

# ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Evaluation of rural general practice experiences for pre-vocational medical graduates

# AUTHORS



Matthew R McGrail<sup>1</sup> PhD, Head, Regional Training Hubs Research \*



Jasleen Chhabra<sup>2</sup> MD, Lecturer



Richard Hays<sup>3</sup> PhD, MD, FRACGP, FACRRM, Professor

# CORRESPONDENCE

\*Assoc Prof Matthew R McGrail m.mcgrail@uq.edu.au

# AFFILIATIONS

<sup>1</sup> Rural Clinical School, University of Queensland, Rockhampton, Qld 4700, Australia

<sup>2, 3</sup> College of Medicine & Dentistry, James Cook University, Townsville, Qld 4811, Australia

# PUBLISHED

20 February 2023 Volume 23 Issue 1

HISTORY RECEIVED: 14 January 2022

REVISED: 10 October 2022

ACCEPTED: 15 November 2022

# CITATION

McGrail MR, Chhabra J, Hays R. Evaluation of rural general practice experiences for pre-vocational medical graduates. Rural and Remote Health 2023; 23: 7409. https://doi.org/10.22605/RRH7409

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Licence

# ABSTRACT:

**Introduction**: Despite substantial investment in rural workforce support, sustaining the necessary recruitment and retention of general practitioners (GPs) in rural areas remains a challenge. Insufficient medical graduates are choosing a general/rural practice career. Medical training at postgraduate level, particularly for those 'between' undergraduate medical education and specialty training, remains strongly reliant on hospital experience in larger hospitals, potentially diverting interest away from general/rural practice. The Rural Junior Doctor Training Innovation Fund (RJDTIF) program offered junior hospital doctors (interns) an experience of 10 weeks in a rural general practice, aiming to increase their consideration of general/rural practice careers This study aimed to evaluate the educational and potential workforce impact of the RJDTIF program.

**Methods**: Up to 110 places were established during 2019–2020 for Queensland's interns to undertake an 8–12-week rotation (depending on individual hospital rosters) out of regional hospitals to work in a rural general practice. Participants were surveyed before and after the placement, although only 86 were invited due to the disruption caused by the COVID-19 pandemic. Descriptive quantitative statistics were applied to the survey data. Four semistructured interviews were conducted to further explore the experiences post-placement, with audio-recordings transcribed verbatim. Semi-structured interview data were analysed using inductive, reflexive thematic analysis.

Results: In total, 60 interns completed either survey, although

only 25 were matched as completing both surveys. About half (48%) indicated they had preferenced the rural GP term and 48% indicated strong enthusiasm for the experience. General practice was indicated as the most likely career option for 50%, other general specialty 28% and subspecialty 22%. Likelihood to be working in a regional/rural location in 10 years was indicated as 'likely' or 'very likely' for 40%, 'unlikely' for 24% and 'unsure' for 36%. The two most common reasons for preferencing a rural GP term were experiencing training in a primary care setting (50%) and gaining more clinical skills through increased patient exposure (22%). The overall impact on pursuing a primary care career was self-assessed as much more likely by 41%, but much less by 15%. Interest in a rural location was less influenced. Those rating the term poor or average had low pre-placement enthusiasm for the term. The qualitative analysis of interview data produced two Keywords:

themes: importance of the rural GP term for interns (hands-on learning, skills improvement, influence on future career choice and engagement with the local community), and potential improvements to rural intern GP rotations.

**Conclusion**: Most participants reported a positive experience from their rural GP rotation, which was recognised as a sound learning experience at an important time with respect to choosing a specialty. Despite the challenges posed by the pandemic, this evidence supports the investment in programs that provide opportunities for junior doctors to experience rural general practice in these formative postgraduate years to stimulate interest in this much-needed career pathway. Focusing resources on those who have at least some interest and enthusiasm may improve its workforce impact.

Australia, community, doctors, general practice, internship, medical education, postgraduate, workforce.

# FULL ARTICLE:

## Introduction

The supply of new general practitioners (GPs) sufficient to meet a population's health needs, particularly in rural and remote areas, continues to be a major global workforce distribution challenge. In Australia, this remains a concern despite substantial investment in rural workforce support<sup>1</sup>. While approximately 40% of Australia's current registered specialists are GPs<sup>2</sup>, this proportion is falling and relies on recruitment of international medical graduates to address local undersupply. Recent exit surveys of Australia's graduating medical students have found only 15% list 'GP' as their first-preference career<sup>3</sup>, indicating a potential for the GP workforce to decrease further.

