Australian Psychology Learning & Teaching Conference, 15-17 September, 2017, Ipswich



Action-teaching:

Stimulating Active Student Learning in Psychology through Car (Concept, Action & Reflection)

Dr Wendy Li

College of Healthcare Sciences

James Cook University

Overview of the presentation



- Action teaching: Background of the development of CAR
- Theoretical frameworks in action
- The design and implement of CAR activities



 Evidence and recognition of the CAR contribution to student learning

Action teaching: Background of the development of CAR



- My teaching background
- What is the focus of teaching & learning in
 Psychology

dining summary

- High marks?
- High social impact?
- Both?
- Or other options?



Action teaching: Background of the development of CAR





- Action research by Kurt Lewin (1964)
- Research should aim at solving social problems.
- Action teaching is the educational counterpart to action research (Plous, 2000).
- Action teaching not only teaches about a subject topic but contributes to the greater good.



- Situated cognition theory
 - Emphasizes that people's knowledge is constructed within and linked to the activity, context, and culture in which it is learned (Brown, Collins & Duguid, 1989).
- Instructional scaffolding



- Promotes a deeper level of learning with sufficient support provided to learners to help learners achieve their learning goals (Sawyer, 2006).
- Guided discovery learning



 The teacher devises a series of questions that guide learners, step by step, making a series of discoveries that leads to their predetermined learning goals (Leutner, 1993).

Theoretical frameworks in action





Applying these theories to CAR design, CAR consists of three components:

-Concept

 The concept section requires students to conduct a short literature review on the concept(s) they employ and to establish the conceptual connection with the predesigned action.

Theoretical frameworks in action





Applying these theories to CAR design, CAR consists of three components:

-Action

 I design the action that applies the concept(s) students have learned to a real world setting. Students are required to engage with community according to the instruction of action.

Theoretical frameworks in action





Applying these theories to CAR design, CAR consists of three components:

- Reflection

 In the Reflection section, students are required to conceptualize their action, and reflect on what they have learned from their action and how the specific CAR helps them understand the abstract concept(s).

(i) 🔒 https://learnjcu.jcu.edu.au/v	vebapps/discussionboard/do/conference?toggle_mode=e	edit&action=list_forums&course_id=_85616_1&nav=discussion_board_entry&mode=cpview	🖾 🛛 110% C 🔍 high marks ir	mages
iternet Authentication 🍦 Change Pa	issword 🛞 Outlook Webmail 🌲 Staff Online 🛞 JCU	Workflow 國 LearnJCU 🍦 JCU Code of Conduct 🛞 Australian Citizenship		
LEARNJCU			Welcome My Mediasit	te Staff Resources
Discussion Board				
🗎 C 14	Discussion Board			
7-PY3102-TSV-INT-SP1 🆙	Forums are made up of individual disc	cussion threads that can be organised around a particular subject. Create Forum	s to organise discussions. <u>More Help</u>	
lome	Create Forum			
nnouncements				
Subject Outline				
contacts	Delete			
	Forum	Description		Total P
extbooks 🗉	Q&A	Please post your questions here related to the subject. Hollie and Wer	ndy will answer your question	13
earning Resources		ASAP.		
Discussions Blackboard Collaborate PebblePad	1 CAR 1: Self-changge projec	even under the best circumstances; to better understand the complex that influence behaviour; and to feel empowered when they see that co to positive behaviour change. Concept: Self related concepts discussed in the lecture or textbook	situational and personal factors	171
		Action:		
broups		Step 1: Examine your daily habits and consider their impact. Step 2: Choose a specific behaviour goal.		
ools		Step 3: Define the actions that fulfil your goal.		
ly Grades subject Media Content ⊠		Step 4: Before making any changes, keep track of conditions that re behaviour for two days and write down how often goal and non-goal be circumstances.		
ly Mediasite 🗹		Step 5: Analyse the conditions leading to non-goal behaviour and th could be changed. Also analyse what happens after non-goal behavio behaviour is reinforced.		
UBJECT MANAGEMENT		Step 6: Outline a plan of how you will change circumstances and rei successfully change your behaviour.	nforcement in order to	
Control Panel		Reflection: See marking criteria.		
iles → ubject Tools		Acknowledgement: Christie Manning, the winner of Honourable Mer Network 2010 Action Teaching Award.	tion of the Social Psychology	

