

This is the author-created version of the following work:

# **Galbraith, G.F., Cresswell, B., McCormick, M.I., Bridge, T.C., and Jones, G.P. (2021)** *High diversity, abundance and distinct fish assemblages on submerged coral reef pinnacles compared to shallow emergent reefs.* **Coral Reefs, 40 pp. 335- 354.**

Access to this file is available from: https://researchonline.jcu.edu.au/66075/

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH, DE part of Springer Nature 2021

Please refer to the original source for the final version of this work: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338%2D020%2D02044%2Dz



#### **Abstract**

 Coral reefs exhibit consistent patterns in biodiversity across multiple spatial scales, from local to global clines in species richness, abundance and community structure. Knowledge of fundamental processes driving these patterns is largely derived from studies of shallow, emergent and nearshore reefs. Although research efforts are expanding to deeper mesophotic coral reef ecology, distinct and isolated reef morphologies like submerged pinnacles or seamounts have received scant attention. Despite being potentially important for connectivity and as refugia, the extent to which established patterns and processes in coral reef ecology apply to these systems is unknown. Here we examine the fish and benthic communities associated with coral reefs found on submerged pinnacles in Kimbe Bay, Papua New Guinea. Community structure and diversity metrics are compared with emergent reefs at the same depth in both near and offshore settings. We then explicitly test whether benthic complexity variables known to influence reef fish communities exhibit similar patterns at each reef type. Pinnacles were characterised by 3.70 times the mean fish abundance and 1.98 times the species richness recorded at the same depths on emergent reefs. Fish community structure showed distinct separation across reef morphologies, with pinnacles most similar to offshore reefs. Benthic habitat complexity did not vary across reef types while fish assemblages were weakly related to benthic habitat variables, with reef morphology the most consistent predictor of fish community metrics. The pinnacles in our study support high coral reef fish biodiversity despite their small habitat area and relative isolation by depth and offshore setting. Our results suggest that habitat-specific environmental conditions are generated by the distinct geomorphology of pinnacles. As coastal reefs become more increasingly disturbed, understanding ecological patterns on deep patch reef habitats like pinnacles will be useful to provide a more holistic understanding of coral reef seascapes and their resilience.

#### **Introduction**

 Coral reefs encompass a range of diverse and complex habitat structures, including fringing reefs, barrier reefs, atolls and isolated patch reefs (Stoddart 1969; Hopley 2011). These recognisable reef types form under different environmental conditions of depth, distance offshore and exposure, and ecological patterns and processes vary in predictable ways along these environmental gradients (Hopley et al. 2007; Malcolm et al. 2010; Williams et al. 2015; Samoilys et al. 2019). The stability of different reef structures also varies, and predicting how these patterns and processes may respond to environmental change is now central to much coral reef science (Harvey et al. 2018; Williams et al. 2019). However, most research has been restricted to near-sea-surface, nearshore continuous reef systems, where accessibility has facilitated extensive global studies (Spalding and Grenfell 1997; Bellwood and Hughes 2001; Connolly et al. 2003; Hinderstein et al. 2010). There are significant areas of submerged habitat available for coral reef formation which have historically been overlooked, unexplored and remain understudied (Venn et al. 2009; Harris et al. 2013; Moura et al. 2016; Moore et al. 2017). Interest in these kinds of habitats has accelerated because of the potential for deep reefs to function as a refuge for species being adversely affected by reef degradation in shallow coastal waters (Bridge et al. 2013; Laverick et al. 2016; Macdonald et al. 2018).

 Both the deep-sea and continental shelves possess a variety of distinct bathymetric features that can support rich and diverse coral reef ecosystems where the summits reach the euphotic zone (~0-150m) (Bridge et al. 2011b; Du Preez et al. 2016; Linklater et al. 2019). Global 77 bathymetric mapping reveals large areas  $(1000)$ 's of km<sup>2</sup>) of deep habitat available for coral reef formation (Vora and Almeida 1990; Bridge et al. 2012; Harris et al. 2013). Submerged reefs can be defined as "isolated elevations of the seafloor, over which the depth of water is

 relatively shallow but sufficient for navigation (IHO 2008) and have their shallowest points below 10-20m (Thomas et al. 2015). They can support extensive, diverse coral and fish communities, which span both altiphotic (<30m) and mesophotic zones (30-150m) (Bridge et al. 2011a; Roberts et al. 2015; Moore et al. 2017; Cooper et al. 2019). Many deep reefs are likely to be more isolated from physical disturbances (e.g. storms, wave action), fishing pressure and thermally induced bleaching events than emergent near-sea-surface counterparts (Slattery et al. 2011; Lindfield et al. 2016; Baird et al. 2018; Crosbie et al. 2019).

 Although most of the studies investigating the ecology and distribution of submerged coral reef ecosystems have occurred on Australia's Great Barrier Reef, submerged reefs constitute extensive areas of coral reef habitat across most low-latitude continental shelves (Locker et al. 2010; Abbey and Webster 2011; Pinheiro et al. 2015; Heyward et al. 2019). Pinnacle coral reefs are perhaps the most distinct submerged form and we define these as *abrupt, conical structures, either isolated or at the summit of a larger bathymetric feature such as ridges or banks that reach the euphotic zone, but do not breach the sea surface*. We make a distinction from seamounts, where pinnacles are more closely associated with continental shelves and slopes as opposed to oceanic sea-floor settings. Pinnacles tend to be comparatively smaller structures and unlike seamounts, are not usually formed directly by volcanic activity but instead are often a part of larger bathymetric features. In ecological terms however, pinnacles and seamounts both provide a hard substratum for coral recruitment, forming isolated patch reefs in otherwise open pelagic systems (Veron and Done 1979; Rogers 2004; Koslow et al. 2016).

 The geomorphological structure of coral reefs on small seamounts and pinnacles diverges from classical zonation models derived from emergent reefs (Roberts et al. 2015). Summits  are usually small in area with steep slopes and walls descending to considerable depths (>500m). They are comprised of only exposed crests surrounded by open waters, with no sheltered reef-flat or lagoon. Currents are often complex and strong as water passes around abrupt topographies (Genin et al. 1986; Boehlert 1988; Lavelle and Mohn 2010). For example, on seamounts interactions between topography and hydrodynamics are suggested to enhance productivity within these habitats (Genin and Dower 2007; Richert et al. 2017). Other studies have shown that upwellings in particular are an important component of bio- physical coupling at seamounts, supporting high diversity and abundance of fishes, often from higher trophic levels (White et al. 2007; Letessier et al. 2019). Given the similarities in structure between seamounts and pinnacles, pinnacles are also likely influenced by upwellings and strong hydrodynamics. The extent of these effetcs and the potnetial for enahnced biophysical-coupling on pinnacles depends on numerous factors including pinancle size, depth, regional circulation patterns,and exposure to large-scale oceanographic processes. 

 Despite their widespread occurrence in many coral reef regions, studies specifically focussed on coral reef pinnacles are scarce. In their absence, and given the similarities in physical structure, paradigms from seamount ecology provide useful parallels to inform our ecological understanding of submerged pinnacles. Both shallow seamounts and pinnacles frequently host large aggregations of pelagic fish alongside demersal and reef-associated species (Genin 2004; Morato and Clark 2007; Jorgensen et al. 2016) generating hotspots of diversity in open ocean settings (Morato et al. 2010). Schooling mesopredators, highly-mobile apex predators and migrating megafauna also use seamounts as navigational way-points (Garrigue et al. 2015; Gargan et al. 2017), and they are significant habitats for feeding (Holland and Grubbs 2007), breeding (Litvinov 2007) and refuge (Letessier et al. 2019). For corals, clear, oligotrophic oceanic waters surrounding offshore reefs can enable complex coral habitat to

 extend to mesophotic depths (Baker et al. 2016; Roberts et al. 2019) concurrently expanding the range of suitable habitat for fishes (Thresher and Colin 1986; Kane and Tissot 2017). 

 Explanations of observed spatial variation in patterns of abundance, diversity and richness of reef fish communities often involve habitat variables, including substrate diversity, rugosity, vertical relief and live coral cover (Roberts and Ormond 1987; Hixon and Beets 1993; Munday 2000; Almany 2004; Gratwicke and Speight 2005). Although the nature of these fish-habitat relationships vary spatially, temporally and differ between trophic groups, live coral and habitat complexity remain fundamental drivers of reef fish abundance, richness and diversity (Caley and John 1996; Jones et al. 2004; Pratchett et al. 2008; Coker et al. 2014; Kerry and Bellwood 2015). However, depth associated physical gradients can lead to altered patterns in benthic community composition and habitat complexity (e.g., light, temperature) (Brokovich et al. 2006; Lesser et al. 2009; Roberts et al. 2015). For example, spatial heterogeneity is reduced at depth where coral morphologies tend be simpler in comparison to shallower depths (Kahng et al. 2012). Other benthic taxa, like sponges, macroalgae and octocorals can be more prominent at greater depths (>30m) (García-Hernández et al. 2018; Lesser and Slattery 2018) and complex morphologies may provide additional or alternative habitat for fishes in these deep habitats (Knudby et al. 2013; Kahng et al. 2017; Spalding et al. 2019). These differences in benthic community composition have been shown to strongly influence patterns of fish abundance and functional composition on submerged reefs (Brokovich et al. 2008; Pereira-Filho et al. 2011; Kane and Tissot 2017; Cooper et al. 2019). 

 The high diversity and productivity of pinnacles and shallow seamounts may enhance their potential to act as refuges from disturbance for some reef species (Bak et al. 2005; Bongaerts et al. 2017). In addition, the physical structures of pinnacles may generate further habitat specific environmental conditions that confer resilience, however this is speculative because the ecological connections between deep and shallow reefs are largely unstudied (Slattery et al. 2011; Bongaerts and Smith 2019). Coral reefs on offshore pinnacles therefore represent physically and potentially ecologically distinct tropical habitats that are relatively accessible for scientific study. Although research is now expanding significantly into submerged and mesophotic coral ecosystems, few studies aim to resolve fine-scale ecological patterns on distinct bathymetric features. Characterising ecological communities on unexplored, deeper forms of coral reef will be critical to understanding their contribution to the maintenance of biodiversity within the wider seascape. Most coral reef systems are composed of mosaics of varied reef morphologies, but baseline knowledge is still required to understand ecological similarities and connectivity between varied forms of emergent and submerged reefs, as well as to inform effective spatial conservation planning.

