

This is the author-created version of the following work:

Williamson, Jane E., Duce, Stephanie, Joyce, Karen E., and Raoult, Vincent (2021) *Putting sea cucumbers on the map: projected holothurian bioturbation rates on a coral reef scale*. Coral Reefs, 40 pp. 559-569.

Access to this file is available from:

<https://researchonline.jcu.edu.au/69819/>

Published Version: © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH, DE part of Springer Nature 2021. Accepted Version may be made open access after a 12 month embargo.

Please refer to the original source for the final version of this work:

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338%2D021%2D02057%2D2>

1 **Putting sea cucumbers on the map: projected holothurian**
2 **bioturbation rates on a coral reef scale**

3
4
5 Jane E. Williamson^{1*}, Stephanie Duce², Karen E. Joyce³, Vincent Raoult^{1,4}

6
7 ¹Department of Biological Sciences, Macquarie University, NSW 2109, Australia

8 ²College of Science and Engineering, James Cook University, Townsville, QLD, 4811, Australia

9 ³College of Science and Engineering, James Cook University, Cairns, QLD, 4870, Australia

10 ⁴School of Environmental and Life Sciences, University of Newcastle, Ourimbah, NSW 2258,
11 Australia

12
13 * Corresponding author: jane.williamson@mq.edu.au

14
15
16 **Keywords:** drones, remote sensing, coral reef ecology, ecological monitoring, holothurians,

17 CaCO₃

18 **Abstract**

19 Bioturbation of reef sediments aerates the upper sediment layers and releases organic material
20 to benthic communities. Despite being the larger and more conspicuous bioturbators on coral
21 reefs, the value of holothurians (sea cucumbers) to reef ecosystems is less often attributed to
22 their ecosystem services than their value for fisheries. This may be because they are
23 considered to have an insignificant effect on reef health relative to other animals. Here we
24 ground-truthed remote sensing data obtained from drone and satellite imagery to estimate the
25 bioturbation rates of holothurians across the 19 km² Heron Island Reef in Queensland,
26 Australia. *Ex situ* bioturbation rates of the most abundant holothurian, *Holothuria atra*, were
27 assessed during 24 h feeding experiments. Using density measurements of holothurians
28 across reef flat zones in a 27,000 m² map produced from drone imagery, we extrapolated
29 bioturbation across the reef using satellite remote sensing data. Individual *H. atra* were
30 estimated to produce approximately 14 kg of bioturbated sediment per year. On a reef scale
31 (excluding the reef lagoon) and accounting for varying densities of holothurians across
32 different reef zones, total bioturbation from holothurians at Heron Reef was estimated at over
33 64,000 metric tonnes per year, slightly more than the mass of five Eiffel Towers. These
34 results highlight the scale of structural and biochemical impacts that holothurians have on
35 reef flats and their importance to ecosystem functioning and services. Management of these
36 animals on reefs is imperative as overharvesting would likely cause substantial negative
37 effects on sedimentary ecosystems and their biogeochemistry in corals reefs.

38 **Introduction**

39 Holothurians, commonly known as sea cucumbers, are globally one of the most conspicuous
40 organisms associated with coral reefs. These animals are exploited in bêche-de-mer fisheries,
41 and their high value in Asian markets has encouraged global overfishing and associated
42 declines (Conand 2004; Anderson et al. 2011; Purcell et al. 2013). Such declines are
43 concerning as holothurian populations can take decades to recover from over-exploitation
44 (Purcell 2010). Issues around over-exploitation of wild populations has led to research
45 targeted at developing holothurian aquaculture (Han et al. 2016) or to approaches for
46 improved management of their fisheries (Friedman et al. 2011; Plagányi et al. 2015). The
47 intrinsic value of holothurians to the reef ecosystem itself, however, is less often
48 acknowledged as a reason for concern or management. As bioturbators, holothurians offer
49 ecosystem services that increase local productivity and may mitigate some of the impacts of
50 climate change.

51 Bioturbation of sediments by holothurians releases nutrients trapped in the sediments to
52 benthic ecosystems (Uthicke 2001a). While high densities of holothurians can reduce
53 microalgal production (Uthicke 1999), availability of nutrients, such as ammonium released
54 by holothurians feeding at natural densities can enhance the growth of benthic algae (Uthicke
55 2001b), increasing the gross productivity of benthic reef communities (Uthicke and Klumpp
56 1998). This may be increasingly important as coral reefs degrade and shift to more algal-
57 dominated systems (Hughes et al. 2003). Sediment digestion by holothurians may be
58 responsible for up to 50% of the dissolution of calcium carbonate in reef systems (Schneider
59 et al. 2011), an important process as the majority of calcium carbonate on coral reefs is stored
60 in sediment (Gattuso et al. 1998). As suggested by others (Schneider et al. 2011, 2013; Wolfe
61 et al. 2018), this process may also facilitate the growth of scleractinian corals, which are
62 critical reef builders. Holothurian bioturbation also reduces stratification and nutrification of

63 sediments (İşgören-Emiroğlu and Günay 2007) and can directly increase oxygen levels in the
64 sediment (Hammond 1982). The ecological role of holothurians as bioturbators in reef
65 environments is thus pivotal in facilitating the availability of nutrients and oxygen for other
66 organisms. The scale of this bioturbation of sediments by holothurians, however, remains
67 unclear for coral reefs.

68 Understanding the importance of holothurians to the benthic systems of coral reefs first
69 necessitates a quantitative analysis of their bioturbation rates and an understanding of the
70 scale at which bioturbation occurs in the system. Holothurians are unevenly distributed in
71 reef systems (Tuya et al. 2006), which makes it difficult to determine their density at a reef
72 scale. While previous studies have attempted to quantify the scale of bioturbation by
73 holothurians in reef systems (Uthicke 1999; Wolfe and Byrne 2017; Hammond et al. 2020),
74 these extrapolations were based on relatively small transect areas (100 m²) and small
75 holothurian sample sizes (n = 12) that may not represent the wider heterogeneity of patterns
76 found on reefs. Those studies that quantified distribution and abundance of holothurians
77 typically used line transects or manta surveys (Uthicke and Benzie 2001a; Guzman and
78 Guevara 2002, Friedman et al. 2011), which also have limitations.

