JCU ePrints

This file is part of the following reference:

Goodman, Brett (2006)

Ecomorphology, microhabitat use, performance and reproductive output in tropical lygosomine lizards.

PhD thesis, James Cook University.

Access to this file is available from:

http://eprints.jcu.edu.au/4784



Ecomorphology, Microhabitat Use, Performance and Reproductive Output in Tropical Lygosomine Lizards

Brett Alexander Goodman BSc University of Melbourne BSc (Hons) Latrobe University

Thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy School of Tropical Ecology James Cook University of North Queensland

September 2006

Declaration

I declare that this thesis is my own work and has not been submitted in any form for another degree or diploma at any university or other institution of tertiary education. Information derived from the published or unpublished work of others has been acknowledged in the text and a list of references is given

(Signature)

(Date)

Statement of Access

I, the undersigned, author of this thesis, understand that James Cook University will make this thesis available for use within the University library and, via the Australian Digital Theses network, for use elsewhere.

I understand that, as an unpublished work, a thesis has significant protection under the Copyright Act and I do not wish to place any further restriction on access to this work.

(Signature)

(Date)

Preface

The following is a list of publications arising from work related to, or conducted as part of this thesis to date:

- HOEFER, A.M., B. A. GOODMAN, AND S.J. DOWNES (2003) Two effective and inexpensive methods for restraining small lizards. *Herpetological Review* **34**:223-224.
- GOODMAN, B.A., G.N.L. PETERSON (2005) A technique for sampling lizards in rocky habitats. *Herpetological Review* **36**: 41-43.
- GOODMAN, B. A. (2006) Costs of reproduction in a tropical invariant-clutch producing lizard (*Carlia rubrigularis*). *Journal of Zoology* (London) **270**: 236-243.
- GOODMAN, B. A. (2006) The effects of maternal size on clutch traits in a tropical invariant-clutch lizard, *Carlia rubrigularis* (Scincidae). *Amphibia-Reptilia* 27: 505-511.
- GOODMAN, B. A. (IN PRESS) Divergent morphologies, performance and threat behaviours in two tropical rock-using lizards (*Reptilia: Scincidae*). *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*.
- Chapter 3 GOODMAN, B. A. (IN PRESS) Microhabitat separation and niche overlap among five assemblages of tropical skinks. *Australian Journal of Zoology*.
- Chapter 5 GOODMAN, B. A., A. K. KROCKENBERGER and L. SCHWARZKOPF (IN PRESS) Master of them all: performance specialisation does not result in tradeoffs in tropical lizards. *Evolutionary Ecology Research.*
- GOODMAN, B. A., L. SCHWARZKOPF (IN REVIEW) Divergent egg-size relationships in invariant-clutch producing arboreal and saxicolous *Cryptoblepharus* skinks. *Amphibia-Reptilia*.
- GOODMAN, B. A. and J. L. ISAAC (IN REVIEW) Evidence of convergent evolution in tropical rock-using lizards. *Biology Letters.*
- Chapter 4 GOODMAN, B. A. (IN REVIEW) Intersexual relationships between microhabitat use, body shape and morphology in tropical Lygosomine (Reptilia: Scincidae) lizards. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*.

Acknowledgments

Firstly, I would like to thank my supervisors, Andrew Krockenberger, Lin Schwarzkopf and Simon Hudson who provided logistical support, shared with me their respective expertise and provided their time during various stages of my project. I also thank the various funding bodies that provided financial support during the project including the Peter Rankin Trust Fund in Herpetology, Linnean Society of New South Wales grants, Ethel Mary Read research grants, Rainforest CRC, Ecological Society of Australia student grant, Joyce W. Vickery Scientific Research grants, Australian Society of Herpetologists student grant, Royal Zoological Society of NSW and various JCU supplemental IRA's and Doctoral merit research scheme grants, *Newton* magazine and a grant from *Australian Geographic*.

Despite the fact that I began working on these acknowledgments within a month of arriving in north Queensland and beginning my PhD research project. A large amount of what I felt back then remains true to this day. This is simply because many of the people responsible for this achievement had an influence on me and my life, long before I even contemplated the idea of a career in science, let alone a PhD research project. For this reason I thank all of my family, in particular my parents Rod and Bev and my siblings Dean and Narelle; I could never have done it without you!

