Primary Life Stage Boron Isotope and Trace Elements Incorporation in Aposymbiotic Acropora millepora Coral under Ocean Acidification and Warming
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Early-life stages of reef-building corals are vital to coral existence and reef maintenance. It is therefore crucial to study juvenile coral response to future climate change pressures. Moreover, corals are known to be reliable recorders of environmental conditions in their skeletal materials. Aposymbiotic Acropora millepora larvae were cultured in different seawater temperature (27 and 29°C) and pCO2 (390 and 750 µatm) conditions to understand the impacts of “end of century” ocean acidification (OA) and ocean warming (OW) conditions on skeletal morphology and geochemistry. The experimental conditions impacted primary polyp juvenile coral skeletal morphology and growth resulting in asymmetric translucent appearances with brittle skeleton features. The impact of OA resulted in microstructure differences with decreased precipitation or lengthening of fasciculi and disorganized aragonite crystals that led to more concentrations of centers of calcifications. The coral skeletal δ11B composition measured by laser ablation MC-ICP-MS was significantly affected by pCO2 (p = 0.0024) and water temperature (p = 1.46 x 10−5). Reconstructed pH of the primary polyp skeleton using the δ11B proxy suggests a difference in coral calcification site and seawater pH consistent with previously observed coral pH up-regulation. Similarly, trace element results measured by laser ablation ICP-MS indicate the impact of pCO2. Primary polyp juvenile Sr/Ca ratio indicates a bias in reconstructed sea surface temperature (SST) under higher pCO2 conditions. Coral microstructure content changes (center of calcification and fasciculi) due to OA possibly contributed to the variability in B/Ca ratios. Our results imply that increasing OA and OW may lead to coral acclimation issues and species-specific inaccuracies of the commonly used Sr/Ca-SST proxy.
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INTRODUCTION

Scleractinian corals, the building blocks of coral reefs in the tropical oceans, are known for the formation of extremely productive and diverse ecosystems. These marine calcifying organisms are however threatened and in most areas in decline. The results of increasing anthropogenic CO₂ emissions are elevated sea surface temperatures (SST) and decreases in ocean pH (e.g., Pandolfi et al., 2011). Ocean acidification (OA) is the process by which the oceans take up atmospheric anthropogenic CO₂ leading to an increase in seawater H⁺ concentrations and consequently decrease in pH (Caldeira and Wickett, 2003). The effects of ocean warming or thermal stress have been widely documented in coral reefs around the world by mass coral bleachings and mortalities that are becoming more frequent and severe (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2007; Eakin et al., 2009; Pandolfi et al., 2011). Not only is warming impacting corals worldwide, but the effect of OA can also affect coral calcification (Gattuso et al., 1999; Kleyapas et al., 1999; Anthony et al., 2008).

Declines in coral calcification over multi-decadal timescales have already been observed (De’ath et al., 2009) with further decreases projected under future climate change scenarios (Orr et al., 2005; Pandolfi et al., 2011). Reduced skeletal growth under OA conditions has been documented in corals in both natural and artificial environments (Kuffner et al., 2008; Cohen et al., 2009; Kroeker et al., 2010; Fabricius et al., 2011). Complicating the full understanding of future OA effects on ecosystems is the fact that responses vary among species; with some coral species being more resilient and less affected than others (Fabricius et al., 2011; Edmunds et al., 2012). Studies have also shown enhanced anomalous coral calcification in natural OA settings due to the combined effects of ocean warming (OW) and OA (Rodolfo-Metalpa et al., 2011).

In addition to the threat on adult corals, OA and OW can affect early-life stages of reef building species hindering coral reef sustainability. Physiological studies have shown that OA impaired coral larval metamorphosis, negatively impacted recruitment, and induced deformities in juvenile coral skeletons (Albright et al., 2010; Nakamura et al., 2011; Doropoulos et al., 2012; Foster et al., 2015, 2016). Other studies have however documented conflicting biologically-related responses such as disrupted gene expression and tolerance to the combined effects of OA and OW in juveniles or recently metamorphosed coral larvae (Moya et al., 2012; Rivest and Hofmann, 2014; Baria et al., 2015). Important questions remain for post-metamorphosis juvenile corals because studies have shown remarkable adaptability without significant effects linked to OA and OW (Chua et al., 2013a,b). Furthermore, changes in juvenile skeletal formation and geochemistry continue to be relatively unknown and unresolved.

In this study, we examined the primary polyp of the branching coral Acropora millepora to understand the effects of OA and OW on skeletal formation and geochemistry under end-of-century emission scenarios (Field et al., 2014). Our coral culture experiment provides a novel opportunity to acquire information on coral response to climate change because A. millepora have been shown to be the emerging coral model to study coral habitat degradation (Humphrey et al., 2008), elevated temperature stress (Meyer et al., 2011), genetic response to survival of combined thermal and acidification stress (Rocker et al., 2015), and possible adaptation to OA (Moya et al., 2015).

One possible tool to observe OA and the associated seawater pH change is based on the δ¹¹B composition of marine calcifiers (Hershey et al., 1986; Hemming and Hanson, 1992). The relative abundance of the two boron species in seawater, boric acid, B(OH)₃, and borate, B(OH)₄⁻, is pH dependent. Moreover, the isotopic composition and the isotopic equilibrium fractionation between the two aqueous boron species (boric acid and borate) are also pH dependent (Hershey et al., 1986; Hemming and Hanson, 1992). Based on the assumption that marine carbonates reflect the isotopic composition of B(OH)₄⁻ (Spivack et al., 1993; Palmer and Pearson, 2003), the δ¹¹B signature of marine biocarbonates such as coral aragonite skeleton have been shown to reflect seawater pH (Hönisch et al., 2004; Pelejero et al., 2005; Wei et al., 2009; Douville et al., 2010; D’Olivo et al., 2015).

