities on reefs in each realm could ultimately
- be rooted in historical biogeography, with the influence of these drivers being concatenated in
- the 'realm' factor in our analyses.

Outside the Western Atlantic, coral loss and reef change are largely independent of 285 macroalgal cover. In this respect, the Central Pacific could represent a harbinger of future 286 reefs in the Indo-West Pacific realm as it has experienced a substantial degree of coral loss 287 over recent decades; potentially mirroring what could happen on Indo-West Pacific reefs 288 going forward <sup>7,10</sup>. Central Pacific and Indo-West Pacific reefs may respond to disturbance in 289 a broadly similar manner, as they share critical functional groups of hard corals <sup>20,40</sup> and 290 herbivorous fishes <sup>36,41</sup>, yielding similar response diversity and resilience mechanisms, 291 especially when compared to the Western Atlantic <sup>20,36,40,41</sup>. As a result, concepts derived 292 from Central Pacific reefs may provide a more meaningful insight into the future of Indo-293 294 West Pacific reefs when compared to those from Western Atlantic reefs.

Given a situation where macroalgae may not be the major benthic category replacing 295 corals on Indo-Pacific reefs, it is critical to consider what the functional implications of more 296 extensive low-lying algal cover may be. To do this, we first need to recognize that these low-297 298 lying algal communities are by no means homogenous. They exist on a continuum ranging 299 from biofilms and CCAs, through sparse algal turfs and CCAs, to long sediment-laden algal turfs (for a full discussion see Supplementary Text 1; Supplementary Figs 2-4). Where the 300 state of low-lying algal communities falls along this continuum may have a variety of 301 implications for future reefs and how they function. These include core ecosystem processes 302 such as coral recruitment, herbivory/productivity and calcification <sup>13</sup>. For example, a benthic 303 condition typified by low-lying algal communities composed of high CCA and/or short well-304 cropped algal turf cover is not resistant to the return of corals via recruitment after a 305 disturbance <sup>18,42–44</sup>. By contrast, long sediment-laden algal turfs, can inhibit coral settlement, 306 growth and, as a consequence, the recovery of coral cover <sup>42,43</sup>, mirroring reef states typified 307 by high macroalgal cover <sup>42,44,45</sup>. Management actions that aim to maintain low-lying benthic 308 communities typified by CCA and short algal turfs may thus be beneficial, until climate 309 change is addressed and/or corals adapt, to facilitate the return of corals to reefs via 310 recruitment. 311

In addition to being a more favourable benthic state for coral recruitment, coral reefs 312 typified by a high cover of low-lying algal communities may also benefit the provision of 313 some other key services. For example, fisheries catches in many reef locations are already 314 heavily composed of fishes that derive their nutrition from components of low-lying algal 315 communities <sup>46,47</sup>. Indeed, compared to macroalgae, low-lying algal communities generally 316 provide a broader range of nutritious resources (e.g. algal turfs, detritus, biofilms) that are fed 317 on by a wider diversity of fishes <sup>48</sup>. Therefore, maintaining highly productive short algal turf 318 communities may be important in sustaining fisheries catches in some areas, although this is 319 inherently linked to the quantity of sediments in low-lying algae<sup>49</sup>. Moreover, a decrease in 320 coral cover and coral derived calcification in the future <sup>50</sup>, may mean that the role of CCA in 321 calcification and reef building also becomes more important. In this respect, the reef building 322 capacity of CCA is increasingly being recognised <sup>33</sup>, with the suggestion that the substratum 323

formed by CCA may be resistant to future climate change  $^{51,52}$ . If the outlook for reefs is

- typified by high coverage of low-lying algal and CCA communities it may, therefore, be
- possible to maintain some key ecosystem functions within this configuration.

Overall, the trajectories reported herein carry substantial implications for our 327 328 understanding of changes on coral reefs at global scales and for the differing, realm-329 dependent, compositions that may arise in the near future. Western Atlantic coral reefs are clearly typified by relatively high macroalgal cover, with the main axes of change in this 330 realm being one between hard corals and macroalgae (Extended Data Fig. 5). However, in the 331 other realms, coral reef benthic composition rarely falls along this coral-macroalgae axis of 332 change, nor is macroalgae a major benthic category. Instead, for at least two decades, from 333 1997 to 2018, most reefs have had high cover of low-lying algal communities with the 334 majority of benthic dynamics involving fluctuations in hard coral versus low-lying algae. 335 336 Variation in hard coral and low-lying algae cover is likely to remain a critical axis of change 337 for most future coral reefs.

338

## 339 Methods

## 340 Benthic composition dataset compilation

341 To examine how benthic composition varied across coral reefs globally we compiled an extensive dataset of benthic cover over the past four decades (1977-2018). This dataset 342 consisted of over 24,000 individual benthic composition data points (derived from both 343 smaller scale timeseries and one-off studies). These data were derived from multiple coral 344 reef monitoring databases and by systematically sorting through 14,900 past studies. We 345 limited our definition of coral reefs to locations between  $\pm 30^{\circ}$  of latitude from the Equator 346 (following  $^{53}$ ) and from 0 - 30 m water depth. The individual observations in our dataset were 347 mean benthic community composition, which was the finest resolution of data that could be 348 reliably sourced. Means were based on data with the same latitude, longitude, depth and 349 habitat within the same year. 350

