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Abstract

Almost all life on earth is directly or indirectly dependent on phytoplankton primary productivity. In many aquatic systems, phytoplankton primary production is limited by the availability of nitrogen in the environment. Therefore, studying the dynamics of nitrogen uptake and assimilation by phytoplankton cells is critically important for understanding many ecosystem services and global biogeochemical cycles. Mathematical models are particularly powerful tools for analyzing dynamic processes in many areas of ecology, but so far their employment with phytoplankton time-series has been limited. Specifically, published phytoplankton models are unable to explicitly account for the role of different nitrogen forms on cell division and can only be calibrated with time-consuming and impractical monitoring of specific variables. Overall, this thesis aimed to expand previous models by incorporating important processes regulating nitrogen utilization in phytoplankton cells, and by improving their calibration with proxy data routinely monitored in experimental studies.

Nitrate and ammonium are the two most important sources of inorganic nitrogen driving phytoplankton primary productivity. The performance of phytoplankton species changes when reared with either of these two forms of nitrogen individually, as well as when they are both present, or when cells have experienced previous periods of nitrogen starvation. However, current functional responses are unable to capture transient and interactive dynamics of nitrate and ammonium uptake, nor can they capture how these two forms of nitrogen differently influence cell division. Hence, in chapter 2, I designed and empirically
tested a new process-based model that includes uptake of both nitrate and ammonium, as well as the effects of starvation length and inhibition of nitrate uptake by ammonium on phytoplankton cell division. Results for the green alga *Chlorella* sp. showed that a single parameterization of the model performed well across data from laboratory cultures started at 12 different initial conditions. This new model allowed for the first time the quantification of nitrate-ammonium utilization traits of a phytoplankton species. This contributes to a more comprehensive understanding of the factors underpinning the high variation in nitrate-ammonium assimilation observed in natural and engineered systems.

Characterizing resource utilization traits of a species is particularly important for identifying processes promoting biodiversity and ecosystem functioning in nature. Most trait-based studies define species by their mean trait values and assume intraspecific trait variability to be negligible compared to interspecific differences. However, phenotypic plasticity may be an important source of variation in phytoplankton species, which are well known for their ability to rapidly adjust their cell size according to biotic and abiotic conditions. In chapter 3, I used the model designed in chapter 2 to evaluate the effects of cell size plasticity on the nitrogen utilization traits of the green alga *Desmodesmus armatus*, reared under different nitrogen sources (nitrate, ammonium, or both) and nitrogen histories (N-replete and N-deplete). Results showed that nitrate-ammonium utilization traits depended substantially on mean cell size and nitrogen history and that representing phytoplankton species by their mean trait values (as per traditional approaches) could underestimate the actual performance of a species by as much as one order of magnitude. These results highlight the ecological importance of intraspecific
variability in determining the ability of a species to adjust to new environmental conditions.

Biologically, it is well-known that the internal concentration of the most limiting nutrient (cell “quota”) is what determines the growth rate of a cell. Given the critical importance of nitrogen for phytoplankton cell division, monitoring nitrogen quota is important to understand aquatic primary productivity, phytoplankton ecology, eutrophication and algal blooms. However, current methods to directly monitor nitrogen quota remain inaccurate, expensive, destructive, and time-consuming. Thus, in chapter 4, I tested the hypothesis that optical changes in single cells, which can be rapidly and accurately monitored with a standard flow cytometer, can provide reliable proxies for per-cell internal nitrogen. Results from four freshwater phytoplankton species showed that cellular nitrogen quota could be estimated accurately ($R^2 = 0.9$) from cell optical properties and medium nitrogen, and that the relationship did not change among different species or different initial conditions. In particular, red chlorophyll autofluorescence (from here on simply “red fluorescence”) was the most important variable explaining 77% of the total variability in total cell nitrogen. These results indicate that optical flow cytometric variables are a reliable and non-destructive method to estimate nitrogen quota in phytoplankton cells.

Finding an efficient proxy to evaluate cell nitrogen quota is particularly valuable for extending the applicability of phytoplankton models. The internal nitrogen status of a cell is critical to analyze the dynamics of nitrogen-limited phytoplankton populations, but accounting for this process in phytoplankton models requires monitoring per-cell nitrogen quota, which is time-consuming, inaccurate,
and destructive. Instead, the method I proposed in chapter 4 to quantify nitrogen quota using the optical properties of individual cells is rapid, precise, accurate, and non-destructive. Hence, in chapter 5, I evaluated a new way to model phytoplankton populations, consisting in explicitly including cell optical properties as a proxy for nitrogen quota within phytoplankton Quota models. Results showed that accounting for cell optical properties could improve the performance of phytoplankton population models while still accounting for the biologically important process of cell nitrogen storage. More broadly, these findings highlight the importance of identifying proxy variables for the internal condition of an organism when using population models to analyze species dynamics.

The overarching aim of my thesis was to improve current phytoplankton models for the analysis of phytoplankton nitrogen utilization. This was achieved by presenting and calibrating a new mathematical framework describing the dynamics of nitrate-ammonium utilization in phytoplankton populations (chapter 2), by evaluating the effect of mean cell size and previous nitrogen history in determining the nitrogen utilization of a cell (chapter 3), and by documenting the importance of cell optical properties for explaining the dynamics of phytoplankton populations (chapters 4 and 5). These findings improve our ability to identify, analyze, and understand the relationships between nitrogen concentrations in the environment and phytoplankton populations. More broadly, this thesis offers new mathematical tools to better investigate the processes regulating phytoplankton primary productivity in nature and engineered systems.
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Chapter 1: General Introduction

Phytoplankton Nitrogen Assimilation

All life depends on nitrogen. It is the building block from which nature assembles essential biomolecules, including amino acids, proteins, and nucleic acids (Crawford et al. 2000; Capone et al. 2008). The Earth’s atmosphere is composed of 78% nitrogen, but for the most part it is in a form that is not directly usable by most organisms (Wayne 1993; Carpenter & Capone 2008). Only a minority of specialized organisms (e.g. N₂-fixing cyanobacteria and proteobacteria) are able to convert and deliver nitrogen from the atmosphere to the rest of the biosphere (Carpenter et al. 2008). Overall, phytoplankton cells contribute around 70% to global nitrogen assimilation, and they are responsible for 30-40% of the primary productivity on Earth (Duarte & Cebrian 1996; Collos & Berges 2002). Hence, investigating the processes regulating phytoplankton nitrogen utilization is critically important for global nitrogen cycles, ecosystem management, and ecological processes.

Scientific interest in phytoplankton nitrogen utilization has risen consistently in the past few decades, especially due to certain emerging key issues. Firstly, economic development is profoundly altering nitrogen fertilization regimes, both in terms of total concentrations and also relative abundance of different nitrogen types (Domingues et al. 2011; Fowler et al. 2013). The impacts are predicted to increase in freshwater and coastal systems, especially near highly populated areas, with effects that are difficult to forecast (Fowler et al. 2013). Secondly, there is considerable evidence showing that altering total and relative nitrogen fertilization
can destabilize natural food webs and affect ecosystem functioning and plant community structure (Folke et al. 2004; Schimel & Bennett 2004; Boudsocq et al. 2012). For instance, whole-lake experiments have shown that changing fertilization rates can shift an aquatic system characterized by clear-water and nutrient recycling, to an alternative stable state of turbid-water, dominated by toxic cyanobacteria, and with anoxic events and high fish mortality (Smith 1998; Folke et al. 2004). Thirdly, microalgal biotechnology is emerging as an important source of energy and primary metabolites (e.g. sugars, oils and lipids; Mata, Martins & Caetano 2010; Gimpel et al. 2013). The economic sustainability of phytoplankton industrial production also relies on ensuring optimal regimes of nitrogen fertilization of the biomass, avoiding limited growth while reducing excess nutrients in wastewaters (Lardon et al. 2009; Yang et al. 2011). Thus, the interaction between phytoplankton and nitrogen is critically important in many areas of conservation, ecology, and economics.

**Nitrate and Ammonium**

Phytoplankton assimilation of nitrate and ammonium quantitatively dominates the nitrogen cycle (Gruber 2008). However, the assimilation of these two forms of nitrogen differs. Ammonium is easier to assimilate because most amino acids are in the same oxidation state; in contrast, nitrate must first be reduced to ammonium, by means of specialized enzymes, before assimilation can occur (Dortch 1990; Crawford et al. 2000; Gruber 2008). These physiological differences in the assimilation of nitrate and ammonium lead to transitory uptake dynamics in the environment. For instance, phytoplankton cells tend to favor ammonium over nitrate when both are present (Dortch 1990; Domingues et al. 2011). Also, cells recovering from nitrogen starvation require an acclimatization period before they
can assimilate nitrate, while ammonium uptake is not affected (Dortch et al. 1982; Martinez 1991; De La Rocha et al. 2010). Therefore, transitory and interactive processes affect the way in which phytoplankton cells uptake and assimilate nitrate and ammonium from the environment.

**Quota Models**

Fitting process-based models to time-series is a particularly powerful way to analyze nitrogen utilization in phytoplankton cultures. The main advantage of analyzing culture dynamics with process-based models is that it uses functional responses to analyze correlated changes in multiple state variables (i.e. rate of population growth depends on changes in nitrogen uptake and internal storage), conversely to phenomenological (statistical) curve-fitting methods where each state variable is analyzed in isolation. Also, process-based models are built on prior knowledge about how a system works, making their assumptions more transparent. Furthermore, these modeling techniques can account for transient dynamics of a cell, by explicitly incorporating the effect of time in the culture, which is particularly important for phytoplankton systems that are not at equilibrium (Hilborn & Mangel 1997; Bolker 2008; Cuddington et al. 2013).

The Quota model, first introduced by Droop (1968), is today the most successful type of process-based model to describe nitrogen-limited growth in phytoplankton populations (Pahlow & Oschlies 2013). The major innovation of Quota models is that stored nutrients within a cell can allow cell division even in the absence of sufficient external nutrients, as opposed to previous models where instead cell division depended directly on external nutrient concentrations (Droop 1983; Droop 2003; Leadbeater 2006). This assumption of Quota models is largely
biologically justified: phytoplankton cells are adapted to respond to nutrient-limited
conditions by relocating resources from storage molecules (e.g. lipids, 
carbohydrates, proteins, pigments, RNA) to vital metabolic functions (e.g. cell 
division; Dortch et al. 1984). Today Quota models are used both for theoretical 
analysis of phytoplankton dynamics (e.g. Klausmeier et al. 2004; Grover 2011), and 
for analyzing nutrient utilization of algal species in the field or in laboratory 
conditions (e.g. Solidoro et al. 1997; Ducobu et al. 1998). The main advantage of 
using Quota models to analyze nitrogen utilization from time-series of 
phytoplankton dynamics is that it allows calibrating functional responses based on 
all variables in the system, therefore quantifying the flows of nitrogen between the 
environment (i.e. ambient nitrate and ammonium concentrations), the pre-existing 
biomass (i.e. intracellular nitrogen storages), and the newly produced biomass (i.e. 
cell division).

**Improving Phytoplankton Quota Models**

*Multiple Nitrogen Types*

Phytoplankton quota models have been extended to multiple nitrogen sources 
to improve current oceanographic models (e.g. Moore et al. 2002, Litchman et al. 
2006). However, these more complex models have never been directly calibrated to 
experimental data. Instead, their parameters have been inferred from literature 
surveys. Quota models that have been calibrated to phytoplankton nutrient-
utilization data have been, so far, overwhelmingly limited to a single source of 
ambient nitrogen (but see Malerba, Connolly & Heimann 2012). In particular, their 
formulation cannot distinguish between the different effects of nitrate and 
ammonium on cell division. Current analytical methods for the analysis of nitrate-
ammonium utilization in phytoplankton cells are phenomenological. They mainly consist of calculating per-cell rates by dividing depleted medium nitrogen by cell density at two successive points in time, and then fitting a functional response with least-squares techniques (e.g. Dortch 1990; Maguer et al. 2007; Laws et al. 2011; Tantanasarit, Englande & Babel 2013). While convenient for the analysis of systems at equilibrium, these traditional approaches are unable to characterize the mechanism of cell specific growth rate as a function of per-cell nitrogen assimilation. Phenomenological models can estimate how the assimilation of a cell or its growth rate change as a function of nutrient concentrations, but they cannot characterize nitrogen dynamics among the different compartments in the system (i.e. from the medium to the internal quota of a cell and to the newly produced cells). Also, the precision of these approaches is limited, since each point is calculated from two observations only. A different way to analyze phytoplankton nutrient utilization is by fitting process-based models to time-series observations. Differently to phenomenological models, process-based models explicitly characterize changes in response variables (biomass, ambient nitrogen, etc.) as functions of events that drive those changes (nitrogen uptake, assimilation, cell proliferation, etc.). This makes possible calibration of species-specific functional responses on data from all variables at once, thereby characterizing how flows of nitrogen among ambient nitrate and ammonium, internal nitrogen quota, and population density influence one another. Hence, in the first part of this thesis, I developed an alternative mathematical framework for the analysis of nitrate-ammonium utilization in phytoplankton dynamics. To do this, I extended the classic formulation of the Quota model to explicitly account for two different nitrogen sources (i.e. nitrate and ammonium), and to characterize how each one affects
population dynamics. By designing and fitting a new Quota model, it was possible to quantify the different contributions of nitrate and ammonium to the overall rate of cell division in phytoplankton populations. Furthermore, this approach allowed accounting for two factors that are important to regulate nitrate and ammonium assimilation: the transient effect of nitrogen starvation length on nitrate uptake, and the inhibitory effects of ammonium uptake on nitrate uptake.

Possessing a process-based method for quantifying phytoplankton nitrate-ammonium utilization in phytoplankton species also allows investigating what factors regulate nitrogen assimilation in single cells. For instance, the size of a cell is often considered the “master” trait in phytoplankton species (Litchman & Klausmeier 2008). This is mainly because the ability of a cell to assimilate nutrients, photosynthesize, or divide is constrained by its surface-to-volume ratio by first principles of physics and chemistry (Litchman et al. 2008; Barton et al. 2013). However, phytoplankton cells are also known for their remarkable ability to rapidly respond to changes in environmental conditions by adjusting their cell size (Duarte et al. 1996). Potentially, this means that the nitrogen utilization of a species will change depending on the size of the cell. Therefore, chapter 3 tested the hypothesis that phenotypic plasticity in cell size influences the nitrogen utilization of phytoplankton species. I tested this hypothesis by fitting alternative parameterizations of the Quota model designed in chapter 2 to time-series collected from a different phytoplankton species.

**Optical Proxies for Per-Cell Nitrogen Status**

It has long been recognized that phytoplankton cells experiencing nutrient limitation divide at a rate that is proportional to the internal supply of the most
limiting nutrient, and only indirectly dependent on ambient nutrient availability (Caperon 1968; Droop 1968). As a consequence, any attempt to model phytoplankton nitrogen-limited dynamics requires explicit characterization of the dynamics of the internal nitrogen concentration within a cell (Caperon & Meyer 1972; Droop 2003). The traditional approach to estimate nitrogen status in phytoplankton cells is mainly by direct elemental analysis (Shelly, Holland & Beardall 2010). However, these protocols are usually time-consuming, require specialized laboratory equipment, and cannot differentiate between elements derived from live and dead cells or inorganic particles, which can substantially overestimate per-cell nutrient composition (Beardall, Young & Roberts 2001; Shelly et al. 2010). Dynamics of internal nitrogen can sometimes be inferred based on changes in population density and ambient nitrogen depletion (Fujimoto et al. 1997; De La Rocha et al. 2010). Previous experiments showed that phytoplankton Quota models could be fitted to laboratory time-series data even when internal quota is not directly monitored (Ducobu et al. 1998; Malerba et al. 2012). However, this approach is limited to closed and highly controlled laboratory settings, and it generally requires more data and more complex experimental designs. In chapter 4, I explored an alternative method to monitor the per-cell nitrogen concentrations within phytoplankton cells. Specifically, I tested the hypothesis that the cell nitrogen status leads to systematic changes in the optical properties of a cell, which can provide a quantifiable proxy for cell nitrogen concentrations that is non-destructive and more precise to measure than traditional methods.

Using optical analysis to estimate internal nitrogen status in phytoplankton cells can be particularly useful for modeling phytoplankton nutrient-limited dynamics. Calibrating Quota models to data usually requires time-series of daily
observations of multiple state variables. This task becomes particularly time-consuming when, together with ambient nitrogen and population density, also internal cell nitrogen needs to be monitored. Flow cytometric optical analysis of phytoplankton cells is instantaneous, non-destructive, precise, and can be monitored through automated programmable instruments (Collier 2000; Dubelaar & Jonker 2000; Veldhuis & Kraay 2000). Therefore, monitoring the optical information of a culture for calibrating nitrogen-limited Quota models can be vastly more efficient than directly quantifying nitrogen concentrations within cells. In chapter 5, I assess the feasibility and precision of calibrating Quota models to phytoplankton time-series where optical information are employed as a proxy of internal nitrogen.

**Thesis Structure**

The overarching aim of this thesis is to improve the design and broaden the applicability of Quota models for understanding nitrogen utilization in phytoplankton cells. The data chapters in this thesis are divided in two thematic sections, each consisting of two chapters. The aims of each chapter are presented below:

**Part I: Nitrate-ammonium utilization in phytoplankton cells**

- Chapter 1: The aim is to formulate, calibrate, and test a new Quota model that quantifies the nitrate-ammonium utilization of phytoplankton populations, also accounting for transient and interactive effects of starvation length and ammonium inhibition on the nitrate uptake.

- Chapter 2: The aim is to test whether changes in mean population cell size can affect nitrate-ammonium utilization traits of phytoplankton cells.
Chapter 1

*Part II: Optical proxy for internal nitrogen status in single phytoplankton cells*

- Chapter 3: The aim is to investigate whether cell optical properties can be used as an accurate predictor of nitrogen quota in laboratory phytoplankton cultures.
- Chapter 4: The aim is to evaluate the reliability of explicitly accounting for cell red fluorescence as a proxy for internal nutrient status in phytoplankton nitrogen-limited models.

**Publication Details**

Each data chapter is presented as a stand-alone scientific article. As a result, the contextualization of the work in each chapter was broadened to fit the scope of the target journal. Chapter 2 of my thesis is published in Ecological Modelling (Malerba, Connolly & Heimann 2015). Chapter 4 is published in the Journal of Applied Phycology (Malerba, Connolly & Heimann, 2016). Chapters 3 is *in press* in Functional Ecology. Chapter 5 is currently under review in Journal of Theoretical Ecology (JTB-S-15-01491).
Chapter 2: An experimentally validated nitrate-ammonium-phytoplankton model including effects of starvation length and ammonium inhibition on nitrate uptake

Introduction

All living organisms require nitrogen (N) for the production of new biomass. While heterotrophic organisms rely exclusively on organic N from their diet, autotrophic organisms can also absorb inorganic N from the environment (Crawford et al. 2000). Ammonium and nitrate are the two most common ionic (reactive) forms of inorganic N, and their assimilation by plants and photosynthetic algae quantitatively dominates the nitrogen cycle (Zehr & Ward 2002; Gruber 2008). However, the way autotrophic organisms incorporate these two N forms differs. Ammonium is easier to assimilate because most amino acids are in the same oxidation state; in contrast, nitrate must be first reduced to ammonium by means of specialized enzymes and then assimilated (Guerrero, Vega & Losada 1981; Syrett 1981; Berges 1997). This key difference between nitrate and ammonium assimilation leads to different assimilation kinetics in autotrophic organisms, which have far reaching implications in many areas, including understanding changes in species competition (Jackson, Schimel & Firestone 1989; Donald et al. 2011), evaluating the effects of eutrophication (Cox et al. 2009), quantifying fluxes of the nitrogen cycle (Fowler et al. 2013), and analyzing optimal fertilization for industrial production (Michalczyk et al. 2014).
Assimilation of nitrate and ammonium is particularly important for phytoplankton, estimated to be responsible for around 30-40% of global primary productivity (Duarte et al. 1996). Nitrate and ammonium concentrations in natural environments affect phytoplankton ecology, by selecting for different phytoplankton species (Donald et al. 2011) or modifying the risk for algal bloom formation (Dugdale et al. 2007). Because of the importance of nitrogen sources in phytoplankton ecology, numerous studies over the last 40 years have documented a range of processes regulating nitrate-ammonium assimilation kinetics in phytoplankton cells (Dortch 1990; Flynn, Fasham & Hipkin 1997b). Firstly, phytoplankton cells can display different degrees of specialization toward ammonium or nitrate by presenting better kinetic parameters when reared with either source of nitrogen (here referred to as "preference"; reviewed in Dortch 1990). Secondly, supplying ammonium can repress the nitrate uptake of a cell by either altering the activity of specific transport enzymes or by preventing their synthesis ("inhibition"; Morris & Syrett 1963; Berges 1997; L’Helguen, Maguer & Caradec 2008; although not all species are affected, Mulholland & Lomas 2008). Physiological studies have determined that the observed ammonium-induced inhibition is a product of the ammonium assimilation pathway, which often impairs the ability of a cell to assimilate nitrate (Syrett & Morris 1963; Rigano et al. 1979). Thirdly, periods of nitrogen starvation can lead to an initial delay in nitrate assimilation and cell division ("starvation"; Dortch et al. 1982; Martinez 1991; De La Rocha et al. 2010). However, our understanding of these phenomena is incomplete; in particular, we do not know how processes of preference, starvation and inhibition can interact to simultaneously influence phytoplankton dynamics under different nitrate and ammonium concentrations. This aspect can be important
in multiple fields. In nature, phytoplankton communities can be exposed to periods of N starvation with episodic and cyclic resupplies of nitrate or ammonium (Priddle et al. 1997; Young & Beardall 2003a). In aquaculture, imposing periods of nitrogen limitation can increase the quality of the final product by increasing the specific lipid content in the biomass (Griffiths, van Hille & Harrison 2014). Finally, managing aquatic environments also involve regulating nitrate-polluting (e.g. land clearing, agriculture) and ammonium-polluting activities (e.g. human waste discharge, intensive livestock) in order to minimize risks of algal bloom formation (Domingues et al. 2011).

Some time ago, Dortch (1990) called for an improved approach for quantifying nitrogen (N) utilization in single species to make better sense of phytoplankton dynamics in nature. Molecular methods of measuring the activity of assimilatory enzymes can provide important information about N utilization (Fan et al. 2003; Lomas 2004), but quantifying nitrogen uptake and its conversion into producer biomass still requires monitoring total phytoplankton assimilation (either directly with isotope techniques, or indirectly from ambient N depletion) and producer population densities (Bronk et al. 2007). Typically, species-specific kinetic estimates for per-cell nitrogen uptake are calculated by dividing N consumed by cell density at successive points in time, and then fitting a saturating Michaelis-Menten functional response (Maguer et al. 2007; Laws et al. 2011; Tantanasarit, Englande & Babel 2013). While convenient when analyzing rates of N utilization under constant nutrient regimes, this technique cannot tractably capture the functional relationships that govern important processes, such as interactions between nitrate and ammonium uptake and acclimatization following extended starvation periods. Furthermore, the precision of this technique is limited by the fact
that each estimate for per capita uptake rate is based on only two observations at successive times. One way forward is to develop a more process-oriented framework for modeling nitrate and ammonium utilization in phytoplankton also accounting for the interactive and transient dynamics involved in this process.