The aragonite skeleton of reef-building corals such as Porites spp. and Acropora spp. have been shown to be reliable recorders of climate (e.g., SST, δ¹⁸O of seawater, etc.) (Dunbar and Wellington, 1981; Corrège, 2006; Tierney et al., 2015; Sadler et al., 2016). Coral skeletal Sr/Ca ratios are widely used for SST reconstructions with success (see reviews and compilations in Corrège, 2006; Tierney et al., 2015). The strong influence of SST on coral B/Ca and the U/Ca ratios at certain locations has also been documented (Sinclair et al., 1998; Fallon et al., 1999, 2003; Cardinal et al., 2001; Felis et al., 2010). The branching coral genus Acropora can be considered an ideal candidate for climate reconstructions because of its wide spatial distribution across the tropical oceans with long temporal range from the early-Holocene to today (Ribaudo-Laurenti et al., 2001; Sadler et al., 2014). Using in situ laser ablation geochemical analyses, we measured the δ¹¹B and trace element (B/Ca, Sr/Ca, U/Ca) signatures of juvenile A. millepora (primary polyps). We aim to shed more light on the systematics and incorporation of the commonly used coral-based climate proxies in juvenile primary skeleton under the effects of OA and OW.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental Setup

Fifteen adult colonies of A. millepora were collected off the coast of Orpheus Island, Australia (under GBRMPA permit No G10/33174.1) and transported within 3 h to the Marine and Aquaculture Research Facilities Unit (MARFU) at James Cook University in Townsville, Australia. The colonies were maintained in outdoor flow-through aquaria filled with 5 µm filtered seawater resulting in the absence of zooxanthellae endosymbionts for coral colonization (Figure 1). The exclusion of these microorganisms limits our impact observations to the coral host animal itself without the complications of zooxanthellate endosymbionts involvement (e.g., photosynthesis, lipids production, etc.).

Immediately after spawning, eggs and sperm were collected and transferred into fertilization tanks. Once fertilized (i.e., 2-cell stage), the embryos were transferred and kept for 10 consecutive
days in experimental tanks that were previously equilibrated at four different conditions. The four experimental conditions were as follows: (1) 390 μatm pCO₂ (air) at 27°C (control condition), (2) 390 μatm pCO₂ (air) at 29°C, (3) 750 μatm pCO₂ (air) at 27°C, and (4) 750 μatm pCO₂ (air) at 29°C. CO₂ concentration was produced and regulated using a CO₂ mixing system developed by Munday et al. (2009). A schematic summary of the experimental setup can be found in Figure 1.

All seawater measurements such as pH (NBS scale, Hach HQ11D, calibrated daily), temperature (°C), and Total Alkalinity (A₇) were monitored daily at 11:00 over the duration of the experiment. Mean seawater chemistry parameters [pCO₂(seawater), carbonate ion (CO₃²⁻), and aragonite saturation state (Ωaragonite)] were estimated using CO2SYS version 2.1 (Lewis and Wallace, 1998) with salinity of 35 using dissociation constants from Mehrbach et al. (1973) and refitted by Dickson and Millero (1987) with boron source of Uppstrom (1974) (Table 1).

Metamorphosis of the A. millepora larvae into coral juveniles of a single corallite or primary polyp juveniles occurred within the water column of the aquaria after 5 days leading to fully developed calcification over the duration of the experiment (Figure 1). Metamorphosis was induced by the introduction of unglazed autoclaved terracotta tiles that had been conditioned with crustose coralline algae under ambient seawater conditions (Heyward and Negri, 1999). After 10 days of exposure to experimental conditions (5 days post-metamorphosis), the coral juveniles from the four experimental conditions were collected (Figure 1). The samples were left to dry in sample tubes at room temperature and transported to Laboratoire d'Océanographie et Climat (LOCEAN) at the Institut de Recherche pour le Développement (IRD) Centre France-Nord in Bondy, France.

A. millepora primary polyp juveniles were randomly picked from each individual experimental condition and pretreated with 50% NaOCl for up to 72 h to remove the organic matter (coral tissues). The primary polyp juveniles were then placed in 18.2 Ω Milli-Q water for cleaning overnight. Pretreatment of samples by NaOCl is a common procedure that has no influence on microstructure and trace element or boron isotope geochemistry (Watanabe et al., 2001; Notdhurf and Webb, 2007; Holcomb et al., 2015).

**Laser Ablation—ICP-MS and—MC-ICP-MS Analyses**

Trace element analyses on the cleaned primary polyp juveniles were completed by laser ablation (ESI NWR-213nm; Nd:YAG Laser) coupled to an Agilent 7500cx Quadrupole Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometer (LA-ICP-MS) on the ALYSYS Analytical Platform (IRD/UPMC) at LOCEAN. Trace element measurements by LA-ICP-MS has been shown to be both precise and accurate at the micron-scale (Sinclair et al., 1998; Fallon et al., 1999). This technique was chosen for this study because of the limited size and number of primary polyp juvenile corals. The operational repetition rate frequency of the laser was 10 Hz and 5 mJ for laser power with sample introduction to the ICP-MS by helium mixed with argon prior to reaching the plasma torch (Table 2). Each LA-ICP-MS analysis was completed as a single laser spot of 40 μm (beam diameter) on the surface of the
TABLE 1 | Acropora millepora juvenile coral experimental conditions and parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Target pCO₂ (air) (ppm)</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>pH (NBS scale)</th>
<th>A₇ (µmol kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>CO₂SYS calculated parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>pCO₂ (Total scale)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>26.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>8.08 ± 0.07</td>
<td>1947.4 ± 34.0</td>
<td>7.94 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>28.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>8.08 ± 0.07</td>
<td>1955.7 ± 50.3</td>
<td>7.94 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>26.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>7.93 ± 0.06</td>
<td>1920.4 ± 60.3</td>
<td>7.79 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>29.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>7.94 ± 0.10</td>
<td>1911.1 ± 53.9</td>
<td>7.79 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Seawater parameter values from 10 daily measurements and as calculated by CO₂SYS (Lewis and Wallace, 1998). Daily measurements of temperature (T), pH, and Total Alkalinity (A₇) monitored at 11:00 for 10 days. The pH measurements were made by the Hach HQ11D on the National Bureau of Standards scale and converted to Total scale using the CO₂SYS software. CO₂SYS version 2.1 (Lewis and Wallace, 1998) was used to estimate seawater chemistry: pCO₂ of seawater (pCO₂ (seawater), carbonate ion CO₃⁻, and aragonite saturation (Ω̈{Aragonite}) based on salinity of 35 using dissociation constants from Mehrbach et al. (1973) and refitted by Dickson and Millero (1987) with boron source of Uppström (1974). All values are given as the calculated mean of each condition (daily measurements and CO₂SYS conversions) as well as the standard deviation of the calculated mean.