 Here we provide the first detailed assessment of fish and benthic communities on a series of submerged coral pinnacles in Kimbe Bay, Papua New Guinea, an area renowned in the diving industry for pinnacle diving. To determine whether pinnacles are hotspots for biodiversity we compare fish and benthic communities on pinnacles to emergent reefs in both nearshore and offshore locations. We then examine whether typical drivers of fish diversity, abundance, species richness and community structure apply to pinnacles. Kimbe Bay lies in the Coral Triangle, one of the world's most diverse coral reef regions, but the distribution and abundance of species inhabiting coral reef pinnacles in this area are currently unquantified. Specifically, the aims of this study were to: 1. Describe benthic communities and quantify habitat complexity based on total hard coral cover, benthic cover type richness and benthic diversity across reef morphologies. We predicted that pinnacles and offshore reefs would have highest percentage cover of hard coral at the depths surveyed (20-30m), due to clearer

 offshore waters and lower terrestrial influence. These factors may also enhance benthic richness and diversity at offshore sites. 2. Characterise fish communities found on offshore submerged pinnacle reefs and compare them to emergent reef morphologies. We expected that abundance would be high given the aggregating properties of physical structures for fishes, but that diversity and species richness may be lower given the relative isolation of pinnacles as small patch habitats. 3. Examine how fish-benthic relationships differ between reef morphologies, specifically the effect of total hard coral cover and benthic diversity on fish diversity, abundance, richness and species evenness. We hypothesised that established relationships between benthic habitats would be evident at all reef habitats, especially where coral cover is highest at offshore locations.

#### **Methods**

#### **Study site and survey design**

 This study took place during October 2018 in Kimbe Bay (5°30′S, 150°05′E, Fig.1), Papua New Guinea, an area with a diverse bathymetry including emergent reefs and submerged pinnacles. The study incorporated 12 reef sites: 4 nearshore emergent reefs, 4 offshore emergent reefs and 4 offshore submerged pinnacle reefs. Nearshore reefs were defined as those <5km from nearest main landmass and offshore reefs were all between 9-25km from nearest main landmass. The distinction between emergent and submerged morphology was made based on crest depth; crests above 10m were considered emergent and those below 10m submerged since reefs deeper than 10 m are unlikely to ever experience breaking waves (Harris et al. 2013; Thomas et al. 2015). The pinnacles in our study rise to within 15-30m of 202 the sea surface from a deep (c.300m, GEBCO 2019) submerged ring central to the bay. The centre of this ring descends to around 600m in the middle of the bay but on the seaward side drops to >1000m on the shelf of the South Bismarck Plate (Fig.1a and b). Offshore emergent

 reefs in Kimbe Bay are also extensions of this submerged central system but reach the upper 0-10m. Many take the form of shallow flat-topped guyots, which also have steep sides and ridges descending to considerable depths. Nearshore emergent sites are gently sloping with hard coral cover down to around 70m (author pers. obs; Longenecker et al. 2019). All surveys were carried out within a 20-30m depth band. For emergent reefs, sites with substantial deep horizontal ridges or low gradient slopes were selected for the study to account for reef slope aspect. Surveys on walls or steep slopes were avoided. Although we control for slope aspect as much as feasibly possible, ecological assemblages vary considerably between reef zones. How these changes manifest between zones on different reef morphologies at different depths is beyond the scope of this current study but is under investigation by this group.

#### **Data collection**

#### *Fish and benthic video surveys*

 Fish and benthic surveys were conducted along 30m x 5m high-definition (HD) video transects within a depth band of 20-30m. Five transects at least 5m apart were conducted at each site which was primarily dictated by the small size of the pinnacles. A diver-operated stereo-video bar (SeaGIS) housing two GoPro Hero-4 cameras was held horizontally to the benthos, facing forwards as the diver swam the transect maintaining a depth of 0.5m above the reef. A second diver followed with a tape reel and indicated to the first diver when 30m was reached. Both divers returned along the tape conducting a video point-intercept transect using another GoPro Hero-4 camera held at 0.5m above the reef pointing directly downward . 

#### *Benthic video PIT analysis*

 Transect tapes were marked with two random points per meter. The HD-video footage was replayed at a low frame rate and the benthos immediately underneath each point (60 points/30m) identified and placed into one of 47 categories groups (Table S11). These 47 groups were used to calculate three metrics of benthic complexity; benthic diversity (H'), benthic richness and total hard coral cover, all of which have been previously shown to be useful characterisations of community composition and correlate with the community structure of coral reef fishes (Bell and Galzin 1984; Messmer et al. 2011; Komyakova et al. 2013).

 Fourteen broader categories of benthic cover type were derived from the 47 original fine scale categories. These measures have been previously shown to correlate with aspects of fish diversity and abundance (Table S11). These 14 groups were: massive and sub-massive coral, encrusting coral, laminar coral, complex coral, algae, coraline crustose algae (CCA), soft corals and octocorals, encrusting porifera, complex porifera, other hexacorals, coral rubble, sand and silt, rock and reef matrix. We chose these groups based on similar submerged reef studies on the GBR (Macdonald et al. 2016; Cooper et al. 2019), but as reefs in Kimbe Bay possess a conspicuous abundance of morphologically distinct sponges and other forms of hexacorallia and octocorallia (Horowitz et al. 2020) we also included these groups.

*Fish video transect analysis*

 Fish transect videos were analysed in the stereo-video software Eventmeasure (SeaGIS) which uses camera calibration to provide a known field-of-view (2.5m either side of the transect). Every individual fish that entered the lower two thirds of the screen was counted and identified to species based on Allen et al. (2003). Only individuals that were readily observable within these parameters were recorded i.e. not obscured by the benthos or within

 crevices. This means the surveys represent the relative abundance of non-cryptic species (Brock 1982 ; Caldwell et al. 2016).

#### **Statistical analysis**

 All analysis was performed in R (R Core Development Team 2020) and plots produced using the packages ggplot2 (Wickham 2016) and ggvegan (Simpson 2019).

*Fish and benthic assemblages*

 To test for differences in fish and benthic assemblages between reef morphologies, a one-way permutation-based multivariate ANOVA (PERMANOVA) was performed with Hellinger transformed species abundance data (Anderson 2001:Lengendre and Galagher 2001) using the function "adonis" in vegan. Post-hoc tests were then conducted to identify significant between-group differences identified by the PERMANOVA using "emmeans" (Lenth 2019). For each analysis 999 permutations were performed to calculate p-values. Although generally considered robust to heterogeneity in data sets, PERMANOVA tests between-group variation where a significant result can either suggest differences in location of centroids between groups or, that average within-group dispersion is not equal (Anderson and Walsh 2013). PERMDISP is a resemblance-based permutation test focused strictly on the null hypothesis of homogeneity of multivariate dispersions (Anderson 2006) and was used to test the hypothesis of equal within group dispersion. This test can additionally provide insights into within group variation. The function "betadisp" in vegan was used to perform the PERMDISP test. The SIMPER routine (Clarke and Warwick 2001) was then used to identify species and benthic variables contributing the most dissimilarity among reef types using the "simper" function in vegan.

#### *Fish biodiversity and benthic complexity*

280 Differences in mean fish species richness, total fish abundance (individuals/ $150m^2$ ), fish diversity (Simpson's Index), evenness (Pielou's J'), benthic richness and benthic diversity (H') were identified using Generalised Linear Mixed Effects Models (GLMMs) with "reef type'" as the categorical main effect and "site" nested within reef type as a random factor using the package "lme4". Standard exploratory techniques were used to assess appropriate error structures to apply to each GLMM (See Tables S1,S2 and S6). Differences between reef types of mean percentage cover of the 14 benthic cover categories were also tested using GLMMs fitted in the same way. For all GLMMs, model fits were evaluated using residual plots and performed using the packages "lme4" and "MASS" (Venables and Ripley 2002; Bates et al. 2015). Differences between means at each reef habitat type were tested using likelihood ratio tests (negative binomial models), conditional F-tests (gaussian models) and adjusted Tukey's HSD post-hoc pair-wise tests using the packages "car" (Fox and Weisberg 2019), "pbkrtest" (Halekoh, 2014) and "emmeans" (Lenth 2019). Contrast estimates and 95% confidence intervals are presented in Tables S1,S2 and S6.

#### *Fish-benthic relationships*

 To explicitly examine the nature and strength of relationships between fish and two metrics of benthic habitat complexity (benthic diversity and total hard coral) we used GLMMs . Only these two habitat complexity metrics were examined as benthic richness was found to be highly correlated with benthic diversity and several other benthic cover categories. The effect of total percentage hard coral and benthic diversity on fish richness, diversity, evenness and abundance were tested in 8 separate models with either Negative Binomial (abundance and richness) or Gaussian (diversity and evenness) error distribution. For all models the main effect was either total hard coral percentage or benthic diversity, with "reef type" as a fixed

 effect. An interaction term between the main effect and "reef type" was included in each model to test whether the nature of any relationship varied between reef morphologies. "Site" was included as a random factor nested within "reef type". Likelihood-ratio tests with a null model were used to determine model fit and overall goodness-of-fit of all models was assessed via standard techniques of Q-Q plots (normality), residuals plotted against predicted values against all explanatory variables (homogeneity of variance) and calculations of dispersion. Pseudo-R-Square estimates (Nakagawa and Schielzeth 2013; Nakagawa et al. 2017) were obtained for all mixed-effects models using r.squaredGLMM from the "MuMIn" package (Bartoń 2019). This produces a marginal R2GLMM(m) (an approximation variance explained by fixed effects) and a conditional R2 GLMM(c) (an approximation of variance explained by the entire model including fixed and random effects). Estimates and 95% confidence intervals for each models' effects were calculated where the evidence does not support a significant effect at the 0.05 level if the confidence interval contains zero. Tests of fixed factor main effects were conducted using likelihood-ratio tests for GLMMs and conditional F-tests with Kenward-Roger correction for GLMMs with gaussian error family. Correlation analysis and simple slopes tests using "emmeans" were performed to further explore these relationships.

 Finally, distance based multiple linear modeling was used to examine the multivariate relationship between differences in fish communities and benthic habitat to find the combination of benthic variables that best explained the greatest variation in fish community structure. Benthic variables included all 14 benthic categories, benthic diversity and benthic richness. Multicollinearity was explored between all benthic variables using Spearman's rank correlation. Benthic richness was highly corelated with benthic diversity (Spearman's rho, ρ

328 = 0.78, p < 0.001) and complex hexacorals ( $\rho$  = 0.48, p = 0.001) so was removed prior to model fitting.

 The function "ordiR2step" in vegan was then used to conduct stepwise forward selection based on the Aikaike Information Criterion (Akaike et al. 1973) of variables from all 14 benthic categories as well as benthic diversity. The stepwise routine was run using 9999 334 permutations and adjusted  $R^2$  as the selection criterion (Blanchet, Legendre and Borcard 2008). Each model proposed by "ordiR2step" was tested for significance using vegan's permutational ANOVA function (Monte Carlo permutation test) and only constraints with p < 0.05 after adjustment for multiple testing selected for the final model. The most suitable model to explain the relationship between benthic cover types and variation in fish assemblages between reef habitat types was visualized by constrained ordination using distance-based redundancy analysis (db-RDA) (Anderson et al. 2008). db-RDA can be used when the response data are available as a dissimilarity matrix and provides an opportunity to use ecological distances in constrained ordination analysis (Paliy and Shankar 2016). Benthic variables from the final model were overlaid as a vector, together with fish species that were most correlated with assemblage variation.