351 Based on an initial examination of available data, and the manner in which previous studies and monitoring schemes had categorised the benthos, we selected six benthic 352 categories to represent benthic composition: hard coral (i.e. Scleractinian corals), soft corals 353 (generally considered corals from the order Alcyonacea), macroalgae (generally considered 354 algae  $>\sim 20$  mm in height <sup>37,54</sup> [Supplementary Fig. 3]), a low-lying algal community category 355 (analogous to the 'CTB' category often used in past studies) which included algal 356 357 turf/crustose coralline algae[CCA]/rubble/cyanobacteria/dead coral/bare substratum/rock (as benthic composition data rarely discriminated among these different benthic categories a 358 single category was used following <sup>18,55–57</sup> to maximise data retention; see Supplementary 359 Text 1 for an extensive discussion on this), other biotic organisms (e.g. other biotic organisms 360 that are found on reefs but are often not major constituents such as sponges, ascidians, 361 seagrass, bivalves), and sand/sediment. It is critical to note that in all cases, we ensured the 362 'other' category did not comprise benthic categories often classed as 'abiotic' but are almost 363 always covered in a constituent of the low-lying algal category (e.g. algal turfs, CCA, 364 cyanobacteria)<sup>19,58–60</sup>. Likewise, we ensured that all data had specifically monitored for 365 'other' biotic benthic components such as sponges and ascidians to ensure these were not 366 erroneously included as constituents of the low-lying algal category. With these six benthic 367 categories we were able to capture 100% of the coral reef benthic community composition 368 (i.e. we did not just focus on a subset of the biotic benthic community). We relied on these 369

major divisions to maximise the resolution in the dataset, while minimising the amount of 370 data that had to be excluded because it was collected at a coarser resolution. Indeed, given the 371 varied nature in how the benthos has been categorised globally (as discussed in 372 Supplementary Text 1) this relatively coarse categorisation scheme helped with our 373 endeavour to standardise benthic categories, to the best of our ability given the available data. 374 However, important additional insights can be realised if future work prioritises a finer 375 resolution categorisation of the benthos. We recommend that a standardised global scheme 376 for categorising the benthos (with careful consideration of how various low-lying algal 377 groups are classified) would substantially improve the utility of coral reef monitoring 378 379 programs.

Initially, we compiled benthic composition data from six major publicly available 380 monitoring databases: Caribbean Coastal Marine Productivity (CARICOMP), Moorea Coral 381 Reef Long Term Ecological Research, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration 382 (NOAA), Reef Check, Reef Check Australia and Reef Life Survey (see Supplementary Text 383 3, 4 for a full list of data sources and links). Where necessary, these data were pooled into the 384 385 relevant benthic composition categories, and means were calculated (i.e. based on data with the same GPS coordinates, at the same depth, in the same habitat and year sampled). In all 386 cases, spurious data categories (e.g. transect tape, mobile fauna and unclassified) were 387 388 excluded from calculations as they did not represent sessile benthic substrata. Data from these databases were also excluded if the metadata (namely depth, latitude, longitude, and sampling 389 year) were missing or spurious. 390

To complement the data from these databases and to ensure that our dataset was as 391 comprehensive as possible, we then undertook an extensive formal search of the literature for 392 available data. Our search and filtering process was based on PRISMA protocols <sup>61</sup>, and a 393 flow diagram outlining each step is provided in the supplementary material (Supplementary 394 Fig. 15). This was achieved using the search engine GoogleScholar and the search terms: 395 "coral reef" AND benthic AND percent AND (transect OR quadrat). This search yielded 396 14,900 total results on the 20<sup>th</sup> of May 2020 (not including patents or citations). Note that, in 397 contrast to searches in databases such as Web of Science and Scopus, these search terms take 398 advantage of Google Scholar's default setting to search within the full text of documents. 399 Therefore, for example, the use of terms such as 'transect' do not limit the papers returned to 400 methods papers containing this term in the title, abstract or keywords of documents. 401

402 We evaluated these 14,900 documents based on their title and abstract for any potentially relevant documents. This process identified a subset of 1,748 potentially relevant 403 documents for further investigation. Each of these documents were then examined in detail 404 405 and the suitability of their data for inclusion in our dataset was assessed against a set of criteria. Acceptance criteria were: a) sampling was conducted on a coral reef system within 406 our definition of a coral reef (i.e.  $\pm 30^{\circ}$  of latitude from the Equator and 0 - 30 m water 407 depth), b) the document contained benthic community composition data at sufficiently fine 408 resolution to fit into our six benthic categories in a form that we could extract (i.e. raw data, 409 tables or from figures), with no missing data/benthic categories, c) the data were pooled at the 410 411 scale of the sampling site or a finer spatial scale (i.e. data across individual sampling sites were not pooled and presented together), d) the data presented in the manuscript had not 412 already been included in our database from another source, e) sufficient detail was presented 413 414 in the document that outlined the sampling location, depth, time and methods used, and f) there was no clear selection of study sites to meet pre-defined criteria (e.g. if a study 415 specifically selected for 'high coral cover' sites it was not included). 416

We relied on the criteria above to ensure that: a) the ecosystem sampled was 417 consistent across data, b) the data covered the entire coral reef benthos, c) among-site level 418 variation was not averaged out, d) we did not include the same data twice, e) we had 419 sufficient information on the sampling to explain any patterns in benthic community 420 composition, and f) any potential sampling biases associated with focused site selection were 421 minimised. On this later point, it should be noted that coral reef research in general often 422 focuses on coral-dominated rather than algae-dominated habitats <sup>22,62</sup>, with the habitats most 423 frequently sampled by coral reef researchers (i.e. slope and crest; Extended Data Fig. 3) often 424 having the highest coral cover <sup>32</sup>. This means that in most coral reef research a decline rather 425 than recovery in coral cover is likely to be documented (also see <sup>62</sup>). After this second 426 extensive filtering process we were left with a subset of 83 studies that contained relevant 427 data for our dataset (see Supplementary Text 3 for a full list of references). The vast majority 428 429 of documents (1,449) simply did not contain the necessary data (i.e. most only reported 'coral 430 cover' or 'algae cover' rather than complete benthic community composition).

