Evolutionary Consequences of DNA Methylation in a Basal Metazoan
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Abstract

Gene body methylation (gbM) is an ancestral and widespread feature in Eukarya, yet its adaptive value and evolutionary implications remain unresolved. The occurrence of gbM within protein-coding sequences is particularly puzzling, because methylation causes cytosine hypermutability and hence is likely to produce deleterious amino acid substitutions. We investigate this enigma using an evolutionarily basal group of Metazoan, the stony corals (order Scleractinia, class Anthozoa, phylum Cnidaria). We show that patterns of coral gbM are similar to other invertebrate species, predicting wide and active transcription and slower sequence evolution. We also find a strong correlation between gbM and codon bias, resulting from systematic replacement of CpG bearing codons. We conclude that gbM has strong effects on codon evolution and speculate that this may influence establishment of optimal codons.
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Introduction

DNA methylation is an evolutionarily widespread epigenetic modification found in plants, animals, and fungi. It is defined as the covalent addition of a methyl group to the one of the four DNA bases, predominantly on the fifth carbon of cytosines within CG dinucleotides (CpGs), producing 5-methylcytosine (5mC). Unlike other epigenetic modifications, DNA methylation not only alters chromatin structure and transcription, but it also changes the mutation rate of the underlying DNA. This is because 5mC undergoes deamination reactions more readily than normal cytosine (Shen et al. 1994) and deamination produces thymine rather than uracil, which is less likely to be accurately repaired (Zemach and Zilberman 2010). Because of this hypermutability, sequences that are heavily methylated in the germ-line become deficient in CpGs, with corresponding increases in TpGs and CpAs (Sved and Bird 1990). Hence, DNA methylation has evolutionary consequences outside of its direct physiological effects.

Evolutionary effects of 5mC hypermutability are apparent in both vertebrate and invertebrate genomes. In mammals, DNA methylation is ubiquitous, so that nearly all genomic regions show lower than expected frequency of CpGs (Karlin and Mrazek 1996; McGaughey et al. 2014). The exception is regions of elevated CpG content called CG islands that are protected from DNA methylation (Jones 2012). In most invertebrates, DNA methylation is not ubiquitous but patchy, occurring primarily on CpGs within gene bodies (Suzuki et al. 2007; Zemach et al. 2010). This intragenic form of DNA methylation is referred to as gene body methylation (gbM). In invertebrate genomes, gbM occurs preferentially on actively and widely expressed genes, resulting in covariations between genes’ CpG content, function, and expression patterns (Elango et al. 2009; Hunt and Brisson 2010; Zemach et al. 2010, Sarda et al. 2012). Similar patterns of genic methylation are found in plants (Feng et al. 2010; Takuno and Gaut 2013; Tran et al. 2005; Zilberman et al. 2007) and mammals (Baubec et al. 2015).

Despite this widespread phylogenetic occurrence, gbM is by no means universal. In several groups, such as yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae), fruit fly (Drosophila melanogaster), worm (Caenorhabditis elegans), and the basal plant (Marchantia polymorpha), genic methylation is extremely scarce or lost altogether (Capuano et al. 2014; Takuno et al. 2016). It has been proposed that the secondary loss of DNA methylation occurs because its mutational costs outweighed its adaptive value (Zemach et al. 2010). Indeed, even within gene bodies, methylation occurs preferentially on exons (Zemach et al. 2010; Wang et al. 2013), where mutations are likely to have the greatest deleterious effect. In humans, genic methylation increases deleterious de novo mutations with paternal age (Francioli et al. 2015). Why, given its apparently nonessential and outright mutagenic nature, has gbM persisted for so long across such a diversity of taxa?

In this study, we investigate the evolutionary consequences of 5mC on invertebrate coding sequences. Using the first direct genome-wide characterization of DNA methylation in a reef-building coral, we confirm previous studies showing that gbM predicts active and stable gene expression (Elango et al. 2009; Hunt and Brisson 2010; Zemach et al. 2010, Sarda
et al. 2012). We also test previous findings that in spite of 5mC hypermutability, gbM predicts slower sequence evolution (Park et al. 2011; Takuno and Gaut 2012). Finally, we examine gbM in the context of synonymous codon usage. Because gbM occurs preferentially on a subset of invertebrate coding genes (Sarda et al. 2012), we hypothesized that its mutagenic effects cause intragenomic variation in codon bias. While methylation is often cited as an explanation for patterns of codon usage (Kanaya et al. 2001; Sterky et al. 2004; Gonzalez-Ibeas et al. 2007; Qin et al. 2013; Duan et al. 2015), direct investigations of this hypothesis have been lacking. As a basal metazoan predicted to have a typical bimodal pattern of gbM (Dixon et al. 2014; Dimond and Roberts 2016), the branching coral Acropora millepora was well suited to address this problem.

