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Abstract

Introduction: High-concentration normobaric oxygen (O₂) administration is the first-aid priority in treating divers with suspected decompression illness. The best O₂ delivery device and flow rate are yet to be determined.

Aim: To determine whether administering O₂ with a non-rebreather mask (NRB) at a flow rate of 10 or 15 L·min⁻¹ or with a demand valve with oronasal mask significantly affects the tissue partial pressure of O₂ (Pₜc O₂) in healthy volunteer scuba divers.

Methods: Fifteen certified scuba divers had Pₜc O₂ measured at six positions on the arm and leg. Measurements were taken with subjects lying supine whilst breathing O₂ from a NRB at 10 or 15 L·min⁻¹, a demand valve with an adult Tru-Fit oronasal mask and, as a reference standard, an oxygen ‘head hood’. End-tidal carbon dioxide was also measured.

Results: While none of the emergency delivery devices performed as well as the head hood, limb tissue oxygenation was greatest when O₂ was delivered via the NRB at 15 L·min⁻¹. There were no clinically significant differences in end-tidal carbon dioxide regardless of the delivery device or flow rate.

Conclusion: Based on transcutaneous oximetry values, of the commonly available emergency O₂ delivery devices, the NRB at 15 L·min⁻¹ is the device and flow rate that deliver the most O₂ to body tissues and, therefore, should be considered as a first-line pre-hospital treatment in divers with suspected decompression illness.
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Introduction
Scuba diving is a pastime enjoyed by many people around the world. Decompression sickness (DCS) and arterial gas embolism (AGE) are risks for divers, collectively termed decompression illness (DCI). The depth and time of the dive as well as the mixture of the breathing gas used by the diver are major factors affecting the amount of inert gas, such as nitrogen, absorbed. DCS is caused when this inert gas is released from solution in the form of bubbles that accumulate in body tissues, lymphatic ducts and blood vessels. AGE is typically the result of pulmonary barotrauma, but may also be released de novo in severe DCS. Inert gases are eliminated from the body through respiration, and breathing a high concentration of oxygen (O₂) increases the diffusion gradient for the inert gas between blood in the pulmonary capillaries and the alveoli, such that more gas moves into the alveoli to be exhaled. Oxygen should be given early to a diver with symptoms and signs of DCI, allowing them to ‘off gas’ the excess inert gas and to supply more O₂ to hypoxic tissues. Recently, DAN America reduced its recommended flow rate to 10–15 L·min⁻¹, largely based on extending the duration of often limited O₂ supplies in the field, while still providing increased oxygenation. However, it is not known what effect, if any, this lower flow rate would have on tissue oxygenation.

This study used transcutaneous oximetry measurement (TCOM) to determine tissue oxygenation in subjects breathing O₂ via an NRB and a demand valve with an oronasal mask. TCOM is a non-invasive technique that uses heated electrodes on the skin to measure the partial pressure of tissue oxygen (Pₜc O₂). The primary null hypothesis was that there would be no difference in the Pₜc O₂ achieved after 10 minutes of breathing O₂ with any of the devices or flow rates.

Methods
Ethics approval was granted from The Townsville Health Service District Human Research Ethics Committee (HREC12/QTHS/203). The participants were healthy, volunteer, certified scuba divers recruited from the students and staff at The Townsville Hospital and James Cook University in Townsville. Participants were at least 18 years of age and had performed at least one dive within the previous twelve months. Each was given a study information sheet and provided written, informed consent. Participants were asked not to smoke and to refrain from consuming food or caffeine or performing heavy exercise for...
two hours prior to participating in the study. Tidal volume (V<sub>T</sub>) was measured using the EasyOne Spirometer (ndd Medical Technologies, Andover, MA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The participants were then placed in a supine position on a hospital stretcher with their head slightly raised on one pillow for the duration of the study. The room temperature was maintained at 22.0–22.5°C; participants were offered a blanket for comfort and to limit any vasoconstrictive effects of being cold.