Specialty training pathways in Australia commence after at least one mandatory internship year of clinical 'prevocational' service. This is almost wholly completed in hospital environments in metropolitan or large regional settings, with rotations through narrower specialties such as internal medicine, surgery, obstetrics, anaesthetics and emergency medicine. Many graduates also complete some additional years of hospital-based experience before formally joining a specialty training program. Final career choices are often deferred until after gaining broader clinical workplace experience, weighing up which professional and nonprofessional aspects best fit with their interests<sup>4,5</sup>. While there is now a formal curriculum for the 'gap' between undergraduate (medical school) learning and specialty training<sup>6</sup>, opportunities for postgraduate experience in general practice or other communitysettings remain limited. This is a critical time for making long-term decisions about preferred specialty and work settings<sup>5,7,8</sup>. On the other hand, programs that provide meaningful experience in general practice may either reinforce prior interest or even initiate interest and uptake among junior doctors, potentially redistributing specialty interests in line with community need<sup>5</sup>.

The availability of general practice rotations as part of internship training has been reported since the late 1990s, both in Australia and the UK<sup>9,10</sup>. Evidence suggests these programs successfully met their objectives and complement traditional hospital-based programs<sup>10,11</sup>. Participants generally were supportive of these programs, with increased interest in general practice and rural practice expected outcomes<sup>12</sup>. This builds on broader evidence of positive exposures to general practice encouraging doctors to

choose that specialty<sup>13</sup>. From 2005 to 2014, Australia's Department of Health supported the Prevocational General Practice Placement Programme (PGPPP), which was available to junior doctors 1–3 years after exiting medical school and built on the success of the smaller Rural and Remote Area Placement Program<sup>14</sup>. However, a 2013 national review of rural health workforce programs found the PGPPP had a 'success' rate of only 25% participants enrolling in general practice specialty GP training<sup>15</sup>, which partly justified its closure shortly thereafter.

Opportunities to gain early postgraduate experience in smaller rural settings, where medical workforce maldistribution is most significant, are also commonly limited. Exceptions include programs like Victoria's Murray to Mountains rural community internships<sup>16</sup>, where participants are embedded within primary care and small community hospitals, and 'rotate in' to the larger regional hospitals for their core rotations. Similarly, the Queensland Rural Generalist Pathway coordinates rural experiences from medical school to completion of specialty training in rural medicine<sup>17,18</sup>. Other longitudinal clinical placement models in smaller rural communities are available at most Australian rural clinical schools<sup>19,20</sup> with results suggesting improved location distribution of graduates<sup>21</sup>, but their full impact may be eroded by more recent large hospital training.

Weak evidence of other specific benefits from training prevocational doctors in community and GP settings includes experience of a wider variety of presentations of different acuity, opportunities to improve communication skills and learn different doctor-patient relationships, exposure to the interface between primary and secondary/tertiary care, experience of chronic disease management and preventative interventions, and opportunities to understand the role of social and psychological factors in illness<sup>9,11,22-24</sup>. Importantly, there is exposure to child health and mental health, specialties with both limited availability and very different scope of practice in teaching hospitals<sup>25,26</sup>. Further, a placement of several weeks in general practice allows junior doctors to participate in the provision of continuing, comprehensive care, based on seeing patients repeatedly and for a broad range of conditions<sup>27,28</sup>. In contrast, limitations include that general practices must provide the workspace, workload and clinical supervision, and manage potential disruptions to workload

management and fee-for-service funding streams. Costs for travel and accommodation are higher where practices are at some distance from the hospitals, with hospitals needing to manage the impact from staff absences to meet training and supervision requirements. Concerns about perceptions of lesser quality experience have been somewhat discredited<sup>23,27</sup>, although this may linger due to the absence of a voice within hospitals to champion general practice<sup>29</sup>.

In late 2015, funding was committed to replace the PGPPP with the Rural Junior Doctors Training Innovation Fund (RJDTIF) program<sup>30</sup>. This new program enables interns (and some in their second postgraduate year) already undertaking their training year in a large regional setting, to replace one training rotation (approximately 10 weeks) with a rural general practice setting. Many such placements require a relocation to the rural community, thus to both live and work in the community for those 10 weeks. The RJDTIF program is hypothesised to increase the proportion of doctors (who are already training in a regional setting for their intern year) to consider primary care as their preferred specialty and to strengthen their consideration of rural and remote communities as their preferred location to work, irrespective of preferred specialty. It complements the Rural Generalist Pathway programs<sup>17</sup>, whose participants (unlike those of the RJDTIF program) have already made a commitment to both specialising in general practice and to be skilled to practise in smaller rural communities.

For any new policy or program, it is important to conduct an evaluation of its effectiveness, otherwise the appropriateness of this use of public funding remains unknown. This study aims to evaluate the educational and potential workforce impacts of the RJDTIF training term on participating interns. It builds upon new evidence from Tasmania that found their RJDTIF placements were good learning environments and reinforced desires to be rural GPs<sup>31</sup>.

