1	The relationship between repeated kicking performance and maximal aerobic capacity in elite
2	junior Australian football
3	
4	Jacob Joseph ^a , Carl Woods ^b , Christopher Joyce ^{a*}
5	
6	
7	^a School of Health Sciences, The University of Notre Dame Australia, Fremantle, Western
8	Australia
9	^b Discipline of Sport and Exercise Science, James Cook University, Townsville, Queensland
10	
11	* Corresponding Author
12	Christopher Joyce, School of Health Sciences, The University of Notre Dame Australia,
13	Fremantle, Western Australia.
14	<u>chris.joyce@nd.edu.au</u> [+61 (8) 94330224]
15	
16	Running Title: Aerobic capacity and kicking performance

1 Abstract

2 Australian football (AF) is a physically demanding game, requiring players to engage in a range of anaerobic activities interspersed with prolonged aerobic exercise. Coupled, players 3 4 have to perform a range of technical skills, the most fundamental of which being to 5 effectively kick (dispose) the ball. The aim of this study was to ascertain the extent to which 6 aerobic capacity influenced kicking performance in AF. Twenty four elite U18 players competing in the same U18 competition performed the Australian Football Kicking test 7 8 (AFK) three times with the yo-yo IR2 completed twice (between each AFK), with no rest between all three AFKs. Linear mixed models (LMM) reported the extent to which kicking 9 10 speed and accuracy scores were influenced by the level reached on the yo-yo IR2. Results 11 indicated that players who recorded a higher level on the yo-yo IR2 produced a faster average kicking speed following each AFK (P < 0.01), while for all players, kicking speed was faster 12 and more accurate on their dominant kicking leg regardless of score on the yo-yo IR2 (P 13 <0.01). The LMMs also reported that those who maintained kicking speeds following two yo-14 yo IR2 also had higher competition kicking efficiency than those who reported reduced 15 16 kicking speeds. These results show that aerobically proficient U18 AF players who attain a relatively higher score on the yo-yo IR2 may be better equipped at preserving their kicking 17 18 speed. Thus, coaches may wish to integrate both technical and aerobic drills in an attempt to preserve a player's capability to execute ball disposals with a high velocity. 19

20

21 Key Words: aerobic capacity, kicking speed, kicking accuracy, Australian football

- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

26 Introduction

27 Australian football (AF) is a dynamic team invasion sport requiring players' at all developmental levels to possess unique physical, technical, and perceptual performance 28 29 qualities (8, 12). Gradually, game demands have evolved so that AF today is a faster and more open game, particularly at the elite senior level (i.e., within the Australian Football 30 31 League; AFL) (12, 27). As a response, the physical demands placed on AFL players have been shown to increase in terms of running velocity and intensity (24, 12). Notably, AFL 32 players generate greater physiological outputs during game-play relative to players competing 33 within sub-elite and junior competitions (5, 22). This increased physiological output during 34 35 game-play may be explained by superior experience and physical fitness, with Lyons et al. 36 (13) demonstrating that physiologically superior elite soccer players were better at preserving technical and perceptual skills throughout the game, relative to their less physically able 37 38 counterparts. The negative effect of central fatigue on AF maximal kicking distance kinematics (9), as well as kicking accuracy (28) supports the evidence found with soccer 39 players (13). However, the use of field-based and functional kicking (speed and accuracy) 40 tests in AF along with a comparison to kicking efficiency during competition, would have 41 implications for both game and physical demands. Pertinently, there is yet to be work that 42 43 investigates the effects of maximal aerobic capacity on kicking performance extracted from field and game-based means in AF. 44

45

In response to today's more open and faster flowing game (17, 27), there have been numerous investigations into the physical demands of AF players during game-play (5, 8, 24). Research has focused on the physical movement demands of sub-elite and elite U18 competitions for the purpose of successful player development and drafting into the AFL (5, 6, 21). Coutts et al. (8) reported a decrease in high intensity running (HIR) and total distance 51 (TD) after the first quarter in elite AF. Similar results are reported at the elite U18 level, with 52 midfielders showing a reduced number of HIR bouts after the first quarter (22). Coutts et al. (8) suggested the increased number of HIR bouts and TD covered during the first quarter 53 54 influenced the onset of fatigue in the following quarters. Similar reductions have been reported in sub-elite players relative to their elite counterparts (4). Although no differences in 55 56 technical skill were reported, a reduction in physical game performance (HIR), did not have a detrimental effect on technical skill. Sub-elite midfield players have shown to cover more 57 distance at higher running speeds than other playing positions, however, these physical traits 58 are shown to be inferior to midfield players at the elite level (5, 22). It was interesting to note 59 Burgess et al. (6) reported that elite U18 midfielders exhibit less total game time than their 60 61 elite counterparts, indicating that elite players work harder per minute, and are capable of executing accurate ball disposals at an increased game speed. However, it is unclear to what 62 effect the role of aerobic fitness has on elite U18 midfielders, based on the differences 63 reported between them and their elite counterparts when considering accurate ball disposals. 64