From each relevant document we then extracted data on the benthic cover (mean 431 percent/proportion) of each of our six benthic categories at the level of individual sites (i.e. 432 unique GPS coordinates, at the same depth, in the same habitat and sampling year). In most 433 cases these data were sourced from raw data files, or tables in the main document. However, 434 435 in some cases, it was necessary to extract the relevant data from figures in the document using WebPlotDigitizer <sup>63</sup>. In addition, we also extracted information on the location of each 436 study (latitude and longitude), the depth of each habitat (where a range was given the median 437 438 depth was used), the method used to quantify the benthos, the year sampling was conducted, and the habitat which was sampled. 439

440

#### 441 Database cleaning and checking

With the compiled database at hand, we undertook a quality control procedure to 442 check the data. To do this we manually checked each data point. Specifically, we ensured a) 443 the six benthic categories were standardised (i.e. they added up to 100%), b) the latitude and 444 445 longitude data were accurate (we checked this by examining each individual set of coordinates in Google Earth), c) each data point had a corresponding depth (in meters) and 446 year sampled value, d) the method used to collect each data point was categorised (line 447 448 intercept transect, point intercept transect, chain intercept transect, photo quadrats along a transect, in-situ quadrats, or analysis of individual frames from a video; it is important to note 449 that the vast majority of data were derived from one of two planar point-based methods [point 450 intercept transect or photo-quadrats along a transect with subsequent examination using 451 points]) (Supplementary Fig. 16), e) the habitat sampled was categorised as either reef flat, 452 lagoon, slope, back reef, crest, or submerged reef (where this information was not presented 453 we placed the datapoint into a category based on its depth and satellite imagery in Google 454 Earth), and f) the location of each datapoint was also designated into a category within three 455 increasingly fine spatial scales: biogeographic realm (n = 6), ecoregion (n = 20) and 456 457 geographic unit (n = 113) (Supplementary Fig. 17; Supplementary Table 7). Realm and ecoregion classifications were based on the schemes presented in <sup>64,65</sup> while the geographic 458 unit level was primarily based on the country from where the data were sourced, however, 459 where data were from large countries, remote territories or clustered island groups we used 460 more informative, finer scale categorisation within the country level (Supplementary Fig. 17; 461 Supplementary Table 7). Following this final quality control procedure our timeseries dataset 462

- 463 contained 24,674 unique datapoints representing mean coral reef benthic community
- 464 composition spread across more than 40 years of sampling (1977 2018).
- 465

## 466 Data analyses

All statistical analyses and data handling were performed in the software R<sup>66</sup>. 467 Initially, we filtered our data to retain data from 1997-2018. Even though our dataset spanned 468 1977-2018 there was very little data prior to 1997 (only 0.83% of all data in the dataset were 469 from the 20-year period from 1977-1996 [Extended Data Fig. 4]) making any inferences 470 based on this early data difficult. It is important to note that all analyses described below are 471 472 focused on examining if there had been change in the cover of benthic categories over this multidecadal timespan (i.e. long-term, continuous change). Therefore, we were not studying 473 change from the perspective of 'regime shifts' or 'phase shifts' nor assessing for 'alternative 474 stable states'. Given that these topics are still debated in the literature (e.g. <sup>67,68</sup>) and/or their 475 definitions can be unclear <sup>62</sup>, they are outside of the scope of the present study. 476

To examine variation in benthic composition we initially utilised multivariate 477 478 analyses. Specifically, we explored how the composition of all six benthic categories varied across the four realms with most of the data (Central Pacific, Indian Ocean, Indo-West 479 Pacific and Western Atlantic; Extended Data Fig. 4) in 1997, 2007, and 2017. We based our 480 481 analysis on these three years as 1997 represented the earliest year in our retained dataset, while 2007 and 2017 were equidistantly spaced apart, and covered most of the temporal 482 scope in our dataset (refer to Supplementary Figs 5, 6 and Supplementary Table 1 for analysis 483 484 and ordination plots based on different start and end years [1998 and 2016, 1999 and 2015] using the same methods as outlined here). A ten-year period was chosen to limit any 485 influence of temporal autocorrelation. Based on these three years of data, we then calculated 486 a dissimilarity matrix based on the Morisita-Horn index (as it is robust when sample sizes 487 differ <sup>69</sup>). We then explored if the key variables of habitat, depth, year and realm were 488 significantly correlated with the multivariate space using a permutational multivariate 489 analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) (package: *vegan*<sup>70</sup>). To visualise the results, we then 490 produced a CAP ordination plot (package: vegan<sup>70</sup>) which was constrained by the key 491 variables that were significantly correlated with the multivariate benthic composition data. It 492 should be noted that to ensure the axes were directly comparable, the multivariate analysis 493 was conducted as one analysis (i.e. all three years together) and only the results were plotted 494 separately by year. To aid interpretation we then calculated 50% kernel density estimates for 495 each realm in each year (package: *adehabitatHR*<sup>71</sup>). Calculating kernel density estimates is a 496 technique that has been popularised in the field of animal movement to describe the 497 probability of animal detections in a space and to delineate core areas <sup>72</sup>. Kernel density 498 techniques are superior to convex hulls for visualising patterns in multivariate spaces as they 499 are not as sensitive to outlying data points <sup>73</sup>. Therefore, in our case, the 50% kernels indicate 500 the core areas of the ordinations occupied by the individual sampling locations from each 501 realm in each year. 502

