



ORIGINAL RESEARCH

How primary health care staff working in rural and remote areas access skill development and expertise to support health promotion practice

AUTHORS



Kathryn A McFarlane¹ PhD, PhD candidate *

Jenni Judd² DHS, Professor of Health Promotion



Hylda Wapau³ Graduate Diploma in Indigenous Health Promotion, BNSc. RN, Team Leader Care Coordination

Nina Nichols⁴ Master of Development Studies, Team Leader - Health Promotion Program, nina.nichols@apunipima.org.au

Kerriane Watt⁵ PhD, Associate Dean



Sue Devine⁶ DrPH, Associate Professor

CORRESPONDENCE

*Dr Kathryn A McFarlane kathryn.mcfarlane@my.jcu.edu.au

AFFILIATIONS

^{1, 5, 6} College of Public Health, Medical and Veterinary Sciences, James Cook University, Townsville QLD 4811, Australia

² School of Human Health and Social Sciences, Central Queensland University, Bundaberg QLD 4670

^{3, 4} Apunipima Cape York Health Council, Bungalow QLD 4870

PUBLISHED

18 May 2018 Volume 18 Issue 2

HISTORY

RECEIVED: 19 March 2017

REVISED: 15 September 2017

ACCEPTED: 12 November 2017

CITATION

McFarlane KA, Judd J, Wapau H, Nichols N, Watt K, Devine S. How primary health care staff working in rural and

ABSTRACT:

Introduction: Health promotion is a key component of comprehensive primary health care. Health promotion approaches complement healthcare management by enabling individuals to increase control over their health. Many primary healthcare staff have a role to play in health promotion practice, but their ability to integrate health promotion into practice is influenced by their previous training and experience. For primary healthcare staff working in rural and remote locations, access to professional development can be limited by what is locally available and prohibitive in terms of cost for travel and accommodation. This study provides insight into how staff at a large north Queensland Aboriginal community controlled health service access skill development and health promotion expertise to support their work.

Methods: A qualitative exploratory study was conducted. Small group and individual semi-structured interviews were conducted with staff at Apunipima Cape York Health Council ($n=9$). A purposive sampling method was used to recruit participants from a number of primary healthcare teams that were more likely to be involved in health promotion work. Both on-the-ground staff and managers were interviewed. All participants were asked how they access skill development and expertise in health promotion practice and what approaches they prefer for ongoing health promotion support. The interviews were transcribed verbatim and analysed thematically.

Results: All participants valued access to skill development, advice and support that would assist their health promotion practice. Skill development and expertise in health promotion was accessed from a variety of sources: conferences, workshops, mentoring or shared learning from internal and external colleagues, and access to online information and resources. With limited funds and limited access to professional development locally, participants fostered external and internal organisational relationships to seek in-kind advice and support. Irrespective of where the advice came from, it needed to be applicable to work with Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander remote communities.

Conclusions: To improve health outcomes in rural and remote communities, the focus on health promotion and prevention approaches must be strengthened. Primary healthcare staff require ongoing access to health promotion skill development and expertise to increase their capacity to deliver comprehensive primary health care. Practice-based evidence from staff working in the field provides a greater understanding of how skill development and advice are accessed. Many of these strategies can be formalised through organisational plans and systems, which would ensure that a skilled health promotion workforce is sustained.

KEYWORDS:

Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander, Australia, capacity building, health promotion, primary health care, professional development.

FULL ARTICLE:

Introduction

More than ever, health promotion and prevention approaches are needed to reduce the growing burden of chronic diseases. In 2011, chronic diseases were estimated to contribute to 90% of all deaths in Australia¹. Approximately one-third of chronic diseases can be attributed to lifestyle factors such as tobacco smoking, risky alcohol use, physical inactivity and poor nutrition². Health promotion and prevention approaches play a key role in assisting individuals and communities to modify and address these risk factors and their underlying causes.