65

Research has shown that the use of a yo-yo IR2 is a strong indicator of HIR in AF (15). The yo-yo IR2 is used to evaluate maximal aerobic capacity (3), and comparisons with game physical movement patterns has reported an indirect influence on number of disposals when players perform HIR (15). However, although Mooney et al. (15) reported that playing experience influenced the relationship between HIR and disposals in elite senior AF players, it is currently unclear if disposals were effective, and if similar results would exist for elite U18 players.

73

74 Indeed, work has investigated the influence of fatigue on kicking performance in AF.
75 Notably, Young et al. (28) reported that elite midfield players were able to maintain a higher

76 kicking accuracy than sub-elite players and non-nomadic position players (forwards and 77 defenders) when kicking to a target on a projected screen either side of a 2x 2 min time trial. Coventry et al. (9) reported that elite and sub-elite players modify their kicking kinematics to 78 79 maintain foot speed, during incremented fatigue. However, despite offering insights into fatigue and its impact on kicking performance in AF, neither study examined the relationship 80 81 between maximal aerobic capacity (as ascertained via the yo-yo IR2) and kicking performance measures. Accordingly, it is currently unknown whether maximal aerobic 82 capacity influences kicking performance in elite junior AF players. Further, it is unknown if 83 kicking performance under training conditions is related to that of competition kicking 84 85 performance measured through kicking efficiency statistics.

86

The aim of this study was to determine the effect of maximal aerobic capacity on kicking performance in elite junior AF players. Given the work of others (28), it was hypothesised that those with a greater maximal aerobic capacity would be better equipped at preserving both speed and accuracy elements, and this would transfer to competition.

91

92 Methods

93 Participants & Experimental Protocol

94 Twenty four participants competing in a state-based U18 competition were recruited to 95 participate. Selected participants were midfield players in an attempt to standardise potential 96 positional influences on the physiological characteristics of players. All participants were 97 injury free at the time of data collection, and participating in regular training sessions and/or 98 games for a minimum of four weeks prior to data collection. Accordingly, data collection was 99 undertaken during the late competition phase of the season. Participants were informed of the 100 experimental protocol during their recruitment, and informed consent was obtained from parents/guardians where required. Ethical approval was granted by the relevant University
Human Research Ethics Committee (Reference number: XXX).

103

104 A single data collection session was undertaken on an outdoor AF oval, under standardised environmental conditions. Prior to data collection, a standardised warm-up was completed, 105 106 consisting of light jogging and dynamic stretches. Participants then performed the Australian Football Kicking Test (AFK), as reported by Woods et al. (25). The AFK was completed 107 three times with the yo-yo IR2 completed twice (between each AFK), with no rest between 108 all three AFKs. The testing was performed in pre-determined groups of three, with the 109 110 coaches classing participants as either high, moderate or low aerobic fitness level in an 111 attempt to minimise the rest periods. Three separate yo-yo IR2 (one per participant), and one 112 AFK were set up to help standardise testing conditions.

C

113

114 Data Collection

115 The Australian Football Kicking Test

Prior to undertaking the AFK, participants specified their dominant and non-dominant 116 117 kicking legs. Further, the players undertook the AFK testing procedure in full in attempt to prevent a scoring bias learned effect. Following the protocols described by Woods et al. (25), 118 119 one kick was performed at each distance (20 m, 30 m and 40 m) for the dominant leg, and 120 then repeated for the non-dominant leg. Right leg dominant participants would kick to the 'dominant' targets on the left side of their body, then to the right side of their body for the 121 122 non-dominant, left leg (25). The test commenced with the participant facing away from the 123 targets. They would then run to the turn cone, pick up a stationary football, before turning 124 180°, and run to the disposal line to kick to a now known target, designated by one scorer. The designated target player was instructed to call for the ball, but remain inside the target 125

126 circle. The test was repeated until all three distances had been kicked to, then repeated for the non-dominant leg. Participants were instructed to kick "as quickly and as accurately as 127 128 possible", and were allowed three seconds to dispose of the ball once received from the 129 feeder in an attempt to standardise disposal time (25). A visual representation of the AFK is presented in Figure 1. Two criterions were used to assess kicking performance; accuracy and 130 131 speed. Kicking accuracy was assessed by elite AF coaches with more than ten years' experience coaching at state level, using the criteria presented in Table 1. The score for each 132 distance were used as the criterion value for analysis for accuracy. Secondly, a radar speed 133 gun (Bushnell Velocity Gun 101911, Kansas City, Missouri) was used to assess peak ball 134 135 speed for each kick and distance, which was manually operated by the same user in front of kicker (Figure 1). Accuracy of the speed gun was reported to be ± 2 km.h⁻¹, as well as its 136 reliability reported to have an ICC of 0.90, and SEM of 1.48% when used for baseball 137 138 pitching (11).

