

This is the author-created version of the following work:

## Guo, Zhifang, Blair, Victoria L., Deacon, Glen B., and Junk, Peter C. (2022) Europium is different: solvent and ligand effects on oxidation state outcomes and C-F activation in reactions between europium metal and Pentafluorophenylsilver. Chemistry: a European journal, 28 (3).

Access to this file is available from: https://researchonline.jcu.edu.au/72362/

© 2021 Wiley-VCH GmbH. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions. This article may not be enhanced, enriched or otherwise transformed into a derivative work, without express permission from Wiley or by statutory rights under applicable legislation. Copyright notices must not be removed, obscured or modified. The article must be linked to Wiley's version of record on Wiley Online Library and any embedding, framing or otherwise making available the article or pages thereof by third parties from platforms, services and websites other than Wiley Online Library must be prohibited.

> Please refer to the original source for the final version of this work: <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202103865</u>

# Europium is different: Solvent and ligand effects on oxidation state outcomes and C-F activation in reactions between europium metal and pentafluorophenylsilver

Dr. Zhifang Guo, <sup>a, b</sup> Dr. Victoria L. Blair, <sup>a</sup> Prof. Glen B. Deacon, <sup>a</sup> and Prof. Peter C. Junk<sup>b</sup>\*

"School of Chemistry, Monash University, Clayton 3800, Australia.

<sup>b</sup>College of Science & Engineering, James Cook University, Townsville 4811, Qld, Australia.

\*Corresponding author: peter.junk@jcu.edu.au

#### Abstract

Unique outcomes have emerged from the redox transmetallation/ protolysis (RTP) reactions of europium metal with  $[Ag(C_6F_5)(py)]$  (py = pyridine) and pyrazoles (RR'pzH). In pyridine, a solvent not normally used for RTP reactions, the products were mainly Eu<sup>II</sup> complexes,  $[Eu(RR'pz)_2(py)_4]$ (RR'pz =3,5-diphenylpyrazolate  $(Ph_2pz)$ 1; 3-(2-thienyl)-5trifluoromethylpyrazolate (ttfpz) 2; 3-methyl-5-phenylpyrazolate (PhMepz) 3). However, use of 3,5-di-tert-butylpyrazole (*t*Bu<sub>2</sub>pzH) gave trivalent [Eu(*t*Bu<sub>2</sub>pz)<sub>3</sub>(py)<sub>2</sub>] 4, whereas the bulkier N,N'-bis(2,6-difluorophenyl)formamidine (DFFormH) gave divalent  $[Eu(DFForm)_2(py)_3]$  5. In tetrahydrofuran (thf), the usual solvent for RTP reactions, C-F activation was observed for the first time with  $[Ag(C_6F_5)(py)]$  in such reactions. Thus trivalent  $[{Eu_2(Ph_2pz)_4(py)_4(thf)_2(\mu F_{2} \{Eu_{2}(Ph_{2}pz)_{4}(py)_{2}(thf)_{4}(\mu-F)_{2}\}$ (6),  $[Eu_2(ttfpz)_4(py)_2(dme)_2(\mu-F)_2]$ (7),  $[Eu_2(tBu_2pz)_4(dme)_2(\mu-F)_2]$  (8) were obtained from the appropriate pyrazoles, the last two after crystallization from 1,2-dimethoxyethane (dme). Surprisingly 3,5-dimethylpyrazole (Me<sub>2</sub>pzH) gave the divalent cage  $[Eu_6(Me_2pz)_{10}(thf)_6(\mu-F)_2]$  (9). This has a compact ovoid core held together by bridging fluoride, thf, and pyrazolate ligands, the last including the rare  $\mu_4$ - $1\eta^{5}(N_{2}C_{3})$ :  $2\eta^{2}(N,N)$ :  $3\kappa(N)$ :  $4\kappa(N)$  pyrazolate binding mode. With the bulky N,N'-bis(2,6diisopropylphenyl)formamidine (DippFormH), which often favours C-F activation in RTP reactions, neither oxidation to Eu<sup>III</sup> nor C-F activation was observed and [Eu(DippForm)<sub>2</sub>(thf)<sub>2</sub>] (10) was isolated. By contrast, Eu reacted with  $Bi(C_6F_5)_3$  and  $Ph_2pzH$  or  $tBu_2pzH$  in thf without C-F activation, to give  $[Eu(Ph_2pz)_2(thf)_4]$  (11) and  $[Eu(tBu_2pz)_3(thf)_2]$  (12) respectively, the oxidation state outcomes corresponding to that for use of  $[Ag(C_6F_5)(py)]$  in pyridine.

#### Keywords

Redox transmetallation/protolysis (RTP) reaction;

#### Europium(II and III) complexes;

C-F activation reactions;

Pentafluorophenylsilver (AgC<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>);

Trispentafluorophenylbismuth  $(Bi(C_6F_5)_3);$ 

Crystal structures.

#### Introduction

Redox transmetallation (RTP) reactions between free lanthanoid metals, diarylmercury compounds and weak protic acids (e.g. cyclopentadienes, phenols, pyrazoles, and formamidines etc.) are a relatively simple synthetic route to their highly reactive lanthanoid derivatives (Scheme 1).<sup>[1-3]</sup> Of the reactants, only the lanthanoid metal is air/moisture sensitive and work-up is relatively simple. Although mercury can be recycled,<sup>[3a]</sup> less toxic reagents would widen the appeal of the method. We have shown that  $Bi(C_6F_5)_3$  can be used in the synthesis of lanthanoid pyrazolates<sup>[4]</sup> and formamidinates (Scheme 1).<sup>[5]</sup>



#### **Scheme 1 Reported RTP reactions**

However the organobismuth reagent is somewhat water-sensitive and is more difficult to prepare than  $Hg(C_6F_5)_2$ .<sup>[3]</sup> Air stable, commercially available triphenylbismuth has been used in RTP reactions with alkaline earth metals,<sup>[6,7]</sup> but has proven unreactive so far for the less electropositive lanthanoid metals.<sup>[4]</sup> Another reagent, pentafluorophenylsilver has proved successful in the synthesis of lanthanoid pyrazolates (Ln(pz)<sub>3</sub> (Scheme 1), but most heavier lanthanoid metals have to be activated by iodine.<sup>[8]</sup> Moreover, this reagent was unsuccessful for the bulkier formamidinate ligands, and activation by iodine generally gave mixtures including formamidinato(iodido)lanthanoid(III) complexes.<sup>[5]</sup> Silver N-heterocyclic carbenes

have been successfully used in redox transmetallation reactions to give lanthanoid(II) derivatives,<sup>[9a, b]</sup> and silver tris(dialkylamido)imidophosphoranes to give  $Ce^{IV}$  species.<sup>[9c]</sup> The attractions of AgC<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub> as a reagent have been enhanced by a simple new synthesis,<sup>[8]</sup> but the compound is somewhat air/moisture sensitive and is very light-sensitive.

The reactivity of europium complexes and their applications in reduction chemistry, polymerization, luminescence, and as contrast agents for magnetic resonance imaging have been developed.<sup>[10]</sup> We have not hitherto presented results for RTP reactions of europium metal with AgC<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub> and pyrazoles or formamidines, as their complexity and novelty required detailed evaluation. Indeed there has been little investigation of europium in RTP reactions with mercurials.<sup>[3]</sup> We now report that these reactions give ligand dependent different oxidation state outcomes, and that, with the correct choice of solvent, the first examples of C-F activation in RTP have reactions with AgC<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub> been observed, leading to the first fluorido(pyrazolato)lanthanoid(III) complexes. C-F activation is of major current interest in rare earth chemistry and has been attracting increasing interest over four decades.<sup>[11-12]</sup> Furthermore, unlike other Ln metals,<sup>[5]</sup> Eu reacts cleanly with AgC<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub> and formamidines without the need for activation of the metal with iodine, unlike other Ln metals.<sup>[5]</sup> Two comparable reactions with  $Bi(C_6F_5)_3$  have also been carried out. The capacity of europium to stabilise divalent products is of particular interest as ytterbium gave only trivalent pyrazolates in reactions involving  $AgC_6F_5^{[8]}$  and  $Bi(C_6F_5)_3^{[4]}$  and only one divalent formamidinate utilising  $Bi(C_6F_5)_3$ .<sup>[5]</sup>

#### **Results and discussion**

#### Synthesis of europium complexes by using AgC<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub> in pyridine (py)