Over recent years, national policy documents have consistently stated the need for a greater focus on health promotion and prevention approaches³. Yet at the same time, national investment and the capacity of health organisations to

undertake health promotion and prevention activities has been significantly reduced⁴.

Apunipima Cape York Health Council (Apunipima) is an Aboriginal community controlled health service (ACCHS) that provides comprehensive primary health care to remote communities in Cape York, Queensland, Australia. The focus on prevention approaches to improve health outcomes has been central to the organisation's mission since its inception in 1994⁵.

Staff at Apunipima are from a number of health disciplines, including Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health workers, general practitioners, midwives, diabetes nurse educators and a variety of allied health professionals⁵. In 2015, a workforce survey was conducted with Apunipima staff to measure current health promotion practice⁶. Forty two percent ($n=63$) of staff responded. The majority of those surveyed are regularly involved in health promotion activities (84%); however, just over one-third of the staff surveyed (37%) had never completed any training in health promotion.

The importance of building health promotion workforce capacity through staff training and specialist support has been discussed in a number of studies⁷⁻¹¹. The aim of this study was both to explore how staff access skill development and expertise from the health promotion field, and to identify what support is preferred and practical in a work environment where staff deliver health promotion activities across a large geographical area to a number of remote and disadvantaged Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander communities.

Methods

An exploratory descriptive study was conducted in 2015–2016 with Apunipima staff, as part of a larger participatory action research project. (An article describing how health promotion is practised in this ACCHS has previously been published⁶). Small-group and one-on-one semi-structured interviews were conducted ($n=9$). All staff were invited to participate through service-wide invitations using email and posters on staff notice boards. Purposive sampling was used to target staff with a known interest in health promotion practice. Their insights would provide greater understanding of how support is accessed¹².

Staff interviewed were from the health promotion, nutrition and family health teams. Managers and on-the-ground staff were interviewed separately. All staff interviewed had been involved in delivering health promotion activities for at least 5 years.

Open ended questions included:

- 'How do you currently access health promotion expertise to assist the health promotion and prevention work you do?'
- 'What types of support do you prefer and why?'
- 'What would support ongoing health promotion and prevention skill development?'

In addition to these questions, managers were asked how they assisted their staff to access health promotion knowledge and expertise.

Participation was voluntary and all participants were provided with an information sheet and consent form. Interviews were digitally recorded and transcribed verbatim by the principal investigator. The transcripts were coded, then analysed, identifying themes that directly related to the research questions. In this way a deductive approach was adopted¹³. Overarching and subthemes were reviewed and refined. Data was de-identified and stored according to National Health and Medical Research Council protocols¹⁴.

Ethics approval

Ethics approval was granted from James Cook University Human Ethics Committee (HE5787).

Results

Study findings are reported under five themes that describe how the participants accessed health promotion skills and knowledge and ways that ongoing access could be embedded within their organisation. Throughout these interviews it was clear that participants valued health promotion advice and support.

Sources of health promotion expertise

Ways in which participants sought to update their skills and knowledge in health promotion practice included:

- attending conferences or workshops
- attending in-house training
- visiting similar worksites
- participating in mentoring programs
- contacting external colleagues
- linking with internal staff
- participating in and attending webinars
- seek information, resources and journal articles online
- subscribing to electronic mailing lists
- joining professional associations.

Work context

Participants were more attracted to training and conferences that promoted an Indigenous or a remote focus. All participants identified that any advice needed to be relevant to the practicalities of working in remote Indigenous communities. As one staff member stated:

I think [training is] definitely helpful if it's presented in a way that's appropriate to the context that we work in. Because it's no good us going to something if it's targeted at city folk and we don't use that. So it's always really useful to have someone who knows how unique our work is. Because I think our work is not always well understood. And remote work isn't easily understood at all. [P3]

Internal and external relationships

With limited access to professional development locally, the majority of participants believed that it was part of their role to support other staff in the organisation in areas which they had expertise.