In this study, we develop, calibrate, and test a model to characterize nitrate-ammonium utilization of phytoplankton populations reared in laboratory conditions, including transient effects of preference, starvation, and inhibition. The only previous models describing nitrate-ammonium utilization in phytoplankton cells (without the effect of starvation) are very detailed, explicitly characterizing the main biochemical processes that regulate the flows between multiple internal pools of different N forms (Flynn and Fasham 1997; Flynn et al. 1997). Such a modeling approach requires estimates of biochemical rate parameters that can only be obtained from expensive and time-consuming measurements that are very rarely made in nitrogen utilization experiments. For example, the ANIM model of Flynn et al. (1997) requires estimates of the shape parameters for the size of the glutamine pool that stops NH₄ uptake (NH₄mGLN), for the maximum size of the nitrate and ammonium internal pools assuming a maximum biomass N:C ratio (NO₃Pm, NH₄Pm), and for the curve characterizing glutamine suppression of nitrate-nitrite reductase synthesis (NNiRhGLN). Indeed, as yet, no comprehensive set of parameter estimates for any such model has been obtained for any species. Our goal here is to sacrifice the explicit characterization of the dynamics of multiple intracellular nitrogen pools, and instead to construct more tractable models whose best-fit parameter values and 95% confidence limits can be estimated from time-series of external nutrient concentrations and population size, variables that are commonly measured in phytoplankton laboratory cultures. Our results show that transient and
interactive processes between nitrate and ammonium uptake play an important role
determining the dynamics of our species. The present approach contributes to a
more comprehensive understanding of the factors underpinning the high variation in
nitrate-ammonium assimilation observed in natural and experimental systems.
Materials and Methods

Model

To analyze nitrate-ammonium utilization in Chlorella sp., we first design a process-based model derived from our current understanding of the biological processes acting on the study system (see Table 2.1 for parameter definitions and units). Our model extends the commonly used “Quota” model for a single nitrogen source, to explicitly account for two different nitrogen sources (i.e. nitrate and ammonium) and how they drive cell division. In the original Quota model, cells are assumed to assimilate a single generic form of nitrogen and divide at a rate that is proportional to their internal nitrogen concentration as follows:

\[
\frac{dN}{dt} = -f_N(N(t)) \times B(t)
\]  
(eq. 2.1 a)

\[
\frac{dQ}{dt} = f_N(N(t)) - \mu_{\text{max}} \times \left(1 - \frac{Q_{\text{min}}}{Q(t)}\right) \times Q(t)
\]  
(eq. 2.1 b)

\[
\frac{dB}{dt} = \mu_{\text{max}} \times \left(1 - \frac{Q_{\text{min}}}{Q(t)}\right) \times B(t)
\]  
(eq. 2.1 c)

where \(N(t)\), \(Q(t)\), and \(B(t)\) represent external nitrogen, internal nitrogen within each cell, and population density respectively as a function of time, \(f_N(N(t))\) represents the functional response quantifying uptake rate as a function of medium nitrogen concentration, \(\mu_{\text{max}}\) is the growth rate of a cell at infinite internal nitrogen, and \(Q_{\text{min}}\) is the threshold of internal nitrogen concentration at which no cell division occurs.

Our formulation extends this framework by allowing nitrogen to be assimilated as either nitrate or ammonium. In doing so, we account for the main
interactions known to regulate nitrate and ammonium utilization in phytoplankton cells (Dortch 1990). We use two saturating functional responses for each nitrogen type:

\[
f_{NO3}(NO_3(t), NH_4(t)) = v_{NO3} \times \frac{NO_3(t)}{NO_3(t) + k_{NO3}}
\]

\[
\times I_{sNO3}(r_{NO3}, t) \times I_{inh}(NH_4(t)) \quad \text{(eq. 2.2 a)}
\]

\[
f_{NH4}(NH_4(t)) = v_{NH4} \times \frac{NH_4(t)}{NH_4(t) + k_{NH4}}
\]

\[
\text{(eq. 2.2 b)}
\]

where \(v_{NO3}\) and \(v_{NH4}\) represent the maximum feasible per-cell uptake rate, and \(k_{NO3}\) and \(k_{NH4}\) specify the half-saturation constants, for nitrate and ammonium respectively. \(I_{sNO3}(r_{NO3}, t)\) and \(I_{inh}(NH_4(t))\) are indicator functions (i.e. functions whose values are either 0 or 1). \(I_{sNO3}(r_{NO3}, t)\) indicates whether or not recovery from starvation has occurred and depends on the starvation status of the cell \(r_{NO3}\). Finally, \(I_{inh}(NH_4(t))\) indicates whether ammonium-induced nitrate uptake inhibition is occurring.

Studies on nitrate assimilation have found that, when a culture is N starved, the conversion of NO\(_3^-\) into assimilated N can require some time to be reactivated. This is because non-constitutive enzymes (e.g. nitrate reductase) need an induction period after extended lack of use. Hence, upon resupply of nitrate, there can be an initial time lag required for a cell to reactivate the N assimilation pathways (Martinez 1991). Previous studies have also found that extended starvation lengths are associated with an increasingly long period required for the culture to acclimatize to nitrate repletion (Martinez 1991; De La Rocha et al. 2010). To reproduce this behavior, we assume that each cell can withstand a period of length
Without displaying starvation symptoms for nitrate. Further increases in starvation time \( t_{st} \) will require a proportionally longer rehabilitation period \( r_{NO3} \):

\[
r_{NO3} = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } t_{st} < d_{NO3} \\
ct_{NO3} \times (t_{st} - d_{NO3}) & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]  
(eq. 2.3)

where \( c_{NO3} \) is the sensitivity of the rehabilitation period to starvation time. During the rehabilitation period cells cannot assimilate nitrogen \( (I_{sNO3} = 0) \), and they return to normal assimilation once rehabilitated \( (I_{sNO3} = 1) \), as per:

\[
I_{sNO3}(r_{NO3}, t) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{when } t < r_{NO3} \\
1 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]  
(eq. 2.4)

Eq. 2.4 imposes a step-function in nitrogen uptake: it is either zero, or equal to its normal predicted uptake. We considered smoother (sigmoid) transition functions, but in practice, the transition from zero to approximately normal uptake was rapid, relative to our daily sampling interval, which meant that the step-function was adequate to characterize the response in our experiments.

Most previous models have assumed the process of ammonium inhibition on nitrate uptake to be a function of ambient ammonium concentration. However, physiological studies have documented that is the assimilation of ammonium (not its external concentration) that inhibits the nitrate uptake of a cell. Furthermore, the form of functional response for ammonium inhibition over nitrate uptake changes depending on the species (Dortch 1990; L’Helguen et al. 2008). In Chlorophyceae and Cyanophyceae, ammonium addition often causes an immediate cessation of nitrate utilization (Syrett et al. 1963; Thacker & Syrett 1972; Pistorius, Funkhouser & Voss 1978; Cullimore & Sims 1981). Hence, in this study nitrate uptake inhibition is assumed to follow a step-function, where the uptake of ammonium above a critical level \( f_{NH4, crit} \) fully represses nitrate uptake:
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\[ I_{\text{inh}}(NH_4(t)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } f_{NH_4}(NH_4(t)) < f_{NH_4,\text{crit}} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \]  \quad (eq. 2.5)

However, alternative functional responses representing a linear or an exponentially decreasing inhibition may be more suitable for diatoms (Parker 1993), coccolithophores (Varela & Harrison 1999) or dinoflagellate species (Collos et al. 2004), and could readily be accommodated within the modeling framework presented here.

The full structure of our nitrate-ammonium model for batch cultures is:

\[
\frac{dNO_3}{dt} = -f_{NO_3}(NO_3(t), NH_4(t)) \times B(t) \quad (eq. 2.6 \text{ a})
\]

\[
\frac{dNH_4}{dt} = -f_{NH_4}(NH_4(t)) \times B(t) \quad (eq. 2.6 \text{ b})
\]

\[
\frac{dQ}{dt} = [f_{NO_3}(NO_3(t), NH_4(t)) + f_{NH_4}(NH_4(t))] \\
- \mu_{\text{max}} \times \left(1 - \frac{Q_{\text{min}}}{Q(t)}\right) \times Q(t) \quad (eq. 2.6 \text{ c})
\]

\[
\frac{dB}{dt} = \mu_{\text{max}} \times \left(1 - \frac{Q_{\text{min}}}{Q(t)}\right) \times B(t) \quad (eq. 2.6 \text{ d})
\]

where \( f_{NO_3}(NO_3(t), NH_4(t)) \) and \( f_{NH_4}(NH_4(t)) \) are specified by eq. 2.2-2.5 (see Fig. 2.1 for conceptual diagram and Table 2.1 for a summary table of model parameters). In this model, assimilated nitrate and ammonium are jointly stored in an internal nitrogen quota compartment whose concentration determines the rate at which the cell divides. Note that, because all parameters in eq. 2.6 c also appear in one of the other equations, it is possible to calibrate the model using only observations on phytoplankton population size and external nitrate and ammonium concentrations, and to infer changes in internal nitrogen from the fitted parameter.
values (De La Rocha et al. 2010; Malerba et al. 2012). Specifically, these fitted parameters determine the concentration of internal nitrogen at which cell division stops ($Q_{min}$) and the initial quota concentrations at the start of each experiment ($Q_{init}$). For experiments in which cells were experimentally starved, $Q_{init}$ was set at $Q_{min}$.

Overall, there are some physiological differences between nitrate and ammonium assimilation in phytoplankton cells that our model had to simplify in order to allow calibration from observations of only medium nitrogen and population size. For instance, assimilated ammonium can only be stored as organic nitrogen (mainly amino acids or N-containing pigments), and therefore its assimilation rate is influenced by the carbon availability of the cell (Crawford et al. 2000). Conversely, nitrate can also be stored in its inorganic form, but its assimilation is dependent on photosynthetic electron transport for the production of reduced ferredoxin (Collos et al. 2002). We experimentally controlled for the influence of carbon limitation by ensuring pH levels below 7, using magnetic stirrers to continuously suspend the cultures, supplying air, and by collecting data at the same time in the diel cycle. Furthermore, high concentrations of ammonium have been shown to become cytotoxic due to formation of un-ionized ammonia from the ammonium ion in response to photosynthesis-induced high culture pH (Kallqvist & Svenson 2003; Yoshiyama & Sharp 2006). In this study, ammonium-derived ammonia toxicity was avoided by maintaining culture pH below 7. The absence of ammonium-induced ammonia toxicity was confirmed in a specific experiment (see N starvation followed by $NH_4^+$ repletion in the Calibration Experiments section).
Experimental Design

Monoclonal 1.2 L batch cultures of the ecologically ubiquitous and commercially important green alga *Chlorella* sp. (Kallqvist *et al.* 2003; Yoshiyama *et al.* 2006; culture accession NQAIF 305, sourced from the North Queensland Algal Culturing and Identification Facility at James Cook University, Townsville, QLD) were reared in standard Bold Basal Medium (BBM; Nichols 1973). Nitrogen was set as the limiting factor for growth in all experimental cultures, supplied at 1/8 and 1/4 the recommended BBM concentration either as sodium nitrate (NaNO₃) for nitrate-BBM, or ammonium chloride (NH₄Cl) for ammonium-BBM, or both (see specific experiment descriptions below). Furthermore, dissociation of ammonium ion (NH₄⁺) into volatile un-ionised ammonia (NH₃) was minimized by ensuring pH levels below 7 by buffering the modified nitrate-BBM and ammonium-BBM media with 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazinethanesulfonic acid (HEPES) at 8 mmol L⁻¹ and NaHCO₃ at 2.38 mmol L⁻¹ (Vaddella, Ndegwa & Jiang 2011). Cultures were kept in a temperature-controlled room at 27±3°C with a 14-10 h day-night cycle at a light intensity of 45 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹. Cultures were continuously mixed with magnetic stirrers at 300 rpm (IKA RCT Basic, IKA Labortechnik, Germany) and aerated with 0.45 µm filtered air (Durapore, Millipore). For all experiments, daily triplicate measurements for cell numbers were collected by flowcytometry (Guava, Millipore, Hayward, CA, USA) and for nitrogen concentrations with the auto-analyser EasyChem Plus (Systea S.p.A., Anagni, Italy), following the manufacturer’s EPA-approved and certified protocols (Systea User Manual, 2011). Glassware was acid-washed (10% HCl) and all culturing materials were autoclaved and handled aseptically in a laminar-flow cabinet (Alternative Environmental
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Solutions fitted with high-efficiency particulate arresting filter, Australia Standards 4260, National Association of Testing Authorities certified).

Time series were collected from 14 different initial conditions of medium NO$_3^-$ and/or NH$_4^+$, starvation treatment, and initial population size across five different experiments: data from the first 4 experiments were used to calibrate the model, while those collected in the last experiment were used for model validation (i.e. confronting the parameterized model with a new dataset not used for calibration). Experimental designs and starting conditions used for the different experiments were as follows:

Calibration experiments

*Single nitrogen source utilization* - To observe the behavior of the species when reared with either nitrate- or ammonium-BBM, two mother cultures were grown in modified BBM medium with the corresponding nitrogen forms and inoculated at 2.5×10$^6$ cells mL$^{-1}$ (n=3). Initial nitrogen concentration was standardized at 400 µmol N L$^{-1}$ of either NO$_3^-$ or NH$_4^+$.

*NO$_3^-$ + NH$_4^+$ utilization* - To observe growth and nitrogen assimilation response to simultaneous and equally concentrated medium nitrate- and ammonium-N, a single nitrogen-replete mother culture was reared in nitrate-BBM and used to inoculate 3 independent replicate cultures. Cultures were inoculated with 4.5×10$^6$ cells mL$^{-1}$ and nitrogen was supplied at 400 µmol N-NH$_4^+$ and 400 µmol N-NO$_3^-$.

*N starvation followed by NO$_3^-$ repletion* - To test for the effect of nitrogen starvation length on the performance of the nitrate uptake system, a single mother culture reared in nitrate-BBM was starved for 6, 8, 11, 14, and 17 days; for each
starvation period a culture was inoculated with 5\times10^6 \text{ cells mL}^{-1} and 400 \mu\text{mol N-NO}_3^- \text{ L}^{-1} and monitored until stationary phase.

\textit{N starvation followed by NH}_4^+ \textit{ repletion} – To confirm that starvation only affected nitrate assimilation, but not ammonium assimilation, and that our range of ammonium concentrations did not produce cytotoxic effects (both processes not included in the model), a single mother culture reared in ammonium-BBM was used to inoculate 4 independent cultures at 5\times10^6 \text{ cells mL}^{-1}, with a factorial design of 2 levels of starvation (0 and 17 days), each with 2 levels of initial ammonium concentration (200 and 800 \mu\text{mol N-NH}_4^+ \text{ L}^{-1}). The experiment confirmed that NH}_4^+ was assimilated immediately, irrespective of starvation status, and that rates for the highest population growth did not differ between low and high ammonium concentrations (data not shown).

\textbf{Validation experiments}

\textit{Ammonium-acclimatization after N starvation} - To test whether acclimatizing cells with nitrogen resupplied as ammonium could eliminate the starvation effect on initial nitrate assimilation, a single 17-day starved mother culture was used to inoculate 3 independent cultures at an initial density of 5\times10^6 \text{ cells mL}^{-1} and 500 \mu\text{mol N-NH}_4^+ \text{ L}^{-1}. When ammonium was depleted, an additional 1000 - 1500 \mu\text{mol N-NO}_3^- \text{ L}^{-1} was supplied to test the response of the same culture under repleted conditions. These trajectories were compared to 2 additional equally inoculated cultures that did not receive ammonium acclimatization but an equal concentration of 500 \mu\text{mol N-NO}_3^- \text{ L}^{-1}.
Model Calibration

We used maximum likelihood methods to fit eq. 2.6 to data from the first four experiments simultaneously. This way, model predictions generated from a single set of parameters were confronted with time series from 12 different combinations of initial nitrate, ammonium, population density, and starvation length. Note that all of the parameters that influence the dynamics of the unobserved state (internal quota, \( Q(t) \)) also appear in the equations for the dynamics of one of the observed states. Flux into the quota can be inferred from changes to medium nitrate and ammonium, while flux out of the quota can be inferred from changes in population density. Note that this would not be true if we had multiple internal pools *sensu* Flynn *et al.* (1997a) or Flynn *et al.* (1997b), with fluxes between them. Indeed, when we explored a model with a glutamine pool between inorganic nitrogen uptake and a fully assimilated internal quota, numerical algorithms failed to converge on best-fit parameters because compensatory changes in model parameters involving the flux between the glutamine pool and the internal quota produced equally good fit to the data (results not shown).

Two different sources of error can affect parameter calibration from time-series data: process noise and observation error (Hilborn *et al.* 1997; Bolker 2008). Process noise refers to unexplained variation due to natural stochasticity in the culture dynamics, while observation error refers to unexplained variation caused by measurement error. The appropriate way to fit the model and calculate parameter best estimates depends on which type of error dominates in the system. When process noise dominates over observation error, then measurements for the state variables will generally be much closer to the system’s true state than the predicted
values, so observed states at time $t$ should be used to predict states at time $t+1$.

Conversely, when observation error dominates over process error, then model predictions are likely to be closer to the true values of the state variables than the measured values, so predicted states at time $t$ should be used to predict states at time $t+1$.

For highly controlled microbial laboratory systems, observation error is often quite small, and it is reasonable to assume that process noise dominates the random variation in the data (Bolker 2008). Indeed, in our data, there was strong evidence that this was the case: the standard deviation from the triplicate independent readings offers an independent estimate of the magnitude of the observation error and was usually less than 5% of the total error calculated from model calibration. Consequently, standard one-step ahead techniques were employed to account for process noise during parameter calibration (Hilborn et al. 1997; Bolker 2008). For our dataset, the function for the negative log-likelihood score ($-L$) assuming normally-distributed process noise is:

$$-L_{t,i} \{ Y_{t,i} \mid f(Y_{t-1,i}), \sigma_i^2 \} = -\frac{n}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{n}{2} \ln(\sigma_i^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma_i^2} \times \left( Y_{t,i} - f(Y_{t-1,i}) \right)^2$$  (eq. 2.7)

where $i$ indexes each state variable (i.e. nitrate, ammonium, and population density), $t$ indicates time (days since the start of the experiment), $n$ is the length of the time series, $\sigma_i^2$ is the variance of state variable $i$, and $Y_{t,i}$ and $f(Y_{t-1,i})$ are the observed value of state variable $i$ at time $t$, and the corresponding model predicted value (calculated from eq. 2.6 based on the observed state at time $t-1$), respectively. Maximum likelihood parameter estimates were obtained by minimizing eq. 2.7 summed across all $t$, all $i$, and all calibration experiments using the unconstrained
general-purpose optimization function \textit{nlminb} in the software program R (R Core Team 2014).

\textit{Model Selection}

To assess how the parameterization of individual functional responses contributed to the model fit, we compared the fit of the fully parameterized model against reduced versions, where particular functional responses were simplified or excluded. We used Akaike’s Information Criterion (AIC) to select the best fitting model. The best model was the one that minimized the formula $-2L + 2k$, where $L$ is the log-likelihood score and $k$ is the total number of calibrated parameters (Bozdogan 1987). The model with the lowest AIC is the estimated best model. In general, models within 2 AIC units of the best model should be considered as having significant statistical support (Burnham & Anderson 2002). We also confirmed that alternative model selection techniques of Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) and Likelihood Ratio Testing produced the same conclusions as AIC. For the best model, we calculated 95\% profile likelihood confidence intervals for each of the estimated parameters and we evaluated the model’s goodness of fit by inspecting how evenly the residuals were distributed across replicate cultures for each day of the different experiments.

\textit{Model Validation}

While experiments including different degrees of starvation or simultaneous exposure to nitrate and ammonium include unique information about the behavior of the species, single nitrogen utilization and acclimatization experiments include information also present in at least one other experiment. Time-series from the
acclimatization experiment (see section *Acclimatization after N- Starvation*)

represent the most novel and challenging set of initial conditions. Therefore, the
data from this experiment were excluded from model calibration and were instead
used for model validation: after using data from the first 4 experiments to select the
best model, and to estimate the maximum likelihood parameter estimates for that
model, the same parameter values were used to predict the trajectories of the 5 other
independent cultures inoculated with 17-day starved cells, re-supplied with either
ammonium (n=3) or nitrate (n=2), and finally supplied with a pulse of new nitrate.

To quantify the fit, a standard coefficient of determination ($R^2_{pred}$) between the
model prediction and the observed values for each state variable of each experiment
was calculated as follows:

$$R^2_{pred} = 1 - \frac{\sum_{c=1}^{n} \sum_{d=1}^{7} (y_{c,d} - \bar{y}_{c,d})^2}{\sum_{c=1}^{n} \sum_{d=1}^{7} (y_{c,d} - \bar{y}_{c})^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (eq. 2.8)

where $c$ indexes the independent cultures, $d$ indexes the observations for each day
within each culture, $y_{c,d}$ is the observed value from culture $c$ at day $d$, $\bar{y}_{c,d}$ is the
 corresponding predicted value generated by the calibrated model with eq. 2.6, and
$\bar{y}_{c}$ is the mean value from culture $c$ across the time-series (Turchin 1996; Lindstrom
*et al.* 1999). To further evaluate the predictive ability of the model, we also report
the $R^2_{pred}$ coefficients from the only other possible cross-validation configuration,
where the *single nitrogen source utilization* experiments were used as validating
datasets, and all other data were used as training datasets.
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Results

Model calibration and model selection

The model described the data for our first four experiments reasonably well (Figs. 2.2-2.4). Model selections with AIC and BIC both indicated that observations of *Chlorella* sp. were best described by a model assuming linear nitrate and ammonium assimilation and incorporating nitrate starvation and ammonium-induced nitrate uptake inhibition (Table 2.2). Alternative model selection technique of Likelihood Ratio Testing produced identical conclusions (results not shown). Maximum likelihood estimates and 95% confidence limits were successfully identified for all model parameters, and they indicate that *Chlorella* sp. exhibited a higher per-cell uptake rate for nitrate over ammonium (compare $w_{NO3}$ and $w_{NH4}$ in Table 2.1).

The first experiments with nitrate- and ammonium-only tested the model against data from two single-N experiments, and showed that, in the absence of starvation or multiple N sources, observed trajectories are well characterized by the model: residual variation was small compared to the mean and symmetrically distributed around zero (Fig. 2.2), except during days 3 and 4 for the ammonium cultures, where ammonium uptake rates were consistently lower than those predicted by the model. This is apparent in Fig. 2.2 C by arrowheads (model predictions) pointing consistently below observed values at days 3 and 4, yielding positive residuals across all replicates for those days. The second experiment tested the model against time-series of *Chlorella* sp. reared with nitrate and ammonium simultaneously, which the model also captured reasonably well (Fig. 2.3). This included up to an approximately one-day delay in nitrate uptake due to fast rates of
ammonium uptake, followed by a return to rapid nitrate uptake by the second day (initial horizontal trajectories, followed by rapid declines in Fig. 2.3 A). There was a time delay between N assimilation and population growth that the model did not capture: the rapid increase in population density resulting from the substantial $\text{NO}_3^-$ + $\text{NH}_4^+$ uptake at day 2 was predicted to take place at day 3, but was mainly observed at days 4 and 5. This is apparent by arrowheads pointing above the observed values (all negative residuals) at day 3, while pointing consistently below the observed values (all positive residuals) at days 4 and 5 (Fig 2.3 C). However, the overall good fit indicates that the model was able to simultaneously (i.e., with the same fitted parameters) explain both nitrate- and ammonium-only growth, as well as growth with the two nutrients in equal quantities, with relatively small errors in the timing of when the most rapid changes occurred.