Pyridine has been rarely used as a solvent for RTP reactions,<sup>[3a]</sup> probably owing to concerns over possible free metal induced coupling reactions of pyridine,<sup>[13]</sup> but its re-introduction has proved profitable. Thus, redox transmetallation/protolysis (RTP) reactions between an excess of Eu metal, equimolar amounts of [AgC<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>(py)] and pzH (Ph<sub>2</sub>pzH, 3,5-diphenylpyrazole; ttfpzH, 3-(2-thienyl)-5-trifluoromethylpyrazole; PhMepzH, 3-methyl-5-phenylpyrazole; *t*Bu<sub>2</sub>pzH, 3,5-di-*tert*-butylpyrazole) or DFFormH (N,N'-bis(2,6-difluorophenyl)formamidine) in pyridine at room temperature produced divalent europium complexes:  $[Eu(Ph_2pz)_2(py)_4] \cdot 2py$  (1),  $[Eu(ttfpz)_2(py)_4]$  (2),  $[Eu(PhMepz)_2(py)_4]$  (3),  $[Eu(DFForm)_2(py)_3]$ (5) and trivalent  $[Eu(tBu_2pz)_3(py)_2]$  (4) (Scheme 2). All reaction mixtures were monitored by <sup>19</sup>F {<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectroscopy but due to the poor solubility of the complexes in py and the paramagnetic nature of  $Eu^{2+/3+}$ , no useful spectra were obtained. Completion of the reactions was demonstrated by qualitative testing of an aliquot of the reaction mixture with HCl, when no AgCl precipitated, thereby indicating consumption of AgC<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>. A silver mirror also formed. No divalent Eu pyrazolates or formamidinates as pyridine complexes have been previously reported, but tris(3,5-di-tert-butylpyrazolato)lanthanoid(III) complexes [Ln(*t*Bu<sub>2</sub>pz)<sub>3</sub>(py)<sub>2</sub>] (Ln = Y, Er) have been prepared by an RTP reaction of Hg(C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub> in toluene in the presence of a stoichiometric amount of pyridine.<sup>[14a]</sup> Europium(II) complexes [Eu(Ph<sub>2</sub>pz)<sub>2</sub>(dme)<sub>2</sub>],<sup>[3f, 14b]</sup> [Eu(*t*Bu<sub>2</sub>pz)<sub>2</sub>(dme)<sub>2</sub>],<sup>[3f]</sup> [Eu(DippForm)<sub>2</sub>(thf)<sub>2</sub>]·2thf,<sup>[15]</sup> [Eu(DippForm)<sub>2</sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>CN)<sub>4</sub>]·2thf<sup>[16]</sup> [{Eu(DFForm)<sub>2</sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>CN)<sub>2</sub>}<sub>2</sub>]·2CH<sub>3</sub>CN<sup>[16]</sup> and trivalent [Eu(Ph<sub>2</sub>pz)<sub>3</sub>(dme)<sub>2</sub>]·2dme<sup>[17]</sup> and [Eu(ttfpz)<sub>3</sub>(dme)<sub>2</sub>]·dme<sup>[18]</sup> have been previously prepared mainly by different methods, including redox transmetallation of thallium(I) pyrazolates or organotin pyrazolates, but no europium complexes of PhMepz and Me<sub>2</sub>pz have been previously reported.



Scheme 2 Redox transmetallation/protolysis reactions of Eu, AgC<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub> and LH in py

Good to high yields (61-86%) of crystalline products were obtained after the filtrates were evaporated to half volume under vacuum. All the Eu complexes (1-5) crystallized as pyridine adducts and 1 also has two lattice pyridine molecules. Complexes 1-5 gave microanalyses for the bulk products as expected for the composition indicated by X-ray crystallography (below). The surprise is the formation of trivalent 4 under the same conditions used for the preparations of 1-3, though a pzH:  $AgC_6F_5$  ratio of 1:1 is required for both classes (Scheme 2). We have

earlier shown that RTP reactions of Eu,  $Hg(C_6F_5)_2$ , and carbazole or DippFormH form divalent products,<sup>[3c, 15]</sup> but Eu metal,  $Hg(C_6F_5)_2$  and cyclopentadiene in pyridine give [EuCp<sub>3</sub>(py)].<sup>[19]</sup>

An RTP reaction between Eu metal,  $tBu_2pzH$ , and HgPh<sub>2</sub> in thf followed by crystallization from dme yields [Eu( $tBu_2pz$ )<sub>2</sub>(dme)<sub>2</sub>],<sup>[3f]</sup> in contrast to the current behaviour with AgC<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub> in py.

There is considerable evidence that RTP reactions between Ln metals, diarylmercury compounds and proligands, proceed by redox transmetallation preceding protolysis (Scheme 3).<sup>[3a]</sup>





Europium metal is known to undergo redox transmetallation with  $Hg(C_6F_5)_2$  in thf yielding  $[Eu(C_6F_5)_2(thf)_5]$ ,<sup>[20]</sup> which readily undergoes protolysis reactions,<sup>[21-22]</sup> and oxidation of YbCp<sub>2</sub> by  $Hg(C_6F_5)_2$  analogous to the third step has been independently demonstrated<sup>[23]</sup> as well as oxidation of  $[Sm(DippForm)_2]$  by  $Hg(CCPh)_2$  to  $[Sm(DippForm)_2(CCPh)(thf)]$ .<sup>[24]</sup>

If there is a similar path for the reaction of Eu with  $AgC_6F_5$  and pyrazoles,  $Ln(pz)_2$  complexes would be obtained after two steps. Of the products at this stage,  $[Eu(tBu_2pz)_2]$  would be expected to be the best reductant in the redox reaction [Scheme 4 eq.(1)] owing to the electron donating *tert*-butyl groups. Protolysis of the proposed pentafluorophenyleuropium(III) species (compound A) would yield  $Eu(tBu_2pz)_3$  [Scheme 4 eq.(2)]. Seemingly, the other divalent  $Ln(pz)_2$  species are not sufficiently powerful reductants to react with  $AgC_6F_5$ . Qualitative tests indicate that the reaction of  $AgC_6F_5$  with Eu metal is rapid, but considerable decomposition is observed (see Supplementary data).

Proposed formation of **5** 

$$Eu(tBu_2pz)_2 + AgC_6F_5 \longrightarrow Eu(tBu_2pz)_2(C_6F_5) + Ag \quad (1)$$
  
compound A  
$$Eu(tBu_2pz)_2(C_6F_5) + tBu_2pzH \longrightarrow Eu(tBu_2pz)_3 + C_6F_5H \quad (2)$$

#### Scheme 4 Proposed path for the formation of complex Eu(tBu2pz)3 5

#### **Molecular structures**

Compounds 1-4 crystallize in the monoclinic space group  $P2_1/c$ . For 1-3, the eight-coordinate europium atom is coordinated by two *transoid* or *trans*  $\eta^2$ -pyrazolate ligands which occupy the axial positions (C(backbone)-Ln-C(backbone) 148° for 1 and 180° for 2, 3) and four equatorial py ligands. In 4 Eu is eight coordinate with three  $\eta^2$ -*t*Bu<sub>2</sub>pz ligands in a pseudo-meridional array (see C-Eu-C angles in Figure 1) and two *transoid* pyridine ligands (N7-Eu-N8 144.99(7)°) (Figure 1). Single crystals of [Eu(DFForm)<sub>2</sub>(py)<sub>3</sub>] (5) had unit cell parameters in agreement with recent reported X-ray data.<sup>[25]</sup>



**Figure 1**. Molecular diagrams of [Eu(RR'pz)<sub>2</sub>(py)<sub>4</sub>] (Ph<sub>2</sub>pz, **1**; ttfpz, **2**; PhMepz, **3**) and [Eu(*t*Bu<sub>2</sub>pz)<sub>3</sub>(py)<sub>2</sub>] (**4**) with non-hydrogen atoms represented by 50% thermal ellipsoids. The lattice py molecules and hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity. **1** Eu-N(1) 2.555(4), Eu-N(2) 2.591(3), Eu-N(3) 2.538(3), Eu-N(4) 2.603(3), Eu-N(5) 2.705(4), Eu-N(6) 2.692(4), Eu-N(7) 2.722(4), Eu-N(8) 2.741(3), C8-Eu-C23 148.38; **2** Eu-N(1) 2.633(3), Eu-N(2) 2.661(3), Eu-N(3) 2.724(3), Eu-N(4) 2.724(3), C6-Eu-C6# 180, symmetry code:1-X,1-Y,1-Z; **3** Eu-N(1) 2.6063(18), Eu-N(2) 2.6151(17), Eu-N(3) 2.7153(19), Eu-N(4) 2.7412(18), C10-Eu-C10# 180, symmetry code:1-X,1-Y,1-Z; **4** Eu-N(1) 2.378(2), Eu-N(2) 2.384(2), Eu-N(3) 2.387(2), Eu-N(4) 2.430(2), Eu-N(5) 2.440(2), Eu-N(6) 2.368(2), Eu-N(7) 2.555(2), Eu-N(8) 2.588(2), C6-Eu-C17 105.783, C6-Eu-C28 107.499, C17-Eu-C28 146.485, N7-Eu-N8 144.99(7).

