

equilibrium (Table 1), probably due to Wahlund effect or existence of genetic structure since the Honduras population was sampled three times over 6 months and across ~400-km range. Null alleles were evident in all these loci and in TnM541 and TnN21. Three linkage groups were detected: TnM36–TnM70–TnM53; TnM79–TnM85 and TnM41–TnM71. The loci TnM58, TnN6 and TnN13 gave inconsistent amplification while TnM50 [(GGTAGAA)<sub>7</sub>] produced uncorrectable stutter peaks. Poor amplification, also observed in TnM541 and TnN12, was probably due to null alleles, and need further optimization before use. Cross-species amplification was tested on *T. latebricola* (= *americanus*), *Abraeus* sp. *Chatabraeus* sp. and *Acritus nigricornis* (Abraeinae), *Carcinops pumilio* (Dendrophilinae); *Saprinus bicoloroides* (Saprininae) and *Hister zulu* (Histerinae) (Table 2). All multiplex sets except A and B (Table 1), comprising differently labelled primers of nearly similar annealing temperatures, produced correct genotypes with normal and hot-start polymerases. To our knowledge, this is the first microsatellite primer set for Histeridae, many of which are important predators of necrophagous insects, and hence useful in forensic entomology. They will be useful for the population studies on *T. nigrescens* in the control of the LGB.

### Acknowledgements

We thank Alfredo Rueda (Zamorano University, Tegucigalpa) for *T. nigrescens* field samples, and Jeffrey Grubber (Russel Laboratories, Wisconsin) for *T. americana* samples. Insect identification was done by Pierpaolo Vienna, Italy and Riaan Stals (ARC-PPRI), South Africa. We are grateful to Emese Megléc for Microfamily analysis and to Jean Francois Silvain (IRD) for reviewing the draft manuscript. Genotyping was done at ILRI-BecA Hub Laboratory. This work was funded by a PhD fellowship from Kirkhouse Trust for BAO, and field recovery by Swiss Project no. GEA/NID-705/2001.

### References

- Glenn TC, Schable NA (2005) Isolating microsatellite DNA loci. *Methods in Enzymology*, **395**, 202–222.
- Gueye MT, Goergen G, Badiane D *et al.* (2008) First report on occurrence of the larger grain borer *Prostephanus truncatus* (Horn) (Coleoptera: Bostrichidae) in Senegal. *African Entomology*, **16**, 309–311.
- Hill MG, Nang'ayo FLO, Wright DJ (2003) Biological control of the larger grain borer *Prostephanus truncatus* (Coleoptera: Bostrichidae) in Kenya using a predatory beetle *Teretrius nigrescens* (Coleoptera: Histeridae). *Bulletin of Entomological Research*, **93**, 299–306.
- Kalinowski ST, Taper ML, Marshall TC (2007) Revising how the computer program Cervus accommodates genotyping error increases success in paternity assignment. *Molecular Ecology*, **16**, 1099–1006.
- Megléc E (2007) Microfamily (version 1): a computer program for detecting flanking-region similarities among different microsatellite loci. *Molecular Ecology Notes*, **7**, 18–20.
- Raymond M, Rousset F (1995) GenePop (version 1.2): population genetics software for exact tests and ecumenicism. *Journal of Heredity*, **86**, 248–249.
- Rozen S, Skaletsky HJ (1998) Primer 3. Code available at [http://www-genome.wi.mit.edu/genome\\_software/other/primer3.html](http://www-genome.wi.mit.edu/genome_software/other/primer3.html). Accessed September 2007.
- Sambrook J, Fritsch EF, Maniatis T (1989) *Molecular Cloning, A Laboratory Manual*, 2nd edn. Cold Spring Harbour Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, New York.
- Schneider H, Borgemeister C, Sétamou M *et al.* (2004) Impact assessment of *Teretrius nigrescens* Lewis (Coleoptera: Histeridae), an introduced predator of the larger grain borer *Prostephanus truncatus* (Horn) (Coleoptera: Bostrichidae) in Togo and Benin. *Biological Control*, **30**, 241–255.
- Van Oosterhout C, Hutchinson WF, Willis PMD *et al.* (2004) Micro-Checker: software for identifying and correcting genotyping errors in microsatellite data. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, **4**, 535–538.

doi: 10.1111/j.1755-0998.2009.02613.x

© 2009 Blackwell Publishing Ltd

## A panel of microsatellite loci from two species of octopus, *Pareledone turqueti* (Joubin, 1905) and *Pareledone charcoti* (Joubin, 1905)

