

This is the **Accepted Version** of a paper to be published in
the journal Global Change Biology:

Hill, Nicholas J., Tobin, Andrew J., Reside, April E.,
Pepperell, Julian G., and Bridge, Tom C.L. *Dynamic
habitat suitability modelling reveals rapid poleward
distribution shift in a mobile apex predator*. Global
Change Biology, 22 (3). pp. 1086-1096

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13129>

Article type: Primary Research Articles

Title: Dynamic habitat suitability modelling reveals rapid poleward distribution shift in a mobile apex predator

Running head: Rapid distribution shift in pelagic predator

Nicholas J. Hill^{1}, Andrew J. Tobin¹, April E. Reside², Julian G. Pepperell³, Tom C.L. Bridge^{4,5}*

¹ *Centre for Sustainable Tropical Fisheries and Aquaculture, College of Marine and Environmental Sciences, James Cook University, Townsville, Queensland 4811, Australia*

² *Centre for Tropical Environmental & Sustainability Sciences, College of Marine and Environmental Sciences, James Cook University, Townsville, Queensland 4811, Australia*

³ *Pepperell Research and Consulting Pty Ltd., P.O. Box 1475, Noosaville DC, Queensland 4566, Australia*

⁴ *ARC Centre of Excellence for Coral Reef Studies, James Cook University, Townsville, Queensland 4811, Australia*

⁵ *Australian Institute of Marine Science, PMB #3, Townsville MC, Queensland 4810, Australia*

*Corresponding author email: nicholas.hill@my.jcu.edu.au

Phone: +614 11 212 230

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1111/gcb.13129

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

Keywords: distribution shift, climate change, apex predator, MaxEnt, habitat suitability, black marlin (*Istiompax indica*), tunas & billfishes, species distribution modelling, boundary current

Primary research article

Abstract

Many taxa are undergoing distribution shifts in response to anthropogenic climate change. However, detecting a climate signal in mobile species is difficult due to their wide-ranging, patchy distributions, often driven by natural climate variability. For example, difficulties associated with assessing pelagic fish distributions has rendered fisheries management ill-equipped to adapt to the challenges posed by climate change, leaving pelagic species and ecosystems vulnerable. Here we demonstrate the value of citizen science data for modelling the dynamic habitat suitability of a mobile pelagic predator (black marlin, *Istiompax indica*) within the south-west Pacific Ocean. The extensive spatial and temporal coverage of our occurrence data set (n=18717), collected at high resolution ($\sim 1.85\text{km}^2$), enabled identification of suitable habitat at monthly time-steps over a 16-year period (1998-2013). We identified considerable monthly, seasonal and inter-annual variability in the extent and distribution of suitable habitat, predominately driven by chlorophyll-a and sea surface height. Inter-annual variability correlated with El Nino Southern Oscillation (ENSO) events, with suitable habitat extending up to ~ 300 km further south during La Nina events. Despite the strong influence of ENSO, our model revealed a rapid poleward shift in the geometric mean of black marlin habitat, occurring at $88.2 \text{ km decade}^{-1}$. By incorporating multiple environmental factors at monthly time-steps, we were able to demonstrate a rapid distribution shift in a mobile pelagic species. Our findings suggest that the rapid velocity of climate change in the south-west

Pacific Ocean is likely affecting mobile pelagic species, indicating that they may be more vulnerable to climate change than previously thought.

Introduction

Rapid and extensive distribution shifts in response to global climate change have been detected in numerous marine and terrestrial taxa (Parmesan & Yohe, 2003; Chen *et al.*, 2011; Pinsky *et al.*, 2013). These shifts are predicted to continue in coming decades, resulting in a reassembly of current ecological communities (Loarie *et al.*, 2009; Hazen *et al.*, 2012; Burrows *et al.*, 2014). Although numerous shifts have been predicted, empirical evidence via retrospective detection has proven elusive for the majority of species due to inadequate spatial and/or temporal coverage of baseline occurrence data (Booth *et al.*, 2011; Hobday & Evans, 2013). This has inhibited the development and implementation of appropriate management strategies to assess species vulnerability to climate change (Conroy *et al.*, 2011).

Where species occurrence data is patchy, modelling changes in habitat suitability has proven useful for identifying distribution shifts (Elith *et al.*, 2006, 2010). However, habitat suitability metrics are often focused on temperature (Loarie *et al.*, 2009; Burrows *et al.*, 2014) which can result in underestimation of the rate and magnitude of distribution shifts expected to occur (VanDerWal *et al.*, 2012). Species distributions are driven by multiple, interacting factors and therefore, their response to climate change is likely to be more complex and region specific than simple models based solely on temperature suggest (Ackerly *et al.*, 2010; Bell *et al.*, 2013a). Data paucity is particularly prevalent in pelagic ecosystems, which are difficult to survey at fine spatial and temporal scales (Hobday & Evans, 2013). Increasing atmospheric CO₂ concentrations have caused fundamental changes to the world's oceans, including increased sea surface temperatures and shifts in oceanic circulation and primary productivity

patterns (Boyce *et al.*, 2010; IPCC, 2014). However, our understanding of the impacts these physical changes will have on pelagic species, and the resulting consequences, is poorly understood.

Apex predators exert important top-down control of food webs, and are critical for maintaining ecosystem function (Myers *et al.*, 2007; Estes *et al.*, 2011). Many large-bodied pelagic predators are highly-mobile, and regularly undertake migrations of hundreds to thousands of kilometres at annual and inter-annual timescales (Block *et al.*, 2011). Despite their widespread distributions, mobile pelagic predators have declined in abundance due to overfishing (Baum & Worm, 2009), causing changes to open ocean food webs (Myers *et al.*, 2007; Worm & Tittensor, 2011). Climate-induced distribution shifts are likely to alter the functioning of pelagic ecosystems already under pressure from anthropogenic stressors (Beaugrand *et al.*, 2008; Hazen *et al.*, 2012; Robinson *et al.*, 2014). Furthermore, mobile pelagic predators represent 20% of total economic value in global marine capture fisheries (FAO, 2012). Distribution shifts in commercially important species will have serious implications for food security and human welfare globally (Brander, 2010; Cheung *et al.*, 2010; Madin *et al.*, 2012). Early detection and characterisation of species responses to climate change is therefore vital for bolstering the resilience and adaptive capacity of fisheries, allowing appropriate management contingencies to be implemented (Hobday & Evans, 2013; Holbrook & Johnson, 2014; Maxwell *et al.*, 2015).

