Introduced bullfrogs are associated with increased *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* prevalence and reduced occurrence of Korean treefrogs
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Abstract

Bullfrogs, *Lithobates catesbeianus*, have been described as major vectors of the amphibian chytrid fungus, *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* (*Bd*). *Bd* is widespread throughout the range of amphibians yet varies considerably within and among populations in prevalence and host impact. In our study, the presence of *L. catesbeianus* is correlated with a 2.5 increase in *Bd* prevalence in treefrogs, and the endangered *Dryophytes suweonensis* displays a significantly higher *Bd* prevalence than the more abundant *D. japonicus* for the 37 sites surveyed. In addition, the occurrence of *L. catesbeianus* was significantly correlated with a decrease in presence of *D. suweonensis* at sites. We could not determine if it is the presence of bullfrogs as competitors or predators that is limiting the distribution of *D. suweonensis* or whether this is caused by bullfrogs acting as a reservoir for *Bd*. However, *L. catesbeianus* can now be added to the list of factors responsible for the decline of *D. suweonensis* populations.

Introduction

A third of all amphibian species are under threat of extinction and more than two hundred are specifically under threats because of enigmatic diseases and threats [1, 2]. Evidence points to the chytrid fungus, *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* (*Bd*), as one of the major causes for population declines and extinction events [1–3]. However, *Bd* distribution is patchy, and its prevalence varies considerably with species susceptibility [4], latitude [5], temperature [6], and seasonality [7, 8]. For instance, colder months are associated with higher prevalence [9, 10] and mortality [11, 12]. The average rainfall and humidity at a site are also of major importance, because *Bd* is dispersed by waterborne zoospores [6, 13], and because all life stages of the fungus are sensitive to desiccation [14].
Bd occurs on all continents where amphibians are present [15] and the number of Asian countries where Bd has been detected is rapidly expanding [16–20]. The high diversity of endemic Bd genotypes in Korea suggests that Bd may have been present long before it was first detected [16, 21, 22]. However, it is likely that new genotype are being continually introduced through the pet trade of White’s Treefrogs, Litoria caerulea [23]. Currently, both the lethal panzootic Bd lineage “GPL” [24] and endemic genotypes are present in Korea [21].

American Bullfrogs (Lithobates catesbeianus) are known to be reservoirs for Bd [25–27], thus multiplying their negative impact on local amphibian species, originally limited to predation [28]. It has been hypothesized that this species is responsible for the dispersal of the hyper-virulent Bd-GPL lineage globally [29]. Several factors make American Bullfrogs ideal Bd dispersers: (1) this species has exported globally and is raised for food in many countries [30], (2) American Bullfrogs do not develop clinical chytridiomycosis, even at high infection intensities [31], and (3) American Bullfrog populations tend to have high rates of infection [30].

Bullfrogs were first imported to the Republic of Korea in 1959 and then in larger numbers in the early 70’s. It took about 20 years for escaped individuals to establish wild populations. Currently, bullfrogs are listed as an invasive species in Korea. Although management policies were established in the past, these are no longer implemented; reviewed in [32].

Two treefrog species occur on the Korean peninsula: the endangered Dryophytes suweonensis (Suweon Treefrog, previously allocated to the Hyla genus [33]) and the widespread D. japonicus (previously H. japonica). Pending further taxonomic work, D. suweonensis is potentially synonymous to D. immaculatus (previously H. immaculata [34]) and D. japonicus, synonymous to D. ussuriensis [35, 36]. Dryophytes suweonensis is restricted to the western Korean low-lands and bisected by metropolitan Seoul [37, 38]. By contrast, D. japonicus ranges from Japan to Manchuria and from the Baikal Lakes to central China [39]. The distribution of D. suweonensis in completely within the distribution of D. japonicus. Lithobates catesbeianus is found throughout the range of both Hylid species in Korea. Bd prevalence has been previously reported in D. japonicus (53 out of 406 samples [21], 9 out of 10 samples [40]), but never in D. suweonensis (3 samples all tested negative for Bd [21]). However, Bd has been detected in D. immaculatus in China (1 out of 5 samples [18]).

The aim of this study was (1) to investigate Bd prevalence in the two Korean Hylid species, and (2) to study the effect of L. catesbeianus on the prevalence of Bd in these two treefrog species. We hypothesized that Bd and the presence of Bullfrogs has a negative effect on the occurrence of D. suweonensis. Due to its restricted range and population decline [38], the endangered D. suweonensis has low genetic diversity [41], and consequently a decreased ability to respond to Bd infection [42, 43], compared to the widespread and expanding D. japonicus [37]. Thus, we tested the prediction that Bd prevalence is higher in D. suweonensis than in D. japonicus. Findings of this study have important implications for the conservation of D. suweonensis as they highlight the threats posed by both American Bullfrogs and Bd.

