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Abstract

The development of hydrogen storage systems remains a grand technical challenge. The key issue is to find and synthesize materials with required physicochemical properties for hydrogen storage. Ammonia borane (AB) is considered to be one of the most promising candidates for a chemical method as it contains remarkable hydrogen content and is stable under normal storage conditions. This thesis focuses on synthesizing porous silica and carbon as nanoporous scaffolds for encapsulating AB and investigating the thermal decomposition performance of AB in the scaffolds with tunable pore structures and compositions.

A systematical investigation has been carried out on the influence of trifluoroacetic acid, acetic acid and their salts on the synthesis of helical mesoporous materials in the presence of a cationic surfactant cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) as a template. Results show that helical mesostructures can be successfully synthesized when CF$_3$COO$^-$ anions were used as additives with an additive/CATB molar ratio ($R$) range of 0.1-0.375 for the CF$_3$COOH/CTAB templating system and a relatively wider $R$ range of 0.1-0.5 for the CF$_3$COONa/CTAB templating system. Our synthesis strategy can be used for the fabrication of helical mesostructured porous materials with adjustable pore and helical pitch sizes.
The influence of the time of 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene (TMB) addition on the self-assembled organic/inorganic composite structures in a nonionic block copolymer templating system has been investigated. By controlling the time at which TMB is added to the system, an evolution from multilamellar vesicle to ordered hexagonal mesostructure has been observed, providing a simple and novel approach for the synthesis of self-assembled porous silica materials with adjustable structures and tunable pore sizes.

In the development of porous carbon materials, a sol-gel polymerization induced colloid aggregation method is adopted with the use of a commercial polymeric melamine formaldehyde resin as nitrogen doped carbon precursor. The results show that the microstructure of porous carbon materials can be adjusted by polymerization of precursors and interposition of silica particles as a hard template. Moreover, the nitrogen content and functionality make the surface properties of the porous carbon unique and different from pure carbon materials. The presence of appropriate nitrogen functionalities can improve their behaviours and extend the application of porous carbon materials, such as electrode materials for double layer capacitors and catalytic materials for proton exchange membrane fuel cells.

The thermal decomposition behaviour of AB confined in nanoporous scaffolds with controlled pore structures and wall compositions is investigated. The nanoporous scaffolds tested include Silica-1 with a helical structure and a pore diameter of 1.8
nm, Silica-2 with a vesicular structure and a pore diameter of 18 nm, as well as a macroporous N-doped carbon sample synthesized using 250 nm silica particles as a hard template. It is shown that in the case of Silica-1 with a small pore diameter of 1.8 nm, AB cannot be efficiently impregnated into the nanopores and the thermal decomposition of AB is associated with the formation of volatile by-products. For Silica-2 with a large pore diameter of 18 nm, it is a relatively better candidate compared to small pore Silica-1 because the formation of most by-products is suppressed and the first hydrogen desorption peak is lowered by 13 °C compared to neat AB. However, a small amount of released ammonia is still observed. In the case of the macroporous N-doped carbon material, the total amount of hydrogen released is 6 wt%, while the formation of both ammonia and borazine are suppressed. More importantly, the first release peak of hydrogen is 90 °C, about 20 °C lower than that of neat AB, which is better than a pure carbon material CMK-3 and equivalent to a Li-CMK-3 material reported in the literature. Our results have shown that in addition to the influence of pore size, the composition of the host material is another important factor. It is anticipated that highly porous N-doped carbon materials with further optimized pore parameters will be ideal candidates for AB immobilization and hydrogen storage.
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Chapter 1  Introduction

1.1 Background

Beyond dispute the rapid depletion of fossil fuel resources and environmental pollutions associated with their use have demanded for increased attention worldwide to the possibilities of a long-term solution for a secure energy future based on potentially renewable resources. Hydrogen, the most abundant element on Earth, has been considered to be one of the ideal alternative energy sources because it is clean, non-polluting, and natural.

Hydrogen can be produced in several ways. (1) Currently, the main method to produce hydrogen is decomposition of hydrocarbons. Very recently, great efforts have been devoted to produce hydrogen by water electrolysis using sunlight in combination with photovoltaic cells. (2) The chemical energy per mass of hydrogen is 142 MJ/kg, about three times higher than that of liquid hydrocarbons (petrol is 47 MJ/kg). (1) Furthermore, when hydrogen reacts with oxygen to produce energy, the only product is water.

Today, it is commonly regarded that the physical and chemical advantages of hydrogen will make it an important synthetic fuel in the future. (1) At the current stage, however, a major concern is the safe and efficient storage and transport of this highly flammable gas. Hydrogen is a molecular gas. At room temperature and
atmospheric pressure, 4 kg of hydrogen occupies a volume of 45 m$^3$. This corresponds to a balloon of 5 m in diameter. This is hardly practical for any mobile application. Therefore, hydrogen storage technology is one of the key issues to be overcome in hydrogen utilizations.

The Department of Energy (DOE) of the United States has set capacity benchmark levels of above 6.0 wt% by the year 2010 and a target of 9.0 wt% by the year 2015 under moderate pressure and temperature for an onboard hydrogen storage system.(3) These benchmarks have been proposed on the basis that onboard hydrogen systems of equal weight and volume to the current fuel tanks of a conventional passenger car may drive about 400-500 km before refilling. Moreover, the onboard hydrogen storage system needs to operate at an acceptable working efficiency and condition. Thus it is very important to explore new materials and technologies to overcome the current limitations and meet the DOE requirements for the future “hydrogen economy”.

Hydrogen can be stored with or without a carrier medium. Various kinds of hydrogen storage materials and technologies have been developed such as high pressure cylinder, liquid hydrogen, and storage in solid media (metal hydrides, complex hydrides, inorganic and organic matters and materials with large surface area). Among them, storage in solid media proves to be the only feasible candidate to meet the benchmark requirements for mobile applications.
Some of the greatest challenges facing hydrogen storage are the discovery of suitable materials with required material properties and development of the materials into a new onboard system. A good storage system should exhibit: 1) high volumetric/gravimetric capacity, 2) fast sorption and desorption kinetics at ambient temperatures, and 3) high tolerance to recycling. Recent investigations have shown that nanoscale materials may offer some advantages in hydrogen storage if certain physical and chemical effects related to nanoscale can be used efficiently. Surface interactions, defects, phase transformations, abundance of grain boundary, and the formation of new and metastable phases also play important roles in improving hydrogen storage properties.

It is generally considered that two basic mechanisms principally control hydrogen storage in solid materials. One mechanism is physisorption of molecular hydrogen in materials, in particular nanoscale materials due to their very high specific surface areas. The other is chemisorption, consisting of dissociation of hydrogen molecules and chemical bonding of the hydrogen atoms with the host material atoms.

Chemisorption may provide high volumetric and gravimetric storage capacities through formation of hydrides, for example, metal hydrides. However, energy is always required to split or recombine the hydrogen molecules and form or break the chemical bonds between the host material and hydrogen atoms. This is a process that inherently involves large enthalpy changes, which poses some technical challenges in
its practical applications, such as high temperature of adsorption and desorption and large energy required for releasing hydrogen. In contrast, storing hydrogen by physisorption is not subject to this constraint because the hydrogen stays in its molecular form. The problem, however, is to find light materials with a sufficient amount of surface to adsorb hydrogen. Therefore, porous solids with large surface areas have received much attention as physisorption candidates.

Recent literature has shown that there are several broad classes of solid hydrogen storage materials that are currently being investigated as potential onboard storage materials. These include: 1) metal materials, hydrides such as MgH₂, (10) imides such as LiNH₂, (11) and organic frameworks such as Zn₄O(1,4-benezenedicarboxylate); (12) 2) complex hydrides such as NaAlH₄; (1) and 3) carbon materials such as carbon nanofibers, (13) single-wall carbon nanotubes (14). To date, few of these materials meet the long term gravimetric requirements and provide rapid hydrogen release at practically acceptable temperatures. Thus, new materials and novel approaches are needed.

Chemical hydrogen storage materials that release H₂ by thermolysis without generating CO₂ offers an attractive alternative to other systems studied. One material that has attracted much attention is ammonia borane, NH₃BH₃, also known in the community as AB or borazane. AB is a colorless, crystalline solid with a melting point in the range of 110-114°C. It is stable at room temperature and soluble in
relatively polar coordinating solvents. (15) The combination of low molecular weight (30.7 gmo1⁻¹) and high gravimetric hydrogen capacity (19.6 wt%) of AB has made it a particularly attractive candidate for H₂ storage.

AB is a remarkable molecule that contains both hydridic B–H and protic N–H bonds and a strong B–N bond that under most conditions hydrogen loss is favored over dissociation to ammonia and borane. It releases hydrogen gas when heated, but the reaction rates and products formed depend strongly on the reaction conditions. (16-19) In order for AB to become a practical candidate for on board hydrogen storage, the system has to be able to release most of the available hydrogen at an appropriate dehydrogenation rate; produce minimum amount volatile by-products (trace borazine and ammonia).

Much research works have focused on solving these problems. Nanostructured materials may offer a solution because they often exhibit significantly different physical, chemical, and thermodynamic characteristics from their bulk counterparts. Confining hydrides inside nanoporous scaffolds has been demonstrated to be an effective approach to tune the hydrogen storage properties. In nanoscale materials, shorter diffusion distances can result in faster kinetics. In addition, changes in surface energies with decreasing particle size can change the thermodynamic stability of the reactants and alter the hydrogen release mechanisms.
Experimental results on nanoscale AB systems, such as AB/SBA-15, (20) AB/carbon cryogel, (21) and AB/CMK-3, (22) have clearly demonstrated improvements in the reaction kinetics and/or thermodynamics of hydrogenation or dehydrogenation. Moreover, the modified thermodynamics of the system by nanostructure confinement effect may benefit the reversibility of AB.

However, it is noteworthy that ammonia and borazine was observed from decomposition process for AB/CMK-3 system. This is clearly detrimental and needs to be prevented for the target applications.

In order to take the advantages of AB in practical H$_2$ storage applications and prevent the formation of unnecessary byproducts, it is important to find optimized porous materials that can be used to confine AB and improve their hydrogen release performance. This can be achieved by a fundamental understanding of the correlation between structural parameters of porous materials and the thermal decomposition behavior of AB confined in porous materials as absorbents. However, till now little has been done to systematically study the influence of pore size and composition of porous materials on the hydrogen release behavior of AB.

1.2 Objectives

The focus of this thesis is controllably synthesize and assemble of nanoporous materials as new candidates for hydrogen storage by confining ammonia borane in
porous silica and carbon materials with different pore size, composition, and nanostructure framework, through which the influence of pore size, wall composition on hydrogen release performance can be understood. Previously, the reports of hydrogen release from AB by a nanoconfinement effect were case-study and generally one material was chosen in one literature. To date, there has been little report on the systematic study and comparison of AB/nanostructure when the structure parameters are systematically investigated.

The objectives of this thesis are:

1. The controlled synthesis of porous silica and carbon materials as nano-scaffolds for AB loading with adjustable structures, morphologies, compositions and pore sizes.

2. The study of the influence of porous structural parameters on the hydrogen release behaviour of AB confined in the nanoporous scaffolds, especially the influence of pore diameters and the wall composition.