Detecting distribution shifts in mobile marine species has proven difficult due to naturally low densities, high mobility and the remoteness of preferred habitats. Acoustic and archival tagging technologies have improved understanding of species movements across space and time (Schaefer *et al.*, 2014; Maxwell *et al.*, 2015), but are costly and can be logistically difficult to undertake. In the absence of sophisticated tagging data, species distribution

modelling has proven useful for illustrating the dynamic habitat suitability of mobile species, and presents itself as a potential tool for dynamic ocean management (Elith *et al.*, 2006; Lehodey *et al.*, 2006; Maxwell *et al.*, 2015). Habitat suitability of mobile species is determined by a range of environmental (eg: temperature; Boyce *et al.*, 2008) and ecological parameters (eg: prey abundance; Griffiths *et al.*, 2010) which vary across both space (metres – 1000's km) and time (minutes – multi-decadal). Therefore, modelling distribution shifts using environmental data averaged over broad climatic scales (eg: Perry *et al.*, 2005; Montero-Serra *et al.*, 2015), may inaccurately represent habitat suitability for mobile species (Reside *et al.*, 2010). Low spatial resolution data and use of inappropriate modelling techniques has hindered the detection of underlying long-term shifts in some mobile species (Hobday & Evans, 2013).

Utilizing citizen science to assist with the spatial and temporal coverage of data sampling can help overcome problems associated with data paucity. For example, citizen science has proven critical in establishing the projected impacts of climate change on the distribution and volume of remaining viable habitat for mobile bird species (VanDerWal *et al.*, 2012; Abolafya *et al.*, 2013). In Australia, a large-scale tagging program utilising recreational fishermen to collect data on targeted pelagic species has been operating since 1974 in collaboration with fisheries management (NSW DPI, 2014). Participants apply a conventional streamer tag to captured fish before release and record the capture date, release location and approximate length and weight of each fish. Under the program, recreational anglers have tagged over 419 000 individual fish across 25 different species, providing a spatially and temporally extensive dataset of the distribution of pelagic fishes (NSW DPI, 2014).

Black marlin (*Istiopmax indica*) are a common target of recreational anglers in many locations throughout the south-west Pacific Ocean, and are regularly recorded in the NSW

DPI Gamefish Tagging Program (NSW DPI, 2014). The distribution of black marlin extends throughout tropical and sub-tropical regions of the Pacific and Indian Oceans predominately found in epipelagic waters (Pepperell, 1990; Domeier & Speare, 2012). Although geographically widespread and capable of crossing ocean basins (Pepperell, 1990), black marlin show a seasonal affinity for continental margins and sea-mounts (Campbell *et al.*, 2003; Gunn *et al.*, 2003), increasing their accessibility to recreational anglers. Recently Williams *et al.* (2015) identified three distinct genetic populations in the south-west Pacific, eastern Indian Ocean and the south China Sea. In the south-west Pacific population examined in this study, spawning occurs from September-November adjacent to the continental shelf of north-east Australia (Leis *et al.*, 1987; Domeier & Speare, 2012). From September-April, juveniles 1–4 years old undertake a southerly migration along Australia’s eastern continental margin (17–34°S) (Pepperell, 1990). Although the south-west Pacific population is targeted by a substantial recreational fishery, little is known about population status (Collette *et al.*, 2011) or where else the species might occur.

Here, we demonstrate the value of citizen science collected data for investigating distribution shifts in the south-west Pacific population of black marlin. We model the dynamic habitat suitability of black marlin using high resolution spatial and temporal data to investigate 1) environmental factors that characterise suitable habitat of black marlin; 2) the variation in location of suitable habitat across seasonal and inter-annual timescales in relation to natural climate oscillations; and 3) whether a long-term distribution shift has occurred and is consistent with the effects of climate change observed in the south-west Pacific Ocean.

Materials and methods

Study region

The study was conducted in the south-west Pacific Ocean (3-39°S/142-180°E; Fig. 1a). The study area encompasses the 'core' range of the south-west Pacific black marlin population identified using genetic analysis, archival tagging and historical commercial catch data (Williams *et al.*, 1994; Domeier & Speare, 2012; Williams *et al.*, 2015). The dominant oceanographic feature of this region is the East Australian Current (EAC), a poleward-flowing western boundary current that transports warm, oligotrophic waters along Australia's east coast (Ridgway, 2007). The EAC originates from the westward-flowing South Equatorial Current (SEC), which bifurcates at the Australian continental margin at 17-19°S (Brinkman *et al.*, 2001). A seasonal strengthening in the EAC occurs from September-April (Luick *et al.*, 2007). The EAC departs from the east coast at 32-34°S, flowing east towards New Caledonia and New Zealand, forming the Tasman Front (Baird *et al.*, 2008; Suthers *et al.*, 2011). The Tasman Front is a transition zone, representing the collision of cold (Tasman Sea) and warm water (Coral Sea) bodies, often exhibiting strong thermal gradients of >2°C (Baird *et al.*, 2008). Over winter (April-August), subantarctic cold waters push north, forcing the EAC and Tasman Front to retreat towards the equator.

These major oceanographic features vary across numerous temporal scales, attributed to the influence of climate oscillations. El Nino Southern Oscillation is the dominant force, which drives strong inter-annual variability in the oceanography of the south-west Pacific Ocean (Holbrook *et al.*, 2009). El Nino events are characterised by anomalously high sea surface temperatures adjacent to Australia's south-east coast and anomalously cool temperatures in the north as the West Pacific Warm Pool disperses east. In contrast, during La Nina events trade winds strengthen, forcing West Pacific Warm Pool water to remain in the south-west

Pacific (Holbrook & Bindoff, 1997; Holbrook *et al.*, 2009). Decadal variability is also present, characterised by extended warm, El Nino like conditions or conversely cold, La Nina like conditions. Combination of these various climatic influences create highly variable oceanographic conditions within the south-west Pacific Ocean. Globally, western boundary currents such as the EAC are warming 2-3 times faster than the global mean (Wu *et al.*, 2012; Hobday & Pecl, 2013; Hu *et al.*, 2015). Despite this substantial natural variability in oceanographic features, a long term incessant poleward shift in these features has been recorded (Cai *et al.*, 2006; Ridgway, 2007) and is predicted to continue (Cai *et al.*, 2005; Ridgway & Hill, 2012), with subsequent shifts in numerous marine taxa documented (Frusher *et al.*, 2014; Verges *et al.*, 2014).