79 The recent wide adoption of drones or Unoccupied Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) (Anderson and
80 Gaston 2013) for marine research provides a means to accurately map the distribution and
81 behaviour of many organisms in shallow aquatic environments (Raoult and Gaston 2018;
82 Raoult et al. 2018), including holothurians that typically have high contrast against pale
83 sediments. However, drones have never been used to assess holothurian abundance and
84 densities, so there may be concerns such an approach would not reflect data obtained via
85 other methods.

86 This study estimated the bioturbation rate of holothurians across a reef flat using drones and
87 upscaled the estimation to an entire reef scale (minus lagoon) using geomorphic zones
88 classified from satellite imagery. To achieve this, we assessed holothurian bioturbation rates
89 *ex situ* for the dominant holothurian in Heron Reef. A proof-of-concept study was done to
90 assess the accuracy of drones to measure holothurian abundance against traditional in-water
91 line transect methods. Drone imagery was then digitised to determine holothurian densities in
92 different reef geomorphic zones. Density patterns and their associated bioturbation rates were
93 then extrapolated to the entire reef using classified satellite imagery. The methods developed
94 here and the results from this study will elucidate the scale of effect that holothurians have on
95 coral reefs and facilitate more accurate estimations of their ecological impacts and loss from
96 fisheries.

97

98 **Methods**

99 **Study site**

100 Field surveys were conducted at Heron Reef on the southern end of the Great Barrier Reef (S
101 -23.4423°, E 151.9107°) in September 2016 and February 2019 (Figure 1). Heron Reef falls
102 under different management zones including a Conservation Park, a Marine National Park, a
103 Public Appreciation Area and a Scientific Research Zone (GBRMPA, 2003). Unlike the
104 northern two-thirds of the Great Barrier Reef, this reef was relatively unscathed in the 2016
105 and 2017 global bleaching events (Hughes et al. 2017; Hughes et al. 2018), and the condition
106 of the reef was generally considered healthy at the time of sampling. Holothurian densities
107 inhabiting Heron Reef are considered representative of healthy reef environments in the
108 Pacific, given the reef's protected status and the lack of broad-scale impacts on this reef.

109 **Bioturbation experiment**

110 *Holothuria atra* is the most common species of holothurian on Heron reef flat (Williamson et
111 al. 2017). This species is often found adjacent to reef bommies, on open sediment in shallow
112 reef flat environments (Raoult et al. 2016) and in the lagoon (Madin et al. 2019). It is
113 considered responsible for a substantial portion of bioturbation across Heron Reef. Feeding
114 rates of *H. atra* are considered fairly constant over days to seasons (Uthicke 1999; Mangion
115 et al. 2004). To assess the productivity of *H. atra*, a 24 h feeding experiment was run using
116 flow-through outdoor aquaria at Heron Island Research Station. Individual flow through
117 aquaria (300 x 300 x 300 mm) were set up to receive a constant flow rate (1 L min⁻¹) of sand-
118 filtered seawater pumped directly from the adjacent reef. Twenty-seven holothurians were
119 then collected from various locations on the inner to outer reef flat from the southern side of
120 the island within the Scientific Research Zone, along with ~2 kg of the sediment on which
121 they resided. This area is representative of similar habitat, which comprises 58% of Heron
122 reef (Figure 1). Individuals were gently transferred from the reef to the aquaria in buckets
123 filled with seawater to reduce stress that may affect feeding rates. Collectors were careful not
124 to handle the holothurians more than necessary. Each 2 kg of sediment was carefully placed
125 in an aquarium so that the upper surface of the sediment remained as upright as possible and
126 allowed to settle for 10 minutes. After this, the holothurian associated with that sediment was
127 carefully added. A light shade cloth was placed over all aquaria to mimic light penetration at
128 their natural depth.

129 Individuals were held for 24 h and their faecal pellets collected every three hours as per
130 Uthicke et al. (1999). Pellets were dried at 60°C for 24 h then weighed to the nearest
131 milligram. The total amount of dry faecal matter produced after 24 h was assessed by adding
132 all faecal collections per individual and averaging the data.

133 **Proof-of-concept drone-based aerial holothurian counts**

134 A proof-of-concept study was done to validate the use of drone imagery for holothurian
135 counts. To assess the difference between holothurian counts acquired in water via snorkel
136 compared to drone imagery, eight 30 m transect tapes approximately 50 m apart were
137 deployed. Tapes were oriented perpendicular to the observed geomorphic zonation, with four
138 validation transects each in the inner and outer reef flat zones (Figure 1c). Two observers
139 snorkelled along each validation transect and counted the number of holothurians within a
140 one-meter distance either side of each transect tape. The observers then changed sides and
141 travelled back down the transect, repeating the counts. The average of the two counts per
142 observer was used.

143 Using a pre-determined flight path, we flew a DJI Phantom 4 Pro with a standard RGB
144 camera over the survey area containing the eight validation transect tapes. We used a flight
145 altitude of 20 m to ensure each transect tape was visible, and to achieve the spatial detail
146 required to identify as many holothurians as possible. We used an overlap of 85% and sidelap
147 between flight lines of 75%, taking care to fly at low tide in the afternoon to avoid sunglint
148 and specular reflection at the water's surface (Joyce et al. 2019).

149 Orthomosaics of the region were created using Pix 4D from the resultant photos. Mosaics of
150 the eight transect tapes were then manually digitised with a 1 m buffer either side of the
151 transect to extract imagery co-incident with the in-water counts. Three observers then
152 independently and manually digitised the number of holothurians within each image transect.
153 The difference (if any) between in-water counts and drone counts was then assessed with a
154 linear mixed model using the lme4 package (Bates et al. 2014) in R V. 3.4.4 (Team 2013).
155 The model was designed with holothurian density (individuals per m²) as the response
156 variable, the method (drone or in-water) as the independent variable with an interaction with
157 geomorphic zone and transect nested in zone as a random factor. Including observer as a
158 random factor would have been ideal (accounting for between-observer variation), but as

159 there were only two in-water observers this was not possible. Moreover, some variation
160 between observers for both methods is to be expected and should not prevent comparison
161 between the two methods. If any significant effects were identified, the scale of the effect was
162 determined using least-square means using the package emmeans (Lenth et al. 2018).