Results

Using MBD-Seq to Quantify Gene Body Methylation

We used Methylation Binding Domain enrichment sequencing (MBD-seq) (Harris et al. 2010) to measure gbM in A. millepora. The strength of methylation for 24,320 coding regions was quantified as the log2-fold difference between captured and flow-through fractions of MBD enrichment preparations. We refer to this log2-fold difference as the MBD-score. Raw read data are publicly available through the NCBI SRA database (SRA accession: SRP074615). Analysis of the distribution of MBD-scores (fig. 1A) showed that it was best described as a mixture of two or more Gaussian components (supplementary fig. S1, Supplementary Material online). MBD-score correlated with CpGo/e, indicating that our measure of gbM overlapped closely with historical patterns of germ-line methylation (fig. 1B). As an MBD-score of 0 indicated equal representation in the captured and flow-through fractions we chose this value to separate strongly and weakly methylated genes. Genes with MBD-scores greater than 0 are referred to as strongly methylated genes, those with scores less than 0 are referred to as weakly methylated.

![Fig. 1. MBD-score is bimodally distributed and correlates with CpGo/e.](image)

MBD-Score Is Linked with Gene Function and Expression Patterns

MBD-score was associated with gene function. Analysis of selected GO categories for biological processes revealed that strongly methylated genes tend toward biological functions that are spatially and temporally stable, such as DNA metabolism, ribosome biogenesis, translation, RNA metabolism, and transcription. Weakly methylated genes tended to involve biological functions that are spatially and temporally regulated, such as cell–cell signaling, response to stimulus, signal transduction, cell adhesion, defense response, and development (supplementary fig. S2A, Supplementary Material online). Clustering of KOG categories for higher or lower MBD-scores further supported these results (supplementary fig. S2B, Supplementary Material online).

To test if weak gbM is a signature for inducible transcription we correlated MBD-score with RNA-seq data, comparing different developmental stages and environmental conditions. For developmental stage, log,-fold differences in transcript abundance between A. millepora adults and larvae (described in Dixon et al. 2015) were negatively correlated with MBD-score (fig. 2A). Significantly differentially expressed genes (DEGs at FDR <0.01) were 1.4 times more frequent among weakly methylated genes (fig. 2B). A similar trend was found for variation in expression due to environmental conditions (fig. 2C and D). Here, clonal fragments of adult colonies were exposed to two environmentally distinct regimes for 3 months prior to sampling for RNA-seq (Dixon et al. 2014). Differential expression (FDR <0.01) between environmental regimes was 2.2 times more frequent among weakly methylated genes.

MBD-score also showed weak but significant correlation with transcript abundance (supplementary fig. S3A and B, Supplementary Material online). Highly expressed genes were on average strongly methylated (supplementary fig. S3C and D, Supplementary Material online). The top 5% most strongly methylated genes however showed lower average expression (supplementary fig. S3E, Supplementary Material online). This indicates that while gbM is generally associated with elevated transcription, extreme levels may be inhibitory. This appears to be particularly true for short genes, as the removal of coding sequences shorter than 800 bp mitigated the trend (supplementary fig. S3F, Supplementary Material online).

Phylogeny

We used a conserved set of 192 coding sequences for phylogenetic construction. These sequences had >75% amino acid identity and 80% representation among the 20 species. Phylogenetic construction was performed using the GTRGAMMA model in RAxML (Stamatakis 2014). All partition had 100% bootstrap support based on 1,000 repetitions. All orders, families, and genera formed monophyletic groups (fig. 3). Species from the ‘complex’ and ‘robust’ coral clades (Romano and Palumbi 1996; Kitahara et al. 2010) also formed monophyletic groups. Repetitions of tree building with less conserved sets of orthologs (70%, 60%, 50%, and 40% representation among species) all produced the same topology, but with lower bootstrap values. For the species in
Strongly Methylated Genes Evolve Slowly

Pairwise comparisons between orthologs from *A. millepora* and each other species revealed that strongly methylated genes evolve slowly. The trend was strongest for nonsynonymous substitutions (dN). When orthologs from *A. millepora* were compared with other *Acropora* species, mean dN was between 43% and 68% higher for weakly methylated genes (MBD-score < 0) than strongly methylated genes (MBD-score ≥ 0; fig. 3; supplementary fig. S4, Supplementary Material online). Pairwise comparisons with all other species included in *Dixon et al. (2014)* also showed a strong trend towards lower dN for strongly methylated genes. The same figures representing transcriptional variation between populations of clonal colony fragments transplanted between distinct habitats described in *Dixon et al. (2014)*. Asterisks indicate significance based on Spearman’s rank-order correlation test (ns > 0.05; * < 0.05; ** < 0.01; *** < 0.001; **** < 0.0001).