- 139
- 140

INSERT FIGURE 1 ABOUT HERE INSERT TABLE 1 ABOUT HERE

- 141 142
- 143 The Yo-Yo IR2

The yo-yo IR2 is a maximal aerobic capacity test that consists of repeated 2 x 20 m shuttle runs that are performed at progressively increasing speeds. These workloads were interspersed with 10 s active rest periods in which the participant was instructed to walk around a cone 5 m away. This recovery period differentiates the yo-yo IR2 from other multistage fitness tests, making it more specific to intermittent AF movement patterns (3, 15). Participants were instructed to run in time with the 'beeps' that occur at shorter and shorter intervals as the test progresses. The test was terminated when the participant could no longer reach the cone within the 'beep', twice in succession, or voluntarily terminated. Mooney et al. (15) reported the yo-yo IR2 is an ecologically valid indicator of running performance in AF, however, the choice to perform the test twice (and without rest) was taken to induce a cumulative load, assuming to replicate first and second halves of an AF game.

155

156 Competition Kicking Efficiency

To investigate the relationship between kicking performance under testing conditions and 157 competition, a commercial statistical provider; namely Champion Data[®] (Champion Data[®], 158 Melbourne, Australia), was used to extract the midfield players kicking efficiency. The 159 160 reliability of the notations reported by Champion Data[©] in an U18 competition have been 161 shown to be comparable to that of those at the elite senior level (18). Averaged kicking efficiency statistics from five randomly chosen games per participant was acquired. Kicking 162 efficiency was reported as number of *completed* kicks (%), i.e. a kick reaching an intended 163 teammate, who was not dispossessed, or had the kick intercepted by an opposing player. 164 Competition kicking efficiency was reported as the difference in kicking efficiency between 165 166 the first and fourth quarters of a game.

167

168 Data Analysis

For each AFK performed, the average accuracy score of the two scorers was reported for each kick (18 kicks total), for each participant. A single ball speed value was reported, corresponding to each individual AFK kick score. The two yo-yo IR2 scores were also recorded for each participant. A single value per participant was reported as the difference in competition kicking efficiency between the first and fourth quarters of five randomly selected games.

176 Statistical Analysis

177 Descriptive statistics (mean \pm standard deviation) were reported for all criterion variables. 178 Further, the effect size of AFK 'test' (three levels: yo-yo IR2, post 1st yo-yo IR2 and post 2nd 179 yo-yo IR2) on each criterion variable was calculated using Cohen's *d* statistic (7), where an 180 effect size of *d* <0.2 was considered small, *d* = 0.21 – 0.50 moderate, *d* = 0.51 – 0.80 large, 181 and *d* ≥0.80 very large (7). The difference in yo-yo IR2 scores and actual game kicking 182 efficiency were reported also, using paired t-tests.

183

Two linear mixed models were produced, one for kicking speed and one for kicking 184 accuracy, where these two variables were entered as the dependant (response) variables. For 185 each model, kicking distance (short, medium and long), leg (dominant and non-dominant), 186 and AFK number were entered as factors, while the yo-yo IR2 score and competition kicking 187 efficiency were entered as covariates. Post-hoc pairwise comparisons were performed using a 188 189 Bonferroni correction, to assess differences across all levels of kick distance (i.e. short to medium, medium to long, and short to long) and AFK. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, 190 Version 22.0 (Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.) was used to generate the linear mixed models. 191 192 Residual tests for normality on each final model was used to ensure the assumption for each model was met. Statistical significance was set at $\alpha < 0.05$. 193

194

195 **Results**

No significant difference in yo-yo IR2 score was observed for the two tests, as well as competition kicking efficiency, with the average score for the first yo-yo IR2 being 20.0 \pm 0.7, and the second being 19.5 \pm 0.7. Competition kicking efficiency difference between the first and fourth quarters decreased by 9.9 \pm 32.0%. However, it is important to highlight the large variation, suggesting that a range of diverse factors may have influenced this variable. Table 2a and 2b show the effect of each yo-yo IR2 test on kicking accuracy and speed for each AFK over the three distances (short, medium and long) and for each leg (dominant and non-dominant). The linear mixed models for kicking speed and accuracy (Tables 3a and 3b) report the differences between these factors.