Based on the ordinations, the specific examination of the temporal dynamics of each benthic category in the Western Atlantic, Indo-West Pacific, Indian Ocean and Central Pacific was warranted, especially given the history of comparing these realms in the coral reef literature (e.g.  $^{20,36,40,41}$ ). To do this, we utilised separate generalised additive mixed effects models GAMMs (package:  $mgcv^{74}$ ) to examine temporal variation in the proportional cover of the six benthic categories, separately, in the four realms. We treated time (year

sampled) as a continuous fixed effect with separate splines for each realm. In addition, to 509 account for depth-related patterns, we fitted depth as a continuous fixed effect with separate 510 splines for each realm. In all cases, we used thin plate splines for the fixed effects. We also 511 fitted habitat and geographic unit as random effects using random effects smoothers. Method 512 and ecoregion were not included in the models as they had a high level (>0.8) of concurvity 513 with the other factors already included. For both models we utilised a tweedie distribution 514 with a log link function. A tweedie distribution was superior to a betabinomial distribution 515 here due to the nature of the data in all cases (i.e. the data contained a large number of exact 516 zeroes and the nonzero observations were continuous and positively skewed [and with very 517 few observations at the upper bounds of the proportional dataset -i.e. close to 1 or 100% 518 519 cover]).

After fitting the GAMMs, we checked that a sufficient number of knots were used by 520 checking if k and edf were close to each other, while considering the values of the k-index 521 and simulated p value in gam.check <sup>74</sup>. In all cases, we initially relied on the default level of 522 knots in each model (k = 10). However, where our knot checking procedure suggested that 523 524 this was too restrictive, we increased k until it was indicated that enough knots had been used (the maximum value of k in any model was 15). In addition, we examined the residuals of 525 both models for temporal autocorrelation. No meaningful patterns of temporal autocorrelation 526 527 were detected. We also assessed the residuals of both models for spatial autocorrelation using variograms (package: *gstat*<sup>75</sup>), and compared these to variograms of the residuals from 528 models containing only the fixed effects structure as well as variograms of the raw data 529 530 (Supplementary Figs 18-26). For all variograms we accounted for potential among year differences by only comparing point pairs from within the same year and we examined for 531 patterns across multiple directional axes (i.e. North - South; North-East - South-West; East -532 533 West; South-East – North-West). In all cases our models accounted for spatial correlation and there were no clear patterns of concern (i.e. increasing variance with increasing distance) in 534 the residuals. We also examined model residuals using simulation-based model-checking 535 (package: DHARMa<sup>76</sup>) and standard residual plots. In all cases this indicated that the fit was 536 537 satisfactory.

In addition to the GAMMs which examined benthic trends in the entire dataset, we 538 also specifically examined how hard coral, macroalgae and low-lying algal cover differed 539 through time and among realms, separately, for the two most frequently sampled habitats (the 540 crest [0-5 m] and the slope [5-15 m]; only the upper slope was considered as data for deeper 541 regions were relatively sparse for the Indian Ocean and Indo-West Pacific; Extended Data 542 Fig. 3; Supplementary Fig. 13). We specifically performed this comparison because cross-543 habitat and cross-depth gradients represent some of the strongest ecological gradients on 544 coral reefs <sup>24</sup>, and because treating habitat as a random effect (as in the original analysis) 545 would not allow us to detect interactions between habitat and time or realm. Therefore, by 546 comparing benthic trends among realms in the same habitats across narrow depth ranges, we 547 548 could eliminate any results that might have been artefacts of differential representation of habitat types through time or among realms, and to detect whether there were differences in 549 temporal trends or among-realm differences among habitat types. For each GAMM we 550 treated time (year sampled) as a continuous fixed effect with separate splines for each realm 551 and geographic unit as a random effect using random effects smoothers. In all cases, we 552 relied on the same model distributions, and model validation procedures, as above. 553 Additional packages used for graphical representation of the data included emmeans <sup>77</sup>, 554 tidyverse <sup>78</sup>, sf <sup>79</sup>, rnaturalearth <sup>80</sup>, ggtern <sup>81</sup>, and patchwork <sup>82</sup>. 555

#### 557 Sensitivity analyses

To ensure our GAMM results and associated inferences were robust we undertook a 558 suite of sensitivity analyses to explore if the results were being influenced by other 559 confounding factors. These factors were: a) sampling effort/influential but spatially clustered 560 data points, which may arise from methods such as numerous replicate samples in close 561 proximity but with limited sampling effort for each replicate, b) variation in the methods used 562 to collect benthic cover data, and c) the length of the time series examined, especially in 563 terms of the first and last year given the timing of global bleaching events (i.e. in 1998 and 564 2015-2017). Due to the number of different GAMMs these sensitivity analyses entailed, we 565 focused on the dynamics of the three primary benthic categories (hard corals, macroalgae and 566 low-lying algal communities) which underpinned our main results and inferences. 567