**Fig. 3.** Relationship between MBD-score and substitution rates across the anthozoan phylogeny. All nodes in the phylogeny have 100% bootstrap support based on 1,000 replicates. Line plots trace the mean substitution rates for all genes divided into 10 quantiles ranked by MBD-score. Line color indicates which species *A. millepora* was compared with to estimate pair-wise substitution rates. The top row of line plots shows comparisons within *Acropora*. The middle row shows coral species outside of *Acropora*. The third row shows comparisons with anemone species. For each panel, the correlation (Spearman’s rho) and statistical significance indicate the median values across all included species. Individual correlations are reported in the *Supplementary Material* online. Asterisks indicate significance based on Spearman’s rank-order correlation test (ns > 0.05; * < 0.05; ** < 0.01; *** < 0.001; **** < 0.0001).
species outside of the *Acropora* genus produced similar results, with mean dN between 17% and 52% (mean = 36 ± SEM 3%) higher for weakly methylated genes (fig. 3; supplementary fig. S5, Supplementary Material online). Negative correlation between dN and MBD-score was significant for all species comparisons (P < 0.001; Spearman’s Rank test).

The relationship between MBD-score and synonymous substitution rate (dS) was less pronounced than for dN, and varied with evolutionary proximity between species. Comparison of orthologs between *A. millepora* and other *Acropora* species showed no relationship (fig. 3). Comparisons with corals outside of *Acropora* however, showed a significant negative relationship, with an average of 17% higher mean dS for weakly methylated genes (fig. 3; supplementary fig. S6, Supplementary Material online). The correlations with the three anemone species were weaker, although still significant. As most of these comparisons were saturated for synonymous substitutions they should be treated with caution. Analysis of dN/dS values gave similar results to dN for all groups of species (fig. 3).

**Strongly Methylated Genes Show Greater Codon Bias**

Because DNA methylation alters mutation patterns, we hypothesized that gbM shapes synonymous codon usage in stony corals. Specifically, we predicted that strong gbM produces codon bias via mutational replacement of codons bearing CpG dinucleotides (Kanaya et al. 2001; Qin et al. 2013). To test this we correlated MBD-scores with three distinct indices of codon bias: frequency of optimal codons (Fop) (Ikemura 1981), codon adaptation index (CAI) (Sharp and Li 1987a), and effective number of codons (Nc) (Wright 1990). Fop and CAI each quantify the preference for a set of optimal codons in the coding sequence. Higher values for these metrics indicate stronger codon bias. Nc quantifies nonrandom synonymous codon usage without assuming optimal codons. It is bounded between 1 (indicating complete bias, or use of only 20 codons for the 20 amino acids) and 64 (indicating completely neutral codon usage) (Wright 1990). All three indices correlated significantly with MBD-score (fig. 4). To assess the extent to which codon bias was driven by gbM hypermutability we recalculated CAI estimates using the same relative adaptiveness values (W) (see Methods) for each codon, but excluding the five amino acids coded for by CpG bearing codons (Serine, Proline, Threonine, Alanine, and Arginine). This substantially weakened the correlation from 0.38 (Spearman’s rho; P < 0.0001) to 0.17, although it remained significant (P < 0.0001). In contrast, recalculation of CAI based solely on these five amino acids strengthened the correlation (ρ = 0.42; supplementary fig. S7, Supplementary Material online), indicating that hypermutability of CpGs due to gbM has a strong influence on codon usage.

**CpG Codons Are under-Represented in Highly Expressed Genes**

To further explore the influence of 5mC hypermutability on codon bias, we examined usage of CpG codons in highly expressed genes. As we did not have gene expression data for all species we first examined usage in annotated ribosomal protein genes with the assumption that these genes are highly expressed. For each species, relative synonymous codon usage (RSCU) of CpG codons was depressed in ribosomal protein genes (supplementary fig. S8A, Supplementary Material online). To ensure that this did not result from variation in overall GC content we showed that mean RSCU of CpG codons was significantly lower than that of codons with GC, GG, or CC dinucleotides (t-tests; P for all species < 0.01).

