

Developments in Geotechnical Engineering

Jay Ameratunga  
Nagaratnam Sivakugan  
Braja M. Das

# Correlations of Soil and Rock Properties in Geotechnical Engineering

 Springer

# **Developments in Geotechnical Engineering**

## **Series editor**

Braja M. Das, Henderson, USA

Nagaratnam Sivakugan, Townsville, Australia

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/13410>

Jay Ameratunga • Nagaratnam Sivakugan  
Braja M. Das

# Correlations of Soil and Rock Properties in Geotechnical Engineering

 Springer

Jay Ameratunga  
Golder Associates  
Brisbane, QLD, Australia

Nagaratnam Sivakugan  
James Cook University  
Townsville, QLD, Australia

Braja M. Das  
California State University  
Henderson, CA, USA

ISSN 2364-5156 ISSN 2364-5164 (electronic)  
Developments in Geotechnical Engineering  
ISBN 978-81-322-2627-7 ISBN 978-81-322-2629-1 (eBook)  
DOI 10.1007/978-81-322-2629-1

Library of Congress Control Number: 2015951662

Springer New Delhi Heidelberg New York Dordrecht London

© Springer India 2016

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer (India) Pvt. Ltd. is part of Springer Science+Business Media ([www.springer.com](http://www.springer.com))

# Preface

Geotechnical engineering has grown rapidly in the past half century with the contribution from academics, researchers and practising professionals. It is still considered a combination of art and science with research and observations in the field refining and improving geotechnical design. Although in situ and laboratory geotechnical testing still remain the two preferred methods of determining design parameters, empiricism has a unique and a big role to play in geotechnical engineering.

Geotechnical literature is full of empirical equations and graphs, and they are used regularly by practitioners worldwide. These are derived based on laboratory or field data, past experience and good judgement. Where little or no geotechnical information is available, or where reasonableness of a test result needs to be checked, these empirical equations provide an alternative very useful to the engineer. For some parameters, you may be confronted with several empirical equations, and it is a good practice to clearly state the source so that the readers can make their own judgement.

The main objective of this book is to provide correlations commonly used by geotechnical practitioners to assess design parameters important in the geotechnical design activities. It is intended mainly for the practitioners although its value extends to academics and researchers as well. We have arranged the chapters on the basis of the main types of in situ tests with laboratory tests on soil and rock given two separate chapters. In Chapter 2, we have provided a brief overview of the geotechnical properties commonly determined in the laboratory, their relevance in soil mechanics and laboratory tests for determining them. It gives the necessary background for the chapters that follow.

## **Acknowledgements**

We are thankful to Professor Harry Poulos who provided valuable suggestions on the first draft. Thanks are also due to Dr. Chris Bridges and Thayalan Nallarulanatham for assisting and reviewing some of the chapters. Allan McConnell of Insitu Geotech Services and Yvo Keulemans of Cone Penetration Testing Services have provided some valuable pictures for this book. We are thankful to them for their contributions. Finally we would like to thank the staff at Springer for their assistance and advice, especially Swati Meherishi, senior editor, and Kamiya Khatter, senior editorial assistant.

Brisbane, QLD, Australia  
Townsville, QLD, Australia  
Henderson, CA, USA

Jay Ameratunga  
Nagaratnam Sivakugan  
Braja M. Das

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b> . . . . .	1
1.1	Laboratory Testing . . . . .	1
1.2	In Situ Testing . . . . .	2
1.3	Empirical Correlations . . . . .	5
1.4	Contents of the Book . . . . .	8
	References . . . . .	9
<b>2</b>	<b>Geotechnical Properties of Soils – Fundamentals</b> . . . . .	11
2.1	Laboratory Tests for Soils . . . . .	11
2.2	Phase Relations . . . . .	12
2.2.1	Terminology and Definitions . . . . .	13
2.2.2	Relationships Between the Variables . . . . .	15
2.3	Granular Soils . . . . .	16
2.3.1	Grain Size Distribution . . . . .	16
2.3.2	Relative Density . . . . .	18
2.4	Plasticity . . . . .	19
2.4.1	Atterberg Limits . . . . .	19
2.4.2	Classification of Fine Grained Soils Based on Plasticity . . . . .	20
2.5	Compaction . . . . .	21
2.6	Permeability . . . . .	24
2.6.1	D’Arcy’s Law and Permeability Measurements . . . . .	24
2.6.2	Intrinsic Permeability . . . . .	28
2.6.3	Reynold’s Number and Laminar Flow . . . . .	29
2.6.4	Anisotropy . . . . .	29
2.6.5	One-Dimensional Flow in Layered Soils . . . . .	30
2.6.6	Effect of Applied Pressure on Permeability . . . . .	31
2.6.7	Critical Hydraulic Gradient . . . . .	32
2.7	Effective Stresses and Total Stresses . . . . .	33