#### **Results**

#### **Benthic communities show similar complexity between reef types**

We found no significant difference in any of the benthic complexity metrics between reef

349 morphologies; benthic diversity (F-test,  $F = 2.25$ ,  $p = 0.33$ ), benthic richness (F-test,  $F =$ 

- 350 4.01,  $p = 0.11$ ) or total hard coral (F-test,  $F = 2.01$ ,  $p = 0.37$ ) (Figure 2, Table S2). Pinnacle
- reefs however, showed the greatest range in both benthic diversity (1.94 2.52) and benthic
- richness (12.20 17.41) compared to offshore (benthic diversity = 2.12 2.23, benthic

richness = 12.40 - 13.80) and nearshore emergent reefs (benthic diversity = 2.03-2.31,

 benthic richness = 12.60 - 14.00) suggesting high variability between individual pinnacle sites (Table S5).

 Of the 14 benthic cover types, 8 showed significant differences in mean percentage cover between reef morphologies (Fig.3, Table S6). Pinnacle reefs were characterised by highest mean percent cover of complex hard corals, massive and sub-massive hard corals, encrusting hexacorals and octocorals. Offshore reefs had the lowest mean percent cover of complex hard corals, but high proportions of laminar hard corals and encrusting porifera. Nearshore reefs were notably high in algae. Benthic communities were similar to each other ranging between 34-40% dissimilarity (Table 1).Highest overall dissimilarity was between pinnacle and nearshore reefs (40%). Benthic cover types contributing the most to overall dissimilarity were algae, complex hard coral, encrusting hexacoral, rubble and massive hard coral (Table 1). Although PERMANOVA indicated significant differences in benthic community 367 assemblages among reef types (Pseudo-F = 4.74, 999 permutations,  $p(\text{perm}) = 0.001$ ) the 368 PERMDISP test also yielded a significant result ( $F = 4.16$ ,  $p = 0.02$ ). This further suggests unequal within-group dispersion which was also apparent in exploratory multivariate plots (Fig.S1).

#### **Reef fish biodiversity and assemblages differ between reef types**

A total of 11,460 individual fishes representing 230 species and 87 genera were recorded

across all transects from the 12 reefs. Pinnacles had the highest total number of species (172)

- and also the highest number of unique species (75) (Fig.4). The numerous unique species
- included many larger predatory species including *Pinjalo lewisi*, *Caranx melampygus*,
- *Caranx sexfasicatus*, *Carcharhinus amblyrhynchos* and *Caracharinus melanopterus* that
- were not observed on emergent reefs (Table S3). Offshore emergent reefs had the lowest total

number of species recorded (87) and also only 3 unique species. Of the total 230 species

observed across all reef types, only 47 (20.5%) were shared by all three (Fig.4).

 The pinnacles in our study supported almost twice the mean number of fish species per unit 383 area (32.45) as near (16.35) and offshore reefs (16.50) (Fig.5; LRT,  $\sqrt{\chi}$  2 = 62.10, p < 0.001). We also found a clear increasing trend in fish abundance from nearshore to offshore reefs, culminating in 6.57 times observed total abundance on the pinnacles compared to nearshore 386 reefs (LRT,  $\sqrt{2}$  = 68.74, p < 0.001). High variation in abundance on pinnacles was due to large schools of *Sphraena qeini* and *Acanthurus thompsoni* recorded in several transects at multiple sites, similarly, large schools of *Caesio sp*. drove higher abundance on offshore sites compared to nearshore reefs. Consequently, species evenness showed significant differences 390 across reef morphologies (F-test,  $F = 31.52$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ). Simpson's diversity was highest on nearshore reefs (0.80) and lowest on offshore reefs (0.72) but differences were not significant 392 between the reef morphologies (F-test,  $F = 5.10$ ,  $p = 0.07$ ). (Fig.5, Table S1). 

The local structure of fish assemblages was clearly distinct between reef types

395 (PERMANOVA; pseudo-F = 8.67, 999 permutations, p (perm) = 0.001). Unlike benthic

communities, fish communities showed high dissimilarity between all reef types ranging

between 74.5-87.8% (Table 1). The combination of species contributing most to these

differences consistently included *Pseudanthias tuka, Caesio cuning, A. thompsoni. P.* 

*nigromanus* and *Ctenochaetus tominiensis.* The only different species to appear in the top

five overall contributors to community dissimilarity between nearshore and offshore reefs

- was *C. anarzae* (Table 1). Species composition of pinnacles was most similar to offshore
- emergent reefs and most distinct from Inshore emergent reefs (Table 1, Fig.S2). Again,
- although the result of the PERMANOVA indicated distinct fish assemblages among reef

404 types, the result of the PERMDISP test  $(F = 3.97, p = 0.02,$  Table S2a) suggests that there is

405 also unequal within-group dispersion. Notably, nearshore reefs showed more variable

406 assemblage structure than offshore or pinnacle reefs (Fig.S2a).

- 407
- 408

#### 409 **Fish-habitat relationships – weak associations at all reef types**

410 "Reef type" had a significant effect on fish abundance and richness in models for total hard 411 coral cover (LRT abundance;  $p = 0.002$ , richness;  $p = 0.002$ ) and benthic diversity 412 (abundance  $p = 0.003$ , richness;  $p = 0.001$ ), but had no effect on fish diversity or evenness 413 in any model (Table 2). Neither benthic diversity nor total hard coral cover had a significant 414 effect on any fish metric across all 8 models, and there was also no significant interactions 415 between independent variables (Fig. 6, Table 2). Table S9 for estimate coefficients and 416 confidence intervals. Offshore reef showed moderate positive correlation between benthic 417 diversity and fish diversity ( $\rho = 0.30$ ,  $p = 0.20$ ), whereas pinnacle and nearshore reefs showed 418 weak negative relationships (pinnacles;  $\rho = -0.07$ ,  $p = 0.76$ ; nearshore ( $\rho = -0.13$ ,  $p = 0.57$ ). 419 None of the relationships however, were significant (p<0.05). Other contrasting patterns 420 included a negative relationship between abundance and hard coral cover on pinnacle reefs ( $\rho$ ) 421 = -0.42, p = 0.07), whereas nearshore reefs showed a weak positive trend ( $\rho = 0.32$ , p = 0.16) 422 and there was no correlation between fish abundance and hard coral cover on offshore reefs 423 ( $\rho = -0.03$ ,  $p = 0.89$ ). Benthic diversity and fish diversity showed a moderate negative 424 association on offshore reefs ( $\rho = -0.29$ ,  $p = 0.22$ ), but was moderately positive on pinnacles 425 ( $\rho = 0.07$ ,  $p = 0.78$  and nearshore reefs ( $\rho = 0.29$ ,  $p = 0.22$ ). Again, none of these contrasting 426 relationships were found to be significant. Benthic diversity and hard coral cover had 427 reasonable explanatory power in respective models for fish richness and abundance with  $R^2$ <sub>(m)</sub> ranging between 52-54%. Fish diversity and evenness however were poorly explained

429 by benthic diversity or hard coral cover in combination with reef type with  $R^2$ <sub>(m)</sub> ranging between 7-13% (SI Table S8 and S9).

 The full distance based linear model containing all 14 benthic habitat variables (Table S11) together with benthic diversity explained 21.98% of variation in fish communities. After adjusting for multiple testing, the final model indicated 3 variables were significant in 435 explaining variability in fish communities (cumulative  $_{\text{Adi}}R^2 = 0.2198$ ; Algae (5.84%), encrusting octocorals (3.90%) and encrusting porifera (3.25%) (Table S7). When visualized in the db-RDA plot, the first two axes represented together 74.06% of fitted variation and 15.32% of total variation (Fig.7a). Species most correlated with increasing algal cover included *C. tominiensis, P. nigromanus* and *C. rollandi. P. tuka* showed strongest correlation with octocorals, whereas *Naso vlamingii* and *A. thompsoni* were more associated with higher cover of encrusting Porifera more prevalent on offshore and pinnacle reefs (Fig.7b).

#### **Discussion**

#### **Benthic assemblages – similar complexity but varied composition**

 Our study presents the first baseline assessment of fish and benthic communities on submerged pinnacles in the Coral Triangle. The benthic habitat structure on pinnacles did not differ markedly from emergent reefs, although there were differences in coral growth forms and proportional cover. Although we accounted for the effect of reef slope aspect through site selection across morphologies, the flat tops of pinnacle summits in clear, offshore locations experience greater irradiance at the same depth than emergent reefs (Lesser et al. 2009). This perhaps explains higher complex and massive coral morphologies on pinnacles at these depths, which strongly influence the abundance and distribution of many coral-associated fishes (Jones et al. 2004; Coker et al. 2014; Pratchett 2014). Cooper et al. (2019) suggest that

 submerged reefs on the Great Barrier Reef support higher numbers of individual fishes than inshore sites as a result of clearer waters allowing greater light penetration for photosynthetic processes, resulting in increased feeding opportunities across a range of functional groups. In our study the four species of obligate-coral feeding butterflyfish observed were all were recorded on pinnacles, where complex coral cover was highest, but not on nearshore or offshore reefs. This follows established patterns for coral obligates and suggests that fine- scale differences in benthic communities are more important for some fish species than broader, simple measures of overall complexity.

 Although situated in similarly remote positions in the bay, benthic communities on offshore emergent reefs had the lowest proportion of complex hard coral and were dominated by laminar coral and encrusting porifera. Corals adapting to lower light at greater depths also often display flatter, low relief morphologies. Shading by steep walls and emergent shallow crests reduces light irradiance on the lower reef slopes of emergent reefs (Lesser et al. 2009). Flatter coral morphologies have been shown to influence fish-habitat associations, constraining the depth distribution of some species (Brokovich et al. 2008; Lesser et al. 2009; Smallhorn-West et al. 2017), which may partly explain low fish diversity on offshore reefs despite their offshore position.

 The high algal cover on nearshore reefs is likely due to close proximity to large-scale terrestrial agricultural activity, including high levels of deforestation and associated high inputs of allochthonous run-off (Munday 2004; Green et al. 2009). High algal cover tends to be a characteristic of degraded coastal reefs (Hughes 1994; Graham et al. 2006; Roth et al. 2018) and altered fish communities (Jones et al. 2004; Chong-Seng et al. 2012; Ainsworth

 and Mumby 2015). This may be driving lower fish diversity and abundance at nearshore sites 479 than would naturally be found without chronic land-based disturbances.