JAN M. STRUGNELL,\* A. LOUISE ALLCOCK† and PHILLIP C. WATTS‡

\*Department of Zoology, University of Cambridge, Downing Street, Cambridge CB2 3EJ, UK, †Martin Ryan Marine Science Institute, National University of Ireland, Galway, University Road, Galway, Ireland, ‡School of Biological Sciences, University of Liverpool, Biosciences Building, Crown Street, L69 7ZB, Liverpool, UK

### Abstract

**Eighteen dinucleotide microsatellite loci were isolated from two octopus species, *Pareledone turqueti* and *Pareledone charcoti*, which are endemic to the Southern Ocean. Genetic diversity was assessed in samples of *P. charcoti* and *P. turqueti* from Elephant Island and Shag Rocks**

Correspondence: Jan M. Strugnell, Fax: +44 (0) 1223 336676; E-mail: [jan.strugnell@gmail.com](mailto:jan.strugnell@gmail.com)

respectively. All except one locus (which has proved to be polymorphic in other species) were variable in the focal species and amplified between six and 30 and between four and 28 alleles for *P. charcoti* and *P. turqueti* respectively; mean expected heterozygosities varied between 0.38 and 0.95 (*P. charcoti*) and between 0.34 and 0.97 (*P. turqueti*), with significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) departures from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium at seven loci; three of these loci provided significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) evidence for null alleles. Two pairs of loci isolated from *P. turqueti* demonstrated significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) linkage disequilibrium. We are presently using these genetic markers to quantify spatial genetic structure in the genus *Pareledone*.

*Keywords:* Antarctica, microsatellites, *Pareledone charcoti*, *Pareledone turqueti*, population structure, Southern Ocean

*Received 15 December 2008; accepted 5 February 2009*

The octopus genus *Pareledone* is endemic to Antarctic waters and contains 15 species (Allcock 2005; Allcock *et al.* 2007). *Pareledone charcoti* (Joubin, 1905) is restricted to the South Shetland Islands, South Orkney Islands and waters nearby to Graham Land (Allcock 2005) and inhabits shallow waters to a depth of about 400 m (Strugnell *et al.* 2008). *Pareledone turqueti* (Joubin, 1905) has been reported mainly from western Antarctic waters where it inhabits depths ranging from less than 100 m to over 1000 m (Strugnell *et al.* 2008). Both *P. turqueti* and *P. charcoti* produce large eggs that likely hatch as benthic, crawl-away young. Given that the known distributions of both these species include areas separated by great depths, it is likely that the population structure of these species is extremely heterogeneous. For example, a study using allozyme electrophoresis showed extremely limited gene flow between populations of *P. turqueti* from South Georgia and Shag Rocks that are separated by deep water (Allcock *et al.* 1997). This panel of microsatellite loci was developed to further quantify population boundaries within the genus *Pareledone* distributed throughout the Southern Ocean but separated by deep water.

Genomic DNA was extracted using a high salt method (Sambrook *et al.* 1989) from mantle tissue taken from four individuals of each species. Partial genomic libraries enriched for microsatellite repeats were constructed using the protocol described by Bloor *et al.* (2001). Briefly, ~8 µg DNA was digested with 40 U of *Sau*3A1 (Boehringer-Mannheim) and the 400–1200-bp size fraction was excised from an agarose gel and purified using QIAquick gel extraction kit (QIAGEN). Fragments were then ligated to 50 pmol phosphorylated linkers (*Sau*LA: 5'-GGCCAGAGACCCCAAGCTTCG-3'; *Sau*LB: 5' GATCCGAAGCTTGGCGTCTCTGGCC-3') (Refseth *et al.* 1997) with 40 U of T4 DNA ligase (Promega).

The DNA fragments were then hybridized to a 3'-biotin-labelled (CA)<sub>12</sub> repeat probe and captured on M2–80 streptavidin-coated magnetic beads (Dyna). After a series of stringency washes in 2× SSC and 1× SSC, the enriched DNA was recovered and used as a template in a 50 µL polymerase chain reaction (PCR) primed using 30 pmol *Sau*LA. It was then purified using a QIAquick PCR purification kit (QIAGEN), ligated into pGEM-T plasmid vector

(Promega) then transformed into JM109 competent cells (Promega). Recombinant plasmids were identified by black/white screening on S-Gal (Sigma) agar/ampicillin plates; plasmids containing a microsatellite insert were identified by a double band when screened with PCR that contained *Sau*LA and (CA)<sub>12</sub> oligonucleotide. Positive clones were sequenced on an ABI 3130xl (Applied Biosystems). Primers flanking microsatellite regions were designed using Primer 3 version 0.4.0 (Rozen & Skaletsky 2000).