It is desirable to understand the correlation between the structure parameters of nano-scaffolds and the hydrogen release performance of AB confined in the nano-scaffolds from this study, through which an optimized nanoporous material can be designed in the future to achieve the DOE target.
1.3 Content of thesis

Chapter 2 reviews the relevant literatures on hydrogen storage. Existing methods and materials involved in hydrogen storage systems and recent progresses are examined in this chapter.

In the next three chapters, nanoporous materials with both silica and N-doped carbon compositions, tunable pore sizes (2 - 18 nm) and controlled morphologies have been synthesized and carefully characterized.

Chapter 3 reports the synthesis of small pore size helical mesoporous silica materials through the addition of perfluorinated molecules to tetraethyl orthosilicate in the presence of a cationic surfactant cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) as a template MCM-41 system. Trifluoroacetic acid, which has the shortest fluorocarbon chain among all perfluorocarboxylic acid molecules, acetic acid, and their salts are chosen as additives with different additive/CTAB molar ratios. This part of the work has been published in Journal of Porous Materials (23).

In Chapter 4, the synthesis of large pore size silica materials with desired structures and pore diameters through the addition of organic cosolvent 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene (TMB) in a nonionic block copolymer templating SBA-15 system examined. The effect of time of TMB addition into the synthesis system after the formation of
embryonic SBA-15 mesostructure was systematically investigated. This part of work has been published in the Journal of Colloid and Interface Science (24).

Chapter 5 presents results on the synthesis of spherical silica materials with uniform particle size according to Stöber method, which is by means of hydrolysis of alkyl silicates and subsequent condensation of silicic acid in alcoholic solutions. These monodispersed silica particles with uniform shape and size can be used as a template to develop porous carbon materials. It also discusses the synthesis of a new type of porous carbon materials, featuring nitrogen surface chemistry, via a sol-gel polymerization induced colloid aggregation method using cheap commercial polymeric melamine formaldehyde resin as nitrogen doped carbon precursors.

In chapter 6, the thermal decomposition behaviour of AB confined in nanoporous scaffolds with controlled pore structures and wall compositions is investigated. The nanoporous scaffolds were tested including Silica-1 with a helical structure and a pore diameter of 1.8 nm, Silica-2 with a vesicular structure and a pore diameter of 18 nm, as well as a macroporous N-doped carbon sample synthesized using 250 nm silica particles as hard template. The correlation between the hydrogen release performance and the pore size, composition of the nano-scaffolds has been discussed and compared with literature.

Conclusions and recommendations for further study are presented in chapter 7.
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Chapter 2 Literature review

Hydrogen is expected to be one of the best alternative fuels in the future. However, the present major challenges for practical mobile application are efficient storage, secure transport and acceptable working conditions of this highly flammable gas. In this chapter, previous research relating to hydrogen storage is reviewed. Based on the benefits and limitations of previous techniques and methods, a selection of efficient nanoscaffold media that have the potential for higher hydrogen storage capacity and improved the kinetics are proposed for investigation in this thesis.

2.1 Conventional hydrogen storage without a carrier medium

2.1.1 High pressure compression

For industry and laboratory applications, hydrogen gas is normally stored in high pressure cylinders. Classical high pressure tanks made of fairly cheap carbon steel are tested up to 30MPa and regularly filled up to 20MPa in most countries. However, hydrogen stored in high pressure cylinders is hardly practical for motor car applications because at 20 MPa 4 kg hydrogen occupies a volume of about 225 liters. To meet the volumetric requirement, the pressure must be over four times higher. Carbon-fiber-reinforced composite materials have been used to make novel high-pressure tanks. These containers are tested up to 60MPa for regular use, which need a special inert inner polymer coating to prevent the high pressure hydrogen from leaking.(1) In addition to the challenge this approach poses on the requirements of
high tensile stress materials and storage tank manufacture, the safety of pressurized cylinders is also a major concern, especially for family cars.

2.1.2 Low temperature condensation into liquid

The second possible approach to the storage of hydrogen without a carrier medium is low temperature refrigeration where it is cooled and stored as a liquid. However, this method faces two challenges: the efficiency of the liquefaction process and the boil-off of the liquid. The theoretical energy demand to liquefy hydrogen gas from room temperature is 3.23kWh/kg. (2) The density of liquid hydrogen is 70.8 kg/m$^3$. The condensation temperature of hydrogen at 1 bar is –252 °C and the vaporization enthalpy at the boiling point is 452kJ/kg. (3) Heat transfer through the insulated vessels leads to an inside pressure build-up. From safety consideration, liquid hydrogen containers are designed to be open systems to prevent strong overpressure. (1) This results direct in the loss of hydrogen.

The large amount of energy required for liquefaction and the continuous boil-off of liquid hydrogen make this storage system impractical for utilization in normal situations, except the cost of hydrogen is not a prime issue and the hydrogen is consumed in a short time, e.g. space travel applications.

2.2 Hydrogen storage with a solid medium

2.2.1 Hydrogen storage on carbon materials with large surface areas
The physical properties of materials are size dependent, significant changes of the properties can be observed when the particles or agglomerates are smaller than 10 nm, such as a large specific surface area which is beneficial to gas adsorption. The materials with large surface areas such as nanostructured carbon, nanostructured boron nitride, metal-organic framework, and mesoporous materials are widely investigated and reported in literature. (4-10) In the following sections, only the aspects of carbon materials relevant to hydrogen storage will be reviewed.

Carbon is the fourth most abundant chemical element by mass in the universe, after hydrogen, helium, and oxygen. It has different forms including the hardest naturally occurring substance (diamond) and one of the softest substances (graphite), and amorphous carbon, fullerenes, carbon nanotubes, carbon fibers, and porous carbon. These different carbons show their own characteristics of structures and properties.

Study on storing hydrogen in various forms of carbon has been very active. It is known that high surface area activated carbon can serve as a storage medium for the gas and physisorb molecular H\textsubscript{2} at a low temperature and high pressure due to the weak van der Waals interactions (1). It is also reported that hydrogen can chemically bond to the C\textsubscript{60} and C\textsubscript{70} fullerenes. Electrochemically, C\textsubscript{60} will absorb 6.3 wt% of hydrogen in the form as C\textsubscript{60} H\textsubscript{48}. (11) Unlike the purely physisorption with activated carbon, C\textsubscript{60} carbon atoms form relatively strong covalent bonds with H atoms, with an enthalpy change (\Delta H) of 285 kJ mol\textsuperscript{-1} H\textsubscript{2}. This determines that a high temperature
of ~400°C is necessary for breaking the C-H bond to release the hydrogen. (12) Compared with chemisorption with other elements, this is clearly not favourable. Our focus in hydrogen storage in nanostructured carbons is therefore on physisorption.

### 2.2.1.1 Hydrogen adsorption in graphite

Graphite has a sheet-like structure where the atoms all lie in a plane and are only weakly bonded, via van der Waals forces, to the neighboring graphite sheets. Graphite can absorb hydrogen but the capacity is low due to its small interlayer distances and its low specific surface area. An increase of surface area of graphite can be achieved through ball milling as shown in Figure 2.1. After 4 hours milling, the specific surface area can be as high as 700m$^2$/g. When ball-milled in a hydrogen gas atmosphere, graphite powder showed considerable hydrogen storage capacity, but the desorption temperature is too high for it to be used practically.(13-15) It is reported that after 80h ball milling in a 1MPa hydrogen atmosphere graphite nanostructures contain up to 0.95 H atoms per carbon atom, or 7.4 wt%, from which 80% could be desorbed at temperatures >600K. (15,16)
2.2.1.2 Hydrogen adsorption in activated carbon

Activated carbon (AC) is a synthetic carbon containing a small amount of graphite crystallites and amorphous carbon. The pore diameter is usually less than 1 nm and form a specific surface area up to 3000 m$^2$/g. AC has been investigated since the 1980s. A hydrogen storage capacity of 3–6 wt% was reproducibly obtained at cryo-temperatures although the capacity is below 1 wt% at room temperature. (17,18) It is believed that the reversible physisorption takes place and the amount of absorbed hydrogen is proportional to the specific surface area. Figure 2.2 shows that the high surface area is beneficial for hydrogen storage although the linear relationship between the surface area and the storage capacity is limited to the specific surface area up to ~1000 m$^2$/g after which it is no longer linear.
**Figure 2.2** Reversibly stored amount of hydrogen on various carbon materials versus the specific surface area of the samples. Circles represent nanotube samples (best-fit line indicated), triangles represent other nanostructured carbon samples (1).

The density of adsorbed hydrogen is related to the volume of micropores which are believed to be the space for storing hydrogen molecules. Figure 2.3 shows the hydrogen adsorption isotherms of a variety of activated carbons and activated carbon fibers at 293 K and under hydrogen pressure of 10 and 70 MPa. It shows that the amount of adsorbed hydrogen (weight percent) increases with an increase in the volume of micropores (cc/g).
Activated carbon normally has a wide pore-size distribution. Pores of different sizes exhibit different hydrogen sorption capacities. The pore diameter of activated carbon with micropores is in the region of the molecular diameter. The relationship between average pore diameter and hydrogen adsorption is shown in Figure 2.4. It can be seen that the hydrogen adsorption capacity decreased linearly with an increase in the pore size of the AC. Systematic research by Nijkamp et al. confirmed that the pore size is a key to hydrogen storage in porous materials. He reported the storage capacities of a large number of different carbonaceous adsorbents for hydrogen at 77 K and 1 bar. They concluded that microporous adsorbents, for example zeolites and activated carbons, have appreciable sorption capacities for hydrogen.
2.2.1.3 Hydrogen adsorption in carbon nanotubes (CNTs)

Depending on the number of tube walls, CNTs can be classified into single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWNTs) (Figure 2.5), double-walled carbon nanotubes (DWNTs) and multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWNTs) (Figure 2.6). SWNTs are usually 1–2 nm in diameter and tens of microns in length. The inner tube diameter of DWNTs and MWNTs are in the range of 2-3nm and 2-30nm, respectively. (21) Since it consists of a single layer of carbon, its theoretical maximum specific surface area can be as high as 2630m$^2$/g,(21) which equals to that of single-layer graphite. However, the experimentally demonstrated value is much smaller because SWNTs and MWNTs aggregate into bundles. Lawrence et al.(22) reported a specific surface area of SWNTs of 800 m$^2$/g and Gao et al.(23) accounted the surface area of open-tipped MWNTs of 840 m$^2$/g.
Figure 2.5: Scheme illustrating the different single-walled nanotube (SWNT) structures: (a) armchair, (b) zigzag, and (c) chiral (16)

Figure 2.6: A typical TEM image of a closed, multi-walled carbon nanotube. The separation distance between the two graphite layers is 0.34 nm. (10)

In 1997, Dillon (24) first reported that SWNTs might possess excellent hydrogen storage capacity owing to their unique pore structure. They measured the hydrogen adsorption capacity of a small quantity of as-prepared SWNT-containing soot with an estimated amount of 0.1–0.2 wt% SWNTs at room temperature. Then they extrapolated a value for hydrogen adsorption capacity for pure SWNTs to be in the range of 5–10 wt%. (25)
However, the reported data for hydrogen storage capacity in CNTs is highly scattered and cannot be reproduced. (26) For example, Ye et al (27) reported a hydrogen storage capacity of 8 wt% for crystalline ropes of SWNTs at a cryogenic temperature of 80K and under a hydrogen pressure of 12MPa. Liu et al (28) reported that a hydrogen storage capacity of 4.2 wt% could be reached at room temperature and 10MPa hydrogen pressure. Chen et al (29) reported that a very high hydrogen uptake of 20 wt% and 14 wt% could be achieved in milligram quantities of Li-doped and K-doped MWNTs, respectively. Recently, it is believed that CNTs themselves can only adsorb ~ 2 wt% hydrogen, which is far below the DOE target.