Previous work colleagues and peers met through professional networks were important to remain in contact with. Participants often invited these contacts to provide advice and requested their involvement on project steering committees.

Some participants were part of special interest or community-of-practice groups. They valued the regular opportunity to troubleshoot common issues with other peers.

There were notable advantages of external colleagues providing mentoring or support to staff:

It is a fresh set of eyes on the issues, because an internal mentor may be too tied up in the same situation that you are to really provide good guidance. [P5]

Limited funds

Although not explicitly stated, all participants were conscious of accessing skill development opportunities with minimal cost to the organisation. Some examples follow.

- Funding received to supervise student placements was used to fund professional development.
- Professional development was itemised in project funding submissions.
- Staff shared learnings with others after attending training.
 - Staff applied for conference scholarships to reduce attendance costs.

Organisational change

Participants identified organisational changes that would assist ongoing health promotion skill development. Some suggestions were:

- to develop a workforce plan that states health promotion professional development needs specific for different roles
- that dedicated health promotion staff's role descriptions state their role in providing support to others
- to establish memorandums of understanding with external colleagues to provide health promotion support
- to formalise mentoring partnerships that exist between staff, noting that side-by-side pairings were preferred over 'expert' (mentor) and 'learner' (mentee) relationships.

Discussion

This study explored how primary healthcare staff working in rural and remote communities access health promotion skill development and expertise, and identified the types of support they preferred. Skill development and expertise were accessed from a variety of sources. Participants used creative ways to access in-kind or low-cost options. Without a reliance solely on funds, these strategies are more likely to be sustained, particularly if captured in organisational plans^{15,16}.

Previous research has shown that health promotion capacity can be strengthened by formalising workforce training in organisational structures¹⁷. This study provides additional detail on the methods preferred by staff to formalise this process.

Staff valued access to health promotion expertise. Not only was expertise in health promotion important, but this research highlighted the importance of understanding the work context.

Peer mentoring is recommended to support the Aboriginal health workforce¹⁸. The current study identified that mentoring models adopting a side-by-side learning approach was preferred. This could be a health promotion officer working with a community-based health worker. Both bring skills and knowledge that can strengthen effective health promotion practice in the community setting.

This case study provides new insights on how ACCHS staff based in rural and remote areas, with limited access to funds, source health promotion advice and expertise. The participants' insights were solution-focused, offering ideas about how organisational structures can support ACCHS staff to build workforce capacity in health promotion.

Conclusions

Building the health promotion capacity of primary healthcare staff working in rural and remote areas is important to reduce the burden of chronic disease experienced more profoundly in Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander communities. An important factor in building health promotion capacity is ongoing workforce development. The findings from this study provide practice-based evidence on how workforce support in health promotion could be enhanced for staff working in rural and remote locations. Many of the strategies identified can be formalised through workforce plans and organisational systems.

If the national policies emphasising a greater focus on health promotion and prevention are to be translated into practice, primary healthcare staff working in rural and remote areas must have access to skill development and expertise in health promotion practice. They are the frontline workforce in health promotion and prevention and their role is needed to drive improvements in Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Apunipima Cape York Health Council project reference group, who advised and assisted the research process, and the Research Governance Committee for their support.

REFERENCES:

- 1 Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. *Australia's health 2016*. 2016. Available: <http://www.aihw.gov.au/australias-health/2016/> (Accessed 26 February 2017).
- 2 Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. *Health indicators for Remote Service Delivery communities: a summary*

report 2003–2011. 2014 Available: <http://www.aihw.gov.au/publication-detail/?id=60129548650> (Accessed 26 February 2017).

