Description: The AppsMaster allows teachers to create their own apps. There are a few options in the ways that the materials are presented: a) as a study guide; b) as a mini formative assessment; c) enriched with multimedia links to resources (PDF, Microsoft Office and etc.). The AppsMaster only requires users to submit files in a simple and standardized format. Materials can also be easily updated through Internet as there are built-in notifications for the user whenever new updates are available. Combining with the fact that this apps allows the student’s responses to be collected and report to the lecturer, these give the lecturer a better control of the students and adjustment to the course can be made accordingly. At the moment we are in the progress of converting 20+ learning packages that we obtained from different department like Anaesthesia and Radiology, into apps format.

Potential impact and adaptability: The AppsMaster offers great potential in the delivery of learning material in the near future as the mobile technology advancement continues to boom. It saves teachers’ time and money for the transitional period in preparing lecture material for mobile learning. The end-product apps can be a study guide for quick access to information, a short quiz before the class to make sure the students come to class with a basic understanding, or it can be a problem solving case study to strengthen decision making skills. Another important note is that the AppsMaster can create questions in the form of locating a certain point on a picture. That is a very useful option especially in developing x-ray examining skills where teachers can set up questions and ask students to locate and identify abnormal features. This project is extremely useful to those who want to prepare material for mobile applications but do not have the skills or time to write apps.

8B2
Using on-line examiner training to improve inter-rater reliability
BS Malau-Aduli*, S Mulcahy, E Warnecke, R Turner (University of Tasmania, Australia)

Background: A crucial determinant of reliability in OSCE is the accurate judgment made by the examiners, particularly if the pass/fail decision is made by a single examiner. Attempts to improve the reliability of OSCEs include organising training sessions to allow examiners carry out their role consistently thereby reducing variability in scoring. However, due to the busy schedule of clinicians and the challenges of getting away from their activities to attend examiner-training sessions, the validity and reliability of the examinations could be compromised.

Description: An OSCE collaboration project was developed between two Australian Universities in 2010 in which three OSCE stations were developed and embedded in the first clinical phase examinations at both schools. To reduce variability in scoring, an OSCE e-scoring tool was developed and set up in a secure on-line Blackboard Learning System environment. The three shared OSCE scenarios were videotaped and used for on-line examiner training. All internal and external examiners were invited one week prior to the examination via email, given login access and instructions on how to use the program. In their own time, each examiner was able to watch and assess two unlabelled scenarios (poor and good performance) of the OSCE case which they had been assigned to examine on. After completing and submitting their scoring sheets, the examiners were able to compare scores, reflect on their judgments and discuss their decisions on-line. They were also asked to provide feedback on their experiences of the e-scoring program, using an on-line survey.

Results: The e-scoring package gave the examiners the opportunity to standardise their marking by comparing their scores with their co-examiners and reaching consensus scoring techniques. Similar trends in the results were observed at both schools with high inter-rater reliability, especially with the global scores. Examiners valued the process as it allowed them to set the ‘expected standard’ for the station prior to the actual exam. They also indicated that this sort of tool should be used more widely in OSCEs.

Applicability: The observed close agreement between examiner scores in this study, despite the different geographical locations is attributed to the e-scoring program because it offered training exercise for both quality assurance and appraisal purposes. The efficacy and ease of use of this novel approach to examiner training indicate the possibility of its wider use in OSCEs.

Adaptability: The importance of the commitment of medical educators to the quality assurance of OSCEs cannot be overemphasised. Results from this study revealed that the e-scoring program has the potential to enhance inter-rater reliability in OSCEs. With increasing student numbers, numerous teaching sites within each medical school as well as time constraints, this tool will afford time-poor clinicians the opportunity to better engage with the assessment process and reach consensus on their scoring techniques, thereby providing validity evidence to all stakeholders.

8B3
Operation Debrief: A SHARP Intervention to improve performance feedback in the OR
M Ahmed*, S Arora, S Russ, A Darzi, C Vincent, N Sevdalis (Imperial College, London, UK)

Background: Debriefing is recognised as one of the key educational techniques for optimising learning from every clinical encounter. However there is a dearth of evidence regarding how best to improve debriefings – particularly in high-performance setting. This study aimed to develop an intervention to improve debriefing, based on best evidence and end-user input, and then to evaluate its effectiveness in the Operating Room (OR) setting.

The Innovation: Development: An extensive literature review was performed to identify best evidence for use in an operational setting. Processes for the debriefing stage were identified and a thin targeted intervention was developed. The intervention focused on the adequacy of the debriefing process through feedback and facilitation of the debriefing stage of the process. It was designed to be applicable to the OR environment and included training and tools to support the debriefing process.

The Innovation: Evaluation: The intervention was compared to a control group using a pre- and post intervention research design. The intervention group received training and tools to support the debriefing process. The control group received standard debriefing practices. Questionnaires were used to evaluate the intervention. The results showed that the intervention group had a higher level of engagement and satisfaction with the debriefing process.

The Innovation: Impact: The intervention had a significant impact on the debriefing process in the OR setting. The results showed that the intervention group had a higher level of engagement and satisfaction with the debriefing process. The intervention also led to improved feedback and facilitated the debriefing stage of the process.

The Innovation: Sustainability: The intervention was successful in improving the debriefing process in the OR setting. The results showed that the intervention group had a higher level of engagement and satisfaction with the debriefing process. The intervention also led to improved feedback and facilitated the debriefing stage of the process.