Occurrence data

Occurrence records were obtained from black marlin tagged by recreational anglers in the New South Wales Department of Primary Industries Tagging Program (NSWDPI, 2014). The database covers the period from 1974-present. However, in this study we only used a subset from the period 1998-2013. This period was chosen due to the availability of high-resolution environmental data, and also to account for the increased fishing effort present in the database in the 1970s and 1980s. Occurrence data was binned into monthly time-steps, leaving a total of 24344 occurrence records available at a spatial resolution of one minute of latitude/longitude ($\sim 1.85\text{km}^2$) (Fig. 1b). Although the distribution of tag records is predominately restricted to within close proximity of coastlines, the use of this data enabled analysis at a much finer spatial scale than would be possible using commercial catch per-unit effort data, which is often collected at a resolution of $5\times 5^\circ$ ($\sim 556.63\text{km}^2$) (eg: Su *et al.*, 2011).

Environmental data

Environmental factors (Table S1) were chosen as potential explanatory variables based on their availability and demonstrated influence on pelagic species distributions (Su *et al.*, 2011). Spatial layers for environmental factors were acquired using the Marine Geospatial Ecology Tool (MGET) (Roberts *et al.*, 2010) in ArcGIS. Daily measurements for each factor were averaged at monthly time-steps (n=192) from 1998-2013 to reduce the influence local minima/maxima and no-data cells (e.g. due to cloud cover). No-data cells were interpolated using the del2a method within MGET which uses laplacian interpolation (D'errico, 2005). All environmental layers were resampled to a common spatial resolution (4 km²) to satisfy requirements of MaxEnt. Mixed layer depth and bathymetry were also investigated, but were not included in the final model as they led to over-fitting of the data.

Species distribution model

We used the species distribution modelling algorithm MaxEnt, which estimates the probability distribution of a species occurrence based on constraints from biologically relevant environmental factors (Phillips *et al.*, 2006). MaxEnt is a robust technique that performs well against similar methods when modelling non-systematically collected occurrence data even if sample sizes are small (Elith *et al.*, 2006). This technique accurately models species distributions, despite data limitations and biases (Elith *et al.*, 2006; Pearson *et al.*, 2007). MaxEnt generates a continuous layer of habitat suitability (ranging from 0-1) across a specified domain by distinguishing the distribution of a species occurrence from the available surrounding environment (Phillips *et al.*, 2006; Elith *et al.*, 2011).

We generated a background data set to representatively sample the environment surrounding each occurrence record. MaxEnt assumes the occurrence data was randomly sampled, with all

Accepted Article

locations within the study area equally likely to be sampled. To account for our occurrence data being biased towards areas more accessible to recreational anglers, we selected background data with equivalent spatial and temporal bias to ensure the model reflected the distribution of black marlin rather than sampling effort (Phillips *et al.*, 2009). Background points were randomly placed within a specified buffer distance surrounding each occurrence record and assigned to the same monthly time-step. Four different buffer sizes were investigated (50, 100, 200, 300n. mi.) to assess model performance (VanDerWal *et al.*, 2009). The final models used the 200n. mi. buffer, which most effectively balanced habitat sensitivity and specificity, offering the most biologically informative and logical results (VanDerWal *et al.*, 2009).

Occurrence and background points within close proximity to the coastline that did not contain data coverage from all environmental factors were omitted. A total of 18717 occurrences and 23242 background points were used in the final models. The value for each environmental factor was recorded at each point and derived from the relevant monthly time-step. For the final model, environmental factors investigated included sea surface temperature, chlorophyll-a concentration, sea surface height anomaly, current direction and current magnitude. All models were run using MaxEnt in R 3.0.2. Model performance was evaluated with a ten-fold cross-validation (500 iterations each) using Species Distribution Modelling (SDM) Tools (VanDerWal *et al.*, 2015). To test model performance, occurrence data was randomly partitioned into subsets, with 70% of occurrence records used to train the model and the remaining 30% for testing. Each 'fold' of cross-validation was compared using area under the receiver-operating characteristic curve (AUC) to provide estimates of model performance. Values approaching 1 suggest the model accurately predicts species occurrence, whereas a value of 0.5 suggests the model is no better than a random selection. The importance of each environmental factor in the training model was determined using a jack-knife test. The final

model was run using all occurrence data and projected onto a series of monthly spatial surfaces from 1998-2013 to hindcast the distribution of suitable black marlin habitat within the study area (Reside *et al.*, 2010).

MaxEnt provides a series of threshold values which can be used to generate discrete binomial suitable/non-suitable outputs. These threshold values represent natural breaks in the scale of habitat suitability (0-1) defined by MaxEnt. After visual inspection of binomial outputs produced using all thresholds, the MaxEnt-derived 'equate entropy of thresholded and original distributions logistic threshold' value of 0.282 was used to define non-suitable habitat from suitable habitat. This value was chosen as alternative thresholds provided by MaxEnt were considered too high and therefore too restrictive for a highly-mobile generalist species, omitting suitable habitat (Anderson *et al.*, 2012).

The geometric mean of suitable habitat was calculated for each month (n=192) by taking the average of all cells within the study area based on their suitability value and location (latitude/longitude). This provided a single point that estimated the core or centre of suitable habitat for each time-step. Comparison of the latitudinal/longitudinal location of geometric means was used to investigate annual and inter-annual variability in the geographic location of suitable habitat. Points were assigned to three different El Nino Southern Oscillation (ENSO) states (El Nino, La Nina and Neutral) and compared using boxplots. To investigate long-term trends in the geometric mean of suitable habitat from 1998-2013, we used a linear model that included season (Jan-Apr, May-Aug, Sep-Dec) and ENSO state (El Nino, La Nina, neutral) as fixed effects to remove variability they impart on the observed latitudinal trend throughout the study period. Residual plots were used to confirm that the model satisfied assumptions of normality and heterogeneity of variance.

Results

The distribution of modelled suitable habitat represented the spread of occurrence records well, giving confidence that this model is ecologically informative (Fig. S1). Cross-validated AUC value (0.634) indicated that the model was acceptable for a highly-mobile generalist species with a broad environmental niche (Reside *et al.*, 2011). Environmental factors that contributed most to the model were chlorophyll-a (chl-a) (47%) and sea surface height anomaly (ssha) (30%), while current magnitude (14%), SST (4%) and current direction (5%) were less important (Fig. S2).

Seasonal variability

The model supported a seasonal latitudinal shift in the distribution of suitable black marlin habitat in the south-west Pacific Ocean (Fig. 2). In the late austral winter (August-September), suitable habitat occurs within a 10° latitudinal band extending across the south-west Pacific Ocean adjacent to north-eastern Australia centred on ~17°S (Fig. 2a). From September-April, suitable habitat shifts south and is more proximal to Australia's east coast, in conjunction with the strengthening EAC (Fig. 2b). Suitable habitat extends to the central (~25°S) and south-east (~33°S) coast of Australia throughout the austral summer, reaching its most southern extent at the conclusion of summer (Fig. 2c). At its most southerly extent (~32-34°S), suitable habitat is bounded by unsuitable waters of the Tasman front, forcing suitable habitat eastwards towards New Caledonia before shifting northwards again over the austral winter (June-August) (Fig. 2d). The distribution of geometric means within each year supported the occurrence of a seasonal latitudinal shift in the distribution of suitable habitat (Fig. S3).