Synthesis of europium complexes by using  $AgC_6F_5$  in thf — widespread C-F activation RTP reactions of equimolar amounts of [AgC<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>(py)] and pzH (Ph<sub>2</sub>pzH, ttfpzH, tBu<sub>2</sub>pzH and Me<sub>2</sub>pzH) or DippFormH with an excess of Eu metal in thf at room temperature for three days vielded the fluoridoeuropium(III) complexes,  $[{Eu_2(Ph_2pz)_4(py)_4(thf)_2(\mu-$ F)<sub>2</sub> {Eu<sub>2</sub>(Ph<sub>2</sub>pz)<sub>4</sub>(py)<sub>2</sub>(thf)<sub>4</sub>( $\mu$ -F)<sub>2</sub> } · 6thf (6),  $[Eu_2(ttfpz)_4(py)_2(dme)_2(\mu-F)_2]$ ·2dme (7),  $[Eu_2(tBu_2pz)_4(dme)_2(\mu-F)_2]$  (8), and the Eu<sup>II</sup> cage complex  $[Eu_6(Me_2pz)_{10}(thf)_6(\mu-F)_2]$  (9) (Scheme 5). Compounds 7 and 8 were obtained after work up with dme to obtain crystals. The corresponding reaction of DippFormH gave divalent [Eu(DippForm)<sub>2</sub>(thf)<sub>2</sub>]·2thf (10) and no C-F activation (Scheme 5). In contrast to the reactions in pyridine, trivalent products dominated, all arising from C-F activation. Although the formation of the divalent cage 9 involves C-F activation, the F:Eu ratio is 1:3 compared with 1:1 in the trivalent fluorides. The reaction mixtures were monitored by  ${}^{19}F{}^{1}H$  NMR spectroscopy (Fig. S1-5) showing consumption of  $[AgC_6F_5(py)]$  and formation of  $C_6F_5H$  on completion for complexes 6, 8, 9 and 10. There was an additional resonance (6, 8, 9 and 10) near F-2,6 of C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>H showing the formation of the byproduct 1,2,4,5 tetrafluorobenzene caused by C-F activation of C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>H by the Ln metal, as observed for Yb metal with accompanying YbF<sub>2</sub> formation<sup>[26]</sup> (Preliminary results from the reaction of Eu metal, Hg(C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, and C<sub>5</sub>Ph<sub>5</sub>H, analogous to the Yb metal/Hg(C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>/C<sub>5</sub>Ph<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub> system, provide support for C-F activation of C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>H by Eu metal).<sup>[27]</sup> The spectra from either the reaction mixture for formation of 7 or dissolution of crystals showed no <sup>19</sup>F resonance presumably owing to paramagnetic effects. The consumption of AgC<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub> was confirmed by qualitative tests. For the trivalent complexes 6-8 we consider that the excess Eu metal reacts with  $C_6F_5H$  to form EuF<sub>2</sub> [Scheme 6 eq. (3)], which reacts with Eu(pz)<sub>2</sub> formed by the RTP reaction [eq. (4)], to form [Eu(pz)F] [eq. (5)], which then undergoes an RTP reaction to form  $[Eu(pz)_2F]_2$  [eq. (6)]. For the divalent complex 9, the redistribution between Eu(Me<sub>2</sub>pz)<sub>2</sub> and  $EuF_2$  involves a different stoichiometry [Scheme 6 eq. (7)] giving a stable cage (9), which is sufficiently bulky to be resistant to a further RTP reaction to Eu<sup>III</sup>.



Scheme 5 C-F activation reactions of Eu with  $AgC_6F_5$  and LH in thf



Scheme 6 Proposed path for C-F activation product formation from reactions of Eu with AgC<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub> and pyrazoles in thf

Reasonable yields (64-84%) of crystalline products were obtained after appropriate crystallization procedures (see experimental) but long times (two weeks to two months) and cooling were employed. Complex **6** crystallized from thf and complex **7** crystallized from dme have pyridine and either thf (**6**) or dme (**7**) coligands, even though the only source of pyridine is from the  $[AgC_6F_5(py)]$  reactant. Satisfactory microanalyses for **6-8** could not be obtained, but the Eu analyses were as expected for the single crystal composition.

 $[Eu(DippForm)_2(thf)_2] \cdot 2thf (10)$  has been previously isolated and structurally characterized.<sup>[15]</sup> Complexes 9-10 gave microanalyses for the bulk products as expected for the composition indicated by X-ray crystallography. What is noteworthy about the Eu, AgC<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>, DippFormH reaction (Scheme 5) is the absence of sterically induced C-F activation giving  $[Eu(DippForm)_2F(thf)]$  and the trapped tetrafluorobenzyne, *o*-HC<sub>6</sub>F<sub>4</sub>O(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>4</sub>N(D ipp)CH=N(Dipp), as observed in reactions of La, Ce, Nd, Sm, and Tm with Hg(C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub> <sup>[24]</sup> and DippFormH, and of Nd and Er with Bi(C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>3</sub> and DippFormH.<sup>[5]</sup> In each case, metals both larger and smaller than Eu participate in sterically-induced C-F activation reactions. Thus,  $[Eu(DippForm)_2(thf)]$  is an insufficiently weak reductant to reduce Ag(C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>) to give Eu(DippForm)<sub>2</sub>(C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>), the precursor of C-F activation by tetrafluorobenzyne elimination. Divalent Eu is much more stable than divalent La, Ce, Nd, Sm, Er, and Tm.

#### **Molecular structures**

[{Eu<sub>2</sub>(Ph<sub>2</sub>pz)<sub>4</sub>(py)<sub>4</sub>(thf)<sub>2</sub>( $\mu$ -F)<sub>2</sub>} {Eu<sub>2</sub>(Ph<sub>2</sub>pz)<sub>4</sub>(py)<sub>2</sub>(thf)<sub>4</sub>( $\mu$ -F)<sub>2</sub>}]·6thf (1:1) (**6**) crystallized in the triclinic space group *P*-1, together with six lattice thf molecules. Eu1 and Eu1# are eight coordinate and are bonded in an  $\eta^2$ -fashion by two *cis* pyrazolate ligands, two *transoid* py molecules (N1-Eu1-N6 158.80(19) °), one thf molecule, and two bridging fluorine atoms. Eu2 and Eu2# are eight coordinate and are bonded in an  $\eta^2$ -fashion by two *cis* pyrazolate ligands, two *cis* thf molecules (O2-Eu2-O3 87.43(17) °), one py molecule and two bridging fluorine atoms (**Figure 2**). The average Eu-F bond length is 2.303 Å, which is similar to the reported Eu-F bond length 2.294 Å in [Eu<sub>2</sub>(edta)<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)].<sup>[28]</sup> The average Eu–N (pyrazolate ligands) bond length is Eu–N 2.479 Å, and the Eu–N (py) bond length (2.567 Å) is longer than Eu–N (pyrazolate ligands), consistent with the difference in charge.



**Figure 2.** Molecular diagram of [ $\{Eu_2(Ph_2pz)_4(py)_4(thf)_2(\mu-F)_2\}$  { $Eu_2(Ph_2pz)_4(py)_2(thf)_4(\mu-F)_2\}$ ]·6thf (**6**) without hydrogen atoms represented by 50% thermal ellipsoids. The lattice thf molecules and hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity. **1** Eu(1)-N(1) 2.571(5), Eu(1)-N(2) 2.491(6), Eu(1)-N(3) 2.509(5), Eu(1)-N(4) 2.459(6), Eu(1)-N(5) 2.431(6), Eu(1)-N(6) 2.577(5), Eu(1)-O(1) 2.590(5), Eu(1)-F(1) 2.302(4), Eu(1)-F(1)# 2.299(4), C(13)-Eu(1)-C(28) 93.93, N(1)-Eu(1)-N(6) 158.80(19), O(1)-Eu(1)-N(1) 73.02(18), O(1)-Eu(1)-N(6) 92.63(19), Eu(1)-F(1)-Eu(1)# 141.23(18); **2** Eu(2)-N(7) 2.550(5), Eu(2)-N(8) 2.522(5), Eu(2)-N(9) 2.507(5), Eu(2)-N(10) 2.456(5), Eu(2)-N(11) 2.446(5), Eu(2)-O(2) 2.561(5), Eu(2)-O(3) 2.525(4), Eu(2)-F(2) 2.302(4), Eu(2)-F(2)# 2.309(4), C(57)-Eu(1)-C(72) 94.79, O(2)-Eu(2)-O(3) 87.43(17), O(2)-Eu(2)-N(7) 75.87(17), O(3)-Eu(2)-N(7) 157.04(17), Eu(2)-F(2)-Eu(2)# 141.46(17), symmetry code: 1-X,2-Y,1-Z; 1-X,1-Y,2-Z.