N starvation had an important effect on nitrate uptake in *Chlorella* sp. (Fig. 2.4 A-B). Model selection favored a functional response in which activation of the nitrate uptake system is delayed by a brief (<1 day) period that is proportional to the length of starvation experienced before the experiment (Table 2.2), which the model captured well across all starvation treatments (residuals approximately evenly spread among negative and positive values in Fig. 2.4 A). Data on population growth for N-starved cultures resupplied with nitrate showed evidence of temporal correlation in the residuals. Population size trajectories were under-predicted by the model, with arrowheads consistently pointing below observed values (mostly positive residuals in Fig. 2.4 B). This indicates that cells displayed greater efficiency in converting N into new cells than the model could capture. Starvation only affected nitrate uptake, as ammonium assimilation did not show any delays, even after 17 days of starvation (data not shown).
Model validation

Using parameter estimates from fits of the previous four experiments, data from acclimatization experiments (see section *Acclimatization after N starvation* in material and methods) were used to test the predictive power of the model. $R^2_{pred}$ coefficients were generally very high (0.86-0.99; Fig. 2.5). Acclimatizing 17-day starved cultures with a preliminary pulse of ammonium removed any initial delay in nitrate assimilation (no nitrate uptake delay after day 5 in Fig. 2.5 B). Conversely, acclimatizing equivalently starved cells with nitrate showed an initial 24-hour delay in nitrate uptake and cell division that is consistent with nitrate starvation experiments (cf. horizontal orange arrows at day 0 for 17-day starved treatment in Fig. 2.4 A with two horizontal arrows at day 0 in Fig. 2.5 E). Once cells recovered from starvation, the rate of per-cell nitrate assimilation did not differ between nitrate- and ammonium-acclimatized cultures (comparable uptake at day 5 between Fig. 2.5 B and 2.5 E). The only lack of fit occurred between days 1 and 3, where the model predicted a slower rate of ammonium depletion (arrows pointing consistently above observed values, yielding negative residuals for these days in Fig. 2.5 C) than occurred in the cultures. Finally, the excellent prediction ability of the model was further confirmed by repeating cross-validation using the *single nitrogen utilization experiments* as validating datasets and all other experiments as training datasets: $R^2_{pred}$ for all state variables were between 0.85 and 0.99, very similar to the $R^2_{pred}$ values reported in our original validation experiment (Fig. 2.5). Because fitted and predicted trajectories in this second exercise were virtually identical to those shown in Figs. 2.2-2.5, we have not reproduced them here.
Table 2.1: Summary table of model state variables and parameters. Maximum likelihood estimates are reported only for those parameters in the model selected by AIC (cf. Table 2.2 for AIC scores). Hence, parameter best estimates for the functional response for the hyperbolic nitrogen uptake were not reported as the best-fitting model has a linear uptake. Also $d_{NO3}$ was not reported, as the best-fitting model does not have delayed nitrate starvation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Definition (units)</th>
<th>Mean (± 95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$NO_3$</td>
<td>Nitrate in medium ($\mu$mol NO$_3^-$ L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$NH_4$</td>
<td>Ammonium in medium ($\mu$mol NH$_4^+$ L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q$</td>
<td>Nitrogen quota ($\mu$mol N cell$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B$</td>
<td>Population density (cell L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nu_{NO3}$</td>
<td>Maximum per-capita nitrate uptake rate ($\mu$mol NO$_3^-$ cell$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{NO3}$</td>
<td>Nitrate half-saturation constant ($\mu$mol NO$_3^-$ L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_{NO3}$</td>
<td>Rate of daily per-capita nitrate uptake (cell$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>9.82 (9.03-10.5) $\times 10^{-11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nu_{NH4}$</td>
<td>Maximum per-capita ammonium uptake rate ($\mu$mol NH$_4^+$ cell$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{NH4}$</td>
<td>Ammonium half-saturation constant ($\mu$mol NH$_4^+$ L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_{NH4}$</td>
<td>Rate of daily per-capita ammonium uptake (cell$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>6.74 (5.88-7.17) $\times 10^{-11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_{NO3}$</td>
<td>Recovery rate of the nitrate assimilation systems following a period of nitrogen starvation (days$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.088 (0.074-0.093)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_{NO3}$</td>
<td>Length of nitrogen starvation that a cell can withstand without displaying negative effects on nitrate assimilation systems (days)</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_{NH4,crit}$</td>
<td>Critical ammonium uptake rate above which the nitrate uptake of a cell is repressed ($\mu$mol NH$_4^+$ L$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>1.08 (1.02-1.21) $\times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_{min}$</td>
<td>Per-capita minimum nitrogen quota ($\mu$mol N cell$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>3.10 (2.36-3.67) $\times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_{max}$</td>
<td>Growth rate at infinite nutrient storage (day$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>16.10 (9.02-19.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_{st}$</td>
<td>Experimental period of nitrogen starvation imposed on the culture before the experiment (days)</td>
<td>Fixed experimentally</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{NO3}$</td>
<td>Length of starvation-induced delay before a cell can resume nitrate uptake (days)</td>
<td>Calculated via eq. 2.3 from $c_{NO3}$, $d_{NO3}$, and $t_{st}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2.2: Formal model selection with Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) and Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) between functional responses for nitrate and ammonium uptake ($f_N$), rehabilitation time following nitrogen starvation ($\tau_N$), and ammonium-induced inhibition on nitrate uptake ($I_{inh}$). We compared the fit of the full model against reduced versions, where particular functional responses were simplified or excluded from the fully parameterized model. Biological interpretation and scores for maximum negative log-likelihood ($-L$), AIC, difference in AIC ($\Delta$AIC), BIC, difference in BIC ($\Delta$BIC) are reported between competing models for each functional response (FR), with their corresponding number of calibrated parameters in brackets (# pars). Boldface indicates the estimated best-fitting model and therefore the one employed for parameter estimation. See eq. 2.2-2.5 for full functional responses, Model Selection section in Material and Methods, and Table 2.1 for parameter definitions, units, and calibrated values.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FR</th>
<th>Competing models for each FR [# pars]</th>
<th>Nitrate (N=NO₃)</th>
<th>Ammonium (N=NH₄)</th>
<th>Biological Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-L</td>
<td>AIC</td>
<td>ΔAIC</td>
<td>BIC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( f_N ) (1)</td>
<td>( \frac{w_N}{N} \times N(t) )</td>
<td>[23]</td>
<td>-3068 6182 0 6277 0</td>
<td>-3068 6182 0 6277 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>( v_N )</td>
<td>[23]</td>
<td>-3156 6358 176 6453 176</td>
<td>-3189 6424 242 6519 242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>( v_N \times \frac{N(t)}{N(t)+k_N} )</td>
<td>[24]</td>
<td>-3068 6184 2 6283 6</td>
<td>-3068 6184 2 6283 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( r_{NO₃} ) (1)</td>
<td>( \begin{cases} 0 &amp; \text{if } t_{st} &lt; d_{NO₃} \ c_{NO₃} \times (t_{st} - d_{NO₃}) &amp; \text{otherwise} \end{cases} )</td>
<td>[24]</td>
<td>-3068 6184 2 6283 6</td>
<td>Starvation-induced delay on nitrate uptake &lt;br&gt; (1) Linearly proportional to starvation length after ( d_N ) days of starvation &lt;br&gt; (2) Linearly proportional to starvation length &lt;br&gt; (3) No starvation effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>( \begin{cases} 0 &amp; \text{if } t_{st} &lt; d_{NO₃} \ c_{NO₃} \times t_{st} &amp; \text{otherwise} \end{cases} )</td>
<td>[23]</td>
<td>-3068 6182 0 6277 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>[22]</td>
<td>-3133 6310 128 6401 124</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( l_{inh} ) (1)</td>
<td>( \begin{cases} 1 &amp; \text{if } f_{NH₄}(NH₄(t)) &lt; f_{NH₄,crit} \ 0 &amp; \text{otherwise} \end{cases} )</td>
<td>[24]</td>
<td>-3068 6184 0 6283 0</td>
<td>Ammonium-induced inhibition on nitrate uptake: &lt;br&gt; (1) Threshold at ( f_{NH₄,crit} ) &lt;br&gt; (2) No Inhibition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>[23]</td>
<td>-3093 6232 48 6327 44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2.1: Model diagram for nitrate ($\text{NO}_3^-$) and ammonium ($\text{NH}_4^+$) utilization in phytoplankton cells. The double-lined ellipse represents the cell wall of a single cell. Squares indicate the state variables for the different forms of nitrogen, either in the medium or inside the cell. The diamond represents the starvation length. Black arrows describe nitrogen flows in the system. Grey arrows indicate inhibitor mechanisms on the per-cell nitrate uptake rate. Nitrate and ammonium are taken up through the membrane and stored inside the cell. The production of new cells (dashed lines) is a saturating function of internal nitrogen concentration ($Q$), whose shape is determined by the parameters for maximum growth rate ($\mu_{\text{max}}$) and minimum internal nitrogen ($Q_{\text{min}}$). See Table 2.1 and eq. 2.1-2.6 for details on model formulation.
Figure 2.2: Time-series for medium nitrogen (A, C) and population size (B, D) for *Chlorella* sp. reared in batch culture with either nitrate (A, B) or ammonium (C, D) as the only nitrogen sources. Each symbol type is an independent replicate culture and each point is the mean between three replicate measurements for that culture. Arrows show one-step ahead predictions for the best-fit model assuming process noise, where the observed values at time $t$ (base of arrows) are used in eq. 2.6 to predict values at time $t+1$ (arrowheads; see calibration section). Line graphs
beneath each panel connect the residuals between model predictions and
observations for each day and are color coded for each culture. Vertical axes are
scaled consistently across the two sub-panels.
Figure 2.3: Time-series and model fit for medium nitrate (A), medium ammonium (B), and population size (C) for *Chlorella* sp. reared in the presence of both forms of nitrogen. Each symbol type is an independent replicate culture and each point is the mean between three replicate measurements for that culture. Arrows (top panel) and lines (bottom panel) show the predicted values for the best-fit model and its residuals. See Fig. 2.2 legend for further details.
Figure 2.4: Time-series and model fit for medium nitrate (A) and population size (B) of a nitrogen-starved inoculum of *Chlorella* sp. resupplied with nitrate. Legend indicates the length (days) of starvation imposed before starting the experiment. Each point represents the mean between three replicate measurements for each culture. Arrows (top panel) and lines (bottom panel) show the predicted values for the best-fit model and its residuals. See Fig. 2.2 legend for further details.
Figure 2.5: Model validation with time-series from a 17-day starved inoculum of *Chlorella* sp. not included during model calibration. Left column – Time-series for population size (A) resupplied at day 0 with ammonium (B) and at day 5 with nitrate (grey dashed vertical line in C). Right column – Time-series for population size (D) resupplied with nitrate (E) both at day 0 and at day 5. Each symbol type is an independent replicate culture and each point is the mean between three replicate measurements for that culture. Arrows (top panel) and lines (bottom panel) show...
the predicted values for the best-fit model and its residuals. See Fig. 2.2 legend for further details.

**Discussion**

Our results show that a single set of model parameters can characterize well the coupled dynamics between nitrate and ammonium uptake and cell division in the green algal species *Chlorella* sp. reared in batch culture under a wide range of initial conditions. Analyzing nitrate-ammonium utilization with the present model allowed us to quantify functional responses for assimilation of nitrate and ammonium individually or combined, as well as effects of nitrogen starvation or ammonium-induced nitrate uptake inhibition on nitrate uptake. Furthermore, high $R^2_{pred}$ scores recorded during model validation suggest that the model describes culture trajectories well even under novel experimental conditions.

While previous studies have quantified assimilation responses to a few particular starvation lengths, the present study is the first to calibrate a functional response quantifying delay in nitrate uptake as a continuous function of starvation length. The physiological reason for such delay is a slow recovery in nitrate-reductase activity following nitrogen starvation, which acts as the limiting step for nitrate assimilation and amino acid incorporation into proteins (Dortch *et al.* 1982). This explanation is also consistent with our observed absence in a delay for ammonium uptake following starvation, as no enzymatic reduction is required for its assimilation (Crawford *et al.* 2000). Proteins involved in the reduction of nitrate to organic nitrogen were recorded to decrease by 60-90% in the diatom *Thalassiosira pseudonana* at the onset of nitrogen starvation (Hockin *et al.* 2012). Different species display variable delay times to starvation: 1 and >2.5 hours delays
for 3 and 4 days of starvation (Martinez 1991) and 2 hours for 3 days of starvation for the diatom *Skeletonema costatum* (Dortch et al. 1982), 8 hours for 3 days of starvation for the dinoflagellate *Amphidinium carterae* (Dortch et al. 1982), and no delay for the green alga *Dunaliela tertiolecta* (Dortch et al. 1982). These observed delay times are comparable with presently calibrated functional responses for *Chlorella* sp., where 3 and 4 days of starvation would correspond to 5 and 7 hours of delay, respectively. In nature, some species, mostly diatoms, form fortnightly algal blooms near estuaries correlated with tidal cycles (Parsons et al. 1983; Zamon 2002). One potential explanation is that, within phytoplankton communities, some species are adapted to respond quickly to nutrient fluxes after extended starvation periods (Largier 1993). This hypothesis could be tested by calibrating and comparing the shapes of the functional responses for the recovery rate of nitrate uptake to nitrogen starvation ($r_{NO_3}$) for species that commonly produce algal blooms, and those that do not.

Interestingly, the effect of starvation on nitrate assimilation was removed when starved cultures were first acclimated with ammonium before supplying nitrate (Fig. 2.4). This result is consistent with earlier work on *Chlorella vulgaris*, where the production of nitrate reductase, a specific enzyme required for nitrate assimilation, increased when cells were reared in ammonium following N starvation (Morris & Syrett 1965). Also the marine haptophyte *Isocrysis galbana* registered a low but constant production of nitrate reductase when reared with ammonium as the only nitrogen source (Flynn et al. 1993). In contrast, synthesis of nitrate reductase did not occur in cells of the freshwater rhodophyte *Cyanidium caldarium* grown in ammonium-based media (Rigano & Violante 1973). The lack of a clear trend across
species poses further complications in our understanding of the general role of nitrate and ammonium fluctuations in the regulation of phytoplankton communities.

Analyzing episodes in which the model failed to reproduce observed trajectories can indicate mis-specification of functional forms or implicate biological responses not explicitly incorporated in the model. For instance, discrepancies between the data and our model indicated that the rate at which assimilated nitrogen was converted into new cells was more rapid in cultures recovering from nitrogen starvation compared to replete conditions (mostly positive residuals in Fig 2.3B). A possible explanation is that cells with insufficient nitrogen for production of new biomass will channel excess carbon produced from photosynthesis into storage molecules such as triglyceride or starch (Zhang et al. 2013). This produces an increase in the C:N ratio that can enhance growth rate following nitrogen resupply (Bittar et al. 2013). Chlorella sp. has been documented to display a significant increase in lipid and carbohydrate contents in response to nitrogen starvation conditions (Zhang et al. 2013). Thus, one area for refinement for our model would be to explicitly account for the relationship between nitrogen starvation, carbon content of a cell, and per-cell rate of population growth.

Despite decades of research, the role of different nitrogen sources on the ecology of autotrophic communities remains unclear (Schimel et al. 2004; Boudsocq et al. 2012). For phytoplankton, this problem has implications for a broad range of aquatic systems: from coastal areas, where seasonal precipitation or tidal regimes drive large fluctuations in nitrogen availability at multiple scales, to inland basins, experiencing temporally variable anthropologic nitrogen release from fertilizers or waste-water discharge (Domingues et al. 2011). The present data on
nitrate and ammonium assimilation clearly show that there are major differences in how cells take up and assimilate these two different nitrogen sources. The modeling approach presented and tested here can contribute to our understanding of how different nitrogen supplies influence growth and interactions of phytoplankton communities in nature.
Chapter 3: Intraspecific cell size plasticity influences phytoplankton nitrate-ammonium utilization traits

Introduction

Explaining ecological communities and ecosystem functioning requires considering how multiple morphological and functional characteristics of a wide range of species change along various environmental gradients (McGill et al. 2006). Therefore, in ecology it is often advantageous to describe species not by their taxonomic identity, but rather by well-defined, measurable properties of a species that determine its performance (“functional traits”; McGill et al. 2006; Albert et al. 2010). When examining the distribution of a species and how it changes with biotic and abiotic factors, trait-based approaches allow far greater generality and predictability (e.g. plants with dense canopy are more temperature-tolerant) compared to more traditional nomenclature approaches focusing on species identities (e.g. species X grows better at temperature Y; Lavorel et al. 1997; Violle et al. 2007).

Ecological studies have often assumed that intraspecific variability in functional traits is negligible compared to interspecific differences (Albert et al. 2010; Albert et al. 2011). However, a growing number of studies challenge this assumption. In particular, phenotypic plasticity, a major source of intraspecific variability in species traits, has often been documented to play an important role in species’ ability to cope with changing environmental conditions (Bolnick et al. 2002; Albert et al. 2010). For example, leaves of several plant species inhabiting
wet habitats have adapted mechanisms to rapidly increase oxygenation and better cope with flooding events (Mommer et al. 2006). Furthermore, the phytoplankton species *Scenedesmus subspicatus* is able to increase cell size, form larger colonies, and develop spines to reduce grazing mortality a few hours after being exposed to new biotic and abiotic conditions (Hessen & Vandonk 1993; Donk, Lürling & Lampert 1999). Hence, not accounting for intraspecific trait variability due to phenotypic plasticity risks underestimating the actual ability of a species to persist under changing biotic and abiotic conditions, and thus potentially to overestimate community turnover along environmental gradients.

The influence of phenotypic plasticity on intraspecific trait variability has the potential to be particularly important in phytoplankton ecology. Functional traits are commonly used to explain species trade-offs, optimal size, or size structure in phytoplankton communities (Irwin et al. 2006; Litchman et al. 2007). For example, phytoplankton nitrogen utilization traits, such as the maximum uptake rate, the maximum growth rate, and the ability to store nutrients, can be directly linked to the performance of the population, as well as its competitive ability within the community, and its contribution to nitrogen fluxes within the ecosystem (Falkowski, Barber & Smetacek 1998; Field et al. 1998; Edwards et al. 2012). Furthermore, there is now considerable evidence showing that phytoplankton nutrient-utilization traits change systematically with a species mean cell size (Stolte & Riegman 1995; Litchman et al. 2007; Edwards et al. 2012). Specifically, small species show higher specific population growth rates and assimilation efficiencies, while large species show higher nutrient uptake rates and greater ability to store nutrients (Stolte & Riegman 1996; Litchman et al. 2008; Edwards et al. 2012). However, phytoplankton cells are also known for their remarkable ability to rapidly
respond to changes in environmental conditions by adjusting their cell size (Duarte, Agusti & Canfield 1990; Dassow, Chepurnov & Armbrust 2006; Lyczkowski & Karp-Boss 2014). To our knowledge, no study has quantified the effects of intraspecific cell size plasticity on the nutrient utilization traits of a species. If fluctuations in cell size were to substantially influence the nutrient utilization traits of a species, then characterizing phytoplankton trait distribution solely by interspecific allometric relationships is likely to underpredict a species’ ability to respond and adjust to environmental fluctuations (Duarte et al. 1990). Hence, quantifying the effects of intraspecific cell size plasticity on nutrient utilization traits, particularly relative to interspecific variability in such traits, is important to assess the reliability of using species-level mean trait values in assessments of trait variability in phytoplankton.

In this study, we test the hypothesis that changes in mean cell size are correlated with the nitrate-ammonium utilization dynamics of the widespread freshwater phytoplankton species *Desmodesmus armatus* (Chlorophyta). The nutrient utilization traits under analysis are: the per-capita rates for nitrate and ammonium assimilation, the maximum division rate, and the minimum storage of nitrogen. The experiment monitored the performance of the species under different nitrogen sources (nitrate, ammonium, or both) and nutrient histories (N-replete and N-deplete). The effects of temporal changes in mean cell size, and of nutrient histories, on the nitrate-ammonium utilization of the species were evaluated by fitting dynamic models. Specifically, four alternative Quota-type models were designed and fitted to data by assuming different relationships between cell size and nutrient traits: (1) traits are independent of nutrient history and cell size (“baseline” model); (2) traits depend only on nutrient history (“N-history” model), or (3) only
on cell size (“allometric” model); and (4) traits depend on both cell size and nutrient history (“allometric N-history” model). Results show that the effects of cell size and N-history on nutrient utilization dynamics in *Desmodesmus armatus* depends on the trait: ammonium uptake, maximum growth rate, and minimum internal N quota vary systematically with changes in cell size and previous N-history, while nitrate uptake is less related to both factors. This is the first study to quantify the importance of intraspecific trait variability on the population and nutrient-uptake dynamics of a species.

**Materials and Methods**

**Process-based models**

Model presentation

The nitrate-ammonium-phytoplankton model proposed by Malerba *et al.* (2015) was fitted to time-series of *Desmodesmus armatus* reared in laboratory conditions across treatments of nitrogen types (nitrate, ammonium, or both) and N-history (N-replete or N-deplete). The model followed the general assumptions of the original “Quota” model, first proposed by Droop (1974): cells take up nitrogen from the environment and divide at a cell-specific rate that is proportional to their internal nitrogen concentration. Malerba *et al.* (2015) extended this model to explicitly account for the dynamics of two specific types of nitrogen sources, with cells dividing after taking up either nitrate or ammonium or both. The main feature of the model is that phytoplankton cells can display different degrees of specialization toward ammonium or nitrate by presenting better kinetic parameters when reared with either source of nitrogen. Moreover, the model accounts for the interaction
between nitrate and ammonium assimilation: high rates of ammonium uptake are known to repress nitrate uptake of a cell, by either altering the activity of specific transport enzymes or by preventing their synthesis (Syrett et al. 1963). The structure of the model was as follows (see Table 3.1 for definitions of state variables and parameters):

\[
\frac{dN_{NO_3}}{dt} = -f_{NO_3}(NO_3(t)) \times f_{\text{inhib}}(NH_4(t)) \times B(t) \quad \text{(eq. 3.1 a)}
\]

\[
\frac{dN_{NH_4}}{dt} = -f_{NH_4}(NH_4(t)) \times B(t) \quad \text{(eq. 3.1 b)}
\]

\[
\frac{dQ}{dt} = \left[ f_{NO_3}(NO_3(t)) \times f_{\text{inhib}}(NH_4(t)) + f_{NH_4}(NH_4(t)) \right] - g(Q(t)) \times Q(t) \quad \text{(eq. 3.1 c)}
\]

\[
\frac{dB}{dt} = g(Q(t)) \times B(t) \quad \text{(eq. 3.1 d)}
\]

with the four differential equations describing changes in nitrate (NO_3(t)), ammonium (NH_4(t)), internal cell nitrogen (Q(t)), and total population size (B(t)). The functional responses for \( f_{NO_3}(NO_3(t)) \) and \( f_{NH_4}(NH_4(t)) \) represent per-cell uptake of nitrate and ammonium, respectively, with \( f_{\text{inhib}}(NH_4(t)) \) quantifying the inhibition of ammonium uptake on nitrate uptake. Finally, \( g(Q(t)) \) represents the functional response regulating the specific daily growth rate of a cell as a function of its internal nitrogen concentration.

