JCU ePrints

This file is part of the following reference:

Sofonia, Jeremy (2006) Sediment responses of corals from inshore reefs, Great Barrier Reef, Australia. Masters (Research) thesis, James Cook University.

Access to this file is available from:

http://eprints.jcu.edu.au/4779



SEDIMENT RESPONSES OF CORALS FROM INSHORE REEFS, GREAT BARRIER REEF, AUSTRALIA

Thesis submitted by Jeremy J. SOFONIA Bsc

for the degree of Master of Science in the School of Marine Biology and Aquaculture at James Cook University of North Queensland

ELECTRONIC COPY

I, the undersigned, the author of this work, declare that the electronic copy of this thesis provided to the James Cook University Library, is an accurate copy of the print thesis submitted, within the limits of the technology available.

Signature

Date

STATEMENT OF ACCESS

I, the undersigned author of this work, understand that James Cook University will make this thesis available for use within the University Library and, via the Australian Digital Theses network, for use elsewhere.

I understand that, as an unpublished work, a thesis has significant protection under the Copyright Act and;

I do not wish to place any further restriction on access to this work

Signature

Date

DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis is my own work and has not been submitted in any form for another degree or diploma at any university or other institution of tertiary education. Information derived from the published or unpublished work of others has been acknowledged in the text and a list of references is given.

Jeremy. J. Sofonia 1 November 2006

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to acknowledge the support provided by my supervisor, Dr. Ken Anthony throughout the course of this project. I would also like to thank Associate Professor. Dr. Bette Willis for her advice, encouragement, and guidance at various stages of this study. Thank you to the CRC Reef for their financial assistance as well as their technical support and guidance. I would also thank the support staff of the Department of Marine Biology at James Cook University, including Gordon Baily, Rob Gigg, Phil Osmond, Vince Pullella, and Damian Thompson as well as those who volunteered their time and effort such as Keith Van Den Brook, Katy Davis, and Adam Pharaoh. Thank you also to the University of Miami, particularly to the library staff at the Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Science, and to my family and friends without whose support this thesis would not have been possible.

ABSTRACT

The role of turbidity and sedimentation is a key problem for nearshore coral reefs worldwide. However, little is known about how sedimentation interacts with other environmental factors such as hydrodynamics, temperature and light and how coral species vary in their sediment responses. Here, I investigate the response of corals to sediment under varying flow, temperature and light regimes in two controlled mesocosm experiments, and then preliminarily examine the role of sedimentation in structuring coral assemblages using a new method for manipulating sedimentation rates in field settings.

The first experiment was designed to test the specific hypothesis that coral stress (using the foliaceous *Turbinaria mesenterina* as a study species) associated with sedimentation is reduced under turbulent flow conditions that prevent long-term sediment deposition on coral tissues. To provide a rigorous assessment of the physiological response, three key physiological parameters were used: tissue lipid concentration, skeletal growth rate and photosynthetic performance (maximum quantum yield). The second experiment investigated interactions between sediment stress and stresses associated with high temperature and light – a problem highly topical in the context of climate change. Lastly, the field experiment consisted of an array of six erosive sediment blocks (plaster of paris and silicate-based sediment) suspended above the fringing reef at Pelorus Island (Queensland, Australia) to simulate replicate sediment gradients. The sediment responses of three coral species (*Acropora formosa, Montipora tuberculosa*, and *Porites cylindrica*) were followed and compared over a fifteen-day sedimentation even, using the relative surface area of tissue lesions/necrosis as the response variable.