The X-ray structure of  $[Eu_2(ttfpz)_4(py)_2(dme)_2(\mu-F)_2]\cdot 2dme$  (7) showed a fluoride-bridged dimer (**Figure 3**) in which the nine-coordinate europium atom is coordinated by two ttfpz ligands, *cisoid* py and dme molecules (Cen(dme)-Eu(1)-N(5) 120.70°) and two bridging fluoride ligands. The average Eu-F bond length of the dinuclear complex **7** is 2.269(7) Å are longer than those of nine-coordinate  $[Yb(Cp)_2F(thf)]_2$  (2.188 Å) and  $[Yb(MeCp)_2F(thf)]_2$ (2.201 Å).<sup>[29]</sup> The difference is consistent with the difference in lanthanoid ionic radii.<sup>[30]</sup>



**Figure 3**. Molecular diagram of  $[Eu_2(ttfpz)_4(py)_2(dme)_2(\mu-F)_2] \cdot dme (7)$  with non-hydrogen atoms represented by 30% thermal ellipsoids. The lattice dme molecules and hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity. Eu(1)-N(1) 2.499(5), Eu(1)-N(2) 2.522(5), Eu(1)-N(3) 2.434(4), Eu(1)-N(4) 2.443(4), Eu(1)-N(5) 2.565(4), Eu(1)-O(1) 2.462(4), Eu(1)-O(2) 2.615(10), Eu(1)-F(7) 2.288(5), Eu(1)-F(7)# 2.250(5), C(7)-Eu(1)-C(15) 98.44, Cen(dme)-Eu(1)-N(5) 120.70, Eu(1)-F(7)-Eu(1)# 140.92(17), symmetry code: 1-X,1-Y,1-Z.

 $[Eu_2(tBu_2pz)_4(dme)_2(\mu-F)_2]$  (8) crystallized in the triclinic space group *P*-1. Both Eu atoms are bridged by two fluoride atoms, forming a  $[Eu_2F_2]$  core. A similar core has been seen previously in eight-coordinate  $[Ln(C_5H_3(SiMe_3)_2-1,3)_2F]_2$  (Ln = La, Nd, Sm, Gd)<sup>[31]</sup> and  $[Nd(p-HC_6F_4N(CH_2)_2NEt_2)_2F]_2$ .<sup>[32]</sup> Each seven-coordinate europium ion is coordinated by two  $\eta^2$ fashion  $tBu_2pz$  ligands, one dme molecule and two bridging two bridging fluoride ligands. (**Figure 4**). The average Eu-F bond lengths 2.305(6) Å are near those of  $[Nd(C_5H_3(SiMe_3)_2-1,3)_2F]_2$  (2.336 Å)<sup>[31]</sup> and  $[Nd(p-HC_6F_4N(CH_2)_2NEt_2)_2F]_2$  (2.305 Å).<sup>[32]</sup>



**Figure 4**. Molecular diagram of  $[Eu_2(tBu_2pz)_4(dme)_2(\mu-F)_2]$  (8) with non-hydrogen atoms represented by 30% thermal ellipsoids. The lattice hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity. Eu(1)-N(1) 2.404(4), Eu(1)-N(2) 2.444(4), Eu(1)-N(3) 2.397(4), Eu(1)-N(4) 2.422(4), Eu(1)-O(1) 2.577(4), Eu(1)-O(2) 2.564(5), Eu(1)-F(1) 2.279(4), Eu(1)-F(1) # 2.330(4), C(6)-Eu(1)-C(17) 87.92(11), Eu(1)-F(1)-Eu(1)# 109.40(16), symmetry code: 1-X,1-Y,1-Z.

[Eu<sub>6</sub>(Me<sub>2</sub>pz)<sub>10</sub>(thf)<sub>6</sub>( $\mu$ -F)<sub>2</sub>] (9) crystallized in the monoclinic space group *C2/c* as a centrosymmetric hexanuclear cage (**Figure 5a**) with an ovoid core of Eu atoms and donor atoms (**Figure 5b**) linked by bridging fluoride (F1,1\*), thf (O3), and pyrazolate ligands, with additional terminal pyrazolate (N5,6 and N9,10) and thf (O1, O2) donors. Pyrazolate moieties N1,2 and N7,8 are bound in a  $\mu_3$ -1 $\eta^2$ (N<sub>2</sub>);2 $\kappa$ (N):3 $\kappa$ (N') manner and ligand N3,4 in a rare  $\mu_4$ -1 $\eta^5$ (N<sub>2</sub>C<sub>3</sub>)  $2\eta^2$ (N<sub>2</sub>):3 $\kappa$ (N):4 $\kappa$ (N') mode (**Figure 5c**), which has only been previously observed in [Ce<sub>4</sub>(Me<sub>2</sub>pz)<sub>12</sub>]<sup>[33]</sup> and in [K<sub>2</sub>{Mn<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>6</sub>}<sub>2</sub>(pz)<sub>6</sub>(Et<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>2</sub>].<sup>[34]</sup> Proposed  $\eta^5$  coordination of ligand N<sub>2</sub>C<sub>3</sub> (N3,4 and C7-9) to Eu, is supported by Eu3\*-C7-9 distances of 3.006(6) – 3.058(6) A, which are similar to those of  $\pi$ - $\eta^6$ -Ph-La bonds (2.979(10)-3.164(9)Å in six coordinate [La(OAr)<sub>2</sub>( $\mu$ -OAr)]<sub>2</sub><sup>[35]</sup> with allowance for different Ln<sup>3+</sup> ionic radii and coordination numbers,<sup>[30]</sup> and are near those of  $\eta^5$ -pz coordination in [Eu<sub>4</sub>(*t*Bu<sub>2</sub>pz)<sub>8</sub>],<sup>[36]</sup> after allowing for the effect of different oxidation states<sup>[30]</sup> The values are far shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radius of an aromatic ring <sup>[37]</sup> plus the metallic radius (pseudo van der Walls radius) of Eu (3.8 Å).<sup>[38]</sup> In addition, the N3,4C7-9 ring is near normal to the Eu-ring centroid vector (Eucentroid-ring N or C angles 86.84 – 92.53°), consistent with  $\eta^5$  bonding.

Eu1 is nine coordinate and is bound by two bridging  $\eta^2$  Me<sub>2</sub>pz ligands (N1N2, N7N8), two bridging  $\kappa(N)$  Me<sub>2</sub>pz ligands (N3,4\*), two bridging fluoride ligands (F1, F1\*) and one terminal

thf molecule(O1) (**Figure S8a**). Eu2 is also nine coordinate, with one terminal Me<sub>2</sub>pz ligand (N5,6), one bridging  $\eta^2$  Me<sub>2</sub>pz ligand (N3,4), two bridging nitrogens (N1\*, N8), and one bridging fluoride ligand (F1), one bridging thf molecule (O3) and one terminal thf molecule (O2) (**Figure S8b**). The nine-coordinate Eu3 atom is chelated by one terminal Me<sub>2</sub>pz ligand (N9,10),  $\eta^5$ -ligated by the N3\*N4\*C7\*C8\*C9\* ring-of the  $\mu_4$ - $\eta^5$ - $2\eta^2$ :3 $\kappa$ :4 $\kappa$  ligand, and bound by two bridging nitrogens of two  $\mu_3$ - $\kappa$ :2 $\kappa$ :3 $\eta^2$  Me<sub>2</sub>pz ligands (N2\*, N7), one bridging fluoride ligands (F1) and one bridging thf molecule (O3) (**Figure S8c**). The Eu–N bond lengths decrease in the series  $\eta^5$ -Me<sub>2</sub>pz [average 2.953(5) Å] >  $\eta^2$ (bridging)-Me<sub>2</sub>pz [average 2.820(5) Å] >  $\kappa$ (bridging)-Me<sub>2</sub>pz [average 2.752(5) Å] >  $\eta^2$ (terminal)-Me<sub>2</sub>pz [average 2.560(5) Å]. Bridging tetrahydrofuran has been previously observed in a few [Ln(Me<sub>2</sub>pz)<sub>3</sub>(thf)]<sub>2</sub> (Ln = Nd, Ho, Lu, Y, Ce) complexes,<sup>[39]</sup> but is generally uncommon.