TABLE 2 | Laser ablation ICP-MS and MC-ICP-MS instrumental setup.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analysis</th>
<th>Repetition rate frequency (Hz)</th>
<th>Laser energy (mJ)</th>
<th>Laser spot size (µm)</th>
<th>Dwell time (sec)</th>
<th>Washout time (sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ICP-MS</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC-ICP-MS</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summary of the laser ablation ICP-MS and MC-ICP-MS analyses instrumental setup. The operational repetition rate or laser frequency is in Hz and laser energy is in mJ. The laser spot size is the laser beam diameter in µm, the dwell time is the acquisition time of laser sampling in seconds, and washout time is the clearance time between individual laser spot analysis in seconds.

primary polyp juvenile with a laser dwell time (acquisition time) of 50 s. Washout time between each laser spot analysis was 60 s (Table 2). Eight seconds of gas blank was measured before the initiation of each laser analysis. Daily adjustments of the LA-ICP-MS were done using the NIST SRM 610 standard (glass matrix standard reference material).

The δ¹¹B ratio analysis on the same samples were performed by laser ablation (ESI NWR-193 nm; ATLEX 300Si Laser) coupled to a Thermo Scientific Neptune Plus Multi-Collector ICP-MS (LA-MC-ICP-MS) at the Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l’Environnement (LSCE), Gif-sur-Yvette, France. The thorough laser application δ¹¹B ratio analysis methodology including accuracy and precision using this instrumentation has been previously documented on a Porites sp. coral (Thil et al., 2016). The laser spot mode was used with a repetition rate frequency of 15 Hz, 7 mJ for laser energy, beam diameter of 100 µm, and a laser dwell time of 60 s (Table 2). Washout time between each laser spot analysis was 40 s (Table 2). Daily adjustments of the LA-MC-ICP-MS were optimized using the NIST SRM 612 standard (glass matrix standard reference material).

Each primary polyp juvenile was analyzed at three carefully chosen locations on the skeleton for trace elements determination and three additional locations for δ¹¹B signature (6 total laser spot analyses per juvenile). The laser was triggered on a location where at least 90% of the laser field contained skeletal material to have a more robust analytical signal because the primary polyp (single coralite) juveniles are porous (Figure 2). The total number of juveniles analyzed varied between conditions, with a minimum of 10 individuals per condition, producing a minimum of 30 trace element and 30 δ¹¹B ratio measurements per condition.

The main criteria for our laser ablation results were: (a) each juvenile must have three complete laser spots, (b) each laser spot’s analytical signal is constant over time, and (c) each measurement is above the limits of detection for the investigated element. Results for an individual juvenile were rejected if there was an incomplete measurement from any of the three laser spots. With these criteria for trace elements, 15 juveniles were analyzed for condition 1 (number of laser spots, n = 45, 22 for condition 2 (n = 66), 20 for condition 3 (n = 60), and 22 for condition 4 (n = 66). For the δ¹¹B ratio, 10 juveniles were analyzed for condition 1 (number of laser spots, n = 30), 10 for condition 2 (n = 30), 14 for condition 3 (n = 42), and 13 for condition 4 (n = 39).

Repeated measurements of the international standards NIST SRM 610 (trace elements) or NIST SRM 612 (boron isotopes) were completed under the same conditions (e.g., laser spot size, frequency, etc.) for instrumental drift correction and precision assessment and verification. The inter-laboratory calibration coral standard JCP-1 (Porites coral) (Okai et al., 2002; Hathorne et al., 2013) was also measured for comparison. Measurements of the JCP-1 sample was derived from pressed individual pellets made of 500 mg of JCP-1 powder under a 10 ton cm⁻² force following the description of Lazareth et al. (2007). Additionally, a Porites sp. coral section of known δ¹¹B ratio (Thil et al., 2016) was also repeatedly measured on the MC-ICP-MS for baseline verification.

Daily LA-ICP-MS data processing and correction for machine drift was completed using the GLITTER software (Van Ackerbergh et al., 2001). We chose the most stable signal integration time (15–40 s) with δ¹¹B as the internal standard with 40 wt % Ca content and gas blank removed from each signal. The NIST SRM 610 glass standard was used as the external standard to calculate quantitative element concentration of the unknown coral juveniles using concentrations of Pearce et al. (1997).

LA-ICP-MS results are presented in element/Ca ratios for conventional coral geochemistry-based paleoclimatology comparison. LA-ICP-MS precision of NIST SRM 610 is within the certified/suggested values and reported errors in Pearce et al. (1997) (mean ± 1σ standard deviation, SD; % relative standard deviation, RSD): 17.40 ± 0.27 nmol mol⁻¹ for B/Ca (2.27%
RSD), 3.041 ± 0.032 mmol mol\(^{-1}\) for Sr/Ca (1.06% RSD), and 1031.823 ± 14.809 μmol mol\(^{-1}\) for U/Ca (1.43% RSD). Repeated analysis of the international coral standard JCp-1 (carbonate powder) pressed pellet for trace elements are 0.577 ± 0.056 mmol mol\(^{-1}\) for B/Ca (9.67% RSD), 9.484 ± 0.266 mmol mol\(^{-1}\) for Sr/Ca (2.81% RSD), and 1.233 ± 0.109 μmol mol\(^{-1}\) for U/Ca (8.27% RSD). The published robust average and SD of the JCp-1 standard measured in solution are 0.459 ± 0.022 mmol mol\(^{-1}\) for B/Ca, 8.838 ± 0.042 mmol mol\(^{-1}\) for Sr/Ca, and 1.192 ± 0.045 μmol mol\(^{-1}\) for U/Ca (Hathorne et al., 2013). The inter-laboratory offset and larger SD of the JCp-1 standard is due to differences in analytical techniques between solution- and laser ablation-based measurements. No corrections were applied to our results with the published values of JCp-1 because of (a) the large heterogeneity of the powder pellet analyzed under laser ablation compared to solution-based results and (b) our goal to focus on the relative changes and differences between experimental conditions and not in the reconstruction of absolute SST. The \(\delta^{11}\)B ratio LA-ICP-MS analysis results are reported in % deviation compared to the published JCp-1 value (24.3%) as reference (Foster et al., 2013) allowing us to obtain a low 2σ SD (±0.38%; \(n = 47\)) within the published 2σ SD. The 2σ SD of repeated analyses of the international certified NIST SRM 612 silicate glass matrix (Brand et al., 2014) was ±0.88% (\(n = 23\)). The analyzed internal laboratory standard (Porites coral slab section) \(\delta^{11}\)B ratio was homogeneous (20.69%) with a low 2σ SD (±0.47%; \(n = 47\)). Daily data processing and correction were based on a combination of our in-house Porites sp. sample (Thil et al., 2016) and the JCp-1 standard.