Eile Edit View History Bookmarks Tools	<u>H</u> elp			
() (https://learnjcu.jcu.edu.au/weba	pps/discussio	nboard/do/forum?action=list_th	eads&course_id=_85616_1&nav=discussion_board_entry&conf_id=_1059352_1&forum_id=_1082262_1	110%
	ord 🛞 Outle	ook Webmail 🍦 Staff Online 🖪) JCU Workflow 💵 LearnJCU 🍦 JCU Code of Conduct 🛞 Australian Citizenship	
[‡] LEARNJCU ∉				Welco
n 💿 Discussion Board > Forum	1: CAR2: A	Day of Compassion		
+ 🖻 Ċ ti 17-PY3102-TSV-INT-SP1 🌧	Foru	m: CAR2: A Da	ay of Compassion	
Social Psychology	Organis	e Forum Threads on this j	page and apply settings to several or all threads. Threads are listed in a tabular format.	The Threads can be
Home	0			
Announcements	Create	Thread Subscribe		
Subject Outline				
Contacts	→ T	hread Actions 😸 Collect	Delete	
Textbooks 📾	- *	Date 🗢	Thread	Autho
Learning Resources		3/04/17 3:30 PM	CAR 2	Т
Assessment		1/04/17 4:03 PM	CAR 2 Day of Compassion	G
Discussions		21/03/17 12:00 AM	CAR 2 - A Day of Compassion	A []
Blackboard Collaborate		20/03/17 11:57 PM	CAR:2	S S
PebblePad		20/03/17 11:23 PM	CAR 2: Compassion	L T
Groups		20/03/17 11:11 PM	CAR 2: A Day of Compassion: The Social Self, Happiness and Compassion	S S
Tools My Grades		20/03/17 11:08 PM	Car 2 : A day of Compassion	🖸 s
		20/03/17 11:04 PM	CAR2: A Day of Compassion (By RT)	F
Subject Media Content 🗹		20/03/17 10:38 PM	CAR 2: A day of compassion - for two days	
My Mediasite 🗹		20/03/17 10:29 PM	CAR 2: Day of Compassion	F
SUBJECT MANAGEMENT		20/03/17 9:46 PM	A Day of Compassion: Compassion vs Empathy	S S
Control Panel				
► Files →		20/03/17 9:38 PM	CAR 2 - Compassion	F
Subject Tools		20/03/17 9:22 PM	Car 2: Compassion	L D
► Student Tracking →		20/03/17 9:16 PM	CAR2	
📲 💌 🚞 🐹 🧿 😰	ا 🙂	🕶 🧉		

- In PY3102 Social Psychology, the topics covered in CAR include:
 - Observing gender roles in everyday life;
 - Understanding the difficulties of behaviour change by implementing a selfchange plan for at least two days;
 - Understanding social self and happiness by participating in the 'Day of Compassion' for two days; and
 - Understanding the role social cognition plays in decision making by participating in a magic card game.



- In PY2018 Intercultural Psychology, the CAR is comprised of the following topics:
 - Observing individualist and collective selves in a social media setting such as FaceBook;
 - Identifying the privilege of being a white person via the shopping centre
 'Scavenger Hunt' by counting the percentage of white-person images in dolls;
 - Understanding acculturation stress by stepping out of the language comfort zone to learn 3-5 sentences in a language that is different from the student's own language; and
 - Interacting with diversity by role play a person who is different from the student in age, gender, social status, religion, race or ethnicity.



- In PY3108 personality Psychology, to apply personality theories to the real world
 - Students work in a group on raw personality data of a 'real person'—Tom who seeks professional help to cope with mental health problems.
 - Each group employs a personality theory to analyze the data and create a personality profile for Tom.
 - Based on the analysis, students reflect on what could be improved to help Tom deal with his mental health problems.
 - The groups report their CARs to the class in a 15-minute presentation.

"The CAR activities were very enjoyable and challenging. Wendy feedback and communication was very prompt. CAR feedback was individualised and allowed you to improve upon and reassess your ideas." (YourJCU Subject Survey, PY2018, 2016)

"Looking more intensely at issues involved in social phenomena is a great learning tool and one I appreciated for overall growth as a human being, as well as for learning about Social Psychology." (Overall reflection in CAR5, PY3102, 2015) "I believe the subject was a lot different to other subjects in Psychology. I think Wendy's style of teaching is unique because she isn't just talking about material that will eventually be on the exam. She encourages critical thinking and discussion. Because of her unique style of teaching, I think it can catch some students by surprise. Most students want to know what is on the exams and on the assignments. But Wendy encourages critical thinking that will be essential in the real world. I greatly enjoyed this subject for this reason. " (YourJCU Subject Survey, PY3102, 2014)