Baseline characteristics were documented, including age, gender, height, weight, O<sub>2</sub> saturation and blood pressure. A nasal cannula with an end-tidal carbon dioxide (ETCO<sub>2</sub>) sensor (MAC-SAFE<sup>™</sup> nasal cannula, Vital Signs Inc., West Sussex, UK) was positioned and attached to a bedside monitor (GE Carescape Monitor B650, GE Healthcare Finland OY, Helsinki, Finland) via a water trap (GE Mini D-fend<sup>™</sup>, GE Healthcare Finland OY, Helsinki, Finland).

Tissue oxygenation was measured using the TCM400 Transcutaneous Oxygen Monitoring System (Radiometer, Copenhagen, Denmark) with tc Sensor E5250. The P<sub>T</sub>O<sub>2</sub> electrodes were calibrated using room air prior to each monitoring period, as per the manufacturer’s recommendations. To compensate for the environmental relative humidity and barometric pressure, a ‘humidity correction factor’ calculated from the room temperature, saturated water vapour pressure and relative humidity was entered into the TCM machine. All TCOM assessments were performed by the same technician. The TCM400 displays P<sub>T</sub>O<sub>2</sub> values in units of mmHg.

Six TCOM sensors were used, three on one leg and three on one arm. The sensor sites were prepared by shaving hair if necessary, wiping clean, rubbing with an alcohol swab, and drying with gauze. One sensor was placed mid-way between the highest bony point on the shoulder and the olecranon process on the lateral aspect of the upper arm. One sensor was five centimetres distal to the brachial crease on the lateral aspect of the lower arm, and one over the thenar eminence. One leg sensor was placed 10 cm distal to the lateral femoral epicondyle, one 5 cm proximal to the lateral malleolus and one on the dorsum of the foot between the first and second metatarsal heads attempting to avoid large, superficial vessels. The leads were secured in place with tape to minimize pull on the sensor. The participants rested quietly while the sensors were placed. They were requested to minimise talking during the study, but not allowed to sleep. The investigator remained in the room for the duration of the data collection.

Initial normobaric, room air readings from all sensors were recorded after a minimum 20 minute equilibration period that allowed all sensors to stabilize. The participants were then asked to breathe O<sub>2</sub> for 10 minutes using each of four different O<sub>2</sub> delivery methods:

- NRB mask (Figure 1; Topster SM-H051, Sturdy Industrial Co Ltd) at 10 L·min<sup>-1</sup>;
- NRB mask at 15 L·min<sup>-1</sup>;
- Demand valve (Figure 2; L324-020, Allied Healthcare Products, St. Louis, MO, USA) with tight-fitting adult Tru-Fit oronasal mask;
- Clear plastic ‘head hood’ and rubber neck dam (not shown) at 15 L·min<sup>-1</sup>.

DAN has designed a variety of portable O<sub>2</sub> delivery units to provide divers with O<sub>2</sub>. These units have two common components: (1) a constant flow capability for use with a NRB mask or other constant-flow delivery device; and (2) a pressure-cycled demand valve. We therefore evaluated both the constant-flow and demand valve delivery mechanisms. In Australia, a TCOM assessment normally involves a 100%
The order of the four O₂ delivery devices was randomised. Sensor readings were recorded at the end of the 10-minute O₂ breathing period, once stabilized. A pilot study confirmed that 10 minutes on O₂ and 10 min between devices was adequate. After each 10-min O₂ breathing period, participants breathed room air for 10 min, allowing all TCOM levels to return to baseline before the next device was trialled.¹¹ Medical grade O₂ was supplied from a wall outlet, or from a C-size cylinder for the demand valve. New NRB masks and ETCO₂ nasal cannulas were used for each participant.

Table 1  
Demographics and baseline characteristics of the 15 participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Median (IQR)</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>27 (23–36)</td>
<td>21–56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate (beats·min⁻¹)</td>
<td>62 (57–71)</td>
<td>50–76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP (mmHg)</td>
<td>120 (112–128)</td>
<td>109–133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP (mmHg)</td>
<td>65 (62–74)</td>
<td>58–77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory rate (breaths·min⁻¹)</td>
<td>15 (12–18)</td>
<td>10–20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demand valve rate (breaths·min⁻¹)</td>
<td>9 (8–11)</td>
<td>6–19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen saturation (%)</td>
<td>99 (98–100)</td>
<td>97–100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tidal volume (ml)</td>
<td>700 (620–790)</td>
<td>500–1150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of scuba dives</td>
<td>80 (20–396)</td>
<td>6–5000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ETCO₂ was statistically higher when patients breathed O₂ using the NRB at 10 L·min⁻¹ compared to NRB at 15 L·min⁻¹ (Table 2), but the difference (approximately 1 mmHg) would not be clinically relevant, and there were no statistically significant differences between ETCO₂ levels among any of the other device comparisons.