### Estimation of Seawater pH and Statistical Analyses

The estimation of seawater pH values (Total scale) was calculated from the primary polyp juvenile \(\delta^{11}\)B ratios following established equation (e.g., Zeebe et al., 2001).

\[
pH_{sw} = pK_B - \log \left[ \frac{(\delta^{11}B_{sw} - \delta^{11}B_c)}{(\alpha_{[B3-B4]}\delta^{11}B_c - \delta^{11}B_{sw} + 10^3 (\alpha_{[B3-B4]} - 1))} \right]
\]

The parameters of Equation (1) are as follows: \(pK_B\) (8.57 at 27°C, 8.55 at 29°C, and salinity of 35) (Dickson, 1990) is the equilibrium constant for boron in seawater; \(\alpha_{[B3-B4]}\) is the fractionation factor for isotope exchange between B(OH)\(_3\) and B(OH)\(_2\)\(^-\) in seawater; the \(\delta^{11}\)B\(_{sw}\) (39.61‰) (Foster et al., 2010) and \(\delta^{11}\)B\(_c\) represent the \(\delta^{11}\)B in seawater and coral, respectively. For this study, we chose the fractionation factor \(\alpha_{[B3-B4]}\) of 1.0272 from the empirical study of Klochko et al. (2006), which has been confirmed to be between the coral species-specific values range of 1.026–1.028 (Trotter et al., 2011; McCulloch et al., 2012) and applied in many studies (Allison et al., 2010; Krief et al., 2010; Rollion-Bard et al., 2011; Dissard et al., 2012).

Statistical analyses for this study were completed using the Stats package in R (R Development Core Team, 2013). An assessment of the normality of our data was verified graphically and we used a two-way crossed analysis of variance test (ANOVA) to highlight potential effects of OA, OW, and the interactions between the two factors on coral geochemical composition. When differences were significant, a post-hoc Student’s T-test was applied. Mean and standard deviation (or error) are presented.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. millepora Primary Polyp Juvenile Morphology

Visual inspections of our coral juvenile skeletons revealed pronounced morphological differences among the experimental treatments of temperature and pCO$_2$ (Figure 2). As our cultured primary polyp juveniles metamorphosed within the water column, they are different than those found in the field that metamorphosed on settlement substrates. Condition 1 (27°C and 390 µatm pCO$_2$) and condition 2 (29°C and 390 µatm pCO$_2$) retained the most symmetrical structure representing normal to near-normal growth (Figures 2A–D). Exposure to OW alone (condition 2; Figures 2C,D) did not produce skeletal materials that are noticeably different to the control condition (Figures 2A,B).

For conditions 3 (27°C and 750 µatm pCO$_2$) and 4 (29°C and 750 µatm pCO$_2$), we observed pronounced morphological changes relative to the control. Juveniles of conditions 3 and 4 under OA showed notable stunted asymmetrical growth. The juveniles were notably smaller in overall size with translucent skeletal materials that were brittle and prone to breakage (Figures 2E–H). The lack of visual difference between conditions 1 and 2 is likely reflecting the large temperature tolerance range of this species in nature. In contrast, the asymmetrical growth and microstructure abnormalities of conditions 3 and 4 are common OA stress features in juvenile corals (Cohen et al., 2009; Foster et al., 2015, 2016). With sample picking procedure being equal across all conditions, the brittle and disintegrating nature of the juvenile skeletons under OA and OW is not an artifact of sample handling. Instead, this reflects the inability of the juvenile A. millepora coral to build normal skeletons. The findings of the fragile nature of the skeleton and abnormal growth of our coral juveniles are in agreement with previously observed effects on other cultured tropical coral juveniles (Cohen et al., 2009; Foster et al., 2015, 2016).

Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) observations confirmed our morphological observations (Figure 2) of OA and OW impact on the primary polyps early-life growth stage with more compact or stunted growth leading to smaller overall polyp size (Figure 3). A. millepora primary polyp calcification at this early-life growth stage in all conditions produced shingle-like aragonite. The proto-aragonite appears prior to the development of the acicular crystals organization, which is interspersed with more developed aragonite crystals. The crystal habit resulted in an aggregate formation resembling fish scale-like morphology, which has been previously described in Acropora spp. coral recruits (Glafelter, 1983, 2007; Van de Locht et al., 2013).
Centers of calcification (COC or Early Mineralization Zones) and fasciculi (fibrous aragonite) bundles can be observed in all conditions. The COC is the biomineralization structure or setting of coral skeleton that is surrounded by the fibrous aragonite bundles (fasciculi; Figure 4). The stunted growth of conditions 3 and 4 resulting from OA impact appears to have reduced or impeded the spread (extension) of the fasciculi between the COCs, creating tighter or more compact assemblages of COC (Figures 3, 4). The abnormal stunted growth at low pH has been shown to be a result of microstructure differences with more COC relative to fasciculi content under high pCO$_2$ (Hennige et al., 2015). Furthermore, disorganized carbonate precipitation such as disordered aggregate of highly faceted rhombs have been reported (Cohen et al., 2009). Larger spacing between aragonite crystals of the fasciculi can be observed in the primary polyps under OA resulting in more divided aragonite crystals than at ambient pCO$_2$ (Figure 4). Such microstructure changes in the early-life stage of tropical corals have undeniable consequences on the geochemical proxy records as discussed below, in agreement with previous studies (Cohen et al., 2009).