For *P. charcoti*, we sequenced 51 positive clones, of which 49 (~96%) contained a microsatellite motif greater than six repeat units. Primers were developed around 29 loci; however, 22 primer pairs were discarded subsequently because they either failed to amplify or produced multiple/spurious bands during PCR leaving seven useful loci (Table 1). For *P. turqueti*, 53 putative positive clones were sequenced, of which 51 (~96%) contained a microsatellite. Primers were developed for 28 of these loci, 17 primer pairs were discarded, which left 11 robust loci (Table 1). Each of the primer pairs for *P. turqueti* was also tested on *P. charcoti* individuals and vice versa. In many cases, polymorphic loci were amplified (Table 2).

Genetic diversity was assessed in samples of *P. charcoti* and *P. turqueti* from Elephant Island and Shag Rocks ( $n = 42$  and 34 respectively); we also determined the level of cross-species amplification of each panel of loci in a small sample (eight individuals) of the nonsource species. Loci were amplified in a 10-µL PCR using a three-primer labelling system (Schuelke 2000). The PCR consisted of 75 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.8), 20 mM (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, 0.01% (v/v) Tween 20, 0.2 mM each dNTP, 3 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 5–50 ng template DNA, 3 pmol of M13 tailed, reverse and M13 labelled 6-FAM, NED, PET or VIC; Applied Biosystems) primers, 10 µg BSA, 1.25 U *Taq* DNA polymerase (ABgene). PCR conditions for all loci were 95 °C 3 min, 6× (95 °C 30 s,  $T_a$  °C 45 s, 72 °C 45 s), 25× (92 °C 30 s,  $T_a$  °C 45 s, 72 °C 55 s), where  $T_a$  is the annealing temperature (Table 1). PCR products were pooled with GeneScan 500 LIZ size standard (Applied Biosystems), separated by electrophoresis on an ABI 3130xl and sized using GeneMapper software (Applied Biosystems). The online version (3.4, <http://wbiomed.curtin.edu.au/genepop/>) of GenePop (Raymond

**Table 1** Levels of variability at microsatellite loci isolated from the Southern Ocean octopuses *Pareledone turqueti* (PT) from Shag Rocks ( $n = 34$ ) and *Pareledone charcoti* (PC) from Elephant Island ( $n = 42$ ).  $T_a$ , annealing temperature of primers;  $S_R$  indicates the size range per locus in base pairs;  $N_a$  indicates number of alleles;  $H_o$ , observed heterozygosity;  $H_e$ , expected heterozygosity; \*indicates a significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) deviation from expected Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (after sequential Bonferroni correction for 11 and 6 tests in PT and PC respectively); bold text highlights loci that likely suffer from null alleles ( $P < 0.05$ )