### Methods

This study was conducted in the large state of Queensland, Australia. It was designed as a prospective evaluation of the new RJDTIF training term, across the calendar years 2019 and 2020. The evaluation team had no other connection to program participants or the health services and clinicians involved in its delivery.

### The intervention

All Australian doctors newly graduating from medical school undertake a 1-year internship, which mostly consists of five or six rotations in different departments of the employing large hospital. From 2018, the Australian Government began funding for the RJDTIF program, which enables regional-based interns to have access to training rotations in rural primary care settings. This rotation is approximately 8-12 weeks in length, with funding for Queensland enabling 50-60 such rotations (up to 12 sites, one intern per site over five rotation periods) in each of 2019 and 2020. For the most part, interns are allocated to such rotations based on the submitted preferences of all available rotations. Intended outcomes of the RJDTIF program include (1) improved retention of medical graduates and junior doctors in rural medical practice, (2) increased rural medical training capacity and (3) a contribution to the development of a rural pathway continuum for medical education and training in expanded settings. Interns training in metropolitan hospitals are excluded from the RJDTIF program. This

study was funded only for the evaluation of intern placements, thus second-year postgraduate doctors completing RJDTIF training terms were excluded from this evaluation.

All participants of Queensland's RJDTIF program were undertaking their intern training at one of seven large regional hospitals in Cairns, Townsville, Mackay, Rockhampton, Bundaberg, Hervey Bay and Toowoomba. Of the 12 utilised general practice sites, four were within a 15-minute drive of the main hospital location, four were within 45 minutes road travel and the remaining four were up to 3 hours from the main hospital location. In 2021, approximately 272 of Queensland's 784 intern positions were in these seven hospitals. Thus, at full capacity approximately 22% (60/272) of regional-based interns participated in general practice rotations under the RJDTIF program.

## Participants and data collection

This study was designed around all program participants (maximum n=110) being invited to complete both a pre-rotation and post-rotation survey. However, due to COVID-19 no survey invitations were distributed for two full rotations in 2020 (n=24). All invitations were distributed by email, with a direct link to the information sheet and the electronic survey (completed using SurveyMonkey and Qualtrics), and a single reminder was sent for each survey. The surveys captured key background information, self-evaluation of career intent (focus on rural and general practice outcomes), their motivations and enthusiasm for the rotation, reflections on the value of the rotation, positive and negative features of the experience and impact of the rotation relating to the program's objectives.

Semi-structured interviews (post-rotation) were intended for up to 20 participants. However, COVID-19 had a major impact on continuing this evaluation, including that the original lead academic researcher overseeing data collection left the project to support COVID-19 related public health activities and clinical supervisors at each rotation being heavily burdened with COVID-19 activity. For these reasons, only four interviews were completed in the project's timeframe, although this still provides included questions that reflected on participants' reasons for choosing the placement, how it contributed to their clinical abilities, its influence on career choices, what they gained professionally and socially, and what might improve the program.

#### Data analyses

Quantitative data analyses used Stata SE v15.1 for Windows (Stata Corp, http://www.stata.com). Descriptive statistics of counts and proportions were used to present the basic patterns among respondents of their pre-rotation interest and career intent, and their post-rotation evaluation and career intent. Surveys were intentionally identifiable, enabling matching of the pre- and post-rotation data where applicable. The survey also collected open-ended (free-text) responses, which were analysed using thematic analysis. Relevant free-text responses were integrated with the quantitative findings.

Data from four semi-structured interviews were separately analysed using thematic analysis in NVivo v12 (QSR International; https://www.qsrinternational.com/nvivo-qualitative-data-analysissoftware). These small numbers prevented justification to apply a theoretical framework. All audio-recordings were transcribed verbatim, and an inductive, reflexive thematic analysis approach was adopted<sup>32</sup>. This approach included an initial stage of familiarisation with the interview transcripts, listening to audiorecordings, and generating initial codes. Further, analytic themes were developed using initial codes. All themes were reviewed by the authors to ensure the appropriateness of each theme and narratives were selected to illustrate the themes and highlight key points. This study was approved by the University of Queensland Human Research Ethics Committee (application 2018/HE002343).

## Results

In total there were 50 completed pre-rotation surveys and 35 postrotation surveys, with n=60 completing either survey and n=25able to be matched for both surveys. After adjusting for noninvites, response rates were approximately 60% (pre-rotation) and 42% (post-rotation). Most respondents participated in 2019 (65%), with an even spread across each rotation term (Table 1).