We are aware that since our corals were aposymbiotic or raised without endosymbionts, our morphological observations may have over-simplified the combined effects of elevated CO$_2$ and temperature change. Various studies with A. millepora coral with endosymbionts have shown acclimation possibilities that can range from gene regulation of calcification to metabolism (Strahl et al., 2013; Rocker et al., 2015). Thus, the ability and role of symbiotic zooxanthellae to mitigate A. millepora coral stress cannot be discounted. Endo- and ecto-symbionts such as cyanobacteria (Lesser et al., 2004) and microboring algae (Fine and Loya, 2002; Verbruggen and Tribollet, 2011) have also shown notable OA and OW mitigating roles.

**δ$^{11}$B Signature of A. millepora Primary Polyp and Reconstructed pH**

Mean intra-coral reproducibility for the δ$^{11}$B ratio ranged between ±0.52 to ±0.86‰ (Table 3) and was within the δ$^{11}$B ratio 2σ SD analytical error. The juvenile A. millepora coral δ$^{11}$B ratio indicates a consistent relationship to the increase in water temperature (Figure 5A). Coral juveniles cultured under the same pCO$_2$ (390 µatm) but different water temperatures had significantly lower mean δ$^{11}$B ratios at low temperature (27°C, 21.79 ± 1.61‰) than high temperature (29°C, 23.41 ± 0.92‰; T-test, $p = 1.70 \times 10^{-3}$; Figure 5A; Tables 4, 5). The same was observed between conditions 3 and 4 cultured under high pCO$_2$ condition (750 µatm) with significantly lower δ$^{11}$B ratios at 27°C (19.18 ± 2.63‰) than at 29°C (22.53 ± 1.57‰; T-test, $p = 2.81 \times 10^{-3}$; Figure 5; Tables 4, 5). The analysis of variance (ANOVA)
showed that the $\delta^{11}$B ratio was significantly influenced by the expected impact of pCO$_2$ [F$_{(1, 68)}$ = 10.402, $p$ = 2.41 x 10$^{-3}$] and water temperature [F$_{(1, 68)}$ = 23.895, $p$ = 1.46 x 10$^{-5}$; Table 6].

The estimation of pH (see Section Estimation of Seawater pH and Statistical Analyses; e.g., Zeebe et al., 2001) using the pK$_B$ of 8.57 at 27°C and 8.55 at 29°C (Dickson, 1990), the fractionation factor (α$_{B[3, B4]}$) of 1.0272 (Klochko et al., 2006), and our primary polyp juvenile coral $\delta^{11}$B ratios revealed a pH difference of 0.09 pH units (Total scale) between the control (pH = 8.32) and condition 2 (pH = 8.41). The pH difference is even larger at 0.23 pH units between condition 3 (8.12) and 4 (8.35). The offset between the control condition's reconstructed pH of 8.32 and the measured culture system seawater pH of 7.94 (Total scale converted from the measured pH of 8.08 in NBS scale; Table 1) may be due to the choice of boron isotope fractionation factor (α$_{B[3, B4]}$) (Hönisch et al., 2004). But is more likely indicating the difference between seawater and skeletal $\delta^{11}$B and pH variations at the site of calcification or calcification space (Trotter et al., 2011; McCulloch et al., 2012).

### Table 3: Primary polyp juvenile A. millepora reproducibility in each experimental condition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cond.</th>
<th>$\delta^{11}$B (‰)</th>
<th>B/Ca (mmol mol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Sr/Ca (mmol mol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>U/Ca (µmol mol$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>±0.86</td>
<td>±0.037</td>
<td>±0.198</td>
<td>±0.083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>±0.58</td>
<td>±0.037</td>
<td>±0.213</td>
<td>±0.068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>±0.77</td>
<td>±0.053</td>
<td>±0.162</td>
<td>±0.104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>±0.52</td>
<td>±0.045</td>
<td>±0.181</td>
<td>±0.085</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean intra-coral reproducibility results or test of skeletal homogeneity of each individual primary polyp juvenile coral from three independent laser spot measurements in each experimental condition (Supplementary Figure S1). The experimental conditions can be found in Table 1. The number of laser spots per juvenile coral is 3. For $\delta^{11}$B ratios, the total number of juvenile corals analyzed is: Cond. 1 = 10 (n = 30), Cond. 2 = 10 (n = 30), Cond. 3 = 14 (n = 42), and Cond. 4 = 13 (n = 38). For trace elements analyses, the total number of juvenile corals analyzed is: Cond. 1 = 15 (n = 45), Cond. 2 = 22 (n = 66), Cond. 3 = 20 (n = 60), and Cond. 4 = 22 (n = 66).

### Table 4: Summary of mean primary polyp juvenile coral analyses in each experimental condition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cond.</th>
<th>$\delta^{11}$B (‰)</th>
<th>B/Ca (mmol mol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Sr/Ca (mmol mol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>U/Ca (µmol mol$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>21.79 ± 1.61</td>
<td>0.539 ± 0.052</td>
<td>9.975 ± 0.208</td>
<td>0.954 ± 0.082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>23.41 ± 0.92</td>
<td>0.531 ± 0.041</td>
<td>9.798 ± 0.162</td>
<td>0.940 ± 0.058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>19.18 ± 2.63</td>
<td>0.599 ± 0.045</td>
<td>9.674 ± 0.123</td>
<td>1.047 ± 0.068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>22.53 ± 1.57</td>
<td>0.588 ± 0.039</td>
<td>9.432 ± 0.082</td>
<td>1.008 ± 0.073</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means of each experimental condition ±1 standard deviation. The experimental conditions are reported in Table 1 and the number of primary polyps and laser spots are described in the text and in Table 3.