163 **Estimating holothurian density across a large ‘reef-scale’ drone survey**

164 The proof of concept showed that holothurians could be counted using drone-based aerial
165 surveys to provide an (albeit conservative) estimate of abundance (see results). To apply the
166 method over a larger area, an Aeronavics Bot Solo drone fitted with a Sony a7R DSLR
167 camera (36 megapixel, pancake lens) was used to survey a typical cross-reef study site on the
168 southern reef at Heron Island at an altitude of 60 m (Figure 1c). Pix 4D was used to mosaic
169 drone images and create an orthomosaic covering an area of 2.73 ha with a ground sampling
170 distance (pixel size) of 0.8 cm.

171 The study site incorporated two geomorphic zones: the inner reef flat and the outer reef flat.
172 The geomorphic zones were defined based on the classification of Dove satellite imagery
173 acquired in January 2018, available in the Allen Coral Atlas (Kennedy et al., 2020). Given
174 that geomorphic zones are defined primarily by their location and level of exposure to
175 physical processes (Hopley et al. 2007), it is unlikely that the zones have changed in the 15
176 months between our 2016 drone survey and the capture of the satellite imagery used to define
177 them here. We were unable to sample the lagoon or reef crest zones as they were beyond the
178 range of the drone from the island and we did not have access to a boat at the time of survey.

179 ArcGIS 10.5.1 was used to digitise each visible holothurian in the orthomosaic. This was
180 manual process is time consuming so we also assessed if accurate counts could be achieved
181 by digitising only a subset of the imagery. To do this “virtual transects” were placed across
182 the drone orthomosaic. The study area was divided into seven 2 m wide ‘virtual transects’ 10

183 m apart running the length of the study area (~350 m) (Figure 1c). The number of
184 holothurians within each geomorphic zone along these transects was calculated and divided
185 by the area of each geomorphic zone in the transect to give a density of holothurians per m²
186 in each geomorphic zone. These results were compared to those obtained by manually
187 digitising holothurians across the entire study area and the difference was negligible
188 (Supplementary 1).

189 **Upscaling holothurian densities and bioturbation rates across Heron Island reef**

190 Holothurian densities recorded in inner and outer reef flat geomorphic zones of the ‘reef-
191 scale’ drone study area were upscaled using the total area of these zones across Heron Reef
192 (Kennedy et al. 2020). This accounted for a total area of 1,682 ha, or 57% of Heron Reef.

193 Bioturbation rates based on the 24 h experiments for *H. atra* were calculated for densities of
194 holothurians in each of the two geomorphic zones within the entire reef using the following
195 formula:

$$196 \quad B = \sum (A_n \times D_n \times P)$$

197 where B represented the total holothurian bioturbation rate across Heron Reef in kg per year,
198 A the area of a geomorphic zone n in m², D the density of holothurians per m² in geomorphic
199 zone n , and P the mean annual holothurian bioturbation rate in kg year⁻¹. To account for any
200 biases identified with drone counts relative to in-water counts, the densities of holothurians
201 identified in the drone survey were scaled using the mean differences identified with the
202 linear mixed model. To produce a conservative estimate for total bioturbation rates that
203 includes the uncertainty identified in most of these values, total bioturbation across the reef
204 was estimated in a Monte-Carlo-Markov-Chain framework using a custom R script
205 (Supplementary 2) with 10⁶ runs. These measures of uncertainty included standard deviations
206 around the mean counts of holothurians in the larger survey (estimated from the coefficient of

207 variation of the in-water counts), the standard deviation in bioturbation rates from the feeding
208 experiments, and the standard deviation around the mean difference between drone and in-
209 water counts for the reef flat.

210

211 **Results**

212 **Bioturbation experiment**

213 *H. atra* produced 38.24 ± 18.82 g (mean \pm SD) over the 24 h period. Upscaling to an annual
214 production of dry faecal matter per individual, one *H. atra* on Heron Reef was estimated
215 (mean \pm SD) to produce 13.96 ± 6.87 kg year⁻¹.

216 **Proof-of-concept of drone-based aerial holothurian counts**

217 A total of 29 paired in-water counts by two observers along the same transect at the same
218 time were conducted. The highest number of holothurians counted on any transect was 37
219 and the lowest was zero. Paired in-water counts showed good, but not perfect, alignment
220 between observers, with less than 8% discrepancy between counts (mean difference between
221 observers 1.4).

222 When comparing the two methods (in-water and drone), densities of holothurians counted
223 from drone imagery were significantly lower ($df = 173$, $F = 25.8$, $p < 0.001$) by 0.07 ± 0.01
224 (estimate \pm S.E.) holothurians per m² than those in-water (Figure 2). Both methods showed
225 that the inner reef flat had significantly higher holothurian densities ($df = 16$, $F = 9.2$, $p =$
226 0.023), approximately three times more than those measured in the outer reef flat. Tukey's
227 HSD post-hoc tests found significant differences between drone and in-water densities
228 counted in the inner reef flat (estimate = -0.13 ± 0.02 , $df = 73$, $t = -6.4$, $p < 0.001$) but not
229 between both methods in the outer reef flat ($df = 73$, $t = -0.8$, $p = 0.82$). The marginal R² for

230 this model was 0.55, with the conditional R^2 (including the variance explained by the random
231 factor) of 0.87, suggesting our model explained nearly 90% of the variation in our data.