**Figure 5**. **a.** Molecular diagrams of  $[Eu_6(Me_2pz)_{10}(thf)_6(\mu-F)_2]$  (**9**) with non-hydrogen atoms represented by 50% thermal ellipsoids. The lattice hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity; **b.** Framework of complex 9; **c.** The binding model of ligand N3,4; **d.** The cut-out of the Eu-F core. **Eu1** Eu(1)-N(1) 2.694(5), Eu(1)-N(2) 2.825(4), Eu(1)-N(3) 2.732(4), Eu(1)-N(4)<sup>\*</sup> 2.764(5), Eu(1)-N(7) 2.832(5), Eu(1)-N(8) 2.698(5), Eu(1)-O(1) 2.617(4), Eu(1)-F(1) 2.415(3), Eu(1)-F(1)<sup>\*</sup> 2.426(3); **Eu2** Eu(2)-N(1)\* 2.757(5), Eu(2)-N(3) 2.937(4), Eu(2)-N(4) 2.931(5),

Eu(2)-N(5) 2.588(5), Eu(2)-N(6) 2.554(5), Eu(2)-N(8) 2.740(5), Eu(2)-O(2) 2.670(4), Eu(2)-O(3) 2.812(4), Eu(2)-F(1) 2.430(3); **Eu3** Eu(3)-N(2)\* 2.732(5), Eu(3)-N(3)\* 2.959(4), Eu(3)-N(4)\* 2.946(5), Eu(3)-C(7)\* 3.048(6), Eu(3)-C(8)\* 3.058(6), Eu(3)-C(9)\* 3.006(6), Eu(3)-N(7) 2.768(5), Eu(3)-N(9) 2.548(5), Eu(3)-N(10) 2.553(5), Eu(3)-O(3) 2.629(4), Eu(3)-F(1) 2.413(3). Eu(3)-centroid-C(7)\* 92.53, Eu(3)-centroid-C(8)\* 92.39, Eu(3)-centroid-C(9)\* 90.35, Eu(3)-centroid-N(3)\* 87.86, Eu(3)-centroid-N(4)\* 86.84, symmetry code: 3/2-X,1/2-Y,1-Z.

#### Synthesis of europium pyrazolates using Bi(C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>3</sub>

The pyrazolatoeuropium(II) complex  $[Eu(Ph_2pz)_2(thf)_4]$  (11) and the pyrazolatoeuropium(III) complex  $[Eu(tBu_2pz)_3(thf)_2]$  (12) were prepared by RTP reactions between an excess of lanthanoid metal,  $[Bi(C_6F_5)_3] \cdot 0.5$ diox (diox = 1, 4-dioxane) and Ph\_2pzH and  $tBu_2pzH$  stirred in thf at room temperature (Scheme 7). The reaction mixtures were monitored by <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectroscopy showing consumption of  $Bi(C_6F_5)_3$  and formation of  $C_6F_5H$  on completion of reaction. The oxidation state outcomes corresponding to those for use of  $[Ag(C_6F_5)(py)]$  in pyridine. Good yields (95 and 77 %) of crystalline products were obtained after the filtrates were evaporated to half volume under vacuum. Both 11 and 12 crystallized as thf complexes with satisfactory microanalyses.



Scheme 7 RTP reactions of Eu, Bi(C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>3</sub> and pzH in thf

#### **Molecular structures**

[Eu(Ph<sub>2</sub>pz)<sub>2</sub>(thf)<sub>4</sub>] (11) crystallizes in the triclinic space group *P*-1 with eight coordinate Eu having two  $\eta^2$ -Ph<sub>2</sub>Pz ligands and four coordinated thf molecules (Figure 6 left). The two pyrazolatoligands display a *transoid* orientation (C(8)-Eu-C(23) 151-152°) with four thf

donors in equatorial positions. The stereochemistry can be described as distorted octahedral if the point of attachment of the metal to the pyrazolate ligand is taken as midway along the N-N vector. The O-Eu-O angles between adjacent thf donors range from  $71.3(3)^{\circ}$  to  $131.2(3)^{\circ}$ . The Eu-O (thf) distances are between 2.577(8) and 2.657(9) Å, which is similar to the Eu-O (thf) bond length of divalent EuI<sub>2</sub>(thf)<sub>5</sub> (2.58(2)-2.65(2) Å)<sup>[40]</sup> and the avenge Eu-O (thf) bond length of [Eu<sub>2</sub>(NCS)<sub>4</sub>(thf)<sub>8</sub>] (2.667 Å).<sup>[41]</sup> [Eu( $tBu_2pz$ )<sub>3</sub>(thf)<sub>2</sub>] (**12**) crystallizes in the monoclinic space group  $P2_1/n$  with three  $\eta^2$ - $tBu_2Pz$  ligands and two *transoid* thf ligands (O-Ln-O *ca.*149°) (**Figure 6** right) and the three  $\eta^2$ - $tBu_2pz$  ligands have a distorted *mer* arrangement (see backbone C-Eu-C angles in Figure 6).



**Figure 6.** Molecular diagrams of [Eu(Ph<sub>2</sub>pz)<sub>2</sub>(thf)<sub>4</sub>] (**11**) (left) and [Eu(*t*Bu<sub>2</sub>pz)<sub>3</sub>(thf)<sub>2</sub>] (**12**) (right) with nonhydrogen atoms represented by 50% thermal ellipsoids. The hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity (Data for molecules 2 of **11** and **12** in supplementary information). **11 Eu1** Eu(1)-N(1) 2.597(8), Eu(1)-N(2) 2.551(8), Eu(1)-N(3) 2.550(8), Eu(1)-N(4) 2.562(8), Eu(1)-O(1) 2.640(7), Eu(1)-O(2) 2.580(7), Eu(1)-O(3) 2.663(7), Eu(1)-O(4) 2.580(7), C(8)-Eu(1)-C(23) 152.815; **12 Eu1** Eu(1)-N(1) 2.443(5), Eu(1)-N(2) 2.372(5), Eu(1)-N(3) 2.402(5), Eu(1)-N(4) 2.373(5), Eu(1)-N(5) 2.367(5), Eu(1)-N(6) 2.427(5), Eu(1)-O(1) 2.448(5), Eu(1)-O(2) 2.445(4), O(1)-Eu(1)-O(2) 149.04(17), C(6)-Eu(1)-C(17) 105.21, C(6)-Eu(1)-C(28) 145.30, C(17)-Eu(1)-C(28) 109.32.

#### Conclusions

Pentafluorophenylsilver in conjunction with europium metal and pyrazoles introduces new solvent-dependent outcomes into RTP syntheses of lanthanoid pyrazolates. In pyridine, a neglected solvent for such reactions, several lanthanoid(II) pyrazolates **1-3** and a

formamidinate 5 were obtained in high yields, but *t*Bu<sub>2</sub>pzH gave the lanthanoid(III) complex  $[Eu(tBu_2pz)_3(py)_2]$  4. Contrastingly, all corresponding syntheses of pyrazolates in thf led to C-F activation by a route involving C-F activation of pentafluorobenzene by Eu metal, generally giving  $[Eu^{III}_2(pz)_4(\mu-F)_2(solv)_n]$  complexes 6-8. C-F activation has not previously been observed in RTP reactions with AgC<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>. Surprisingly, Me<sub>2</sub>pzH gave an hexanuclear Eu<sup>II</sup> cage  $[Eu_6(Me_2pz)_{10}(\mu-F)_2(thf)_6]$ . The structure features bridging fluoride, thf, and pyrazolate ligands, the last including the rare  $\mu_4$ -1 $\eta^5(N_2C_3)$  2 $\eta^2(N_2)$ :3 $\kappa(N)$ :4 $\kappa(N')$  binding mode, which links four Eu atoms, and is resistant to further oxidation. The RTP reaction of Eu, AgC<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>, and the very bulky DippFormH yields divalent [Eu(DippForm)2(thf)2] 10 without any C-F activation, despite a possible steric induced activation pathway being available. RTP reactions of Eu, Bi $(C_6F_5)_3$ , and Ph<sub>2</sub>pzH or tBu<sub>2</sub>pzH in thf do not involve C-F activation in contrast to AgC<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>, but lead to the same divergent oxidation state outcomes as observed with AgC<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub> in pyridine. This study opens a range of further synthetic opportunities. Pyridine appears to have considerable potential as an RTP (and RT) solvent and metal-induced pyridine coupling does not appear to be a problem under the mild conditions used in the present study, and the use should be extended to Bi(C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>3</sub>. C-F activation involving Eu and AgC<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub> should be applicable to other ligand systems, but the lack of activation with DippFormH suggests steric limitations. The C-F activation with Eu, pyrazoles and AgC<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub> in thf contrasts the behaviour of other Ln metals, <sup>[8]</sup> but may extend to them with other ligand systems.