# Ethics approval

Factor	Attribute	Count ( <i>n</i> (%))
Gender	Female	35 (58.3)
	Male	25 (41.7)
Terretucer	2019	20 (65 0)
Term year	2019	39 (65.0)
	2020	21 (35.0)
Which term?	Term 1	11 (18.3)
	Term 2	14 (23.3)
	Term 3	12 (20.0)
	Term 4	13 (21.7)
	Term 5	10 (16.7)
Childhood rural years	Nil (0)	36 (62.1)
	1–5 years	6 (10.3)
	6–10 years	3 (5.2)
	≥11 years	13 (22.4)
	Nil	12 (21.1)
Undergraduate rural training		. ,
	<3 months	19 (33.3)
	3 months – 1 year	14 (24.6)
	>1 year	12 (21.1)

#### Table 1: Demographics: all survey participants (n=60)

#### Pre-placement preferences and enthusiasm

Approximately half (48%) of respondents indicated they preferenced the rural GP term, 22% indicated partial preference while 30% indicated it was not their preference. Similarly, on a 10-point scale for enthusiasm for the rural GP term, 48% rated 8–10, 33% rated 6–7 and 19% rated 1–5. General practice was indicated as the most likely career option for 50%, other general specialty 28% and subspecialty 22%. Likelihood to be working in a regional/rural location in 10 years was indicated as 'likely' or 'very likely' for 40%, 'unlikely' for 24% and 'unsure' for 36%.

There were two standout reasons for preferencing a rural GP term: (1) experience training in a primary care setting (50%) and (2) gain more clinical skills through increased patient exposure (22%). The other five options (experience rural or remote medicine, learn from primary care clinical supervisors, work in small multidisciplinary team, enjoy the social/recreational opportunities of working rurally, and spend an extended period in a smaller rural community) were all selected by fewer than 10% of respondents.

Many participants noted the unique experience that the rural GP term would offer beyond what was normally available in their intern training. Interns reported they were most looking forward to the following aspects:

Gaining more clinical skills in a primary care setting, and trying to increase my exposure to conditions not seen in hospital.

This is a career that I am strongly considering so doing a term

as a doctor rather than a student will give me a greater idea as to whether I would enjoy it or not.

Learning how to manage patients in the primary care setting. Time management in GP setting which is often limited despite patients having a list of many issues they want to address.

Experiencing continuity of care. Having just finished Acute medical and emergency terms, I am keen to experience the other end of the spectrum and follow many of these patients up.

#### Post-placement evaluation and impact on career interests

Overall assessments of the rural GP term were strong, with 86% indicating they would recommend it to their peers. Most rated the term as 'excellent' (59%) or 'good' (28%), while most found the experience of living and working in the community rewarding ('excellent' 34%, 'good' 48%). Specific assessment of different values of the rural GP term were mostly strong (Table 2). A majority agreed with all stated values, with 57% agreeing that it had stimulated their interest in rural general practice as a career path and a high majority agreeing to have increased understanding of the context of rural health care and specifically rural general practice, the private sector and working in small multidisciplinary teams.

Overall impact on pursuing primary care was self-assessed as 'much more likely' 41%, 'little more' 26%, 'no impact' 19% and 'much less' 15%. In comparison, overall impact of working in a rural area was self-assessed as 'much more likely' 11%, 'little more' 44%, 'no impact' 37% and 'much less' 7%. Matched data indicates that all participants rating the term poor or average had a low (5 or less) pre-placement enthusiasm for the term. Those much more likely to pursue primary care were mostly (63%) those with some pre-placement career preference for general practice while none were those with a pre-placement preference in a subspecialty. Those more likely to work in a rural area were similarly split between those with a pre-placement preference to work either regional/rural (56%) or metropolitan (44%).

Most concerns of the GP rotation related to (1) difficulties sorting out practice logistics, orientation and poor supervisor availability; (2) limitations of not having a provider number for billing; or (3) isolation from family, friends and peers. However, these were generally balanced against other gains from the placement. In particular, many participants noted the increased opportunity to develop clinical skills from the GP setting:

Building rapport with patients; Clinical reasoning and differential diagnosis; Management of common presentations i.e. colds, sinusitis, diabetes, musculoskeletal injuries, etc.

Diagnostic skills without being able to rely on extensive investigations.

Patient relationship, ability to connect with individuals. Paint the bigger picture and manage them holistically by addressing all aspects of their life.

Patient history, exam and management. Procedural skills. Communication and working with patients in hospital and GPs in small towns.

Many participants appreciated the benefit of such rotations in smaller rural communities:

I would definitely recommend learning what it means to live somewhere rural and understand the limitations of specialties offered in the region, but also how this can be navigated. I would encourage my peers so that they can learn general medicine knowledge that can be applied to every single specialty they choose to do.