Traditionally, the per-capita nitrogen uptake rate of a cell is assumed to follow a saturable Michaelis-Menten functional response of the form

\[
f_N = v_{max} \times \frac{N(t)}{N(t) + k_N},
\]

where \( v_{max} \) is the maximum per-capita uptake rate and \( k_N \) is the half-saturation constant. However, preliminary experiments showed that the per-capita nitrogen uptake for the study species is linearly proportional to the nutrient concentration in the environment, for the range of concentrations considered here. A linear
functional response for per-cell nitrogen assimilation is consistent with physiological studies. Phytoplankton cells have evolved two alternative assimilatory systems to better cope with fluctuating nutrient availabilities (Collos et al. 1997; Flynn 1999; Collos, Vaquer & Souchu 2005). High nutrient concentrations (~100-1000 µmol-N) induce the expression of a low-affinity system, which is linearly proportional to external nitrogen (Crawford et al. 2000). Conversely, low nutrient concentrations (1-100 µmol-N) induce a high-affinity system, which follows a saturable function of external nitrogen concentration (Crawford et al. 2000). Hence, per-cell nitrate and ammonium assimilation was modeled as a linear functional of medium nitrogen:

\[
\begin{align*}
 f_{NO_3}(NO_3(t)) &= w_{NO_3} \times NO_3(t) \quad \text{(eq. 3.2 a)} \\
 f_{NH_4}(NH_4(t)) &= w_{NH_4} \times NH_4(t) \quad \text{(eq. 3.2 b)}
\end{align*}
\]

where \(w_{NO_3}\) and \(w_{NH_4}\) are the per-cell nitrate and ammonium uptake rates, respectively (units of cell\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\)).

Physiological studies have shown that ammonium inhibition on nitrate uptake depends on the per-cell ammonium uptake rate (not of the external ammonium concentration) (Syrett et al. 1963). The specific form of this functional response can depend on the species and the experimental conditions, ranging from an immediate cessation of nitrate utilization in the presence of ammonium, to a less abrupt transition, or even to the absence of any interactions between ammonium and nitrate uptake. A general and flexible way to parameterize the effect of ammonium inhibition on nitrate uptake is using a negative exponential functional response between 0 (fully inhibited) and 1 (no inhibition), as:

\[
f_{\text{inhib}}(NH_4(t)) = e^{-axf_{NH_4}(NH_4(t))b} \quad \text{(eq. 3.3)}
\]
with $a$ and $b$ regulating the shape of the curve.

Finally, the function $g(Q)$ regulates the growth rate of a cell as a function of its internal nitrogen concentration. For phytoplankton species this relationship is commonly represented with a non-linear rectangular hyperbola of the form:

$$g(Q(t)) = \mu_{\text{max}} \times \left(1 - \frac{Q_{\text{min}}}{Q(t)}\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (eq. 3.4)

where $\mu_{\text{max}}$ indicates the growth rate at infinite internal quota and $Q_{\text{min}}$ indicates the concentration of per-cell internal nitrogen at which no cell division occurs (with $Q(t) \geq Q_{\text{min}}$).

**Alternative models**

Four alternative models were derived assuming different functional responses for describing the traits for the species. The first model (“baseline model”) assumes that all traits in eq. 3.2 a-b and eq. 3.4 (i.e. $w_{NO3}$, $w_{NH4}$, $\mu_{\text{max}}$, and $Q_{\text{min}}$) are fixed species-specific terms. The second model (“N-history model”) instead assumes that the traits of the species differ with nitrogen status. Empirical studies have documented that N-deplete cells often respond to new nitrogen availability with higher nitrogen uptake rates and lower division rates (Cochlan & Harrison 1991; Sinclair et al. 2006). Hence, this model includes two independent sets of parameters to describe the species’ traits for nitrogen uptake and growth rate: one to represent experiments whose inoculum was previously N-replete ($w_{NO3,\text{rep}}$, $w_{NH4,\text{rep}}$, and $\mu_{\text{max},\text{rep}}$) and another for experiments whose inoculum was N-deplete ($w_{NO3,\text{dep}}$, $w_{NH4,\text{dep}}$, and $\mu_{\text{max},\text{dep}}$). There is no biological reason to assume that cells change their minimum internal nitrogen requirement between nitrogen replete and deplete conditions, so the parameter $Q_{\text{min}}$ was fixed, independent of nitrogen history. We
checked that including two independent $Q_{min}$ parameters for different nitrogen histories did not change the interpretation of the results. Overall, the “N-history” model included 7 estimated parameters. The third alternative model (“allometric model”) assumed that N-utilization traits of a species are a power-law function of the mean cell size in the population. For example, the trait for the nitrate uptake rate of a cell now becomes:

$$w_{NO3}(size(t)) = w_{NO3,coef} \times size(t)^{w_{NO3,exp}} \quad \text{(eq. 3.5)}$$

with $w_{NO3,coef}$ and $w_{NO3,exp}$ as tuneable parameters regulating the shape of the relationship. In this way, each demographic parameter in the “baseline model” became an allometric function of cell size, for a total of 8 estimated parameters. Because there is strong biological evidence indicating that phytoplankton cells assimilate nitrogen at a rate that is proportional to their cell surface area (Aksnes & Egge 1991), both $w_{NO3,exp}$ and $w_{NH4,exp}$ were constrained to be positive. Also $Q_{min,exp}$ was constrained to be positive, as the minimum internal nitrogen of a cell is directly proportional to the cell area (Shuter 1978; Edwards et al. 2012). We also checked that removing these constraints did not change the interpretation of the results. Notice that the slope between the size and the maximum growth rate of a cell was left unconstrained, as this relationship can either be positive or negative depending on the mean cell size of the species (Wirtz 2011; Maranon et al. 2013). Finally, the fourth “allometric N-history” model merges the assumptions of the “allometric model” and the “N-history” model: each trait in the “N-history” model follows an allometric function of mean population cell size. Hence, this parameterization assumes that the effect of cell size changes depending on whether
the inoculum was N-replete or N-deplete before the experiment, with a total of 14 estimated demographic parameters.

**Data Collection**

**Culture maintenance**

Monoclonal 1.2 L batch cultures of the green microalga *Desmodesmus armatus* (R. Chod.) Hegev. (culture accession: NQAIF301, sourced from the North Queensland Algal Culturing and Identification Facility at James Cook University, Townsville, QLD) were reared in standard Bold Basal Medium (BBM; Nichols 1973). Nitrogen was set as the limiting factor for growth in all experimental cultures, supplied between 4 to 8 times below the recommended BBM concentration with either sodium nitrate (NaNO₃) for nitrate-BBM, or ammonium chloride (NH₄Cl) for ammonium-BBM, or both. Phosphorous, iron, and all other nutrients were supplied at non-limiting concentrations. Furthermore, dissociation of ammonium ion (NH₄⁺) into volatile un-ionised ammonia (NH₃) was minimized by ensuring pH levels below 7 by buffering the modified nitrate-BBM and ammonium-BBM media with 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES) at 8 mmol L⁻¹ and NaHCO₃ at 2.38 mmol L⁻¹ (Vaddella *et al.* 2011). Cultures were kept in a temperature-controlled room at 27 ± 3°C with a 14-10 day-night cycle at a light intensity of 70 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹. Cultures were continuously suspended with magnetic stirrers at 300 rpm (IKA RCT Basic, IKA Labortechnik, Germany) and aerated with 0.45 µm filtered air (Durapore, Millipore).
Experimental design

The experimental set-up was a factorial design of two treatments of cell N-history (N-replete and N-deplete) crossed with three treatments of nitrogen type (nitrate, ammonium, or both), each replicated with three 1.2 L independent replicate cultures (see Fig. S3.1 for experimental design in Appendix Chapter 3). Initial medium nitrogen was standardized to 800 µM-N. Initial cell inoculation density was standardized at $1 \times 10^9$, which ensured between 4 to 8 days of rapid growth before reaching stable population densities. For N-replete cultures, exponentially growing cells were taken from a mother culture and inoculated into experimental cultures with fresh N-rich BBM medium. Data collection started immediately after inoculation. For N-deplete cultures, exponentially growing cells from the mother culture were inoculated into experimental cultures with N-free BBM medium. Cells were monitored until population density reached stationary growth, to ensure that all internal nitrogen was consumed before the beginning of the experiment (typically 3 to 4 days). Data collection started following fertilization of the N-free medium with nitrogen.

Population density and mean cell size

Three replicate measurements per culture were taken every day by loading 250 µL on a 96 well plate and measured with a Guava EasyCyte flow cytometer (Millipore, Hayward, CA, USA). To control for the effects of the 14-10 day-night photoperiod cycle on cell cycle, data collection was conducted daily at 13:00 (7 hours into the light period). Before cytometric analysis, each sample was diluted with DI water between 25 and 50 times to maintain the optimal precision range of the instrument (50 to 500 cells µL$^{-1}$). Population size was estimated after excluding
dead cells and inorganic particles characterized by low red fluorescence signals. Mean cell size was estimated optically through the forward light scatter recorded with the flow cytometer. Mullaney, Dilla and Coulter (1969) showed that the light refraction at small angles (i.e. forward light scatter) was proportional to the size of the particle, as predicted by Mie theory (Sharpless et al. 1975; Sharpless & Melamed 1976; Veldhuis et al. 2000; Shapiro 2005). Light microscopy validated the use of forward light scatter as an accurate linear proxy for mean cell size (cell area: $F_{1, 17} = 64.9$, $p<0.001$, $R^2 = 0.79$; cell perimeter: $F_{1, 17} = 49.6$, $p<0.001$, $R^2 = 0.66$). Instrument precision was periodically checked with Guava easyCheck beads (Catalog No. 4500-0025, Millipore), ensuring a coefficient of variation (CV) < 5% for all detectors.

**Medium nitrogen analysis**

Medium nitrate ($\text{NO}_3^-$) was quantified using the ultraviolet spectrometric screening method (Collos et al. 1999; Lanoul, Coleman & Asher 2002; Malerba et al. 2016). Three 1.25 mL replicate samples per culture of filtered supernatant were acidified with 25 µL 1N HCl to prevent interference from hydroxide or carbonate molecules (Clescerl, Greenberg & Eaton 1999). After vortexing, 250 µL was transferred onto a 96-well plate (Ultraviolet-Star®, Greiner Bio-One GmbH) and optical density was measured at 230 nm (OD230; EnSpire® Multimode Plate Reader; Perkin-Elmer, Waltham MA, US). As certain types of dissolved organic matter can also absorb at 230 nm and $\text{NO}_3^-$ does not absorb at 275 nm, a second measurement at 275 nm (OD275) was used to correct each OD230 reading (Clescerl et al. 1999). The standard curve for (OD230 - OD275) was linear across the range of nitrogen concentrations used in the experiments ($R^2 \geq 0.995$).
**Model Calibration**

We fitted the four process-based models to data for medium nitrogen and population density from all experiments. This way, model predictions generated from a single set of parameters were confronted with time series from 6 different combinations of nitrogen types and N-histories, with each treatment replicated 3 times. Quota dynamics were not experimentally measured but were instead inferred from the model fits: all of the parameters that influence the dynamics of the unobserved internal quota \((Q(t))\) also appear in the equations for the observed dynamics for nitrate depletion and biomass growth. Hence, flux into the quota can be inferred from changes in medium nitrate and ammonium, while flux out of the quota can be inferred from changes in population density (De La Rocha et al. 2010; Malerba et al. 2012; Malerba et al. 2015).

Two different sources of error can affect parameter calibration from time-series data: observation error and process noise (see Model Calibration in Chapter 2 for details; Hilborn et al. 1997; Bolker 2008). While highly controlled experimental systems are typically more consistent with process noise (Bolker 2008), the use of an optical proxy for mean cell size has the potential to increase the effects of observation error. Therefore, we adopted in this study the common approach of fitting two separate likelihood functions, one accounting for process error-only and a second one for observation error-only. We then checked that model selection and parameter estimation gave comparable results for the two methods, and supported the same conclusions (Malerba et al. 2012). Markov Chain Monte Carlo techniques, with Adaptive Mixture-Metropolis and Random Walk Metropolis and uniform priors, were used to fit all models, using package LaplacesDemon (Hall 2008) in the
software R (R Core Team 2015). To monitor for successful convergence, we visually inspected the iterated history, density plot, and correlation diagram for each of the parameters on four chains, and we checked for a potential scale reduction factor lower than 1.2 using Gelman and Rubin’s MCMC convergence diagnostic (Gelman & Rubin 1992).

**Model Selection**

The goodness of fit for the “Baseline”, “N-history”, “Allometric”, and “Allometric N-history” models were compared using Deviance Information Criteria (DIC) (Spiegelhalter et al. 2002; Hooten & Hobbs 2015). The model with the lowest DIC score is the estimated best-fitting model. In general, models separated by more than 5-10 DIC units from the best model should be considered as fitting the data substantially worse (Spiegelhalter et al. 2002). Model goodness of fit was also evaluated in two additional ways. Specifically, we calculated a standard coefficient of determination ($R^2$) for each state variable in each experiment, and we also inspected the distribution of residuals around predicted values.

**Phenomenological models**

The main advantage of fitting process-based models to our data is that it allows calibrating species-specific functional responses on data from all variables at once, thereby quantifying how flows of nitrogen among ambient nitrate and ammonium, internal nitrogen, and population density influence one another. However, most published demographic parameters for phytoplankton species have not been calculated in this way, but rather by calibrating each functional response independently, using more traditional least-squared regression between successive
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points in time of each individual variable. Hence, we also tested if the conclusions from our dynamic model analysis were consistent with least-squared regressions from observed rates of per-cell nitrate and ammonium uptake and cell division (see Fig. S3.4 in Appendix Chapter 3).

Specific growth rates were computed for each day of each experiment as:

$$\mu_t = \ln(B_t) - \ln(B_{t-1})$$  \hspace{1cm} (eq. 3.6)

where $B$ represents the total population density either at time $t$ or $t-1$ (units of day$^{-1}$).

Per-cell nitrogen uptake for nitrate and ammonium were computed for each day of each experiment as:

$$v_{NO3,t} = \frac{NO3(t) - NO3(t+1)}{\text{mean}(B(t),B(t+1))} \times \frac{1}{NO3(t)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (eq. 3.7 a)

$$v_{NH4,t} = \frac{NH4(t) - NH4(t+1)}{\text{mean}(B(t),B(t+1))} \times \frac{1}{NH4(t)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (eq. 3.7 b)

where $NO3$ and $NH4$ represent the mean nitrate and ammonium concentrations at time $t$ or $t+1$. Hence, nitrogen uptake is computed as the total assimilated nitrogen divided by the mean population density (left fraction in eq. 3.7 a-b), and further divided for the mean nitrogen concentration (right fraction in eq. 3.7 a-b). In this way, $v_{NO3}$ and $v_{NH4}$ quantified per-cell nitrogen uptake rates while accounting for total medium nitrogen availability, with units of cell$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$.

RESULTS

Process-based models

The “Allometric N-history” model was selected as the best-fitting model by DIC model selection (Table 3.2). This model assumes that the species traits follow
two different allometric relationships with cell size, depending on the N-history of the cell. The “Baseline” model, which assumes that all demographic parameters are independent of cell size and N history, performed the worst (Table 3.2). The “Allometry” model, which assumes that demographic parameters vary with cell size, and the “N-history” model, assuming N-replete and N-deplete cells to display different size-independent demographic parameters, ranked in-between (Table 3.2). Furthermore, calibrating the model with either observation error-only or process noise-only likelihood functions produced equivalent conclusions, which indicate that the results are robust and independent of the assumptions about the source of error in the data (Table 3.2).

Except for the rates of per-cell nitrate uptake, all species traits show substantial differences when estimated with the “Allometric N-history” model (solid lines) compared to the size- and N-history-independent “Baseline” model (dashed lines; Fig. 3.1). Specifically, per-cell ammonium uptake was substantially influenced by mean cell size, and was higher in N-deplete cells than N-replete cells (Fig. 3.1 B). The maximum specific division rate increased with cell size for N-replete cells, but remained constant for N-deplete cells (Fig. 3.1 C). Finally, minimum internal nitrogen was higher when cells were larger (Fig. 3.1 D). Conversely, per-cell nitrate uptake was independent of cell size and was comparable between N-replete and N-deplete cells (Fig. 3.1 A). Functional responses calibrated by fitting the model with observation error-only or with process noise-only likelihood functions produced equivalent conclusions (compare Fig. 3.1 with Fig. S3.3 in Appendix Chapter 3).
Visually inspecting the fit for the four calibrated models revealed why the “Allometric N-history” model performed the best (Fig. 3.2-3.4). Because model selection (Table 3.2) and relationships of demographic and uptake parameters (Figures 3.1 and S3.3 in Appendix Chapter 3) were consistent between the process error-only and observation error-only models, we focus here only on observation error-only models. Cells that were previously N-replete and re-supplied with a single-nitrogen source showed smooth and gradual changes in nitrogen depletion (symbols in Fig. 3.2 A and 3.2 C), mean cell size (bars in Fig. 3.2 A and 3.2 C), and population density (Fig. 3.2 B and 3.2 D). In contrast, previously N-deplete cells reared under the same nitrogen regime showed faster initial nutrient depletion (symbols in Fig. 3.3 A and 3.3 C), an abrupt spike in mean cell size (bars in Fig. 3.3 A and 3.3 C), and a faster increase toward population carrying capacity (Fig. 3.3 B and 3.3 D). The same qualitative differences remained when cells were simultaneously supplied with both nitrate and ammonium: N-replete cells consistently displayed more regular transitions than N-deplete cells (compare Fig. 3.4 A-C with Fig. 3.4 D-F). Only the “Allometric N-history” model performed consistently well ($R^2 > 0.9$) with both smooth and abrupt dynamics from N-replete and N-deplete cells, respectively (dark blue line in Fig 3.2-3.4). The “Baseline” and “N-history” models performed well only with smooth N-replete dynamics (yellow and orange lines, respectively; Fig. 3.2, 3.4 A-C), instead showing clear lack of fit from data collected for N-deplete cultures (Fig. 3.3 B, 3.3 D and 3.4 F). Conversely, the “Allometric” model showed mostly good fits for abrupt N-deplete cultures (Fig. 3.3 and 3.4 D-F), but often failed to capture the smooth dynamics in N-replete cultures (Fig. 3.2 B-D and 3.4 C).
The explanation for the poor performance of the “Allometric” model with N-replete cells and of the “N-history” model with N-deplete cells lies in the observed mean cell sizes: N-deplete cells changed their size more suddenly than N-replete cells (compare bars in Fig. 3.2 A, 3.2 C, and Fig. 3.4 A against bars in Fig. 3.3 A, 3.3 C, and Fig. 3.4 D), mostly also recording higher peak values. The “Allometric” model can perform well with N-deplete cells because these rapid changes in cell size are indicative of imminent transitions in culture dynamics. However, this relationship between cell size and cell performances changed between N-replete and N-deplete cells. Consequently, forcing a single functional response for both N-deplete and N-replete cells (as assumed in the “Allometric” model) was inadequate and produced worse fits than the size-independent “baseline” and “N-history” models. This is the reason why the “Allometric N-history” model (assuming two different allometric relationship between N-replete and N-deplete cells) can combine the good performances of the “Allometric” model for N-deplete cells and of the “N-history” model for N-replete cells.

Overall, changes in mean cell size closely matched the transitions in medium nitrogen in the cultures: increasing cell size coincided with periods of high medium nitrogen, and decreasing cell size with periods of no available nitrogen (Fig. 3.2 A, C, Fig. 3.3 A, C, Fig. 3.4, A, D). Thus, it is not surprising that observations on population mean cell size and model-inferred internal nitrogen quota from the best-fitting “Allometric N-history” model were highly correlated (Spearman’s rho correlation: \( r_s = 0.77, S = 6970, p > 0.001, N=57; \) Fig. S3.2 in Appendix Chapter 3). Interestingly, however, the relationship was consistent for both N-replete and N-deplete cells (Fig. S3.2).
High ammonium concentrations produced a temporary phase of slow or absent nitrate assimilation (low nitrate depletion in the first 24 hours in Fig. 3.4 A, D). Most models captured this behavior well, which indicates that the deterministic structure of the model was adequate to describe ammonium-induced inhibition of nitrate uptake for this species.