Studies on hydrogen storage using Ni (23,30,31) and Pt (32) nanoparticle doped CNTs have also been carried out. In the case of CNT decorated with 6 wt.% Ni nanoparticles, 2.8 wt.% of hydrogen was reversibly chemisorbed.(31) Theoretical study by Yildirim and Ciraci claimed that each Ti atom doped on a CNT surface could feasibly bind up to four hydrogen molecules. The first molecule is bound dissociatively with no energy barrier and the remaining three with significantly elongated H-H bonds.(33) Such a material could store up to 8 wt.% H₂. However, this result is yet to be confirmed experimentally.(34)

2.2.1.4 Hydrogen adsorption in carbon with high microporosity
Both experimental and theoretical studies have shown that the presence of micropore (especially those of 0.6-0.7nm in diameter) was one of the key parameters which influence the hydrogen adsorption capacity.

Rzepka, Lamp and de la Casa-Lillo reported in 1998 (35) the hydrogen uptake calculated by a grand canonical ensemble Monte Carlo program (GCEMC). The simulations showed that the optimum pore size was below 1nm at room temperature for gaseous hydrogen adsorption. Figure 2.7 shows the results of calculations of the dependence of the hydrogen storage capacity on the pore size $d$ of a carbon slitpore and a nanotube at room temperature and 10 MPa. There is a sharp maximum in the volumetric storage capacity at a pore size of about $d = 7$ Å for both pore geometries. The total gravimetric storage capacity of a carbon slitpore with $d = 7$ Å is 1.3 wt %. For larger pore sizes the volumetric storage capacity decreases asymptotically to the density of the pure compressed gas (7.6 kg/m$^3$) while the gravimetric storage capacity, i.e., the total amount of stored hydrogen compared to the number of pores, increases almost linearly with $d$ for both geometries. At a large $d$, the excess storage capacity is nearly independent of the pore size and pore geometry and amounts to about 0.6 wt %.

This theoretical result is in good agreement with experimental results. They also found that, at the temperature of liquid nitrogen, the best storage capacity was found
with slit pores having a width of 0.7nm. This configuration allows the adsorption of two layers of hydrogen molecules even at relatively low pressures such as 5MPa.

**Figure 2.7:** Calculated amount of adsorbed hydrogen as a function of pore size $d$ for $T = 300$ K and $p = 10$ MPa for both pore geometries: (a, left) volumetric storage capacity, (b, right) gravimetric storage capacity. (35)

De la Casa-Lillo and co-workers (19) conducted experimental work on hydrogen adsorption in a large variety of activated carbons and activated carbon fibers at a wide range of pressure. The experimental results matched fairly well with theoretical calculations found in the literature and confirmed that the optimum pore size for hydrogen adsorption in porous carbons was that which can hold two layers of adsorbed hydrogen (i.e., pore size of about 0.6 nm). Figure 2.8 plots the hydrogen density inside the micropores measured experimentally (293 K and pressures of 10 and 70 MPa) versus the mean pore size of the samples. In the experimental results, the mean pore size has been determined from gas adsorption ($N_2$ and $CO_2$ at 77K and
273 K, respectively) at subatmospheric pressures. The experimental curve matches reasonably well with the theoretical calculations. In both cases, the maximum in the density of adsorbed hydrogen is found to be a function of the pore size. The optimum theoretical pore size to store hydrogen is 0.36 nm for single layer adsorption of H₂ and 0.56 nm for double layer adsorption of H₂. The experimentally measured adsorption results show that the optimum pore size is 0.66 nm, confirming the assumption of double layer adsorption.

![Figure 2.8: Density of adsorbed hydrogen inside the pores (kg/m³) versus mean pore size (---: theoretical results).](image)

Wang and Johnson (36) studied the adsorption of hydrogen gas into single-walled carbon nanotubes and idealized carbon slit pores in their computer simulation. In their two studies (36,37), they reported hydrogen storage capacities around 5wt.% at 1 MPa in slit pores with a width of 0.6–0.7 nm. Although the adsorption potential for hydrogen in SWNTs is enhanced compared to slit pores of the same size, their
calculations show that the storage capacity of an array of tubes is less than that for idealized slit pore geometry, except at very low pressures.(37)

There are different mechanisms of adsorption depending on the geometry of the adsorbent and the temperature of adsorption. Multilayer adsorption occurs if the adsorption takes place on an open surface and volume filling would happen in pores narrower than 2 nm. Capillary condensation could happen in a pore larger than 2 nm but smaller than 50 nm. A different mechanism of adsorption is monolayer surface coverage, which can be calculated by the BET theory. The total storage capacity in a porous solid is the sum of contributions due to adsorption on solid surface and in the void space.(38)

2.2.1.5 Hydrogen adsorption in ordered mesoporous carbon

Ordered mesoporous materials can be synthesized by a novel liquid crystal templating approach, with pore sizes from 2 to 50 nm and surface areas of up to 2100 m²/g. Adsorption of hydrogen using such materials has attracted increasing interests. The ordered mesoporous carbons are characterized with several specific features that may be beneficial to hydrogen storage:(39-42)

1. Large microporous volume;

2. The presence of a three dimensional interconnected mesoporous network which enhances the dynamics of hydrogen diffusion in the material; (43,44)

3. The possibility to control the micro- and the meso-porosity.
Gadiou and colleagues (45) studied the influence of textural properties on the adsorption of hydrogen on ordered nanostructured carbons. Carbon materials were prepared by a template method from two mesoporous silica matrix and with three different carbon precursors (See Table 2.1). These materials were characterized by gas adsorption and shown that the mesoporous structure of the carbon is mainly related to the silica template, while the microporous volume is dependent on the nature of the carbon precursor and the carbon synthesis process. Liquid phase insertion of sucrose leads to the highest microporous volume, while chemical vapor insertion of propylene leads to the lowest. The adsorption of hydrogen on these carbon materials was tested at 77K between 0.1 and 1MPa. The results, presented in Figure 2.9, show that the carbon synthesis method has a great influence on the quantity of hydrogen adsorbed by the material.

![Figure 2.9: Hydrogen adsorption capacity of nanostructured carbon materials at 77K as a function of pressure. (45)]
Table 2.1 Textural characteristics of the carbon materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>CB15</th>
<th>CS15</th>
<th>CPr15</th>
<th>CS48</th>
<th>CB48</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Silica template</td>
<td>SBA-15</td>
<td>SBA-15</td>
<td>SBA-15</td>
<td>MCM-48</td>
<td>MCM-48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon precursor</td>
<td>Pitch</td>
<td>Sucrose</td>
<td>Propylene</td>
<td>Sucrose</td>
<td>Pitch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total surface area (m²/g)</td>
<td>754</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>711</td>
<td>2390</td>
<td>1130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total porous volume Vp (cm³/g)</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microporous volume VN₂ (cm³/g)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microporous volume VCO₂ (cm³/g)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pore diameter Dp, BJH (nm)</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>4.78</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>4.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Furthermore, Gadiou (46) suggested that the characterization of microporous volume through CO₂ adsorption at 273K and Dubinin-Radushkevich (DR) analysis allowed a good evaluation of the hydrogen storage capacity of these mesoporous carbons with a high microporosity. Accordantly, Texier-Mandoki and coworkers (47) showed that the microporous volume determined by CO₂ adsorption at 273K is a better
correlation parameter than by $N_2$ adsorption at 77K for activated carbons with high microporous volumes.

It is reported that the pores with a size of 1-2 nm are important for storing hydrogen. Shao and coworkers (48) reported a 7wt% storage of hydrogen at 77K and under a hydrogen pressure of 1 MPa, with a highly microporous carbon material (mesocarbon microbeads) which had a BET surface area of 3180 m$^2$/g, average pore size of 2.47 nm, and a pore volume of 1.9 cm$^3$/g. Therefore, it is important to control the pore size and its distribution of such materials to achieve a high hydrogen storage capacity. Fang and coworkers controlled the pore size and wall thickness of silica templates through variation of HTAB (Hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide) to $C_{16}EO_8$ ratio, the surfactants they used. Results shown in Table 2.2 demonstrate that pore sizes can be controlled to a very narrow range of 2.1-2.8 nm. (49)

**Table 2.2** Structure properties of synthesized silica and silica-templated carbon obtained at various ratios of HTAB to $C_{16}EO_8$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HTAB:$C_{16}EO_8$</th>
<th>Silica pore Diameter (nm)</th>
<th>Silica wall Thickness (nm)</th>
<th>Carbon pore Diameter (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4:0</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:1</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:2</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:3</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.2.2 Hydrogen storage in ammonia borane

Ammonia borane (NH$_3$BH$_3$, AB) contains 19.6 wt% hydrogen was first synthesized in the middle of 1950s as part of the US government’s program to develop fuels for jets and rockets. (50) AB is a colorless crystal at room temperature, with a calculated density of 0.74 g/cm$^3$. (50) It is stable in air and soluble in water and other relatively polar solvents. Pure AB melts in the temperature range 110-114 °C. (51,52) A melting point of 125 °C was also claimed for the ultra pure material. (53)

AB is a remarkable molecule which contains both hydridic B-H and protic N-H bonds and a strong B-H bond that under most conditions hydrogen loss is favored over dissociation to ammonia and borane. (54) The solid state structure of AB has been determined using both X-ray and neutron diffraction. AB has a staggered conformation with a B-N bond distance of 1.564 (6) Å in the solid state. (55) The gas phase structure of AB determined using microwave spectroscopy reveals a significantly longer B-N bond distance of 1.6722 (5) Å. (56) The solid state structure of neat AB exhibits short BH· · ·HN intermolecular contacts; the hydridic hydrogen atoms on boron are 2.02Å away from the protic hydrogen atoms on the nitrogen of an adjacent molecule, a distance less than the Van der Waals distance of 2.4 Å, (57) an interaction constituting a dihydrogen bond. (57-59)
The decomposition of AB has been investigated both theoretically and experimentally and is known to occur by a multistep process involving exothermic reactions as shown below. (60,61)

\[
\begin{align*}
H_3NBH_3 & \rightarrow H_2NBH_2 + H_2 \quad (1) \\
H_2NBH_2 & \rightarrow HNBH + H_2 \quad (2) \\
HBNH & \rightarrow BN + H_2 \quad (3)
\end{align*}
\]

Probed by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and volumetric analyses, the first step of decomposition shown in reaction equation (1) initiates at about 100 °C and reaches a maximum upon melting of AB. During this step, AB melts and yields one equivalence of hydrogen and solid products consisting mostly of aminoborane (H$_2$NBH$_2$). The second decomposition step shown in reaction equation (2) releases another equivalence of hydrogen and occurs over a broad temperature range 150±40 °C. During the second step, an inorganic analog of benzene named borazine (NHBH) may also be obtained in gas form, which is toxic and poison to the proton exchange membrane fuel cells. To lose all hydrogen contained in AB in the form of reaction (3) requires a temperature above 500 °C, which is considered impractical for hydrogen storage. According to recent data, these reactions provide 7.2, 14.4 and 19.6 wt% hydrogen yield, respectively. (62)

The hydrogenation and dehydrogenation enthalpies of AB reactions have been explored theoretically. Dixon and Gutowski (60) used \textit{ab initio} molecular orbital theory to show that the enthalpies of reaction at 298 K for equation (1) is -21.3
kJ/mol, equation (2) is 131.4 kJ/mol, and equation (3) is 562.3 kJ/mol. Himmel and Schnöckel(63) used Gaussian98 (B3LYP method) to calculate the gas phase reaction enthalpies at 298.2K. The results are slightly different for equation (1) (exothermic, -26.6 kJ/mol) and equation (2) (endothermic, 133.3 kJ/mol).