### Figure 5: Box-whisker plots of the primary polyp A. millepora juvenile corals geochemical results. In each plot from left to right are the respective experimental conditions (e.g., Condition 1, C1). (A) $\delta^{11}$B (‰), (B) B/Ca (mmol mol$^{-1}$), (C) Sr/Ca (mmol mol$^{-1}$), and (D) U/Ca (µmol mol$^{-1}$). The box plots represent the lower and upper quartiles with the median shown as a horizontal line within each box. The top and bottom whiskers extending from the box plots represent the highest and lowest values respectively. The mean and analytical errors for the NIST SRM 610 and JCP-1 standards measured by LA-ICP-MS are – B/Ca: 17.402 ± 0.394 mmol mol$^{-1}$ (2.27% RSD; NIST 610) and 0.577 ±0.056 mmol mol$^{-1}$ (9.67% RSD; JCP-1); Sr/Ca: 3.041 ± 0.032 mmol mol$^{-1}$ (1.06% RSD; NIST 610) and 9.484 ± 0.266 mmol mol$^{-1}$ (2.81% RSD; JCP-1); U/Ca: 1031.823 ± 14.809 µmol mol$^{-1}$ (1.43% RSD; NIST 610) and 1.233 ± 0.109 µmol mol$^{-1}$ (8.27% RSD; JCP-1). The numbers above each experimental condition indicate the significant difference in means from Student’s T-test ($p$ < 0.01; Table 5).
TABLE 5 | Summary of Student’s T-test results (p-values) between each experimental condition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Results Cond.</th>
<th>Cond. 1</th>
<th>Cond. 2</th>
<th>Cond. 3</th>
<th>Cond. 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>δ^{11}B</td>
<td>1.70e-03</td>
<td>0.0233</td>
<td>0.1515</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B/Ca</td>
<td>0.8407</td>
<td>9.73e-03</td>
<td>0.0158</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr/Ca</td>
<td>0.01373</td>
<td>1.08e-03</td>
<td>3.83e-07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U/Ca</td>
<td>0.6436</td>
<td>0.01582</td>
<td>0.1494</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 6 | Summary of primary polyp juvenile coral two-way (crossed factors) analysis of variance (ANOVA).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Sum Sq.</th>
<th>Df</th>
<th>F-value</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>δ^{11}B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factor 1</td>
<td>pCO₂</td>
<td>30.553</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10.4018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factor 2</td>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>70.187</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23.8953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factors 1 and 2</td>
<td>pCO₂ and Temp.</td>
<td>8.578</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.9203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B/Ca</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factor 1</td>
<td>pCO₂</td>
<td>0.02684</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17.8085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factor 2</td>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>0.00304</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factors 1 and 2</td>
<td>pCO₂ and Temp.</td>
<td>0.000577</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr/Ca</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factor 1</td>
<td>pCO₂</td>
<td>1.18245</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>43.9569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factor 2</td>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>0.54735</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20.0877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factors 1 and 2</td>
<td>pCO₂ and Temp.</td>
<td>0.08109</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.2419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U/Ca</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factor 1</td>
<td>pCO₂</td>
<td>0.043766</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.7305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factor 2</td>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>0.018059</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.6024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factors 1 and 2</td>
<td>pCO₂ and Temp.</td>
<td>0.006850</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.3663</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Two-way ANOVA of A. millepora juvenile coral geochemical results (n = 47) considered under Factors 1 (pCO₂ concentration), 2 (temperature), and the interaction between the two factors (pCO₂ and temperature). Sum of Squares (Sum Sq.), Degrees of Freedom (Df), F value, and significance (P-value) are provided. Significant effects (p < 0.01) are shown in bold.

These differences between outside seawater pH and internal calcification space pH are comparable to our reconstructed δ^{11}B-pH and measured seawater pH difference average of 0.43 pH units. Furthermore, high-resolution pH imaging of primary polyp juveniles of asposymbiotic A. digitifera indicated active pH up-regulation at the site of calcification (Ohno et al., 2017). Our results confirm these observations in that our coral species also has a well-regulated internal pH at the calcification sites, which is considerably higher than the external seawater pH.

The impact of higher temperature on our A. millepora primary polyp resulted in the enrichment of δ^{11}B causing an increase in reconstructed pH by at least 0.09 pH units (conditions 1 and 2) and 0.23 pH units (conditions 3 and 4). The relationship between temperature and pH at equilibrium is well known, i.e., when the temperature in solution increases, the ability of the water to ionize increases so does the concentration of H⁺ ions in solution, leading to a decrease in pH. Without this inherent relationship between temperature and pH, and thus δ^{11}B, the results of conditions 1 and 2 as well as conditions 3 and 4 would have been expected to be more similar with no significant difference between the means. Our observations confirm a previous study with cultured Acropora sp. coral rubbels (adult branches) with similar enrichment of skeletal δ^{11}B ratio due to increasing temperature reflecting behavior changes at the calcification site possibly due to metabolic processes (Dissard et al., 2012). Our microstructure analysis indicates that biomineralization was compromised due to prolonged stress exposure in conditions 3 and 4 (Figure 4). The primary polyps of conditions 3 and 4 both contained less bundled (more between crystal spacing) aragonite habits resembling more disorganized orientation of crystals than the conditions at ambient pCO₂. These skeletal features of the primary polyp juveniles may be indicating, in the absence of endosymbionts, the coral only acclimation processes to stress or the attempt to counteract the impact of increased acidification. This response also likely increased the pH up-regulation resulting in a reconstructed pH (8.35, condition 4) that is even more elevated than the control condition (8.32). The enrichment of the δ^{11}B ratio (conditions 2 and 4), is likely related to metabolic processes of the A. millepora juveniles and further support the conclusion that certain coral species have the ability to adapt to increasing OW and OA by up-regulating its internal pH (McCulloch et al., 2012; Ohno et al., 2017). We thus provide additional evidence of pH homeostasis or elevated pH control in scleractinian corals even at the primary polyp juvenile life-stage subjected to OA and OW.