**Phenomenological models**

Statistical linear models revealed a significant effect of cell size and nutrient history on the observed rates of nitrogen assimilation and specific growth rates, calculated between each two successive days with eq. 3.6 and 3.7 a-b. Specifically, nitrate uptake showed a weak positive effect of cell size ($F_{1,48} = 6.59$, $MS = 2.30$, $p=0.033$), but no significant effect of nutrient history ($F_{1,48} = 0.97$, $MS = 0.34$, $p>0.5$; Fig. S3.4 A in Appendix Chapter 3). Conversely, rates for ammonium uptake were significantly higher in N-deplete cells compared to N-replete cells ($F_{1,40} = 41.90$, $MS = 5.69$, $p<0.001$) and displayed a significant positive effect of cell size ($F_{1,40} = 43.47$, $MS = 5.9$, $p<0.001$; Fig. S3.4 B). The effect of cell size was constant across nutrient histories for both nitrate ($F_{1,48} = 0.27$, $MS = 0.1$, $p>0.05$) and ammonium uptake ($F_{1,40} = 0.01$, $MS = 0.01$, $p>0.05$; Fig. S3.4 A-B). Finally, growth rate increased with cell area at N-deplete conditions, not at N-replete conditions ($F_{1,149} = 8.07$, $MS = 0.51$, $p<0.01$; Fig. S3.4 C). Overall, these results are mostly consistent with findings from fitting the process-based models. The only detectable difference is the effect of cell size on per-cell nitrate uptake, which was not detected by the process-based models. However, the very weak coefficient of determination ($R^2<0.13$) and the 95% confidence intervals for the slope coefficient almost
including zero (0.12 - 2.6) suggest some care should be taken in the interpretation of this result (Fig. S3.4 A).
Table 3.1: Best-estimates from the posterior distribution (median and upper and lower 95% credible intervals) of the parameters in the “allometric N-history” model with observation error-only likelihood function, which was the best-fitting model following DIC model selection (confront to Table 3.2 for DIC scores).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Definition (units)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$NO_3$</td>
<td>Nitrate in medium ($\mu$mol NO$_3^-$ L$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$NH_4$</td>
<td>Ammonium in medium ($\mu$mol NH$_4^+$ L$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q$</td>
<td>Nitrogen quota ($\mu$mol N cell$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B$</td>
<td>Population density (cell L$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Definition [Median ($\pm$ 95% C.I. units)]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$w_{NO_3_coef_rep}$</td>
<td>Scaling intercept for per-cell nitrate uptake in replete cells [1.99 (1.7-2.31) $10^{-9}$ cell$^{-1}$ cell area$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_{NO_3_exp_rep}$</td>
<td>Scaling exponent for the per-cell nitrate uptake in replete cells [2.55 (1.7-3.69) $10^{-6}$ unitless]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_{NH_4_coef_rep}$</td>
<td>Scaling intercept for the per-cell ammonium uptake in replete cells [5.52 (4.49-7.06) $10^{-21}$ cell$^{-1}$ cell area$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_{NH_4_exp_rep}$</td>
<td>Scaling exponent for the per-cell ammonium uptake in replete cells [8.14 (8.04-8.20) unitless]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_{max_coef_rep}$</td>
<td>Scaling intercept for growth rate at infinite nutrient storage in replete cells [10.2 (8.50-13.7) $10^{-5}$ day$^{-1}$ cell area$^{-1}$]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_{max_exp_rep}$</td>
<td>Scaling exponent for growth rate at infinite nutrient storage in replete cells [21 (19-22.2) unitless]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_{NO_3_coef_dep}$</td>
<td>Scaling intercept for the per-cell nitrate uptake in deplete cells [6.75 (5.83-7.82) $10^{-10}$ cell$^{-1}$ cell area$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_{NO_3_exp_dep}$</td>
<td>Scaling exponent for the per-cell nitrate uptake in deplete cells [1.09 (8.8-13.9) $10^{-8}$ unitless]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_{NH_4_coef_dep}$</td>
<td>Scaling intercept for the per-cell ammonium uptake in deplete cells [2.09 (1.63-2.72) $10^{-10}$ cell$^{-1}$ cell area$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_{NH_4_exp_dep}$</td>
<td>Scaling exponent for the per-cell ammonium uptake in deplete cells [0.55 (0.46-0.62) unitless]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_{max_coef_dep}$</td>
<td>Scaling intercept for growth rate at infinite nutrient storage in deplete cells [3.9 (3.18-4.88) day$^{-1}$ cell area$^{-1}$]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_{max_exp_dep}$</td>
<td>Scaling exponent for growth rate at infinite nutrient storage in deplete cells [0.62 (0.57-0.66) unitless]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_{min_coef}$</td>
<td>Scaling intercept for per-cell minimum nitrogen quota [1.32 (0.9-1.58) $10^{-9}$ $\mu$mol N cell$^{-1}$ cell area$^{-1}$]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_{min_exp}$</td>
<td>Scaling exponent for per-cell minimum nitrogen quota [1.57 (1.49-1.68) unitless]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shape parameters for the inhibition of ammonium uptake on per-cell nitrate uptake</td>
<td>[2.49 \times 10^{-14}\text{ unitless}]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shape parameters for the inhibition of ammonium uptake on per-cell nitrate uptake</td>
<td>[6.98 \text{ unitless}]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3.2: Formal model selection criteria between competing models with Deviance Information Criterion (DIC). Values represent the deviance ($D(\theta)$), the DIC score, and the difference in DIC ($\Delta$DIC) scores (thus, by definition, the best fit model has $\Delta$DIC = 0), for models calibrated with either observation error-only or process noise-only likelihood functions. Boldface indicates the model with greater support from the data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alternative Models</th>
<th>Observation Error</th>
<th>Process Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$D(\theta)$</td>
<td>DIC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allom. N-History</td>
<td>9893</td>
<td>9946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-History</td>
<td>10014</td>
<td>10057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allometry</td>
<td>10037</td>
<td>10080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>10101</td>
<td>10141</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3.1: Comparison for the best-estimates for demographic parameters (median ±95% confidence intervals) calculated with the “baseline” model (dashed lines) and with the best-fitting “Allometric N-history” model (solid lines). Parameters were calculated using observation error-only likelihood functions and represent rate of per-cell uptake for nitrate (A) and ammonium (B), growth rate at infinite stored internal nitrogen (C), and minimum internal nitrogen (D). Two solid lines in the same panel represent the effect of cell size on the demographic parameters between N-replete (red) and N-deplete (blue) previous N-history. Dashed line is the corresponsive parameter estimated with the “baseline” model,
which assumes independence with cell size and nutrient history. Notice that panel D has only one solid line; this is because the functional response for minimum internal nitrogen in the “Allometric N-history” model was only designed as a function of cell size and independent of previous N-history of the culture. See Material and Methods, Table 3.1 for more details on model formulation and demographic parameters, and Fig. S3.3 in Appendix Chapter 3 for equivalent plot calculated with alternative process noise-only likelihood functions.
Figure 3.2: Time-series and model fits for nitrogen-replete culture dynamics grown with either nitrate (A, B) or ammonium (C, D) as the only nitrogen source. Plots show changes in medium nitrogen depletion (A, C) and population size (B, D) over the course of the experiments. Grey bars represent daily estimates for optical proxy for mean population cell size (+ St. Dev.; A, C). Different symbols represent the mean among three replicate measurements for each day for each of the three independent replicate culture. Colour lines represent model goodness-of-fit for the four competing models calibrated with observation error-only likelihood functions.
(see Calibration section in Material and Methods). A coefficient of determination quantifies the goodness of fit for each competing model.
Figure 3.3: Time-series and model fits for nitrogen-deplete culture dynamics grown with either nitrate (A, B) or ammonium (C, D) as the only nitrogen source. Plots show changes in medium nitrogen depletion (A, C) and population size (B, D) over the course of the experiments. Grey bars represent daily estimates for optical proxy for mean (+ St. Dev.; A, C). Different symbols represent the mean among three replicate measurements for each day for each of the three independent replicate
culture. Model fits were calculated using observation error-only likelihood functions. See legend for Fig. 3.2 for more information.
Figure 3.4: Time-series and model fits for nitrogen-replete (A-C) and nitrogen-deplete (D-F) cultures grown with both nitrate and ammonium as the only nitrogen source. Plots show changes in medium nitrogen depletion (A-B, D-E) and population size (C, F) over the course of the experiments. Grey bars represent daily estimates for optical proxy for mean (+ St. Dev.; A, D). Different symbols represent the mean among three replicate measurements for each day for each of the three independent replicate culture. Model fits were calculated using observation error-only likelihood functions. See legend for Fig. 3.2 for more information.
Discussion

The analysis supported our hypothesis that nutrient utilization dynamics of *Desmodesmus armatus* vary strongly with cell size and nutrient history. Overall, larger cells recorded higher ammonium uptake rate, maximum specific growth rate, and minimum internal nitrogen quota. Furthermore, rates of ammonium uptake were higher in cells recovering from N-depletion, while maximum cell growth rates increased with cell size more under N-replete than N-deplete conditions. Minimum cell quota increased with size under N-deplete conditions, but remained virtually constant under N-replete conditions. Finally, the positive relationship between mean cell size and (model-inferred) changes in internal nitrogen quota was consistent between N-replete and N-deplete cells across all experiments.

Our physiological understanding of the effect of N-stress in phytoplankton cells is consistent with an important role of mean cell size and previous N-history on phytoplankton nutrient utilization traits. For instance, our results show that rates of cell division were positively correlated with cell size under N-replete conditions. This is consistent with larger cells being at a more advanced stage of the cell division cycle (Hunter-Cevera *et al.* 2014), which explains the positive correlation with maximum cell division rates under N-replete conditions. Conversely, maximum cell division rates for N-deplete cells were independent of cell size due to faster rates recorded for small cells. When N availability inhibits cell division, cell size decreases and photosynthetic energy is diverted and stored in the form of lipids or carbohydrates (Rodolfi *et al.* 2009; Mata, Martins & Caetano 2010). When exposed to new nutrients, those energy stores can reactivate cell division at a rate that is temporarily enhanced (Bittar *et al.* 2013). Moreover, rates for ammonium
uptake were consistently higher for N-deplete than N-replete cells. This is likely because many species are adapted to exploit new supplies of a limiting nutrient by taking up more than would be required to sustain immediate growth (Sinclair et al. 2006). However, enhanced N uptake is more common when cells are supplied with ammonium, and less frequent with nitrate (Cochlan et al. 1991). This is also because ammonium is easier to assimilate, as most amino acids are in the same oxidation state. In contrast, nitrate can be assimilated only after being first reduced to ammonium, by means of specialized enzymes (Berges 1997). Overall, our results show that using single species-specific parameter values (i.e. “Baseline model”) leads to a substantial underestimation of a species’ ability to divide (up to 64 %), take up ammonium (up to 52 %) and nitrate (up to 63 %), and to store nitrogen intracellularly (up to 53 %), compared to accounting for variation due to cell size and N-history (“Allometric N-history model”).

It is commonly assumed that within-species trait variability in phytoplankton species is negligible compared to between-species variability. However, this assumption is rarely tested. A way to estimate the relative importance of within-species sources of trait variation is by using information from published phytoplankton allometric relationships. We compared the range between minimum and maximum within-species trait values recorded here for each trait, against the standard deviation of the residuals in allometric scaling relationships across multiple species for the same trait. In this study, mean rates for nitrate uptake increased by up to 40%, while ammonium uptake, maximum growth rate, and minimum internal nitrogen increased between half and one and a half orders of magnitude. These ranges were low (within 1 standard deviation of between-species residual variation) for nitrate uptake, but were substantially higher (by more than three standard
deviations) for ammonium uptake, maximum growth rate, and minimum nitrogen quota when compared to the residual standard deviations in interspecific allometric N utilization traits for freshwater phytoplankton communities reported in Edwards et al. (2012). This indicates that for three of the four traits not accounting for phenotypic plasticity and intraspecific trait variability can lead to substantial uncertainty when calculating interspecific allometric relationships.

Overall, our findings are in agreement with the growing body of ecological literature highlighting the importance of phenotypic plasticity on species traits (Mommer et al. 2006; Violle et al. 2007). Phenotypic plasticity can provide an advantage to an individual by better adjusting to changes in abiotic conditions, while simultaneously decreasing interspecific competition by reducing niche overlap (Jung et al. 2010). In phytoplankton communities, the ability to modulate cell size can extend the geographic distribution of a species by adjusting for nutrient requirements in oligotrophic or fluctuating environmental conditions or by modifying grazing risks and sinking velocity in eutrophic environments (Duarte et al. 1990; Grover 1991; Maranon 2015). Similarly, plant communities occupying dynamic and unpredictable habitats, such as river floodplains, are dominated by species featuring wide phenotypic plasticity in traits determining gas exchange and submergence tolerance (Mommer et al. 2006; Jung et al. 2010). Another example is the common fruit fly Drosophila melanogaster, whose ability to thrive at different temperatures has large intraspecific variability, with the expression of heat-shock proteins depending on previous thermal regimes experienced throughout the life history of the individual (Krebs & Feder 1997). Further examples of intraspecific trait plasticity include relationships between mean clutch size and exposure to predators for the freshwater crustacean Daphnia pulex (DeWitt 1998) and between
development time and desiccation risk in the amphibian *Rana temporaria* (Merila, Laurila & Lindgren 2004).

In conclusion, our results show that the nutrient utilization dynamics of a phytoplankton species are functions of changes in mean cell size and previous nitrogen history. The importance of recognizing and quantifying trait plasticity in single species has become more urgent since a growing number of plant and animal studies use trait databases to investigate community patterns (Berg & Ellers 2010). Quantifying intraspecific trait plasticity is complex and most trait databases often report only fixed species-specific values for each life history trait (Vieira et al. 2006; Kleyer et al. 2008). Hence, it is important to recognize and distinguish between traits where interspecific variability is dominant, and traits where ignoring intraspecific trait plasticity will impair the explanatory power of trait-based analyses (Berg et al. 2010).
Chapter 4: Standard flow cytometry as a rapid and non-destructive proxy for cell nitrogen quota

Introduction

Identifying nitrogen limitation and quantifying the degree of nitrogen stress in phytoplankton cells is of primary importance in ecological and applied phytoplankton fields. Nitrogen is the nutrient required in highest amounts for cell division, and is often the first to become limiting. A limiting nutrient is defined as the element whose availability inside the cell is the lowest in relation to the cell’s requirement (typically nitrogen, phosphorous, or iron; Howarth 1988). The capacity of a species to store internal nitrogen (here referred as “cell nitrogen quota”) is a main determinant of primary productivity, nutrient competition outcomes, and a key mechanism for the maintenance of phytoplankton diversity (Grover 1991; Holt 2008). Furthermore, especially in oligotrophic waters, a sudden release of nitrogen can lead to increasing chances of an algal bloom, often with negative ecological and economic consequences (Cloern 2001). Therefore, substantial resources are allocated to monitor changes in phytoplankton nitrogen content, in order to regulate nitrogen loading budgets from anthropogenic activities (Shelly et al. 2010).

Changes in phytoplankton nitrogen quotas are also routinely monitored in algal aquaculture practices: an intermediate degree of nitrogen limitation is needed to balance the quality (i.e. stress-related increase in lipid and carbohydrate contents) and quantity of the final product (Adams et al. 2013).
Current techniques to determine phytoplankton nitrogen status can be divided into direct and indirect methods, both with limited employability (Beardall et al. 2001; Shelly et al. 2010). The two most common direct methods are elemental analysis and digestion protocols. These techniques quantify the absolute and relative concentrations of single elements in biomass samples and infer the nitrogen quota of single cells (e.g. Raimbault et al. 1999a; Bertilsson et al. 2003; Li et al. 2014a).

However, direct techniques tend to be costly, rely on sophisticated instruments and, most importantly, cannot differentiate between elements derived from live and dead cells or inorganic particles, which can substantially overestimate per-cell nutrient composition (Beardall et al. 2001). Alternatively, indirect methods to quantify nitrogen status consist of “bioassays” or “enrichment experiments”, where the degree of nitrogen limitation is determined by comparing the response (usually growth rate, but also changes in protein content or specific enzymatic activities) of an indicator species (or of a community of species) in a sample when re-supplied with nitrogen (e.g. Hayes, Whitaker & Fogg 1984; Hecky & Kilham 1988; Dodds, Strauss & Lehmann 1993). Compared to direct methods, indirect techniques generally do not rely on costly equipment and can exclude the contribution from non-autotrophic particles. However, these experiments are more time consuming (lasting up to weeks) and their results are more controversial due to influences associated with extended confinement of natural assemblages in bottles (Graziano et al. 1996; Beardall et al. 2001; Shelly et al. 2010). Collectively, these shortcomings highlight the need for a reliable and more rapid method to quantify nitrogen status in phytoplankton communities.

An alternative way to improve current estimation techniques for phytoplankton nitrogen limitation is through the analysis of single-cell optical
properties. Nitrogen limitation influences many physiological and morphological aspects of phytoplankton cells such as cell volume, cell roundness, pigment composition, quantities of internal organelles, and concentrations of storage molecules (e.g. lipids, carbohydrates, proteins; Kolber, Zehr & Falkowski 1988; Rodolfi et al. 2009; Vanucci et al. 2010; Adams et al. 2013). The conventional way to quantify cell morphological features is with flow cytometers, which measure optical properties such as light refraction and fluorescence signals as a laser beam excites individual cells (Collier 2000; Veldhuis et al. 2000; Sosik, Olson & Armbrust 2010). In this way flow cytometric variables only depend on intrinsic properties of a cell without being influenced by total population size. Three types of flow cytometric optical signals directly relate to the anatomy and physiology of phytoplankton cells. The red fluorescence signal is proportional to the internal concentration of chlorophyll $a$ inside the cell, and can be used to differentiate phytoplankton cells from chlorophyll-free particulate matter (Sosik, Chisholm & Olson 1989; Dubelaar et al. 2000). The forward light scatter represents the light travelling along the same axis of the laser beam and its intensity is proportional to the cell cross section (Dubelaar et al. 2000). Finally, the side light scatter represents the light travelling orthogonally to the incident laser beam and its intensity relates to the internal and external structures and granularity of the cell (Dubelaar et al. 2000). If the oncoming of nitrogen limitation leads to systematic anatomical and physiological changes within a cell, then a flow cytometric optical analysis should provide a quantifiable signal for evaluating cell nitrogen quota in ways that are instantaneous, non-destructive, precise, and practical to monitor with automatic programmable instruments.
Optical properties detected with flow cytometers have already been successfully used to infer specific features in phytoplankton cells (Balfoort et al. 1992). The red fluorescence signal accurately ($R^2 > 0.8$) predicted per-cell concentration of various pigments across different marine phytoplankton species (Cavender-Bares et al. 1999). Furthermore, analysis of forward light scatter signals provided information about the calcification level in coccolithophore cells (von Dassow et al. 2012). Regarding the relationship between optical proprieties and nitrogen status, flow cytometric red fluorescence of *Prochlorococcus*, *Synechococcus*, and picoeukaryotes showed a significant increase following nitrogen addition, which did not occur when supplied with non-limiting iron and phosphorous (Davey et al. 2008). Also *Microcystis aeruginosa* showed a positive relationship between flow cytometric red fluorescence and medium nitrogen availability (Brookes et al. 2000). Therefore, flow cytometric variables of red fluorescence, forward and side scatter all appear to be sensitive to changes in nitrogen status across different phytoplankton species and growth conditions.

It is important to consider and account for the effects of photoperiod when evaluating the use of optical properties as a proxy for nutrient status in phytoplankton cells (DuRand & Olson 1998; Mas et al. 2008). Photoperiod regulates the cell cycle: formation of cleavage furrows in the cell wall, organelle replication, increase in photosynthetic performance, and change in cell shape and size (Roenneberg & Mittag 1996; Luning 2005). As a result, optical properties of a cell also change depending on cell cycle relative to photoperiod (DuRand et al. 1998; DuRand et al. 2002). Hence, in order to eliminate diurnal effects, data collection was standardized with respect to sampling time.
The aim of this study was to investigate whether cell optical properties measured daily at 18:00 with a standard flow cytometer can be used as an accurate predictor of nitrogen quota in four nitrogen-limited microalgal species *Desmodesmus armatus*, *Mesotaenium* sp., *Scenedesmus obliquus*, and *Tetraedron* sp. grown in laboratory batch culture. In the experiment, nitrogen-limited cultures were re-supplied with nitrate and monitored daily until stationary phase across two treatments of initial inoculation densities. Our results demonstrate that flow cytometric optical properties can serve as excellent proxies for nitrogen quota in laboratory batch cultures.

**Materials and Methods**

**Culture maintenance**

Monoclonal 1.2 L batch cultures of three green microalgae, *Desmodesmus armatus* (R. Chodat) E. Hegewald (culture accession: NQAIF301), *Scenedesmus obliquus* (Turpin) Kützing (NQAIF299), and *Tetraedron* sp. (NQAIF295), and one charophyte, *Mesotaenium* sp. (NQAIF303), were sourced from the North Queensland Algal Culturing and Identification Facility at James Cook University (Townsville, QLD). The four species coexist in nature and are among the most common and resilient species in the area where they were originally isolated, at the Tarong ash-dam Power Station (Tarong, Queensland, Australia). Mother cultures were reared in standard Bold Basal Medium (BBM; Nichols 1973). All chemicals for culturing and nutrient analyses were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Nitrogen was set as the limiting factor for growth in all experimental cultures, by acclimatizing in nitrogen-free BBM prior to the beginning of the experiments until population density reached stationary phase, and successively supplying 1000
µmol-N L\(^{-1}\) of sodium nitrate (NaNO\(_3\)), which represents a third of the original BBM nitrogen concentration. Potential for carbon limitation was minimized by ensuring pH levels below 7 by buffering the modified nitrate-BBM with 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES) at 8 mmol L\(^{-1}\) and by supplying NaHCO\(_3\) at 2.38 mmol L\(^{-1}\). Cultures were kept in a temperature-controlled room at 27±3°C with a 14-10 day-night cycle at a light intensity of 70 µmol photons m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\). Cultures were continuously mixed with magnetic stirrers at 300 rpm (IKA RCT Basic, IKA Labortechnik, Germany) and aerated with 0.45 µm filtered air (Durapore, Millipore). Glassware was acid-washed (10% HCl) and all culturing materials were autoclaved and handled aseptically in a laminar flow cabinet (Alternative Environmental Solutions fitted with High-Efficiency Particulate Arresting filter, Australia Standards 4260, National Association of Testing Authorities certified).

**Experimental design**

To test for the influence of light penetration and per-cell medium nitrogen availability, two independent replicate cultures for each of the four species were grown at two treatments of initial inoculation densities, leading to different per-cell nitrogen availabilities and mean light penetrations. Culture volume was standardized at 1 L. To standardize initial conditions for biomass and light penetration across species with different cell sizes, starting inoculation cell densities were set at optical densities of 0.01 for the low initial inoculation treatment and were increased 5-fold to 0.05 for the high initial inoculation treatments. Initial medium nitrogen was kept constant at 1000 µmol-N L\(^{-1}\), which meant an approximate 5-fold difference in per-cell medium nitrogen availability between the
two treatments. Inoculation densities were based on preliminary analysis of the species to ensure from 3 to 6 days of fast nitrogen-driven population growth. Optical densities were measured at 750 nm on three 250 µL mother culture samples loaded on standard 96 well plates (EnSpire® Multimode Plate Reader; Perkin-Elmer, Waltham MA, US).

It is important to consider and account for the effects of photoperiod when evaluating the use of optical properties as a proxy for nutrient status in phytoplankton cells (DuRand et al. 1998; Mas et al. 2008). Photoperiod regulates the cell cycle: formation of cleavage furrows in the cell wall, organelle replication, increase in photosynthetic performance, and change in cell shape and size (Ronneberg et al. 1996; Luning 2005). As a result, optical properties of a cell also change depending on cell cycle relative to photoperiod (DuRand et al. 1998; DuRand et al. 2002). Hence, data collection was conducted every day at 18:00, in order to control for any diurnal fluctuations.

Flow cytometric analysis

Three replicate measurements per culture were taken every day at 18:00 loading 250 µL on a 96 well plate and measured with a Guava EasyCyte flow cytometer (Millipore, Hayward, CA, USA). Before cytometric analysis, each sample was diluted with DI water between 25 and 50 times to maintain the optimal precision range of the instrument (50 to 500 cells µL⁻¹). Optical variables are represented by the mean of the cytometric histograms for red fluorescence, forward scatter, and side scatter. The excitation light was a blue laser at 488 nm and 75 MW, and emission was recorded at 488 (± 6) nm for forward and side light scattering and at 690 (± 50) nm for red fluorescence as individual cells pass through a
microcapillary flowcell at 0.1 µL sec⁻¹. Population size and optical coefficients were estimated after excluding dead cells and inorganic particles characterized by low red fluorescence signals. Instrument precision was periodically checked with Guava easyCheck beads (Catalog No. 4500-0025, Millipore), ensuring a coefficient of variation (CV) < 5% for all detectors. Flow cytometric optical values are relative to the voltage applied to the photo-detector. We controlled for the sensitivity of the photo-detector by keeping it constant throughout all experiments and all species. Moreover, we facilitated result reproducibility by normalizing flow cytometric readings by the optical mean values of the easyCheck beads, a strategy already adopted by Durand and Olson (1996); DuRand et al. (1998) and Mas et al. (2008).

Medium nitrogen analysis

Phytoplankton cells can respond with nitrite (NO₂⁻) excretion when initially exposed to a surge of ambient nitrate (NO₃⁻; Malerba et al. 2012). Hence, we quantified total medium nitrogen as the sum of nitrate and nitrite ambient concentrations with the ultraviolet spectrometric screening method (Lanoul et al. 2002). Three 1.25 mL replicate samples per culture of filtered supernatant were acidified with 25 µL 1N HCl to prevent interference from hydroxide or carbonate molecules (Clescerl et al. 1999). After vortexing, 250 µL was transferred onto a 96-wellplate (Ultraviolet-Star®, Greiner Bio-One GmbH) and optical density was measured at 230 nm wavelength (OD₂₃₀; EnSpire®). Also, because certain types of dissolved organic matter can also absorb at 230 nm and NO₃⁻ and NO₂⁻ do not absorb at 275 nm, a second measurement at 275 nm (OD₂₇₅) was used to correct each OD₂₃₀ reading (Clescerl et al. 1999). The standard curve for (OD₂₃₀ - OD₂₇₅)
was linear across the range of nitrogen concentrations used in the experiments ($R^2 \geq 0.995$).

**Total Particulate Nitrogen and Cell Nitrogen Quota**

Estimation of total particulate nitrogen content was performed by digesting biomass samples and oxidizing all nitrogen to nitrate following the persulfate oxidation method (Delia, Steudler & Corwin 1977; Solorzano & Sharp 1980; Eaton et al. 2005), and later estimating total nitrate-only concentration with the salicylate method (Cataldo et al. 1975). Cell nitrogen quota was then estimated for each sample by dividing total particulate organic nitrogen by the population size at each day of the experiment. The persulfate digestion required 2 reagent solutions: (1) 2.01 g of $\text{K}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_8$ and 1.5 mL of 5M NaOH in 100 mL DI water (made fresh daily); (2) 6.18 g $\text{H}_3\text{BO}_3$ and 0.8 g NaOH in 100 mL DI water (stable solution). Nitrate determination with the salicylate protocol required two reagent solutions: (3) 0.5 g of $\text{C}_7\text{H}_6\text{O}_3$ in 10 mL of conc. $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ (made fresh daily); (4) 200 g NaOH in 1 L DI water (stable solution). Inorganic and organic standard nitrogen stocks were: (1) 1.011 g $\text{KNO}_3$ in 1 L DI water for the inorganic control; (2) 0.75 g of glycine in 1 L DI water for the organic control.