2.8	Consolidation . . . . .	33
2.8.1	Computation of Final Consolidation Settlement . . . . .	34
2.8.2	Time Rate of Consolidation . . . . .	35
2.8.3	Coefficient of Volume Compressibility $m_v$ . . . . .	39
2.8.4	Secondary Compression . . . . .	41
2.9	Shear Strength . . . . .	43
2.9.1	Shear Strength, Friction Angle and Cohesion . . . . .	43
2.9.2	Undrained and Drained Loadings in Clays . . . . .	44
2.9.3	Undrained Shear Strength of Clays . . . . .	44
2.9.4	Peak, Residual and Critical States . . . . .	45
2.9.5	Dilatancy Angle . . . . .	46
2.9.6	Coefficient of Earth Pressure at Rest . . . . .	46
2.10	Soil Variability . . . . .	47
	References . . . . .	48
<b>3</b>	<b>Correlations for Laboratory Test Parameters . . . . .</b>	<b>51</b>
3.1	Permeability . . . . .	51
3.1.1	Granular Soils . . . . .	52
3.1.2	Cohesive Soils . . . . .	53
3.2	Consolidation . . . . .	54
3.2.1	Compression Index . . . . .	54
3.2.2	Recompression Index or Swelling Index . . . . .	57
3.2.3	Compression Ratio and Recompression Ratio . . . . .	57
3.2.4	Constrained Modulus . . . . .	58
3.2.5	Coefficient of Consolidation $c_v$ . . . . .	59
3.2.6	Secondary Compression . . . . .	60
3.3	Shear Strength Parameters $c'$ and $\phi'$ . . . . .	60
3.3.1	Cohesion in Terms of Effective Stress $c'$ . . . . .	60
3.3.2	Effects of Dilatancy in Granular Soils . . . . .	62
3.3.3	$\phi'_{\text{peak}}$ , $\phi'_{\text{cv}}$ , $\phi'_{\text{res}}$ Relationships with Plasticity Index for Clays . . . . .	63
3.3.4	Other Friction Angle Correlations . . . . .	66
3.3.5	Stress Path Dependence of Friction Angles . . . . .	69
3.3.6	Skempton's Pore Pressure Parameters . . . . .	72
3.3.7	Sensitivity of Clays . . . . .	72
3.4	Undrained Shear Strength of a Clay $c_u$ . . . . .	73
3.5	Soil Stiffness and Young's Modulus . . . . .	76
3.6	Coefficient of Earth Pressure at Rest $K_o$ . . . . .	79
3.7	Using Laboratory Test Data in Pile Designs . . . . .	81
	References . . . . .	83
<b>4</b>	<b>Standard Penetration Test . . . . .</b>	<b>87</b>
4.1	Standard Penetration Test Procedure . . . . .	87
4.2	Correction of $N$ Value for Effective Overburden Pressure (For Granular Soils) . . . . .	89