Inter-annual variability

Considerable variability was observed in the latitudinal range of suitable habitat across the study area (142-180°E) among years (Fig. S4). Latitudinal variability in suitable habitat among years was lowest during May-August, when marlin occurred at lower latitudes. In contrast, the latitudinal position of suitable habitat was far more variable among years in January-April, when suitable habitat shifted to higher latitudes. Variability in the southerly extent of suitable habitat among years was correlated with ENSO, with suitable habitat extending up to 300km further southwards during La Nina state in comparison to Neutral or El Nino state (Fig. 3).

Poleward shift in suitable habitat

Despite substantial variation in the latitudinal extent of suitable habitat due to natural climate oscillations, our model indicated an overall poleward shift in suitable habitat for all seasons over the period 1998-2013. After accounting for season and ENSO as factors, the model indicated that the geometric mean of suitable black marlin habitat has shifted polewards at a velocity of 88.2 km decade⁻¹ (Fig. 4). When each season was considered individually, significant trends were observed in both May-August ($R^2=0.59$, $p<0.001$) and September-December ($R^2=0.52$, $p<0.01$). In both seasons, the velocity of poleward distribution shifts was 77 km decade⁻¹. The velocity of poleward shifts was greater during January-April (111 km decade⁻¹). However, this trend was only marginally significant ($p=0.06$) due to greater inter-annual variability during the summer months ($R^2=0.16$).

Discussion

Our model indicated a rapid poleward shift in the latitudinal distribution of suitable black marlin habitat. Suitable habitat is shifting south at a mean rate of 88.2 km decade⁻¹, independent of considerable variation observed due to season and ENSO. The velocity of the poleward distribution shift reported here for the period 1998-2013, based on empirical observations and multiple environmental factors, is considerably faster than predictions made for similar mobile pelagic predators using modelled climate scenarios ranging from 2030-2100 (Hobday, 2010; Robinson *et al.*, 2014). Our results indicate that climate change may be rapidly changing the geographic location of suitable habitat for black marlin. Given that many mobile pelagic predators respond to a similar suite of environmental factors and oceanographic features, climate change may already be exerting a strong influence on pelagic ecosystems and fisheries (Pereira *et al.*, 2010; Barnosky *et al.*, 2012; Burrows *et al.*, 2014).

Detecting distribution shifts on the decadal timescales for which data are commonly available has proven difficult for most mobile pelagic predators (Hobday & Evans, 2013). Furthermore, many predictions of distribution shifts consider too few explanatory factors (e.g. temperature) (Hobday, 2010; Montero-Serra *et al.*, 2015), despite evidence that species distributions are determined by numerous, interacting factors (Brill & Lutcavage, 2001; Ackerly *et al.*, 2010; Grenouillet & Comte, 2014). Our results suggest that multiple factors interact to determine suitable habitat for black marlin, and support the hypothesis that models based on a single factor may underestimate the fingerprint of climate change (VanDerWal *et al.*, 2012). Given that species distributions are likely influenced by multiple interacting factors (Ackerly *et al.*, 2010; Grenouillet & Comte, 2014), fine-scale examination of species distributions is important in accurately assessing species vulnerability and likely responses to shifting habitat suitability driven by climate change.

The velocity of poleward shift in suitable habitat reported here (88.2 km decade⁻¹) is rapid considering our study used geometric means. Studies of range expansion tend to focus on the

Accepted Article

margins of species distributions (Lenoir & Svenning, 2015), which are likely to be more dynamic than geometric means. Although rapid leading-edge range expansions have been reported for marine fishes (277 km decade⁻¹), shifts in the location of geometric means are generally slower (30 km decade⁻¹) (Poloczanska *et al.*, 2013). Given the highly-mobile nature of black marlin, geometric means compared amongst equivalent seasons provide greater insight into climate-induced distribution shifts than overall range-edge dynamics (Pinsky *et al.*, 2013; Bates *et al.*, 2015). After accounting for annual migration by considering seasons separately, our model indicates that the ‘leading edge’ (in this case, the geometric mean of suitable habitat during January-April, when black marlin occur at their more southerly limit) is shifting poleward at a faster rate (111 km decade⁻¹) than the ‘trailing edge’ (77 km decade⁻¹). The greater velocity of the leading edge for black marlin is likely driven by changes in the strength and southward penetration of the EAC (Ridgway, 2007; Ridgway & Hill, 2012), and provides further evidence of the influence of strengthening boundary currents on marine ecosystems (Wu *et al.*, 2012; Hobday & Pecl, 2013). Higher latitudes are warming more rapidly overall than lower latitudes, although they also experience greater annual variability. Our findings of more rapid poleward extension at the leading edge supports empirical observations that leading edges are shifting more rapidly than trailing edges (Poloczanska *et al.*, 2013), despite theoretical evidence that leading and trailing edges are equally responsive to warming for marine ectotherms (Sunday *et al.*, 2012).

Traditionally, mobile species such as black marlin have been considered less vulnerable to climate change due to their ability to track suitable habitat (Pearson & Dawson, 2003; Robinson *et al.*, 2009). However, this hypothesis fails to consider that many mobile pelagic species are site attached to features for critical life history processes such as spawning (Block *et al.*, 2011; Anderson *et al.*, 2013). Climate change may render these key features less suitable (Hobday & Pecl, 2013), decreasing the resilience of some species (Robinson *et al.*,

2009; Anderson *et al.*, 2013). For example, climate change will likely decrease the suitability of many spawning locations, driving declines in recruitment and overall abundance (Sato, 2010; Bromhead *et al.*, 2014). Tropicalisation of temperate environments may progressively disconnect foraging and spawning grounds, increasing the distance required of species to travel (Robinson *et al.*, 2009; Anderson *et al.*, 2013). Furthermore, the distribution of productive upwelling zones are likely to shift, decreasing the abundance and availability of prey in some regions (Hazen *et al.*, 2012; Boyce *et al.*, 2014). Our results suggest that shifts in the timing and suitability of key oceanographic features may limit the adaptability of mobile pelagic predators, making them more vulnerable to climate change than initially thought.