Locus	GenBank	Primer Sequence (5'–3')	Core motif	$T_a$	$S_R$	$N_a$	$H_o$	$H_e$
PT_B02_07		F: CCCACACATACACCCCATAC	(CA) <sub>13</sub>	51	103–173	22	0.960	0.884
GF091788		R: TGAACATGGCACAATAGTAAATG						
PT_E04_09		F: ATGCACCCCTGCACACACAG	(AC) <sub>13</sub>	55	94–204	26	0.647	<b>0.967*</b>
GF091789		R: TTTCGTCTGTACGTGCTTGG						
PT_F04_09		F: GAAGTCTGCATCTCATTAGC	(AC) <sub>14</sub> (n) <sub>18</sub> (AC) <sub>8</sub>	55	133–208	18	0.733	0.923*
GF091790		R: CAGTTGGATTGTCGTCTTGG						
PT_H02_07		F: GGGCGGGAAGAAGATATAAGG	(CA) <sub>7</sub>	60	171–221	13	0.735	0.782
GF091791		R: ATGTGTGTGTCTCGGTGAGG						
PT_D04_09		F: CGTTTCATTTCATTGTCG	(AC) <sub>8</sub>	55	195–203	4	0.323	0.369
GF091793		R: CGTGGTTTGTGTCTGTCTATG						
PT_F01_06		F: GTTGTGTAAGTCTACTCTCTGG	(TG) <sub>6</sub> (n) <sub>2</sub> (TG) <sub>6</sub>	55	194–321	7	0.516	0.667
GF091794		R: AGCATCAACAATGTGCA						
PT_G03_08		F: TGACCAAACTGGAAGACTCG	(TG) <sub>7</sub>	55	148–168	5	0.576	0.656
GF091795		R: CTGACACTTGTACTCTTAATGTAATC						
PT_A03_08		F: GCACGTTGTCCCATGATA	(TA) <sub>7</sub> (n) <sub>9</sub> (GC) <sub>7</sub> (GT) <sub>42</sub>	57	169–222	25	0.719	0.963*
GF091796		R: GACTCTACGCGTACTTCTGTC						
PT_G03_082		F: CAGCAACAACAGAAGTG	(TG) <sub>7</sub> (n) <sub>18</sub> (TG) <sub>6</sub> (n) <sub>2</sub> (TG) <sub>10</sub>	52	236–254	13	0.824	0.865
GF091797		R: CGAACCCAGAAAACAACG						
PT_F03_08		F: GAGTTAATGAGTGAAGAGAAAGAGC	(TG) <sub>9</sub> (n) <sub>12</sub> (AG) <sub>9</sub>	58	337–344	6	0.387	0.343
GF091798		R: CTGTGTCTGGTCGTTTAGAGG						
PT_F02_07		F: AGAGCTAGACAAAGAAGGAAGC	(GT) <sub>7</sub> (n) <sub>26</sub> (TG) <sub>6</sub> (n) <sub>102</sub> (GT) <sub>11</sub>	58	352–434	28	0.867	0.963*
GF091799		R: CGAACACATACACACTTTCAGG						
PC_B01_06		F: TTAACCTAAACTTAGACACATTCACG	(AC) <sub>5</sub> (n)(CA) <sub>6</sub>	58	158–184	6	0.262	0.382
GF091781		R: GGCTTAAATCCAGCGGAAAC						
PC_B03_08		F: CCTTGCTGGCTCATAGTTGG	(CA) <sub>12</sub>	58	218–295	13	0.714	0.823
GF091783		R: AAAACAGCTGGAGTTTCAAAGC						
PC_C05_10		F: TGAGACGCAAAGAGAATTTTCG	(TG) <sub>12</sub> (n) <sub>24</sub> (GT) <sub>6</sub>	55	178–206	14	0.548	<b>0.869*</b>
GF091784		R: TCGCCGAAACATTATACAGG						
PC_D02_07		F: TGTATCCGAGTTTGAAGTTTGG	(AC) <sub>9</sub>	60	176–261	30	0.810	0.952*
GF091785		R: GAACCTCACAAGGAAATGACC						
PC_H02_07		F: GCATGAACGAACTGTCATTAGACC	(CA) <sub>8</sub>	60	167–285	15	0.429	<b>0.789*</b>
GF091786		R: CTCCTACTCATCCACCGAACC						
PC_G03_08		F: CATCTCAATCAGGTCCAACC	(TG) <sub>9</sub> (n) <sub>11</sub> (GT) <sub>6</sub>	58	275–319	20	0.833	0.901
GF091787		R: ACCCAGCCCAACTGATGC						

& Rousset 1995) was used to calculate measures of genetic diversity, the significance of any deviations from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium and for linkage disequilibrium between all pairs of loci. Micro-Checker (van Oosterhout *et al.* 2004) was used to detect the most probable cause of Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium departures such as null alleles or large allele dropout. Sequential Bonferroni corrections for  $k$  multiple tests were applied where appropriate (Rice 1989).

All loci except PC\_G01\_06 (but which amplified two alleles in *P. turqueti*) were polymorphic in the focal species, amplifying between six and 30 and between four and 28 alleles per locus for *P. charcoti* and *P. turqueti*, respectively. Mean expected heterozygosities varied between 0.38 and 0.95 (*P. charcoti*) and between 0.34 and 0.97 (*P. turqueti*) (Table 1), with significant ( $P < 0.05$ ;  $k = 6$  and  $k = 11$  for *P. charcoti* and *P. turqueti* respectively) departures from Hardy–

Weinberg equilibrium at seven loci that may be indicative of unrecognized population substructure (as the samples comprised several trawls); three of these loci (PT\_E04\_09, PC\_C05\_10 and PC\_H02\_07) provided significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) evidence for null alleles (with estimated frequencies of 0.158, 0.180 and 0.217 respectively, calculated using van Oosterhout *et al.* 2004 algorithm). Just two pairs of loci (PT\_G03\_08 with PT\_F02\_07, and PT\_E04\_09 with PT\_G03\_082) demonstrated significant ( $P < 0.05$   $k = 15$  and  $k = 55$  for *P. charcoti* and *P. turqueti* respectively) linkage disequilibrium. Thirteen loci were polymorphic in the nontarget species (Table 2) and this successful cross-amplification indicates that these genetic markers may be used in other members of the genus *Pareledone*. We are presently using these genetic markers to determine patterns of spatial structure exhibited by *P. turqueti* and *P. charcoti* in the Southern Ocean.