Perhaps the strangest admission is that at some stages I felt as though I had some level of divine guidance in my biological interests, particularly those of reptiles and frogs. To this end, I'm referring to my grandfather Alex "Dad Brown", whose passion for all forms of life, but specifically insects, took him to both Cairns and Magnetic Island in the 1930's in an effort to examine some of Australia's tropical butterflies and moths. He passed on several years before my birth, unable to tell me of his interests, so there must be some genetic predisposition for my interests in natural history. Certainly, our conversations would've converged on many a likely topic. Thus, perhaps his trek to northern Queensland some 70 years before played a subconscious role in my decision to choose James Cook University in Cairns, but one can never be sure about such things!

The various pieces of equipment (animal house, racetrack, cage heating racks, thermal gradient cages, modifying plastic lizard boxes) designed, constructed and transported were a huge task, without the help of Andrew Krockenberger, Andrew Marsh, Phil Turner, Callum McCulloch, Luciano Incao, Jamie Seymour, Charles Hatcher, Peter Grabau and Rod Armstrong would probably never have come to fruition. A huge number of other people accompanied and assisted me with field work during various phases of the project including, Ben Silberschmidt, John Roth, Doug Maclure, Nigel Carr, Stewart Warboys, Dean Goodman, Dan Munroe, Peter Pauls, Jillian Randle, Dan Salkeld, Julia Scott, Darrell Kemp, Julian Colomer, Paul Drake, Scott Griffin, Patricia Turner, Kris Kupsch, Rolf Nilsson, Lewis Roberts, Peter Douch, John Hill, Michael Anthony [I apologise to those whose names are not here!!]

Obtaining radiographs of several hundred live lizards was a phenomenal effort and I am indebted to the staff of Cairns Breast Clinic, in particular Wendy Waters, Daryl Short and Chris Thompson who provided considerable amounts of their own time to radiograph my lizards, usually at short notice, free of charge.

In addition, I thank many people with whom I formed good friendships over the course of my candidature. Darrell Kemp provided much needed encouragement during an 'uncertain' early stage. Charles Hatcher, Darrell Kemp, Garry Werren (RIP mate!), Stuart Worboys, Darren Peck, Robin Spencer and Callum McCulloch were all great company for a chat over a beer or two. While still living in Cairns my stays in Townsville were always made more enjoyable and comedic by another lizard worker, Dan 'the-flying-man' Salkeld. And after moving to Townsville, leaving, and returning again, several members of the Townsville crew made this experience even more enjoyable including Euan Ritchie, Jen Martin, Stephen Williams, Yvette Williams, Carryn Mannicom, Brad Evans, Jane de Gabriel, Ben Moore, Matt Symonds, Sam Fox and Leonie Valentine. Our stay in the UK and Scotland was helped immensely by Xavier Lambin's research group. In particular, Xavier for providing a non-mammalogist with ample space in his lab, and encouraging me to present results from some of my work on lizards at lab meetings. I also thank Andre Salezcki, Matt Oliver, Edo Tedesco, Vicki Saint, Alex Douglas, Stuart Piertney and Laura Taylor.

I thank the people of Gungardes, especially Ronnie Harrigan and the traditional owners and custodians of Black Mountain for allowing me access to this site, so I could work on some amazing lizards. I thank Beck, Jon Spencer, E. D. Metal, Q. Otsa and P. J. Harvey for their unwavering support and inspiration over the past several years. A final mention must go to my own personal supervisor, Joanne Isaac for her love, help (in all areas) and encouragement over the past three years. Finally, the past four months have placed the rest in perspective thanks to the 'little man', Kai (aka 'The Little').

This thesis is dedicated to the loving memory of Emily 'Nana' Brown

"No feature so uniquely characterizes life as the process of evolution....it is the mechanism that sets apart functional analyses of biological systems from attempts to understand inanimate or man-made structures. Thus, a complete understanding of organismal design by nature should involve a functional analysis, a historical analysis, and an ecological analysis" (Wainwright and Reilly, 1994)

Abstract

Ecomorphology is the study of correlations between morphology and habitat(s) in organisms. If morphology is tightly correlated with habitat, then differences in morphology should directly affect fitness via their effect on performance within specific habitats. Despite the generality of this approach, clear correlations between habitat use, morphology, and performance have been established for few vertebrate groups. Furthermore, no study has examined whether correlations between habitat use and morphology may affect fitness via an effect on reproductive output. This thesis examines the relationships between microhabitat use, morphology, performance and reproductive output among scincid lizards from tropical north east Australia.