For *A. millepora*, we assessed depression of CpG codons in highly expressed genes using three additional metrics: ΔRSCU (the difference in relative usage between the top 5% and bottom 5% expressed genes), RSCU (the RSCU calculated for a concatenation of all ribosomal protein genes), and W (the relative adaptiveness of each codon; see Methods). With one exception that had neutral usage, all CpG codons were underrepresented for all three metrics (supplementary fig. S8B–D, Supplementary Material online). Hence, CpG bearing codons are depressed in highly expressed genes.

**Underrepresentation of CpG Codons Matches Expectations for 5mC Hypermutability**

To further illustrate that loss of CpG codons is due to 5mC hypermutability, we examined RSCU for the five amino acids coded for by CpG bearing codons. Four of these (Threonine,
Proline, Alanine, and Serine) are coded for by NCG codons, in which the CpG occupies the second and third positions of the codon. For these codons, 5mC > T mutations on the sense strand necessarily produce amino acid changes, which are expected to be rare due to purifying selection. In contrast, 5mC > T substitutions on the antisense strand produce silent substitutions (G > A within the codon) (supplementary fig. S9A, Supplementary Material online). For this reason, we predicted that 5mC hypermutability would increase the usage of NCA codons at the expense of NCG codons. To show this, we plotted RSCU of synonymous codons against MBD-score, illustrating positive relationships for NCA codon usage (Spearman’s rho between 0.156 and 0.196; \( P < 0.001 \)) and opposing negative relationships for NCG codon usage (fig. 5).

Correlations of NCA codon usage with MBD-score were significantly stronger than other non-CpG codons (t-test; \( P < 0.01 \)), indicating that NCA codons increase preferentially with stronger methylation. Hence for these four amino acids, depression of CpG bearing codons in strongly methylated genes occurs through silent 5mC > T substitutions on the antisense strand. Moreover, all NCA codons were identified as optimal codons (supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online), and their mean relative adaptiveness (for which the maximum is 1) was 0.99 (supplementary table S2, Supplementary Material online). These data indicate that NCA codons replace NCG codons in strongly methylated genes.

The second group of CpG bearing codons is the CGN codons, which code for arginine. These are expected to evolve differently because 5mC > T substitutions on both the sense and antisense stands produce amino acid changes (supplementary figs.S9A, Supplementary Material online). Although the trend is weak (\( r = -0.06; P < 0.0001 \)), arginine content is negatively correlated with MBD-score (supplementary fig. S9B, Supplementary Material online), suggesting a slight shift in arginine content due to CpG hypermutability.

**Discussion**

**Gene Body Methylation Is a Signature of Broad and Stable Expression**

We showed that strongly methylated genes in *A. millepora* tend to have constitutive and ubiquitous functions and are less likely to be differentially expressed across developmental stages and environmental regimes. These results corroborate earlier findings from diverse taxa including plants (Aceituno et al. 2008; Coleman-Derr and Zilberman 2012; Takuno and Gaut 2012), cnidarians (Sarda et al. 2012; Dimond and Roberts 2016), mollusk (Gavery and Roberts 2010, 2013), arthropods (Elango et al. 2009; Wang et al. 2013), and a basal chordate (Suzuki et al. 2013; Keller et al. 2015). The relationship with differential expression in response to environmental regimes suggests the intriguing possibility that gbM could modulate gene expression plasticity.

We also found a positive correlation between gbM and transcript abundance (supplementary fig. S3, Supplementary Material online), indicating that intermediately methylated genes are highly transcribed, while lowly methylated and extremely strongly methylated genes tend toward lower transcription. These results are similar to previous findings in plants (Zhang et al. 2006; Zilberman et al. 2007; Zemach et al. 2010; Li et al. 2012; Wang et al. 2015), corals (Dimond and Roberts 2016), mollusk (Gavery and Roberts 2013; Wang et al. 2015), and human (Jjingo et al. 2012), indicating that this connection between gbM and expression is evolutionarily ancient and widely conserved.
Gene Body Methylation and Evolutionary Rates