Our results indicate substantial seasonal and inter-annual variability in the distribution of suitable habitat. Seasonal shifts suggest that black marlin move in conjunction with a warm-water pulse in the East Australian Current (EAC) from September-April. Our modelled results are concordant with tag-recaptures of juvenile black marlin (Pepperell, 1990) and other similar species known to undertake seasonal migrations in association with western boundary currents, such as Atlantic bluefin tuna (*Thunnus thynnus*) within the Gulf Stream (Block *et al.*, 2005) and striped marlin (*Kajikia audax*) within the Kuroshio Current (Lien *et al.*, 2013). Our model also identified the Tasman Front as a region of suitable habitat which has not been previously reported for black marlin. Transition zones have been identified as important migratory corridors and foraging habitat for numerous mobile marine species (Polovina *et al.*, 2001; Block *et al.*, 2005; Block *et al.*, 2011), supporting model results regarding the potential importance of the Tasman Front. Our model also indicated inter-annual variability in the distribution of suitable habitat, at least partially driven by ENSO. Although the southward migration of juveniles has been inferred from tag-recaptures (Pepperell 1990), the effects of ENSO on the southerly penetration of black marlin was previously undocumented. There is not a strong ENSO signature in the behaviour of the EAC (Holbrook *et al.*, 2009). Despite

Accepted Article

this, the southerly shift in distribution of suitable habitat during La Nina events is likely due to an overall increase in the presence of tropical mode water across the south-west Pacific Ocean.

Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO) influences environmental parameters throughout the south-west Pacific Ocean (Linsley *et al.*, 2000; Ridgway, 2007) and is known to influence species distributions and abundance (Polovina, 1996; Lehodey, 2006). Recent shifts in regional climate indicators suggest that PDO has shifted to a cool, La Nina like phase in recent years (Cai & Rensch, 2012). Due to the relatively short time period examined here (16 years), we cannot exclude the possibility that climatic factors operating on decadal scales (e.g. PDO) may help explain the poleward shifts observed here. However, long-term records show that poleward shifts are occurring in the climate (Cai *et al.*, 2006) and oceanography (Ridgway, 2007) of the south-west Pacific irrespective of PDO phase (Ridgway & Hill, 2012; Hu *et al.*, 2015) which is warming at 2-3 times the global average rate (Wu *et al.*, 2012; Hobday & Pecl, 2013). Subsequently, poleward distribution shifts have been reported for numerous marine species (Poloczanska *et al.*, 2013; Frusher *et al.*, 2014). Furthermore, this poleward trend in climatic and oceanographic features is predicted to continue (Cai *et al.*, 2005; Ridgway & Hill, 2012; Hu *et al.*, 2015), suggesting the shift in suitable habitat reported here is likely to continue.

Mobile pelagic predators play a key functional role in pelagic ecosystems, and their decline has resulted in trophic cascades in some regions (Myers *et al.*, 2007; Baum & Worm, 2009). Poleward distribution shifts in mobile pelagic predators could therefore have severe consequences for food webs in pelagic ecosystems, particularly in the tropics (Block *et al.*, 2011; Bell *et al.*, 2013a). Tropical nations are predicted to experience significant changes in fisheries production during the 21st century, with severe consequences for regional economic

development and food security (Allison *et al.*, 2009; Cheung *et al.*, 2010; Bell *et al.*, 2013b).

Our results indicate that rapid changes are already occurring in pelagic ecosystems. With emphasis of management shifting to a dynamic framework (Lewison *et al.*, 2015; Maxwell *et al.*, 2015), application of this model in conjunction with more sophisticated tagging technology presents itself as a potential method to manage key, mobile pelagic resources in real-time as access to and quality of high-resolution remote sensing data improves.

Investigating the population status and distribution of mobile pelagic predators is difficult due to the remote nature of their habitat and naturally low population densities. These factors lead to a lack of data at suitable spatial or temporal resolution to identify trends in populations, inhibiting studies of distribution shifts or population trajectories (Hobday & Evans, 2013).

While some tagging technologies (satellite archival and passive acoustic tagging) can yield valuable information for fisheries managers and climate modelling (Block *et al.*, 2011; Hazen *et al.*, 2012), they are prohibitively expensive for many applications. Here, we show that citizen science can provide a valuable and cost-effective method of obtaining long-term, spatially-explicit occurrence records for a mobile pelagic predator (Booth *et al.*, 2011). This model could be applied to facilitate broad-scale implementation of a dynamic ocean management framework for a number of pelagic species where use of more sophisticated tagging technology is not viable. Combining occurrence records with remotely-sensed environmental data, we have shown a rapid, poleward shift in suitable habitat for black marlin. Given the success of our technique over such a short timescale (16 years), we advocate wider application of this method for studying mobile marine species. Increased utilization of such citizen science data may provide a valuable source of historical species occurrence records across a wide range of marine and terrestrial taxa, facilitating improved understanding of the impacts climate change will have on species distributions.

Acknowledgements

We thank the New South Wales Department of Primary Industries for access to the gamefish tagging data and E. Roberts from Marine Geospatial Ecology Tools (MGET) for assistance during the early stages of data acquisition and analysis. We also thank D. Barneche for assistance with statistical analysis. This study was funded by the Australian Research Council.

References

- Abolafya M, Onmuş O, Şekercioğlu ÇH, Bilgin R (2013) Using citizen science data to model the distributions of common songbirds of Turkey under different global climatic change scenarios. *PloS One*, **8**, e68037.
- Ackerly DD, Loarie SR, Cornwell WK, Weiss SB, Hamilton H, Branciforte R, Kraft NJB (2010) The geography of climate change: implications for conservation biogeography. *Diversity and Distributions*, **16**, 476–487.
- Allen MR, Barros VR, Broome J, Christ R, Church JA, Clarke L, Dahe Q (2014) *IPCC fifth assessment synthesis report - climate change 2014 synthesis report*.
- Allison EH, Perry AL, Badjeck M-C *et al.* (2009) Vulnerability of national economies to the impacts of climate change on fisheries. *Fish and Fisheries*, **10**, 173–196.
- Anderson AS, Reside AE, VanDerWal JJ, Shoo LP, Pearson RG, Williams SE (2012) Immigrants and refugees: the importance of dispersal in mediating biotic attrition under climate change. *Global Change Biology*, **18**, 2126–2134.