The fact that AB starts to release hydrogen at temperature below its melting point indicates a mechanism of hydrogen release from solid state AB. An in situ $^{11}$BMAS-NMR study by Autrey et al. (64) described the first decomposition step of AB by an induction, nucleation and growth mechanistic pathway. It is essential to understand the chemical and physical processes occurring during the induction period which curtails the onset of hydrogen release from solid AB at temperature below 100°C. There could be a physical change, i.e. creation of defects in solid AB or some chemical change, such as the formation of a more reactive chemical species, the initial coupling product, a linear dimer, or formation of DADB (diammoniate of diborane). By labeling boron and nitrogen bonds with deuterium and following the reaction with analysis of the volatile products, Smith et al. suggested a bimolecular reaction pathway, wherein the initially formed mobile AB species isomerizes to yield reactive isomer DADB. The subsequent dimolecular reaction between DADB and AB initiates the hydrogen release and phase growth processes. This mechanistic understanding was partially supported by the computational study of Dixon et al., in which the formed ionic isomer DADB from two AB monomers was suggested to
play a dominant role in the hydrogen release from AB. Some important species related to ammonia borane dehydrogenation are represented in Figure 2.10.

![Reaction Scheme](image)

**Figure 2.10:** Scheme illustrating ammonia borane and some important species related to ammonia borane dehydrogenation (54)

While recent efforts show that there has been much progress on new promoters for the controlled release of hydrogen from AB under mild conditions, there remain economic and technical challenges for it to be a sustainable and environmentally friendly energy source, namely,

1) The system has to be able to release H\textsubscript{2} at an appropriate rate
2) The system has to be able to release most of the available H\textsubscript{2} to achieve the gravimetric requirements;
3) The system must produce a minimum of volatile by-products, such as borazine;
4) An economically viable method must be developed for the regeneration of AB.
Indeed, the third point may be the ultimate barrier to its use, and depends on what products the system produce.

For practical application of AB as a hydrogen storage material for a polymer electrolyte membrane (PEM) fuel cell, the optimum decomposition temperature should be around 85 °C, which is the working temperature of the PEM. (1) Recent researches focus on the use of catalysts and nanoscale agents to promote hydrogen release from AB under mild conditions, i.e. improving the kinetics of AB dehydrogenation reactions and decreasing its decomposition temperature.

The first example of transition metal catalyzed dehydrogenation of AB was reported by Roberts et al. in 1989, (65) using 10% palladium on charcoal. As part of their wider research program on metal catalyzed dehydrocoupling reactions, Manners et al. in 2003, (66) used 0.6 mol% of \{\text{Rh}(1,5-\text{cod})(\mu-\text{Cl})\}_2 (\text{cod} = \text{cyclooctadiene})\) as catalyst precursor. Later, Manners et al. (67) reported the homogeneous titanocene catalyzed dehydrocoupling of \(\text{Me}_2\text{HNBH}_3\). The mechanism of this process has also been studied theoretically by Luo and Ohno. (68) Heinekey, Goldberg et al. (69) reported that the iridium pincer complex catalyzes the release of one equivalent of hydrogen from AB in 14 minutes at room temperature at 0.5 mol% loading. Baker et al. (70) reported that in-situ treatment of Ni(cod)\(_2\) with a suitable ligand N-heterocyclic carbine affords long-life catalysts which release 2.5 equivalence of
hydrogen from AB in diglyme solvent at 333K, giving a soluble cross linked borazine product. Kang et al. (71) found that mechanically milling AB with magnesium hydride can improve the dehydrogenation properties of AB on both kinetic and thermochemical aspects. For the mechanically milled AB/0.5MgH₂ material, over 8 wt% hydrogen can be released from AB within 4 hours at around 100°C without volatile products.

Nanostructured materials may offer a solution to hydrogen storage because they often exhibit significantly different physical, chemical, and thermodynamic characteristics from their bulk counterparts. Confining hydrides inside nanoporous scaffolds has been demonstrated to be an effective approach to tuning hydrogen storage properties. In nanoscale materials, introducing defects and vacancies and shorter diffusion distances can result in faster kinetics. In addition, changes in surface energies with decreasing particle size can change the thermodynamic stability of the reactants and further alter the hydrogen release mechanisms.

Over the last five years, there has been some promising research work focusing on hydrogen release from AB. Autrey and coworkers (72) reported their results on the thermolysis of AB contained within mesoporous silica scaffolds. In their work, AB was deposited into SBA-15 using a methanol carrier solvent, achieving a 1:1 weight ratio between AB and SBA-15. Mesoporous silica materials have an extremely high surface area and a highly ordered pore structure. SBA-15 which used in their work is
hexagonally ordered mesoporous silica with parallel channels and a 7.5 nm pore diameter. Because of the porous nature of the silica scaffold, the internal channels of SBA-15 were filled rapidly with a solution of AB through a capillary action. According to their results from temperature programmed desorption mass spectrometry (TPD/MS) (Figure 2.11), nanoporous silica depressed the thermolysis onset temperature by approximately 15°C compared to neat AB. Furthermore, they observed a suppression of formation of volatile borazine, an especially important feature for the use of this chemical in the current fuel cell technology.

**Figure 2.11** TPD/MS (1°C/min) of volatile products generated by heating neat AB (solid line) and AB:SBA-15 (dashed line); m/e = 2 (H₂) and m/e = 80 (borazine, c-(NHBH)₃). The value m/e =2 (H₂) is normalized to the area under the curve for neat AB (solid line). The corresponding scalar was used to normalize the m/e = 80 borazine data for AB:SBA-15. (72)

Nanoporous silica may accelerate H₂ release from AB by one of several possible mechanisms. Because the channels of the silica framework impart a microstructured
reaction environment, a templating effect or defect sites may be responsible for the rate increase. The molecular structure of the substrate walls includes SiOH groups that may participate in catalysis or control the product distribution. Whichever mechanism proceeds, the enthalpy of H₂ release from AB in nanoporous silica was measured approximately 20 kJ mol⁻¹ less exothermic than H₂ loss from neat AB. Arrhenius treatment of temperature dependent rate data is shown in Figure 2.12. The activation energy of thermolysis of pure AB (Eₐ = 184 ± 5 kJ mol⁻¹) was significantly larger than that for the SBA-15–AB system (Eₐ = 67 ± 5 kJ mol⁻¹). Moderation of the reaction exothermicity indicates different products are formed from the thermolysis of neat AB, a result that may have consequences on energy efficiency in the regeneration of AB.

Figure 2.12 Arrhenius treatment of the temperature-dependent rate data yields a straight line with a gradient that is proportional to the apparent activation energy for hydrogen loss from neat AB (●; Ea ≈184 kJmol⁻¹) or AB in the scaffold (; Ea ≈ 67 kJmol⁻¹). (72)
Infusing AB in mesoporous silica significantly improved the dehydrogenation kinetics and also suppressed borazine formation. However, silica is relatively heavy and has poor thermal conductivity. In contrast, the similar porous carbon material is lighter, has a tunable pore diameter, and can provide a thermal conduction pathway. Autrey et al. (73) investigated the performances of AB in carbon materials and found that, when AB was impregnated inside a nanoporous carbon, the same effect occurred.

Feaver and coworkers (73) introduced porous carbon cryogels which were derived from resorcinol formaldehyde hydrogels. These nanocomposites release two hydrogen molecules in a two-in-one reaction at reduced dehydrogenation temperatures ~90°C. A 9 wt% hydrogen release from AB was obtained, and the formation and/or release of borazine were suppressed. (Figure 2.13) Their results demonstrated that nanocrystallite AB captured inside the mesopores of the carbon crygel matrix possessed a huge surface to volume ratio, resulting in a significantly larger surface energy, and thus destabilizing the hydrogen bonding network of AB, thus lowered the energy barrier for hydrogen release.
Sepehri and coworkers (74) investigated the differences between the porous silica and porous carbon supports with spectroscopic studies. They reported findings from Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) and in situ $^{11}$B nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) results on the dehydrogenation pathways of CC-AB. The information identified an alternate competing pathway for hydrogen release from CC-AB that was consistent with the enhanced kinetics and greater reaction exothermicity relative to neat AB and AB supported on mesoporous silica. They found a lower temperature hydrogen release and a new product for carbon crygel AB nanocomposite relative to AB. The new product suggested a new mechanism: the destabilization of AB due to the formation of surface –O-B bonds. When the
temperature was increased from 85 to 150 °C, the reaction pathways did not change but the rate of dehydrogenation process increased (Figure 2.14). The suppression of borazine during the dehydrogenation process was also confirmed by this mechanism.

![Figure 2.14](image)

**Figure 2.14** $^{11}$B NMR of CC-AB before heating (bottom), after heating to 85 °C for 10 min (middle), and after heating to 150 °C for 10 min (top).(74)

Recently, Li and coworkers (62) reported a new catalytic strategy involving lithium catalysis and nanostructure confinement in mesoporous carbon CMK-3 for the thermal decomposition of AB. When loaded on a 5% Li/CMK-3 framework, AB releases ~7 wt% of hydrogen at a very low temperature of ~ 60 °C, and entirely suppresses borazine and ammonia emissions.

The hydrogenation of AB and AB/CMK-3 nanocomposite was measured by thermogravimetry combined with mass spectroscopy (TG/MS). In Figure 2.15, neat AB starts to decompose at above 100°C via a two-step process (around 110 °C and 145 °C) and the weight loss from neat AB reaches around 20 wt% below 150 °C. MS
characterization (Figure 2.16) demonstrated the gas released from neat AB contained not only hydrogen but also volatile products, namely, ammonia and borazine. Compared with neat AB, the decomposition of AB/CMK-3 nanocomposite occurs below 75 °C with only one step for the release of gaseous substance and approaches 27 wt% of weight loss below 150 °C. Figure 2.16 also demonstrated that AB/CMK-3 releases hydrogen at a lower temperature than neat AB with a desorption peak at 95 °C. The process of dehydrogenation is enhanced and borazine is suppressed when heating AB inside CMK-3. It needs to be noted that a large amount of ammonia was detected from the decomposition of AB/CMK-3. This is clearly detrimental and needs to be prevented for future applications.

![Graph showing hydrogen release from different materials](image)

**Figure 2.15** Hydrogen releasing from neat AB, AB/CMK-3, and AB/Li-CMK-3 measured by TG (62)
The first notable dehydrogenation property of AB/Li-CMK-3 is that only hydrogen gas was detected when heating up to 150°C. This phenomenon indicates a complete suppression of ammonia and borazine release from AB, and suggests that Li plays an important role in nitrogen immobilization during the AB thermal decomposition. Moreover, hydrogen release of AB/Li-CMK-3 initially occurred at very low temperature of around 55 °C with a broad peak at 90 °C and reached 11 wt% when heated to 150 °C. The catalytic effect of the Li based catalysts combined with the confinement of CMK-3 results in a further acceleration of dehydrogenation kinetics of AB within the Li-CMK-3 framework. These results suggest that a synergistic interaction between catalyst and nanostructure can provide a very effective strategy to significantly accelerate the dehydrogenation kinetics and suppress the emission of ammonia from AB.