#### **Fish assemblages - unique fish communities found on pinnacles**

 We found that submerged pinnacles support highly diverse, abundant and distinct fish assemblages, with many unique species not found at the equivalent depth on emergent reefs in either nearshore or offshore locations. Our findings confirm our expectation that distinct submerged physical structures possess high abundance of fishes, in this case driven by large schools of *S. qeni, Caranx sp.* and *A. thompsoni*. Associative behaviour between fish and physical structures is well known (Fréon and Dagorn 2000) and explanations for this behaviour are thought to include resting, spawning, seeking shelter from predators and access to cleaning stations and feeding opportunities (Paterson 1998; Barreiros et al. 2002) however 490 these paradigms are untested for the pinnacles in our study.

 Contrary to our expectations there was no difference in diversity across the three reef morphologies. Pinnacles however, did have the highest species richness and number of unique species. Although we did not directly measure habitat area or isolation by distance, Kimbe Bay's nearshore reefs are larger reefs, closely situated to each other and also to coastal nursery habitats (Green et al. 2009). Nearshore reefs may therefore be expected to receive higher numbers of juveniles and recruits (sources of immigration) and support higher absolute numbers of individuals and species, over a larger overall area of available habitat (MacArthur and Wilson 1967). Although these patterns are less established than in terrestrial ecology, biogeographic factors are known to influence marine habitats and reef fish communities (Mora et al. 2003; Kulbicki et al. 2013; Bennett et al. 2018; Quimbayo et al. 2018), albeit with contrasting results. Sandin et al. (2008) found classical relationships

between reef fish abundance and isolation (negative) and habitat area (positive), but others

have shown that increasing isolation from land-based disturbance and associated

geographical environmental gradients leads to increased biomass and abundance, (DeMartini

et al. 2008; Stallings 2009; Williams et al. 2011; Brewer et al. 2012; Kattan et al. 2017).

 Given the relatively small area of Kimbe Bay (15x25km) and the strong dispersal capabilities of many fishes (Mora et al. 2003; Almany et al. 2017; Bode et al. 2019) it is unlikely that horizontal dispersal ability limits offshore recruitment and may explain the weak biogeographic patterns in diversity observed (Hobbs et al. 2012). Nevertheless, the offshore emergent reefs and pinnacles are similarly isolated from nearshore habitats, yet there is still an anomaly between abundance and richness on these two morphologies. This may be attributable to high temporal variability in species abundances as some evidence suggests that small isolated reefs are subject to greater demographic and environmental stochasticity (Mellin et al. 2010). The persistence of the patterns observed in this study should therefore be assessed by replicated surveys to identify longer-term trends in biodiversity metrics. The pinnacles in Kimbe Bay appear to provide sufficient habitat to support high fish diversity, abundance and richness, despite their small size and relative isolation from other reefs by both depth and distance. As small, island-like habitats in offshore, reefs on

submerged pinnacles represent patchy habitats with high perimeter-to-area ratios. The

interface of the coral reef and pelagic environment represents the edges of both these distinct

marine habitats. The term "edge effect" is used to describe the influence of the mixed

environment created at the boundaries of conjoining habitats on ecological community

structure and processes (Fahrig 2003; Fonseca, 2008). Here, species associated with adjacent

habitats are brought into contact which may lead to novel interactions, the formation of

 dispersal barriers or the creation of spatial subsidies (Fagan et al. 1999). Edge effects, however, are generally not well known in marine environments as the theoretical base is rooted in terrestrial ecology (but see Smith et al. 2007; Sambrook et al. 2016). Yet, given their small size and typical isolated position within seascapes, it seems plausible that coral reefs on submerged pinnacles experience some of form of edge effect. The combination of coral reef and pelagic habitat theoretically increases habitat heterogeneity; not necessarily in terms of structural complexity but by increasing the breadth of resources available to a wider range of species and individuals. This could explain the presence of both highly reef- associated and mobile pelagic species observed at pinnacle sites, leading to high species richness, distinct community structures and the highest number of unique species.

#### **Weak fish-habitat relationships**

 Species richness, abundance and composition on pinnacles was only weakly related to habitat structure and is most likely driven by other aspects of the unique morphology of pinnacle habitats. Empirical studies examining linear correlations between reef fish and simple habitat variables have similarly shown contrasting and or surprisingly weak relationships (reviewed in Jones and Syms 1998), but most have been conducted at shallow depths (<20m). Our surveys were conducted at 20-30m and it is known that some fish-habitat links can decline with increasing depth on emergent reefs, usually attributable to declining complex and branching coral cover and the changing influence of other abiotic factors (Brokovich et al. 2008). This may explain the lack of strong fish-benthic relationships at all reef morphologies. 

 Although live coral cover is consistently the most important habitat variable affecting the distribution of many coral-associated fishes (Coker et al. 2014), the generalisation of simple linear patterns in fish-habitat relationships is further complicated by the huge variety of ways  different species utilise coral reefs (Jones and Syms 1998). For example, some species will alter habitat associations during ontogeny (Bonin et al. 2011; Komyakova et al. 2018) and positive effects of habitat complexity on fish abundance can depend on numerous predator- prey and conspecific interactions (Beukers and Jones 1998; Almany 2004). The different proportions of certain benthic cover types and morphologies may also reflect the distinct fish communities, where certain morphologies or benthic organisms provide more or less favourable habitat for certain fishes. A detailed investigation of trophic assemblage composition and potential differences in condition (e.g. body size, growth rates) would help to further understand how different types of reef habitat could confer benefits to particular functional groups and individuals.

 Many fish species have less direct relationships with biogenic micro-habitats in general, instead, being attracted to physical structure and associated abiotic conditions (Auster 2007). In our study, the negative relationship between fish abundance and hard coral cover on the pinnacles (Fig.8g) was largely a result of the high percentage cover of encrusting corallimorph colonies (Hexacorallia) combined with the presence of large schools of *S. qeni* and *A. thompsoni*. Mobile mesopredators tend to use coral reefs less directly than highly site- attached fishes, hunting and foraging in other adjacent connected habitats during different diurnal periods (Papastamatiou et al. 2015) while high abundances of planktivores like *A. thompsoni* at reef edges and greater depths is likely driven by proximity to higher plankton availability brought by strong currents (Thresher and Colin 1986; Hobson 1991; Quimpo et al. 2018). Stronger currents on reefs can be a product of both offshore positions receiving higher exposure to wind-generated water motion and waves but also interactions between reef topography and oceanographic processes (Hearn 2011). Fish species on abrupt physical structures may therefore have less direct relationships with biogenic habitat, but instead rely

 on favourable feeding and abiotic conditions generated at dynamic all-surrounding boundaries between reef and pelagic habitats.

# **Hydrodynamics and pelagic influence as drivers of fish communities on pinnacles**

 We propose that both habitat-specific physical processes and high pelagic influences are plausible mechanisms which explain distinct and highly abundant fish communities on small offshore submerged pinnacle reefs. On shallow emergent reefs, the crest is the most diverse and productive zone (Done 1983; Russ 1984, 2003) where reef fish communities benefit significantly from oceanic production (Wyatt et al. 2012; Fisher et al. 2018; Le Bourg et al. 2018). Pinnacle reefs diverge from classical models of spatial reef zonation where, as the summits are small in area, lacking any significant area of back-reef or flat; they are composed almost entirely of reef crest. Furthermore, the pelagic environment surrounds not only the circumference of reef but also constitutes a significant water column of pelagic habitat above the benthos. Thus, on a pinnacle the majority of the reef may experience high pelagic energetic inputs via multidirectional currents, not just at the seaward edge as on emergent reefs. For example, high abundances of planktivores found at reef edges fix important allochthonous inputs (Wyatt et al. 2012) and exposed zones on emergent reefs have been shown to receive significant pelagic energetic subsidies through this mechanism, explaining exceptional levels of productivity even on low coral-cover reefs (Morais and Bellwood 2019).

 Hydrology on coral reefs can therefore shape reef-fish assemblages (Fulton and Bellwood 2005; Eggertsen et al. 2016) and submerged topographies can generate distinct hydrodynamic environments, upwellings and currents, which are important mechanisms for nutrient and plankton retention (Genin et al. 1986; Lueck and Mudge 1997; Fulton et al. 2005; Morato et

 al. 2009; Lavelle and Mohn 2010; Mosquera Giménez et al. 2019). This extension of the species-energy hypothesis (Wright 1983) has been proposed as a mechanism that allows these habitats to support abundant communities, often composed of species from high trophic levels (Pitcher and Bulman 2007; Jorgensen et al. 2016; Richert et al. 2017). The presence of diverse and abundant fish communities on pinnacles rich with large populations of planktivores and piscivores perhaps reflects strong bio-physical coupling analogous to seamounts (Genin 2004; Morato et al. 2010). Although the small scale of this study does not fully capture the abundance and distribution of large mobile predators, sharks were only observed in transects at pinnacle reefs. This could suggest that these habitats support higher trophic levels and more complex food-webs than nearshore reefs at the same depth, which may be lower-energy environments. Greater sampling effort and additional studies, however, are needed to ascertain an accurate reflection of habitat use on pinnacle reefs by large predatory fishes.

 The hydrological-energy mechanisms we suggest as drivers of high diversity on pinnacles do occur on emergent reefs but are largely focused on the shallow crests by surface waves and upwellings travelling up the slope. As such, productivity, nutrient concentrations and larval supply are again focused in this zone rather than the lower slopes (Wolanski and Delesalle 1995; Sponaugle et al. 2002; Leichter et al. 2005; James et al. 2020). Interactions between hydrodynamics and reef topography clearly differ between reef zones but there have been few comparisons between emergent reefs and submerged reefs. Despite the difference in absolute crest depth between emergent and submerged reefs, the culmination of hydrodynamics on the crest may lead to greater assemblage similarities in this zone between reef morphologies, regardless of depth. Additionally, in terms of habitat, emergent reefs typically have large areas of high coral cover on the crest, which is likely to concentrate the

 majority of reef-associated individuals and species in shallower depths. It was notable in our study how many species usually associated with shallow crests on emergent reefs were also observed on the crests of submerged pinnacles, most likely a result of aspect and clear offshore waters. A similar pattern was reported for corals on submerged reefs in the central GBR, where similar reef crest/upper slope assemblages on emergent reefs are found at greater depths on the crests of submerged pinnacles (Roberts et al. 2015). Comparative investigations of patterns in reef zonation along depth gradients will help further explain how communities change across the full range of available habitat on both emergent and submerged reefs. Disentangling the relative effects of reef zone, depth and hydrodynamics on submerged pinnacles will require fine-scale in-situ measurements of currents and associated abiotic factors to reveal new information about these unusual habitats rather than relying on generalised trends from shallow and mesophotic coral reef literature (Pearson and Stevens 2015).