- Anderson JJ, Gurarie E, Bracis C, Burke BJ, Laidre KL (2013) Modeling climate change impacts on phenology and population dynamics of migratory marine species. *Ecological Modelling*, **264**, 83–97.
- Baird ME, Timko PG, Middleton JH, Mullaney TJ, Cox DR, Suthers IM (2008) Biological properties across the Tasman Front off southeast Australia. *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers*, **55**, 1438–1455.
- Barnosky AD, Hadly EA, Bascompte J *et al.* (2012) Approaching a state shift in Earth's biosphere. *Nature*, **486**, 52–58.
- Bates AE, Bird TJ, Stuart-Smith RD *et al.* (2015) Distinguishing geographical range shifts from artefacts of detectability and sampling effort (ed Richardson DM). *Diversity and Distributions*, **21**, 13–22.
- Baum JK, Worm B (2009) Cascading top-down effects of changing oceanic predator abundances. *The Journal of Animal Ecology*, **78**, 699–714.
- Beaugrand G, Edwards M, Brander K, Luczak C, Ibanez F (2008) Causes and projections of abrupt climate-driven ecosystem shifts in the North Atlantic. *Ecology Letters*, **11**, 1157–1168.
- Bell JD, Ganachaud A, Gehrke PC *et al.* (2013a) Mixed responses of tropical Pacific fisheries and aquaculture to climate change. *Nature Climate Change*, **3**, 591–599.
- Bell JD, Reid C, Batty MJ *et al.* (2013b) Effects of climate change on oceanic fisheries in the tropical Pacific: implications for economic development and food security. *Climatic Change*, **119**, 199–212.

- Block BA, Teo SLH, Walli A *et al.* (2005) Electronic tagging and population structure of Atlantic bluefin tuna. *Nature*, **434**, 1121–1127.
- Block BA, Jonsen ID, Jorgensen SJ *et al.* (2011) Tracking apex marine predator movements in a dynamic ocean. *Nature*, **475**, 86–90.
- Booth DJ, Bond N, Macreadie P (2011) Detecting range shifts among Australian fishes in response to climate change. *Marine and Freshwater Research*, **62**, 1027–1042.
- Boyce DG, Tittensor DP, Worm B (2008) Effects of temperature on global patterns of tuna and billfish richness. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **355**, 267–276.
- Boyce DG, Lewis MR, Worm B (2010) Global phytoplankton decline over the past century. *Nature*, **466**, 591–596.
- Boyce DG, Dowd M, Lewis MR, Worm B (2014) Estimating global chlorophyll changes over the past century. *Progress in Oceanography*, **122**, 163–173.
- Brander K (2010) Impacts of climate change on fisheries. *Journal of Marine Systems*, **79**, 389–402.
- Brill R, Lutcavage M (2001) Understanding environmental influences on movements and depth distributions of tunas and billfishes can significantly improve population assessments. *American Fisheries Society Symposium*, **25**, 179–198.
- Brinkman R, Wolanski E, Deleersnijder E, McAllister F, Skirving W (2001) Oceanic inflow from the Coral Sea into the Great Barrier Reef. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, **54**, 655–668.

- Bromhead D, Scholey V, Nicol S *et al.* (2014) The potential impact of ocean acidification upon eggs and larvae of yellowfin tuna (*Thunnus albacares*). *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*, **113**, 268-279.
- Burrows MT, Schoeman DS, Richardson AJ *et al.* (2014) Geographical limits to species-range shifts are suggested by climate velocity. *Nature*, **507**, 492–495.
- Cai W (2006) Antarctic ozone depletion causes an intensification of the Southern Ocean supergyre circulation. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **33**, L03712.
- Cai W, Rensch P (2012) The 2011 south-east Queensland extreme summer rainfall: a confirmation of a negative Pacific Decadal Oscillation phase? *Geophysical Research Letters*, **39**, L08702.
- Cai W, Shi G, Cowan T, Bi D, Ribbe J (2005) The response of the Southern Annular Mode, the East Australian Current, and the southern mid-latitude ocean circulation to global warming. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **32**, L23706.
- Campbell RA, Pepperell JG, Davis TLO (2003) Use of charter boat data to infer the annual availability of black marlin, *Makaira indica*, to the recreational fishery off Cairns, Australia. *Marine and Freshwater Research*, **54**, 447–457.
- Chen I-C, Hill JK, Ohlemüller R, Roy DB, Thomas CD (2011) Rapid range shifts of species associated with high levels of climate warming. *Science*, **333**, 1024–1026.
- Cheung WWL, Lam VWY, Sarmiento JL, Kearney K, Watson R, Zeller D, Pauly D (2010) Large-scale redistribution of maximum fisheries catch potential in the global ocean under climate change. *Global Change Biology*, **16**, 24–35.

- Collette B, Acero A, Canales Ramirez C *et al.* (2011) *Istiompax indica*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. e.T170312A6742465, Downloaded on 23 September 2015.
- Conroy MJ, Runge MC, Nichols JD, Stodola KW, Cooper RJ (2011) Conservation in the face of climate change: the roles of alternative models, monitoring, and adaptation in confronting and reducing uncertainty. *Biological Conservation*, **144**, 1204-1213.
- D'errico J (2005) Surface fitting using gridfit. *MATLAB central file exchange*.
- Elith J, Ferrier S, Guisan A *et al.* (2006) Novel methods improve prediction of species' distributions from occurrence data. *Ecography*, **29**, 129–151.
- Elith J, Kearney M, Phillips S (2010) The art of modelling range-shifting species. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, **1**, 330–342.
- Elith J, Phillips SJ, Hastie T, Dudík M, Chee YE, Yates CJ (2011) A statistical explanation of MaxEnt for ecologists. *Diversity and Distributions*, **17**, 43–57.
- Estes JA, Terborgh J, Brashares JS *et al.* (2011) Trophic downgrading of planet Earth. *Science*, **333**, 301–307.
- FAO (2012) *The state of world fisheries and aquaculture*. Food & Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations, Rome.
- Frusher SD, Hobday AJ, Jennings SM *et al.* (2014) The short history of research in a marine climate change hotspot: from anecdote to adaptation in south-east Australia. *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries*, **24**, 593-611.
- Grenouillet G, Comte L (2014) Illuminating geographical patterns in species' range shifts. *Global Change Biology*, **20**, 3080–3091.

- Griffiths SP, Young JW, Lansdell MJ *et al.* (2010) Ecological effects of longline fishing and climate change on the pelagic ecosystem off eastern Australia. *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries*, **20**, 239–272.
- Gunn JA, Patterson TA, Pepperell JG (2003) Short-term movement and behaviour of black marlin *Makaira indica* in the Coral Sea as determined through a pop-up satellite archival tagging experiment. *Marine and Freshwater Research*, **54**, 515–525.
- Hazen EL, Jorgensen S, Rykaczewski RR *et al.* (2012) Predicted habitat shifts of Pacific top predators in a changing climate. *Nature Climate Change*, **3**, 234–238.
- Hobday AJ (2010) Ensemble analysis of the future distribution of large pelagic fishes off Australia. *Progress in Oceanography*, **86**, 291–301.
- Hobday AJ, Evans K (2013) Detecting climate impacts with oceanic fish and fisheries data. *Climatic Change*, **119**, 49–62.
- Hobday AJ, Pecl GT (2013) Identification of global marine hotspots: sentinels for change and vanguards for adaptation action. *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries*, **24**, 415–425.
- Holbrook NJ, Bindoff NL (1997) Interannual and decadal variability in the southwest Pacific Ocean between 1955 and 1988. *Journal of Climate*, **10**, 1035–1049.
- Holbrook NJ, Davidson J, Feng M, Hobday AJ, Lough JM, McGregor S, Risbey JS (2009) El Nino-Southern Oscillation. In: *A Marine climate change Impacts and Adaptation Report Card for Australia 2009* (eds Poloczanska ES, Hobday AJ, Richardson AJ), National Climate Change Adaptation Research Facility publication, Gold Coast.