- 205
- 206

INSERT TABLE 2a & 2b ABOUT HERE

207

Table 3a shows the linear mixed model for kicking speed, which reported significant (P <208 .01) between-level differences for factors; kicking distance, leg, but not for AFK number. For 209 kicking distance, post hoc pairwise comparisons showed significantly (P < .01) faster kicking 210 speeds between all three comparisons (i.e. short to medium, medium to long, and short to 211 212 long). The dominant kicking leg was shown to have produced significantly (P < .01) faster kicking speeds, over the non-dominant kicking leg. For the covariates, it was reported that 213 those who attained a higher yo-yo IR2 score (higher aerobic capacity) across the two yo-yo 214 IR2 tests were able to produce significantly (P < .01) faster kicking speed. Also, those who 215 had a higher competition kicking efficiency were also shown to produce significantly (P <216 .01) faster kicking speeds. 217

- 218
- 219

INSERT TABLE 3A ABOUT HERE

220

Table 3b shows the linear mixed model for kicking accuracy, which reported significant between-level differences for factors; kicking distance, leg, and AFK number. For kicking distance, post hoc pairwise comparisons showed a significant (P < .01) decrease in kicking accuracy between short to medium and short to long, but not for medium to long kicking distances. Further, a significant (P < .01) decrease in kicking accuracy was reported between the first and second AFK, but not for the second and third AFK. The dominant kicking leg was shown to be significantly (P < .01) more accurate, over the non-dominant kicking leg. Of the two covariates, neither yo-yo IR2 score nor competition kicking efficiency were significant.

230

231

INSERT TABLE 3B ABOUT HERE

- 232 233

234 **Discussion**

235 The aim of this study was to determine the effect of maximal aerobic fitness on kicking 236 performance in elite junior AF, with results linked to actual game-play. Previous laboratorybased investigations have reported that cumulative loading of maximal aerobic capacity 237 modifies the kicking kinematics, kicking accuracy, and number of disposals for AF players 238 (9, 15, 28). Thus, based on this previous work, it was hypothesised that maximal aerobic 239 capacity would impact both kicking performance and competition kicking efficiency. Results 240 241 partially supported our study hypothesis, with significant associations being resolved between maximal aerobic capacity and kicking speed. 242

243

Score on the yo-yo IR2 did not meaningfully change between each performance. Also, a nonsignificant reduction of $9.9 \pm 32.0\%$ in competition kicking efficiency was reported between selected competition game's first and fourth quarters. The relatively large standard deviation is comparable with midfielders even at the elite (AFL) level, who often kick to more difficult targets than passing backwards to an unmarked defender (18, 20). The non-significant drop in yo-yo IR2 score was also reported by Mooney et al. (15), who report that elite AF players produce similar exercise capacity (load.min⁻¹) when in a fatigued state. Despite Young et al. (28) using a single protocol, the repeated protocol used by Coventry et al. (9), produced a
small (yet significant) 0.09 s increase in 20 m sprint time, between-fatigue protocols,
complementing the results of this study.

254

Linear mixed models (kicking speed and kicking accuracy) were used to investigate the effect 255 256 of maximal aerobic capacity from two yo-yo IR2 tests (cumulative load), specific to AF kicking performance on both the dominant and non-dominant leg. Firstly, the kicking speed 257 linear mixed model reported between-level differences for factors, with the dominant kicking 258 leg producing higher ball speeds than the non-dominant leg, and kicking speed reported to 259 260 increase from both short to medium, and medium to long kicking distance. These results 261 correspond to those reported by Woods et al. (25), who utilised the AFK test to identify talent. Faster kicking speeds on the dominant kicking foot were reported by Woods et al. (25) 262 to be associated with talent identified players. These results are also explained by Ball (1, 2), 263 who reported that the dominant kicking foot produces faster kicking speeds, dependant on 264 265 required kicking distance.

266

Between-level differences in kicking speed were reported for covariates, with those who 267 268 attained a higher yo-yo IR2 score (presumed aerobically fitter) producing faster kicking speeds. Mohr et al. (14) reported that elite soccer players scored higher on the vo-vo IR2 than 269 270 sub-elite players, which may have augmented superior technical skill shown during game-271 play. This is supported by research in AF, where players possessing superior aerobic capabilities have been shown to maintain a higher level of technical skill under a higher acute 272 273 workload (HIR) (4, 28). Further, AF players with a higher aerobic capacity have been shown 274 to have a greater involvement in a game, where kicking speed may be important when creating scoring opportunities (19, 26). Possibly the most interesting covariate from the linear 275

276 mixed model, competition kicking efficiency, was reported to be higher for those who had 277 faster kicking speeds. Sullivan et al. (21) reported that effective kicking was higher in quarters which were won. Accordingly, a player who is able to produce greater kicking 278 279 speeds (coupled with accuracy) may be able to transition the ball to a teammate faster, limiting the capability of the opposition to intercept the kick or fill 'dangerous' space. This 280 281 can also be linked to maintaining kicking efficiency in the latter stages of a game through a greater aerobic capacity (26). Additionally, Burgess et al. (6) suggested that U18 players who 282 can maintain ball speed and have a higher tolerance to acute cumulative loads are more likely 283 284 to be talent identified.