3 Jolley G, Freeman T, Baum F, Hurley C, Lawless A, Bentley M, et al. Health policy in South Australia 2003–10: primary health care workforce perceptions of the impact of policy change on health promotion. *Health Promotion Journal of Australia* 2014; **25(2)**: 116-124. <https://doi.org/10.1071/HE13088> PMID:25169802

4 Smith JA, Crawford G, Signal L. The case of national health promotion policy in Australia: where to now? *Health Promotion Journal of Australia* 2016; **27**: 61-65. <https://doi.org/10.1071/HE15055> PMID:26774762

5 Apunipima Cape York Health Council. *About*. Available: <http://www.apunipima.org.au/about> (Accessed 26 February 2017).

6 McFarlane K, Devine S, Judd J, Nichols N, Watt K. Workforce insights on how health promotion is practised in an Aboriginal Community Controlled Health Service. *Australian Journal of Primary Health* 2017. Available: <http://www.publish.csiro.au/py/PY16033> (Accessed 26 February 2017).

7 Devine SG, Llewellyn-Jones L, Lloyd J. Impact of a five-day short course on integration of health promotion into practice in north Queensland. *Health Promotion Journal of Australia* 2009; **20(1)**: 69-71. <https://doi.org/10.1071/HE09069> PMID:19402819

8 Judd J, Keleher H. Building health promotion capacity in a primary health care workforce in the Northern Territory: some lessons from practice. *Health Promotion Journal of Australia* 2013; **24(3)**: 163-169. <https://doi.org/10.1071/HE13082> PMID:24355336

9 Tang K-C, Nutbeam D, Kong L, Wang R, Yan J. Building capacity for health promotion—a case study from China. *Health Promotion International* 2005; **20(3)**: 285-295. <https://doi.org/10.1093/heapro/dai003> PMID:15788525

10 Wills J, Rudolph M. Health promotion capacity building in South Africa. *Global Health Promotion* 2010; **17(3)**: 29-34. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1757975910375167> PMID:21495439

11 Wilkins A, Lobo RC, Griffin DM, Woods HA. Evaluation of health promotion training for the Western Australian Aboriginal maternal and child health sector. *Health Promotion Journal of Australia* 2015; **26**: 57-63. <https://doi.org/10.1071/HE14032> PMID:25822716

12 Patton MQ. *Qualitative research and evaluation methods: integrating theory and practice*. Thousand Oaks, California: SAGE, 2015.

13 Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology* 2006; **3(2)**: 77-101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>

14 National Health and Medical Research Council. *National statement on ethical conduct in human research 2007*. 2007. Available: https://www.nhmrc.gov.au/_files_nhmrc/publications/attachments/e72_national_statement_may_2015_150514_a.pdf (Accessed 23 February 2017).

15 LaPelle NR, Zapka J, Ockene JK. Sustainability of public health programs: the example of tobacco treatment services in Massachusetts. *American Journal of Public Health* 2006; **96(8)**: 1363-1369. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2005.067124> PMID:16809592

16 Sharma A, Chiliade P, Reyes EM, Thomas KK, Collens SR, Morales JR. Building sustainable organizational capacity to deliver HIV programs in resource-constrained settings: stakeholder perspectives. *Global Health Action* 2013; **6**: 22571. <https://doi.org/10.3402/gha.v6i0.22571>

17 Percival NA, McCalman J, Armit C, O'Donoghue L, Bainbridge R, Rowley K, et al. Implementing health promotion tools in Australian Indigenous primary health care. *Health Promotion International* 2016. Available: <https://academic.oup.com/heapro/article-abstract/doi/10.1093/heapro/daw049/2555393/Implementing-health-promotion-tools-in-Australian?rss=1> (Accessed 26 February 2017).

18 Browne J, Thorpe S, Tunny N, Adams K, Palermo C. A qualitative evaluation of a mentoring program for Aboriginal health workers and allied health professionals. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health* 2013; **37(5)**: 457-462. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1753-6405.12118> PMID:24090329

This PDF has been produced for your convenience. Always refer to the live site <https://www.rrh.org.au/journal/article/4413> for the Version of Record.