Discussion

O₂ is the first-aid treatment of choice for divers suspected of having DCI.²,³,⁶,⁷,¹³,¹⁴ Oxygen has been shown to improve symptoms and decrease the number of hyperbaric treatments required.¹,¹⁴ An understanding of the factors influencing the delivered O₂ concentration from different devices is important in selecting first-aid O₂ equipment.¹⁵ Near 100% O₂ via a head hood performed the best of all the devices tested in this study but it is not practical for use in the field. Of the commonly available first-aid or pre-hospital O₂ devices, the NRB at 15 L·min⁻¹ is the device and flow rate that achieves the highest level of tissue oxygenation.
**Table 2**

Transcutaneous oxygen partial pressures (mmHg) while breathing oxygen from different delivery devices (median and inter-quartile range shown); NRB – non-rebreather mask; L – litres; min – minute; NRB 10 – NRB at 10 L·min⁻¹; NRB 15 – NRB at 15 L·min⁻¹; ETCO₂ – end-tidal carbon dioxide; (P-values based on the Friedman test)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensor 1 (upper arm)</th>
<th>NRB 10 L·min⁻¹</th>
<th>NRB 15 L·min⁻¹</th>
<th>Demand valve</th>
<th>Head hood</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>261 (222, 301)</td>
<td>307 (269, 337)</td>
<td>158 (143, 244)</td>
<td>396 (358, 414)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensor 2 (lower arm)</td>
<td>230 (166, 260)</td>
<td>255 (199, 304)</td>
<td>141 (125, 164)</td>
<td>346 (314, 384)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensor 3 (palmar hand)</td>
<td>236 (172, 264)</td>
<td>264 (212, 290)</td>
<td>169 (111, 219)</td>
<td>328 (279, 357)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensor 4 (lateral leg)</td>
<td>182 (145, 205)</td>
<td>215 (175, 259)</td>
<td>100 (77, 187)</td>
<td>300 (260, 338)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensor 5 (lateral ankle)</td>
<td>142 (126, 184)</td>
<td>202 (142, 237)</td>
<td>91 (73, 147)</td>
<td>264 (192, 342)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensor 6 (dorsum foot)</td>
<td>97 (61, 177)</td>
<td>152 (82, 208)</td>
<td>63 (44, 151)</td>
<td>163 (136, 287)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETCO₂</td>
<td>33 (32, 35)</td>
<td>32 (30, 33)</td>
<td>34 (28, 36)</td>
<td>32 (31, 33)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3**

Statistically significant difference in PₐO₂ post-hoc comparisons using Wilcoxon Sign Rank test with Bonferroni correction; P < 0.05 considered significant; NRB – non-rebreather; NRB 10 – NRB at 10 L·min⁻¹; NRB 15 – NRB at 15 L·min⁻¹; Head hood > NRB 10; Head hood > NRB 15; Head hood > Demand valve; NRB 15 > NRB 10; NRB 15 > Demand valve; NRB 10 = Demand valve (for all sensor sites).

**Often,** desirable dive sites are far from shore or in remote areas where hospital care, let alone hyperbaric therapy, is not immediately available. The demand valve only delivers O₂ when the diver inspires and, therefore, allows for conservation of O₂ dependent on the tidal volume of the user. The ease of use, familiarity for divers, potential to deliver high inspired O₂ concentrations as well as the potential for O₂-supply conservation has led to the recommendation of the demand valve as the O₂ delivery method of choice in the first-aid and pre-hospital treatment of DCI. Lower O₂ flow rates with the NRB have been suggested to conserve O₂. However, our study demonstrates lower tissue O₂ levels with both these approaches, questioning the clinical efficacy of these recommendations.

