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Abstract. Optimal care of community-dwelling older Australians with complex needs is a national imperative. Suboptimal care that is reactive, episodic and fragmented, is costly to the health system, can be life-threatening to the older person and produces unsustainable carer demands. Health outcomes would be improved if services (health and social) are aligned towards community-based, comprehensive and preventative care. Integrated care is person-focused in outlook and defies a condition-centric approach to healthcare delivery. Integration is a means to support primary care, with the volume and complexity of patient needs arising from an ageing population. Older Persons Enablement and Rehabilitation for Complex Health Conditions (OPEN ARCH) is a targeted model of care that improves access to specialist assessment and comprehensive care for older persons at risk of functional decline, hospitalisation or institutionalised care. OPEN ARCH was developed with primary care as the central integrating function and is built on four values of quality care: preventative health care provided closer to home; alignment of specialist and generalist care; care coordination and enablement; and primary care capacity building. Through vertical integration at the primary–secondary interface, OPEN ARCH cannot only improve the quality of care for clients, but improves the capacity of primary care to meet the needs of this population.
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Introduction

Optimal care of community-dwelling older Australians with complex needs is a national imperative. Suboptimal care that is reactive, episodic and fragmented, is costly to the health system, can be life-threatening to the older person and produces unsustainable carer demands (AIHW 2014; Swierssen and Duckett 2016; De Carvalho et al. 2017; Harvey et al. 2017). As the population ages and multi-morbidity becomes the norm, older persons with complex needs place excessive pressure on the GP as gatekeeper to specialist medical, community and social supports (Bird et al. 2007; Tieman et al. 2007; Katterl et al. 2012; McPake and Mahal 2017).

Personal complexity arises from the interface between clinical and bio-psycho-social instability and has a negative effect on function, independence and quality of life (Agency for Clinical Innovation 2014). The community-dwelling older person with complex needs may have multiple medical diagnoses; conditions of frailty or geriatric syndromes; may experience an unstable living environment; and their informal caring arrangement may be unsustainable. These persons often require assistance from multiple medical healthcare professionals, have significantly longer hospital stays and are likely to require formal supports to continue living at home (Bird et al. 2007; Beswick et al. 2008; Agency for Clinical Innovation 2014).

In Australia, disconnection of health and social care and mal-alignment of acute, primary and community services compromise the provision of comprehensive, patient-focussed care (Productivity Commission 2017). System complexity places the community-dwelling older person in a vulnerable situation. To avoid rapid functional decline, hospitalisation and institutionalisation, the older person with complex needs must successfully master multiple complex systems.

Health outcomes would be improved if services (health and social) were aligned towards community-based, comprehensive and preventative care (Beswick et al. 2008). Integration is the contemporary solution (Curry and Ham 2010; Goodwin 2013;
What is known about the topic?
- Optimal care of community-dwelling older Australians with complex needs is a national imperative, and health outcomes would be improved if services were aligned towards community-based, comprehensive and preventative care.

What does this paper add?
- Through vertical integration at the primary–secondary interface, OPEN ARCH improves the quality of care for clients and increases the capacity of primary care to meet the needs of this population.

Wodchis et al. 2015; World Health Organization 2016). Integrated care is ‘…the search to connect the healthcare system (acute, primary medical and skilled) with other human service systems (e.g. long-term care, education, vocational and housing services) in order to improve outcomes (clinical, satisfaction and efficiency)’ (Leutz 1999, pp. 77–78). Integrated care is person-focussed in outlook and defines a condition-centric approach to healthcare delivery. Integration is a means to support primary care with the volume and complexity of patient needs arising from an ageing population (Valentijn et al. 2013; Mitchell et al. 2015).

Integrated care is having a renaissance in Australian policy. At the national level, My Health Record is a nation-wide solution for the integration of medical records, and My Healthcare Home is a primary care-based strategy that shifts the focus of consultation from condition-based to comprehensive patient-focussed care (Australian Digital Health Agency 2018; Australian Government Department of Health 2019a). State Governments are following suit. The Queensland Department of Health released the Integrated Care Innovation Fund (ICIF) in 2016, with the goal of piloting system-level integration between Queensland Health Hospital and Health Services and regional Primary Health Networks (State of Queensland 2019). The purpose of this article is to describe a unique program of integrated care for community-dwelling older persons that was co-funded by ICIF and the North Queensland Primary Health Network (NQPHN). Ethical approval was granted by the Far North Queensland Human Research Ethics Committee (HREC/17/QCH/104 – 1174).