Material and methods

Our study was comprised two different phases, with separate field work and methodologies. We first studied Bd prevalence for Dryophytes japonicus and D. suweonensis at 37 sites. Secondly, we assessed the relationship between Bd infection and the presence of L. catesbeianus through aural surveys at 179 sites, including the 37 sites from the first phase of the study. The observations in this study were approved by the Ministry of Environment of the Republic of Korea (permits numbers 2015–03, 2015–05, 2015–6 and 2015–28), and thus qualifying for ethical assessment for experiments conducted on the endangered D. suweonensis. The experiment was also approved by the Animal Ethics Comity from Ewha Woman’s University (#2014–111).
**Bd sampling**

Field sites were selected from previous studies on the presence of *D. suweonensis* [37, 38]. Site selection and sample number of *D. suweonensis* were restricted by permits given by the Ministry of Environment of the Republic of Korea, to 88 individuals from 37 sites, covering the totality of *D. suweonensis* range (Fig 1). A maximum of 20% of the population size at a given site, surveyed in 2014, was allowed for sampling (Table 1). Sampling for *D. japonicus* targeted all individuals encountered within the same rice paddies as the *D. suweonensis* caught (Fig 1). *Bd* sampling was performed between 15 May and 28 June, 2015, during the peak breeding seasons of these two species ([44]; S1 Table).

To detect *Bd* infection, individuals were first captured by rapidly placing a hand on the individual, covered with a single-use vinyl bag (Clean Wrap, # 365780167; Gimhae, Republic of Korea), then kept in separate bags to prevent cross-contamination and finally swabbed on the epidermis. Sampling was conducted at the breeding sites, between 4 pm and 4 am. Swabbing was done with sterile fine-tip swabs (Medical Wire & Equipment Co Ltd; Corsham, UK) wearing a new pair of vinyl gloves for each frog. Frogs were systematically swabbed five times on each toe of the hind legs, each foot, the inner thighs, and both sides of the abdomen. Since the two Hylid species are difficult to distinguish morphologically [45], buccal swabs from each individual were collected for species identification through mtDNA CO1 sequencing, following the protocol developed by Jang [46]. Swabs were stored in plastic tubes at -20˚C until DNA extraction. All individuals were released at the point of capture as soon as the site was sampled, i.e. within 2 h of capture.

**Positive control for Bd detection**

Since bullfrogs are a known reservoir for *Bd* in the Republic of Korea we first swabbed 7 individuals in order to obtain a positive control for PCR. The bullfrogs were obtained from irrigating ditches between rice-paddies in Hwaseong (37.155977˚N, 126.721290˚E), caught with butterfly types of nets, adequately sterilized and dried between sites, and swabbed following the *Bd* swabbing protocol described above. None of the individual caught displayed any sign of clinical disease or abnormality.

We obtained two positive reactions from two different individuals out of the seven *L. catesbeianus* swabbed following the protocol described below. The samples were then sent to Macrogen Inc. (Seoul, Republic of Korea) for direct sequencing in the forward direction on an ABI PRISM 3100 automatic sequencer (Applied Biosystems Inc.; USA). The sequences were manually trimmed in Geneious (v9.04, Biomatters Limited, Auckland, New Zealand) and blasted on the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool from the NCBI portal (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi). One of our sequences was 100% identical to *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* accession number JX983045.1, collected from a *L. catesbeianus* [21]. This sample was used as positive control for all PCRs in our study, and maintained at -20˚C in 1.5 mL tubes.