To explore the influence of the first potential confounding factor (i.e. influential data 568 points/variation in sampling effort) we clustered the data (i.e. recalculated mean benthic 569 cover of each benthic category) at two different spatial resolutions within the same habitats 570 and year sampled: a) the nearest  $0.01^{\circ}$  latitude and longitude and nearest 3 m depth (n = 571 21,025 data points) and b) the nearest  $0.1^{\circ}$  latitude and longitude and nearest 3 m depth (n = 572 13,837 data points). In both cases we also recorded the number of individual data points 573 which were used to calculate the new means in each grid cell. With these two spatially 574 clustered datasets at hand, we then constructed new GAMMs using the same model structure, 575 distributions and validation procedures as for the full GAMMs in the original analyses, 576 above. To explore how robust the results were to sampling effort (i.e. the number of data 577 points underlying each mean calculated) we performed the above analyses twice, with and 578 without weighting each mean by the number of points used to calculate it. By comparing the 579 output of each set of analyses we could then determine how influential sample size was to our 580 observations (if sample size was influential, one would expect results to diverge markedly). 581

To ensure our inferences were not biased by variation in sampling methods in the 582 dataset we produced a subset of benthic data which was collected using only a planar point-583 count approach (i.e. point intercept transects or photo quadrats along a transect [where points 584 are subsequently generated to estimate benthic cover]). We then constructed GAMMs using 585 the same model structure as the full GAMMs in the original analyses. In addition, to ensure 586 the temporal span of the data was not influencing the nature of our inferences, especially 587 given that our first year (1997) in our timeseries was prior to the first global coral bleaching 588 event, while the last year (2018) was after the latest global bleaching event had subsided, we 589 refitted our GAMMs on three different subsets of the data with differing first and last years 590 (1998-2017, 1999-2016 and 2000-2015). In all cases we used the same model structure as the 591 592 original analysis. For all GAMMs examining sensitivity to method or temporal factors, we relied on the same model distributions, and model validation procedures, as above for the 593 original analyses. Furthermore, for all sensitivity analyses we used the same R packages as 594 for the original analyses. 595

596

## 597 Data Availability

All data used in this study were attained from publicly available databases and previous
literature. The sources of all data and links to databases are provided at the appropriate
section in the manuscript, in Supplementary Text 3, and are publicly available on figshare
(https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.21267924.v1). The derived data from published studies
is also publicly available on figshare (https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.21267924.v1).

## 603 Code Availability

604 Code supporting the findings of this study is publicly available on figshare

605 (https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.21267924.v1).

606

## 607 Acknowledgements

We thank Reef Life Survey, Reef Check Foundation, Reef Check Australia and their
volunteers as well as the authors of all other data sources listed in Supplementary Text 3 for
the collection and provision/publication of benthic cover data; and L. Lutzenkirchen and A.
Siqueira for logistical support and feedback. Funding: provided by the Australian Research
Council (CE140100020 and FL190100062, DRB), and an Australian Government Research
Training Program Scholarship (SBT).

614

## 615 Author Contributions Statement

SBT, SRC, and DRB conceived the study; SBT compiled the data; SBT and SRC conducted

617 the analyses; SBT, SRC, and DRB interpreted the analyses; SBT drafted the initial version of

the manuscript; SBT, SRC, and DRB contributed to editing and revising the manuscript,

- approved the submitted version and agree to be personally accountable for their
- 620 contributions.
- 621

# 622 Competing Interests Statement

- 623 The authors have no competing interests to declare.
- 624
- 625

- 020
- 627
- 628
- 629
- 630
- 631
- 632
- 633
- 634
- 634
- 635

## 636 Figure Legends/Captions









648

Figure 2 Coral reef benthic composition among major realms. a) World map showing the 649 delineation of the major marine realms based on the data from 1997, 2007 and 2017 used in 650 the ordination plots (n = 424, 1102, 1924 benthic composition observations each year, 651 respectively). Multivariate ordination plots based on the Morisita-Horn index and constrained 652 by realm, habitat, year and depth, showing coral reef benthic composition in the four realms 653 in b) 1997, c) 2007, and d) 2017. The coloured polygons (matching the realm colours in [a]) 654 in the ordinations are based on 50% kernel density distributions, denoting where the data 655 points are concentrated in multivariate space. The lines in b-d) denote the areas of 656 multivariate space typified by the three major benthic categories, while the area in the bottom 657 658 left corner of each panel is typified by soft corals, sand and 'other' organisms (see Extended Data Fig. 1 for details). For a version of this figure with full data points, vectors of each 659 benthic component, and vectors of constraining factors (realm, habitat, year, and depth) refer 660 661 to Extended Data Fig. 1. See Supplementary Figs 5, 6 for ordination plots with different start and end years. 662