**Table 2** Cross-species amplification of microsatellite markers isolated from *Pareledone turqueti* and *Pareledone charcoti* in the alternative *Pareledone* species

Taxon	Locus	$N_a$	$S_R$
<i>P. charcoti</i>	PT_B02_07	—	—
	PT_E04_09	6	144–151
	PT_F04_09	7	133–208
	PT_H02_07	1	211
	PT_D04_09	—	—
	PT_F01_06	5	250–450
	PT_G03_08	5	124–169
	PT_A03_08	—	—
	PT_G03_082	—	—
	PT_F03_08	5	323–344
<i>P. turqueti</i>	PT_F02_07	4	369–373
	PC_B01_06	3	151–155
	PC_B03_08	11	295–450
	PC_C05_10	6	146–156
	PC_D02_07	2	212–214
	PC_H02_07	6	168–212
	PC_G03_08	8	333–419
	PC_G01_06	2	166–168

$N_a$  indicates the number of alleles observed in eight individuals of the nonsource species of *Pareledone*;  $S_R$  indicates the size range per locus in base pairs.

### Acknowledgements

We thank the Alfred Wegner Institute, British Antarctic Survey, South Georgia Government and MRAG for provision of sea time. JMS is supported by NERC AFI NE/C506321/1 awarded to ALA, a Lloyd's Tercentenary Fellowship, an Antarctic Science Bursary, the Systematics Association and the Edith Mary Pratt Musgrave Fund and a CoSyst grant awarded to JMS and PCW. This is CAML publication no. 19 and is a contribution to Evolution and Biodiversity in the Antarctic (EBA).

### References

- Allcock AL (2005) On the confusion surrounding *Pareledone charcoti* (Joubin, 1905) (Cephalopoda: Octopodidae): endemic radiation in the Southern Ocean. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society of London*, **143**, 75–108.
- Allcock AL, Brierley AS, Thorpe JP, Rodhouse PGK (1997) Restricted gene flow and evolutionary divergence between geographically separated populations of the Antarctic octopus *Pareledone turqueti*. *Marine Biology*, **129**, 97–102.
- Allcock A, Strugnell J, Prodöhl P, Piatkowski U, Vecchione M (2007) A new species of *Pareledone* (Cephalopoda: Octopodidae) from the Antarctic Peninsula. *Polar Biology*, **37**, 883–893.
- Bloor PA, Barker FS, Watts PC, Noyes HA, Kemp SJ (2001) *Microsatellite Libraries by Enrichment*. Protocol available from URL: <http://www.genomics.liv.ac.uk/animal/Protocol1.html>.
- van Oosterhout C, Hutchinson WF, Wills DPM, Shipley P (2004) MicroChecker: software for identifying and correcting genotyping errors in microsatellite data. *Molecular Ecology Notes*, **4**, 535–538.
- Raymond M, Rousset F (1995) GenePop, Version 1.2. Population genetics software for exact tests and ecumenicisms. *Journal of Heredity*, **86**, 249–249.
- Refseth UH, Fangan BM, Jakobsen KS (1997) Hybridization capture of microsatellites directly from genomic DNA. *Electrophoresis*, **18**, 1519–1523.
- Rice WR (1989) Analyzing tables of statistical tests. *Evolution*, **43**, 223–225.
- Rozen S, Skaletsky H (2000) Primer 3 on the WWW for general users and for biologist programmers. *Methods in Molecular Biology*, **132**, 365–386.
- Sambrook J, Fritsch EF, Maniatis T (1989) *Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual*, 2nd edn. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, New York.
- Schuelke M (2000) An economic method for the fluorescent labeling of PCR fragments. *Nature Biotechnology*, **18**, 233–234.
- Strugnell J, Rogers AD, Prodöhl PA, Collins MA, Allcock AL (2008) The thermohaline expressway: the Southern Ocean as a centre of origin for deep-sea octopuses. *Cladistics*, **24**, 1–8.

doi: 10.1111/j.1755-0998.2009.02617.x

© 2009 Blackwell Publishing Ltd

## Isolation and characterization of microsatellites in the bird-pollinated, autohexaploid, *Eremophila glabra* ssp. *glabra* (R.Br. (Ostenf.)) (Myoporaceae), an Australian endemic plant

C. P. ELLIOTT

CSIRO Plant Industry, GPO Box 1600, Canberra, ACT 2601, Australia; Australian National University, The Fenner School of Environment and Society, Canberra, ACT 0200, Australia