The procedure for determining total particulate nitrogen consisted of three steps. Firstly, cells were separated from the supernatant by centrifuging between 2 to 14 mL of sample (depending on the total nitrogen content in the sample) at 3,000 g at 5 °C for 10 min (Eppendorf R 5810), gently removing 12 mL of supernatant, and re-suspending the biomass by diluting with DI water to a total volume of 14 mL. The procedure was repeated 4 times and, at the end of the fourth cycle, cells were concentrated in 2 mL. Secondly, biomass digestion was carried out by mixing
2 mL of reagent (1) with the concentrated biomass samples, autoclaving the solution for 30 min at 121°C, and allowing to rest in the autoclave overnight. We checked that increasing autoclaving time to 45 and to 60 min did not change the digestion efficiency. Thirdly, total nitrate was determined by mixing 300 µL of digested sample and 400 µL of reagent (3), vortexing, and incubating at room temperature for 20 min. Then, 5.7 mL of reagent (4) was added to the solution. Optical density was read at 410 nm after loading 350 µL on a 96 well plate (EnSpire®). For each sample, total nitrogen concentration was calculated based on a linear regression from a 7-point calibration curve, made daily from potassium nitrate stock ($R^2 > 0.99$). A second 7-point calibration curve made daily from organic glycine and served as positive control for the persulfate oxidation method.

**Statistical analysis**

A multiple linear regression was carried out to examine daily changes in cellular internal nitrogen as a function of 5 continuous explanatory variables of per-cell red fluorescence, per-cell forward and side light scatters, medium N concentration, population size, and 2 categorical explanatory variables of the two experimental initial conditions and the four species. To ensure linearity, red fluorescence, forward light scatter, side light scatter, and population size were natural log-transformed, while medium N concentration was square root transformed. To satisfy the assumptions of generalized linear models, we avoided biases due to multicollinearity between main effects by setting a cut off variance inflation factor value of 5 (Zuur et al. 2009). In the data, all dynamics transitioned from states of high nitrogen-low population size to zero nitrogen-high population size; as a result, the variables for medium nitrogen and population size were highly
negatively correlated (variance inflation factor of 12) and could not both be included as explanatory variables in the same model. However, availability of medium nitrogen was clearly the underlying driver: a decrease in irradiance from increased population size and increased self-shading is expected to increase per-cell red fluorescence, due to higher pigmentation from photoacclimatization (Sosik et al. 1989). Conversely, a decrease in medium nitrogen availability should coincide with a decline in cell red fluorescence, due to reduced photosynthetic rate from factors such as reduced N-rich pigments, reduced thylakoid efficiency, decline in photosystem II density, reduction in light-harvesting complex, and a decline in enzymatic activities (Turpin 1991). Because we observed decreasing red fluorescence during the experiments, medium nitrogen is implicated as the driver. Hence, population size was removed from the initial full model and this reduced the maximum variance inflation factor score to 2.7, which was below the assumed cutoff value of 5.

Combinations between the 4 species and 2 initial inoculation densities could produce different interactive effects on optical properties, medium nitrogen, or total cell nitrogen. To account for this, 9 two-way interaction terms were included for all combinations between all continuous variables and the categorical explanatory variables of species and initial population size (also including an interaction term between the two categorical variables). Furthermore, 3 additional interaction terms were included between all combinations of optical properties with each other, to account for likely interactions between physical properties of a cell (e.g. fluctuations in red fluorescence can depend on changes in forward or side light scatters. When designing the initial model, we also considered adding quadratic terms for each of the continuous variables for the initial model. However, none of
the quadratic coefficients for the quadratic terms were significantly different from 0 (with alpha at 0.05) and were therefore omitted from the starting model. Overall, 31 estimated parameters were included in the initial full model, with a total of 89 residual degrees of freedom. Standard diagnostic plots on model residuals were examined to ensure normality (QQ plot), homoscedasticity (standardized residuals vs. fitted values), and absence of influential observations or outliers (Cook’s distance < 0.5). Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC; Schwarz 1978) was adopted to determine the best-fitting model from all possible candidates, obtained by removing single or combination of parameters from the initial fully parameterized model. The best fitting model following BIC model selection is the one that minimizes the formula: \(-2 \times L + 2 \times k \times (\ln(n) - \ln(2\pi))\), where \(L\) is the maximum likelihood score, \(k\) is the total number of calibrated parameters, and \(n\) is the sample size. \(\Delta \text{BIC}\) was then calculated by subtracting the BIC score of the best model from all other scores (i.e., the \(\Delta \text{BIC}\) for the best model is 0). In general, models with \(\Delta \text{BIC}\) between 0 and 2 should be considered as having substantial empirical support (Kass & Raftery 1995; Strong et al. 1999). Model selection was carried out with the R package \textit{MuMIn} (Barton 2014).

For the best-fitting model selected by BIC, the independent contribution of each explanatory term to the total explained variability was calculated with the hierarchical partitioning method (HPM) by Chevan and Sutherland (1991). The HPM method isolates the unique contribution of each single variable in a multiple regression analysis. For example, the contribution of variable \(U\) to the total \(R^2\) of a multiple regression including \(U\), \(V\), \(Y\), and \(Z\) is calculated by evaluating the incremental improvement in total explained variance \((R^2_U)\) when introducing \(U\) to all possible model configurations, averaged within a certain model size (i.e. first-
order: V, Y, Z; second order: VZ, YZ, VY; third order: VYZ). Finally, the unique contribution of U to the total $R^2$ in the multiple regression is calculated by taking the average of the three $R^2$ scores across the first, second, and third orders of model sizes (Gromping 2006). The 95% confidence intervals (CI) for the relative contributions are calculated by ordinary non-parametric bootstrapping of all observations in the dataset (with replacement) $10^4$ times and repeating the HPM method for each bootstrapped dataset (Gromping 2006). HPM and bootstrapped CI were calculated with R package relaimpo (Gromping 2006; Gromping 2007). Statistical computer software R and RStudio were used for all analyses and graphs (RStudio 2013; R Core Team 2014).

**Results and Discussion**

This study confirmed the hypothesis that flow cytometric optical properties could reveal information about nitrogen quota in laboratory batch cultures. Of all the competing models derived from removing single or combinations of parameters from the starting full model, only one fell within the threshold level of $\Delta \text{BIC} < 2$ (Table 4.1). This best-fitting model explained 90.2% of the changes in cell nitrogen quota as a function of the main effects for all three optical properties (i.e. red fluorescence, forward and side light scatters) and available medium nitrogen (Table 4.1). Model selection did not detect any important main or interactive effects of species (Table 4.1), implying that the effects of the main explanatory variables were consistent across all four species. Similarly, initial population size was not included in the best model (Table 4.1), indicating that any effects of different per-cell medium nitrogen availabilities were implicitly accounted for by optical properties and medium nitrogen. The best-fitting model performed very well across all four
species and for both initial nitrogen conditions ($r = 0.87-0.94$), showing no evidence of bias (Fig. 4.1). Red fluorescence had the highest explanatory power in the analysis. The total explained variability in the best-fitting model was 90%, but optical parameters of red fluorescence, forward scatter, and side scatter contributed to 88%, and only the remaining 2% was explained by medium nitrogen (Fig. 4.2). Indeed, a linear model including only the two most influential explanatory variables, red fluorescence and side scatter, could explain 87% of the total variability in total cell nitrogen (Fig. 4.3), of which the overwhelming majority (77%) could be explained with a simple linear regression only including red fluorescence (Fig. 4.4). Overall, these results strongly support the hypothesis that optical variables are a potential proxy for nitrogen quota for all four algal species reared in laboratory nitrogen-limited batch culture conditions.

The existence of a relationship between nitrogen quota and cell optical properties is consistent with our understanding of nutrient-limited growth in autotrophic cells. Together with carbon, nitrogen is the element in highest demand during cell division and, when nitrogen is in short supply, cells respond by relocating nitrogen from storage molecules to vital metabolic functions (Dortch et al. 1984; Mulholland et al. 2008). Hence, low nitrogen status usually coincides with a decline in N-rich pigments, density of internal organelles, and decrease in cell size, which are all related to the optical properties recorded with a flow cytometer: the intensity of the red fluorescence signal is mainly proportional to the total pigment concentration (especially chlorophyll $a$), forward light scatter is a proxy for cell cross-section and cell volume, and side light scatter is proportional to the general internal complexity of the cell (or its granularity; Dubelaar et al. 2000). In principle, similar mechanisms should also apply for cells limited by different
nutrients. For instance, positive trends in flow cytometric optical values have been recorded from nutrient-limited cultures resupplied with iron (Zettler et al. 1996; Timmermans et al. 2001; Davey et al. 2008; Liu & Qiu 2012), phosphorous (Cleveland & Perry 1987; Demers, Davis & Cucci 1989), and silicon (Demers et al. 1989).

This is the first documentation of flow cytometric optical properties as a quantifiable proxy for cell nitrogen quota in phytoplankton cells. These findings have implications for the way we monitor phytoplankton species. Firstly, optical properties are among the few measurements that can be quantified non-destructively from phytoplankton cells. This circumvents having to indirectly calculate per-cell concentrations by dividing two estimates for total elemental concentration and total population size, which inevitably leads to propagation and compounding of the uncertainty around the overall mean. Secondly, measuring optical properties in field or laboratory samples easily allow filtering out the contributions from all non-autotrophic particles through the intensity of the red fluorescence signal, which is mainly proportional to the concentration of pigments in photosynthetic tissues (Dubelaar et al. 2000). Moreover, it is now technologically feasible to measure phytoplankton optical properties from automated monitoring stations (Zhou et al. 2012; Thyssen et al. 2014). The temporal resolution from such flow-cytometric time series can provide important information about the influence of biotic and abiotic factors on nitrogen quota dynamics.

Introducing a protocol for flow cytometric analysis of nitrogen status can also benefit microalgal biotechnological production systems. Imposing a nitrogen-limited regime to a culture can substantially enhance the production of storage lipids
(e.g. triacylglycerols) and carbohydrates (mainly starch), leading to a significant
increase in the specific value of the microalgal biomass (Ikaran et al. 2015). Today,
conventional analytical methods are often implemented for monitoring and
optimizing biomass quality, but with results only available considerable time after
each sample is taken (da Silva, Roseiro & Reis 2012). Only recently, flow
cytometric systems for continuous culture monitoring of lipid content, cell size,
enzyme activity, and identification of microbial species are starting to emerge (da
Silva et al. 2012; Hyka et al. 2013). While not common, such near-instantaneous
methods have already produced some improvements in the productivity and the
reproducibility of microalgal cultivation processes (de la Jara et al. 2003; Gouveia
et al. 2009; Doan & Obbard 2011). Our approach can complement such applications
of flow cytometry, by facilitating rapid assessments of culture nitrogen status.

It is important to quantify the variability of the relationship between optical
properties and nitrogen quota across different species. Trends in optical properties
mainly depend on the relative composition of different types of pigments within a
cell (Sosik et al. 1989). Hence, it is likely that taxonomically related species will
often show consistent relationships in optical properties. In this study, the calibrated
relationship between nitrogen quota and cell optical properties was consistent across
three chlorophytes and one charophyte species (BIC selected against species-
specific coefficients; see Table 4.1). This means that an increase in internal nitrogen
corresponded to the same response in optical properties across all four species. The
extent to which this relationship remains consistent across more taxonomically
disparate species, however, requires further investigation.
Future research should assess the reliability of optical properties as a proxy for nitrogen quota when extended from laboratory cultures to field samples of mixed assemblages. This requires analyzing the effects of additional covariates, such as the effects of multiple potentially limiting nutrients. Furthermore, cells can photoacclimatize and change their pigment concentrations when exposed to different light intensities (Jacquet et al. 1998; Dusenberry, Olson & Chisholm 1999; Collier 2000). Hence, the influence of light irradiance on the relationship between optical properties and nitrogen quota should be calibrated. Finally, optical characteristics also change throughout the diel cycle, usually increasing intensity throughout the light phase (DuRand et al. 1998; Mas et al. 2008), highlighting the need to standardize for time of sampling. Assessing each individual contribution from these factors can facilitate the comprehensive interpretation of time-series of per-cell optical properties with respect to nitrogen quota from field samples.

The capacity of phytoplankton cells to assimilate and store growth-limiting nutrients has important implications in nature and in engineered systems. Presently available techniques for directly measuring nitrogen quota in phytoplankton cells are difficult, and not feasible for monitoring frequently or over large areas (Shelly et al. 2010). The use of optical proxies has already revolutionized the scale and frequency of phytoplankton monitoring. For instance, the MODIS spectroradiometer installed on satellites Terra and Aqua documented, for the first time, patterns of global primary production on ocean surfaces by recording total chlorophyll fluorescence as a proxy for phytoplankton biomass (Bordi, Neeck & Scolese 1999). Today flow cytometers are installed in most oceanographic vessels and can even be designed as automated submersible units for continuous monitoring of natural phytoplankton assemblages or microalgal bioreactors (Yentsch et al.}
1983; Olson, Shalapyonok & Sosik 2003). The results of the present study indicate that flow cytometric data does not just indicate total population size, but also has the potential to provide information on nitrogen quota of phytoplankton cells.
Table 4.1: Summary table for the ten best models following Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) model selection. Rows represent individual models ordered from lowest to highest BIC score. Columns indicate each model term (main effects or interactions that were selected at least once in the top ten models), coefficient of determination ($R^2$), degree of freedom ($df$), maximum log-likelihood score ($LogLik$), BIC score, $\Delta$BIC, and cumulative weight. Presence for model terms is indicated with either its estimated parameter value for continuous variables or with a “+” sign for categorical variables. Models were judged based on $\Delta$BIC, calculated by subtracting the overall best BIC score from the scores of each model (hence, by definition the $\Delta$BIC of the best model is 0). “Exp.” indicates the two initial conditions of starting population densities, “Fwd” is forward light scatter, “Red” is red fluorescence, “Side” is side light scatter, and “MdN” is the available medium nitrogen. Interaction terms between two variables are represented with the column sign (“:”).

# Model Terms

| Model # | (Intercept) | Exp. | log(Fwd) | log(Red) | log(Side) | sqrt(MdN) | Exp:log(Red) | Exp:log(Side) | log(Fwd):log(Red) | log(Fwd):log(Side) | log(Fwd):Species | log(Red):log(Side) | log(Side):Species | R² | df | LogLik | BIC | ΔBIC | Cum. Weight |
|---------|-------------|------|----------|---------|----------|-----------|------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|-----|----|--------|-----|-----|-------------|
| 1       | -17.77      | 0.32 | 0.90     | 0.35    | 0.01     | 0.01      | 0.39       | 0.07       | 0.01            | 0.01            | 0.01           | 0.01           | 0.01          | 0.90| 6  | 16.38  | -4.03| 0.00| 0.46       |
| 2       | -18.21      | -0.57| 1.06     | 0.32    | 0.01     | 0.39       | 0.90       | 7          | 17.46           | -1.42           | 2.61           | 0.12           | 0.00          | 0.90| 7  | 17.15  | -0.79| 3.23| 0.09       |
| 3       | -17.66      | +    | 0.32     | 0.86    | 0.37     | 0.01       | 0.90       | 7          | 16.75           | -0.79           | 3.23           | 0.09           | 0.00          | 0.90| 5  | 11.97  | 0.00 | 4.02| 0.06       |
| 4       | -17.95      | 0.96 | 0.41     | 0.01    |          | 0.07       | 0.90       | 7          | 16.75           | 0.68            | 4.71           | 0.04           | 0.00          | 0.90| 7  | 16.42  | 0.68 | 4.71| 0.04       |
| 5       | -18.00      | 0.36 | 0.98     | 0.15    | 0.01     | 0.07       | 0.90       | 7          | 16.75           | 0.68            | 4.71           | 0.04           | 0.00          | 0.90| 5  | 11.97  | 0.00 | 4.02| 0.06       |
| 6       | -17.64      | +    | 0.30     | 0.83    | 0.34     | 0.01       | +          | 0.91       | 8              | 18.89           | 4.55           | 0.05           | 0.00          | 0.91| 8  | 18.89  | 0.52 | 4.55| 0.05       |
| 7       | -17.74      | 0.45 | 0.90     | 0.37    | 0.01     | 0.08       | 0.90       | 7          | 16.42           | 0.68            | 4.71           | 0.04           | 0.00          | 0.90| 7  | 16.42  | 0.68 | 4.71| 0.04       |
| 8       | -17.87      | +    | 0.30     | 0.92    | 0.34     | 0.01       | +          | 0.91       | 8              | 18.80           | 0.71           | 4.73           | 0.04          | 0.00| 0.91| 18.80  | 0.71 | 4.73| 0.04       |
| 9       | -19.09      | +    | 0.90     | 1.23    | -0.90    | 0.02       | +          | 0.94       | 18             | 42.66           | 8.85           | 4.88           | 0.04          | 0.00| 0.94| 42.66  | 8.85 | 4.88| 0.04       |
| 10      | -17.87      | +    | 0.28     | 0.89    | 0.30     | 0.01       | +          | 0.91       | 9              | 20.92           | 1.26           | 5.28           | 0.03          | 0.00| 0.91| 20.92  | 1.26 | 5.28| 0.03       |
Table 4.2: ANOVA table for the best-fitting multiple linear regression on total cell nitrogen following BIC model selection (see model #1 in Table 4.1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source (transformation)</th>
<th>Sum of squares</th>
<th>d.f.</th>
<th>Mean square</th>
<th>F value</th>
<th>Prob(F)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Red fluorescence (logₑ)</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>899.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side Scatter (logₑ)</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>119.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forward Scatter (logₑ)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium N (sqrt)</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residuals</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$F_{4,115} = 265$, Prob ($F$) < 0.0001; $R^2 = 0.902$, adj. $R^2 = 0.899$
Figure 4.1: Observed and predicted nitrogen quota values extracted from the best-fitting model for each species. Each dot represents an independent culture for each day of the experiment, with different symbols indicating the two different initial per-cell nitrogen availabilities. The correlation coefficient quantifies the goodness of fit for each species pooled across both treatments of initial nitrogen. The diagonal line is the unity line, where observed and predicted values are equal.
Figure 4.2: The unique contribution of explanatory variables for red fluorescence (“Red”), side light scatter (“Side”), forward light scatter (“Forward”), and medium nitrogen (“Medium N”) to the coefficient of determination ($R^2 \pm 95\%$ bootstrapped confidence intervals) of the multiple regression model (see Table 4.1), as calculated by the hierarchical partitioning method (HPM). Total model explained variability (i.e. sum of the four unique contributions) was 90\%
Figure 4.3: Multiple linear regression for total cell nitrogen as a function of the two most important model explanatory variables: flow cytometric red fluorescence and side scatter (see Table 4.1 and Fig. 4.2). Optical values are in relative units and normalized by mean optical values of the flow cytometric beads. Each point is a daily measurement at 18:00 collected from a factorial design of two independent replicate cultures for four species for two initial cell densities ($R^2 = 0.868$). Points and perpendicular residuals to the prediction plane are color-coded for the four different species.
Figure 4.4: Linear regression for total cell nitrogen as a function of red fluorescence with 95% confidence intervals (red envelop) and 95% projection intervals (dashed lines). Optical values are in relative units and are normalized by mean optical values of flow cytometric beads. Each point is a daily measurement of a species at 18:00 from two independent replicate cultures at two initial cell densities ($R^2 = 0.77$).
Chapter 5: Improving dynamic phytoplankton reserve-utilization models with an indirect proxy for internal nitrogen.

Introduction

Proxy variables are commonly used in ecology as a way to quantify processes that would otherwise be difficult or impossible to monitor directly (Caro 2010; Lindenmayer, Barton & Pierson 2015). For instance, indirect proxies can facilitate mapping ecosystem services over large scales (Eigenbrod et al. 2010; Stephens et al. 2015). This is especially true for vegetation maps: It is now common in ecology to use observations from remote sensors onboard of satellites as an indirect optical proxies for different canopy attributes (e.g. net primary production, plant biomass, photosynthetically active radiations) to overcome many long-standing monitoring challenges, for example evaluation of impervious regions, such as Arctic and Antarctic (Santin-Janin et al. 2009; Epstein et al. 2012), or fragmented and isolated areas (Pereira Coltri et al. 2013; Li et al. 2014b), or very extended territories (Son et al. 2014; Stephens et al. 2015). Anther use of indirect proxies in ecology is to quantify the overall performance of a species. For instance, trait-based approaches use different types of measurable ecophysiological and life-history traits (e.g. growth, body mass, fecundity) as a proxy of the ecological capabilities of a species (e.g. competitive ability) and about complex processes at higher organizational levels (e.g. community structure, ecosystem functioning, energy flow: McGill et al. 2006; Violle et al. 2007). For example, the colony shape of a coral species is a
proxy for its susceptibility to mortality from physical dislodgment (Madin et al. 2014). Proxies can also be used to quantify the condition of an individual organism. For instance, the size of the liver and its lipid concentration is a good proxy for the health of a fish (Dempster et al. 2011). Also, whole-organism oxygen consumption rate is a traditional proxy for metabolic activity or energy consumption (Brown et al. 2004; Salin et al. 2015) and various biochemical indices have been used as proxies for specific growth rate and overall activity of an organism (Runge & Roff 2000; Holmborn et al. 2009). Hence, indirect proxies serve different purposes in ecology across different scales, ranging from biomes, to species, to individuals.

The use of indirect proxies is particularly important when studying organisms that are difficult to measure directly, such as phytoplankton. The productivity of phytoplankton populations is critical to sustain life on the planet and their rate of cell division is often regulated by the availability of inorganic nutrients to a cell. However, phytoplankton cells are also adapted to store nutrients to support growth in periods of low nutrient availability (Caperon et al. 1972; Droop 1973; Droop 1983), which complicates the analysis of nutrient utilization and population dynamics. Quota models are the most successful representation of phytoplankton nutrient utilization to date, because of their ability to incorporate internal nutrient storage within single cells that can temporarily support cell division even in the absence of sufficient external nutrients (Droop 1973; Leadbeater 2006; Pahlow et al. 2013). The key assumption of Quota models is largely biologically justified: phytoplankton cells are adapted to respond to nutrient-limited conditions by relocating resources from storage molecules (e.g. lipids, carbohydrates, pigments, RNA) to vital metabolic functions (e.g. cell division; Dortch et al. 1984). However, analyzing phytoplankton nutrient utilization by fitting Quota models to data also
requires monitoring the internal nutrient status of a cell, which is the most stringent limitation to this modeling technique. Estimating nutrient concentrations within phytoplankton cells is technically complicated, costly, destructive, and time-consuming (Sattayatewa, Arnaldos & Pagilla 2011; American Public Health Association 2012). For instance, a performance study of this technique reviewing 55 laboratories revealed that around half of the participating laboratories produced inconsistent results, and the coefficient of variation among the reliable laboratories was up to 20% for nitrogen and 60% for phosphorous concentrations (Aminot, Kirkwood & Carlberg 1997). Alternatively, Quota models can be fitted to data even when internal quota are not directly monitored (Ducobu et al. 1998; Malerba et al. 2012; Malerba et al. 2015). This is possible because the dynamics of internal nutrients in closed systems can sometimes be inferred from population densities and ambient nutrient depletion (Brand 1991; Fujimoto et al. 1997; De La Rocha et al. 2010). However, this approach is limited to highly controlled laboratory settings and it generally requires more data and more complex experimental designs. Hence, overcoming the limitations involved with direct measurements of cell internal nutrients could substantially enhance our ability to understand and predict dynamics of phytoplankton populations.