Typically, first-aid oxygen units, such as that marketed by the Divers Alert Network, use a relatively small-sized O₂ cylinder, containing around 490 (Australian C-size cylinder) to 635 litres (US Jumbo D-size cylinder) of oxygen. This finite supply of O₂ is designed to treat the diver for a short time on scene while transporting them back to shore and/or arranging ambulance or air-medical transport. At a 15 L·min⁻¹ flow rate, the 490 litre C-size cylinder would last for just over 30 minutes and at 10 L·min⁻¹ approximately 45 minutes. This is the disadvantage of using an open system. In our study, participants breathing O₂ via the demand valve had a lower median respiratory rate of nine breaths per minute. Thus a C-sized cylinder used with a demand valve might last longer than 45 minutes, but with lower levels of tissue oxygenation. Whether higher tissue O₂ levels for a shorter time period are clinically better than lower tissue oxygenation for a longer period of time in reducing symptoms and signs of DCI remains to be determined through clinical studies.

The findings for the demand valve were unexpected and counter-intuitive; we anticipated PₐO₂ readings with the demand valve to approach or exceed those with an NRB at 15 L·min⁻¹. The findings are consistent with earlier findings in a hyperbaric chamber that breathing O₂ via a demand valve achieved adequate inspired O₂ concentrations (F IO₂) only when coached by trained staff. Other investigators have explored semi-closed-circuit O₂ delivery devices for the delivery of O₂ in DCI. None of these devices, however, are commonly used by recreational divers, partly due to increased complexity and training and maintenance requirements.

A potential limitation to our study is that we did not measure the arterial oxygen partial pressure (PₐO₂) but, rather, used PₐO₂ as a non-invasive method of measuring tissue oxygenation. Many DCI symptoms are caused by tissue nitrogen bubbles, therefore a measurement estimating tissue oxygenation may be more relevant for this study. Further, any bias associated with using PₐO₂ instead of PₐO₂ would be a non-differential bias, consistent across all participants and all devices and would not affect the relative within-subject differences in oxygenation achieved by each device.

We measured F IO₂ directly via the nasal cannula whilst subjects used the hood. With this apparatus, F IO₂ levels reached 98% in approximately 6 min. Since PₐO₂ levels using the NRB and demand valve were both clinically and statistically less than those obtained while breathing O₂ in a hood, we can confidently say that no first-aid or pre-hospital device provided 100% inspired O₂. However, we remain of the opinion that the gold standard for oxygen administration in first aid for DCI is an F IO₂ of 100%. This should be achievable using the DAN demand valve, but further work is needed on methods of deploying the demand valve in order to ensure it delivers this F IO₂.
It is important that NRB masks are in good condition (i.e., not distorted) with all three one-way valves fitted and that a reasonable face seal is achieved. This is too often not the reality in the diving community. In our study, the ETCO₂ nasal cannula tubing may have contributed to a compromised mask seal, although we attempted to keep this to a minimum.

We used a single, new demand valve in this study. We did not test the inhalation and exhalation resistances of this valve. If the inhalation resistance was higher than specified by the manufacturer, air may have been entrained via an anti-asphyxia function reducing the inspired O₂ concentration. This is more of a problem when a diver is sitting with their head down or lying on their side. Two subjects were noted to have red marks on their face after breathing from the demand valve. When questioned about this, they stated that they knew they had to hold the mask tightly to decrease the amount of air entrained. However, these two participants did not have higher demand valve PₐO₂ levels than other participants. It may be beneficial to educate first aid providers and divers to ensure the diver holds the demand valve mask tightly to their face and breathes sufficiently deeply to trigger the valve. Anecdotally, the subjects felt the demand valve was the most uncomfortable to use.

Conclusion

Based on PₐO₂ values, of the commonly available first-aid O₂ delivery devices, the NRB at 15 L·min⁻¹ is the device and flow rate that delivers the most O₂ to body tissues and, therefore, should be considered as a first-line treatment in divers with suspected DCI.
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