"This subject was extremely illuminating, making clear aspects that I had not previously noted, and doing so through practical, satisfying application. I liked how action was part of the three-fold [CAR] platform. Wendy is encouraging and enthusiastic and shows much expertise." (YourJCU Subject Survey, PY2018, 2014)

Table 1. Student evaluation of subject 2013-2016														
	2013 Pilot		2014					2015		2016				
	PY3102	JCU	PY3102	PY2018	Subject Avr	JCU	PY3102	Subject Avr	JĆU	PY3102	PY2018	PY3108	Subject Avr	JCU
The learning outcomes in this subject were made clear to me.	3.7	4.1	3.9	4.4	4.2	4.0	4.4	4.4	4.1	4.0	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.1
The delivery methods used in this subject helped me understand the subject material.	2.7	4.0	3.6	3.9	3.8	3.9	4.2	4.2	4.0	3.6	4.0	4.2	3.93	3.9
This subject was well organised	3.4	3.9	4.1	4.2	4.15	3.9	4.3	4.3	3.9	3.9	3.7	4.2	3.93	3.9
The assessment activities helped me understand the subject material.	2.8	4.0	3.7	4.0	3.9	3.9	4.4	4.4	4.0	4.1	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.0
I received timely feedback on my work in this subject.	4.1	3.9	4.1	3.7	3.9	3.9	4.4	4.4	3.9	4.1	4.4	4.6	4.4	3.9
Overall, I was satisfied with this subject	3.1	3.9	3.8	3.9	3.85	3.8	4.4	4.4	3.9	3.9	4.2	4.3	4.1	3.9

Table 2. Student evaluation of teaching 2013-2016														
	2013 Pilot		2014				2015			2016				
	PY3102	JCU	PY3102	PY2018	Subject Avr	JCU	PY3102	Subject Avr	JCU	PY3102	PY2018	PY3108	Subject Avr	JCU
This staff member was approachable for support if I needed it.	4.6	N/A	4.7	3.8	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.3
The teaching style of this staff member inspired me to learn.	3.6	N/A	3.6	3.8	3.7	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.0	3.7	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.0
This staff member delivered subject material in ways that helped my learning.	3.6	N/A	3.6	4.0	3.8	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.1	3.9	4.3	4.2	4.13	4.1
This staff member provided useful feedback to improve my learning.	4.1	N/A	3.8	4.0	3.9	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.0	3.9	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.1
Overall, I was satisfied with the teaching of this staff member.	4.0	N/A	3.8	4.1	4.0	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.1	3.9	4.4	4.1	4.13	4.1

- Awarded 3 JCU Teaching and Learning Development grants (2012, 2013 & 2015)
- Awarded JCU Citation for Outstanding Contribution to Student Learning 2017
- Invited to convene a Service Learning symposium and presented a paper entitled *CAR in Service Learning* at the 4th Asia-Pacific Regional Conference on Service-Learning in Hong Kong in 2013
- Invited to Fudan University and Shanghai University of Technology, China, to deliver teaching and learning seminars on CAR (2015)
- Invited to join Prof Xuexin Zhuang's team to successfully apply for a Shanghai University Teaching Reform Grant of CNY50,000 awarded by the Shanghai Municipal Education Commission (Ref: SHEC2012012016-37)



- Some students reported that it was time consuming to complete the CARs.
- Some students suggested that 5 CARs were a bit more stressful.
- Some students reported not to be comfortable to present their work to their peers.
- Some students felt that they were not eligible to comment on other students' work.

References

- Brown, J. S., Collins, A., & Duguid, P. (1989). Situated cognition and the culture of learning. Educational researcher, 18(1), 32-42.
- Giles, E. & Eyler, J. (1994). The theoretical roots of service learning in John Dewey: Toward a theory of service learning. Michigan Journal of Community Service Learning, 1, 77-86.
- Leutner, D. (1993). Guided Discovery learning with computer-based simulation games: Effects of adaptive and non-adaptive instructional support. Learning and Instruction, 3 (2), 113-32.
- Lewin, K. (1946). Action research and minority problems. Journal of Social Issues, 2(4), 34-46.
- Plous, S. (2000). Responding to overt displays of prejudice: A role playing exercise. Teaching of Psychology, 27, 198-200.
- Sawyer, R. K. (2006). The Cambridge handbook of the learning sciences. New York: Cambridge University Press.



Contact: Wendy.li@jcu.edu.au