A recent study by Malerba et al. (2016) proposed a new way to fit Quota models to phytoplankton population dynamics, by using cell optical properties as an indirect proxy for internal nitrogen status. Nitrogen status is known to alter many physiological and morphological aspects of a cell (e.g. cell volume, cell roundness, pigment composition, quantities of internal organelles, concentrations of storage molecules; Vanucci et al. 2010; Adams et al. 2013). Flow cytometric optical analysis provides a means to directly quantify these anatomical and physiological
changes that are intrinsically linked to the nitrogen quota of a single cell (Collier 2000; Dubelaar et al. 2000; Veldhuis et al. 2000). In particular, flow cytometric red fluorescence from four phytoplankton species grown in nitrogen-limited batch cultures explained 77% of the variability in per-cell internal nitrogen, across species and a range of initial nutrient concentrations (Malerba et al. 2016). Monitoring red fluorescence emission from phytoplankton cells has many practical advantages: it is instantaneous, non-destructive, precise, non-biased by inorganic particles, feasible to monitor automatically, and often routinely measured as part of the protocol for estimating total population densities (Collier 2000; Dubelaar et al. 2000; Veldhuis et al. 2000). However, inferring a state variable from an indirect proxy also requires accounting for an additional source of error, due to the use of a calibration curve to convert between a variable and its proxy. Hence, while incorporating red fluorescence as a proxy for cell nitrogen quota could extend the utilization of Quota models, it is unknown how the added uncertainty of using a calibration curve could affect parameter identifiability, precision, and accuracy when fitting Quota models to phytoplankton time-series.

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the use of flow cytometric red fluorescence in Quota models as a proxy for internal nutrient status. To this end, we conducted two different analytical approaches. In the first analysis, we generated data by simulation, so that the three models could be compared using mean squared error (MSE) from the difference between the estimated parameter values and the known, true parameter values used to generate the data. Phytoplankton allometric relationships were used to generate parameter values from a phytoplankton community spanning several orders of magnitude in cell size. In the second analysis, we used the data collected in chapter 4 from laboratory cultures of four
green algal species (i.e. *Desmodesmus armatus*, *Mesotaenium* sp., *Scenedesmus obliquus*, and *Tetraedron* sp.), each started at two initial conditions. This study illustrates the usefulness of incorporating red fluorescence as a proxy for cell nitrogen quota to improve the applicability and performance of phytoplankton models. This study illustrates the usefulness of incorporating red fluorescence as a proxy for cell nitrogen quota to improve the applicability and performance of phytoplankton models.

**Material and Methods**

**Model development**

In this study we derived three forms of Quota models: the “Nitrogen-Quota” model, “Virtual-Quota” model, and the “Fluorescence-Quota” model. The three different models were derived from the original Quota model by Droop (1973), as:

\[
\frac{dN}{dt} = -f\left(N(t)\right) \times B(t) \quad \text{(eq. 5.1 a)}
\]

\[
\frac{dQ}{dt} = f\left(N(t)\right) - g\left(Q(t)\right) \times Q(t) \quad \text{(eq. 5.1 b)}
\]

\[
\frac{dB}{dt} = g\left(Q(t)\right) \times B(t) \quad \text{(eq. 5.1 c)}
\]

where \(N(t)\), \(Q(t)\), and \(B(t)\) indicate ambient nitrogen, per-cell internal nitrogen quota, and population density, respectively. Functional responses \(f(N(t))\) and \(g(Q(t))\) describe the per-cell uptake rate and growth rate as a function of external and internal nitrogen, and are represented with two saturating functional responses:

\[
f\left(N(t)\right) = v_{\max} \times \frac{N(t)}{N(t) + k} \quad \text{(eq. 5.2 a)}
\]
where $v_{max}$ is per-capita maximum uptake rate, $k$ is the Michaelis-Menten half-saturation constant, $\mu_{max}$ is specific growth rate of a cell at infinite nitrogen quota, and $Q_{min}$ is the threshold internal nitrogen concentration at which no cell division occurs.

All three models include the state variable $Q(t)$, as the nitrogen quota within a single phytoplankton cell, but differ in the way the model is calibrated to the data. The nitrogen-quota model calibrates the dynamics for $Q(t)$ to direct measurements of per-cell internal nitrogen, as:

$$Q_{obs}(t) = c \times Q(t)$$

(eq. 5.3)

where $Q_{obs}(t)$ is the observed nitrogen quota within a single cell, and $c$ is a positive constant accounting for bias associated with laboratory protocols when recording total cell nitrogen in phytoplankton cultures. Directly estimating cell quota has two sources of bias. Firstly, the precision of measuring the elemental composition within a cell depends on the type of chemical bonds within the molecules (e.g. nitrogen atoms connected by double bonds within molecules yield very poor recovery rate with most traditional protocols; Aminot et al. 1997; Raimbault et al. 1999b). Secondly, field and laboratory samples often present variable loads of dead cells and nutrient-rich inorganic particles in solution, which contribute to the overall reading and overestimate cell quota in living cells (Shelly et al. 2010). Hence, the parameter $c$ represents underestimated $Q_{obs}(t)$ due to partial N recovery associated with experimental protocol ($c<1$), or overestimated $Q_{obs}(t)$ due to nitrogen recovered from dead cells and suspended inorganic particles within the sample.
(c>1). Bias of up to 50% has been reported with organic nitrogen standards (Nydahl 1978; Langner & Hendrix 1982; Raimbault & Slawyk 1991; Raimbault et al. 1999b), so in each simulated dataset c was generated from a uniform distribution ranging between 0.5 and 1.5. The reason for assuming an uninformative prior distribution is because in this way the parameter c will have more flexibility to explore the range of potential values between upward and downward biases of 50%.

The uninformative prior distribution assumed for this parameter was c to explore the entire range of values. The case with no bias in \(Q_{obs}(t)\) was also explored, by repeating the analysis with c fixed at 1 (see Testing Robustness in Results section).

The fluorescence-quota model derives \(Q(t)\) from the red fluorescence signal emitted by a cell. Previously, Marra, Bidigare and Dickey (1990) showed the utility of using red fluorescence as a proxy for internal nutrient status in phytoplankton Quota models. We build on this idea by considering flow cytometric red fluorescence measured from individual cells, instead of total red fluorescence from a volume of water. In this way, the recorded value of red fluorescence signal is determined only by properties of individual cells, without the need to standardize by total population density within the culture (which would introduce additional measurement error). The relationship between \(Q(t)\) and per-cell fluorescence intensity was assumed to follow the same power-law functional response documented in Malerba et al. (2016):

\[Q(t) = a \times F(t)^b\]  \hspace{1cm} (eq. 5.4)

which can be rearranged as:
where $F(t)$ indicates the strength of the red fluorescence signal, and $a$ and $b$ are shape parameters.

Finally, the virtual-quota model uses only observations of phytoplankton population size and external nitrate and ammonium concentrations, and infers changes in internal nitrogen $Q(t)$ from the fitted parameter values. This approach to infer $Q(t)$ is equivalent to Ducobu et al. (1998), Malerba et al. (2012), and Malerba et al. (2015). Hence, the total number of estimated parameters changes between the three models: the virtual-quota model has 4 parameters, while the nitrogen-quota model and fluorescence-quota model have 1 (i.e. $c$ from eq. 5.3) and 2 (i.e. $a$ and $b$ from eq. 5.5) additional parameters, respectively.

**Approach 1: Simulation-based analysis**

**Data simulations**

The nitrogen-quota, the virtual-quota, and the fluorescence-quota models were compared by fitting each model to 100 simulated datasets. In this way, model performance could be calculated from the average distance between the parameter values used to generate the data (“true” parameters) and the model estimates calibrated from the same data (estimated parameters). Each simulation was generated following these steps: (1) choose a set of demographic parameters, (2) use stochastic simulations to generate trajectories for external nitrogen, cell nitrogen quota, population density, and red fluorescence, and (3) generate trajectories of the corresponding observations of these state variables incorporating the measurement...
error for each state variable. Here we describe each step in more detail (please refer to Appendix B Chapter 5 for a graphical summary of each step in the analytical methods).

The first step consisted of generating the demographic parameters for a hypothetical phytoplankton species sampled from a phytoplankton community. To do that, a cell volume was selected from a uniform distribution on log$_{10}$-scale from $10^2$ to $10^5$ µm$^3$, which is the approximate range reported in Edwards et al. (2012) for freshwater phytoplankton species. Then, the allometric relationships in Edwards et al. (2012) were used to associate the sampled cell volume to expected values for maximum nitrogen uptake ($v_{max}$), half-saturation rate ($k$), maximum theoretical growth rate ($\mu_{max}$), and minimum nitrogen quota ($Q_{min}$; see Table S5.1 in Appendix A Chapter 5, and Appendix B Chapter 5). Also, to account for between-species variation around the four allometric relationships in Edwards et al. (2012), a residual was extracted from the error distribution of each linear regression and added to the expected parameter values (Table S5.1 in Appendix A Chapter 5).

The second step consisted in simulating the true dynamics of the system. We did that by using eq. 5.1 a-c, with the demographic parameters determined in the first step, to calculate time-series for external nitrogen ($N(t)$), population density ($B(t)$), internal nitrogen ($Q(t)$), and red fluorescence ($F(t)$). Trajectories for the red fluorescence emission (i.e. $F(t)$) of a cell were calculated based on changes in its internal nitrogen status ($Q(t)$) using eq. 5.5. The values for $a$ and $b$ were drawn from a bivariate uncertainty distribution from calibration of this relationship using empirical data from four phytoplankton species (Malerba et al. 2016). Log-normally distributed process noise was added to each of the state variables to represent the
unexplained variation due to natural stochasticity in the dynamics (Hilborn et al. 1997; Bolker 2008). The magnitudes of process noise were estimated from calibrating eq. 5.1 a-c to empirical data (Malerba et al. 2016). Each time-series was simulated for 100 days, to ensure narrow confidence intervals for all estimated parameters. The initial concentration for external nitrogen was standardized as ten times the half-saturation constant (i.e. $10 \times k$) of the species. The initial population density was calculated so that medium nitrogen would become limiting half way through the time-series (i.e. between day 40 and 60). In this way, available nutrients transitioned from N-rich, to N-limited, to N-absent conditions, and growth rates transitioned from fast to zero. Finally, initial per-capita internal nitrogen was randomly selected from a uniform distribution between nitrogen deplete (i.e. $Q(t_0) = Q_{\text{min}}$) and nitrogen replete (i.e. $Q(t_0) = 10 \times Q_{\text{min}}$).

The third step consisted in adding observation error to the true system dynamics calculated in step 2. Observation error was added to the dynamics of ambient nitrogen ($N(t)$), observed internal quota ($Q_{\text{obs}}(t)$), population density ($B(t)$), and red fluorescence ($F(t)$). The magnitudes of observation error were calculated from the standard deviations of the triplicate independent readings from empirical data in Malerba et al. (2016), which offers an independent estimate for the size of the measurement error for each state variable. They were all assumed to follow a log-normal distribution, except for medium nitrogen, which was assumed to be normally distributed based on previous work (Malerba et al. 2016). Time-series for observed internal quota ($Q_{\text{obs}}(t)$) were further modified to account for bias by using eq. 5.3 with a value for $c$ sampled from a uniform distribution between 0.5 and 1.5.
Model calibration to simulated data

All three models were calibrated to 100 simulated datasets. The nitrogen-quota model was calibrated to trajectories of external nitrogen \((N(t))\), population density \((B(t))\), and observed internal quota \((Q_{obs}(t))\), with internal quota \((Q(t))\) estimated from eq. 5.3. The virtual-quota model was calibrated only to external nitrogen \((N(t))\) and population density \((B(t))\), with internal quota \((Q(t))\) inferred from changes in \(N(t)\) and \(B(t)\). The fluorescence-quota model was calibrated to external nitrogen \((N(t))\), population density \((B(t))\), and red fluorescence \((F(t))\), with internal quota \((Q(t))\) estimated from \(F(t)\), using eq. 5.5.

State-space statistical estimation techniques were used to fit each model to each of the 100 datasets. State-space models allow estimating model parameters by simultaneously accounting for both process noise and observation error (Bolker 2008; Pedersen et al. 2011). The underlying idea behind this modeling technique is that observation error and process noise affect the variability around the model in different ways: the variability caused by observation error will remain constant through time, while the influence of process noise will compound over time. Mathematically this corresponds to:

\[
Y_{t+1}^{true} = f(Y_{t}^{true}) + \varepsilon \quad \text{(eq. 5.6 a)}
\]

\[
Y_{t+1}^{obs} = Y_{t+1}^{true} + \tau \quad \text{(eq. 5.6 b)}
\]

where \(\varepsilon\) represents the log-normally distributed process noise, \(\tau\) represents the normally distributed observation error, \(Y_{t}^{true}\) and \(Y_{t+1}^{true}\) are the true states of the system at times \(t\) and \(t+1\), and \(Y_{t+1}^{obs}\) is the measured state of the system at time \(t+1\) (see Appendix B Chapter 5 for more details).
Sampling from the posterior distribution using Bayesian Markov-Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) is a particularly suitable method to fit state-space models accounting for observation error and process noise. Each dataset was sampled $10^5$ times with Gibbs sampler, following 50000 iterations for adapting the chains and 50000 for burn in, using software JAGS and R with package rjags (Plummer 2003; R Core Team 2014; Plummer 2015). To monitor for successful convergence, we visually inspected the iterated history, density plot, and correlation diagram of each chain in each model. Also, we graphically inspect the overlapping posterior distribution between the whole chain and the last 10%, and ensured Geweke z-scores between -2 and 2 (Geweke 1992). Chains were extended if they failed to meet convergence criteria. Software R was used with packages coda and ggmcmc for statistical analyses and plots (Plummer et al. 2006; Marín 2015).

The performance of each model to estimate maximum nitrogen uptake ($\nu_{max}$), half-saturation rate ($k$), maximum specific growth rate ($\mu_{max}$), and minimum nitrogen quota ($Q_{min}$) was evaluated using mean squared error (MSE), as:

$$\text{mean} \left[ (\hat{\theta} - \theta_{true})^2 \right]$$

(eq. 5.7)

where $\theta_{true}$ is the parameter value used to simulate the dataset, and $\hat{\theta}$ is the value of the parameter estimated from the same dataset.

**Approach 2: Testing the models with real data**

Data from chapter 4 were used to test the model with laboratory data. Refer to material and methods in chapter 4 for a detailed description of the experimental methods. Briefly, four green algal species (i.e. *Desmodesmus armatus*, *Mesotaenium* sp., *Scenedesmus obliquus*, and *Tetraedron* sp.) were reared in batch
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culture conditions under two different initial conditions of medium nitrogen and initial population density. Triplicate readings for population density, medium nitrogen, cell internal nitrogen quota, and cell red fluorescence were collected daily at 18:00, in order to control for any diurnal fluctuations.

Model calibration to laboratory time-series

Each model was calibrated to each time-series collected in chapter 4. The Nitrogen Quota model was calibrated using observations for the internal nitrogen quota \( Q_{\text{obs}}(t) \), as well as medium nitrogen \( N(t) \) and population density \( B(t) \). The Red Quota mode was calibrated to data for red fluorescence \( R(t) \), medium nitrogen \( N(t) \), and population density \( B(t) \). Finally, the Virtual Quota model was calibrated to data for medium nitrogen \( N(t) \) and population density \( B(t) \). The models were calibrated using the same techniques for the simulated datasets (see section “Model calibration for simulated data”). However, there were not enough observations in the time-series to simultaneously account for the effects of both process noise and observation error. Therefore, we simplified the analysis by assuming that the residuals in the data were only due to observation error. This assumption is more reasonable than assuming process noise-only, because protocols to monitor per-cell internal nitrogen quota are complex and often suffer from lack of precision.

In contrast to simulated data, it is not possible to calculate an MSE for model parameters for laboratory data, as the “true” parameter values \( \theta_{\text{true}} \) in eq. 5.7 are unknown. Instead, we assumed that the observations for the internal nitrogen quota represent the “true” dynamics of the internal quota and we tested the ability of each model to fit the observed dynamics. For each calibrated model, we used the
coefficient of determination (R^2) between the observed quota and the model-inferred quota as the index for the goodness-of-fit of the model. We calculated the R2 of the model integrated over the posterior distribution of the parameters. In this way, we could evaluate both the accuracy (mean R2 value) and the precision (variability around the R2 coefficient).

Note that the above approach is biased in favor of the Nitrogen Quota model: the observations on internal quota are used for both calibrating the parameters and for evaluating the performance of the model. This is not the case for the Red Quota and Virtual Quota models, as the data on internal quota dynamics are not used for their calibrations.

Results

Simulated data

The relationship between the simulated data for red fluorescence (F(t)) and observed internal quota (Q_{obs}(t)) recorded a mean R^2 of 0.9, which is 15% higher than the corresponding coefficient from empirical data (Malerba et al. 2016). This indicates that a fraction of the observation error in F(t), Q_{obs}(t), or both, was not included in the standard deviations calculated from triplicate independent readings of the samples in Malerba et al. (2016). Therefore, it was necessary to simulate time-series of F(t) and Q_{obs} (t) with an increased observation error estimate (note that process noise does not contribute to the precision of this relationship). To be conservative, we added equal proportions of observation error to F(t) and Q(t) until
the empirically detected $R^2$ of 0.77 in Malerba et al. (2016) was reflected in the simulated data.

Analysis

The virtual-quota model performed substantially worse than either the fluorescence-quota or the nitrogen-quota models. The mean squared error of the four parameters estimated with the virtual-quota model ($MSE_{virt}$) were between 2 to 4 orders of magnitude higher than the error for the nitrogen-quota ($MSE_{nitr}$) and fluorescence-quota ($MSE_{flu}$) models (Fig. 5.1). The accuracy of the nitrogen-quota model was intermediate, performing consistently better than the virtual-quota model across all parameters (Fig. 5.1). Specifically, the $MSE_{virt}$ for maximum uptake rate ($v_{max}$), half-saturation constant ($k$), and minimum quota ($Q_{min}$) was 2 and 4 orders of magnitude higher than $MSE_{nitr}$ and $MSE_{flu}$, respectively, while maximum growth rate ($\mu_{max}$) differed by 1 and 2 orders of magnitude, respectively (Fig. 5.1). Hence, inferring internal nitrogen dynamics from external nitrogen depletion and population density (i.e. virtual-quota model) led to less accuracy and less precision compared to including measurements of internal nitrogen or of red fluorescence.

The fluorescence-quota model showed better performance compared to the nitrogen-quota model in nearly all cases, but the difference between the two models depended on the parameter and on the cell size used to simulate the data (Fig. 5.2). For small cell sizes, the $MSE_{flu}$ of all parameters was 2-3 orders of magnitude smaller than the $MSE_{nitr}$ (Fig. 5.2). For large cell sizes, the differences in orders of magnitude were substantially reduced, between 0.5 and 1.5 for maximum uptake rate ($v_{max}$) and minimum quota ($Q_{min}$), and the two models performed equally for half-saturation constant ($k$; Fig. 5.2 A-C). Finally, the maximum growth rate ($\mu_{max}$)
was better estimated by fluorescence-quota model compared to nitrogen-quota model, by between 2 and 3 orders of magnitude, and this difference was independent of the cell size (Fig. 5.2 D). In conclusion, the fluorescence-quota consistently outperformed the nitrogen-quota model, especially for small cell sizes.

The reason for an effect of cell size on the relative performance of fluorescence-quota and nitrogen-quota models is because small cells are more likely to reach stationarity at the end of the simulations, compared to larger cells. The onset of N-limitation, standardized in this study to occur half-way through the simulated dataset, leads to a gradual transition toward an equilibrium state of the system (i.e. absence of medium nitrogen, and constant levels of internal nitrogen and biomass). Larger cells have higher capacity to maintain cell division in the absence of external nutrients. Therefore, the high internal N storage in large cells leads to a slower transition toward steady-state conditions compared to small cells. This means that a dataset of 100 observations for a large cell is more likely to include less information about the carrying capacity of the system, and this leads to lower precision in the demographic parameters for the species. Differently to the nitrogen-quota model, the necessity of the fluorescence-quota model to calibrate a relationship between two different quantities (i.e. red fluorescence and internal N quota) makes this model more susceptible to limited information on steady-state conditions in the data. Indeed, excluding the datasets with population trajectories not stabilizing at carrying capacity removed the negative effect of cell size on model relative performance (data not shown).
Testing Robustness

The analysis was repeated by modifying the effect of the parameter $c$, quantifying the magnitude of bias when measuring $Q_{\text{obs}}(t)$ from $Q(t)$. Repeating the analysis with no bias in $Q_{\text{obs}}(t)$ (i.e. fixing $c=1$) produced virtually identical conclusions to the main analysis (data not shown). Hence, the bias-effect of the parameter $c$ did not influence the overall performance of the nitrogen-quota model.

Laboratory data

All three models fit time-series for medium nitrogen and population density very accurately (most $R^2$ higher than 0.8; see Fig. S5.1-5.4 in Appendix C). The mean goodness-of-fit between model-inferred quota dynamics and observations on internal nitrogen was highest in the Nitrogen Quota model ($R^2 = 0.55$), followed by the Red Quota model ($R^2 = 0.47$) and the Virtual Quota model ($R^2 = 0.32$; horizontal bars in Fig. 5.3). Moreover, all $R^2$ coefficients for the Virtual Quota model presented higher uncertainty compared to the Nitrogen Quota and the Red Quota models (Fig. 5.3). These results further validate the use of red fluorescence as a proxy for internal nitrogen within Quota models.
Figure 5.1: Mean Squared Error, calculated as $\text{mean} \left[ (\hat{\theta} - \theta_{true})^2 \right]$, where $\theta_{true}$ is the value used to generate the data and $\hat{\theta}$ is the value estimated from the data, associated with $v_{max}$, $k$, $\mu_{max}$, and $Q_{min}$ calibrated with the three alternative models. Colors indicate equivalent parameters calibrated with different models. The total error for each parameter was calculated by summing up results from 100 calibrated datasets for each model.
Figure 5.2: Mean and 95% confidence intervals for the difference in Mean Squared Error between the two best-performing models, the Fluorescence-Quota model and the Nitrogen-Quota model ($MSE_{flu} - MSE_{nitr}$), as a function of the cell size used to simulate the datasets for per-cell maximum nitrogen uptake rate (A), Michaelis-Menten half-saturation constant for the nitrate uptake functional response (B), per-cell minimum internal quota (C), and maximum specific growth rate (D). Negative Y-axis values indicate better performance of the Fluorescence-Quota model ($MSE_{flu} < MSE_{nitr}$), while positive values show better performance of the Nitrogen-Quota model ($MSE_{flu} > MSE_{nitr}$). Confidence intervals were calculated with Bayesian linear regressions, by subtracting the posterior distributions between Mean Squared Error and cell size across all 100 simulations for the two models.
Figure 5.3: Goodness-of-fit between model inferred-quota and observed-quota integrated over the posterior distribution for the three different models calibrated to the time-series collected in chapter 4 (i.e. 4 green algal species, each grown at two initial conditions). The horizontal line represents the weighted mean over all $R^2$ distributions ($\pm 95\%$ confidence intervals). Refer to panel C and F in Fig. S5.1-S5.4 in Appendix C for the graphical representation of the model fits.
Discussion

This study showed that including per-cell red fluorescence as a proxy for internal N (fluorescence-quota model) can improve the performance of Quota models while still accounting for the ability of a cell to store nitrogen intracellularly. The analysis based on simulated dataset showed that the higher instrumental precision for red fluorescence, compared to measuring internal nitrogen directly, makes the fluorescence-quota model superior even to the classic nitrogen-quota model. Furthermore, fitting Quota models to laboratory time-series showed that including information on red fluorescence can improve the ability of the model to predict the internal quota dynamics within a cell.