If you are interested in a career in Rural Medicine this is an excellent rotation - good mix of hospital and GP. If you are

interested in a career in General Practice this rotation provides a lot of insight into the challenges of General Practice/how to bill patients/ways to implement preventative medicine.

Some found it valuable to gain a more complete understanding of what general practice was like:

Getting a better understanding of the complexities of General Practice. Developing relationships with patients so that they take ownership of their health. Learning how to prioritise both the patient's agenda but also the GPs in terms of preventative medicine - and learning how to do this in a time efficient manner.

Experience hands on, increased autonomy and responsibilities. Being challenged, getting involved in coordinating referral and stabilisation of urgent/emergency presentations.

For many, this rotation was able to consolidate their specialty intent, although some concerns were expressed regarding difficulties of general practice in smaller communities.

It was an amazing 10 weeks. I would not have a changed a thing and I am definitely more keen to pursue GP Training in the future.

It has consolidated many of the things I enjoy about primary health care and working in rural settings.

Primary care is a good fit for me. From the work life balance to the type of work, it all resonated with me perfectly. I am grateful I have had this opportunity now because now I know that this is right for me.

I have fallen in love with GP [general practice] and would love to pursue it as a specialty ... however I would have to weigh the pros and cons for rural/regional GP as a career for mental health and wellbeing in the long term.

Separate thematic analysis of the semi-structured interviews resulted in two themes that highlighted the impact of RJDTIF training on participating interns. Both themes - importance of the rural GP term for interns and potential improvements to rural intern GP rotations - align closely with findings from the survey responses.

Statement		Agreement scale (n (%))		
	Neutral	Disagree	Agree	
This term has increased my level of understanding of rural general practice.	2 (7)	-	26 (92)	
This term has stimulated my interest in rural general practice as a career path.	4 (14)	8 (28)	16 (57)	
The orientation and induction had a positive impact on my confidence and preparedness for rural practice.		6 (20)	19 (66)	
My supervisor has inspired me to consider a career as a rural general practitioner.	2 (7)	6 (20)	21 (73)	
This term has increased my understanding of the delivery of health care in the private sector.	2 (7)	1 (3)	26 (90)	
I have developed a greater understanding of rural health service provision and the skills required to work in a rural location.	2 (7)	1 (3)	26 (90)	
I enjoyed working within a small multidisciplinary team.		1 (3)	26 (90)	
I have developed a greater sense about the people that live and work in a rural location.		-	22 (75)	

#### Table 2: Overall assessment of the value of the rural general practitioner term

## Theme 1: Importance of the rural general practitioner term for on learning, skill improvement, influence on future career choices, interns

and engagement with the local community.

Four subthemes within this broader theme were identified: hands-

Hands-on learning: When discussions around the interns'

experiences of the rural and remote training started, several participants indicated that they were learning more about GP training from the hands-on learning environment in the rural/remote area than when they were in the medical school. For instance, 'K' mentioned that:

I think hands-on learning is one of the very few things that in medical school you don't get to do ... I wanted to actually feel like what it would be like [to be] a GP rather than watch the GP or observe the whole situation ... Here [remote/rural practice] I get to practice my skills in history taking and examination in a live setting.

**Skill improvement**: The interns also explained that, by engaging in the RJDTIF program, they can see an improvement in their skills such as time organisation and history-taking skills. For instance, 'J' mentioned that:

I really learned a lot in terms of communication and yeah, empathy ... I mean I learnt how to be able to communicate things to the patients that they don't necessarily expect ... This is something I did not learn much about in the medical school.

**Influence on future career choices**: Participants also mentioned that, through attending the RJDTIF program, they gained clarity and were surer about their future career choices. For instance, 'A' shared that:

By attending this training, I am much more encouraged to become a GP and stay in a regional area.

**Engagement with the local community**: Participants shared that, while interning rurally, they were able to engage with the local community and learn about different cultural expectations, views and beliefs of their patients. Participants indicated that engaging with culturally diverse patients will benefit them in the long term. For instance, 'S' shared that:

I learned a lot about the community itself. Earlier, I never thought that this would be a part of my job [engaging with people from different cultural backgrounds].

# Theme 2: Potential improvements to rural intern general practitioner rotations

The participants also discussed potential improvements for future intern GP rotations that may benefit future junior doctors. Participants suggested that by making the RJDTIF program more readily available and random allocation of students into the program will provide opportunity for more students to engage and experience rural GP. The potential to promote general practice as a great place to learn medicine was also noted:

I probably would improve this rotation or improve the outcome of this rotation by making it more available, so it is more hospital time that is available.

I think people would be way more inclined to do GP, and would also just – even if it wasn't something they particularly went out of their way to preference or they wanted, if by chance they were allocated it, I think that they would learn so much and just actually enjoy doing GP [general practice] in a rural setting.