Figure 2.16 The MS results of AB, AB/CMK-3, and AB/Li-CMK-3 (62)
From the above literature survey, it is found that a number of mesoporous materials have been used as scaffolds to support AB and the nano-confinement approach has shown unique advantages to tune the hydrogen release behavior of AB. However, in previous reports of hydrogen release from AB using nanoporous scaffolds, generally one nanoporous material was chosen in one case. A comprehensive study of AB/nanoscaffolds thermal decomposition behavior where the structural parameters such as pore diameter and wall composition are systematically adjusted has not been reported. It will be important to understand the influence of pore diameters and wall compositions of nanoporous scaffolds on the hydrogen release performance of AB, through which optimized porous materials can be used for advanced applications of AB in hydrogen storage.
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Chapter 3  Role of Trifluoroacetic Acid, Acetic Acid and Their Salts in the Synthesis of Helical Mesoporous Materials

3.1 Introduction

Helical structures are common in nature on both microscopic and macroscopic levels. Fabricating helical structures are increasingly attractive in physics and material science (1-3) because of their potential applications in chiral catalysis and chiral recognition (4-7). With recent developments, the synthesis of helical mesostructured materials has received considerable attention. Che and her co-workers firstly reported the synthesis of helical mesoporous silica materials with chiral channels using chiral anionic surfactants as templates (4), following which numerous helical mesostructures with controlled morphology and helicity have been successfully synthesized using either chiral or achiral surfactants as templates (3,8-21). In addition, the geometrically motivated model (2), the entropically driven model (22) and also the inherent chirality of the surfactant molecules (4) have been proposed to be the driving force for the formation of helical structures. For instance, inspired by the entropically driven model, the helical mesoporous silica has been prepared using achiral cationic surfactants as templates in a highly concentrated ammonia solution (13). Yang et al. have successfully prepared inner helical mesoporous silica nanofibers (23) and twisted mesoporous silica ribbons (24) using the self-assembly of chiral cationic gelators as templates. In this process, the use of chiral templates is the key to fabricating helical mesoporous materials.
The utilization of different additives is another approach to synthesize helical mesoporous materials. With the aid of ethyl acetate in the synthetic system, chiral mesostructured silica nanofibers with single- and double-axis have been successfully fabricated (11). Recently, employing cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) as a template and perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) as an additive, helical mesoporous materials were synthesized. (25) The authors proposed an interfacial interaction mechanism to understand the origin of helical mesostructures. It was suggested that the PFOA molecules could reduce the surface tension and favor the formation of helical mesostructures by reducing surface free energy. However, the helical mesostructures were obtained only in a small range of PFOA/CTAB molar ratios (~0.05-0.1) (25), possibly due to the extreme surface activity of PFOA molecules. When the PFOA/CTAB molar ratio was further increased to 0.2, the helical structure was destroyed and a complex morphology was obtained. (26)

Perfluoroalkanes are surface active (27) and their ability to lower surface tensions increases with increasing chain length (28). It is therefore expected that helical mesostructures may be obtained in a wider range of additive/CTAB molar ratios if short chain perfluorinated molecular additives are used. To our knowledge, there has been no report concerning the effect of short chain perfluoroalkanes or their derivatives on the formation of helical mesostructures. Moreover, in the basic synthesis conditions (25), the PFOA molecules react with sodium hydroxides in the
form of anions (25), resulting in a change in the pH of the system. This influence upon the helical mesostructure was not considered (25) and should be further investigated. It is expected that a parallel study using a perfluorocarboxyl acid, its hydrocarbon counterpart, and their salts as additives will help understand the roles of such additives in the synthesis of helical mesoporous materials.

In this chapter, trifluoroacetic acid, which has the shortest fluorocarbon- chain among all perfluorocarboxylic acid molecules, was chosen as an additive in the synthesis of helical mesoporous materials. In order to understand the effect of perfluorocarboxylic acid, carboxylic acid and also the reaction pH, acetic acid, sodium trifluoroacetate and sodium acetate were further chosen as additives for the synthesis.

3.2 Experimental section

3.2.1 Synthesis

Chemicals: All chemicals including CTAB (≥96.0%, purum), trifluoroacetic acid (CF₃COOH) (reagent grade, ≥98%), acetic acid (CH₃COOH) (ReagentPlus®, ≥99%), sodium trifluoroacetate (CF₃COONa) (purum, ≥ 98.0%), sodium acetate (CH₃COONa) (ACS reagent, ≥99.0%), sodium hydroxide (NaOH) (reagent grade, 97%) and tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS) (ReagentPlus®, ≥99%) were purchased from SIGMA-ALDRICH and were used as received without further purification.
Synthesis process: Siliceous mesoporous materials were synthesized using CTAB as the template and four derivatives of acetic acid (CF\textsubscript{3}COOH, CH\textsubscript{3}COOH, CF\textsubscript{3}COONa, or CH\textsubscript{3}COONa) were used as additives with different additive/CTAB molar ratios (R, \(R = 0, 0.025, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.25, 0.375, 0.5, 0.75, 1\)). In a typical synthesis, 0.20 g of CTAB was dissolved in 96 g of deionized water under stirring at room temperature. Then, 0.70 mL of 2.0 M NaOH and desired amount of additives were added separately into the solution. The temperature of the solution was then raised and kept at 80 °C. In this solution, 1.34 mL of TEOS was added. The mixture was stirred and kept at 80°C for 2 h. The system was cooled down to room temperature, and then the powdery products were collected by filtration and air-dried at room temperature. The products were calcined at 550 °C for 5 h to remove the template.

3.2.2 Characterization

X-ray diffraction (XRD) was carried out using a German Bruker D4 X-ray diffractometer with Ni filtered Cu Kα radiation. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) investigations were performed in a TECNAI 12 microscope operated at 120 kV. TEM specimens were prepared by dispersing the powder-like samples in ethanol by ultrasonic for 3 min and then deposited on perforated carbon films. Nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherms were measured at -196 °C using a Micromeritics ASAP Tristar 3000 system. The samples were degassed at 180 °C overnight on a vacuum line.
3.3 Results

3.3.1 XRD patterns

Figure 3.1a-d shows the small angle XRD patterns of calcined mesostructured silica samples synthesized using CTAB as the template and CF$_3$COOH, CF$_3$COONa, CH$_3$COOH, CH$_3$COONa as the additives at different $R$ values, respectively. For samples synthesized with the addition of perfluorinated molecules with $R$ values smaller than 0.2 (Figure 3.1a and 3.1b), three characteristic diffraction peaks can be clearly observed in their XRD patterns, which can be indexed to the (10), (11) and (20) diffractions of a 2-D hexagonal plane group (p6m) (29). The d-spacing values calculated from the first diffraction peaks vary only in a very small range (3.5-3.6 nm, see Table 3.1).
Table 3.1 Physicochemical properties of mesostructured silica S0-S16 synthesized using CTAB as a template and CF$_3$COOH or CF$_3$COONa as additives at different molar ratios ($R$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>$A$</th>
<th>$R$</th>
<th>$20$ (degree)</th>
<th>$d$ (nm)</th>
<th>$p$ (nm)</th>
<th>$S$ (m$^2$/g)</th>
<th>$V$ (cm$^3$/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>495</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>CF$_3$COOH</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>846</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>803</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>641</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>573</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>551</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S6</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S7</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>4.64</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S9</td>
<td>CF$_3$COONa</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S10</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>672</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S11</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S12</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>556</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S13</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S14</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S15</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>494</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: * No diffraction peaks are observed in the XRD patterns. $A$ is the additive used, $R$ is the molar ratio of additive/CTAB, $d$ is the d-spacing calculated from the first diffraction peak in XRD patterns; $p$ is the pore size calculated from the adsorption branch by the Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) method; $S$ is the BET surface area; and $V$ is the total pore volume measured at a relative pressure of 0.99.
Figure 3.1 XRD patterns of calcined mesostructured silica synthesized by using CTAB as a template and (a) CF$_3$COOH, (b) CF$_3$COONa, (c) CH$_3$COOH and (d) CH$_3$COONa as additives at different molar ratios ($R$).

The difference between CF$_3$COOH and CF$_3$COONa can be seen when the additive/CTAB $R$ values are increased. In the case of CF$_3$COOH, the (11) and (20) diffractions become broad and cannot be distinguished when $R = 0.375$ and 0.5.
When $R = 0.75$, even the first diffraction peak becomes broad, indicating a rather disordered mesostructure. When $R$ is further increased to 1, no diffraction peaks can be observed, suggesting that the mesostructure is fully destroyed. In contrast, in the case of CF$_3$COONa, the sharp first diffraction peak still can be observed even when $R = 1$, however, the diffraction peaks at higher angles cannot be clearly distinguished. The above results suggest that, compared to CF$_3$COOH, CF$_3$COONa as the additive can better maintain the ordered mesostructure in the template synthesis.

When the XRD patterns of calcined silica materials synthesized by using CH$_3$COOH and CH$_3$COONa as the additives are compared (Figure 3.1c and 3.1d, respectively), it is clearly seen that all samples have highly ordered hexagonal mesostructures with three well-resolved peaks. It is noted that when the CH$_3$COOH/CTAB $R$ is 1, no precipitation can be obtained. For comparison, when the CH$_3$COONa/CTAB $R$ is 1, the ordered hexagonal mesostructure is still obtained (Figure 3.1d). Comparing the difference between the CF$_3$COOH and CH$_3$COOH system or CF$_3$COONa and CH$_3$COONa system, it is obvious that the fluorocarbon and hydrocarbon chain, although with difference in only three F and H atoms, have significant influence on the final self-assembled mesostructures. While the use of hydrocarbon additives such as CH$_3$COONa has little influence on the mesostructure in a wide range of additive/CTAB $R$ values, the use of fluorinated additives such as CF$_3$COONa has a much stronger adverse influence on the mesostructure regularity at high $R$ values (e.g., $R = 1$).
3.3.2 TEM observations

The mesostructures of calcined silica samples templated by CTAB and different additives at various $R$ values have been studied further by TEM observations. For $R = 0$ (in the absence of any additives), a MCM-41 type structure similar to that reported in literature was observed (29), which will not be discussed further in this study. For mesostructured materials synthesized with CF$_3$COOH/CTAB as co-templates at $R = 0.025$ and 0.05, large-area spherical and short cylindrical morphologies can be seen in Figure 3.2a and 3.2b, respectively. It is clear that increasing CF$_3$COOH/CTAB $R$ values (from 0.025 to 0.05) leads to a transformation from spherical to rod-like morphology. The high-magnification TEM images for both samples (insets in Figure 3.2a and 3.2b) represent highly ordered hexagonal mesostructure with the straight 1-D channels viewed along the (11) directions, similar to traditional MCM-41 materials (29).

When the CF$_3$COOH/CTAB $R$ values are further increased ($R=0.1$ and 0.2), a rod-like morphology with further increased length/diameter ratios can be obtained (Figure 3.2c and 3.2d, respectively). The helical structure can be clearly seen in all these rods and the pitch size is estimated to be ~75 and ~60 nm for the helical silica samples obtained at the CF$_3$COOH/CTAB $R$ of 0.1 and 0.2, respectively. When the CF$_3$COOH/CTAB $R$ is increased to 0.375, some spheres can be observed together with the rod-like morphology (Figure 3.2e). Further increasing $R$ value to 0.5 results
in basically spherical particles with relatively disordered mesostructures (Figure 3.2f), consistent with the XRD observations.