664

665 Figure 3 Hard coral, macroalgal and low-lying algal community dynamics in major

marine realms. The benthic cover of a) hard corals, b) macroalgae and c) low-lying algal 666 communities on coral reefs in the Western Atlantic (n = 5071 cover observations for each 667 benthic category), Indo-West Pacific (n = 8382 cover observations for each benthic category), 668 Central Pacific (n = 8786 cover observations for each benthic category) and Indian Ocean (n 669 = 1713 cover observations for each benthic category). Solid lines denote the mean fit from 670 generalised additive mixed effects models, while shaded areas denote the 95% confidence 671 intervals. All predictions are based on the mean depth across data (8.77 m). See Extended 672 Data Fig. 2 for plots with varying y-axis ranges which allow patterns to be seen more clearly. 673 Note that interpretation of the trends at the very start and end of the timeseries should be 674 made with caution. 675





678 Figure 4 Hard coral, macroalgal and low-lying algal community dynamics in key

679 habitats across marine realms. The benthic cover of a, b) hard corals, c, d) macroalgae and e, f) low-lying algal communities on reef crest (0-5 m) and slope (5-15 m) habitats in the 680 Western Atlantic (n = 533 and 2090 cover observations for each benthic category in each 681 habitat, respectively), Indian Ocean (n = 326 and 1046 cover observations for each benthic 682 category in each habitat, respectively), Indo-West Pacific (n = 2219 and 4791 cover 683 observations for each benthic category in each habitat, respectively) and Central Pacific (n = 684 1372 and 4147 cover observations for each benthic category in each habitat, respectively). 685 Solid lines denote the mean fit from generalised additive mixed effects models, while the 686 shaded areas denote the 95% confidence intervals. Note that the y-axis ranges differ across 687 688 benthic categories to better illustrate among-realm variability.

#### 690 **References**

- Dudgeon, D. Multiple threats imperil freshwater biodiversity in the Anthropocene.
   *Curr. Biol.* 29, R942–R995 (2019).
- Betts, M. G. *et al.* Global forest loss disproportionately erodes biodiversity in intact landscapes. *Nature* 547, 441–444 (2017).
- Arrigo, K. R. *et al.* Synergistic interactions among growing stressors increase risk to
  an Arctic ecosystem. *Nat. Commun.* 11, 6255 (2020).
- Kopf, R. K., Finlayson, C. M., Humphries, P., Sims, N. C. & Hladyz, S. Anthropocene
  baselines: assessing change and managing biodiversity in human-dominated aquatic
  ecosystems. *Bioscience* 65, 798–811 (2015).
- Chapin, F. S. *et al.* Ecosystem stewardship: sustainability strategies for a rapidly changing planet. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 25, 241–249 (2010).
- Seastedt, T. R., Hobbs, R. J. & Suding, K. N. Management of novel ecosystems: are novel approaches required? *Front. Ecol. Environ.* 6, 547–553 (2008).
- 704 7. Hughes, T. P. *et al.* Coral reefs in the Anthropocene. *Nature* **546**, 82–90 (2017).
- 8. Bellwood, D. R. *et al.* Coral reef conservation in the Anthropocene: confronting spatial mismatches and prioritizing functions. *Biol. Conserv.* 236, 604–615 (2019).
- 9. Graham, N. A. J., Cinner, J. E., Norström, A. V. & Nyström, M. Coral reefs as novel ecosystems: embracing new futures. *Curr. Opin. Environ. Sustain.* 7, 9–14 (2014).
- Hughes, T. P. *et al.* Spatial and temporal patterns of mass bleaching of corals in the
  Anthropocene. *Science* 359, 80–83 (2018).
- Sully, S., Burkepile, D. E., Donovan, M. K., Hodgson, G. & van Woesik, R. A global analysis of coral bleaching over the past two decades. *Nat. Commun.* 10, 1264 (2019).
- Fisher, R. *et al.* Species richness on coral reefs and the pursuit of convergent global estimates. *Curr. Biol.* 25, 500–505 (2015).
- Brandl, S. J. *et al.* Coral reef ecosystem functioning: eight core processes and the role of biodiversity. *Front. Ecol. Environ.* 17, 445–454 (2019).
- 717 14. Teh, L. S. L., Teh, L. C. L. & Sumaila, U. R. A global estimate of the number of coral reef fishers. *PLoS One* 8, e65397 (2013).
- Ferrario, F. *et al.* The effectiveness of coral reefs for coastal hazard risk reduction and adaptation. *Nat. Commun.* 5, 3794 (2014).
- 16. Skirving, W. J. *et al.* The relentless march of mass coral bleaching: a global perspective of changing heat stress. *Coral Reefs* 38, 547–557 (2019).
- 17. Donovan, M. K. *et al.* Local conditions magnify coral loss after marine heatwaves. *Science* 372, 977–980 (2021).
- 18. Gilmour, J. P., Smith, L. D., Heyward, A. J., Baird, A. H. & Pratchett, M. S. Recovery of an isolated coral reef system following severe disturbance. *Science* 340, 69–71