285

286 The kicking accuracy linear mixed model reported between-level differences for factors, with 287 kicking accuracy reported to decrease with kicking distance, the dominant leg kicking more accurately than the non-dominant leg, and kicking accuracy decreasing with each AFK. 288 Neither of the covariates (yo-yo IR2 score or competition kicking efficiency) were associated 289 with kicking accuracy. Results for the above factors can be explained in a similar way in 290 which they are explained in the kicking speed linear mixed model. The use of the AFK by 291 Woods et al. (25) suggested that U18 players had reduced accuracy when kicking to further 292 293 targets, irrespective of being talent identified or non-talent identified. This is further explained by Ball (2) who reported kicking kinematics are less efficient when kicking to 294 295 further targets, as well as when kicking on the non-dominant foot; potentially impacting 296 subsequent accuracy. Although score on the yo-yo IR2 score did not directly influence 297 kicking accuracy, a decrease in kicking accuracy was reported between the first and second AFK, but not between the second and third AFK. While speculating, this may indicate that 298 299 the acute load induced by the initial yo-yo IR2 test influenced the players kicking performance to a greater extent than the second yo-yo IR2 test. As Coutts et al. (8) suggests, 300

player movement demands including HIR and TD decrease after the first quarter, yettechnical skill in even sub-elite players is seemingly maintained (4).

303

304 Despite our promising findings, the study is not without limitations. With regards to the ecological validity and application of this study, the reported values for competition kicking 305 306 efficiency were taken from five random games where the score or final outcome were not reported. Further, although the standard deviation for competition kicking efficiency was 307 high, similar results have been reported at the professional (AFL) level (20). Sullivan et al. 308 (21) reports an increase in player movement patterns (HIR) and physiological demands for 309 310 games in which the selected team are losing, or eventually go on to lose. Therefore, the linear 311 mixed model for kicking speed that reported players who had a higher competition kicking efficiency were also shown to produce faster kicking speeds, may have had games selected in 312 which the team was winning, and possibly had lower movement patterns and physiological 313 demands. Further, the use of five games does limit the representation of the results, thus, 314 future work may wish to quantify kicking performance throughout the entirety of an AF 315 316 season. Secondly, although players were recruited from the same elite junior competition, external factors not quantified within this study, such as training history, may have influenced 317 318 the observed results. Thirdly, although the AFK has been shown to be a discriminately valid test of kicking skill in junior AF (25), it is important to note that the targets were stationary, 319 320 whereas during game-play, it is likely that targets would be dynamic (i.e., kicking to a 321 teammate running in space). Thus, future work may wish to incorporate dynamic targets when integrating tests of kicking performance in AF to increase the specificity of the results. 322 323 Lastly, data collection was undertaken in the latter stages of the competition season, so it is 324 possible that yo-yo IR2 score, functional kicking performance, and influence of current playing form influenced results. 325

327 Conclusions

328 This study examined the impact of maximal aerobic capacity on kicking performance in elite 329 U18 AF players. Results demonstrated that kicking speed was influenced by the level attained on the Yo-Yo IR2 test, indicating that aerobically fitter players may be better equipped at 330 331 preserving ball speed relative to their less aerobically proficient counterparts. Coaches may wish to integrate training drills that concurrently target both technical and aerobic 332 proficiencies to maximise a players kicking performance during game-play. Future work may 333 wish to investigate the association between kicking performance and competition 334 335 performance at the positional level, across a larger sample of game observations.

336

337 Practical Applications

The fundamental practical application from this work indicates that AF coaches and conditioning staff may wish to integrate training drills that target both the development of maximal aerobic capacity and technical skill (kicking) proficiency. By doing so, kicking performance (namely kicking speed) may be persevered through the concurrent development of a player's maximal aerobic capacity.

343

344 **References**

Ball, K. Biomechanical considerations of distance kicking in Australian Rules
 football. *Sport Biomech* 7: 10-23, 2008.

347

348 2. Ball, K. Kinematic comparison of the preferred and non-preferred foot punt kick. J
349 Sport Sci 29: 1545-1552, 2011.