Designing a new model of care
In 2013, the Australian Primary Health Care Research Institute funded a study to examine care transitions of community-dwelling older persons across acute, sub-acute and primary care within the CHHHS, to assist in deriving a whole-of-system approach to optimal patient care (Harvey et al. 2017). A need to embed early intervention and prevention through improved screening, assessment, care co-ordination and formalised in-reach and out-reach linkages was highlighted (Harvey et al. 2017). These recommendations formed the basis for the Older Persons Enablement and Rehabilitation for Complex Health Conditions (OPEN ARCH).

OPEN ARCH is an Australian-first model of care for the community-dwelling older person with complex needs, established in 2017 to improve access to specialist assessment and comprehensive care for older persons at risk of functional decline, hospitalisation or institutionalised care. The CHHHS received AU$1.2M funding from the ICIF and AU$400 000 from the NQPHN over a 2-year period to develop, implement and evaluate OPEN ARCH within the Cairns region.

OPEN ARCH harnesses the integrative functions of primary care (first contact, continuous, comprehensive and coordinated care) to create integration at the person (micro) and system (meso) levels (Valentijn et al. 2013). OPEN ARCH does not duplicate existing health or social services, but identifies health concerns early in the trajectory of the person’s illness, centralises a plan of care and coordinates supports tailored to individualised needs.

The model
OPEN ARCH provides care to GP-referred, community-dwelling, non-Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders aged >70 years, and Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander persons aged >50 years.

Each client of OPEN ARCH is assigned an Enablement Officer (EO) (allied health or nursing), and a geriatrician. The OPEN ARCH service flow is characterised by five stages (Fig. 1): client identification and referral; Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment (CGA); person-directed care planning; coordination of supports; and transfer of care.

OPEN ARCH has developed a culturally safe model to promote service access for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander clients. Flexibility in service flow, utilisation of the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Worker in assessment and coordination, and a patient-directed pace of program delivery support engagement of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander clients (Smith et al. 2011; Davy et al. 2016).

The OPEN ARCH intervention is built on four values of quality integrated care: preventative health care provided closer to home; alignment of specialist/generalist care; care coordination and enablement; and primary care capacity building. These four values are described below with case examples.

Preventative health care provided closer to home
OPEN ARCH receives referrals directly from the treating GP, for those older clients identified through their care as complex and at-risk of functional decline or hospitalisation. The service goes to where the client is; that is, to the person’s home or the GP...
OPEN ARCH provides comprehensive assessment within the home environment to facilitate depth of understanding of personal circumstances and the creation of a personalised care plan.

Case 1

An 82-year-old man was referred to OPEN ARCH by his GP following a recent decline in mobility on a background of previous stroke, type 2 diabetes and heart disease. Concerns from the GP included: unreliable family support following missed GP and specialist appointments and medication compliance. The initial CGA was conducted by the EO and geriatrician at his home, revealing that he:
- is living with his adult son who works away;
- has unsteady mobilisation with increasing risk of falling;
- has difficulty toileting at night as dependent for bed transfers;
- is frustrated by reliance on his son for transport, with consequential social isolation and inability to maintain medical appointments; and
- is experiencing difficulty in understanding and following his medication regime.

The following management was instituted:
1. Negotiation with provider to include transport to medical appointments and a falls alarm within his existing Home Care Package (HCP).
2. An occupational therapy assessment resulting in minor bathroom modifications and provision of an electric bed and scooter (with funds utilised from his HCP).
3. Medication review by the geriatrician identifying opportunities for deprescribing and medication optimisation, including changes in the management of osteoporosis to reduce complications from falls.

The outcomes of these interventions resulted in improved independence and quality of life. Specifically, the client attended all scheduled medical appointments, gained an independent form of mobility that enabled him to visit the chemist to fill scripts and joined the local men’s shed. At 6-month post-intervention, this client has had no falls or hospital admissions.

Alignment of specialist/generalist care

OPEN ARCH aligns geriatric specialist and generalist perspectives. The GP remains as the central medical decision-maker, with the EO and geriatrician providing specialist advice to the GP regarding the suggested course of treatment and required supports. Sharing of medical records is facilitated through specialist access to GP practice software, with assessment information entered in real-time to the client record, negating the wait time for specialist correspondence and improving the timeliness of interventions. A case conference is held with the GP, EO and geriatrician following the CGA, bringing together assessment information and related recommendations.