My examination of microhabitat use, temporal activity and size for 21 skink species from five assemblages (Alligator Creek, Cairns, Chillagoe, Cooktown and Mt. Bartle Frere), revealed that species separated along two gradients of structural microhabitat use: one that ranged from large rocks to leaf litter, and a second that ranged from closed habitats (high in leaf litter, ground cover, undergrowth, proximity to vegetation and increased canopy cover) to open habitats (low in all these characteristics). Species used microhabitats non-randomly, with species from the same ecotype (arboreal, generalist, litter-dwelling, rock-using) clustering in multivariate ecological space. Despite considerable niche overlap, null-model comparisons revealed only one assemblage (Chillagoe) had greater niche overlap than expected by chance. Assemblages with more species occupied smaller niche space, indicating species packing, however, species with more diverse niches were less evenly packed. Most species overlapped in activity time and size, suggesting that structural microhabitat is the dominant axis decreasing competitive interactions, allowing coexistence within these assemblages of tropical skinks.

Sexual differences in morphology were examined for 18 skink species that occupy a range of habitats. Phylogenetic analyses revealed that females from rocky environments evolved longer limbs and shorter abdomens compared to those from leaf litter. In males, use of rocky habitats was correlated with the evolution of a flatter, shorter body. The use of more open habitats was correlated with an evolutionary increase in limb length and a decrease in abdomen length in females, and an increase in limb length in males. Phylogenetic comparisons among generalist, leaflitter and rocky habitat species revealed that males from rocky habitats were flatter than generalist and leaf-litter species, with females less stockier than males. Selection for body flattening in females appears constrained, or weaker than for males, presumably due to the antagonistic effect of fecundity selection to maximize space for eggs. The more extreme flattening of male lizards from rocky habitats may assist locomotor performance, male-male contests or the use of refugia.

Phylogenetic analyses of males from 18 species revealed a tight positive correlation between sprinting and climbing ability, and climbing and clinging ability. There was no trade-offs among these performance traits, such as that observed in studies of arboreal lizards. Morphologically derived species were better at sprinting, climbing and clinging, which are presumably sufficiently similar tasks for scincid lizards that no trade-offs were observed. Although biomechanical models predict that flatness should enhance climbing speed, there was no evidence that a flat body assisted in climbing in this study. Similarly, biomechanical models predict that long limbs should enhance jumping ability, but no such correlation was observed in my study.

Five conceptual models of lizard locomotion relating to habitat use and morphology (limb length) were examined using 18 species of skink. Both differences and similarities between the sexes in the relationships between microhabitat use and performance were observed. Male and female skinks both responded to increased habitat openness by evolving greater sprint speeds. However, males in open habitats also had faster climbing speeds, and better clinging ability than those from closed habitats; enhanced clinging ability is likely beneficial for increased climbing speed, or correlated selection on these two traits. While these relationships were in the same direction, they were less robust or non-significant for females. Intersexual differences in performance resulting from natural selection for improved locomotor function in particular habitats may be eroded in females by sexual selection (e.g., for increased fecundity). Moreover, specialized leaf-litter dwelling species had poor performance at all performance traits examined, suggesting that these traits were not relevant to specialisation to a leaf litter habitat, or that selection on these traits is relaxed as there is more reliance on crypsis.

Body flattening was negatively correlated with abdominal volume, such that flatter species had lower abdominal volumes. Abdominal volume was strongly correlated with reproductive output (RCM), and flatter species had lower reproductive output. Thus, body shape determines reproductive output by imposing a constraint on clutch mass. The tight correlation between abdominal shape and both RCM and habitat, suggests changes in body shape are adaptive and may have a functional role (e.g., using rock-crevices). Thus, adaptive changes in morphology can influence fitness without affecting performance. This study shows that for this group of Lygosomine lizards there is a clear evolutionary pathway between clutch mass and body shape, with body shape acting as a constraint on clutch mass and therefore, reproductive output.