4.3	Correction for SPT Hammer Energy Efficiency . . . . .	91
4.4	Correlation of Standard Penetration Number with Relative Density ( $D_r$ ) of Sand . . . . .	93
4.5	Correlation of $N$ with Peak Drained Friction Angle ( $\phi$ ) for Sand . . . . .	99
4.6	Correlation of $N$ with Modulus of Elasticity ( $E$ ) for Sandy Soils . . . . .	102
4.7	Correlation of Undrained Cohesion ( $c_u$ ) with $N$ for Clay Soil . . . . .	103
4.8	Correlation of Preconsolidation Pressure ( $\sigma_c'$ ) with $N$ for Clay Soil . . . . .	104
4.9	Correlation of Overconsolidation Ratio ( $Ocr$ ) with $N$ for Clay Soil . . . . .	105
4.10	Correlation of Cone Penetration Resistance ( $q_c$ ) with $N$ . . . . .	105
4.11	Correlation of Liquefaction Potential of Sand with $N$ . . . . .	106
4.12	Correlations for Shear Wave Velocity, $v_s$ . . . . .	107
4.13	SPT Correlations with Foundation Bearing Capacity . . . . .	107
	References . . . . .	110
<b>5</b>	<b>Cone Penetrometer Test . . . . .</b>	<b>115</b>
5.1	Cone Penetrometer Test – General . . . . .	115
5.2	Piezocone Test – Equipment and Procedure . . . . .	117
5.3	Practical Use of Penetrometer Test Results . . . . .	121
5.4	Soil Classification . . . . .	121
5.5	Correlations for Sands . . . . .	125
5.5.1	Correlation with Relative Density of Sand . . . . .	125
5.5.2	Correlation of $q_c$ with Sand Friction Angle, $\phi'$ . . . . .	128
5.5.3	Correlation with Constrained Modulus of Cohesionless Soils . . . . .	130
5.5.4	Correlation with Small Strain Shear Modulus of Cohesionless Soils . . . . .	132
5.6	Correlations for Cohesive Soils . . . . .	134
5.6.1	Correlation with Undrained Shear Strength of Cohesive Soils . . . . .	134
5.6.2	Correlation with Sensitivity of Cohesive Soils . . . . .	135
5.6.3	Correlation with Over Consolidation Ratio of Cohesive Soils . . . . .	136
5.6.4	Correlation with Constrained Modulus of Cohesive Soils . . . . .	137
5.6.5	Correlation with Compressibility of Cohesive Soils . . . . .	138
5.6.6	Correlation with Friction Angle of Cohesive Soils . . . . .	139
5.6.7	Correlation with Small Strain Shear Modulus of Cohesive Soils . . . . .	139
5.7	Correlation with Unit Weight . . . . .	141
5.8	Correlation with Permeability . . . . .	142

5.9	Correlation with SPT $N$ . . . . .	142
5.10	Correlation with Bearing Capacity . . . . .	145
5.10.1	Shallow Foundations . . . . .	145
5.10.2	Deep Foundations . . . . .	146
5.11	Liquefaction Assessment . . . . .	148
5.11.1	Cyclic Stress Ratio . . . . .	149
5.11.2	Normalization of Resistance . . . . .	150
5.11.3	Computation of Cyclic Resistance Ratio (CRR) . . . . .	152
	References . . . . .	153
<b>6</b>	<b>Pressuremeter Test</b> . . . . .	<b>159</b>
6.1	Pressuremeter Test – General . . . . .	159
6.1.1	Menard Type Pressuremeter . . . . .	160
6.1.2	Self-Boring Pressuremeter . . . . .	162
6.1.3	Other Developments . . . . .	164
6.2	Pressuremeter Test – Theoretical Interpretation . . . . .	165
6.3	Parameter Derivation . . . . .	165
6.3.1	In-Situ Lateral Stress . . . . .	165
6.3.2	Young’s Modulus . . . . .	167
6.3.3	Undrained Shear Strength in Clay . . . . .	168
6.3.4	Friction Angle in Sands . . . . .	171
6.4	Correlations with Other Tests . . . . .	173
6.4.1	Correlation Between Limit Pressure from Menard Type Pressuremeter and $q_c$ from Cone Penetrometer Test . . .	173
6.4.2	Correlations with Other Soil Parameters – Menard Type Pressuremeter . . . . .	173
6.5	Use of Menard Type Pressuremeter Test Results Directly in Design . . . . .	173
6.5.1	Ultimate Bearing Capacity ( $q_u$ ) of Shallow Foundations – Menard Type Pressuremeter . . . . .	174
6.5.2	Ultimate Bearing Capacity of Deep Foundations – Menard Type Pressuremeter Test . . . . .	176
6.5.3	Skin Friction for Deep Foundations – Menard Type Pressuremeter . . . . .	176
6.5.4	Correlation with $q_c$ and SPT $N$ . . . . .	176
6.5.5	Other Design Parameters from Menard Type Pressuremeter . . . . .	177
	References . . . . .	179
<b>7</b>	<b>Dilatometer Test</b> . . . . .	<b>183</b>
7.1	Introduction . . . . .	183
7.2	Intermediate DMT Parameters . . . . .	186
7.3	Correlations . . . . .	187
7.4	Summary . . . . .	191
	References . . . . .	191