We show that gbM negatively correlates with substitution rates. This finding is consistent with previous results from plants (Takuno and Gaut 2012; Wang et al. 2015) and animals (Park et al. 2011; Sarda et al. 2012; Keller et al. 2015). Still, PCA revealed that while substitution rates are significantly negatively correlated with gbM, they correlate more strongly with transcript abundance—a well-known trend described in bacteria, plants, fungi, and animals (Pál et al. 2001; Subramanian and Kumar 2004; Drummond et al. 2005; Drummond and Wilke 2008; Yang and Gaut 2011). This ubiquitous negative correlation between substitution rate and expression is explained by stronger purifying selection against protein misfolding in highly expressed genes. Because they have a greater cumulative opportunity for errors and misfolding, mutations in highly expressed genes pose greater fitness costs than those in poorly expressed genes (Drummond et al. 2005). Similar logic can be applied to broadly expressed genes, as they are active in a greater number of cells and tissues (Duret and Mouchiroud 2000), must operate in a greater variety of cellular milieus (Hastings 1996), and undergo more translational events at the scale of the entire organism. Whereas nonsynonymous substitutions affect the probability of protein misfolding through direct destabilization, synonymous substitutions most likely exert a similar but weaker effect by lowering translational accuracy (Akashi 1994; Drummond and Wilke 2008). Hence, both dN and dS are expected to be lower in highly and broadly expressed genes. We have shown that in our system, highly expressed genes tend to be strongly methylated (supplementary fig. S3, Supplementary Material online), and strongly methylated genes tend toward broad, constitutive transcription (fig. 2; supplementary fig. S2, Supplementary Material online). We conclude that the observed correlation between gbM and substitution rates is most parsimoniously explained by the occurrence of gbM on genes that are under stronger purifying selection because of their expression patterns. A corollary of this conclusion is that purifying selection generally outweighs the effects of 5mC hypermutability. Hence, the paradox that gbM not only causes hypermutability but also correlates with sequence conservation can be explained by the fact that strongly methylated genes tend to undergo strong selection.

Gene Body Methylation Shapes Codon Usage

Codon bias occurs for two reasons. The first is mutational bias, where differences in mutation rates across species and genomic contexts produce nonrandom variation in synonymous codon usage (Plotkin and Kudla 2011). The second mechanism is natural selection, which requires that synonymous mutations affect organismal fitness (Behura and Severson 2013). In our case, mutational processes mediated by gbM appear to be the stronger source of variation. We found that gbM correlates strongly with three separate indices of codon bias (fig. 4). Analysis of RSCU values for NCG codons was consistent with codon bias arising largely through silent 5mC > T substitutions on the antisense strand (fig. 5; supplementary fig. S9A, Supplementary Material online). In other words, gbM causes codon bias by shifting usage of NCG codons to NCA codons.

When assessing whether codon bias is due to selection, researchers examine whether it occurs in genes where translation accuracy and efficiency are most important. Evidence that codon bias is due to selection includes (1) positive correlation with expression level, (2) positive correlation with breadth of expression, and (3) negative correlation with synonymous substitution rate (Sharp and Li 1987b; Duret 2002; Zhang and Li 2004; Plotkin and Kudla 2011; Behura and Severson 2013). As ours and previous studies have shown, gbM covaries with each of these factors. In other words, gbM occurs on the types of genes predicted to undergo strongest selection on codon usage. This fact highlights the need for caution when attributing codon bias to selection, since in our case codon bias results largely from mutation. Here, relationships with dS are of particular interest, because low dS can reflect selection on synonymous codons (Akashi 1994; Drummond and Wilke 2008). In our PCA, dS was nearly orthogonal to measures of gbM and codon bias. This result indicates that if A. millepora harbors codon bias due to selection, it is probably best predicted by expression level, and is dwarfed by mutational effect of gbM.

Although we attribute codon bias largely to mutation, this may still produce a potentially adaptive result—establishing a set of preferred and unpreferred codons in constitutively active genes. Optimal translation dynamics could then be...
achieved through evolution of tRNA abundances to match these preferred and unpreferred codons, obviating the need for selection of individual codons on a site-by-site basis. To put it another way, selection coefficients for individual synonymous codons will be exceedingly small (Bulmer 1987). In contrast, if a set of preferred codons is mutationally established in constitutively expressed genes, alleles that control the abundance of appropriate tRNAs could have stronger effects more amenable to natural selection. To be clear, we are not proposing that gbM originally evolved for this purpose. However, if its original function was linked with constitutively active expression, as appears to be the case from studies of plants (Takuno and Gaut 2012), invertebrates (Sarda et al. 2012), and mammals (Baubec et al. 2015), then studies of plants (Takuno and Gaut 2012), invertebrates (Sarda et al. 2012), and mammals (Baubec et al. 2015), then gbM could potentially influence evolution of optimal codons in the genes where they are most beneficial.