Structural microhabitat use provides the dominant axis separating and allowing coexistence among this group of diurnal tropical skinks in northeast Australia. Morphological adaptation in this group of tropical lizards to two habitat gradients and in response to three categories of habitat use has led to convergence in morphology and performance, however, sexual differences were detected. The increased use of rocky and/or open habitats by species has led to evolutionary increases in running speed, climbing speed and cling ability, with performance of females lagging behind that of males. These sexual differences in morphology appear to be the result of the antagonistic effects of natural selection for performance, offset by sexual selection that affects the sexes differently. Finally, adaptive changes in morphology and body shape in response to these habitats have led to changes in reproductive output.

Table of Contents

DECLARATION	i
STATEMENT OF ACCESS	i
PREFACE	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
ABSTRACT	viii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	xi
Chapter 1: General Introduction	
	_

What do ecomorphological relationships tell us about adaptation?	
Goals of ecomorphology and additions to Arnold's paradigm	2
Why lizards are good subjects for ecomorphological studies	5
Morphology and performance relationships	5
Performance measures	5
Performance relationships and trade-offs	7
Intersexual differences in morphology	8
Ecology and trade-offs in reproductive output	9
Lizard body shape and reproductive output	9
The importance of habitat use	10
Why more studies of lizards are required	11
Microhabitat use of skinks from north-east Australia	11
Relevance and aims of this study	12
Thesis Structure	12
Chapter 2: General Materials and Methods	14
Study species	14
Descriptions of study sites	15
Alligator Creek – Cape Bowling Green Bay National Park	15
[30 – 60 m ASL]	
Black Mountain – Cooktown [4 – 40 m ASL]	17
Chillagoe [330 – 360 m ASL]	17
Mt. Bartle Frere – Wooroonooran National Park	19
[1400 – 1620 m ASL].	

Trinity Beach – Cairns (0-20m ASL)	20
General skink biology	20
Activity and diet	20
Reproduction in tropical skinks	21
Females	21
Males	21
The effect on an invariant clutch size	22
Lizard sampling and captive maintenance	23
Morphological measurements	23
Performance measures	24
Sprint speed	24
Climbing ability	25
Clinging ability	25
Jumping ability	26
The phylogenetic affinities within this group of skinks	27
Comparative phylogenetic analyses	30
Chapter 2. Microbobitat concretion and nicks overlap	24
Chapter 3: Microhabitat separation and niche overlap	34
among five assemblages of tropical skinks	34
	34
among five assemblages of tropical skinks	-
among five assemblages of tropical skinks Introduction	34
among five assemblages of tropical skinks Introduction Methods	34 35
among five assemblages of tropical skinks Introduction Methods Study Species	34 35 35
among five assemblages of tropical skinks Introduction Methods Study Species Measuring lizard microhabitat occupation	34 35 35 36
among five assemblages of tropical skinks Introduction Methods Study Species Measuring lizard microhabitat occupation Measuring the distribution of available microhabitats	34 35 35 36 36
among five assemblages of tropical skinks Introduction Methods Study Species Measuring lizard microhabitat occupation Measuring the distribution of available microhabitats Structural microhabitat use and niche overlap	34 35 35 36 36 36
among five assemblages of tropical skinks Introduction Methods Study Species Measuring lizard microhabitat occupation Measuring the distribution of available microhabitats Structural microhabitat use and niche overlap Lizard sampling and body size	34 35 35 36 36 36 37
among five assemblages of tropical skinks Introduction Methods Study Species Measuring lizard microhabitat occupation Measuring the distribution of available microhabitats Structural microhabitat use and niche overlap Lizard sampling and body size Statistical analysis	34 35 35 36 36 36 37 37
among five assemblages of tropical skinks Introduction Methods Study Species Measuring lizard microhabitat occupation Measuring the distribution of available microhabitats Structural microhabitat use and niche overlap Lizard sampling and body size Statistical analysis Results	34 35 35 36 36 36 37 37 37
among five assemblages of tropical skinks Introduction Methods Study Species Measuring lizard microhabitat occupation Measuring the distribution of available microhabitats Structural microhabitat use and niche overlap Lizard sampling and body size Statistical analysis Results What structural microhabitat features separate this group	34 35 35 36 36 36 37 37 37
among five assemblages of tropical skinks Introduction Methods Study Species Measuring lizard microhabitat occupation Measuring the distribution of available microhabitats Structural microhabitat use and niche overlap Lizard sampling and body size Statistical analysis Results What structural microhabitat features separate this group of lizards?	34 35 35 36 36 36 37 37 37 38 38
among five assemblages of tropical skinks Introduction Methods Study Species Measuring lizard microhabitat occupation Measuring the distribution of available microhabitats Structural microhabitat use and niche overlap Lizard sampling and body size Statistical analysis Results Mhat structural microhabitat features separate this group of lizards? Is there evidence of structural niche overlap within these	34 35 35 36 36 36 37 37 37 38 38