Moreover, a recent study of coral calcifying space pH revealed significant influence and modulation of internal pH due to photosynthesis and respiration (Comeau et al., 2017) that was alluded to by Dissard et al. (2012). The effects of elevated pCO₂ on coral photosynthesis has been reported in previous studies, which have suggested a variety of effects that ranged from inhibitory to stimulatory (Reynaud et al., 2003; Anthony et al., 2008; Kaniewska et al., 2012). Since our primary polyp juveniles were raised without endosymbionts, we are restricted to the discussion of coral animal metabolism or dark respiration. Studies with adult A. millepora (Kaniewska et al., 2012) and larvae of Porites spp. (Albright and Langdon, 2011; Rivest...
and Hofmann, 2014) have all indicated significantly decreased coral respiration due to elevated pCO2. In adult *A. millepora*, the impact can even be observed prior to biomineralization (Kaniwksa et al., 2012). Thus, the metabolic effects of elevated pCO2 that in turn increased the cost of calcification (McCulloch et al., 2012) cannot be dismissed. This higher cost of calcification is implied from our observations of conditions 3 and 4 that precipitated less fasciculus between the COCs and with more disorganized aragonite aggregation (Figure 4). The increase in pCO2 thus suggests a direct impact of retarded coral calcification related to coral respiration with associated pH up-regulation.

**Primary Polyp *A. millepora* Skeletal Sr/Ca as SST proxy**

Mean intra-coral reproducibility of the Sr/Ca ratios are within the 1σ SD analytical error of the JCp-1 coral standard (Table 3). The difference between Sr/Ca ratio means at low pCO2 but different temperatures (conditions 1 and 2) was not significant. The large inter-coral Sr/Ca range within these two conditions contributed to this result (Figure 5; Table 4). Across the high pCO2 conditions, the mean Sr/Ca ratio of condition 3 (27°C) was significantly higher than condition 4 (29°C; *T*-test, *p* = 5.62 × 10^{-8}; Table 5). Using published *Acropora* sp. Sr/Ca-SST sensitivity of −0.04 and −0.05 mmol mol^{-1} °C^{-1} (Xiao et al., 2014; Sadler et al., 2016), the 2°C experimental difference between conditions 1 (mean = 9.975 mmol mol^{-1}) and 2 (mean = 9.798 mmol mol^{-1}) would equate to a difference of 3.54 or 4.42°C. Similarly, the difference between conditions 3 (mean = 9.674 mmol mol^{-1}) and 4 (mean = 9.432 mmol mol^{-1}) would equate to a difference of 4.84 or 6.05°C. Our interpretation and discussion are limited by the experimental design consisting of only two temperatures and lacking a full robust temperature calibration range. Nevertheless, the fidelity of the Sr/Ca-SST proxy using *A. millepora* juvenile skeleton at just 2°C warming is uncertain and the results indicate possible bias of reconstructed SST. Even though the Sr/Ca-SST sensitivities used for the *A. millepora* primary polyp juvenile coral may be unsuitable because of the known requirement for species-specific calibrations and possible differences between adults and juveniles. It does however provide a quasi-benchmark on the possibilities for erroneous SST reconstructions using these current Sr/Ca-SST sensitivity calibrations.

Examination of the same temperature (27°C) but different pCO2 revealed significant difference between the Sr/Ca means of condition 1 and conditions 3 (*T*-test, *p* = 0.0011; Figure 5; Tables 4, 5). Likewise, the mean Sr/Ca ratio of condition 2 was significantly different when compared to condition 4 of the same temperature (29°C) but different pCO2 (*T*-test, *p* = 8.98 × 10^{-8}; Figure 5; Tables 4, 5). These results indicate that the primary polyp juvenile coral Sr/Ca ratio is not only significantly impacted by temperature [ANOVA, *F*(1,68) = 20.088, *p* = 5.41 × 10^{-5}; Table 6] but also by pCO2 [ANOVA, *F*(1,68) = 43.395, *p* = 5.11 × 10^{-8}; Table 6]. The significant impact of OA at 29°C indicates the possibility of inaccurate coral-based SST reconstruction. The difference of 0.37 mmol mol^{-1} between conditions 2 and 4 at 29°C would equate to a difference of +7.4 or +9.2°C in reconstructed SST due to OA.

Bias of reconstructed SST from coral Sr/Ca under OA has been previously documented (Tanaka et al., 2015; Cole et al., 2016). Our findings of a SST warm bias (low Sr/Ca) between conditions 1 and 3 as well as conditions 2 and 4 are not in agreement with the SST cold bias (high Sr/Ca) results found by Tanaka et al. (2015) and Cole et al. (2016). Studies with cultured Pacific *Porites* spp. and *Acropora digitifera* and Atlantic *Favia fragum* have shown increase in Sr/Ca ratio (colder reconstructed SST) due to increase in pCO2, declining aragonite saturation, or decrease pH (Cohen et al., 2009; Tanaka et al., 2015; Cole et al., 2016). On the contrary, our results with aposymbiotic *A. millepora* primary polyp revealed a consistent decrease of the Sr/Ca ratio (warming bias) under OA conditions. Biases and inaccuracies in Sr/Ca-SST reconstructions have been attributed to calcification and growth-related factors with suggestions for growth-related rescaling procedures (Gagan et al., 2012). Sr/Ca ratio in corals has also been shown to vary across different skeletal materials and is inherently heterogeneous due to differences in growth (Bagnato et al., 2004; Giry et al., 2010; DeLong et al., 2011).

The microstructure examinations revealing more COC content relative to fasciculi under high pCO2 cannot explain the decrease in Sr/Ca or reconstructed SST bias because studies have demonstrated that coral COC content results in higher Sr/Ca than fasciculi (Allison et al., 2005; Meibom et al., 2006, 2008). This is in contrast to our geochemical and microstructure findings. Furthermore, we did not observe any secondary aragonite precipitation and dissolution that can alter the Sr/Ca ratio where both of these factors are consistently associated with higher Sr/Ca ratios (cooling bias) (Hendy et al., 2007). Species-specific impact on skeletal Sr incorporation from OA have been observed with no significant impact on *Montipora capitata* Sr/Ca (Kuffner et al., 2012) but significant in other Pacific species (*Porites* spp. and *A. digitifera*) (Tanaka et al., 2015; Cole et al., 2016). Therefore, the bias (lower Sr/Ca) and impact of OA observed in our *A. millepora* primary polyps may be representing hindered early-life stage biomineralization and aragonite precipitation processes.