- 727 (2013).
- 19. Diaz-Pulido, G. & McCook, L. J. The fate of bleached corals: patterns and dynamics of algal recruitment. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 232, 115–128 (2002).
- Bellwood, D. R., Hughes, T. P., Folke, C. & Nyström, M. Confronting the coral reef
  crisis. *Nature* 429, 827–833 (2004).
- Jouffray, J. B. *et al.* Parsing human and biophysical drivers of coral reef regimes. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 286, 20182544 (2019).
- Reverter, M., Helber, S. B., Rohde, S., Goeij, J. M. & Schupp, P. J. Coral reef benthic
  community changes in the Anthropocene: biogeographic heterogeneity, overlooked
  configurations, and methodology. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 28, 1956–1971 (2022).
- Cheal, A. J., MacNeil, M. A., Emslie, M. J. & Sweatman, H. The threat to coral reefs
  from more intense cyclones under climate change. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 23, 1511–1524
  (2017).
- Z4. Done, T. Coral zonation: its nature and significance. in *Perspectives on Coral Reefs*(ed. Barnes, D. J.) 107–147 (Brian Clouston, 1983).
- 742 25. Bruno, J. F., Côté, I. M. & Toth, L. T. Climate change, coral loss, and the curious case
  743 of the parrotfish paradigm: why don't marine protected areas improve reef resilience?
  744 *Ann. Rev. Mar. Sci.* 11, 307–334 (2019).
- Gardner, T. A., Cote, I. M., Gill, J. A., Grant, A. & Watkinson, A. R. Long-term
  region-wide declines in Caribbean corals. *Science* 301, 958–960 (2003).
- Schutte, V. G. W., Selig, E. R. & Bruno, J. F. Regional spatio-temporal trends in
  Caribbean coral reef benthic communities. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 402, 115–122 (2010).
- Hughes, T. P. Catastrophes, phase shifts and large-scale degradation of a Caribbean coral reef. *Science* 265, 1547–1551 (1994).
- 751 29. Souter, D. *et al. Status of Coral Reefs of the World: 2020.* (Global Coral Reef
  752 Monitoring Network, 2021).
- Bruno, J. F. & Selig, E. R. Regional decline of coral cover in the Indo-Pacific: timing,
  extent, and subregional comparisons. *PLoS One* 2, e711 (2007).
- Ateweberhan, M., McClanahan, T. R., Graham, N. A. J. & Sheppard, C. R. C.
  Episodic heterogeneous decline and recovery of coral cover in the Indian Ocean. *Coral Reefs* 30, 739–752 (2011).
- Bellwood, D. R., Hemingson, C. R. & Tebbett, S. B. Subconscious biases in coral reef
  fish studies. *Bioscience* 70, 621–627 (2020).
- Kench, P. S. *et al.* Sustained coral reef growth in the critical wave dissipation zone of a
  Maldivian atoll. *Commun. Earth Environ.* 3, 9 (2022).
- Find State Stat
- 764 35. Mumby, P. J., Hastings, A. & Edwards, H. J. Thresholds and the resilience of

- 765 Caribbean coral reefs. *Nature* **450**, 98–101 (2007).
- Roff, G. & Mumby, P. J. Global disparity in the resilience of coral reefs. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 27, 404–13 (2012).
- 37. Bruno, J. F., Sweatman, H., Precht, W. F., Selig, E. R. & Schutte, V. G. W. Assessing
  evidence of phase shifts from coral to macroalgal dominance on coral reefs. *Ecology*90, 1478–1484 (2009).
- Renema, W. *et al.* Hopping hotspots: global shifts in marine biodiversity. *Science* 321, 654–657 (2008).
- 39. Bellwood, D. R., Goatley, C. H. R. & Bellwood, O. The evolution of fishes and corals
  on reefs: form, function and interdependence. *Biol. Rev.* 92, 878–901 (2017).
- 40. Roff, G. Evolutionary history drives biogeographic patterns of coral reef resilience. *Bioscience* 71, 26–39 (2021).
- 41. Siqueira, A. C., Bellwood, D. R. & Cowman, P. F. The evolution of traits and
  functions in herbivorous coral reef fishes through space and time. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 286, 20182672 (2019).
- 42. Birrell, C. L., McCook, L. J., Willis, B. L. & Diaz-Pulido, G. A. Effects of benthic algae on the replenishment of corals and the implications for the resilience of coral reefs. *Oceanogr. Mar. Biol. an Annu. Rev.* 46, 25–63 (2008).
- 43. Speare, K. E., Duran, A., Miller, M. W. & Burkepile, D. E. Sediment associated with algal turfs inhibits the settlement of two endangered coral species. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.*144, 189–195 (2019).
- 44. Diaz-Pulido, G., Harii, S., McCook, L. J. & Hoegh-Guldberg, O. The impact of
  benthic algae on the settlement of a reef-building coral. *Coral Reefs* 29, 203–208
  (2010).
- Johns, K. A. *et al.* Macroalgal feedbacks and substrate properties maintain a coral reef
  regime shift. *Ecosphere* 9, e02349 (2018).
- 46. Houk, P. *et al.* Commercial coral-reef fisheries across Micronesia: a need for improving management. *Coral Reefs* **31**, 13–26 (2012).
- 47. Edwards, C. B. *et al.* Global assessment of the status of coral reef herbivorous fishes:
  evidence for fishing effects. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 281, 20131835 (2014).
- 48. Choat, J. H. & Clements, K. D. Vertebrate herbivores in marine and terrestrial
  environments: a nutritional ecology perspective. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* 29, 375–403
  (1998).
- Tebbett, S. B., Morais, R. A., Goatley, C. H. R. & Bellwood, D. R. Collapsing
  ecosystem functions on an inshore coral reef. *J. Environ. Manage.* 289, 112471 (2021).
- Son Son Cornwall, C. E. *et al.* Global declines in coral reef calcium carbonate production under ocean acidification and warming. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 118, e2015265118
  (2021).