**DNA extraction and Bd detection**

DNA was extracted following a modified version of the four-step protocol developed by Hyatt [47]. The protocol consisted in incubating each swab in 50 μL of Prep Man Ultra (Applied Biosystems; California, USA) at 100˚C for 10 min, before cooling at room temperature for 2 min, centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 3 min, and transfer of the supernatant. Each sample was subsequently diluted 1:5 with PCR grade water before PCR. We used a nested PCR developed by Goka [48] for *Bd* detection. This reaction consisted of two PCRs that were the same except for the primers. The PCR1 used the primers Bd18SF1 (5’-TTTGTACACACCGGCTGCGC-3’) and Bd28SR1 (5’-ATATGCTTAAGTTCA GG-3’) from Goka [48] while the PCR2 used
Fig 1. Summary map of sites sampled for both parts of this study. Description of the range of *Dryophytes suweonensis*, sites where the species was detected, sites where *Lithobates catesbeianus* was detected and sites where sampling for *Bd* sampling was conducted. This map was generated with ArcMap 9.3 (Environmental Systems Resource Institute, Redlands, California, USA; http://www.esri.com/).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177860.g001
the primers Bd1a (5’-CAGTGTGCCATATGTCACG-3’) and Bd2a (5’-CATGGTTCATATCTGTCCAG-3’) from Annis [49]. Each PCR contained 12.8 μL of distilled water, 2.0 μL of (10x) buffer, 1.2 μL of dNTPs (final concentration of 0.06 mM), 0.4 μL of each primer, 2 μL of BSA (4000 ng/μL), 0.2 μL of Takara Taq polymerase and 1 μL of DNA. The thermocycler (PTC-100, BIO-RAD; California; USA) was programmed at 94˚C for 3 min, followed by 35 cycles at
94˚C for 30 sec, 50˚C for 30 s and 72˚C for 2 min, with a terminal elongation at 72˚C for 7 min. Samples were then run on a 1.5% agarose gel during a 15 min electrophoresis, and pre-stained with MaestroSafe dye (Maestrogen; Las Vegas, Nevada, USA). All samples in this study were considered positive if a band was visible at the same size as the positive control (at approximatively 300 bp). If any of the negative controls displayed a band, all PCR results from the run were discarded and all reactions ran again.

Each treefrog sample was run in two independent PCR replicates along with positive (known Bd sample) and negative controls (PCR master-mix and distilled water). A sample was considered positive if both replicates were positive. Two samples for D. suweonensis and one for D. japonicus (all males, respectively from localities 15, 20 and 29; Table 1) were positive for one of the replicates only and were consequently run a third time. All three samples were positive for the third replicate and thus considered positive.

Environmental variables and Bd infection

To assess the impact of the environment on Bd prevalence, we collected the average temperature (˚C) and precipitation (mm) for the 3 months prior to sampling at each site (Table 2). The data were collected from the closest weather stations of the National Weather Service Stations of Korea (http://www.kma.go.kr/weather/observation/aws_table_popup.jsp). Weather data on the days of sampling were not included in the analysis due to a high correlation with the 3-month average (Pearson correlation; $r = 0.84; P < 0.001$). The other variables mentioned.

Aural surveys

We conducted presence/absence transect surveys to examine the impact of L. catesbeianus on the distribution of D. suweonensis for the entire reported range of D. suweonensis (Borzeé et al., in prep). Dryophytes japonicus was not included in this analysis due to its ubiquitous presence at all sites studied.

We used preliminary data on the distribution of D. suweonensis collected from 2013 and 2014 to select sites that fulfilled the ecological requirements for this species throughout its whole range (see [37]). This resulted in the selection of 179 sites with a high probability of D. suweonensis occurrence. All sites selected were below 35 m a.s.l. and located in large plains managed for rice cultivation. Another common landscape feature for the occurrence of D. suweonensis among sites was the relatively low abundance of man-made structures (e.g. houses, factories, greenhouses). Auditory surveys were conducted for both D. suweonensis and L. catesbeianus, using the method described by Borzeé and Jang [38]. Surveys were conducted during the weeks of highest calling activity, between 15 May and 21 June 2015, and during the time of day when the two species are known to be active, between 6 pm and midnight [37, 38].

Table 2. Univariate GLM with Bd infection as the dependent variable to assess the effect of the 6 variables collected on the Bd infection status. Temp. (3 mo) and Rain (3 mo) denote temperature and precipitation for three months prior to sampling.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bullfrogs</td>
<td>8.29</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>55.16</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>6.87</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>45.74</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Season</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temp. (3 mo)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rain (3 mo)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>39.65</td>
<td>264</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177860.t002
Each survey was 10 min long and conducted while walking along the longest straight line available in rice paddies. Transect selection was facilitated by the grid structure of modern paddy fields. We tested whether 10 min transects accurately estimate the presence/absence of *D. suweonensis* through 3 replicate samplings at 10 sites. No significant variations in occurrence were found between replicates (repeated ANOVA; $\chi^2 = 0.33; df = 2, P = 0.630$), indicating that this method is effective at estimating the presence of *D. suweonensis* at a site.