#### Experimental

#### General

The lanthanoid compounds described here are highly air and moisture sensitive, and were prepared and handled with vacuum-nitrogen line techniques and in a dry box under an atmosphere of purified nitrogen. Europium metal was from Eutectix. Large chunks were filed in the drybox before use.  $AgC_6F_5$ <sup>[8]</sup> and  $Bi(C_6F_5)_3$ <sup>[4]</sup> were synthesized by the reported methods. All other chemicals were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Solvents (thf and dme) were predried by distillation over sodium or sodium benzophenone ketyl before being stored under an atmosphere of nitrogen. Pyridine was distilled over potassium hydroxide, degassed and stored over dried 4Å molecular sieves. Other chemicals were used without further purification. IR spectra were recorded as Nujol mulls between NaCl plates using an ATIR instrument within the range 4000–700 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Proton decoupled <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra were recorded with a Bruker DPX 300MHz spectrometer or a Bruker 400MHz instrument. Chemical shifts were referenced

to the residual <sup>1</sup>H resonances of the deuterated solvents (<sup>1</sup>H). The Raman spectrum of **9** was obtained for a sample scaled in a capillary with a Renishaw Invia Raman microscope at an excitation line of 504nm using an Argon ion laser. Microanalyses were determined by the Elemental Analysis Service, London Metropolitan University, and all the samples were sealed in tubes under nitrogen. Crystals were immersed in crystallography oil, and were measured on a Bruker X8 APEXII SCXRD or the MX1 beamlines at the Australian Synchrotron. Crystal data and refinement details are given in **Table S1**. CCDC number 2117838-2117847 for compound 1-4, 6-9 and 11-12, contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data\_request/cif.

## Synthesis of Europium complexes by using $AgC_6F_5$ in py

**General procedure** Europium metal powder (2.00 mmol), pentafluorophenyl(pyridine)silver (I) (AgC<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>(py)) (0.50 mmol) and ligands (Ph<sub>2</sub>pzH, ttfpzH, PhMepzH,  $tBu_2pzH$  and DFFormH) (0.50 mmol) were stirred in dry py (10 ml) under nitrogen for 2 days at room temperature. Occurrence of reaction was often indicated by formation of a silver mirror. Silver mirrors on the reaction flask were cleaned by dissolution in dilute HNO<sub>3</sub> and some cases tested for silver by addition of HCl. The filtrates were evaporated to half volume under vacuum and the crystals were obtained.

## [Eu(ph<sub>2</sub>pz)<sub>2</sub>(py)<sub>4</sub>]·2py 1

Red crystals (0.219, 82%), Found C, 66.18; H, 4.47; N, 11.83; C<sub>50</sub>H<sub>42</sub>EuN<sub>8</sub> (loss two py, 906.88) requires C, 66.22; H, 4.67; N, 12.36 %. IR (Nujol): 1597m, 1574w, 1261vs, 1217m, 1095vs, 1022vs, 971m, 910w, 865m, 800vs, 757m, 701s cm<sup>-1</sup>.

## [Eu(ttfpz)<sub>2</sub>(py)<sub>4</sub>] 2

Red crystals (0.203, 90%), Found C, 47.88; H, 3.05; N, 12.52; C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>28</sub>EuF<sub>6</sub>N<sub>8</sub>S<sub>2</sub> (902.74) requires C, 47.90; H, 3.13; N, 12.41 %. IR (Nujol): 1620w, 1572w, 1598s, 1508m, 1306m, 1239vs, 1217m, 1162s, 1109vs, 1074s, 1034s, 979s, 923m, 881m, 844s, 790vs, 752s 702vs cm<sup>-1</sup>.

## [Eu(PhMepz)<sub>2</sub>(py)<sub>4</sub>] 3

Red crystals (0.120, 61%), Found C, 61.16; H, 4.77; N, 14.53; C<sub>40</sub>H<sub>38</sub>EuN<sub>8</sub> (782.74) requires C, 61.38; H, 4.89; N, 14.32 %. IR (Nujol): 1592s, 1270m, 1215s, 1150m, 1069s, 1018s, 1000s, 962s, 914m, 883m, 754vs, 701vs cm<sup>-1</sup>.

## [Eu(*t*Bu<sub>2</sub>pz)<sub>3</sub>(py)<sub>2</sub>] 4

Colourless crystals (0.107, 76%), Found C, 59.43; H, 7.77; N, 12.89; C<sub>43</sub>H<sub>67</sub>EuN<sub>8</sub> (848.00) requires C, 60.90; H, 7.96; N, 13.21 %. IR (Nujol): 1597w, 1572w, 1500m, 1313w, 1286m, 1251s, 1223m, 1205w, 1129m, 1068m, 1036m, 1002s, 937m, 850w, 806s, 752m, 724m, 702s cm<sup>-1</sup>.

## [Eu(DFForm)<sub>2</sub>(py)<sub>3</sub>] 5

Orange crystals (0.198, 86%), Found C, 53.14; H, 3.28; N, 10.43;  $C_{41}H_{29}EuF_8N_7$  (923.67) requires C, 53.31; H, 3.16; N, 10.61 %. IR (Nujol): 1663m, 1618w, 1594w, 1569m, 1312m, 1262m, 1205m, 1149m, 1096m, 1063m, 1033w, 1008m, 992m, 880w, 822m, 803m, 774s, 741m, 721m, 702s cm<sup>-1</sup>. **5** crystallized in the monoclinic space group C2/c, a = 12.894(3) Å, b = 17.326(4) Å, c = 18.041(4) Å,  $\beta$  = 107.64(3)°, V = 3841.2(15) Å<sup>3</sup>, which had unit cell parameters in agreement with the reported structure,<sup>[25]</sup> monoclinic space group C2/c, a = 12.8569(3) Å, b = 17.2704(4) Å, c = 18.0267(5) Å,  $\beta$  = 107.775(1) °, V = 3811.64(17) Å<sup>3</sup>.

## Synthesis of Europium complexes by using $AgC_6F_5$ in thf

**General procedure** Europium metal powder (2.00 mmol), pentafluorophenyl(pyridine)silver (I) (AgC<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>(py)) (0.50 mmol) and ligands (Ph<sub>2</sub>pzH, ttfpzH, *t*Bu<sub>2</sub>pzH, Me<sub>2</sub>pzH and DippFormH) (0.50 mmol) were stirred in dry thf (10 ml) under nitrogen for 3 days at room temperature. After filtration of the reaction mixture, a small (0.3ml) aliquot was monitored by <sup>19</sup>F NMR, and in the case of successful reactions. Occurrence of reaction was often indicated by formation of a silver mirror. Silver mirrors on the reaction flask were cleaned by dissolution in dilute HNO<sub>3</sub> and some cases tested for silver by addition of HC1. The filtrates were evaporated to half volume under vacuum. If crystallization did not occur on prolonged cooling, the crystallization method is described with the individual compounds.

## $[\{Eu_2(Ph_2pz)_4(py)_4(thf)_2F_2\}\{Eu_2(Ph_2pz)_4(py)_2(thf)_4F_2\}]\cdot 6thf\ 6$

<sup>19</sup>F NMR (THF, ext. CFCl<sub>3</sub>, ppm):  $\delta$ = -134.7 ppm (m, 2F, F-2, 6), -135.3 ppm (m, 0.6F, -F), -150.9 ppm (m, 1F, F-4), -158.7 ppm (m, 2F, F-3, 5). Yellow crystals (0.193, 82%), (Found Eu, 16.12; C<sub>198</sub>H<sub>212</sub>Eu<sub>4</sub>F<sub>4</sub>N<sub>22</sub>O<sub>12</sub> (3775.72) requires Eu, 16.09 %) were obtained after two weeks at -20 °C. IR (Nujol): 1634s, 1599vs, 1574w, 1506w, 1321w, 1261s, 1219s, 1175m, 1152m, 1069s, 1034s, 970s, 912m, 875m, 800s, 757vs cm<sup>-1</sup>.

## [Eu<sub>2</sub>(ttfpz)<sub>4</sub>(py)<sub>2</sub>(dme)<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub>]·2dme 7

<sup>19</sup>F NMR (THF, ext. CFCl<sub>3</sub>, ppm) showed no presence of any F peaks. Yellow crystals (0.125,

64%), (Found Eu 18.40;  $C_{54}H_{56}Eu_2F_{14}N_{10}O_6S_4$  (1639.24, lost one dme) requires Eu, 18.54 %) were obtained after the filtrate (which did not deposit crystals) was evaporated and the residua was recrystallized from dme. IR (Nujol): 1599w, 1511m, 1261vs, 1217m, 1162m, 1097vs, 1021vs, 979m, 926m, 863m, 801vs, 701vs cm<sup>-1</sup>.