 As isolated but ubiquitous patch habitats, submerged pinnacles also present significant opportunities to assess connectivity between emergent and deep reefs as well as the wider pelagic environment. Just as seamounts are thought to act as steppingstones for dispersal in the deep sea, pinnacles may play a significant role in connectivity across large scale coral reef systems. The differing hydrodynamics on submerged pinnacles (e.g. lack of a reef flat to dissipate currents and wave energy) likely result in a greater proportion of larvae being exported to adjacent reefs than on emergent reefs, suggesting they may represent important sources of propagules (Thomas et al. 2015). Multiple forms of submerged reef supporting diverse marine ecosystems are widely distributed across the continental shelf of both north- east and north-west Australia (Bridge et al. 2012, 2019; Roberts et al. 2015; Moore et al. 2017; Heyward and Radford 2019), but have largely been excluded from management and

 monitoring efforts. Results from this study and others demonstrate that submerged reefs warrant greater consideration in management plans. Although the locations of submerged reefs in many regions may be poorly understood, precautionary management principles that explicitly account for uncertainty regarding the locations of ecologically significant features such as submerged reefs can be effective in protecting these types of features 'incidentally' (Bridge et al. 2015).

 Our baseline assessment indicates that pinnacles represent a distinct form of submerged coral reef that supports highly species rich and abundant fish communities. Assemblages here are likely shaped by complex interactions of hydrology, physical structure and high levels of pelagic influence, resulting from greater crest depth and offshore locations. These processes are present on emergent, nearshore reefs, but largely only at shallow seaward edges, and their effects may attenuate with depth as the reef structure changes. Further studies are required to assess how the combination of pelagic and reef habitats on small submerged pinnacles generate distinct abiotic and physical conditions and how they might enhance productivity and the variety of available resources. Isolation by depth and offshore setting may also confer aspects of natural resilience in addition to beneficial hydrodynamics. In a rapidly changing marine environment, it is important to establish how distinct reef morphologies may respond to climate change and the extent to which they may provide refuge to degrading shallow reef organisms. As ubiquitous features across all low-latitude coastal shelves, deep and distinct coral habitats are becoming increasingly important components of the future global coral reef biome. Further work is warranted to quantify the spatial extent of these unique coral reef habitats, characterise their ecological communities and understand their role in coral reef ecosystems.

#### **Acknowledgements**

We are grateful to the traditional owners of the Tamare-Kilu reefs for access to their reefs

- and thank Mahonia Na Dari Research Centre for field support, particularly Jerry and Nelson
- Sikatua. Thanks to Walindi Plantation Resort and the Benjamin family for facilitating access
- to offshore sites. Early drafts were greatly improved by comments and discussions with
- T.Rüger, C.Macdonald, P.Smallhorn-West and S.Gordon. We thank P.Harris and R.Beaman
- for guidance on forming definitions of pinnacle coral reefs, N.Peterson, A.Green and
- S.Sheppard for advice on Kimbe Bay's bathymetry and two anonymous reviewers whose
- comments helped improve this manuscript. Funding was provided by an ARC Discovery
- Grant (DP190103056) to G.P.Jones and research allocation to M.I.McCormick from The
- ARC Centre of Excellence for Coral Reef Studies. This paper is dedicated to the memory of
- Lauia Keating; we'll see you when we're looking at you Lau.
- 

#### **Conflict of interests statement**

- On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.
- 

#### **References**

- 
- Abbey E, Webster JM (2011) Submerged reefs. In: Hopley D. (eds) Encyclopedia of Modern Coral Reefs. Springer Netherlands, pp 1058–1062
- Ainsworth CH, Mumby PJ (2015) Coral-algal phase shifts alter fish communities and reduce fisheries production. Glob Chang Biol 21:165–172
- Akaike H, Petrov B, Csaki F (1973) Information theory as an extension of the maximum 701 likelihood principle. 267–281<br>702 Allen G., Steene R, Humann P, De
- Allen G., Steene R, Humann P, Deloach N (2003) Reef fish identification: tropical Pacific. New World Publications, Jacksonville, FL,
- Almany GR (2004) Differential effects of habitat complexity, predators and competitors on abundance of juvenile and adult coral reef fishes. Oecologia 141:105–113
- Almany GR, Planes S, Thorrold SR, Berumen ML, Bode M, Saenz-Agudelo P, Bonin MC, Frisch AJ, Harrison HB, Messmer V, Nanninga GB, Priest MA, Srinivasan M, Sinclair- Taylor T, Williamson DH, Jones GP (2017) Larval fish dispersal in a coral-reef seascape. Nat Ecol Evol 1:148
- Anderson MJ (2001) A new method for non-parametric multivariate analysis of variance.
- Austral Ecol 26:32–46

 Anderson MJ (2006) Distance-Based Tests for Homogeneity of Multivariate Dispersions. Biometrics 62:245–253 Anderson MJ, Gorley RN, Clarke KR (2008) PERMANOVA+ for PRIMER: Guide to Software and Statistical Methods. Plymouth: PRIMER-E Ltd., Anderson MJ, Walsh DCI (2013) PERMANOVA, ANOSIM, and the Mantel test in the face of heterogeneous dispersions: What null hypothesis are you testing? Ecol Monogr 83:557–574 Auster PJ (2007) Linking deep-water corals and fish populations. In: George R.., Cairns S.. (eds) Conservation and adaptive management of seamount and deep-sea coral ecosystems. Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Science, University of Miami, pp 93–99 Baird A, Madin J, Álvarez-Noriega M, Fontoura L, Kerry J, Kuo C, Precoda K, Torres- Pulliza D, Woods R, Zawada K, Hughes T (2018) A decline in bleaching suggests that depth can provide a refuge from global warming in most coral taxa. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 603:257–264 Bak RPM, Nieuwland G, Meesters EH (2005) Coral reef crisis in deep and shallow reefs: 30 years of constancy and change in reefs of Curacao and Bonaire. Coral Reefs 24:475– Baker EK, Puglise KA, Harris PT (2016) Mesophotic Coral Ecosystems A lifeboat for coral reefs? United Nations Environ Program GRID-Arendal, Nairobi Barreiros J., Santos R., de Borba AE (2002) Food habits, schooling and predatory behaviour of the yellowmouth barracuda, Sphyraena viridensis (Perciformes: Sphyraenidae) in the Azores. French Ichthyol Soc - Cybium 26:83–88 Bartoń K (2019) MuMIn: Multi-Model Inference. Bates D, Maechler M, Bolker B, Walker S (2015) Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models 737 Using lme4. J Stat Softw 67:1–48 Bell JD, Galzin R (1984) Influence of live coral cover on coral-reef fish communities. Mar 739 Ecol Prog Ser 15:265–274<br>740 Bellwood DR. Hughes TP (200) Bellwood DR, Hughes TP (2001) Regional-Scale Assembly Rules and Biodiversity of Coral Reefs. Science (80- ) 292:1532–1535 Bennett S, Halford AR, Choat JH, Hobbs J-PA, Santana-Garcon J, Ayling AM, Harvey ES, Newman SJ (2018) Geography and island geomorphology shape fish assemblage structure on isolated coral reef systems. Ecol Evol 8:6242–6252 Beukers JS, Jones GP (1998) Habitat complexity modifies the impact of piscivores on a coral reef fish population. Oecologia 114:50–59 Bode M, Leis JM, Mason LB, Williamson DH, Harrison HB, Choukroun S, Jones GP (2019) Successful validation of a larval dispersal model using genetic parentage data. PLOS Biol 17:e3000380 Boehlert GW (1988) Current-topography interactions at mid-ocean seamounts and the impact on pelagic ecosystems. GeoJournal 16:45–52 Bongaerts P, Riginos C, Brunner R, Englebert N, Smith SR, Hoegh-guldberg O (2017) Deep reefs are not universal refuges : Reseeding potential varies among coral species. Sci Adv 3:e1602373 Bongaerts P, Smith TB (2019) Beyond the ``Deep Reef Refuge'' Hypothesis: A Conceptual Framework to Characterize Persistence at Depth. In: Loya Y., Puglise K.A., Bridge T.C.L. (eds) Mesophotic Coral Ecosystems. Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp 881–895 Bonin MC, Almany GR, Jones GP (2011) Contrasting effects of habitat loss and fragmentation on coral-associated reef fishes. Ecology 92:1503–1512

- 761 Brock RE. A Critique of the Visual Census Method for Assessing Coral Reef Fish
- 762 Populations. University of Miami—Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Science; 763 1982
- 764 Le Bourg B, Letourneur Y, Bǎnaru D, Blanchot J, Chevalier C, Mou-Tham G, Lebreton B, 765 Pagano M (2018) The same but different: Stable isotopes reveal two distinguishable, yet 766 similar, neighbouring food chains in a coral reef. J Mar Biol Assoc United Kingdom 767 98:1589–1597
- 768 Brewer TD, Cinner JE, Fisher R, Green A, Wilson SK (2012) Market access, population 769 density, and socioeconomic development explain diversity and functional group biomass 770 of coral reef fish assemblages. Glob Environ Chang 22:399–406
- 771 Bridge T, Beaman R, Done T, Webster J (2012) Predicting the Location and Spatial Extent of 772 Submerged Coral Reef Habitat in the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area, 773 Australia. PLoS One 7:e48203
- 774 Bridge TC, Hughes TP, Guinotte JM, Bongaerts P (2013) Call to protect all coral reefs. Nat 775 Clim. Change 3:528–530
- 776 Bridge TCL, Grech AM, Pressey RL (2015) Factors influencing incidental representation of 777 previously unknown conservation features in marine protected areas. Conservation Biology 30: 154-165
- 779 Bridge TCL, Beaman RJ, Bongaerts P, Muir PR, Ekins M, Sih T (2019) The Great Barrier 780 Reef and Coral Sea. In: Loya Y., Puglise K.A., Bridge T.C.L. (eds) Mesophotic Coral 781 Ecosystems. Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp 351–367
- 782 Bridge TCL, Done TJ, Beaman RJ, Friedman A, Williams SB, Pizarro O, Webster JM 783 (2011a) Topography, substratum and benthic macrofaunal relationships on a tropical 784 mesophotic shelf margin, central Great Barrier Reef, Australia. Coral Reefs 30:143–153
- 785 Bridge TCL, Done TJ, Friedman A, Beaman RJ, Williams SB, Pizarro O, Webster JM 786 (2011b) Variability in mesophotic coral reef communities along the Great Barrier Reef , 787 Australia. 428:63–75
- 788 Brokovich E, Baranes A, Goren M (2006) Habitat structure determines coral reef fish 789 assemblages at the northern tip of the Red Sea. Ecol Indic 6:494–507
- 790 Brokovich E, Einbinder S, Shashar N, Kiflawi M, Kark S (2008) Descending to the twilight-791 zone: changes in coral reef fish assemblages along a depth gradient down to 65 m. Mar 792 Ecol Prog Ser 371:253-262<br>793 Caley MJ, John JS (1996) Refuge
- Caley MJ, John JS (1996) Refuge Availability Structures Assemblages of Tropical Reef 794 Fishes. J Anim Ecol 65:414
- 795 Caldwell, Z. R., Zgliczynski, B. J., Williams, G. J., & Sandin, S. A. (2016). Reef Fish Survey 796 Techniques: Assessing the Potential for Standardizing Methodologies. PloS one, 11(4), 797 e0153066.
- 798 Chong-Seng KM, Mannering TD, Pratchett MS, Bellwood DR, Graham NAJ (2012) The 799 Influence of Coral Reef Benthic Condition on Associated Fish Assemblages. PLoS One 800 7:e42167
- 801 Clarke K., Warwick R. (2001) Change in marine communities: an approach to statistical 802 analysis and interpretation. PRIMER-E: Plymouth,
- 803 Clarke KR (1993) Non-parametric multivariate analyses of changes in community structure. 804 Austral Ecol 18:117–143
- 805 Coker DJ, Wilson SK, Pratchett MS (2014) Importance of live coral habitat for reef fishes. 806 Rev Fish Biol Fish 24:89–126
- 807 Connolly SR, Bellwood DR, Hughes TP (2003) Indo-Pacific biodiversity of coral reefs: 808 Deviations from a mid-domain model. Ecology 84:2178–2190
- 809 Cooper AM, MacDonald C, Roberts TE, Bridge TCL (2019) Variability in the functional 810 composition of coral reef fish communities on submerged and emergent reefs in the