I think even if you're not interested in general practice, there's so much to learn, because you have that exposure to patients ... I think it's just an awesome opportunity for interns to actually practice some medicine.

## Discussion

Most participants of the RJDTIF program reported a positive experience from their rural GP rotation, with 86% recommending it to their peers. This evidence supports the Australian Government's investment in junior doctors having opportunities to spend part of their intern year outside of large hospitals and urban settings as a way to stimulate interest in general practice as well as for increased rural community-based training experiences. It is complementary to existing evidence of the benefits of such placements, which have largely focused on the breadth of exposures to clinical conditions rather than any career choice impacts<sup>11.24</sup>. Given that a high proportion of intern doctors are yet to consolidate either their choice of specialty or likely practice location<sup>8</sup>, programs like the RJDTIF would appear to be vital to helping address key medical workforce distribution shortages in both general practice and rural practice.

By far the leading reason for preferencing the rural GP term was to 'experience training in a primary care setting'. A common gap of the current prevocational structure of medical training is that it normally does not include general practice, despite this being the leading specialty required to meet population need. Siloing prevocational doctors within large hospitals may influence career decisions away from both rural settings and primary care<sup>33,34</sup>. It is well recognised that choosing a specialty is a complex and dynamic process, with interests and preferences often increasing following new clinical experiences. However, where experiences in community-based clinical fields such as general practice are omitted, such as in 'traditional' internships, there is little scope to either initiate or reinforce interest in rural primary care careers. Globally there remains a trend of specialisation away from primary care, thus the case remains strong for programs like the RJDTIF to provide positive primary care experiences in rural settings.

Primary objectives of the RJDTIF program include increased retention of junior doctors in rural areas and increased capacity/pathways for training in expanded rural settings. The latter part of this intent is successfully achieved through all participants being drawn from regional settings and enabled to partake in general practice training in similar or smaller rural communities. The first part of this intent is much harder to judge, with only a small proportion (11%) indicating they were much more likely to work in a rural area after their placement, compared with 44% who were a little more likely. The positive impact of location intent applied similarly to those with a pre-placement preference of either metro or rural. This suggests that such rotations may impact participants either as a confirmation or conversion, depending on pre-existing intent or interest<sup>35</sup>.

Many participants self-identified skills development values of training in general practice, particularly from training in smaller rural communities. They especially noted increased exposure to building longer term patient management and relationships, learning how to undertake clinical reasoning within the constraints of general practice consultation times and billing, improved patient history taking and diagnostic skills without ready access to diagnostic tests or support of other specialists' feedback, as well as insights to the challenges of working in general practice. These findings are consistent with other research specifically drawn from the general practice setting<sup>9,22,23</sup>. Importantly, these were experiences not otherwise gained in their hospital-based training. In addition, many participants articulated that their GP immersion during intern training was a different experience to that when they were a medical student, thus suggesting the unique value of GP training experiences within their internship.

It is notable that around half of participants had not highly preferenced undertaking the rural GP term. It is unclear whether this related to a lack of interest in primary care as a career, a lack of interest in smaller rural community practice, lower expectations of the value of such a rotation in their overall career development, or perhaps less willingness to spend time away from their core hospital training setting (and its network of peers, clinical supervisors and educators, as well as family and friend networks). Clearly a challenge of the RJDTIF program is to grow interest among new interns. At its current size, the RJDTIF program is able to provide rural GP training opportunities to fewer numbers than are needed to meet future workforce needs in rural primary care, thus sustainable growth is desired.

Many of this study's findings resonate with those reported from Tasmania under the same RJDTIF program<sup>31</sup>. The Tasmanian study found that the RJDTIF program was also successfully delivered, diversifying the intern training experience and helping consolidate rural career pathways. The present study's findings additionally quantify the strong ratings and value placed by most participants in evaluating their experiences. Intern rotations in general practice have been reported to successfully meet their objectives over the last 20 years, but they remain an infrequent training option. While it was anecdotally seen to have increased general practice interest, Australia's predecessor program, PGPPP, was ultimately 'closed' due to government evaluations finding it underperformed in terms of translating to sufficient enrolments in GP training. These new state-based evaluations of the new RJDTIF program provide promising early results, but translation to a sufficient uptake of one or both general practice and rural medicine remains to be seen.