An advantage of mutation-driven codon bias is that it could be maintained even in the absence of efficient selection, so it would be particularly beneficial for organisms with relatively small population size or otherwise inefficient selection. If some adaptive value of gbM is indeed related to maintenance of codon usage, it is not surprising that organisms such as yeast, fly, and worm are able to exist without it (Capuano et al. 2014); due to their large population sizes their optimal codon usage can be maintained by selection alone. At a minimum, it seems likely that tRNA pools have evolved in response to methylation-induced codon bias. This hypothesis could be explored through phylogenetic comparison of tRNA abundances between clades that independently lost or retained gbM.

Conclusions and Outlook
Here, we present three primary findings on gbM in stony corals: (1) gbM is most pronounced in genes with broad and stable expression; (2) gbM predicts sequence conservation; and (3) hypermutability due to gbM drives codon bias. Conserved occurrence of gbM on constitutively expressed genes in plants and the basal metazoan examined here indicates an evolutionarily ancient function involving selective pressure for accurate and stable gene expression. One means of improving translation fidelity is the use of optimal codons. Given its capacity to establish preferred and unpreferred codons in actively expressed genes, gbM could potentially influence evolution of optimal codons.

Materials and Methods
For detailed description of methods and materials see supplementary methods, Supplemental Material online. Instructions, scripts, and example output files for computational methods used in this study are available on GitHub (https://github.com/grovesdixon/metaTranscriptomes, last accessed 17 May 2016).

MBD-Seq
To quantify gbM in A. millepora we used methyl-CpG binding domain protein-enriched sequencing (MBD-seq). Enrichment reactions were performed using the MethylCap kit (Diagenode Cat. No. C02020010). Raw reads from the MBD-sequencing libraries were trimmed using cutadapt (Martin 2011) and mapped to coding sequences extracted from the A. millepora reference transcriptome (Moya et al. 2012). MBD-scores were calculated as the log2-fold difference between the MBD-enriched and flow-through libraries using DESeq2 (Love et al. 2014).

Ortholog Comparisons
Transcriptomic data from 17 species of Scleractinia (stony corals) and 3 species of Actiniaria (anemones) were downloaded from the web (supplementary table S4, Supplementary Material online; Schwarz et al. 2008; Sunagawa et al. 2009; Polato et al. 2011; Shinzato et al. 2011; Moya et al. 2012; Kenkel et al. 2013; Traylor-Knowles et al. 2011; Sun et al. 2013; Maor-Landaw et al. 2014; Nordberg et al. 2014; Willette et al. 2014; Kitchen et al. 2015; Davies et al. forthcoming). Coding sequences were extracted based on BlastX (Altschul et al. 1997) alignments to the Nematostella vectensis (Nordberg et al. 2014) and Acropora digitifera (Shinzato et al. 2011) reference proteomes using a custom perl script. Orthologs were identified based on reciprocal best hits (BLASTP) (Altschul et al. 1990) using custom python scripts. Protein alignments were performed using MAFFT (Kato and Standley 2013) and reverse translation was performed using Pal2Nal (Suyama et al. 2006). Substitution rates were estimated using PAML (Yang 2007). Phylogenetic construction was performed with the rapid bootstrapping algorithm (GTRGAMMA model) with 1,000 iterations using RAxML (Stamatakis 2014). Gene expression datasets were generated using Tag-based RNA-seq (Meyer et al. 2011; Dixon et al. 2014, 2015).

Codon Bias
We tested for relationships between MBD-score and synonymous codon usage using four metrics: RSCU (Sharp et al. 1986), Fop (Ikemura 1981), CAI (Sharp and Li 1987a), and the Nc (Wright 1990). CAI and RSCU were calculated using custom python scripts. Fop and Nc were calculated using CodonW (Peden 1999) (http://codonw.sourceforge.net/~culong.html, last accessed 17 May 2016).

Statistical Analyses
Statistical analyses of the relationship between MBD-score and other gene characteristics were performed in R (R Core Team 2015). Significance for correlations was established using Spearman’s rank-order correlation test. Significance tests for differences in counts between the strongly methylated and weakly methylated classes were performed using Fisher’s exact tests (Fisher 1922). PCA was performed using prcomp function in R.

Supplementary Material
Supplementary methods, tables S1–S4, and figures S1–S11 are available at Molecular Biology and Evolution online (http://www.mbe.oxfordjournals.org/).
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