351	3.	Bangsbo, J, Iaia F, and Krustrup, P. The Yo-Yo Intermittent Recovery Test: a useful
352		tool for evaluation of physical performance in intermittent sports. Sport Med 38: 37-
353		51, 2008.
354		
355	4.	Black, G, Gabbett, T, Naughton, and McLean, B. The effect of intense exercise
356		periods on physical and technical performance during elite Australian Football match-
357		play: A comparison of experienced and less experienced players. J Sci Med Sport 19:
358		506-602, 2016.
359		
360	5.	Brewer, C, Dawson, B, Heasman, J, Stewart, G, and Cormack, S. Movement pattern
361		comparisons in elite (AFL) and sub-elite (WAFL) Australian football games using
362		GPS. J Sci Med Sport 13: 618-623, 2010.
363		
364	6.	Burgess, D, Naughton, G, and Norton, K. Quantifying the gap between under 18 and
365		senior AFL football: 2003 and 2009. Int J Sports Physiol Perform 7: 53-58, 2012.
366		
367	7.	Cohen J. Statistical power analysis for the behavioural sciences (2 nd ed.). Hillsdale,
368		NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum, 1988.
369		
370	8.	Coutts, A, Quinn, J, Hocking, J, Castagna, C, and Rampinini, E. Match running
371		performance in elite Australian Rules Football. J Sci Med Sport 13: 543-548, 2010.
372		
373	9.	Coventry, E, Ball, K, Parrington, L, Aughey, R, and McKenna, M. Kinematic effects
374		of a short-term fatigue protocol on punt-kicking performance. J Sports Sci 33: 1596-
375		1605, 2015.

376	
377	10. Dawson, B, Hopkinson, R, Appleby, B, Stewart, G, and Roberts, C. Comparison of
378	training activities and game demands in the Australian Football League. J Sci Med
379	Sport 7: 292-301, 2004.
380	
381	11. English, T, and Howe, K. The effect of pilates exercise on trunk and postural stability
382	and throwing velocity in college baseball pitchers: Single subject design. $N Am J$
383	Sports Phys 2: 8-21, 2007.
384	
385	12. Gray, A, and Jenkins, D. Match Analysis and the Physiological Demands of
386	Australian Football. Sport Med 40: 347-360, 2010.
387	
388	13. Lyons, M, Al-Nakeeb, Y, and Nevill, A. Performance of Soccer Passing Skills Under
389	Moderate and High-Intensity Localized Muscle Fatigue. J Strength Cond Res 20: 197,
390	2006.
391	
392	14. Mohr, M, Krustrup, P, and Bangsbo, J. Match performance of high-standard soccer
393	players with special reference to development of fatigue. J Sports Sci 21: 519-528,
394	2003.
395	
396	15. Mooney, M, O'Brien, B, Cormack, S, Coutts, A, Berry, J, and Young, W. The
397	relationship between physical capacity and match performance in elite Australian
398	football: A mediation approach. J Sci Med Sport 14: 447-452, 2011.
399	

400	16. Mooney, M, Cormack, S, O. Brien, B, Morgan, W, and McGuigan, M. Impact of
401	neuromuscular fatigue on match exercise intensity and performance in elite Australian
402	football. J Strength Cond Res 27: 166-173, 2013.
403	
404	17. Norton, K, Craig, N, and Olds, T. The evolution of Australian Football. J Sci Med
405	Sport 2: 389-404, 1999.
406	
407	18. O'Shaughnessy, D. Possessions versus position: Strategic evaluation in AFL. J Sports
408	<i>Sci Med</i> 5: 533-540, 2006.
409	
410	19. Piggott, B, McGuigan, M., and Newton, M. Relationship between physical capacity
411	and match performance in semi-professional Australian rules football. J Strength
412	Cond Res 29: 478-482, 2015.
413	
414	20. Robertson, S, Back, N, and Bartlett, J. Explaining match outcome in elite Australian
415	rules football using team performance indicators. J Sports Sci 34: 637-644, 2016.
416	
417	21. Sullivan, C, Bilsborough, J, Cianciosi, M, Hocking, J, Cordy, J, and Coutts, A. Match
418	score affects activity profile and skill performance in professional Australian Football
419	players. J Sci Med Sport 17: 326-331, 2014.
420	
421	22. Veale, J, Pearce, A, and Carlson, J. Player movement patterns in an elite junior
422	Australian Rules Football team: an exploratory study. J Sports Sci Med 6: 254-260,
423	2007.
424	