Do co-occurring species separate along an axis of body size?	48
Is there geographic variation in microhabitat use and	48
niche overlap?	
Is there evidence of species packing?	50
Are assemblages with more specialised niches less evenly packed	1?50
Discussion	50
Chapter 4: Sexual dimorphism: the relationship between body	59
size, body shape and microhabitat use in a lineage of tropical skinks	\$
Introduction	59
Methods	60
Habitat use	61
SUBSTRATE-PERCH USE, HABITAT OPENESS AND	61
REFUGE USE	
SUBSTRATE USE, HABITAT OPENESS AND	61
REFUGE USE	
Lizard sampling and morphological measurements	61
Phylogenetic analyses	62
Results	62
Morphology	62
Substrate use, habitat openness and refuge use	63
Habitat and intersexual differences in morphology and body shape	70
Conventional and phylogenetic ANOVA and ANCOVA	70
based on simulations	
Canonical correlations	70
FEMALES	70
MALES	71
Discussion	71
Body shape and microhabitat use	71
Limb length and microhabitat use	80
Intersexual differences and evidence for fecundity and sexual	81
selection	

Chapter 5: Master of them all: performance specialisation does not	83
result in trade-offs in tropical lizards	
Introduction	83
Methods	84
Lizard sampling and morphological measurements	87
Performance measures	87
Analyses	87
NON-PHYLOGENETIC ANALYSES	87
PHYLOGENETIC ANALYSES	87
Results	88
Non-phylogenetic analyses	88
Phylogenetic analyses	89
Discussion	89
Absence of performance trade-offs	90
Limb length and performance	93
Alternative hypotheses for the role of body flattening	95
Chapter 6: Life on the rocks: microhabitat-performance correlates	97
in a group of tropical lizards	
Introduction	97
Methods	100
Habitat use and performance	100
Analyses	100
NON-PHYLOGENETIC ANALYSES	100
PHYLOGENETIC ANALYSES	101
Results	101
Non-phylogenetic analyses of ecotypes and performance	101
Phylogenetic performance correlations with substrate use,	102
Habitat openness and refuge use	
Correlations between ecological gradients and performance	102
FEMALES	102
MALES	104
Relationships between ecology, morphology and performance	105
FEMALES	105

MALES	108
Discussion	108
Rockiness-to-Leaf-Litter and Open-to-Closed habitat gradients	109
Intersexual differences in performance	113
Chapter 7: Consequences of being 'flat-out': microhabitat	116
selection constrains reproductive output in lizards	
Introduction	116
Methods	117
Measurement of clutch traits	118
Measurement of morphological traits	118
Calculation of abdominal volume	119
Analyses	119
NON-PHYLOGENETIC ANALYSES	119
PHYLOGENETIC ANALYSES	119
Results	120
Non-phylogenetic analyses	120
BODY SHAPE AND REPRODUCTIVE OUTPUT	120
Phylogenetic analyses	121
EVOLUTIONARY CHANGES IN BODY SHAPE AND	
REPRODUCTIVE OUTPUT	121
Discussion	125
Body shape and the evolution of RCM	125
Does a flat body reduce reproductive output?	126
Chapter 8: General Discussion	129
Aims of the thesis	129
Patterns of microhabitat use	129
Relationships between morphology and microhabitat use	130
Morphology – performance relationships and locomotor trade-offs	132
Microhabitat use – performance relationships	134
Intersexual differences in performance	134
Specialist or generalist?	135
Does a flat body reduce reproductive output?	136

Directions for future research	137
References:	138
Appendix I: Divergent morphologies, performance and escape behaviour in two tropical rock-using lizards (Reptilia: Scincidae)	162
Appendix II: Costs of reproduction in a tropical invariant-clutch producing lizard (Carlia rubrigularis)	189