232 **Estimates of holothurian density across a large ‘reef scale’ drone survey**

233 The total area surveyed in the reef scale drone orthomosaic was 27,348 m². The spatial
234 pattern of holothurian density was similar in the larger drone survey area to that found in the
235 proof-of-concept study and the paired in-water survey transects (Figure 2), with holothurian
236 densities approximately 40% higher in the inner reef (0.2 per m²) relative to the outer reef
237 (0.14 per m²) (Table 1).

238 **Upscaling holothurian densities and bioturbation rates across Heron Island reef**

239 The total area of the two geomorphic zones across Heron Reef was 16.8 km², as determined
240 from satellite imagery (Table 1). Excluding the reef lagoon that was not surveyed, the mean
241 rate of total holothurian bioturbation across Heron Reef as determined from Monte-Carlo-
242 Markov-Chain was estimated at over 63,970 ± 4,168 metric tons per year (mean ± S.E)
243 (Figure 3).

244

245 **Discussion**

246 This research shows that bioturbation by holothurians is a substantial contributor to sediment
247 reworking on Heron Island reef. Excluding the reef lagoon where holothurians also occur,
248 holothurians were found to produce a conservative estimate of over 64,000 metric tonnes of
249 bioturbated sediment per year across Heron Reef, or approximately 3,800 tonnes km⁻² y⁻¹.
250 Since holothurian densities are very sensitive to overfishing and population recovery is slow
251 (Uthicke and Benzie 2001a; Uthicke et al. 2004), overexploitation of holothurians is likely to
252 have long term effects on coral reef sediment communities and the amount of organic carbon

253 available in the water column for nearby organisms. In the current context of anthropogenic
254 pressures on coral reefs (Bellwood et al. 2019), use of remote sensing techniques offers a
255 means to rapidly assess densities of holothurians in shallow reef habitats to facilitate more
256 accurate and targeted management decisions.

257 **Measured bioturbation rates**

258 Our results suggest the total amount of sediment bioturbated by holothurians is over 3,800
259 tonnes km⁻² y⁻¹. This value is lower than the 4,600 tonnes km⁻² y⁻¹ estimated to be bioturbated
260 by *H. atra* by Uthicke (1999). Uthicke (1999) and others (Yamanouchi 1939) documented
261 bioturbation rates of 67 and 86 g day⁻¹ per individual for *H. atra*, respectively. Our study, and
262 that of Klinger et al. (1994), documented bioturbation rates of 38 and 11 g day⁻¹ per
263 individual, respectively. Differences in these rates could be due to a selection of larger *H.*
264 *atra* in the Uthicke (1999) and Yamanouchi (1939) studies, but this is difficult to tell as
265 accurately weighing and measuring holothurians is problematic due to their ability to extend
266 their bodies and hold varying amounts of fluid. Alternatively, our lower bioturbation rate may
267 have been due to differences in our experimental design. While other studies often allow an
268 acclimation period of approximately 4 h in aquaria prior to the start of their bioturbation
269 experiments for the animals to settle (e.g., Uthicke 1999), our measure of bioturbation started
270 as soon as the animals entered the aquaria. We did not run the experiment over longer
271 timescales due to concerns that the holothurians would consume all the palatable sediment
272 and adjust their feeding rates accordingly. However, this could have caused a reduction in
273 bioturbation in the first couple of hours. Our study thus provides a very conservative
274 bioturbation rate for *H. atra* over the 24 h experiment.

275 Environmental parameters such as in water temperature between studies could also partly
276 explain differences in bioturbation rates. Mean seawater temperatures were reported as 27°C

277 for Uthicke (1999)'s Lizard Island study and 29°C for Yamanouchi's (1939) Palao Island
278 study but 24°C at Heron Island for this study and Klinger et al.'s (1994) research. Water
279 temperature affects holothurian metabolism and overall performance, including bioturbation
280 rates (Fraser et al. 2004; Wheeling et al. 2007; Schiell and Knott 2010). Differences in
281 bioturbation rates between studies could also be caused by differences in organic matter in
282 the sediments that make the sediments more or less palatable (Hammond et al. 2020). Rates
283 of bioturbation do not only differ within studies on *H. atra* but also between species and over
284 seasons (Wolfe and Byrne 2017) and potentially between years (Shiell and Knott 2010). Such
285 variability in rates of bioturbation means that it is difficult to make a ubiquitous and
286 conclusive statement on the amount of sediment reworked by holothurians across coral reefs.
287 We advocate that studies should continue to assess bioturbation on a reef to reef and species-
288 specific basis to account for such variability. Regardless of the mechanism, we are confident
289 in our bioturbation rates for *H. atra* in this study due to the high number of individuals
290 assessed and the continual monitoring of feeding rates for 24 hours. Bioturbation rates from
291 this study could be considered conservative and highlight the necessity for assessing rates at
292 specific sites before extrapolation.

293 **Monitoring holothurian populations with drones**

294 Assessing the abundance and diversity of holothurians from drone imagery produced results
295 lower than those recorded *in situ* with traditional methods. If the average in-water transect
296 counts were upscaled the total count of holothurians would have been 5,132,738 across the
297 inner and outer reef flats at Heron Reef (Table 1). This is 41% higher than the total counted
298 using the drone method (3,033,733 individuals, noting that these counts are not directly
299 comparable as the reef-scale drone survey was conducted at a different time to the in-water
300 transects).