425	23. Vourimaa, T, Vasankari, T, and Rusko. H. Comparison of Physiological Strain and
426	Muscular Performance of Athletes During Two Intermittent Running Exercises at the
427	Velocity Associated with V [•] O2max. Int J Sports Med 21: 96-101, 2000.
428	
429	24. Wisbey, B, Montgomery, P, Pyne, D, and Rattray, B. Quantifying movement
430	demands of AFL football using GPS tracking. J Sci Med Sport 13: 531-536, 2010.
431	
432	25. Woods, C, Raynor, A, Bruce, L, and McDonald, Z. The use of skill tests to predict
433	status in junior Australian football. J Sports Sci 33: 1132-1140, 2015.
434	
435	26. Woods, C, Raynor, A, Bruce, L, McDonald, Z, and Collier, N. Predicting playing
436	status in junior Australian Football using physical and anthropometric parameters. J
437	Sci Med Sport 18: 225-229, 2015.
438	
439	27. Woods, C, Robertson, S, and Collier, N. Evolution of game play in the Australian
440	Football League 2001-2015. J Sports Sci. 2016. In Press.
441	
442	28. Young, W, Gulli, R, Rath, D, Russell, A, O'Brien, B, and Harvey, J. Acute effect of
443	exercise on kicking accuracy in elite Australian football players. J Sci Med Sport 13:
444	85-89, 2010.

Table 1. Scoring criteria for the AFK (25)

 3 The ball reached the target player on the full and they did not have to leave the target circle to receive possession 2 The ball reached the target player on the full; however, they were required to place one foot outside of the target circle to receive possession 1 The ball reached the target player on the full, but they had to place both feet outside of the target circle to receive possession 0 The target player did not receive possession of the ball on the full 	Points	Criteria
 2 The ball reached the target player on the full; however, they were required to place one foot outside of the target circle to receive possession 1 The ball reached the target player on the full, but they had to place both feet outside of the target circle to receive possession 0 The target player did not receive possession of the ball on the full. 	3	The ball reached the target player on the full and they did not have to leave the target circle to receive possession
 The ball reached the target player on the full, but they had to place both feet outside of the target circle to receive possession The target player did not receive possession of the ball on the full 	2	The ball reached the target player on the full; however, they were required to place one foot outside of the target circle to receive possession
0 The target player did not receive possession of the ball on the	1	The ball reached the target player on the full, but they had to place both feet outside of the target circle to receive possession
full	0	The target player did not receive possession of the ball on the full

		AFK ¹	AFK ²	ES ¹	AFK ³	ES^2	ES ³
Short							
Short	Dom	56.0 ± 7.6	56.0 ± 8.2	0.00	54.9 ± 7.5	0.14	0.15
	Non Dom	52.2 ± 10.2	49.2 ± 6.3	0.36	47.5 ± 11.1	0.19	0.44
Mediu	m						
1,10ulu	Dom	63.8 ± 8.4	66.7 ± 7.0	-0.38	62.6 ± 8.8	0.52	0.14
	Non Dom	56.3 ± 8.5	57.9 ± 9.2	-0.18	55.6 ± 9.7	0.24	0.08
Long							
Long	Dom	68.5 ± 6.2	71.1 ± 4.7	-0.47	70.1 ± 4.7	0.21	-0.29
	Non Dom	61.8 ± 8.8	66.5 ± 8.5	-0.54	62.3 ± 10.4	0.44	-0.05

Table 2a. Descriptive statistics for kicking speed ($\overline{\mathbf{x}} \pm SD$, and between-AFK effect sizes)

Speed – m.s⁻¹, ES^1 – between AFK^{1&2} effect size, ES^2 – between AFK^{2&3}, ES^3 – between AFK^{1&3}