Replacing direct monitoring of internal N quota with per-cell red fluorescence can expand the applicability and the precision of Quota models. Firstly, protocols for measuring flow cytometric red fluorescence are non-destructive, allowing monitoring the same sample over time, which cannot be done with direct measurements for cell internal nitrogen. Secondly, technological advances in nutrient probes and automated submersible flow cytometers (cytobot; Olson et al. 2003) make possible the use of Quota models for real-time forecasting of phytoplankton dynamics in natural and engineered systems. In contrast, protocols for monitoring total internal N quota are more complex to automate. Thirdly, red fluorescence is an optical property intrinsic to living photoautotrophic cells, so suspended matter in water solution does not bias its reading (Collier 2000; Dubelaar et al. 2000; Veldhuis et al. 2000). Conversely, directly estimating total elemental composition from samples with variable loads of dead cells or dissolved nutrient-
rich inorganic/organic particles can substantially overestimate nutrient quota for living cells (Beardall et al. 2001; Shelly et al. 2010). Fourthly, it is feasible to separately monitor red fluorescence intensity for mixed species with non-overlapping optical ranges (Trask, Engh & Elgershuizen 1982). This could allow calibration of Quota models from time-series of interacting species. In contrast, direct methods for total nitrogen quota can only estimate the total elemental composition within a sample. These many advantages make the proposed fluorescence-quota model a promising way to reduce some of the limitations of modeling phytoplankton dynamics.

Laboratory studies have documented factors other than nitrogen status that can affect the phenomenon of phytoplankton chlorophyll fluorescence, such as light intensity, diel cycle, pigment composition, and other limiting nutrients (Sosik et al. 1989; DuRand et al. 1998; Mas et al. 2008). However, the analysis of per-cell fluorescence has received relatively little attention compared to other types of fluorescence (e.g. total fluorescence, Pulse Amplitude Modulated fluorometry), as the use of flow cytometers was established in phytoplankton ecology relatively recently (Veldhuis et al. 2000; Sosik, Olson & Armbrust 2010). Flow cytometers automatically record fluorescence intensity whenever they are used to estimate the population density of a phytoplankton sample. At present, time-series of per-cell red fluorescence are rarely of interest and scientific publications mostly do not report them. New evidence indicates that flow cytometric red fluorescence can not only reveal physiological mechanisms of a cell but also improve current phytoplankton models (Malerba et al. 2016). Promoting the publication and use of per-cell red fluorescence data can provide more opportunities for further verification and a
better evaluation of using flow cytometric fluorescence proxies in phytoplankton models.

The simulated datasets including observation error and process noise recorded a mean $R^2$ between red fluorescence ($F(t)$) and observed internal quota ($Q_{obs}(t)$) that was 15% higher than the coefficient recorded from laboratory experiments in Malerba et al. (2016). It cannot be determined from the data how and where this additional observation error between measurements of $F(t)$ and/or $Q_{obs}(t)$ entered the system. However, details about the experimental protocols provide some indications. Multiple aspects contribute to the overall magnitude of observation error for measuring internal nitrogen quota: the precision of the spectrophotometer when recording reaction intensity (instrumental error), the accuracy of dispensing reagents or diluting samples (manipulative error), and the rate of chemical degradation in all chemical reactions (chemical error). While instrumental and manipulative errors were fully replicated in the experimental methods and thus reflected in the estimate of observation error from the triplicate readings, chemical error can potentially be mis-represented by triplicate readings: the same reagents and stock standards are used at each day, and chemical decay can cause an increase in observation error that is not included in the standard deviations of the replicate measurements. Also, standard stocks for measuring cell internal nitrogen require organic stock standards (i.e. glycine), which are less stable than more traditional inorganic controls. Conversely, the observation error associated with measuring red fluorescence only depends only by the instrumental error from the flow cytometer, which is well characterized by multiple independent sample readings. In fact, red fluorescence originates from exposing untreated cells to blue light at a wavelength of 488 nm and any inconsistencies involved with handling the sample can only
influence estimates for cell densities, not for the red fluorescence emission of a cell. In conclusion, the additional observation error is more likely to be associated with measuring per-cell internal nitrogen, rather than red fluorescence. Hence, our approach to add equal proportions of observation error to $F(t)$ and $Q(t)$ until the empirically detected $R^2$ of 0.77 in Malerba et al. (2016) makes the analysis conservative, as it is likely to overestimate the error in $F(t)$ and underestimate that in $Q(t)$.

Our study presents a novel approach to incorporating and evaluating indirect proxies in dynamic models. Specifically, present findings showed that red fluorescence can substantially improve the estimation of phytoplankton demographic parameters when fitting process-based Quota models to time-series data. Many other population models incorporate variables representing the condition of individual organisms. For instance, Dynamic Energy Budget models rely on the assumption that energy and resources can be stored within organisms (Nisbet et al. 2000; Kooijman 2010). However, variables characterizing the internal condition of individuals often require destructive and time-consuming laboratory protocols, or they may simply be impossible to measure directly (e.g. separate energy reserves for growth, reproduction, or maintenance of an individual). Consequently, most dynamic models infer changes in internal storages without testing these implied dynamics against data (i.e., they are unobserved state variables). This practice impairs the capacity to validate model performance, and it increases parameter uncertainty. Therefore, the approach applied here to evaluate an internal nitrogen proxy in phytoplankton may also be useful more broadly, for evaluating indirect proxies in other populations for which difficult-to-measure internal states strongly influence population dynamics.
Chapter 6: General Discussion

Thesis Findings and Implications

Understanding nitrogen assimilation in phytoplankton communities is critical for multiple purposes: from informing conservation policies, to quantifying global cycles, to modeling the effects of future global warming, to biotechnological applications (Hatfield & Follett 2008; Suggett, Borowitzka & Prášil 2010; Gimpel et al. 2013). Our ability to model the pathways of phytoplankton nitrogen assimilation and cell division is a key element to target these objectives. In the first half of my thesis, I presented a new approach to analyze phytoplankton utilization for nitrate and ammonium, the two most important ionic forms of inorganic nitrogen driving primary productivity (Gruber 2008). This approach relies on calibrating process-based models to laboratory time-series data, including multiple transitory and interactive uptake dynamics, which traditional curve-fitting techniques are unable to account for. By using this new nitrate-ammonium model, I documented and quantified the important influences of nitrogen starvation length and ammonium-induced inhibition on nitrate uptake of a cell. Moreover, I demonstrated that the nitrate-ammonium utilization of a cell changes substantially due to cell size plasticity and previous nitrogen regimes. I showed that evaluating nitrogen utilization performance without accounting for changes in mean size of a cell could substantially underestimate the actual ability of the species to cope with new conditions. In the second part of my thesis, I examined another aspect of phytoplankton models: the quantification of internal nitrogen status in phytoplankton populations. Traditional laboratory protocols present substantial
limitations in estimating the degree of nitrogen status in phytoplankton cells. Hence, I proposed and tested a new, more precise and non-destructive indirect proxy that is based on optical properties of a cell to evaluate nitrogen limitation. Finally, I showed that the higher instrumental precision associated with monitoring cell red fluorescence can substantially improve the performance of traditional phytoplankton nutrient-limited models, relative to models where internal nitrogen is directly monitored without the use of a proxy.

The overarching theme of this thesis was the use of contemporary modeling techniques for the analysis of phytoplankton time-series data. The analytical methods in many published phycological studies are often based on rates of nitrogen utilization and biomass growth calculated between each two successive observed points in time (e.g. Laws et al. 2011; Martinez, Pato & Rico 2012). The modeling techniques presented here represent a more comprehensive and flexible tool to quantify dynamic systems, also able to account for multiple transient and interacting processes (see chapter 2). Furthermore, my approach was specifically designed to allow calibration with only daily observations of medium nitrate, medium ammonium, and population density, rendering the models applicable to a vast number of studies where these variables are routinely monitored. Many published phytoplankton models cannot do that. For instance, anyone attempting to rigorously estimate the dozens of parameters of the phytoplankton models in Flynn et al. (1997b) and Flynn et al. (1997a) would require additional complicated, expensive and time consuming experiments. Indeed, there have been no attempts to comprehensively estimate these parameters for any study species, despite the >15 years since the original publications. Thus, this thesis also filled the need for a more
tractable modeling approach, sacrificing the explicit characterization of biochemical pathways in order to be applicable with routinely monitored variables.

**Broader Implications**

This work has both applied and fundamental implications. An improved understanding of nitrate-ammonium assimilation by phytoplankton species could help better manage the risk of algal bloom formation, by regulating nitrate-polluting (e.g. land clearing, agriculture) and ammonium-polluting activities (e.g. human waste discharge, intensive livestock) in coastal areas (Cloern 2001; Domingues et al. 2011). Throughout my thesis, I elaborated a general modeling framework to represent the process of phytoplankton nitrogen assimilation. This work could contribute to investigating the causal links between dynamics of phytoplankton communities and nitrogen eutrophication in natural environments. More fundamentally, large-scale models for global nutrient cycles rely on nitrogen budgets where the role of phytoplankton is highly simplified. For instance, the ability of phytoplankton cells to store resources is particularly difficult to include in biogeochemical models. This is mainly because monitoring phytoplankton storage conditions is not feasible for large areas (Shelly et al. 2010). In consequence, many biogeochemical models simplify total primary production to follow a non-linear function of the ambient concentration of the most limiting resource (e.g. Follows et al. 2007; Weitz et al. 2015). In the second part of this thesis I address this limitation by integrating into current mathematical models a new approach that is more precise, non-destructive, and easier to perform to quantify nitrogen storage in phytoplankton cells. This alternative approach relies on measuring changes in red fluorescence from single cells that now can be continuously monitored from
automated submersible units from natural phytoplankton assemblages (Olson et al. 2003; Hunter-Cevera et al. 2014). Given the important contribution of phytoplankton to the balance of the biosphere, an improved characterization of how cells can use intracellular nutrient storages to grow can substantially enhance our ability to detect cycles and trends and make long-term predictions.

**Robustness of Results and Future Directions**

All experiments in this thesis ensured that nitrogen was the only limiting factor for cell division. Therefore, altering experimental conditions (e.g. light, temperature, other nutrients) will also cause a change in the parameter values estimated by fitting the models. However, the mathematical structure of these models was based on prior physiological knowledge of the principles regulating phytoplankton nitrogen assimilation. Therefore, the model structures represent the dynamics of phytoplankton under the general conditions of nitrogen limitation and should not be specific to my choices of species and environmental parameters. However, further testing under different experimental conditions is needed to verify the generality of the models.

The effects of light are especially important for nitrogen utilization of phytoplankton cells. Light availability determines the inflow of photosynthetic energy to a cell and regulates its metabolic activities (Al-Qasmi et al. 2012). As a consequence, altering light regimes could influence many of the results documented in this thesis. Firstly, the assimilation of nitrate depends on light conditions because the incorporation of this type of nitrogen is dependent on photosynthetically-driven chemical reductions (Berges 1997; Crawford et al. 2000). Hence, exposing a species to different light conditions could lead to very different dynamics in the nitrate-
ammonium utilization of a cell, requiring re-calibration of all functional responses. Secondly, increasing or decreasing light exposure could lead to variations in the relative and total pigment concentration of a cell (MacIntyre et al. 2002; Lutz et al. 2003). For instance, many phytoplankton species can mitigate damages from excessive light by increasing the production of photo-protective accessory pigments, such as the carotenoids xanthophylls and carotenes (Polimene et al. 2012; Christaki et al. 2013). However, most non-chlorophyll pigments are unlikely to alter the optical properties of cells used in this thesis, as they do not include nitrogen in their elemental structure and they contribute very little to the red fluorescence emission (at 670 nm) of a cell (Takaichi 2011; Devred et al. 2013). Nonetheless, cells exposed to different light conditions will also optimize their photosynthetic capacity by regulating the density of light-trapping chlorophyll pigments, which will affect the red fluorescence signal emitted by a cell (Demmig-Adams & Adams 2000; Bonilla, Rautio & Vincent 2009). Furthermore, the allocation of nitrogen can change depending on light availability: more nitrogen will be allocated to pigments and associated proteins in light-limited cells compared to light-saturated cells (see Wirtz and Pahlow 2010), affecting the reliability of a calibration curve between red fluorescence and nitrogen status. Testing of the relationship between red fluorescence and internal cell nitrogen would therefore be required for cells undergoing light adaptation. Finally, changes in light conditions and chlorophyll pigments could also change the precision of the calibration curve, affecting the employability of red fluorescence as a proxy for internal nitrogen. Because phytoplankton species are adapted to thrive in very different conditions (from benthic to pelagic, from single cells to colonies), the influence of different light exposures on the accuracy of the calibration curve between red fluorescence and
internal nitrogen is likely to be group- and species-specific. Thus, further studies are particularly needed to analyze how the strength of the relationship between red fluorescence and total cell nitrogen changes across different species and experimental settings.

A final consideration goes to the choice of species. All datasets used in this thesis were collected from Chlorophytes and Charophyte freshwater green algae. These species are fast-growing and often dominate algal communities of lakes, rivers, and estuaries (Graham 2000). They are also commonly used in bioengineering research, especially in industrial production of renewable energy, human and animal nutrition, high-value pharmaceutical compounds, and environmental bioremediation (Becker 1994; Ghirardi 2000; Rosenberg et al. 2008). Generalizing the present work beyond green algae would require repeating these studies with more phylogenetically distant species. However, the pathways for nitrate-ammonium assimilation are equivalent across phytoplankton groups and it is likely that the proposed models will still capture the qualitative nitrogen utilization dynamics of other species (except for species adapted to fix nitrogen gas; Crawford et al. 2000). Also the use of red fluorescence as a proxy for internal nitrogen is likely to extend to other species and taxonomic groups, for two reasons. Firstly, chlorophyll molecules are particularly rich in nitrogen and its concentration should therefore be dependent on the nitrogen status of a cell (Sachs, Repeta & Goericke 1999; Young & Beardall 2003b). Secondly, the red fluorescence emitted by phytoplankton species across all major taxonomic groups is mainly produced by chlorophyll a (and to some extent also chlorophyll b), which consistently peaks in a surprisingly narrow range across very distantly related species (673 to 679 nm; Johnsen & Sakshaug 2007). So, despite large variation in pigment composition
across taxonomic groups, red fluorescence measured at 670 nm can be monitored for other species in the same way it was documented for green algae in chapter 4, and the same principles applied in this thesis to green algae are likely to apply also for other taxonomic groups.

Implications for Multi-Species Assemblages

A clear next step from this thesis is to apply the techniques presented here to experimental settings of mixed-species assemblages. An interesting question that remains unsolved is how important is the contribution of nitrogen partitioning in promoting species coexistence. The models developed in the first part of this thesis may help to answer this question. For instance, phytoplankton communities reared in continuous (chemostat) cultures at different relative concentration of nitrate and ammonium can test the hypothesis of whether nitrogen partitioning favors higher species diversity. Another important ecological question is how fluctuations in relative nitrogen composition can affect the stability of a phytoplankton community. In this case, the tested hypothesis would be that generalist species are advantaged over specialized species under fluctuating concentrations of nitrogen types.

Another potential application emerging from this research is the use of red fluorescence for studying cell internal nitrogen from individual species when reared in mixed cultures. Direct estimation using traditional laboratory protocols cannot allow separately monitoring nutrient storages of mixed species. Conversely, indirect estimation from optical properties of mixed phytoplankton species with non-overlapping values can easily be partitioned, quantifying the contribution of each individual species (Trask et al. 1982; Petersen et al. 2012). There is a large body of theoretical work examining the role of resource storage in community ecology and
its interaction with temporal and spatial variability (Grover 2011; Grover, Hsu & Wang 2012). The methodologies and the models explained in the second part of this thesis may help to test theoretical predictions with empirical laboratory experiments.

Conclusions

The link between nitrogen and phytoplankton biomass is complex. Fitting Quota models to time-series is a powerful and flexible tool to investigate the main processes regulating nitrogen utilization in single cells. In this thesis, I addressed two main limitations of classic Quota models: I explicitly included two sources of inorganic nitrogen, and I integrated red fluorescence as a more precise and non-destructive method to monitor cell nitrogen quota. Overall, I showed that Quota models could be extended to suit the specific experimental setting in a way that remained mathematically tractable and that allowed calibration from commonly measured time-series. Before this thesis, the only alternatives to traditional Quota models were highly detailed biochemical models, whose large numbers of parameters and state variables prevented calibration from experimental data. In conclusion, as future research further clarifies the role of nitrogen for phytoplankton population dynamics, this thesis showed that Quota models could represent a suitable tool to incorporate new processes and investigate their roles in the dynamics of phytoplankton populations.
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Appendices

Appendix Chapter 3

**Species** | **Nitrogen sources** | **Mother cultures**
---|---|---
| Ammonium | Depleted (n=3) | 
| | Repleted (n=3) | 
| | Repleted (n=3) | 
| Nitrate | Depleted (n=3) | 
| | Repleted (n=3) | 
| | Depleted (n=3) | 
| Ammonium + Nitrate | Depleted (n=3) | 

*Figure S3.1: Diagram for the 3 × 2 factorial experimental design.*
Figure S3.2: Correlation between internal quota dynamics extracted from the best-fitting “Allometric N-history” model and observed mean population cell size. Different symbols represent nitrogen-replete and nitrogen-deplete experimental conditions. Also reported is the Spearman’s rho correlation coefficient ($r_s$).
Figure S3.3: Comparison for the best-estimates for demographic parameters (median ±95% confidence intervals) calculated with process noise-only likelihood functions for the “baseline” model (dashed lines) and with the best-fitting “Allometric N-history” model (solid lines). Parameters represent rates of per-cell uptake for nitrate (A) and ammonium (B), growth rate at infinite stored internal nitrogen (C), and minimum internal nitrogen (D). Two solid lines in the same panel represent the effect of cell size on the demographic parameters between N-replete (red) and N-deplete (blue) previous N-history. Dashed line is the corresponsive parameter estimated with the “baseline” model, which assumes independence with cell size and nutrient history. See Fig. 3.1 in Chapter 3 for more details.
Figure S3.4: Effects of cell size and nutrient history on the observed per-cell rates for (A) nitrate uptake, $v_{NO3,t}$, (B) ammonium uptake, $v_{NH4,t}$, and (C) specific culture growth rate, $\mu_t$. Each point is the mean between three replicate measurements for each replicate culture, for each day, for each experimental treatment. All plotted lines represent significant linear models at p<0.05.
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Table S5.1: Models used to generate demographic parameters for simulating the datasets. A linear model was used to calculate a deterministic prediction for each parameter. Then, a random residual was extracted from a normal distribution with the standard deviation reported in the table. All coefficients were taken from freshwater phytoplankton communities in Edwards et al. (2013).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Par</th>
<th>Allometric model for $\log_{10}(\text{Par})$</th>
<th>Stand. Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$v_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>$1.3 \times \log_{10}(\text{cell size}) - 8.8$</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k$</td>
<td>$0.52 \times \log_{10}(\text{cell size}) - 0.71$</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>$-0.36 \times \log_{10}(\text{cell size}) + 0.69$</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_{\text{min}}$</td>
<td>$0.68 \times \log_{10}(\text{cell size}) - 8.7$</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Graphical explanation for each step of the analytical methods

Step 1. Randomly select the cell volume of a species with uniform distribution on log$_{10}$-scale between $10^2$ and $10^5$

Step 2. For each model parameter, use allometric relationships in Edwards et al. (2012) to estimate a parameter value from the cell size of the species, also including uncertainty around the published allometric relationship.

Step 3. Use the classic Quota model (eq. 1 a-c in main text) to generate the dataset for external N ($N(t)$), per-cell internal N ($Q(t)$), and population density ($B(t)$).

\[
\frac{dN}{dt} = -f(N(t)) \times B(t)
\]
\[
\frac{dQ}{dt} = f(N(t)) - g(Q(t)) \times Q(t)
\]
\[
\frac{dB}{dt} = g(Q(t)) \times B(t)
\]
Step 4. Simulate true trajectories (white dots): simulate deterministic trajectories (Det.) between each time step (arrows) and include stochastic process noise (red dashed line). Run simulations for 100 days.

Step 5. Simulate observed trajectories (black dots): add observation error (black dashed line) to each true value (white dots).

Step 6. A dataset for the three state variables, simulated with both process noise and observation error
Step 7. Use the calibration curve documented in Malerba et al. (2015) and convert values of internal per-cell nitrogen to per-cell red fluorescence. Ensure a final $R^2$ of 0.77 between the two variables, equivalent to the relationship recorded empirically. If the precision of the relationship is higher than empirical data, increase observation error by increasing empirically-recorded observation error estimates\(^1\) for both variables by keeping the ratio constant (conservative option\(^2\))

\[ R^2 = 0.77 \]

---

\(^1\) The standard deviation from collecting triplicate independent readings of a sample offers an independent estimate of the magnitude of the observation error characterizing the laboratory procedure.

\(^2\) This approach is conservative because details on laboratory protocols indicate that unrecorded observation error is more likely to be associated with measuring per-cell internal nitrogen, rather than red fluorescence. See first paragraph of the results in main text.
Step 8. Calibrate the three alternative models from different combinations of simulated state variables:
- Virtual-Quota model: External Nitrogen + Biomass
- Nitrogen-Quota model: External Nitrogen + Biomass + Internal Nitrogen
- Fluorescence-Quota model: External Nitrogen + Biomass + Per-cell red florescence

Step 9. Calculate the Mean Squared Error (MSE) between the parameter best-estimate ($\hat{\theta}$) and the true parameter value used to simulate the data ($\theta_{true}$).
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Species: *Desmodesmus armatus*

Fig. S5.1: Model fits for medium nitrogen (A, D), biomass (B, E), and nitrogen quota (C, F) for the time-series collected in chapter 4 from *Desmodesmus armatus* under two different initial conditions (Experiment 1 in A-C, and Experiment 2 in D-F). All models were calibrated assuming observation error-only. Only the Nitrogen Quota model is calibrated including observations for medium
nitrogen, biomass, and internal quota (panel C and F). Instead, the Red Quota model inferred the internal quota trajectories from time-series observations of red fluorescence, as well as ambient nitrogen and biomass. The Virtual Quota model inferred quota trajectories only from changes in ambient nitrogen and biomass growth. See material and methods in chapter 4 for more details on data collection.
Fig. S5.2: Model fits for medium nitrogen (A, D), biomass (B, E), and nitrogen quota (C, F) for the time-series collected in chapter 4 from *Mesotaenium* sp. under two different initial conditions (Experiment 1 in A-C, and Experiment 2 in D-F). See legend in Fig. S5.1 and material and methods in chapter 4 for more details.
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Fig. S5.3: Model fits for medium nitrogen (A, D), biomass (B, E), and nitrogen quota (C, F) for the time-series collected in chapter 4 from *Scenedesmus obliquus* under two different initial conditions (Experiment 1 in A-C, and Experiment 2 in D-F). See legend in Fig. S5.1 and material and methods in chapter 4 for more details.
Fig. S5.4: Model fits for medium nitrogen (A, D), biomass (B, E), and nitrogen quota (C, F) for the time-series collected in chapter 4 from Tetraedron sp. under two different initial conditions (Experiment 1 in A-C, and Experiment 2 in D-F). See legend in Fig. S5.1 and material and methods in chapter 4 for more details.