Case 2

An 87-year-old woman was referred to OPEN ARCH with concerns regarding her physical and cognitive decline. The OPEN ARCH geriatrician was able to access both the hospital medical notes and the GP records in real time, informing him that:
- during a recent admission, the patient had a full work-up by the treating specialist hospital team, including magnetic resonance imaging and screen bloods, identifying significant cerebrovascular disease;
– there had been a suggested follow-up plan and changes to her medications, including cessation of high-risk medications; however, the interim discharge summary was not yet available to the GP;
– full blood tests had recently been ordered by the GP; and
– there were three recent emergency department (ED) presentations following periods of confusion.

The GP had concerns about how the client was managing alone at home. The EO was able to confirm at case conference that the house was poorly maintained, and that food was limited. Direct clinical discussion between the GP and geriatrician confirmed that although there appeared to be no acute cause for her decline, there were opportunities to optimise cardiovascular risk management. Following her second OPEN ARCH medical review, a diagnosis of vascular dementia was made. With consent, the EO arranged for an Aged Care Assessment Team (ACAT) assessment through My Aged Care, and home support services, including Meals-On-Wheels, were instigated immediately.

As a result of OPEN ARCH intervention, this client has successfully continued to live at home with the support of a level 2 HCP. Additionally, the client’s daughter, who was contemplating the need for residential aged care is confident of her mother’s ability to remain living at home with formal supports.

**Care coordination and enablement**

The EO assists the client and their family to navigate the complexities of the aged care and healthcare systems and access the supports required to keep them living at home for longer. Additionally, through health and social care education, the EO develops client self-management skills that allow the older person and their carer to manage fluctuations in their complex needs and to access the right care at the right time to avoid unnecessary and preventable hospitalisations.

**Case 3**

A 72-year-old aged pensioner was referred to OPEN ARCH with complex chronic conditions. Following CGA, the following issues were identified:
– wheelchair-dependent following recent left below-knee amputation;
– uncontrolled diabetes;
– depression;
– increasing carer frailty; and
– increasing dependence for personal and instrumental activities of daily living.

From an enablement perspective, he was referred to Short-term Restorative Care (STRC; a multidisciplinary program designed to reverse or slow functional decline and improve wellbeing) (Australian Government Department of Health 2019b), with the goal of increasing functional independence. A coordinated plan of care was arranged, involving diabetes education and psychology support. The EO assisted both the client and carer to access My Aged Care and provided information on advanced care planning and carer supports. The complexity of this case demanded frequent contact to establish required supports and build self-management capacity, and close GP collaboration for clarification of care plans.

Positive outcomes for this client were determined by a collaborative network of supports that were activated by his EO. Through diabetes education, this client was able to commence self-administration of his medication. This client did have one presentation to the ED in the 6 months post-intervention; however, with a detailed care plan developed in the ED in liaison with his EO, he was able to return home rather than be admitted.

**Primary care capacity building**

Through collaboration, OPEN ARCH builds the capacity of GPs to provide best practice aged care for their total cohort of older persons, beyond those patients referred to OPEN ARCH. Additionally, within an Aboriginal Community Controlled Health Organisation (ACCHO), the ability to build capacity for geriatric and health and aged care system knowledge within a culturally appropriate framework is vital.

**Case 4**

A 65-year-old Aboriginal woman was referred to OPEN ARCH from a local ACCHO. Prior to the first visit by the EO, contact was made with the ACCHO’s health worker to obtain a detailed insight into her health, social and cultural circumstances. A joint visit from the EO and health worker was arranged to ensure that the client was supported appropriately during assessment and care planning. During the episode of OPEN ARCH care, the EO was able to facilitate informal training, including the provision of health and aged care information and resources to the health worker. The health worker gave feedback that she had been able to apply the knowledge around understanding and navigating both healthcare and aged care systems with other elderly clients. The geriatrician formed a collaborative partnership with the GPs within the practice providing ongoing in-service training and information session for all staff on management of diseases of ageing within Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander communities. Specific medical management support for the client involved cultural assessment of mood and cognition.

**Conclusion**

Older persons with complex needs require preventative care and coordinated supports to maintain health and wellbeing and to continue living at home. The GP, and primary care more broadly, play a vital role in establishing continuity of care with the capacity to intervene early in the trajectory of the person’s illness both medically and socially. OPEN ARCH is a model that complements the role of the GP, through an integrated and collaborative approach to patient-centred care. Through vertical integration at the primary–secondary interface, OPEN ARCH does not only improve the quality of care for clients, but improves the capacity of primary care to meet the needs of this population. The model of OPEN ARCH can be modified to incorporate a telehealth component of geriatrician assessment and teleconferencing, along with face-to-face local EO support that is applicable to rural and remote locations. OPEN ARCH is currently being evaluated through a randomised controlled trial, economic evaluation and qualitative patient and carer experience study.
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