## [Eu<sub>2</sub>(*t*Bu<sub>2</sub>pz)<sub>4</sub>(dme)<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub>] 8

<sup>19</sup>F NMR (THF, ext. CFCl<sub>3</sub>, ppm):  $\delta$ = -134.6 ppm (m, 2F, F-2, 6), -135.2 ppm (m, 0.6F, -F), -150.8 ppm (m, 1F, F-4), -158.6 ppm (m, 2F, F-3, 5). Colourless crystals (0.130 g, 84%), (Found Eu, 24.51; C<sub>52</sub>H<sub>96</sub>Eu<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub>N<sub>8</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (1239.28) requires Eu, 24.52 %) were obtained after the filtrate (which did not deposit crystals) was evaporated and the residua was recrystallized from dme. IR (Nujol): 1597m, 1570w, 1500m, 1316m, 1285m, 1250s, 1228m, 1205m, 1109s, 1063m, 1023s, 1002s, 934m, 858m, 806s, 754m, 725m, 701s cm<sup>-1</sup>.

## [Eu<sub>6</sub>(Me<sub>2</sub>pz)<sub>10</sub>(thf)<sub>6</sub>F<sub>2</sub>] 9

<sup>19</sup>F NMR (THF, ext. CFCl<sub>3</sub>, ppm):  $\delta$ = -133.2 ppm (m, 2F, F-2, 6),133.7 ppm (m, 1F), -149.3 ppm (m, 1F, F-4), -157.1 ppm (m, 2F, F-3, 5). Yellow-green crystals (0.085, 73%), (Found C, 38.16; H, 4.77; N, 11.53; C<sub>74</sub>H<sub>118</sub>Eu<sub>6</sub>F<sub>2</sub>N<sub>20</sub>O<sub>6</sub> (2333.64) requires C, 38.09; H, 5.10; N, 12.00 %) were obtained after isolated half thf. IR (Nujol): 1576w, 1516m, 1304w, 1261vs, 1094vs, 1022vs, 865m, 801vs, 723w cm<sup>-1</sup>. Raman: 3110m, 2963m, 2913s, 1562s, 1433s, 1024s, 592s, 490m, 422m, 348m, 141s cm<sup>-1</sup>.

## [Eu(DippForm)2(thf)2]·2thf 10

<sup>19</sup>F NMR (THF, ext. CFCl<sub>3</sub>, ppm): δ= -138.9 ppm (m, 2F, F-2, 6), -155.0 ppm (m, 1F, F-4), -162.9 ppm (m, 2F, F-3, 5), which confirmed pentafluorobenzene formed during the reaction. Yellow crystals (0.206, 71%) (Found: C, 66.54; H, 8.42; N, 4.82; C<sub>66</sub>H<sub>102</sub>EuN<sub>4</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (1167.50) requires C, 67.90; H, 8.81; N, 4.80 %) were obtained after isolated half thf. **10** crystallized in the triclinic space group *P*-1, *a*=14.2270(15) Å, *b*=14.2371(16) Å, *c*=18.620(2) Å,  $\alpha$ =70.702(4)°,  $\beta$ =69.341(4)°,  $\gamma$ =66.186(4)°, *V*=3149.7(6) Å<sup>3</sup>, which is similar to that reported, [<sup>15</sup>] triclinic space group, reduced cell: *a*=14.292 Å, *b*=14.292 Å, *c*=19.000 Å,  $\alpha$ =69.75°,  $\beta$ =69.75°,  $\gamma$ =66.04°. IR (Nujol): 1656w, 1595m, 1316s, 1255m, 1183s, 1099s, 1074s, 1037s, 1014w, 932m, 879m, 801s, 803vs, 753s, 722m, 703m cm<sup>-1</sup>.

## Synthesis of europium pyrazolates by using Bi (C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>3</sub>

**General procedure** Europium metal powder (1.00 mmol), trispentafluorophenylbismuth  $Bi(C_6F_5)_3$  (0.25 mmol) and pyrazole (Ph<sub>2</sub>pzH and *t*Bu<sub>2</sub>pzH) (0.75 mmol) were stirred in dry thf

(10 ml) under nitrogen for 3 days at room temperature. After filtration of the reaction mixture, a small (0.3ml) aliquot was monitored by <sup>19</sup>F NMR, and in the case of successful reactions, **11** and **12** showed characteristic resonances of pentafluorophenylbenzene, <sup>19</sup>F NMR (THF, ext. CFCl<sub>3</sub>, ppm):  $\delta$ = -138.0 (m, 2F, F-2, 6), -154.2 (m, 1F, F-4), -162.0 (m, 2F, F-3, 5). The filtrates were evaporated to half volume under vacuum.

## [Eu(Ph<sub>2</sub>pz)<sub>2</sub>(thf)<sub>4</sub>] 11

Yellow crystals (0.209g, 95%), M.p. 226-228 °C, (Found C, 62.63; H, 5.97; N, 6.54; C<sub>46</sub>H<sub>54</sub>EuN<sub>4</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (878.89) requires C, 62.86; H, 6.19; N, 6.37 %) were obtained at -20 °C after three days. IR (Nujol): 1602s, 1527w, 1513m, 1293w, 1261vs, 1218m, 1173w, 1042vs, 968s, 903s, 872m, 800s, 754vs, 722w, 702s cm<sup>-1</sup>.

## [Eu(*t*Bu<sub>2</sub>pz)<sub>3</sub>(thf)<sub>2</sub>] 12

Colourless crystals (0.165g, 77%), M.p.140-142 °C, (Found C, 58.95; H, 8.78; N, 10.00; C<sub>41</sub>H<sub>73</sub>EuN<sub>6</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (834.01) requires C, 59.04; H, 8.82; N, 10.08 %) were obtained at -20 °C after one week. IR (Nujol): 1566m, 1503s, 1312m, 1258s, 1205m, 1095s, 1023s, 917m, 874m, 802s, 725m cm<sup>-1</sup>.

## Acknowledgements

GBD and PCJ gratefully acknowledge the ARC for funding (DP190100798). This research was undertaken in part using the MX1 beamline at the Australian Synchrotron, part of ANSTO.<sup>[42]</sup>

#### **References:**

- [1]. G. B. Deacon, C. M. Forsyth, S. Nickel, J. Organomet. Chem., 2002, 647, 50-60
- [2]. G. B. Deacon, M. E. Hossain, P. C. Junk, M. Salehisaki. Coord. Chem. Rev., 2017, 340, 247-265.
- [3]. (a) Z. Guo, R. Huo, Y. Q. Tan, V. Blair, G. B. Deacon, P. C. Junk, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2020, 415, 213232, 1-23; (b) J. Hitzbleck, G.B. Deacon, K. Ruhlandt-Senge, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2007, 592-601; (c) G. B. Deacon, C. M. Forsyth, B. M. Gatehouse, P. A. White, *Aust. J. Chem.* 1990, 43, 795-806; (d) S. Hauber, M. Niemeyer, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2005, 44, 8644-8646; (e) G. B. Deacon, P. C. Junk, G. J. Moxey, *Chem. Asian. J.*, 2009, 4, 1717-1728; (f) G. B. Deacon, E. E. Delbridge, B. W. Skelton, A. H. White, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 1999, 751-761.
- [4]. Z. Guo, V. Blair, G. B. Deacon, P. C. Junk, Chem. Eur. J. 2018, 24, 17464-17474.
- [5]. Z. Guo, V. Blair, G. B. Deacon, P. C. Junk, *Dalton Trans.* 2020, 49, 13588–13600.
- [6]. M. M. Gillett-Kunnath, J. G. MacLellan, C. M. Forsyth, P. C. Andrews, G. B. Deacon, K. Ruhlandt-Senge, *Chem. Common.* 2008, 4490-4492.
- Y. Takahashi, A. O'Brien, G. B. Deacon, P. C. Andrews, M. Wolf, A. Torvisco, M. M. Gillett-Kunnath, K. Ruhlandt-Senge, *Inorg. Chem.* 2017, 56, 11480-11489.
- [8]. Z. Guo, J. Luu, V. Blair, G. B. Deacon, P. C. Junk, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2019, 1018-1029.
- [9]. (a) T. Simler, T. J. Feuerstein, R. Yadav, M. T. Gamer, P. W. Roesky, *Chem. Commun.* 2019, 55, 222-225; (b) N. Schwarz, X. Sun, R. Yadav, R. Koppe, T. Simler, P. W. Roesky, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2021, 27, 12857-12865; (c) L. M. Aguirre Quintana, N. Jiang, J. Bacsa, H. S. La Pierre, *Dalton Trans.*, 2020, 49, 5420–5423.
- [10]. EuII (a) J. Garcia, M. J. Allen, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2012, 4550–4563; EuIII (b) G. Vicentini, L. B. Zinner, J. Zukerman-Schpector, K. Zinner, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2000, 196, 353-382; (c) Y. Ma, Y. Wang, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2010, 254, 972-990.
- [11]. (a) J. L. Kiplinger, T. G. Richmond, C. E. Osterberg, *Chem. Rev.* 1994, 94, 373-431; (b) H. Plenio, *Chem. Rev.* 1997, 97, 3363–3384; (c) H. Plenio, *ChemBioChem*, 2004, 5, 650–655; (d) H. Yin, A. V. Zabula, E. J. Schelter, *Dalton Trans.*, 2016, 45, 6313-6323; (e) O. Eisenstein, J. Milani, R. N. Perutz, *Chem. Rev.*, 2017, 117 (13), 8710-8753; (f) J. H. Farnaby, T. Chowdhury, S. J. Horsewill, B. Wilson, F. Jaroschik, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2021, 437, 213830, 1-84.
- [12]. (a) J. P. Vizuet, M. L. Mortensen, A. L. Lewis, M. A. Wunch, H. R. Firouzi, G. T. McCandless, K. J. Balkus. Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2021, https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.1c10493; and references therein. (b) G. B. Deacon, A. J. Koplick, W. D. Raverty, D. G. Vince, J. Organomet. Chem. 1979, 182, 121-141; (c) G. B. Deacon, P. I. MacKinnon, T. D. Tuong, Aust. J. Chem. 1983, 36, 43-53; (d) L. Maron, E. L. Werkema, L. Perrin, O. Eisenstein, R. A. Andersen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 279-292; (e) E. L. Werkema, R. A. Andersen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 279-292; (e) E. L. Werkema, R. A. Andersen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 7153-7165; (f) G. B. Deacon, C. M. Forsyth, P. C. Junk, J. Wang, Chem. Eur. J. 2009, 15, 3082-3092; (g) G. B. Deacon, P. C. Junk, R. P. Kelly, J. Wang, Dalton Trans., 2016, 45, 1422-1435; (h) P. L. Watson, T. H. Tulip, I. Williams, Organometallics. 1990, 9, 1999-2009; (i) B. K. Ling, Y. Q. Zhai, H. K. Hsu, Y. T. Chan, W. P. Chen, t. han, Y. Z. Zheng, Chem. Commun., 2020, 56(64), 9130-9133; (j) W. Huang, P. L. Diaconescu, Organometallics, 2017, 36, 89–96; (k) T. Kumar, F. Massicot, D. Harakat, S. Chevreux, A. Martinez, K. Bordolinska, P. Preethalayam, R. K. Vasu, J. Behr, J. Vasse, F. Jaroschik, Chem. Eur. J., 2017, 23, 16460-16465; (l) T. Kumar, Y. Yang, S. Sghaier,