Figure 3.2 TEM images of mesostructured materials synthesized with CF₃COOH/CTAB as the co-
templates at CF₃COOH/CTAB $R = 0.025$ (a), 0.05 (b), 0.1 (c), 0.2 (d), 0.375 (e), 0.5 (f), respectively
Typical TEM images of mesostructured materials templated by CF$_3$COONa/CTAB at different CF$_3$COONa/CTAB $R$ values are shown in Figure 3.3. The spherical particles with the sizes of ~ 200 nm as well as the highly ordered hexagonal mesostructure viewed along the (01) direction can be clearly observed for the sample obtained at CF$_3$COONa/CTAB $R=0.05$ (Figure 3.3a). Similar to the finding in the CF$_3$COOH/CTAB system, increasing the CF$_3$COONa/CTAB $R$ values leads to a morphology change from spherical particles to rods. When $R = 0.1$, 0.2, 0.375, and 0.5 (Figure 3.3b, 3.3c, 3.3d and 3.3e, respectively), it can be seen that the short cylinders are gradually getting much longer in length. The diameters of the rods decrease from ~ 100 nm (Figures 3.3b and 3.3c) to ~ 50 nm (Figures 3.3d and 3.3e) with increasing $R$ values, while the length increases from ~ 300 to ~ 500 nm. Moreover, the helical structures can be obtained in a wider $R$ range compared to the CF$_3$COOH/CTAB system. The pitch size has decreased from > 1000 nm (as judged from Figures 3.3b and 3.3c) to ~ 360 nm (Figure 3.3e) when the CF$_3$COONa/CTAB $R$ values are increased from 0.1 to 0.5. However, when the degree of helicity is increased, less ordered hexagonal mesostructures are observed due to the symmetry breaking (e.g. $R = 0.5$, Figure 3.3e), in good agreement with the XRD results (Figure 3.1b, $R=0.5$, 0.75). When $R$ is enlarged to 1, disordered domains are observed (Figure 3.3f), thus the helical mesostructure cannot be obtained at such a high CF$_3$COOH/CTAB $R$ value.
**Figure 3.3** TEM images of mesostructured materials synthesized with CF$_3$COONa/CTAB as templates at different $R$, $R=0.05$ (a), 0.1 (b), 0.2 (c), 0.375 (d), 0.5 (e), 1 (f), respectively.
Figure 3.4 TEM images of mesostructured materials synthesized with CH$_3$COOH/CTAB as templates at different $R$, $R$=0.2 (a), 0.375 (b), 0.5 (c), 0.75 (d), respectively.

In comparison, the samples synthesized by using CTAB as the template and CH$_3$COOH or CH$_3$COONa as the additive were also characterized by TEM and the representative images are shown in Figure 3.4 and Figure 3.5. Different from the results obtained from using CTAB/CF$_3$COOH or CTAB/CF$_3$COONa as co-templates, the morphologies, sizes and the mesostructures of siliceous materials obtained in these two systems at different additive/CTAB $R$ values show little difference (Figure 3.4). The hexagonal structural regularity is reduced only slightly at a high CH$_3$COOH/CTAB $R$ value of 0.75 (Figure 3.4d). Even when the CH$_3$COONa/CTAB
$R$ value is up to 1, the highly ordered hexagonal mesostructure is still maintained, as reflected by the clearly distinguished TEM images viewed from the (11) and (01) directions (Figure 3.5), which is also consistent with the XRD pattern (Figure 3.1d). However, it is noted that no helical mesostructure can be obtained using either CH$_3$COOH or CH$_3$COONa as the additive in our studies.

![Figure 3.5](image)

**Figure 3.5** TEM images of mesostructured materials synthesized with CH$_3$COONa/CTAB as templates at $R$=1

The N$_2$ adsorption/desorption analysis was carried out to characterize the textural properties of mesoporous materials synthesized by our approach. The focus of this work is to study the formation of helical mesostructures, thus only the CF$_3$COOH/CTAB and CF$_3$COONa/CTAB templating systems are discussed and the physicochemical properties of calcined silica samples synthesized at different additive/CTAB $R$ values are summarized in Table 3.1. In the CF$_3$COOH/CTAB
templating system, the pore sizes (calculated from the adsorption branch using the Barrett-Joyner-Halenda, BJH model (30,31)) of calcined samples with \( R \) values in the range of 0 - 0.375 have only a slight variation (1.8 – 2.1 nm), while when the \( R \) values are increased from 0.375 to 1, the gradual pore size enlargement from 2.1 to 2.9 nm is observed. However, in the CF\(_3\)COONa/CTAB templating system, the pore sizes are changed only in a small range (1.7-2.2 nm) when the CF\(_3\)COONa/CTAB \( R \) values are adjusted from 0 to 1. Such results are in good agreement with the XRD characterizations as discussed in the previous section.

3.4 Discussion

3.4.1 The Influence of fluorocarbon and hydrocarbon additives

In our study, all the samples were synthesized at the same conditions except that different types and amounts of additives were employed. Thus, the distinctions in the mesostructures should be induced directly by the additives. Fluorocarbon molecules are more hydrophobic and more surface active than their hydrocarbon counterparts, and can greatly reduce the surface tension of aqueous solutions (27). Moreover, a fluorocarbon chain is stiffer than a hydrocarbon chain and the van der Waals attraction between fluorocarbon chains is much stronger than that between hydrocarbon chains (32-37). In our study when the CF\(_3\)COOH and CH\(_3\)COOH system or CF\(_3\)COONa and CH\(_3\)COONa system are compared, the difference is only one CF\(_3\) and CH\(_3\) group. However, the additive molecular structure has distinguishable influence on the mesostructure of the self-assemblies. For example,
as can be clearly seen from the TEM images (Figure 3.2 and Figure 3.3), there is a
distinct trend in the presence of fluorocarbon molecules as additives: the particle
sizes generally decrease and they become longer rod-like morphologies with helical
mesostructures with increasing additive/CTAB $R$ values. Nevertheless, in the
presence of CH$_3$COOH or CH$_3$COONa additives, no obvious changes either in
morphologies or structures can be observed (Figure 3.4). Highly ordered hexagonal
mesostructure can be obtained in a wide range of CH$_3$COONa/CTAB $R$ values ($R= 0$
– 1, see Figure 3.1 and Figure 3.5), while the use of CF$_3$COONa as additives may
decrease the mesostructure regularity beyond a certain CF$_3$COONa/CTAB $R$ values
($R > 0.5$).

It should be noted that in the basic systems (NaOH), CF$_3$COOH and CH$_3$COOH are
all ionized and exist as anions in solution. Therefore, the existed forms of the
additives in solution from both the acids and their corresponding salts as precursors
are the same except for a small difference in the pH of the systems (the pH influence
will be discussed later). In our synthesis system, the additive anions may have
electrostatic interaction with CTA$^+$, which will certainly affect the surfactant
micelles aggregation behaviors and the micelle-templated mesostructures. It has been
reported that the micelle elongation can be induced by increasing concentrations of
salt and surfactant and the transition from spherical to rod-like micelles depends
strongly on the nature of the counterion (38-41). The introduction of CH$_3$COO$^-$ or
CF$_3$COO$^-$ into the CTA$^+$ solution will have different influences on the form of
micelles. Micellar elongation observed in the presence of CF$_3$COO$^-$ anions should be attributed to the larger cross-sectional area, the higher hydrophobicity and higher stiffness of the CF$_3$ group compared to the CH$_3$ group. As a consequence, the fabrication of helical mesostructure with a rod-like morphology is facilitated in the presence of CF$_3$COO$^-$ additives, rather than CH$_3$COO$^-$. 

It is noted that the helical mesostructures can be obtained when CF$_3$COO$^-$ anions were used as additives with an $R$ range of 0.1-0.375 for CF$_3$COOH/CTAB and a relatively wider $R$ range of 0.1-0.5 for CF$_3$COONa/CTAB. Recently, Yang et al. (25) proposed that the reduction in surface free energy is the driving force for the spontaneous formation of the spiral morphology. In their reports, the helical mesostructure was observed only in a very small PFOA/CTAB molar ratio of 0.05-0.1, (25,26) In our work, similar perfluorinated molecules but with the shortest fluorocarbon chains were used as additives and helical mesostructures can be successfully synthesized in a much broaden additive/CTAB molar ratio range, which offers a facilitated synthesis window and a fine control over the helical structures. It is suggested that CF$_3$COO$^-$ has lower ability in the reduction of surface tension compared to PFO$^-$ with longer fluoro carbon chains, (28) thus higher amount of CF$_3$COO$^-$ should be added in order to obtain the helical structures.
3.4.2 The influence of pH

The influence of the pH change caused by the addition of acid or salt additives upon the mesostructure is also investigated in our experiments. As above mentioned, the acid additives (CF$_3$COOH and CH$_3$COOH) are all ionized in basic systems and the pH decreases with increasing the amount of acid additives. In our experiments, the pH of the initial CTAB/H$_2$O/NaOH solution was 11.43. After the addition of CF$_3$COOH or CH$_3$COOH (additive/CTAB $R = 1$), the pH of both solutions decreased to 10.40. However, when CF$_3$COONa or CH$_3$COONa was added at the same additive/CTAB ratio of 1, the pH of the solutions remained nearly constant at 11.46. The pH value is one of the important factors for the cooperative self-assembly of organic–inorganic composites (42-44). The ordered hexagonal mesostructure cannot be obtained at a high acid additive/CTAB $R$ values. For example, when the CH$_3$COOH/CTAB $R = 1$, there is no precipitation in the solution, which may be attributed to the low condensation rate of silica and relatively weaker interaction between silica oligomers and surfactants under this decreased pH. However, when the CH$_3$COONa/CTAB $R = 1$, highly ordered hexagonal structure can still be obtained (Figure 3.1 and Figure 3.5) because the use of salt-form additives does not change the solution pH. The influence of solution pH on the mesostructure is also reflected in the CF$_3$COOH/CTAB and CF$_3$COONa/CTAB templating system, where the helical mesostructure can be synthesized in a relatively smaller range ($R = 0.1$-0.375) for the former system, but a relatively larger range ($R = 0.1$-0.5) for the latter because the pH influence on the mesostructure is insignificant for this system.
When CF\textsubscript{3}COOH is used as the additive, white precipitations can be obtained when 
R = 1, different from the CH\textsubscript{3}COOH/CTAB=1 system, although the product does not 
have ordered mesostructure (Figure 3.1). At the similar additive/CTAB R, 
CF\textsubscript{3}COOH and CH\textsubscript{3}COOH should have the same influence on the reaction pH. Thus 
the difference should be attributed to the nature of additive molecules rather than the 
reaction pH. It is proposed that perfluorinated molecules have catalysis effect on the 
hydrolysis and condensation of silica species and further assist the cooperative self-
assembly of composite mesostructures.