- Holbrook NJ, Johnson JE (2014) Climate change impacts and adaptation of commercial marine fisheries in Australia: a review of the science. *Climatic Change*, **124**, 703–715.
- Hsieh C-H, Reiss CS, Hunter JR, Beddington JR, May RM, Sugihara G (2006) Fishing elevates variability in the abundance of exploited species. *Nature*, **443**, 859–862.
- Hu D, Wu L, Cai W, Sen Gupta A *et al.* (2015) Pacific western boundary currents and their roles in climate. *Nature*, **522**, 299–308.
- Lenoir J, Svenning J-C (2015) Climate-related range shifts: a global multidimensional synthesis and new research directions. *Ecography*, **38**, 15–28.
- Lehodey P, Bertignac M, Hampton J, Lewis A, Picaut J (1997) El Nino Southern Oscillation and tuna in the western Pacific. *Letters to Nature*, **389**, 715–718.
- Lehodey P, Alheit J, Barange M *et al.* (2006) Climate variability, fish, and fisheries. *Journal of Climate*, **19**, 5009–5030.
- Leis JM, Goldman B, Ueyanagi S (1987) Distribution and abundance of billfish larvae (Pisces: Istiophoridae) in the Great Barrier Reef Lagoon and Coral Sea near Lizard Island, Australia. *Fishery Bulletin*, **85**, 757–765.
- Lewison R, Hobday AJ, Maxwell S *et al.* (2015) Dynamic ocean management: identifying the critical ingredients of dynamic approaches to ocean resource management. *BioScience*, biv018.
- Lien Y, Su N, Sun C (2013) Spatial and environmental determinants of the distribution of striped marlin (*Kajikia audax*) in the western and central North Pacific Ocean. *Environmental Biology of Fishes*, **97**, 267–276.

- Linsley BK, Wellington GM, Schrag DP (2000) Decadal sea surface temperature variability in the subtropical South Pacific from 1726 to 1997 AD. *Science*, **290**, 1145–1148.
- Loarie SR, Duffy PB, Hamilton H, Asner GP, Field CB, Ackerly DD (2009) The velocity of climate change. *Nature*, **462**, 1052–1055.
- Luick JL, Mason L, Hardy T, Furnas MJ (2007) Circulation in the Great Barrier Reef Lagoon using numerical tracers and in situ data. *Continental Shelf Research*, **27**, 757–778.
- Madin EMP, Ban NC, Doubleday ZA, Holmes TH, Pecl GT, Smith F (2012) Socio-economic and management implications of range-shifting species in marine systems. *Global Environmental Change*, **22**, 137–146.
- Maxwell SM, Hazen EL, Lewison RL *et al.* (2015) Dynamic ocean management: defining and conceptualizing real-time management of the ocean. *Marine Policy*, **58**, 42–50.
- Montero-Serra I, Edwards M, Genner MJ (2015) Warming shelf seas drive the subtropicalization of European pelagic fish communities. *Global Change Biology*, **21**, 144–153.
- Myers RA, Baum JK, Shepherd TD, Powers SP, Peterson CH (2007) Cascading effects of the loss of apex predatory sharks from a coastal ocean. *Science*, **315**, 1846–1850.
- NSWDPI (2014) *NSW DPI Game Fish Tagging Program Report 2013-2014*.
- Parmesan C, Yohe G (2003) A globally coherent fingerprint of climate change impacts across natural systems. *Nature*, **421**, 37–42.

- Pearson RG, Dawson TP (2003) Predicting the impacts of climate change on the distribution of species: are bioclimate envelope models useful. *Global Ecology & Biogeography*, **12**, 361-371.
- Pearson RG, Raxworthy CJ, Nakamura M, Townsend Peterson A (2007) Predicting species distributions from small numbers of occurrence records: a test case using cryptic geckos in Madagascar. *Journal of Biogeography*, **34**, 102-117.
- Pepperell, JG (1990). Movements and variations in early year-class strength of black marlin (*Makaira indica*) off eastern Australia. In: *Planning the future of billfishes* (eds Stroud RH), pp. 51-66. National Coalition Marine Conservation. Savannah, Georgia.
- Pereira HM, Leadley PW, Proença V *et al.* (2010) Scenarios for global biodiversity in the 21st century. *Science*, **330**, 1496–1502.
- Perry, AL, Low PJ, Ellis JR, Reynolds JD (2005) Climate change and distribution shifts in marine fishes. *Science*, **308**, 1912-1915.
- Phillips SJ, Anderson RP, Schapire RE (2006) Maximum entropy modeling of species geographic distributions. *Ecological Modelling*, **190**, 231–259.
- Phillips SJ, Dudík M, Elith J, Graham CH, Lehmann A, Leathwick JR, Ferrier S (2009) Sample selection bias and presence-only distribution models: implications for background and pseudo-absence data. *Ecological Applications*, **19**, 181–197.
- Pinsky ML, Worm B, Fogarty MJ, Sarmiento JL, Levin SA (2013) Marine taxa track local climate velocities. *Science*, **341**, 1239–1242.

- Poloczanska ES, Brown CJ, Sydeman WJ *et al.* (2013) Global imprint of climate change on marine life. *Nature Climate Change*, **3**, 919–925.
- Polovina JJ (1996) Decadal variation in the trans-Pacific migration of northern bluefin tuna (*Thunnus thynnus*) coherent with climate-induced change in prey abundance. *Fisheries Oceanography*, **5**, 114–119.
- Polovina JJ, Howell E, Kobayashi DR, Seki MP (2001) The transition zone chlorophyll front, a dynamic global feature defining migration and forage habitat for marine resources. *Progress in Oceanography*, **49**, 469–483.
- Reside AE, Vanderwal JJ, Kutt AS, Perkins GC (2010) Weather, not climate, defines distributions of vagile bird species. *PloS One*, **5**, e13569.
- Reside AE, Watson I, VanDerWal J, Kutt AS (2011) Incorporating low-resolution historic species location data decreases performance of distribution models. *Ecological Modelling*, **222**, 3444–3448.
- Ridgway KR (2007) Long-term trend and decadal variability of the southward penetration of the East Australian Current. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **34**, L13613.
- Ridgway K, Hill K (2012) Marine climate change in Australia: East Australian Current. *Impacts and Adaptation Responses 2012 Report Card*, CSIRO, 47–60.
- Roberts JJ, Best BD, Dunn DC, Trembl EA, Halpin PN (2010) Marine Geospatial Ecology Tools: an integrated framework for ecological geoprocessing with ArcGIS, Python, R, MATLAB, and C++. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, **25**, 1197–1207.