301 The lower detection of holothurians by drone is contrary to other studies assessing count data
302 of fauna via drones that generally find drones to detect higher numbers of organisms
303 (Hodgson et al. 2018). However, drones cannot survey under coral or rock overhangs like a
304 snorkeler can and the proclivity of some holothurians to coat themselves in sediment also
305 hinders their detection in drone imagery. These factors likely led to the under-counts of
306 holothurians by drones relative to snorkelers in the proof of concept transects. Distortion
307 effects of the water column can also make visibility challenging in drone imagery but can be
308 mitigated by flying at low altitude, using polarising filters, choosing the lowest possible tide
309 and selecting calm weather conditions to avoid ripples. Nevertheless, in shallow reefs, drones
310 can cover much larger areas than traditional in-water monitoring and produce data that are re-
311 examinable (Joyce et al. 2019). Holothurian monitoring programs could use this approach to
312 produce assessments covering larger areas at a faster rate than traditional approaches.
313 provided the under-estimation of holothurian abundance from drones is accounted for as we
314 have here using a Monte-Carlo-Markov-Chain framework to incorporate measures of
315 uncertainty in the detection of holothurians from drone imagery and the bioturbation rate.
316 The most time-consuming element of the method is the manual digitisation of each
317 holothurian from the imagery. Our ‘virtual transects’ yielded almost identical densities to
318 those calculated by digitising holothurians across the entire area and substantially reduced the
319 time required to digitise (Supplementary 1). This method is thus recommended for future
320 studies though care should be taken to ensure sufficient ‘virtual transects’ are used. There is
321 also the possibility of automating counts. For example species identification and distribution
322 assessments could be undertaken using machine learning (Dujon and Schofield 2019; Lyons
323 et al. 2019). This would substantially accelerate the processing time and allow coverage of
324 even larger areas. Moreover, with continual improvements in flight endurance and camera
325 resolution (Crutsinger et al. 2016), we predict that the areas that drones can survey for

326 holothurian monitoring will increase, allowing larger and more rapid mapping of holothurian
327 densities.

328 While drone and satellite imagery robustly mapped *H. atra* density at a reef scale in this
329 study, the biology, demography and ecology of the organisms to be mapped are important
330 considerations in the efficacy of this method. The distribution of holothurians are typically
331 linked with natural sediment features, however, the abundance and movement of holothurians
332 can also be influenced by the life stage of the animal, sediment quantity and quality, light
333 intensity, water temperature and depth (Sloan and von Bodungen 1980; Uthicke and Karez
334 1999; Dong et al. 2011; Morgan 2011; Navarro et al. 2013; Domínguez-Godino and
335 González-Wangüemert 2020). As such, holothurians may be patchily distributed at scales
336 finer than the geomorphic zones reported in this study and patchiness may be species-specific
337 (Klinger et al. 1994). Knowledge of the ecology and biology of the organism to be mapped is
338 thus important to the choice of scale and interpretation of drone-based population
339 assessments. Drones give the ability to capture continuous high spatial and temporal
340 resolution data over much larger areas than in-water methods, and also enable finer-scale
341 distributions of organisms to be monitored over time.

342

343 **Implications for fisheries management**

344 While *H. atra*, is the most common species on Heron Reef (Williamson et al. 2017) it is only
345 a low value species in the bêche-de-mer fisheries (Purcell et al. 2010, Eriksson & Byrne,
346 2015). The mapping method presented in this research could easily be translated to higher
347 value commercial species where they occur in shallow reefs. *H. leucospilota*, *H. edulis*, *S.*
348 *hermanni* and *S. variegatus* were also able to be identified in the imagery in this study. Many
349 of these species are targeted for traditional fisheries in the Pacific (Drumm and Loneragan

2005; Friedman et al. 2011), with deeper-water species such as *H. whitmaei* and *H. scabra* more often targeted by commercial fisheries on the Great Barrier Reef and Pacific islands (Uthicke and Benzie 2001a; Uthicke and Benzie 2001b). Our study suggests that if bêche-de-mer fisheries target only a few species, leaving dominant species such as *H. atra* present on reefs, the repercussions on coral reef ecosystems may not be as serious as the impacts of a broader, indiscriminate fishery. However the differing rates of bioturbation between holothurian species must be taken into account. For example, less abundant species targeted by fisheries such as *Thelenota ananas* are much larger (3-6 kg) than *H. atra* (< 100 g) (Purcell et al. 2016) and thus likely to bioturbate comparatively more. Studies should determine broader patterns of bioturbation in holothurians and relate this to the biology and ecology of each species. Changes in total bioturbation from holothurians in a reef could then be estimated and modelled as a result of specific species and population declines.

362

363 **Implications to ecosystem functioning and reef health**

364 The link between healthy reef systems and bioturbation rates of holothurians is largely
365 unknown. In the context of holothurian overharvesting through bêche-de-mer fisheries, which
366 can reduce holothurian abundances to a quarter of initial numbers for over 50 years (Holland
367 1994), associated bioturbation of benthic systems without holothurians could decline to less
368 than a quarter of the levels found in a healthy reef systems. Direct extrapolation between total
369 bioturbation, as determined in this study, and the associated benefits of bioturbation (e.g.
370 algal productivity) is, however, not possible from currently available research. To our
371 knowledge no study has directly examined the link between holothurian bioturbation rates
372 and flow-on benefits to ecosystems. There is evidence that a localised loss of holothurians
373 causes a 63% reduction in O₂ sediment penetration (Lee et al. 2017), but it is not clear how

374 bioturbation rates relate to this. Future studies should aim to directly link bioturbation rates to
375 ecosystem flow-on benefits to more accurately predict the effects of declining holothurian
376 populations on coral reefs.

377 It is well accepted that coral reefs are projected to continue suffering substantial losses of reef
378 structure and functionality from changing ocean conditions, including the dissolution of
379 calcium carbonate due to ocean acidification (Albright et al. 2016; Doney et al. 2009;
380 Johnson et al. 2014; Kornder et al. 2018; Shaw et al. 2015). The positive effects of biogenic
381 buffering on carbonate chemistry by one species of holothurian has recently been
382 documented (Wolfe et al. 2018). The mass of bioturbated sediments produced by
383 holothurians on a reef scale, conservatively estimated here to be slightly higher than the mass
384 of five Eiffel Towers (Castellaro et al. 2016) per year on Heron reef, highlights the scale of
385 the effect that these organisms may have as biogenic buffers against increasing dissolution of
386 calcium carbonate. We advocate that the functional role of holothurians on coral reefs is
387 highly likely to be more substantial than previously thought and that greater attention needs
388 to be directed to their management and ecology, particularly in relation to overharvesting on
389 reefs already compromised in resilience.