Experiment 1 demonstrated that sediment concentrations (or sedimentation rates) of up to $110.7 \pm 27.4 \text{ mg cm}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ had no effect on colony growth rate, lipid concentration or photosynthetic yield in *T. mesenterina* under high flow $(23.7 \pm 6.7 \text{ cm s}^{-1})$ or stagnant conditions. Also, interactions between flow and sediment treatments were non-significant. This is a surprising result that indicates that *T. mesenterina* is highly resistant to sediment deposition under low flow as well as sediment abrasion under wave action. Horizontal colonies subjected to sediment loads of up to 100 mg cm⁻² under stagnant conditions were able to clear their surface within

two hours, suggesting that rapid and energy efficient clearing of sediment is a key mechanism of alleviating sediment stress. These results may explain the success of *T. mesenterina* on reef crests as well as deep reef slopes on highly turbid, inshore coral reefs in the Great Barrier Reef lagoon.

Results of experiment 2 showed that sediment treatments of up to $246 \pm 47 \text{ mg cm}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ had no effect on colony growth rates, lipid concentrations or chlorophyll concentrations in either of the study species under the low (Control) light conditions (190 ± 60 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹). In high light (270 ± 110 µmol photons m² s⁻¹), however, lipid and chlorophyll concentrations declined significantly indicating a bleaching response. Interestingly, temperature treatments (25.5 ± 0.1 and 28.4 ± 0.1 °C) had no effect on the lipid or chlorophyll responses of *T. mesenterina*. Also, sediment, temperature, and light treatments did not interact significantly, further demonstrating that the physiology of this species is highly robust to these environmental stressors. Of the three physiological responses measured, chlorophyll concentration proved to be the most sensitive.

The field experiment (experiment 3) showed contrasting sediment responses among the three study species, consistent with predictions based on growth forms. Specifically, the prevalence of tissue lesions in *M. tuberculosa* (flat, foliaceous) increased significantly with sedimentation rate, whereas *Acropora formosa* and *Porites cylindrica* showed minimal tissue lesions, which were not correlated with sedimentation rates. This result suggests that sediment can act as a selective pressure on coral reefs, potentially related to the functional morphology of the species in the assemblage.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARA	ГІОЛ	ii
ACKNOWI	EDGEMENTS	iii
ABSTRAC	Γ	iv
TABLE OF	CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF TA	ABLES	viii
LIST OF FI	GURES	ix
CHAPTER 1.1 Se	1 GENERAL INTRODUCTION	
CHAPTER : SEDIMENT	2 ASSESSING THE IMPORTANCE OF WATER FLOW O TOLERANCE OF THE REEF CORAL <i>TURBINARIA MESEN</i>	
	troduction	
	aterials and Methods	
2.2	Study Site and Coral Collecting	
2.2.2	Laboratory Experiment	
2.3.3	Determining Flow	
2.3.4	Field Reference Group	
2.3.5	Coral responses	
2.3.6	Data Analysis	
	esults	
2.4.1	Coral Skeletal Growth (change in buoyant weight)	
2.4.2	Coral Lipid Concentration	
2.4.3	Photosynthetic Efficiency	
	iscussion	
CHAPTER		
	ESPONSE IN CORALS	
	troduction	
3.1.2	Description of Study Species	
3.1.3	Coral Collection Areas	
	aterials and Methods	
3.2.1	Experimental Set-up	
3.2.2	Experimentation	
3.2.3	Assessment of the coral stress response	
3.2.4	Data Analysis	
	esults	
3.3.1	Light, Temperature, and Sediment Treatments	
3.3.2	Coral Skeletal Growth (change in buoyant weight)	
3.3.3	Coral Lipid Concentration	
3.3.4	Chlorophyll a concentrations	
3.4 Di	scussion	

CHAPTER 4	EFFECTS OF SEDIMENTS APPLIED TO CORALS IN SITU	
USING A NE	W EROSIONAL BLOCK TECHNIQUE	34
4.1 Intro	duction	34
4.1.1	Description of Study Species	36
4.1.2	Description of Study Area	37
4.2 Mate	erials and Methods	38
4.2.1	Erosion Block Design & Calibration	38
4.2.2	Erosion Block Composition & Construction	40
4.2.3	Experimental Set-up	42
4.2.4	Experimentation	43
4.2.5	Assessment of Stress Response	44
4.2.6	Data Analysis	44
4.3 Resu	ılts	45
4.3.1	Erosion Block Performance	45
4.3.2	Coral Stress Response	47
4.4 Disc	ussion	49
CHAPTER 5	GENERAL DISCUSSION	
5.1 Gen	eral Conclusions	53
REFERENCE	S	57
APPENDIX A		64
APPENDIX B		65
APPENDIX C	·	71