- Robinson R, Crick H, Learmonth J *et al.* (2009) Travelling through a warming world: climate change and migratory species. *Endangered Species Research*, **7**, 87–99.
- Robinson LM, Hobday AJ, Possingham HP, Richardson AJ (2014) Trailing edges projected to move faster than leading edges for large pelagic fish habitats under climate change. *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*, **113**, 225–234.
- Satoh K (2010) Horizontal and vertical distribution of larvae of Pacific bluefin tuna *Thunnus orientalis* in patches entrained in mesoscale eddies. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **404**, 227–240.
- Schaefer KM, Fuller DW, Aldana G (2014) Movements, behavior, and habitat utilization of yellowfin tuna (*Thunnus albacares*) in waters surrounding the Revillagigedo Islands Archipelago Biosphere Reserve, Mexico. *Fisheries Oceanography*, **23**, 65–82.
- Su N, Punt E, Yeh S, Dinardo G, Sun C (2011) Modelling the impacts of environmental variation on the distribution of blue marlin, *Makaira nigricans*, in the Pacific Ocean. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, **68**, 1072–1080.
- Sunday JM, Bates AE, Dulvy NK (2012) Thermal tolerance and the global redistribution of animals. *Nature Climate Change*, **2**, 686–690.
- Suthers IM, Young JW, Baird ME *et al.* (2011) The strengthening East Australian Current, its eddies and biological effects - an introduction and overview. *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*, **58**, 538–546.
- VanDerWal J, Shoo LP, Graham C, Williams SE (2009) Selecting pseudo-absence data for presence-only distribution modeling: how far should you stray from what you know?. *Ecological Modelling*, **220**, 589–594.

VanDerWal J, Murphy HT, Kutt AS, Perkins GC, Bateman BL, Perry JJ, Reside AE (2012)

Focus on poleward shifts in species' distribution underestimates the fingerprint of climate change. *Nature Climate Change*, **3**, 239–243.

VanDerWal J, Falconi L, Januchowski S, Shoo L, Storlie C (2015) Species Distribution

Modelling Tools: tools for processing data associated with species distribution modelling exercises. Available at <http://www.rforge.net/SDMTools/>

Verges A, Steinberg PD, Hay ME *et al.* (2014) The tropicalization of temperate marine ecosystems: climate-mediated changes in herbivory and community phase shifts.

Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, **281**, 20140846.

Williams DD, Cappo MM, Speare PP (1994) *Coral Sea Region billfish atlas. Seasonal*

distribution and abundance of billfish species around the Coral Sea rim: Solomon Islands, Papua New Guinea and Vanuatu. Australian Institute of Marine Science (AIMS), Townsville.

Williams S, Bennett M, Pepperell J, Morgan J, Ovenden J (2015) Spatial genetic subdivision among populations of the highly-migratory species (*Istiompax indica*) within the central Indo-Pacific. *Marine and Freshwater Research*.

Worm B, Tittensor DP (2011) Range contraction in large pelagic predators. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **108**, 11942–11947.

Wu L, Cai W, Zhang L *et al.* (2012) Enhanced warming over the global subtropical western boundary currents. *Nature Climate Change*, **2**, 161–166.

Supporting Information captions

Figure S1: Animation of model outputs, showing the distribution of suitable black marlin habitat at monthly time steps (n=192) from 1998-2013.

Figure S2: Variable contributions to final MaxEnt model output and model strength in the absence of each environmental factor determined using a jack-knife test.

Figure S3: Distribution of geometric mean points from 1998-2013 grouped into months showing seasonal latitudinal variability in the distribution of suitable black marlin habitat.

Figure S4: Inter-annual variability in the distribution of suitable black marlin habitat within the south-west Pacific Ocean. These four outputs represent suitable habitat during February from 2009-2012. Unsuitable habitat <0.282. a) 2009: There is a clear distribution of suitable habitat along Australia's east coast which has extended eastwards. b) 2010: Suitable habitat is much more refined to Australia's east coast and is fragmented with unsuitable habitat. c) 2011: A clear band of suitable habitat stretches east towards New Caledonia as cold water currents shift north. d) 2012: Unsuitable habitat is present at both the northern and southern extent of the south-west Pacific Ocean with suitable habitat extending as a narrow band along Australia's south-east coast and eastwards towards New Caledonia.

Figure captions

Figure 1: The study area of the south-west Pacific Ocean (3-39°S/142-180°E), its major oceanographic features and the distribution of occurrence data within. a) A depiction of regional oceanographic features, including: 1. The South Equatorial Current 2. The East

Australian Current 3. The Tasman Front. b) All tag release locations recorded from the tagging program within the study area.

Figure 2: Seasonal variability in the distribution of suitable black marlin habitat within the south-west Pacific Ocean and its association with major oceanographic features. Unsuitable habitat <0.282. a) August-October: A broad 10° latitudinal band of suitable habitat extends across the south-west Pacific Ocean adjacent to north-east Australia as the South Equatorial Current strengthens and collides with the continental shelf. b) November-February: The East Australian Current extends south supplying suitable habitat to the mid and south-east coast of Australia. c) March-April: Suitable habitat has reached its most southern extent and is now extending east in conjunction with the Tasman Front. d) May-July: Cold water currents shift towards the equator, forcing suitable habitat north.

Figure 3: El Nino Southern Oscillation signature in the distribution of suitable black marlin habitat within the south-west Pacific Ocean with a clear southerly extension occurring during La Nina events.

Figure 4: A poleward shift in the distribution of suitable black marlin habitat across all seasons from 1998-2013 independent of ENSO. May-August ($R^2=0.59$, $p<0.001$) and September-December ($R^2=0.52$, $p<0.01$) are both significantly shifting poleward at 77km decade⁻¹. January-April is shifting faster at 111 km decade⁻¹ but was only marginally significant ($R^2=0.16$, $P=0.06$) due to greater inter-annual variability.

Candidate cover image

Suggested caption: “Can pelagic apex predators keep up with the pace of climate change?”

Photo credit: Nicholas J. Hill