**Statistical analysis**

Multicollinearity among all variables was examined prior to the statistical analysis through the use of Variance Inflation Factors (VIF [50]), instead of bivariate correlations to avoid simple pairwise comparisons of correlations [51]. Because VIF values were between 1.003 and 2.340, all variables were included in the subsequent analyses. To assess the factors important for *Bd* prevalence, we ran a univariate General Linear Model (GLM), with *Bd* infection as a dependent variable. The fixed factors were bullfrog presence, species, and sex; and the covariates were season, temperature, and precipitation for the three months prior to sampling. Species, sex, and *Bd* occurrence were binary encoded. Species was either *D. suweonensis* or *D. japonicus*. Season was defined as the number of days after 5 May 2015, the first day for both treefrog species to produce advertisement calls in 2015. We then ran a pair of ANOVAs in order to determine statistical significance for variations in *Bd* infection between (1) sites with and without bullfrogs, and (2) the two Hylid species. Prior to the analysis the homogeneity of variance was tested through Levene’s test ($P > 0.016$), which was subsequently ignored as marginally influential to the data analysis. The data presented relies on the calculation of averages and frequencies to indicate the directionalities in prevalence. Finally, we assessed the impact of *L. catesbeianus* on the distribution of *D. suweonensis* through Fisher’s exact test. All analyses were conducted with SPSS (v. 21.0, SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

**Results**

**Bd prevalence**

*Bd* was detected in both Hylid species, at 31 of 37 sites (83.78%). *Lithobates catesbeianus* were present at eight sites, while both male *Dryophytes suweonensis* ($n = 70$) and *D. japonicus* ($n = 151$) were present at all 37 sites. However, female *D. suweonensis* ($n = 19$) were only present at 11 sites, and female *D. japonicus* ($n = 31$) at 16 sites (Appendix 1).

The results of the GLM indicated that only two variables, bullfrog presence/absence and species, were significant predictors of *Bd* infection ($F = 45.74; P < 0.001$; Table 2). In contrast, sex, season, temperature, and precipitation (averaged for the 3 months prior to sampling), were not significant in our model.

For both treefrog species combined, *Bd* infection was more likely in populations with bullfrogs (71.4%, $n = 45$), than the converse (28.6%, $n = 18$; ANOVA; Mean square = 10.14; $df = 1, 269$; $F = 71.34; P < 0.001$; Fig 2A). When considering the sites with *D. japonicus* regardless of the presence of *D. suweonensis*, 24 out of 37 frogs (35.1%) were infected with *Bd* in the presence of bullfrogs, while 12 out of 145 frogs (8.3%) only were infected with *Bd* in the absence of bullfrogs. When sites with *D. suweonensis* were considered regardless of the presence of *D. japonicus*, 21 out of 26 frogs (80.8%) were infected with *Bd* in the presence of bullfrogs, while only for 31 out of 63 frogs (49.2%) were infected with *Bd* in the absence of bullfrogs. When considering all treefrogs, *Bd* prevalence in *D. japonicus* (40.9%; $n = 36$) was significantly lower (ANOVA; Mean square = 8.98, $df = 1, 269$; $F = 47.56; P < 0.001$) than in *D. suweonensis* (59.1%; $n = 52$; Fig 2B).
Fig 2. (A) Percentage of Korean treefrogs infected by \(Bd\) as a function of bullfrog presence. The graph is representative of the whole treefrog population for both species at sites with bullfrogs and at sites without bullfrogs. (B) \(Bd\) prevalence for each treefrog species in percent. The \(Bd\) prevalence was lower in *Dryophytes japonicus* by a factor of 0.7. For both figures, the vertical thin bars at the center of the percentage thick bars are standard error bars.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177860.g002
Dryophytes suweonensis distribution

In addition to studying the impact of *Bd* across a subset of *D. suweonensis* populations, we also investigated how the presence of bullfrogs influenced the occurrence of *D. suweonensis* at 179 sites (Fig 1). The results of our field surveys showed that *D. suweonensis* was present at 114 sites and *L. catesbeianus* at 22 sites. *Dryophytes suweonensis* was only present at 9 of the 22 sites with *L. catesbeianus* (Table 3). The presence of *D. suweonensis* was significantly negatively related with the occurrence of *L. catesbeianus* (Fisher’s exact test; \( \chi^2 = 5.31, df = 1, P = 0.031; \) Phi = −0.17, \( P = 0.018 \)). This finding indicates that *D. suweonensis* is less likely to occur at sites where *L. catesbeianus* is found.