LIST OF TABLES

TABI	LE	PAGE
2.1	Calculated maximum likely error in measuring the physiological response of <i>Turbinaria mesenterina</i> with a given sample size	9
2.2	Results of the full factorial, univariate ANOVA testing for effects of water flow and sediment treatments on the skeletal growth rate, lipid content, and maximum quantum yield of <i>Turbinaria mesenterina</i>	13
3.1	Calculated maximum likely error in measuring the physiological response of <i>T. mesenterina</i> and <i>M. digitata</i> with a given sample size	23
3.2	Results of the full factorial, univariate ANOVA testing for effects of light, water temperature, and sediment treatments on differences in buoyant weight of <i>Turbinaria mesenterina</i> and <i>Montipora digitata</i>	25
3.3	Results of the full factorial, univariate ANOVA testing for effects of light, water temperature, and sediment treatments on differences in lipid concentrations of <i>Turbinaria mesenterina</i> and <i>Montipora digitata</i>	26
3.4	Results of the full factorial, univariate ANOVA testing for effects of light, water temperature, and sediment treatments on differences in chlorophyll concentrations of <i>Turbinaria mesenterina</i> and <i>Montipora digitata</i>	27
4.1	Constants calculated for experimental erosion blocks using various ratios of plaster to sediment	38
4.2	Calculated maximum likely error in measuring the percentage of coral bleaching with a given sample size	44
4.3	Mean sediment concentration from traps collected from all six experimental sites at Pelorus Island	46
4.4	Linear Regression results testing for a significant relationship between the percentage of colony bleaching for <i>Acropora formosa</i> , <i>Montipora</i> <i>tuberculosa</i> , and <i>Porites cylindrica</i> in correlation to the measured seiment concentration	47

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE		E
2.1	Map of Magnetic Island illustrating the coral collection and field experiment locations for this study	6
2.2	Photograph of large monospecific stands of <i>Turbinaria Mesenterina</i> within Cockle Bay, Magnetic Island	7
2.3	Side and plan profiles of the high flow tank design	9
2.4	Mean differences of changes in skeletal coral weight, lipid concentration, and photosynthetic yield between water flow and sediment treatments on <i>Turbinaria mesenterina</i>	4
3.1	Map of Orpheus Island illustrating the coral collection and experimental locations of this study2	.0
3.2	Mean differences of changes in coral weight, lipid concentration, and chlorophyll concentration between the interaction of light, temperature, and sediment treatments on <i>Turbinaria mesenterina</i> and <i>Montipora digitata</i>	8
4.1	Map of Pelorus, Orpheus, and Magnetic Islands illustrating the coral collection and experimental locations for this study	7
4.2	Predicted sediment rates as produced by the experimental erosion blocks with a plaster to sediment ratio of 3:4, particle sizes ranging from 150-600 μ , in flow conditions ranging from 1-15 cm s ⁻¹	-1
4.3	Diagram illustrating the side and transverse profiles of the mold used to create the experimental erosion blocks4	-2
4.4	Photograph showing a typical experimental site setup4	.3
4.5	Results of a Linear Regression showing the measured concentration of sediments correlated to the distance from the experimental erosion blocks and the resulting exponential trend line used to estimate sediment rates at distances up to 1.6 meters from the erosion blocks	.6
4.6	Percentage of coral colony that remained unbleached in relation to the distance from the experimental erosion blocks in <i>Acropora formosa</i> , <i>Montipora tuberculosa</i> , and <i>Porites cylindrical</i>	.8
4.7	Photographs showing a colony of <i>Montipora digitata</i> covered with sediments and necrosis of underlying tissues4	.9