 Crosbie A, Bridge T, Jones G, Baird A (2019) Response of reef corals and fish at Osprey Reef to a thermal anomaly across a 30 m depth gradient. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 622:93–102 DeMartini EE, Friedlander AM, Sandin SA, Sala E (2008) Differences in fish-assemblage structure between fished and unfished atolls in the northern Line Islands, central Pacific. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 365:199–215 Done TJ (1983) Coral zonation, its nature and significance. Perspectives on coral reefs. Australian Institute of Marine Science. pp 107–147 Eggertsen L, Hammar L, Gullström M (2016) Effects of tidal current-induced flow on reef fish behaviour and function on a subtropical rocky reef. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 559:175–192 Fahrig L (2003) Effects of Habitat Fragmentation on Biodiversity. Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst 34:487–515 Fagan WF, Cantrell RS, Cosner C (1999) How habitat edges change species interactions. Am Nat 153:165–182 Fisher EE, Choat JH, McCormick MI, Cappo M (2018) Relative influence of environmental factors on the timing and occurrence of multi-species coral reef fish aggregations. PLoS One 13:1–23 Fonseca M. (2008) Edge Effects. In: Jorgensen S, Fath B (eds) Encyclopedia of Ecology. Elsevier Science Fox J, Weisberg S (2019) An {R} Companion to Applied Regression. Thousand Oaks CA: Sage, Fréon P, Dagorn L (2000) Review of fish associative behaviour: Toward a generalisation of the meeting point hypothesis. Rev Fish Biol Fish 10:183–207 Fulton CJ, Bellwood DR (2005) Wave-induced water motion and the functional implications for coral reef fish assemblages. Limnol Oceanogr 50:255–264 Fulton CJ, Bellwood DR, Wainwright PC (2005) Wave energy and swimming performance shape coral reef fish assemblages. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci 272:827–832 García-Hernández JE, Sanchez PJ, Hammerman NM, Schizas N V. (2018) Fish, Coral, and Sponge Assemblages Associated With Altiphotic and Mesophotic Reefs Along the Guánica Biosphere Reserve Continental Shelf Edge, Southwest Puerto Rico. Front Mar Sci 5:303 Gargan LM, Morato T, Pham CK, Finarelli JA, Carlsson JEL, Carlsson J (2017) Development of a sensitive detection method to survey pelagic biodiversity using eDNA and quantitative PCR: a case study of devil ray at seamounts. Mar Biol 164:112 Garrigue C, Clapham PJ, Geyer Y, Kennedy AS, Zerbini AN (2015) Satellite tracking reveals novel migratory patterns and the importance of seamounts for endangered south pacific humpback whales. R Soc Open Sci 2:150489 GEBCO Compilation Group (2019) GEBCO 2019 Grid (doi:10.5285/836f016a-33be-6ddc- e053-6c86abc0788e) Accessed July 2019 Genin A (2004) Bio-physical coupling in the formation of zooplankton and fish aggregations over abrupt topographies. J Mar Syst 50:3–20 Genin A, Dayton PK, Lonsdale PF, Spiess FN (1986) Corals on seamount peaks provide evidence of current acceleration over deep-sea topography. Nature 322:59–61 Genin A, Dower JF (2007) Seamount plankton dynamics. In: Pitcher T.J., Morato T., Clark M.R. (eds) Seamounts: Ecology, Fisheries & Conservation. Blackwell Publishing Ltd, Graham NAJ, Wilson SK, Jennings S, Polunin NVC, Bijoux JP, Robinson J (2006) Dynamic fragility of oceanic coral reef ecosystems. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 103:8425–8429 Gratwicke B, Speight MR (2005) The relationship between fish species richness, abundance and habitat complexity in a range of shallow tropical marine habitats. J Fish Biol 66:650–667

central Great Barrier Reef, Australia. PLoS One 14:e0216785

- Green A, Smith SE, Lipsett-Moore G, Groves C, Peterson N, Sheppard S, Lokani P, Hamilton R, Almany J, Aitsi J, Bualia L (2009) Designing a resilient network of marine
- protected areas for Kimbe Bay, Papua New Guinea. Oryx 43:488–498
- Halekoh U, Højsgaard S (2014). "A Kenward-Roger Approximation and Parametric Bootstrap Methods for Tests in Linear Mixed Models – The R Package
- pbkrtest." Journal of Statistical Software, 59(9), 1–30. [http://www.jstatsoft.org/v59/i09/.](http://www.jstatsoft.org/v59/i09/)
- Harris PT, Bridge TCL, Beaman RJ, Webster JM, Nichol SL, Brooke BP (2013) Submerged banks in the Great Barrier Reef, Australia, greatly increase available coral reef habitat. ICES J Mar Sci 70:284–293
- Harvey BJ, Nash KL, Blanchard JL, Edwards DP (2018) Ecosystem-based management of coral reefs under climate change. Ecol Evol 8:6354–6368
- Hearn CJ (2011) Hydrodynamics of coral reef systems. Encyclopedia of Earth Sciences Series. Springer Netherlands, pp 563–573
- Heyward A, Radford B (2019) Northwest Australia. In: Loya Y., Puglise K.A., Bridge T.C.L. (eds) Mesophotic Coral Ecosystems. Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp 337– 349
- Hinderstein LM, Marr JCA, Martinez FA, Dowgiallo MJ, Puglise KA, Pyle RL, Zawada DG, Appeldoorn R (2010) Theme section on "Mesophotic Coral Ecosystems:
- Characterization, Ecology, and Management." Coral Reefs 29:247–251
- Hixon MA, Beets JP (1993) Predation, Prey Refuges, and the Structure of Coral-Reef Fish Assemblages. Ecol Monogr 63:77–101
- Hobbs J-PA, Jones GP, Munday PL, Connolly SR, Srinivasan M (2012) Biogeography and the structure of coral reef fish communities on isolated islands. J Biogeogr 39:130–139
- Hobson E. (1991) Trophic relationships of fishes specialized to feed on zooplankters above 885 coral reefs. In: Sale P.F. (eds) The Ecology of Fishes on Coral Reefs. Academic Press, San Diego., pp 69–95
- Holland KN, Dean Grubbs R (2007) Fish Visitors to Seamounts: Tunas and Bill Fish at Seamounts. In: Pitcher T.J., Morato T., Clark M.R. (eds) Seamounts: Ecology, Fisheries & Conservation. Blackwell Publishing Ltd, pp 189–201
- Hopley D (2011) Encyclopedia of Modern Coral Reef: Structure, Form and Process.
- Hopley D, Smithers SG, Parnell K (2007) The Geomorphology of the Great Barrier Reef: Development, Diversity and Change. Cambridge University Press,
- Horowitz J, Cowman PF, Brugler M, Bridge TCL (2020) Morphological and molecular description of a new genus and species of black coral from the mesophotic reefs of Papua New Guinea (Cnidaria: Anthozoa: Hexacorallia: Antipatharia: Antipathidae: Blastopathes). Zootaxa, 4821 (3): 553–569
- Hughes TP (1994) Catastrophes, phase shifts, and large-scale degradation of a Caribbean coral reef. Science (80- ) 265:1547–1551
- IHO (2008) Standardization of Undersea Feature Names: Guidelines Proposal form Terminology.
- James AK, Washburn L, Gotschalk C, Maritorena S, Alldredge A, Nelson CE, Hench JL, Leichter JJ, Wyatt ASJ, Carlson CA (2020) An Island Mass Effect Resolved Near Mo'orea, French Polynesia. Front Mar Sci 7:16
- Jones GP, McCormick MI, Srinivasan M, Eagle J V (2004) Coral decline threatens fish biodiversity in marine reserves. Proc Natl Acad Sci 101:8251–8253

 Jones GP, Syms C (1998) Disturbance, habitat structure and the ecology of fishes on coral reefs. Austral Ecol 23:287–297

- Jorgensen SJ, Klimley AP, Muhlia-Melo A, Morgan SG (2016) Seasonal changes in fish assemblage structure at a shallow seamount in the Gulf of California. PeerJ 4:e2357
- Kahng S, Copus JM, Wagner D (2017) Mesophotic Coral Ecosystems. Marine Animal