	AFK ¹	AFK ²	\mathbf{ES}^{1}	AFK ³	\mathbf{ES}^2	ES ³
Dom	2.5 ± 0.9	2.1 ± 1.2	0.38	2.3 ± 1.2	-0.17	0.19
Non Dom	1.8 ± 1.3	1.6 ± 1.1	0.17	1.3 ± 1.3	0.25	0.38
n						
Dom	2.1 ± 1.1	2.1 ± 1.0	0.00	2.0 ± 1.2	0.09	0.09
Non Dom	1.6 ± 1.3	1.7 ± 1.2	-0.08	1.2 ± 1.3	0.40	0.31
Dom	1.8 ± 1.2	2.0 ± 1.1	-0.17	1.2 ± 1.2	0.69	0.50
Non Dom	1.0 ± 1.1	1.3 ± 1.2	-0.26	0.9 ± 1.2	0.33	0.09
	Dom Non Dom n Dom Non Dom Dom	AFK ¹ Dom 2.5 ± 0.9 Non Dom 1.8 ± 1.3 n 2.1 ± 1.1 Non Dom 1.6 ± 1.3 Dom 1.8 ± 1.2 Non Dom 1.0 ± 1.1	AFK1AFK2Dom 2.5 ± 0.9 2.1 ± 1.2 Non Dom 1.8 ± 1.3 1.6 ± 1.1 n 2.1 ± 1.1 2.1 ± 1.0 Dom 1.6 ± 1.3 1.7 ± 1.2 Non Dom 1.8 ± 1.2 2.0 ± 1.1 Non Dom 1.0 ± 1.1 1.3 ± 1.2	AFK1AFK2ES1Dom 2.5 ± 0.9 2.1 ± 1.2 0.38 Non Dom 1.8 ± 1.3 1.6 ± 1.1 0.17 nDom 2.1 ± 1.1 2.1 ± 1.0 0.00 Non Dom 1.6 ± 1.3 1.7 ± 1.2 -0.08 Dom 1.8 ± 1.2 2.0 ± 1.1 -0.17 Non Dom 1.0 ± 1.1 1.3 ± 1.2 -0.26	AFK1AFK2ES1AFK3Dom 2.5 ± 0.9 2.1 ± 1.2 0.38 2.3 ± 1.2 Non Dom 1.8 ± 1.3 1.6 ± 1.1 0.17 1.3 ± 1.3 n Dom 2.1 ± 1.1 2.1 ± 1.0 0.00 2.0 ± 1.2 Non Dom 1.6 ± 1.3 1.7 ± 1.2 -0.08 1.2 ± 1.3 Dom 1.8 ± 1.2 2.0 ± 1.1 -0.17 1.2 ± 1.2 Non Dom 1.0 ± 1.1 1.3 ± 1.2 -0.26 0.9 ± 1.2	AFK1AFK2ES1AFK3ES2Dom 2.5 ± 0.9 2.1 ± 1.2 0.38 2.3 ± 1.2 -0.17 Non Dom 1.8 ± 1.3 1.6 ± 1.1 0.17 1.3 ± 1.3 0.25 n Dom 2.1 ± 1.1 2.1 ± 1.0 0.00 2.0 ± 1.2 0.09 Non Dom 1.6 ± 1.3 1.7 ± 1.2 -0.08 1.2 ± 1.3 0.40 Dom 1.8 ± 1.2 2.0 ± 1.1 -0.17 1.2 ± 1.2 0.69 Non Dom 1.0 ± 1.1 1.3 ± 1.2 -0.26 0.9 ± 1.2 0.33

Table 2b. Descriptive statistics for kicking accuracy ($\overline{x} \pm SD$, and between-AFK effect sizes)

Accuracy – points, ES^1 – between AFK^{1&2} effect size, ES^2 – between AFK^{2&3}, ES^3 – between AFK^{1&3}

Table 3a	ı. Linear	mixed	model	for	kicking	speed
					()	

Variable	Estimate	SE	95% Lower-Upper CI	P value
¹ YYIRT2 score	0.046	0.554	2.153 - 4.331	.000*
¹ Comp kicking efficiency	3.242	0.121	0.023 - 0.070	.000*
² Leg Dominant Non dominant	6.704 ^a	0.764 ^a	5.202 - 8.206	.000 ^a *
² Kick distance Short^ Medium^ Long^	-15.609 ^a -7.755 ^a	0.972^{a} 0.972^{a}	-17.520 – -13.699 -9.666 – -5.844	.000 ^a * .000 ^a *
² AFK Pre YYIRT2 Post 1 st YYIRT2 Post 2 nd YYIRT2	-0.924 ^a 1.472 ^a	0.934^{a} 0.934^{a}	-2.763 - 0.916 -0.367 - 3.312	.324 ^a .116 ^a

 $^{-1}$ covariate, 2 factor, $^{\circ}$ pairwise between-test difference, a between-test estimate, error or significance, CI – confidence interval, *sig to < .01 level

Table 3b. Linear mixed model for kicking accuracy

Variable	Estimate	SE	95% Lower-Upper CI	P value
¹ YYIRT2 score	0.001	0.082	-0.160 - 0.163	0.989
¹ Comp kicking efficiency	0.002	0.002	-0.001 - 0.006	0.190
² Leg Dominant Non dominant	0.644 ^a	0.114 ^a	0.420 – 0.867	0.000 ^a *
² Kick distance				
Short^	-0.576^{a}	0.145^{a}	0.291 - 0.860	0.000^{a} *
Medium Long^	-0.423 ^a	0.145 ^a	0.139 - 0.707	0.004 ^a *
² AFK				
Pre YYIRT2 Post 1 st YYIRT2^ Post 2 nd YYIRT2	-0.326 ^a -0.278 ^a	0.139 ^a 0.139 ^a	-0.600 - 0.005 -0.302 - 0.246	$0.020^{a}*$ 0.842^{a}

 1 covariate, 2 factor, n pairwise between-test difference, a between-test estimate, error or significance, CI – confidence interval, *sig to < .01 level



Copyright © 2017 National Strength and Conditioning Association