390

391 **Acknowledgements**

392 The authors thank Kristy Brown and Edith Shum for their assistance with digitising, and
393 Gemma Clifton, Olivia Seeger for assistance in the field. Eva Kovacs and Chris Roelfsema
394 are also thanked for their provision of classified satellite imagery. Funding for this research
395 was provided by the Department of Biological Sciences at Macquarie University, the
396 University of Newcastle, and an Early Career Rising Star Grant from JCU (Duce). Thanks to
397 the University of Queensland and the staff at Heron Island Research Station for the use of

398 their facilities. The authors of this manuscript declare that they have no conflicts of interest
399 and that research was conducted under the JCU Limited Impact Research in the GBRMP
400 Memorandum of Understanding between JCU and the GBRMPA.

401

402 **References**

- 403 Albright R, Caldeira L, Hoffelt J, Kwiatkowski L, Maclaren JK, Mason BM, Nebuchina Y,
404 Ninokawa A, Pongratz J, Ricke KL, Rivlin T, Schneider K, Sesboüé, Shamberger K,
405 Silverman J, Wolfe K, Zhu K, Caldiera K (2016) Reversal of ocean acidification
406 enhances net coral reef calcification. *Nature* 531:362-365
- 407 Anderson K, Gaston KJ (2013) Lightweight unmanned aerial vehicles will revolutionize
408 spatial ecology. *Front Ecol Environ* 11:138-146
- 409 Anderson SC, Flemming JM, Watson R, Lotze HK (2011) Serial exploitation of global sea
410 cucumber fisheries. *Fish Fish* 12:317-339
- 411 Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker B, Walker S (2014) Fitting linear mixed-effects models using
412 lme4. arXiv preprint arXiv:14065823
- 413 Bellwood DR, Pratchett MS, Morrison TH, Gurney GG, Hughes TP, Alvarez-Romero JG,
414 Day JC, Grantham R, Grech A, Hoey AS, Jones GP, Pandolfi JM, Tebbett SB,
415 Techera E, Weeks R, Cumming GS (2019) Coral reef conservation in the
416 Anthropocene: confronting spatial mismatches and prioritizing functions. *Biol*
417 *Conserv* 236:604-615
- 418 Castellaro S, Perricone L, Bartolomei M, Isani S (2016) Dynamic characterization of the
419 Eiffel tower. *Eng Struct* 126:628-640
- 420 Conand C (2004) Present status of world sea cucumber resources and utilization: an
421 international overview. *Adv Sea Cucumber Aquac Manag* 463:13-23
- 422 Crutsinger GM, Short J, Sollenberger R (2016) The future of UAVs in ecology: an insider
423 perspective from the Silicon Valley drone industry. NRC Research Press
- 424 Domínguez-Godino JA, González-Wangüemert M (2020) Habitat associations and seasonal
425 abundance patterns of the sea cucumber *Holothuria arguinensis* at Ria Formosa
426 coastal lagoon (South Portugal). *Aquat Ecol* 54:337-354
- 427 Doney SC, Fabry VJ, Feely RA, Kleypass JA (2009) Ocean acidification: the other CO₂
428 problem. *Annual Review of Marine Science*. 1. Palo Alto: Annual Reviews 1:169-192
- 429 Dong G, Dong S, Tian X, Wang F (2011) Effects of photoperiod on daily activity rhythm of
430 juvenile sea cucumber, *Apostichopus japonicus* (Selenka). *China J Oceanol Limnol*
431 29:1015-1022
- 432 Drumm DJ, Loneragan NR (2005) Reproductive biology of *Holothuria leucospilota* in the
433 Cook Islands and the implications of traditional fishing of gonads on the population.
434 *N Z J Mar Freshwater Res* 39:141-156
- 435 Drumm DJ, Loneragan NR, Ellis N, Skilleter GA (2011) The significance of habitat and
436 exposure to the reef-flat macroinvertebrates of Rarotonga, Cook Islands, for
437 conservation planning. *Pac Conserv Biol* 17:320-337
- 438 Dujon AM, Schofield G (2019) Importance of machine learning for enhancing ecological
439 studies using information-rich imagery. *Endanger Spec Res* 39:91-104
- 440 Eriksson, H., & Byrne, M. (2015). The sea cucumber fishery in Australia's Great Barrier Reef
441 Marine Park follows global patterns of serial exploitation. *Fish and Fisheries*, 16(2),
442 329-341.
- 443 Fraser KP, Peck LS, Clarke A (2004) Protein synthesis, RNA concentrations, nitrogen
444 excretion, and metabolism vary seasonally in the Antarctic holothurian
445 *Heterocucumis steineni* (Ludwig 1898). *Physiol Biochem Zool* 77:556-569
- 446 Friedman K, Eriksson H, Tardy E, Pakoa K (2011) Management of sea cucumber stocks:
447 patterns of vulnerability and recovery of sea cucumber stocks impacted by fishing.
448 *Fish Fish* 12:75-93

449 Gattuso J-P, Frankignoulle M, Bourge I, Romaine S, Buddemeier RW (1998) Effect of
450 calcium carbonate saturation of seawater on coral calcification. *Global Planet Change*
451 18:37-46

452 Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority (2003) Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Zoning
453 Plan 2003. ISBN 1 876945 38 9

454 Guzman HM, Guevara CA (2002) Population structure, distribution, and abundance of three
455 commercial species of sea cucumber (Echinodermata) in Panama. *Caribb J Sci*
456 38:230-238

457 Hammond LS (1982) Patterns of feeding and activity in deposit-feeding holothurians and
458 echinoids (Echinodermata) from a shallow back-reef lagoon, Discovery Bay, Jamaica.
459 *Bulletin of Marine Science* 32:549-571

460 Hammond AR, Meyers L, Purcell SW (2020) Not so sluggish: movement and sediment
461 turnover of the world's heaviest holothuroid, *Thelenota anax*. *Marine Biology* 167:1-9

462 Han Q, Keesing JK, Liu D (2016) A review of sea cucumber aquaculture, ranching, and stock
463 enhancement in China. *Reviews in Fisheries Science & Aquaculture* 24:326-341