Y. Zaid, X. F. Le Goff, E. Rousset, F. Massicot, D. Harakat, A. Martinez, M. Taillefer, L. Maron, J. Behr,
F. Jaroschik, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2021, 27, 4016-4021; (m) G. B. Deacon, G. D. Fallon, C. M. Forsyth, S. C.
Harris, P. C. Junk, B. W. Skelton, A. H. White, *Dalton Trans.*, 2006, 802-812.

- [13]. (a)G. M. Badger, W. H. F. Sasse, Advances in Heterocyclic Chemistry, 1963, 2, 179-202; (b) S. Yamada, T. Kaneda, P. Steib, K. Murakami, K. Itami, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2019, 58, 8341-8345 (c) T. Kawashima, T. Takao, H. Suzuki, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 11006-11007; (d) M. T. Robo, M. R. Prinsell, D. J. Weix, J. Org. Chem., 2014, 79, 10624–10628; (e) E. C. Constable, C. E. Housecroft, Molecules, 2019, 24, 3951(1-38).
- [14]. (a) D. Pfeiffer, B. J. Ximba, L. M. Liable-Sands, A. L. Rheingold, M. J. Heeg, D. M. Coleman, H. B. Schlegel, T. F. Kuech, C. H. Winter, *Inorg. Chem.* 1999, *38*, 4539-4548; (b) S. Beaini, G. B Deacon, E. E. Delbridge, P. C. Junk, B. W. Skelton, A. H. White, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2008, 4586-4596.
- [15]. M. L. Cole, G. B. Deacon, C. M. Forsyth, P. C. Junk, K. Konstas, J. Wang, H. Bittig, D. Werner, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2013, 19, 1410-1420.
- [16]. G. B. Deacon, P. C. Junk, D. Werner, Chem. Eur. J. 2016, 22, 160-173.
- [17]. S. Beaini, G. B. Deacon, M. Hilder, P. C. Junk, D. R. Turner, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2006, 3434-3441.
- [18]. G. B. Deacon, P. C. Junk, A. Urbatsch, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2011, 3592-3600.
- [19]. G. B. Deacon, C. M. Forsyth, R. H. Newnham, T. D. Tuong, Aust. J. Chem. 1987, 40, 895-906.
- [20]. C. M. Forsyth, G. B. Deacon, Organometallics 2000, 19, 1205-1207.
- [21]. G. B. Deacon, T. Feng, P. MacKinnon, R. H. Newnham, S. Nickel, B. W. Skelton and A.H. White, Aust. J. Chem., 1993, 46, 387-399.
- [22]. G. B. Deacon, R. H. Newnham, Aust. J. Chem. 1985, 38, 1757-1765.
- [23]. G. B. Deacon, D. L. Wilkinson, Inorg. Chim. Acta. 1988, 142, 155-159.
- [24]. M. L. Cole, G. B. Deacon, C. M. Forsyth, P. C. Junk, K. Konstas, J. Wang, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2007, 13, 8092-8110.
- [25]. Z. Guo, V. Blair, G. B. Deacon, P. C. Junk, Chem. Commun. 2021, 57, 11513-11516.
- [26]. G. B. Deacon, F. Jaroschik, P. C. Junk, R. P. Kelly, Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 10655-10657.
- [27]. R. P. Kelly. Advances in the organometallic and organoamidometallic chemistry of the lanthanoids and alkaline earths. Monash University, School of Chemistry, 2013, PhD thesis.
- [28]. V. E. Mikhailov, Y. N. Chuklanova, E. B. Mikhailov, A. V. Sergeev, R. N. Shchelokov. *Russ. J. Inorg. Chem.*, **1998**, *43*, 1862-1864.
- [29]. (a) G. B. Deacon, S. C. Harris, G. Meyer, D. Stellfeldt, D. L. Wilkinson, G. Zelesny, J. Organomet. Chem. 1998, 552, 165-170; (b) G. B. Deacon, G. Meyer and D. Stellfeldt, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2000, 1061-1071.
- [30]. R. D. Shannon. Acta. Cryst. B. 1976, A32, 751-767.
- [31]. Z. Xie, K. Chui, Q. Yang, T. C. W. Mak, J. Sun, Organometallics, 1998, 17, 3937-3944.
- [32]. G. B. Deacon, C. M. Forsyth, P. C. Junk, R. Kelly, A. Urbatsch, J. Wang, *Dalton Trans.* 2012, 41, 8624-8634.
- [33]. D. Werner, U. Bayer, N. E. Rad, P. C. Junk, G. B. Deacon, R. Anwander, *Dalton Trans.* 2018, 47, 5952-5955.

- [34]. T. Morawitz, F. Zhang, M. Bolte, J. W. Bats, H. Lerner, M. Wagner, Organometallics, 2008, 27, 5067-5074.
- [35]. R. J. Butcher, D. L. Clark, S. K. Grumbine, R. L. Vincent-Hollis, B. L. Scott, J. G. Watkin, *Inorg. Chem.* 1995, 34, 5468-5476.
- [36]. G. B. Deacon, A. Gitlits, P. W. Roesky, M. K. Bürgstein, K. C. Lim, B. W. Skelton, A.H. White, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2001, 7, 127-138.
- [37]. A. Bondi. J. Phys. Chem. 1964, 68, 441-451.
- [38]. A. F. Wells. Structural Inorganic Chemistry, Clarendon, Oxford, 5th ed., 1984, p. 1288.
- [39]. (a) G. B. Deacon, B. M. Gatehouse, S. Nickel, S. N. Platts, *Aust. J. Chem.* 1991, 44, 613-621; (b) D. Werner, G. B. Deacon, P. C. Junk, R. Anwander, *Dalton Trans.* 2017, 46, 6265-6277; (c) G. B. Deacon, R. Harika, P. C. Junk, B. W. Skelton, D. Werner, A.H. White, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2014, 2412-2419.
- [40]. G. Heckmann, M. Niemeyer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2000, 122, 4227-4228.
- [41]. J. M. Bakker, G. B. Deacon, C. M. Forsyth, P. C. Junk, M. Wiecko, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2010, 2813-2825.
- [42]. N. P. Cowieson, D. Aragao, M. Clift, D. J. Ericsson, C. Gee, S. J. Harrop, N. Mudie, S. Panjikar, J. R. Price, A. Riboldi-Tunnicliffe, R. Williamson, T. Caradoc-Davies, *J. Synchrotron Radiat.* 2015, 22, 187-190.