## Limitations

As with evaluations of most training interventions, program allocation is not random and thus it can be more difficult to

differentiate between the effect of the RJDTIF program 'intervention' and pre-existing interest. In the present study prerotation surveys were conducted to enable some adjustment for this 'baseline' effect within the cohort. The study was substantially affected by COVID-19, disabling two (out of 10) rotations, and the departure of the original lead clinical from academia mid-project, having only completed four interviews. The authors recommend that future evaluations of rural intern GP rotations replicate interviews with participants, but also extend to practice managers, GP supervisors and their hospital-based internship managers. While this survey's response rates were relatively good compared to similar research (42-60%), there were fewer matched participants completing both pre- and post-rotation surveys (n=25) than originally planned. It will be important for future evaluations to measure long-term (sustained) impact, rather than this study's short-term impact.

#### Conclusion

The findings of this study showed that Queensland's participants successfully completed GP rotation terms as rural interns under the RJDTIF program. Self-assessed ratings of the value of these terms were generally strong, with encouraging workforce distribution intent reported post-rotation. The need remains strong for the provision of prevocational opportunities to experience rural primary care practice before having to select a preferred specialty training pathway, as this may reinforce interest in primary care careers, particularly within rural communities. These findings support investment in programs that provide opportunities for junior doctors to experience rural general practice in these formative postgraduate years to stimulate interest in a career pathway for which doctors are much needed. More conclusive evaluations on recruiting success from the RJDTIF program will only be possible after at least 5 years, when several cohorts of participants will have completed rural primary care training.

#### Acknowledgements

This project was partly funded by Queensland Health, which is responsible for internship training in Queensland. The project team in particular acknowledge the support from Queensland Rural Medical Service, which oversaw the funded RJDTIF program training terms.

## REFERENCES:

1 Walters L, McGrail M, Carson D, Russell D, O'Sullivan B, Strasser R, et al. Where to next for rural general practice policy and research in Australia? *Where to next for rural general practice policy and research in Australia?* 2017; **207(2):** 56-58. DOI link, PMid:28701121

**2** Australian Government Department of Health. *Health workforce data tool.* 2021. Available: web link (Accessed January 2022).

**3** Medical Deans Australia and New Zealand. *Medical Schools Outcomes Database national data report 2020.* Sydney: Medical Deans Australia and New Zealand, 2020.

**4** Marchand C, Peckham S. Addressing the crisis of GP recruitment and retention: a systematic review. *British Journal of General Practice* 2017; **67(657):** e227-e237. DOI link, PMid:28289014

**5** O'Sullivan BG, McGrail M, Gurney T, Martin P. A realist evaluation of theory about triggers for doctors choosing a generalist or

specialist medical career. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 2020; **17:** 8566. DOI link, PMid:33218189

**6** Graham I, Gleason A, Keogh G, Paltridge D, Rogers I, Walton M, et al. Australian curriculum framework for doctors. *Medical Journal of Australia* 2007; **186(S7):** S14-S19. DOI link

7 Cuesta-Briand B, Coleman M, Ledingham R, Moore S, Wright H, Oldham D, et al. Extending a conceptual framework for junior doctors' career decision making and rural careers: explorers versus planners and finding the 'right fit'. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 2020; **17:** 1352. DOI link, PMid:32093138

8 McGrail M, O'Sullivan BG, Gurney T, Eley D, Kondalsamy-Chennakesavan S. Exploring doctors' emerging commitment to rural and general practice roles over their early career. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 

#### 2021; 18: 11835. DOI link, PMid:34831590

**9** Illing J, van Zwanenberg T, Cunningham WF, Taylor G, O'Halloran C, Prescott R. Preregistration house officers in general practice: review of evidence. *British Medical Journal* 2003; **326(7397)**: 1019-1022. DOI link, PMid:12742926

**10** Grace K, Bradford CJ. Community and general practice terms for prevocational junior medical officers: experience and development in South Australia and Western Australia. *Medical Journal of Australia* 2007; **186(7):** s28-s30. DOI link, PMid:17407419

**11** Martin A, Laurence C, Black L, Mugford B. General practice placements for pre-registration junior doctors: adding value to intern education and training. *Medical Journal of Australia* 2007; **186(7):** 346-349. DOI link, PMid:17407430

**12** Vickery AW, Tarala R. Barriers to prevocational placement programs in rural general practice. *Medical Journal of Australia* 2003; **179(1):** 19-21. DOI link, PMid:12831378

**13** Bunker J, Shadbolt N. Choosing general practice as a career: the influences of education and training. *Australian Family Physician* 2009; **38(5):** 341-344.

**14** Nichols A, Worley P, Toms L-M, Johnston-Smith T. Change of place, change of pace, change of status: rural community training for junior doctors, does it influence choices of training and career? *Rural and Remote Health* 2004; **4:** 259. DOI link, PMid:15884989

**15** Mason J. *Review of Australian government health workforce programs*. Canberra: Department of Health and Ageing, 2013.