464 Hodgson JC, Mott R, Baylis SM, Pham TT, Wotherspoon S, Kilpatrick AD, Raja Segaran R,
465 Reid I, Terauds A, Koh LP (2018) Drones count wildlife more accurately and
466 precisely than humans. *Methods Ecol Evol* 9:1160-1167

467 Holland A (1994) The status of global beche-de-mer fisheries with special reference to the
468 Solomon Islands and the potentials of holothurian culture. Unpublished M Sc Thesis,
469 University of Newcastle, United Kingdom

470 Hopley D, Smithers SG, Parnell KE (2007) The Geomorphology of the Great Barrier Reef:
471 Development, Diversity and Change, *Cambridge University Press*

472 Hughes T, Kerry J, Simpson T (2018) Large-scale bleaching of corals on the Great Barrier
473 Reef. *Ecology* 99:501-501

474 Hughes TP, Baird AH, Bellwood DR, Card M, Connolly SR, Folke C, Grosberg R, Hoegh-
475 Guldberg O, Jackson JBC, Kleypass J, Lough JM, Marshall P, Nyström M, Palumbi
476 S, Pandolfi JM, Rosen B, Roughgarden J (2003) Climate change, human impacts, and
477 the resilience of coral reefs. *Science* 301:929-933

478 Hughes TP, Kerry JT, Álvarez-Noriega M, Álvarez-Romero JG, Anderson KD, Baird AH,
479 Babcock RC, Beger M, Bellwood DR, Berkelmans R (2017) Global warming and
480 recurrent mass bleaching of corals. *Nature* 543:373

481 İşgören-Emiroğlu D, Günay D (2007) The effect of sea cucumber *Holothuria tubulosa* (G.,
482 1788) on nutrient and organic matter contents of bottom sediment of oligotrophic and
483 hypereutrophic shores. *Fresenius Environ Bull* 16:290-294

484 Johnson MD, Price NN, Smith JE (2014) Contracting effects of ocean acidification on tropical
485 fleshy and calcareous algae. *PeerJ* 2, e411

486 Joyce K, Duce S, Leahy S, Leon JX, Maier S (2019) Principles and practice of acquiring
487 drone based image data in marine environments. *Mar Freshwat Res* 70:952-963

488 Kennedy E, Roelfsema C, Lyons M, Kovacs E, Borrego-Acevedo R, Roe M, Phinn S, Larsen,
489 K, Murray N, Yuwono D, Wolff J, Tudman P (2020) Reef cover: a coral reef
490 classification to guide global habitat mapping from remote sensing. *bioRxiv*,
491 <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.10.292243> Accessed 31 October 2020

492 Klinger TS, Johnson CR, Jell J (1994) Sediment utilization, feeding-niche breadth, and
493 feeding-niche overlap of Aspidochirotida (Echinodermata: Holothuroidea) at Heron
494 Island, Great Barrier Reef. In David B, Guille A, Feral J-P, Roux M (eds),
495 *Echinoderms Through Time: proceedings of the Eighth International Echinoderm*
496 *Conference, Dijon, France, 6-10 September 1993*, Balkema, Rotterdam, Netherlands,
497 pp. 523-528

498 Kornder NA, Riegl BM, Figueiredo J (2018) Thresholds and drivers of coral calcification
499 responses to climate change. *Global Change Biology* 24:5084-5095

500 Lee S, Ferse SC, Ford A, Wild C, Mangubhai S (2017) Effect of sea cucumber density on the
501 health of reef-flat sediments. *Wildlife Conservation Society*

502 Lenth R, Singmann H, Love J (2018) Emmeans: Estimated marginal means, aka least-squares
503 means. R package version 1

504 Lyons MB, Brandis KJ, Murray NJ, Wilshire JH, McCann JA, Kingsford RT, Callaghan CT
505 (2019) Monitoring large and complex wildlife aggregations with drones. *Methods in*
506 *Ecology and Evolution* 10:1024-1035

507 Madin E, Precoda K, Harborne A, Atwood TB, Roelfsema CM, Luiz O (2019) Multi-trophic
508 species interactions shape seascape-scale coral reef vegetation patterns. *Frontiers in*
509 *Ecology and Evolution* 7:102

510 Mangion P, Taddei D, Frouin P, Conand C (2004) Feeding rate and impact of sediment
511 reworking by two deposit feeding feeders *Holothuria leucospilota* and *Holothuria*
512 *atra* on a fringing reef (Reunion Island, Indian). *Echinoderms: Munchen*. In:
513 *Heinzeller T & Nebelsick JH (eds)*. London: Taylor & Francis, pp. 311-317

514 Morgan AD (2011) Patterns of distribution and abundance of the temperate sea cucumber
515 *Australostichopus mollis* on a rocky subtidal reef. *New Zeal J Zool* 38:195-206

516 Navarro PG, García-Sanz S, Barrio JM, Tuya F (2013) Feeding and movement patterns of the
517 sea cucumber *Holothuria sanctori*. *Mar Biol* 160:2957-2966

518 Plagányi ÉE, Skewes T, Murphy N, Pascual R, Fischer M (2015) Crop rotations in the sea:
519 Increasing returns and reducing risk of collapse in sea cucumber fisheries.
520 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 112:6760-6765

521 Purcell S (2010) *Managing Sea Cucumber Fisheries with an Ecosystem Approach*. FAO
522 Fisheries and Aquaculture Technical Paper No. 520, FAO, Rome

523 Purcell SW, Piddocke TP, Dalton SJ, Wang Y-G (2016) Movement and growth of the coral
524 reef holothuroids *Bohadschia argus* and *Thelenota ananas*. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser*
525 551:201-214

526 Purcell SW, Mercier A, Conand C, Hamel JF, Toral-Granda MV, Lovatelli A, Uthicke S
527 (2013) Sea cucumber fisheries: global analysis of stocks, management measures and
528 drivers of overfishing. *Fish Fish* 14:34-59