3.5 Conclusion

The influence of trifluoroacetic acid, acetic acid and their salts in the synthesis of helical mesoporous materials has been systematically investigated in this chapter. 
Helical mesostructures have been successfully obtained when CF\textsubscript{3}COO\textsuperscript{-} anions were 
used as additives with an R range of 0.1-0.375 for the CF\textsubscript{3}COOH/CTAB templating 
system and a relatively wider R range of 0.1-0.5 for the CF\textsubscript{3}COONa/CTAB system. 
The pitch sizes of the helical mesostructures can be finely controlled by varying the 
additive/CTAB ratio. The results further indicate that in order to synthesize helical 
mesostructures in a wide window of additive/CTAB ratios, the perfluorinated salt 
with a short fluorocarbon chain should be used. This synthesis strategy is useful for 
the fabrication of helical mesostructured porous materials with adjustable pore and 
helical pitch sizes, which is important for their potential applications.
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Chapter 4  Controlled Evolution from Multilamellar Vesicles to Hexagonal Mesostructures through the Addition of 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene

4.1 Introduction

Mesoporous materials have opened many new possibilities for applications in catalysis, separation, and nanoscience due to their large, controllable pore sizes and high surface areas (1-9). The pore structure, such as channel connectivity and pore symmetry, of mesoporous materials is one of the most important physical parameters for practical applications, and must be designed depending on their desired applications (10-12). Therefore, the adjustable assembly of porous silica materials with different structures and tunable pore sizes has attracted increasingly scientific attention in this field (13).

Controlling the cooperative self-assembly of organic–inorganic composites is one key factor in the preparation of mesoporous materials. Generally, the inorganic/surfactant mesophase can be explained and predicted by the effective hydrophilic-hydrophobic volume balance in the context of the classical micellar packing parameter \( g \), \( g = V/a_0l \), where \( V \) is the total volume of the hydrophobic surfactant chain, \( a_0 \) is the effective hydrophilic headgroup area at the aqueous-micellar surface, and \( l \) is the kinetic surfactant tail length (14,15). According to this model, various methods have been used to control the organization of mesostructures and achieve structural transitions, such as varying reaction temperatures (16,17), pH value (18-21), compositions of reaction mixtures (13), and use of different kinds of
templates from ionic surfactants to block copolymers to mixtures of surfactants (10,22,23).

The introduction of organic cosolvents into a synthesis system has been shown to form 3D architectures of mesostructured materials (24-27). Through adjusting the amount of an anionic co-template and the volume of a swelling agent such as 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene (TMB) in a triblock copolymer EO\textsubscript{106}PO\textsubscript{70}EO\textsubscript{106} (denoted Pluronic F127, where EO is polyethylene oxide and PO is polypropylene oxide) templating system, highly ordered mesostructured silica with 2-D hexagonal \textit{p6m} or cubic \textit{Im}-\textit{3m}, \textit{Fm}-\textit{3m}, and \textit{Ia}-\textit{3d} structures in high purity can be easily obtained (22). However, TMB can not only behave as a swelling agent to enlarge the pore sizes of ordered mesoporous materials, but also induce a phase transformation from the highly ordered \textit{p6m} mesostructure to remarkable mesostructured cellular foams (MCFs) when a large amount of TMB was added before the addition of silica source (28). The formation of microemulsion has been experimentally confirmed (28-30). Therein, an oil-in-water microemulsion consisted of TMB droplets coated by EO\textsubscript{20}PO\textsubscript{70}EO\textsubscript{20} (denoted Pluronic P123) is formed in aqueous HCl solution. When the silica source is added to this system, it will hydrolyze and condense at the surface of microemulsion droplets to give MCFs.

It should be noted that the time to add organic cosolvents during the synthesis has shown to bring diverse results. Fan and co-workers reported the synthesis of a 3D-
SBA-15 in the presence of Pluronic P123 as a template with the average mesostructure of hexagonal plane group $p6m$, which was produced by a high-temperature hydrothermal process after the introduction of TMB into "embryonic" mesostructured materials (31). In their method, TMB was added after the embryonic mesostructure had been formed. The best time for the addition of TMB was 65 min after the reaction started (31). However, after the formation of "embryonic" mesostructure, the influence of the time of TMB addition into the system upon the final composite structure has not been reported. Understanding the effect of the time of organic cosolvents addition on the embryonic mesostructure may provide a simple method for the designable synthesis of novel porous materials.

Recently, the synthesis of siliceous vesicular materials has also attracted much attention. By combining the vesicle templating (using organic vesicles as templates for silica deposition) (32) with liquid crystal templating (using the liquid crystalline phases of surfactants to develop mesostructured silica networks) (33-37), other novel pore structure are expected to form (38). However, the transition from uni- or multilamellar vesicles to highly ordered mesostructures is yet to be fully understood. Yuan et al. (23) reported a structure evolution from a highly ordered 2-D hexagonal mesostructure to multilamellar vesicles with sharp edges by increasing the molar ratio of PFOA/P123 (where PFOA is perfluorooctanoic acid). Therein, different amounts of PFOA were introduced before the addition of tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS) as a silica source. It was proposed that, before the presence of TEOS in the
system, PFOA interacted with PEO moiety to adjust the hydrophilic/hydrophobic volume ratio ($V_{H}/V_L$), leading to mesostructures with increased packing parameter values.

In this chapter, the effect of addition time of TMB into the synthesis system after embryonic SBA-15 mesostructure formed has been systematically studied. Previously, the time that TMB was added was measured immediately after the addition of TEOS into nonionic block copolymer (Pluronic P123) solution (31). However, a slight variation in acidity, temperature and the concentration of silica source or P123 will change the precipitation time (the precipitation is regarded as the formation of SBA-15 embryonic mesostructure). Therefore, in our study, the addition time of TMB was measured from the time precipitation was observed. Using the same amount of TMB but added at different times ($t = 2, 10, 15, 20, 25,$ and $30$ min, respectively), a structure evolution from multilamellar vesicles to a highly ordered 2-D hexagonal mesostructure was observed. The formation of multilamellar vesicles from the addition of TMB in this system has not been reported. Our results suggest that a change in the TMB addition time could induce a change in the reaction mechanism and templating pathways, which provides valuable supplements to the current understandings. The resultant siliceous materials with various pore structures can be useful candidates for catalysis, separation and as microcapsules for controlled release/delivery carriers.
4.2 Experimental

4.2.1. Synthesis

All chemicals are commercially available and were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used as received without further purification. The chemicals include nonionic triblock copolymer Pluronic P123 (average molar weight ~5800), TEOS (ReagentPlus®, ≥ 99%), TMB (puriss., ≥ 99.0%), potassium chloride (KCl) (SigmaUltra ≥99.0%), and hydrochloric acid (HCl) (ACS reagent 37%). Deionized water was used in all experiments.

In a typical synthesis, 2.0 g of P123 and 2.2 g of KCl were dissolved in 60 mL of 2.0 M HCl at 38 °C. To this solution, 4.2 g of TEOS was added under vigorous stirring. Subsequently, 1.0 g of TMB was added at different times (t) after white precipitates were observed in the solution. The mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 24 h, followed by a hydrothermal treatment at 130°C for an additional 24 h. The solid products were collected by filtration, washed with deionized water, and dried in air at room temperature. The templates were removed by calcination at 550°C for 5 h in air. The final samples obtained at \( t = 2, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30 \) min were denoted S1 - S6, respectively.

4.2.2. Characterization

X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements were performed on a Bruker D4 ENDEAVOR diffractometer, using Ni-filtered with Cu Kα radiation (40 mA, 40 kV,
1° (2θ) min⁻¹). Nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherms were recorded on a Micromeritics Tristar ASAP 3000 system. The calcined samples were degassed at -196°C overnight on a vacuum line prior to analysis. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) measurements were recorded on a TECNAI 12 microscope operated at 120 kV. The sample particles were suspended in ethanol by ultrasonic for 3 min in the ultrasonic machine (type: FXP10DH) and the suspension was deposited on a copper grid coated with a polymer supported film and carbon. A JEOL 6400 microscope operated at 5 kV was used for scanning electron microscopy (SEM).

4.3. Results

4.3.1. XRD patterns- structural transition with the different addition time of TMB

The small angle XRD patterns of calcined samples S1-S6 are shown in Figure 4.1. When TMB was added soon after the precipitates occurred in solution (S1, S2, \( t = 2, 10 \) min), no diffraction peaks can be observed in the XRD patterns, indicating the lack of ordered mesostructures in these samples. When \( t \) is \( \geq 15 \) min (S3-S6), three characteristic diffraction peaks are observed in the XRD pattern, which can be indexed as (10), (11) and (20) diffractions of a 2-D hexagonal plane group (\( p6m \)) (2). The diffraction peaks are more well-defined in sample S6. The \( d \)-spacing of the first diffraction peak \( d(10) \) of S3-S6 can be determined from the XRD patterns and is listed in Table 4.1. The lattice parameter \( a \) based on the \( p6m \) plane group for S3-S6 can be calculated as 15.0, 13.2, 12.7, 11.5 nm, respectively. A general trend in XRD patterns reveals that when the time delay for TMB addition is increased, the structure
changes from disordered \( t = 2, \ 10 \text{ min} \) to ordered hexagonal mesostructure \( t = 15 - 30 \text{ min} \). Furthermore, the lattice parameter in S3-S6 continuously decreases from 15.0 to 11.5 nm as \( t \) increases from 15 to 30 min.

**Table 4.1** Structure characteristics of mesostructured silica S1-S6 synthesized at different conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>( t ) (min)</th>
<th>( d ) (nm)</th>
<th>( p ) (nm)</th>
<th>( S ) ( (\text{m}^2/\text{g}) )</th>
<th>( V ) ( (\text{cm}^3/\text{g}) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S6</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* No diffraction peaks are observed in the XRD patterns; \( t \) is the time at which TMB was added since the precipitates occurred in solution; \( d \) is the d-spacing calculated from the first diffraction peak in XRD patterns; \( p \) is the pore size calculated from the adsorption branch by the Barrett–Joyner–Halenda (BJH) method; \( S \) is the BET surface area; and \( V \) is the total pore volume measured at a relative pressure of 0.99.
Figure 4.1: XRD patterns of the calcined mesostructured silica S1-S6.

4.3.2. Structural and morphological evolution

TEM images of S1-S6 (Figures 4.2 and 4.3) further elucidate the structural transition in S1- S6. As can be seen from Figures 4.2a and 4.2b, S1 and S2 have a multilamellar and multilamellar vesicular structure, respectively. The sizes of multilamellars in S2 are not uniform and the distance between two adjacent layers ranges from 20 to 40 nm (Figure 4.2b), similar to that in multilamellar structure of S1. The TEM image of S3 exhibits a mixture of multilamellar vesicle and hexagonal mesostructure (Figure 4.2c). The white arrow indicates the multilamellar vesicle structure and the distance between two adjacent layers is relatively smaller and more uniform (~ 20 nm) compared with S1 and S2. The black arrows show the hexagonal mesostructure and the d-spacing measured from the TEM image is 13 nm, which is...
consistent with the value calculated from the XRD data. When increasing $t$ to 20 min, large area of ordered hexagonal mesostructure can be seen along (01) zone axis. The lattice parameter $a$ is measured to be 13 nm, which is also in good agreement with XRD results. However, a small amount of multilamellar vesicle structures can still be seen along side with the hexagonal structure, as indicated by the black arrow in Figure 4.2d.