**16** Best JB, Boyer SL, De Lacy CJ, Phillips JS, Welch TM, McColl GJ. Murray to the Mountains intern training program: involvement of small health services. *Medical Journal of Australia* 2014; **200(7)**: 378-380. DOI link, PMid:24794659

**17** Sen Gupta T, Manahan D, Lennox D, Taylor N. The Queensland Health Rural Generalist Pathway: providing a medical workforce for the bush. *Rural and Remote Health* 2013; **13**: 2319. DOI link

**18** Hanson D, Carey E, Harte J, Bond D, Manahan D, O'Connor P. Prevocational Integrated Extended Rural Clinical Experience (PIERCE): cutting through the barriers to prevocational rural medical education. *Rural and Remote Health* 2020; **20:** 5437. DOI link, PMid:32156145

**19** Walters L, Greenhill J, Richards J, Ward H, Campbell N, Ash J, et al. Outcomes of longitudinal integrated clinical placements for students, clinicians and society. *Medical Education* 2012; **46(11):** 1028-1041. DOI link, PMid:23078680

**20** Woolley T, Larkins S, Sen Gupta T. Career choices of the first seven cohorts of JCU MBBS graduates: producing generalists for regional, rural and remote northern Australia. *Rural and Remote Health* 2019; **19:** 4438. DOI link, PMid:30943751

**21** Campbell D, McGrail M, O'Sullivan BG, Russell DJ. Outcomes of a one-year longitudinal integrated medical clerkship in small rural Victorian communities. *Rural and Remote Health* 2019; **19:** 4987. DOI link, PMid:31340654

22 Mak D, Plant A, Toussaint S. 'I have learnt ... a different way of

looking at people's health': an evaluation of a prevocational medical training program in public health medicine and primary health care in remote Australia. *Medical Teacher* 2006; **28(6):** e149e155. DOI link, PMid:17074694

**23** Young L, Larkins S, Sen Gupta T, McKenzie S, Evans R, Crowe M, et al. Rural general practice placements: alignment with the Australian Curriculum Framework for Junior Doctors. *Medical Journal of Australia* 2013; **199(11):** 787-790. DOI link, PMid:24329659

**24** Valentine N, McCombe I, Mugford B. Clinical exposure of interns during a general practice placement. *Australian Family Physician* 2015; **44(6):** 393-398.

**25** Curtis E, Waterstone T. Community paediatrics and change. *Archives of Diseases of Childhood* 2002; **86(4):** 227-229. DOI link, PMid:11919089

**26** Rickwood D. *Pathways of recovery: preventing further episodes of mental illness: the role of primary care including general practice (monograph).* Canberra: Commonwealth of Australia, 2006. DOI link

**27** Anderson K, Haesler E, Stubbs A, Molinari K. Comparing general practice and hospital rotations. *The Clinical Teacher* 2015; **12(1):** 8-13. DOI link, PMid:25603700

**28** de Beer W, Clark H. Four years of prevocational Community Based Attachments in New Zealand: a review. *New Zealand Medical Journal* 2021; **134(1539):** 56-62.

**29** Bucknall V, Burwaiss S, MacDonald D, Charles K, Clement R. Mirror mirror on the ward, who's the most narcissistic of them all? Pathologic personality traits in health care. *Canadian Medical Association Journal* 2015; **187(18):** 1359-1363. DOI link, PMid:26644545

**30** Australian Government Department of Health. *Rural Junior Doctor Training Innovation Fund*. 2021. Available: web link (Accessed January 2022).

**31** Andrewartha J, Allen P, Hemmings L, Dodds B, Shires L. Escape to the country: lessons from interviews with rural general practice interns. *Australian Journal of General Practice* 2020; **49(9):** 606-611. DOI link, PMid:32864674

**32** Braun V, Clark V, Weate P. Using thematic analysis in sport and exercise research. In: B Smith, A Sparkes (Eds). *Routledge handbook on qualitative research in sport and exercise*. London: Routledge, 2016. Chapter 15.

**33** McGrail MR, O'Sullivan BG, Russell DJ, Rahman M. Exploring preference for, and uptake of, rural medical internships, a key issue for supporting rural training pathways. *BMC Health Services Research* 2020; **20:** 930. DOI link, PMid:33032604

**34** Laurence C, Elliott T. When, what and how South Australian preregistration junior medical officers' career choices are made. *Medical Education* 2007; **41(5):** 467-475. DOI link, PMid:17470076

**35** Hancock C, Steinbach A, Nesbitt T, Adler S, Auerswald C. Why doctors choose small towns: a developmental model of physician recruitment and retention. *Social Science and Medicine* 2009; **69(9)**: 1368-1376. DOI link, PMid:19747755

This PDF has been produced for your convenience. Always refer to the live site https://www.rrh.org.au/journal/article/7409 for the Version of Record.