 Kane CN, Tissot BN (2017) Trophic designation and live coral cover predict changes in reef- fish community structure along a shallow to mesophotic gradient in Hawaii. Coral Reefs 36:891–901 Kattan A, Coker DJ, Berumen ML (2017) Reef fish communities in the central Red Sea show evidence of asymmetrical fishing pressure. Mar Biodivers 47:1227–1238 Kerry JT, Bellwood DR (2015) Do tabular corals constitute keystone structures for fishes on coral reefs? Coral Reefs 34:41–50 Knudby A, Kenchington E, Murillo FJ (2013) Modeling the Distribution of Geodia Sponges and Sponge Grounds in the Northwest Atlantic. PLoS One 8:e82306 Komyakova V, Jones GP, Munday PL (2018) Strong effects of coral species on the diversity and structure of reef fish communities: A multi-scale analysis. PLoS One 13:e0202206 Komyakova V, Munday PL, Jones GP (2013) Relative Importance of Coral Cover, Habitat Complexity and Diversity in Determining the Structure of Reef Fish Communities. PLoS One 8:e83178 Koslow JA, Auster P, Bergstad OA, Roberts JM, Rogers A, Vecchione M, Harris P, Rice J, Bernal P (2016) Biological Communities on Seamounts and Other Submarine Features Potentially Threatened by Disturbance. In: Inniss L., Simcock A. (eds) The First Integrated Marine Assessment: World Ocean Assessment. United Nations General Assembly, New York, pp 26 Kulbicki M, Parravicini V, Bellwood DR, Arias-Gonzàlez E, Chabanet P, Floeter SR, Friedlander A, McPherson J, Myers RE, Vigliola L, Mouillot D (2013) Global Biogeography of Reef Fishes: A Hierarchical Quantitative Delineation of Regions. PLoS One 8:e81847 Lavelle JW, Mohn C (2010) Motion, Commotion, and Biophysical Connections at Deep Ocean Seamounts. Oceanography 23:90–103 939 Laverick JH, Andradi-brown DA, Exton DA, Bongaerts P, Bridge TCL, Lesser MP, Pyle RL, Slattery M, Wagner D, Rogers AD (2016) To what extent do mesophotic coral ecosystems and shallow reefs share species of conservation interest? 5: Legendre P, Gallagher ED (2001) Ecologically meaningful transformations for ordination of species data. Oecologica 129:271–280 Leichter JJ, Deane GB, Stokes MD (2005) Spatial and temporal variability of internal wave forcing on a coral reef. J Phys Oceanogr 35:1945–1962 Lenth RL (2019) emmeans: Estimated Marginal Means, aka Least-Squares Means. Lesser MP, Slattery M (2018) Sponge density increases with depth throughout the Caribbean. Ecosphere 9:e02525 Lesser MP, Slattery M, Leichter JJ (2009) Ecology of mesophotic coral reefs. J Exp Mar Biol 375:1–8 Letessier TB, Mouillot D, Bouchet PJ, Vigliola L, Fernandes MC, Thompson C, Boussarie G, Turner J, Juhel J-B, Maire E, Caley MJ, Koldewey HJ, Friedlander A, Sala E, Meeuwig JJ (2019) Remote reefs and seamounts are the last refuges for marine predators across the Indo-Pacific. PLOS Biol 17:e3000366 Lindfield SJ, Harvey ES, Halford AR, McIlwain JL (2016) Mesophotic depths as refuge areas for fishery-targeted species on coral reefs. Coral Reefs 35:125–137 Linklater M, Jordan AR, Carroll AG, Neilson JM, Gudge S, Brooke BP, Nichol SL (2019) Mesophotic corals on the subtropical shelves of Lord Howe Island and Balls Pyramid, south-western Pacific Ocean Publication Details. Mar Freshw Res 70:43–61

Forests. Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp 185–206

 Kahng S, Hochberg E, Apprill A, Wagner D, Luck D, Perez D, Bidigare R (2012) Efficient light harvesting in deep-water zooxanthellate corals. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 455:65–77

Litvinov F (2007) Fish Visitors to Seamounts: Aggregations of Large Pelagic Sharks Above

 Seamounts. In: Pitcher T.J., Morato T., Hart P.J.., Clark M.R., Haggan N., Santos R.S. (eds) Seamounts: Ecology, Fisheries & Conservation. Blackwell Publishing Inc, pp 202– 206 Locker SD, Armstrong RA, Battista TA, Rooney JJ, Sherman C, Zawada DG (2010) Geomorphology of mesophotic coral ecosystems: Current perspectives on morphology, distribution, and mapping strategies. Coral Reefs 29:329–345 Longenecker K, Roberts TE, Colin PL (2019) Papua New Guinea. In: Loya Y., Puglise K.A., Bridge T.C.L. (eds) Mesophotic Coral Ecosystems. Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp 321–336 Lueck RG, Mudge TD (1997) Topographically induced mixing around a shallow seamount. Science (80- ) 276:1831–1833 MacArthur RH, Wilson EO (1967) The theory of island biogeography. Princeton University Press, New Jersey Macdonald C, Bridge TCL, Jones GP (2016) Depth, bay position and habitat structure as determinants of coral reef fish distributions: Are deep reefs a potential refuge? Mar Ecol Prog Ser 561:217–231 977 Macdonald C, Jones GP, Bridge T (2018) Marginal sinks or potential refuges? Costs and<br>978 benefits for coral-obligate reef fishes at deep range margins. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci benefits for coral-obligate reef fishes at deep range margins. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci 285:20181545 Malcolm HA, Jordan A, Smith SDA (2010) Biogeographical and cross-shelf patterns of reef 981 fish assemblages in a transition zone. Mar Biodivers 40:181–193 Mellin C, Huchery C, Caley MJ, Meekan MG, Bradshaw CJA (2010) Reef size and isolation determine the temporal stability of coral reef fish populations. Ecology 91:3138–3145 Messmer V, Jones GP, Munday PL, Holbrook SJ, Schmitt RJ, Brooks AJ (2011) Habitat biodiversity as a determinant of fish community structure on coral reefs. Ecology 92:2285–2298 Moore C, Cappo M, Radford B, Heyward A (2017) Submerged oceanic shoals of north 988 Western Australia are a major reservoir of marine biodiversity. Coral Reefs 36:719–734<br>989 Mora C. Chittaro PM. Sale PF. Kritzer JP. Ludsin SA (2003) Patterns and processes in reef Mora C, Chittaro PM, Sale PF, Kritzer JP, Ludsin SA (2003) Patterns and processes in reef fish diversity. Nature 421:933–936 Morais RA, Bellwood DR (2019) Pelagic Subsidies Underpin Fish Productivity on a 992 Degraded Coral Reef. Curr Biol 29:1521-1527.e6<br>993 Morato T. Bulman C. Pitcher TJ (2009) Modelled effec 993 Morato T, Bulman C, Pitcher TJ (2009) Modelled effects of primary and secondary<br>994 production enhancement by seamounts on local fish stocks. Deep Res Part II To production enhancement by seamounts on local fish stocks. Deep Res Part II Top Stud Oceanogr 56:2713–2719 Morato T, Clark MR (2007) Seamount fishes: ecology and life histories. In: Pitcher T.J., Morato T., Hart P.J.B., Clark M.R., Haggan N., Santos R.S. (eds) Seamounts: Ecology, Fisheries & Conservation. Blackwell Publishing Ltd, pp 170–188 Morato T, Hoyle SD, Allain V, Nicol SJ (2010) Seamounts are hotspots of pelagic biodiversity in the open ocean. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107:9707–9711 Mosquera Giménez Á, Vélez-Belchí P, Rivera J, Piñeiro S, Fajar N, Caínzos V, Balbín R, Jiménez Aparicio JA, Dominguez-Carrió C, Blasco-Ferre J, Carreiro-Silva M, Morato T, Puerta P, Orejas C (2019) Ocean Circulation Over North Atlantic Underwater Features in the Path of the Mediterranean Outflow Water: The Ormonde and Formigas Seamounts, and the Gazul Mud Volcano. Front Mar Sci 6:702 Moura RL, Amado-Filho GM, Moraes FC, Brasileiro PS, Salomon PS, Mahiques MM, Bastos AC, Almeida MG, Silva JM, Araujo BF, Brito FP, Rangel TP, Oliveira BCV, Bahia RG, Paranhos RP, Dias RJS, Siegle E, Figueiredo AG, Pereira RC, Leal C V., Hajdu E, Asp NE, Gregoracci GB, Neumann-Leitão S, Yager PL, Francini-Filho RB, Fróes A, Campeão M, Silva BS, Moreira APB, Oliveira L, Soares AC, Araujo L,

 Oliveira NL, Teixeira JB, Valle RAB, Thompson CC, Rezende CE, Thompson FL (2016) An extensive reef system at the Amazon River mouth. Sci Adv 2:e1501252 Munday PL (2000) Interactions between habitat use and patterns of abundance in coral- dwelling fishes of the genus Gobiodon. Environ Biol Fishes 58:355–369 Munday PL (2004) Habitat loss, resource specialization, and extinction on coral reefs. Glob Chang Biol 10:1642–1647 Nakagawa S, Johnson PCD, Schielzeth H (2017) The coefficient of determination R2 and intra-class correlation coefficient from generalized linear mixed-effects models revisited and expanded. J R Soc Interface 14:20170213 1020 Nakagawa S, Schielzeth H (2013) A general and simple method for obtaining  $R^2$  from generalized linear mixed-effects models. Methods Ecol Evol 4:133–142 Oksanen JF, Blanchet G, Friendly M, Kindt R, Legendre P, McGlinn D, Minchin PR, O'Hara RB, Simpson GL, Solymos P, Stevens MHH, Szoecs E, Wagner H (2019) vegan: Community Ecology Package. Paliy O, Shankar V (2016) Application of multivariate statistical techniques in microbial ecology. Mol Ecol 25:1032–1057 Papastamatiou YP, Meyer CG, Kosaki RK, Wallsgrove NJ, Popp BN (2015) Movements and foraging of predators associated with mesophotic coral reefs and their potential for linking ecological habitats. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 521:155–170 Paterson SE (1998) Group occurrence of Great Barracusda (Sphraena barracuda) in the Turks and Caicos Islands. Bull Mar Sci 63:633–638 Pearson R, Stevens T (2015) Distinct cross-shelf gradient in mesophotic reef fish assemblages in subtropical eastern Australia. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 532:185–196 Pereira-Filho GH, Amado-Filho GM, P B Guimarães SM, Moura RL, G Sumida PY, 1035 Abrantes DP, Bahia RG, Güth AZ, Jorge RR, Bastos Francini Filho R (2011) Reef fish<br>1036 and benthic assemblages of the Trindade and Martin Vaz island group, southwest and benthic assemblages of the Trindade and Martin Vaz island group, southwest Altlantic. Brazilian J Oceanogr 59:201–212 Pinheiro HT, Mazzei E, Moura RL, Amado-filho GM, Ferreira CEL, Floeter SR, Francini- filho RB (2015) Fish Biodiversity of the Vitória-Trindade Seamount Chain , Southwestern Atlantic : An Updated Database. PLoS One 10:e0118180 Pitcher TJ, Bulman C (2007) Raiding the larder: a quantitative evaluation framework and trophic signature for seamount food webs. In: Pitcher T.J., Morato T., Hart P.J.B., Clark M.R., Haggan N., Santos R.S. (eds) Seamounts: Ecology, Fisheries & Conservation. Blackwell Publishing Ltd, pp 282–295 Pratchett MS (2014) Feeding preferences and dietary specialisation among obligate coral- feeding butterflyfishes. In: Pratchett M.S., Berumen M.L., Kapoor B.. (eds) Biology of Butterflyfishes. Raton, FL, USA, pp 140–179 Pratchett MS, Munday PL, Wilson SK, Graham NAJ, Cinner JE, Bellwood DR, Jones GP, Polunin NVC, McClanahan TR (2008) Effects of climate -induced coral bleaching on coral -reef fishes — ecological and economic consequences. In: Gibson R.N., Atkinson R.J.A., Gordon J.D.M. (eds) Oceanography and Marine Biology: an annual review. CRC Press, pp 257–302 Du Preez C, Curtis JMR, Clarke ME (2016) The Structure and Distribution of Benthic Communities on a Shallow Seamount (Cobb Seamount, Northeast Pacific Ocean). PLoS 1055 One 11:e0165513 Quimbayo JP, Dias MS, Kulbicki M, Mendes TC, Lamb RW, Johnson AF, Aburto-Oropeza O, Alvarado JJ, Bocos AA, Ferreira CEL, Garcia E, Luiz OJ, Mascareñas-Osorio I, Pinheiro HT, Rodriguez-Zaragoza F, Salas E, Zapata FA, Floeter SR (2018) Determinants of reef fish assemblages in tropical Oceanic islands. Ecography (Cop) 41:1–11