51. Diaz-Pulido, G. et al. Greenhouse conditions induce mineralogical changes and 803 dolomite accumulation in coralline algae on tropical reefs. Nat. Commun. 5, 3310 804 (2014). 805 Nash, M. C. et al. Dolomite-rich coralline algae in reefs resist dissolution in acidified 806 52. conditions. Nat. Clim. Chang. 3, 268-272 (2013). 807 Allen Coral Atlas. Imagery, maps and monitoring of the world's tropical coral reefs. 808 53. doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3833242 (2020). 809 Tebbett, S. B. & Bellwood, D. R. Algal turf sediments on coral reefs: what's known 810 54. and what's next. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 149, 110542 (2019). 811 55. Nugues, M. M. & Bak, R. P. M. Long-term dynamics of the brown macroalga 812 Lobophora variegata on deep reefs in Curaçao. Coral Reefs 27, 389–393 (2008). 813 56. Tsounis, G. & Edmunds, P. J. Three decades of coral reef community dynamics in St. 814 John, USVI: a contrast of scleractinians and octocorals. *Ecosphere* 8, e01646 (2017). 815 57. Toth, L. T. et al. Do no-take reserves benefit Florida's corals? 14 years of change and 816 stasis in the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary. Coral Reefs 33, 565–577 (2014). 817 818 58. Smith, J. E. et al. Re-evaluating the health of coral reef communities: baselines and evidence for human impacts across the central Pacific. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 283, 819 20151985 (2016). 820 59. Wolfe, K., Kenyon, T. M. & Mumby, P. J. The biology and ecology of coral rubble 821 and implications for the future of coral reefs. Coral Reefs 40, 1769–1806 (2021). 822 Harris, J. L., Lewis, L. S. & Smith, J. E. Quantifying scales of spatial variability in 60. 823 algal turf assemblages on coral reefs. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 532, 41-57 (2015). 824 Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., Altman, D. G. & Group, T. P. Preferred reporting 825 61. 826 items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. PLoS Med. 6, e1000097 (2009). 827 Crisp, S. K., Tebbett, S. B. & Bellwood, D. R. A critical evaluation of benthic phase 62. 828 shift studies on coral reefs. Mar. Environ. Res. 178, 105667 (2022). 829 63. Rohatgi, A. WebPlotDigitizer. (2019). Available at: 830 https://automeris.io/WebPlotDigitizer. 831 64. Kulbicki, M. et al. Global biogeography of reef fishes: a hierarchical quantitative 832 delineation of regions. PLoS One 8, e81847 (2013). 833 Spalding, M. D. et al. Marine ecoregions of the world: a bioregionalization of coastal 65. 834 835 and shelf areas. *Bioscience* 57, 573–583 (2007). R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation 836 66. for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. (2020). 837 Zychaluk, K., Bruno, J. F., Clancy, D., McClanahan, T. R. & Spencer, M. Data-driven 67. 838 models for regional coral-reef dynamics. Ecol. Lett. 15, 151–158 (2012). 839 Dudgeon, S. R., Aronson, R. B., Bruno, J. F. & Precht, W. F. Phase shifts and stable 68. 840

841		states on coral reefs. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 413, 201–216 (2010).
842 843 844	69.	Jost, L., Chao, A. & Chazdon, R. L. Compositional similarity and beta diversity. in <i>Biological Diversity: Frontiers in Measurement and Assessment</i> (eds. Magurran, A. E. & McGill, B. J.) 66–84 (Oxford University Press, 2011).
845 846	70.	Oksanen, J. F. <i>et al.</i> vegan: Community Ecology Package. R package version 2.5-6. (2019).
847 848	71.	Calenge, C. The package "adehabitat" for the R software: a tool for the analysis of space and habitat use by animals. <i>Ecol. Modell.</i> <b>197</b> , 516–519 (2006).
849 850	72.	Worton, B. J. Kernel methods for estimating the utilization distribution in home-range studies. <i>Ecology</i> <b>70</b> , 164–168 (1989).
851 852	73.	Blonder, B. Hypervolume concepts in niche- and trait-based ecology. <i>Ecography</i> <b>41</b> , 1441–1455 (2018).
853 854	74.	Wood, S. N. <i>Generalized Additive Models: An Introduction with R (2nd Edition).</i> (Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2017).
855 856	75.	Gräler, B., Pebesma, E. & Heuvelink, G. Spatio-temporal interpolation using gstat. <i>R J</i> . <b>8</b> , 204–218 (2016).
857 858	76.	Hartig, F. DHARMa: Residual Diagnostics for Hierarchical (Multi-Level / Mixed) Regression Models. R package version 0.3.3.0 (2020).
859 860	77.	Lenth, R. emmeans: Estimated Marginal Means, aka Least-Squares Means. R package version 1.5.1 (2020).
861 862	78.	Wickham, H. <i>et al.</i> tidyverse: Easily Install and Load the 'Tidyverse'. <i>J. Open Source Softw.</i> <b>4</b> , 1686 (2019).
863 864	79.	Pebesma, E. Simple features for R: standardized support for spatial vector data. <i>R J</i> . <b>10</b> , 439–446 (2018).
865 866	80.	South, Arnaturalearth: World Map Data from Natural Earth. R package version 0.1.0 (2017).
867 868	81.	Hamilton, N. E. & Ferry, M. ggtern: Ternary Diagrams Using ggplot2. J. Stat. Software, Code Snippets 87, 1–17 (2018).
869	82.	Pedersen, T. L. patchwork: The Composer of Plots. R package version 1.1.1 (2020).
870		