**Relationship of Primary Polyp *A. millepora* Skeletal B/Ca and U/Ca**

Mean intra-coral reproducibility of the B/Ca ratio is satisfactory (Table 3) and within the 1σ SD analytical error of the JCp-1 coral standard. The primary polyp juveniles cultured at 390 μatm at both temperatures had lower mean B/Ca values than juveniles cultured at 750 μatm at both temperatures (Figure 5; Table 4). The mean B/Ca of condition 1 (Figure 5; Table 4) was not significantly different to that in condition 2 (Table 5). Mean B/Ca of condition 3 was also not significantly different to that in condition 4 (Figure 5; Tables 4, 5). The B/Ca ratios did not indicate a temperature effect as observed in the δ^{34}S signature. This is confirmed as our B/Ca ratio was only significantly affected by changes in pCO2 concentration [ANOVA, *F*(1,68) = 17.808, *p* = 1.24 × 10^{-4}; Table 6] and not temperature (Figure 5; Table 6). Our primary polyp juveniles result is in contrast to studies that have found both significant inverse (Fallon et al., 2003) and direct (Dissard et al., 2012) relationships between B/Ca and SST in tropical corals.
Related to the lack of B/Ca correlation to temperature, our primary polyp juvenile corals also do not provide any evidence of a direct relationship between B/Ca and Sr/Ca, contrary to other studies (Sinclair et al., 1998; Fallon et al., 1999; Figures 6A,B). Studies using tropical coral species have indeed reported positive correlations between B/Ca and Sr/Ca indicating a coupled relationship to temperature (Fallon et al., 2003; Allison et al., 2010). Comparison to calculated seawater $[\text{CO}_3^{2-}]$ (low $[\text{CO}_3^{2-}]$ at high pCO$_2$ and higher $[\text{CO}_3^{2-}]$ at low pCO$_2$; Table 1) support our finding that B/Ca ratios are not significantly different between conditions of the same pCO$_2$ with different temperatures (Figure 7A). It is only significantly impacted by pCO$_2$ and not water temperature. Instead, our primary polyp juvenile B/Ca results are reflecting the large day-to-day fluctuations of seawater pCO$_2$ and related $[\text{CO}_3^{2-}]$ over the 10-day period (Table 1; Figure 7A). A recent synthetic
aragonite study corroborates this conclusion since it was demonstrated that aragonite B/Ca ratio can be used to estimate \([\text{CO}_2^{2-}]\) as it is principally a function of \([\text{B(OH)}_4^-]/[\text{CO}_2^{3-}]^{0.5}\) (Holcomb et al., 2016).

Growth and morphological differences may explain the contrast in B/Ca changes related to the variations in microstructure and in proportions of COC and fasciculi. Our B/Ca results (Figure 5) are in agreement with morphological observations that under OA conditions, the juvenile coral skeletal materials contain less of the fast growth fasciculi relative to the slower growth COCs and vice versa at ambient pCO\(_2\) (Figure 4). Under normal conditions, coral B/Ca ratios are higher in COC than fasciculi in tropical reef corals (Meibom et al., 2006). This is confirmed by our SEM analysis that indicated low pCO\(_2\) conditions produced more visible fasciculi than COCs while OA conditions resulted in more compacted skeletal morphology and decrease in skeletal surface area (less fasciculi and more COC) with disordered aragonite organization. Thus, our result of lower B/Ca ratios is in agreement with skeletal materials containing more fasciculi content relative to COC (Meibom et al., 2006).

Mean intra-coral reproducibility of U/Ca ratios are within the 1σ SD analytical error of the ICP-1 coral standard (Table 3). U/Ca ratios in our primary polyp juveniles do not contain a relationship to SST as previous studies have suggested (Min et al., 1995; Cardinal et al., 2001; Armid et al., 2011). In general, the U/Ca ratio was lower (higher) at low (high) pCO\(_2\) (Figure 5; Table 4). The mean U/Ca of condition 1 was not significantly different than the means of condition 2. It is possible that the 2°C temperature change was within the range of tolerance of A. millepora, which did not permit the detection of a temperature effect in U/Ca. Surprisingly, the means of U/Ca in conditions 2 and 3 were not significantly different. In fact, the only mean significance found was between the low means of condition 1 (0.940 ± 0.058 μmol mol\(^{-1}\); Figure 5) and high means of condition 4 (1.008 ± 0.073 μmol mol\(^{-1}\); T-test, \(p = 1.63 \times 10^{-3}\); Table 5) for cultures at the same elevated temperature (29°C) but different pCO\(_2\).

Of the measured trace elements in the A. millepora juvenile coral skeletal material, only U/Ca and B/Ca under high pCO\(_2\) conditions contain occurrence of correlated relationships (Figures 6C,D). This is not entirely unexpected as U/Ca in zooxanthellate and azooxanthellate corals have been shown to be influenced by pH (Inoue et al., 2011; Raddatz et al., 2014). Our results partially support this conclusion that the larger change in pCO\(_2\) decreases (increasing OA, decreasing pH) and associated \([\text{CO}_2^{2-}]\) induces the only significant impact on U/Ca ratio [ANOVA, \(F(1, 68) = 8.730, p = 0.005\); Table 6]. U/Ca in marine biogenic carbonates has been shown to be related to \([\text{CO}_2^{3-}]\) of the carbonate system (Russell et al., 2004) and can be explained in terms of uranium speciation in seawater because uranium can easily form complexes with carbonate ions (Markich, 2002). Thus, the result of increase U/Ca in the primary polyp juvenile skeleton at high pCO\(_2\) conditions comes from a decrease in seawater \([\text{CO}_2^{2-}]\) (Table 1; Figure 7B). Our results suggest the dependence of the two proxies (B/Ca and U/Ca) on seawater \([\text{CO}_2^{3-}]\) and therewith calcification rate, which is not merely related to pH or temperature. This may indicate the importance of understanding U/Ca and B/Ca incorporation in coral skeletal materials to deconvolve seawater carbonate chemistry and related equilibrium systems changes.
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