- 8 Vane Shear Test . . . . . 193**
  - 8.1 Vane Shear Test – General . . . . . 193
  - 8.2 Vane Shear Test Equipment and Procedure in the Field . . . . . 194
  - 8.3 Assessment of Shear Strength in the Field Using  
the Vane Shear Test . . . . . 196
    - 8.3.1 Assessment of Sensitivity of Clay . . . . . 198
  - 8.4 Vane Shear Test Corrections . . . . . 198
  - 8.5 Correlations for  $c_u$  in Normally Consolidated Soils . . . . . 201
  - 8.6 Correlations for  $c_u$  in Over Consolidated Soils . . . . . 202
  - 8.7 Summary . . . . . 204
  - References . . . . . 204
- 9 Laboratory Rock Tests . . . . . 207**
  - 9.1 Rock Cores and RQD . . . . . 208
  - 9.2 Permeability . . . . . 209
  - 9.3 Uniaxial Compressive Strength . . . . . 209
  - 9.4 Brazilian Indirect Tensile Strength . . . . . 216
  - 9.5 Point Load Strength . . . . . 218
  - 9.6 Slake Durability . . . . . 219
  - 9.7 Summary . . . . . 220
  - References . . . . . 221
- Index . . . . . 225**



## About the Authors

**Jay Ameratunga** has been a practising civil engineer for over 35 years specialising in geotechnical engineering. After graduating from the University of Ceylon, Peradeniya in Sri Lanka, he obtained his master's at the Asian Institute of Technology, Bangkok, and obtained his Ph.D. from Monash University, Australia. The early part of his career was spent in Sri Lanka before joining the Coffey Group in 1989. He had been involved with the investigation, design and construction of many major projects, especially in Australia and New Zealand, including the Gateway Upgrade Project and the Future Port Expansion Seawall Project in Brisbane and the State Highway 16 Project in Auckland. He is currently attached to Golder Associates. He works closely with universities on major research projects and mentors Ph.D. students and young engineers. He has also been a visiting lecturer at Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane. He has co-authored close to 50 technical papers on soft clay, reclamation and ground improvement and has presented in conferences in Australia, New Zealand and at other international venues. He is a past chair of the Australian Geomechanics Society, Queensland Division, a member of the Australian Standards Committee for Geosynthetics and a fellow of the Institution of Engineers, Australia.

**Nagaratnam Sivakugan** received his bachelor's degree in civil engineering from the University of Peradeniya, Sri Lanka, with first class honours, and M.S.C.E and Ph.D. from Purdue University in West Lafayette, Indiana. His writings include five books, 110 refereed international journal papers, 75 refereed international conference papers and more than 100 consulting reports. As a registered professional engineer of Queensland and a chartered professional engineer, he does substantial consulting work for the geotechnical and mining industry in Australia and overseas, including the World Bank. He is a fellow of the American Society of Civil Engineers and Engineers Australia. He has supervised 13 Ph.D. students to completion at James Cook University, Queensland, Australia, where he was the head of civil engineering from 2003 to 2014.

**Braja M. Das** is dean emeritus of the College of Engineering and Computer Science at California State University, Sacramento. He received his M.S. in civil engineering from the University of Iowa and his Ph.D. in the area of geotechnical engineering from the University of Wisconsin. He is the author of a number of geotechnical engineering texts and reference books and has authored more than 250 technical papers in the area of geotechnical engineering. His primary areas of research include shallow foundations, earth anchors and geosynthetics. He is a fellow and life member of the American Society of Civil Engineers, life member of the American Society for Engineering Education and an emeritus member of the Chemical and Mechanical Stabilization Committee of the Transportation Research Board of the National Research Council (Washington, D.C.). Dr. Das has received numerous awards for teaching excellence, including the AMOCO Foundation Award, the AT & T Award for Teaching Excellence from the American Society for Engineering Education, the Ralph Teetor Award from the Society of Automotive Engineers and the Distinguished Achievement Award for Teaching Excellence from the University of Texas at El Paso.