Discussion

We show that *Bd* prevalence in Korean treefrogs was highest at sites with *Lithobates catesbeianus*, suggesting that this species is a vector or reservoir of the fungal pathogen. We also found a negative correlation between the presence of bullfrogs and occurrence of *Dryophytes suweonensis*. Finally, we found that *Bd* prevalence was much higher for *D. suweonensis* than it was for *D. japonicus*. These findings suggest that either *Bd* infection or *L. catesbeianus*, or possibly both factors together, may play a role in population decline of *D. suweonensis*, thus hastening the possible loss of this species.

Although *Bd* prevalence was high in *D. suweonensis*, it is unknown if *Bd* directly causes declines in this species as mass mortality events such as those reported in other *Bd* infected species [2] were not observed in *D. suweonensis* during > 300 days of field work conducted between 2013 and 2015. It appears that *Bd* may not be causing declines in this species, although sub-lethal effects of *Bd* (e.g. decreased growth, [52, 53]) cannot be ruled out. It is possible that both treefrog species are tolerant to *Bd* infection. Further studies including *Bd* challenge experiments in the laboratory and long term monitoring in the field are necessary. Long term monitoring would also resolve potential false-negative *Bd* prevalence due to low sample sizes, which could have introduced bias if *Bd* had not been detected at more than the seven sites from this study.

One explanation for the difference in *Bd* prevalence between the two treefrog species is that *D. suweonensis* might be immunocompromised due to low genetic diversity. *Dryophytes suweonensis* is an endangered species with a restricted range and a low genetic diversity (Borzée et al., unpublished). Thus, we expected that the genetic bottleneck associated with this species would have had a negative impact on immunity, notably through a loss of variability in the Major Histocompatibility Complex (MHC), which has been associated with *Bd* resistance in other amphibian species (Bataille et al. 2015, Savage et al. 2011, 2016).

The variation in *Bd* prevalence between the two treefrog species may also be explained by the differences in habitat use by the two species. During the breeding season, male *D.
suweonensis produce advertisement calls at the center of flooded rice paddies, whereas male D. japonicus call from the banks of rice paddies, outside of water [54]. Such a niche segregation between the two species results in D. suweonensis being more closely associated with water than D. japonicus. Other studies have shown evidence of an association between increased Bd prevalence and association with water [55]. In addition, D. suweonensis hibernates on the bank of the rice paddies, while D. japonicus hibernates in forests (Borzée et al., unpublished). Differential uses of habitats between the two treefrog species can lead to a higher prevalence of Bd for D. suweonensis than for D. japonicus. Future studies should examine seasonal variations in Bd prevalence and intensity, through quantitative PCR analysis, potentially demonstrating a variation in mortality depending on seasons or during metamorphosis [56].

The difference in Bd prevalence between D. japonicus and D. suweonensis could also lie in behavioral differences. Some species have been shown to behaviorally reduce the chance of Bd infection by positively selecting Bd-free microhabitats [57], or displaying thermoregulatory behavior, such as basking, e.g. Atelopus zeteki [58]. Dryophytes suweonensis is active at higher temperatures than D. japonicus [54], but it is not known whether these two species differ in basking behavior. Thus, despite D. suweonensis being active at higher temperature, a more pronounced basking by D. japonicus could lead to the difference in Bd prevalence between the two species.

The negative impact of L. catesbeianus on D. suweonensis may result from a combination of several factors. Lithobates catesbeianus is a known vector of Bd [26, 59, 60], but it also preys on smaller species [61], using male calls as a detection beacon [62]. It has also been shown to predate on other Korean species, such as Pelophylax chosensis [32, 63] and D. japonicus (A. Borzée personal observation, June 2015; 36.137594°N, 127.381514°E). Thus, D. suweonensis may be avoiding sites where L. catesbeianus occurs. Alternatively, it could also be possible for males to be quiet at sites where L. catesbeianus is present in order to avoid predation. This would result in a larger population of D. suweonensis than recorded, although it would not last longer than the generation length of the species as females would be less efficient at locating males for breeding.

Alternatively, bullfrogs have been shown to compete with other species for food resources, during both larval [64, 65] and adult stages [66]. Lithobates catesbeianus breeds in ditches and deeper aquatic environments than in rice paddies where D. suweonensis breeds. Due to this apparent segregation in habitat, exploitative competition based on resource exploitation between D. suweonensis and L. catesbeianus seems unlikely as the two species use different food resources and egg deposition sites.

Our research highlights that the endangered D. suweonensis is currently at risk of further population declines with the expansion of the invasive L. catesbeianus. It is of prime importance to prevent further expansion of the invasive L. catesbeianus, and to remove individuals already present. We recommend an increase in governmental policies that offer financial incentives for the capture of bullfrogs.
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