- Quimpo TJR, Cabaitan PC, Olavides RDD, Dumalagan Jr. EE, Munar J, Siringan FP (2018) Spatial variability in reef‐fish assemblages in shallow and upper mesophotic coral ecosystems in the Philippines. J Fish Biol 94:17–28
- R Core Development Team (2018) R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
- Richert J, Jorgensen SJ, Ketchum JT, Mohajerani L, Klimley P (2017) The Importance of
- Pinnacles and Seamounts to Pelagic Fishes and Fisheries off the Southern Baja California Peninsula. Oceanogr Fish 4:555644
- Roberts C, Ormond R (1987) Habitat complexity and coral reef fish diversity and abundance on Red Sea fringing reefs. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 41:1–8
- Roberts T., Moloney J., Sweatman HPA, Bridge TCL (2015) Benthic community composition on submerged reefs in the central Great Barrier Reef. Coral Reefs 34:569– 580
- Roberts TE, Bridge TCL, Caley MJ, Madin JS, Baird AH (2019) Resolving the depth zonation paradox in reef-building corals. Ecology 100:e02761
- Rogers AD (2004) The Biology, Ecology and Vulnerability of Seamount Communities.
- Roth F, Saalmann F, Thomson T, Coker DJ, Villalobos R, Jones BH, Wild C, Carvalho S 1077 (2018) Coral reef degradation affects the potential for reef recovery after disturbance.<br>1078 Mar Environ Res 48–58 Mar Environ Res 48–58
- Russ G (1984) Distribution and abundance of herbivorous grazing fishes in the central Great Barrier Reef. 11. Patterns of zonation of mid-shelf and outershelf reefs\*. Mar Ecol Prog 1081 Ser 20:35–44
- Russ GR (2003) Grazer biomass correlates more strongly with production than with biomass of algal turfs on a coral reef. Coral Reefs 22:63–67
- Sambrook K, Jones G, Bonin M (2016) Life on the edge: Coral reef fishes exhibit strong responses to a habitat boundary. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 561:203–215
- Samoilys MA, Halford A, Osuka K (2019) Disentangling drivers of the abundance of coral reef fishes in the Western Indian Ocean. Ecol Evol 9:4149–4167
- Sandin S., Vermeij MJ., Hurlbert A. (2008) Island biogeography of Caribbean coral reef fish. Glob Ecol Biogeogr 17:770–777
- Simpson GL (2019) ggvegan: "ggplot2" Plots for the "vegan" Package.
- Slattery M, Lesser MP, Brazeau D, Stokes MD, Leichter JJ (2011) Connectivity and stability 1092 of mesophotic coral reefs. J Exp Mar Bio Ecol 408:32–41<br>1093 Smallhorn-West PF, Bridge TCL, Munday PL, Jones GP (2017
- Smallhorn-West PF, Bridge TCL, Munday PL, Jones GP (2017) Depth distribution and abundance of a coral-associated reef fish: roles of recruitment and post-recruitment processes. Coral Reefs 36:157–166
- Smith T., Hindell J., Jenkins G., Connolly R. (2007) Edge effects on fish associated with seagrass and sand patches. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 359:203–213
- Spalding HL, Amado-Filho GM, Bahia RG, Ballantine DL, Fredericq S, Leichter JJ, Nelson WA, Slattery M, Tsuda RT (2019) Macroalgae. Springer, Cham, pp 507–536
- Spalding MD, Grenfell AM (1997) New estimates of global and regional coral reef areas. Coral Reefs 16:225–230
- Sponaugle S, Cowen RK, Shanks A, Morgan SG, Leis JM, Pineda J, Boehlert GW, Kingsford MJ, Lindeman KC, Grimes C, Munro JL (2002) Predicting self-recruitment in marine populations: Biophysical correlates and mechanisms. Bull Mar Sci 70:341–375
- Stallings CD (2009) Fishery-Independent Data Reveal Negative Effect of Human Population Density on Caribbean Predatory Fish Communities. PLoS One 4:e5333
- Stoddart DR (1969) Ecology and Morphology of Recent Coral Reefs. Biol Rev 44:433–498
- Thomas CJ, Bridge TCL, Figueiredo J, Deleersnijder E, Hanert E (2015) Connectivity
- between submerged and near-sea-surface coral reefs: can submerged reef populations act as refuges? Divers Distrib 21:1254–1266
- Thresher R., Colin P. (1986) Trophic structure, diversity and abundance of fishes of the deep reef. Bull Mar Sci 38:253–272
- Venables WN, Ripley BD (2002) Modern Applied Statistics with S. Fourth Edition. Springer, New York,
- Venn A., Weber F., Loram J., Jones R. (2009) Deep zooxanthellate corals at the high latitude Bermuda Seamount. Coral Reefs 28:135
- Veron JEN, Done T (1979) Corals and coral communities of Lord Howe Island. Aust J Mar Freshw Res 30:203–236
- Vora K., Almeida F (1990) Submerged reef systems on the central western continental shelf of India. Mar Geol 91:255–262
- White M, Bashmachnikov I, Arístegui J, Martins A (2007) Physical processes and seamount productivity. In: Pitcher T.J., Morato T., Clark M.R. (eds) Seamounts: Ecology,
- Fisheries & Conservation. Blackwell Publishing Ltd, pp 65–84
- Wickham H (2016) ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis.
- Williams GJ, Graham NAJ, Jouffray J-B, Norström A V., Nyström M, Gove JM, Heenan A, Wedding LM (2019) Coral reef ecology in the Anthropocene. Funct Ecol 33:1014–1022
- Williams ID, Baum JK, Heenan A, Hanson KM, Nadon MO, Brainard RE (2015) Human, Oceanographic and Habitat Drivers of Central and Western Pacific Coral Reef Fish
- Assemblages. PLoS One 10:e0120516 Williams ID, Richards BL, Sandin SA, Baum JK, Schroeder RE, Nadon MO, Zgliczynski B,
- Craig P, McIlwain JL, Brainard RE (2011) Differences in Reef Fish Assemblages between Populated and Remote Reefs Spanning Multiple Archipelagos Across the Central and Western Pacific. J Mar Biol 2011:1–14
- Wolanski E, Delesalle B (1995) Upwelling by internal waves , Tahiti , French Polynesia. Cont Shelf Res 15:357–368
- Wright DH (1983) Species-Energy Theory: An Extension of Species-Area Theory. Oikos 41:496
- Wyatt ASJ, Waite AM, Humphries S (2012) Stable isotope analysis reveals community-level variation in fish trophodynamics across a fringing coral reef. Coral Reefs 31:1029–1044
- 
- **Figure legends**





**Figure 1**. Study area locations, Kimbe Bay, Papua New Guinea. (a) Papua New Guinea and

Kimbe Bay (b) Kimbe Bay bathymetry with location of pinnacle (green), offshore (blue) and

nearshore (yellow) reefs (c) Schools of *Caranx sexfasciatus* at Joelles Reef (pinnacle) (d)

*Sphyraena qenie* at Bradford Shoals (pinnacle) (e) Schematic of reef morphologies surveyed







- hard coral cover % (b) richness of benthic cover types (c) diversity of benthic cover types.
- Full LMM results Table T2
- 
- 





 **Figure 3**. Differences in mean percent cover per transect ± SE of 14 benthic cover types across the three reef habitat types (n=20 per reef morphology). Significant differences are 1160 represented by  $*$  and letters indicate statistically similar pairwise means ( $p \le 0.05$ , Tukey HSD). Full GLMM results Table S6

- 
- 
- 



- **Figure 4.** Alpha and beta diversity for each reef morphology. Number of unique species at
- each reef type are located in sectors of circles with no overlap



 **Figure 5.** Differences in fish community metrics between reef morphologies. Mean per 1171 150m2 transect  $\pm$  SE bars (a) fish species richness (b) Total abundance of individuals (c) Simpson's diversity (d) Community evenness Pielou's J'. Significant pair-wise differences (p < 0.05, Tukey HSD) are indicated by grouping lines above. Full GLMM results Tables S1 and S5





**Figure 6.** Relationships between fish community metrics and benthic complexity variables

- for each reef type. Shaded areas depict 95 % confidence intervals from mixed effects
- models. Full summary of coefficients in models a-h in Table S9
- 
- 



 **Figure 7.** (a) Distance-based redundancy analysis (db-RDA) plot of the ordiR2step model based on benthic variables that better explained variability among fish communities across reef habitat types (n=20 for each reef type). Significant benthic variables are overlaid as a vector and fish species most correlated with each axis are presented to the right of the main plot (b) Vector length and direction of the arrow represents the size and direction of the relationships 

# **Table 1.** Summary of SIMPER results showing top five fish species and benthic cover

categories that contributed most to overall dissimilarity between assemblages for pair-wise

# comparisons between reef types



- **Table 2.** Analysis of deviance or variance tables for main effects in all fish-benthic mixed
- 1213 effects models. For GLMMs (total fish abundance and species richness), Likelihood-ratio
- 1214 tests were used to test main effects. For LMMs (diversity and evenness) Wald-F tests with
- 1215 Kenward-Rogers df were used. All main effects and interactions (":") are shown. Significant
- 1216 results  $(p < 0.05)$  in bold



#### 

### **Supplementary Information Figures**



**Figure S1.** (a) nMDS of benthic communities aggregated by reef morphology (b) Vector plot

1222 showing strength and direction of relationship between benthic category and nMDS axes



**Figure S2.** (a) nMDS of fish communities aggregated by reef morphology (b) Vector plot

showing the strength and direction of relationship between fish species and nMDS axes

- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
-