529 Raoult V, Gaston T (2018) Rapid biomass and size-frequency estimates of edible jellyfish
530 populations using drones. *Fisheries Research* 207:160-164

531 Raoult V, Tosoletto L, Williamson JE (2018) Drone-Based High-Resolution Tracking of
532 Aquatic Vertebrates. *Drones* 2:37

533 Raoult V, David PA, Dupont SF, Mathewson CP, O'Neill SJ, Powell NN, Williamson JE
534 (2016) GoPros™ as an underwater photogrammetry tool for citizen science. *PeerJ*
535 4:e1960

536 Schiell GR, Knott B (2010) Aggregations and temporal changes in the activity and
537 bioturbation contribution of the sea cucumber *Holothuria whitmaei* (Echinodermata:
538 *Holothuroidea*). *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 415:127-139

539 Schneider K, Silverman J, Woolsey E, Eriksson H, Byrne M, Caldeira K (2011) Potential
540 influence of sea cucumbers on coral reef CaCO₃ budget: A case study at One Tree
541 Reef. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences* 116 G04032

542 Schneider K, Silverman J, Kravitz B, Rivlin T, Schneider-Mor A, Barbosa S, Byrne M,
543 Caldeira K (2013) Inorganic carbon turnover caused by digestion of carbonate sands
544 and metabolic activity of holothurians. *Estuar Coast Shelf Sci* 133:217-223

545 Shaw EC, Phinn SR, Tilbrook B, Steven A (2015) Natural in situ relations suggest coral reef
546 calcium carbonate production will decline with ocean acidification. *Limnol Oceanogr*
547 60:777-788

548 Sloan NA, von Bodungen B (1980) Distribution and feeding of the sea cucumber
549 *Isostichopus badionatus* in relation to shelter and sediment criteria of the Bermuda
550 Platform. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 2:14-28

551 Team RC (2013) R: A language and environment for statistical computing

552 Tuya F, Hernandez JC, Clemente S (2006) Is there a link between the type of habitat and the
553 patterns of abundance of holothurians in shallow rocky reefs? Hydrobiologia 571:191-
554 199

555 Uthicke S (1999) Sediment bioturbation and impact of feeding activity of Holothuria
556 (Halodeima) atra and Stichopus chloronotus, two sediment feeding holothurians, at
557 Lizard Island, Great Barrier Reef. Bull Mar Sci 64:129-141

558 Uthicke S (2001a) Nutrient regeneration by abundant coral reef holothurians. J Exp Mar Biol
559 Ecol 265:153-170

560 Uthicke S (2001b) Interactions between sediment-feeders and microalgae on coral reefs:
561 grazing losses versus production enhancement. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 210:125-138

562 Uthicke S, Klumpp D (1998) Microphytobenthos community production at a near-shore coral
563 reef: seasonal variation and response to ammonium recycled by holothurians. Mar
564 Ecol Prog Ser 169:1-11

565 Uthicke S, Karez R (1999) Sediment patch selectivity in tropical sea cucumbers
566 (Holothurioidea: Aspidochirotida) analysed with multiple choice experiments. J Exp
567 Mar Biol Ecol 236:69-87

568 Uthicke S, Benzie J (2001a) Effect of bêche-de-mer fishing on densities and size structure of
569 Holothuria nobilis (Echinodermata: Holothuroidea) populations on the Great Barrier
570 Reef. Coral Reefs 19:271-276

571 Uthicke S, Benzie J (2001b) Restricted gene flow between Holothuria scabra
572 (Echinodermata: Holothuroidea) populations along the north-east coast of Australia
573 and the Solomon Islands. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 216:109-117

574 Uthicke S, Benzie J, Ballment E (1999) Population genetics of the fissiparous holothurian
575 Stichopus chloronotus (Aspidochirotida) on the Great Barrier Reef, Australia. Coral
576 Reefs 18:123-132

577 Uthicke S, Welch D, Benzie J (2004) Slow growth and lack of recovery in overfished
578 holothurians on the Great Barrier Reef: evidence from DNA fingerprints and repeated
579 large-scale surveys. Conserv Biol 18:1395-1404

580 Wheeling RJ, Verde EA, Nestler JR (2007) Diel cycles of activity, metabolism, and
581 ammonium concentration in tropical holothurians. Mar Biol 152:297-305

582 Williamson JE, Byrnes EE, Clark JA, Connolly DM, Schiller SE, Thompson JA, Tosetto L,
583 Martinelli JC, Raoult V (2017) Ecological impacts and management implications of
584 reef walking on a tropical reef flat community. Mar Pollut Bull 114:742-750

585 Wolfe K, Byrne M (2017) Biology and ecology of the vulnerable holothuroid, *Stichopus*
586 *herrmanni*, on a high-latitude coral reef on the Great Barrier Reef. Coral Reefs
587 36:1143-1156

588 Wolfe K, Vidal-Ramirez F, Dove S, Deaker D, Byrne M (2018) Altered sediment biota and
589 lagoon habitat carbonate dynamics due to sea cucumber bioturbation in a high- $p\text{CO}_2$
590 environment. Glob Chang Biol 24:465-480

591 Yamanouchi T (1939) Ecological and physiological studies on the holothurians in the coral
592 reef of Palao Islands. Palao Tropical Biological Station Studies, Report 25:603-634

593

594

595 **Figure legends**

596

597 **Figure 1.** Map of Heron Reef (A, B) showing inner and outer reef flat geomorphic zones. (C)
598 shows the placement of the eight 30 m long snorkel and drone transects used for the proof of
599 concept study (C) and the drone survey site (red outline) with the seven virtual transects used
600 to speed digitising. (D) shows imagery from one of the proof of concept transects. (E) shows
601 holothurians digitised from the drone imagery.

602

603 **Figure 2.** Comparison of snorkeler-based transects to drone counts across the same transects.

604

605 **Figure 3.** Posterior density distribution of modelled total bioturbation per year by
606 holothurians across the inner and outer reef geomorphic zones of Heron reef.