Figure 4.2: TEM images (a, b, c, d) of the calcined products S1-S4.
When $t$ is further increased ($t = 25, 30$ min), the multilamellar vesicle structure gradually disappears while the hexagonal structure is maintained. For S5, TEM observations at a relatively low magnification show that only a small amount of multilamellar vesicle structures exist, while the majority of samples have an ordered hexagonal mesostructure (Figure 4.3a). Figure 4.3b is a large-area TEM image of calcined sample S6 showing the general information and Figures 4.3c and 4.3d are the high-magnification TEM images taken from (01) and (10) axis, respectively, demonstrating the representative structures. The measured d-spacing is $\sim 10$ nm, which is also in accordance with XRD observation. It is noted that no multilamellar vesicle structure can be found in S6. The TEM images clearly demonstrate the gradual structural transition from a multilamellar vesicle to hexagonal structure induced by the different time of TMB addition to embryonic SBA-15 materials.
Figure 4.3: TEM images (a) and (b - d) of the calcined products S5 and S6.

SEM images displaying typical morphologies of S1-S6 are shown in Figure 4.4. With increasing $t$, the morphology changes from irregular shapes (Figure 4.4a) to hollow spheres (Figure 4.4b) and then to aggregates of small nanoparticles (Figure 4.4c), finally to solid particles with regular shapes and some facets (Figure 4.4d-f). It should be noted that the morphology of S4-S6 is similar and the particle sizes are ranging from 500 nm to 1µm (Figures 4.4d-f), which indicates that when $t$ is longer than 20 min, the morphology of resultant materials will not be greatly affected.
Figure 4.4: SEM images (a, b, c, d, e, f) of the calcined products S1-S6. Scale bar is 1 μm in all the images.

4.3.3. N₂ Adsorption/Desorption Isotherms - Texture Properties

Figures 4.5a and 4.5b show the N₂ adsorption/desorption isotherms and the pore size distribution curves calculated from the adsorption branch using the Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) model (39) of calcined samples S1-S6, respectively. The values of the surface area, pore size, and pore volume of siliceous samples can be determined
based on the N₂ adsorption/desorption data, which are also listed in Table 4.1 for comparison. S1 and S2 exhibit Type II isotherms and the capillary condensation steps occur at a high relative pressure ($P/P_0 > 0.9$), indicative of porous materials consisting large voids surrounded by a mesoporous matrix (40) or hollow particles with mesoporous walls (41-43). In fact, TEM images (Figures 4.2a, 4.2b) confirm that S1 and S2 have multilamellar and multilamellar vesicle structure, respectively, which agrees with the results obtained from N₂ adsorption/desorption isotherms. Moreover, the broad pore size distribution curves of S1 and S2 are also in agreement with the structures as shown in Figures 4.2a and 4.2b. S4-S6 exhibit Type IV isotherms with Type H1 hysteresis loops. The steep capillary condensation step occurs at approximately the same position ($P/P_0=0.83-0.92$) and the pore diameters of S4-S6 can be calculated to be ~18.1 nm in the three samples. The isotherm of S3 is intervent in between Type II and Type IV. The steep capillary condensation of S3 started at $P/P_0=0.83$, which is similar to those observed in S4-S6. The adsorption and desorption branches cross at $P/P_0=1$, showing the similar feature to that of S1-S2. The pore size distribution curve of S3 is slightly broader than that of S4-S6. The above observation is consistent with the TEM results: S3 is in the middle of the structural transition from multilamellar vesicle to hexagonal and thus a mixture of two structures.
Figure 4.5: (a) Nitrogen adsorption and desorption isotherm plots, (b) the pore size distribution curves of the calcined products S1-S6. The Y-axes value of sample S2-S6 is raised 400, 700, 1000, 1400, and 1800 cm$^3$/g, respectively (a), and 0.6, 1.2, 1.8, 2.4, 3.0 cm$^3$/g, respectively (b).

4.4. Discussion

MCFs were demonstrated to form through an oil-in-water microemulsion templating approach (28-30). Our synthesis system is similar to that for the preparation of MCFs. The only difference is that the TMB is added after the silica source is added and the embryonic SBA-15 is formed. As no MCFs can be seen in any of our samples S1- S6, it suggests that this simple change of TMB addition sequence has prevented the formation of microemulsions. Instead, multilamellar vesicle and hexagonal structures observed in these samples signify a vesicular templating and liquid crystal templating mechanism in our study.
Highly ordered mesoporous materials can be synthesized by liquid crystal templating approach where preformed liquid crystal phases in very concentrated surfactant solutions direct the inorganic-organic composite structure (33). They can also be obtained in dilute surfactant solutions, where cooperative interactions between polymer moieties and siliceous species leads to ordered mesostructures with liquid-crystal-like arrays (36). In this approach, the existence of a liquid crystal phase is not necessary. This is also the case in the cooperative vesicular templating process (44).

From a different angle, all our samples S1-S6 were synthesized at conditions similar to those used for the preparation of traditional SBA-15 (37) except that TMB was added into the embryonic SBA-15 at different times. The starting surfactant concentration is ~ 3% wt. No surfactant liquid crystalline phases exist in the system. In the absence of TMB, PEO moieties of P123 interact with siliceous species through hydrogen bonding and P123/silica composites are inclined to cooperatively self-assemble into hexagonal mesostructure, which is the accepted formation mechanism of SBA-15 (37). However, at the time the embryonic SBA-15 is formed, siliceous species with low degree of condensation at the initial stage of aggregation are soft and susceptible to structural changes (45-47). Therefore, TMB can still penetrate through the soft silica wall and enter into the PPO moieties to increase packing parameter of the composite material, leading to lamellar structures rather than conventional hexagonal SBA-15 materials. Moreover, the interaction between
siliceous species and PEO moieties in embryonic SBA-15 may prevent the formation of P123/TMB microemulsions and MCF materials at this stage. The formation of multilamellar vesicle structures can be viewed as a result of bending of lamellar structures to closed vesicles to decrease the line tension (48). The above explanation fits well with the multilamellar and multilamellar vesicle structures observed in S1 and S2 samples (Figures 4.2a and 4.2b).

When TMB is added at $t \geq 15$ min, the extended time of condensation of siliceous species leads to a relatively rigid silica wall, which makes it more and more difficult for the TMB to enter into the PPO moieties. As a result, the d-spacing determined from the first diffraction peak in S3-S6 continuously decreases with increasing delay time in TMB addition (see Table 4.1). Further, a structural transition from multilamellar vesicle to hexagonal structure can be seen in samples S3-S6. While S3 is still a mixture of multilamellar vesicle and hexagonal structures, less and less multilamellar vesicle phase is observed in S4 and S5. When $t$ reaches 30 minutes, only hexagonal mesostructure is observed (S6), indicating that the addition of TMB at this time has no effect on structural transition from hexagonal to multilamellar vesicle structures. From Table 4.1, it is also noted that samples S3-S6 have a similar pore size of ~18 nm, which is larger than the cell parameter of hexagonal mesostructure. Such phenomenon has been observed before by Fan and co-workers (31), indicating that the calculated pore size by BJH method does not correspond to
the diameter of the cylindrical channel, but a reflection of the 3D-SBA-15 complex pore structures.

The results show that the time to add TMB is a key factor for the structure evolution from multilamellar vesicle to hexagonal mesostructures. Through this work, it is shown that the cooperative templating approaches are very sensitive. Not only the surfactant templates and inorganic species are important in determining the self-assembled structure, but also the addition of organic cosolvent and the addition time can significantly influence the reaction mechanism and the final structure of the product materials.

4.5. Conclusions

The work presented in this chapter demonstrates that a change in TMB addition time to a nonionic block copolymer templating system has caused a phase transformation from multilamellar vesicles to an ordered hexagonal mesostructure in the synthesis of mesoporous materials. While TMB has been used to facilitate the formation of a microemulsion template and as a swelling agent for mesostructured materials, it is used in our work as an agent to change the packing parameter of cooperatively self-assembled mesostructured or vesicular materials. A significant implication of our work is that, through simple manipulation of the addition sequence as well as the addition time of organic cosolvents, the self-assembly processes can be tuned among microemulsion templating, cooperative vesicular templating and cooperative liquid
crystal templating, from which complex inorganic materials with different but tunable pore structures can be obtained with the same synthesis system. The findings may pave the way for a facile approach to the synthesis of versatile porous materials of different structures, which have potential applications in catalysis, immobilization and controlled release of large biomolecules.
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Chapter 5  Synthesis of nitrogen doped porous carbon materials

5.1. Introduction

Porous carbon materials are important in many areas of modern science and technology, including water and air purification, gas separation, catalysis, and energy storage. (1, 2) Recently, they are regarded as attractive candidates for hydrogen storage because of a combination of adsorption ability, high specific surface area, pore microstructure, good mechanical stability, and low mass density. The hydrogen storage behaviour of carbonaceous materials with high specific surface area, such as activated carbons, activated carbon fibre, carbon nanotubes, and mesoporous carbons have been investigated and reported.

Most porous carbon materials are primarily microporous (< 2 nm), which makes them well suited for many applications. However, there are numerous potential applications in which materials with carbonaceous surfaces would be attractive but require the presence of larger pores, preferably in the mesopore (2–50 nm) and macropore (> 50 nm) range. These include for instance adsorption of large molecules, catalyst support, chromatographic separations, electrochemical double layer capacitors, and fuel cells. Therefore, there has been a considerable interest in the synthesis of ordered mesoporous and macroporous carbon materials.
Porous carbon materials have been synthesized using various methods. The following represents traditional methods, as following:

1) Chemical activation, physical activation, and a combination of the physical and chemical activation processes. (3-7)

2) Catalytic activation of carbon precursors in the presence of certain metal salts or organometallic compounds. (8-10)

3) Carbonization of polymer blends with one thermally unstable component such as a carbonizable polymer and a pyrolyzable polymer. (11-14)

4) Carbonization of a polymer aerogel or cryogel synthesized under supercritical drying conditions. (15-17)

Although many porous carbon materials have been developed using the above-mentioned methods, the synthesis of porous carbon materials with a very narrow pore size distribution has been very challenging. Over the last two decades, the technique of template carbonization has been developed, and many kinds of rigid and designed inorganic templates have been employed in an attempt to synthesize carbons with uniform pore sizes.

In 1986, Knox and his co-workers(18) reported that silica gel can be impregnated with polymer precursors that can be polymerized to form a continuous network surrounding the silica particles. The carbonization of the polymer coating and the subsequent dissolution of the silica gel template rendered a mesoporous carbon with
a rigid structure featuring also some micropores. Since then, many porous carbon materials with uniform pore sizes having micropores, mesopores, or macropores have been synthesized using various inorganic templates.

Mallouk’s group (1997) (19) synthesized phenol formaldehyde polymers by making use of the acidity of the zeolite framework inside various zeolite, and then carbonized the polymer/zeolite composites to obtain porous carbons. Phenol was infiltrated into the narrow pores of the zeolite by the vapor-phase infiltration method. These carbons consisted of both micropores and a considerable amount of mesopores. Later, Kyotani’s group (20) reported successful synthesis of uniformly sized and ordered microporous carbon materials using zeolite Y as a template through a two step carbonization method.

Ryoo and his coworkers (21) successfully synthesized an ordered mesoporous carbon designated as CMK-3 using hexagonally ordered mesoporous silica SBA-15 as a template. The ordered structure of the CMK-3 carbon was the exact inverse replica of the SBA-15 silica without the structural transformation during the removal of the silica template. CMK-3 type ordered mesoporous carbon was also synthesized by the infiltration of the carbon precursor via adsorption in the vapor phase and using p-toluene sulfonic acid impregnated SBA-15 as a template. (22)
For the synthesis of macroporous carbon materials with core-shell structures, spherical submicrometer sized silica particles have been used as templates. The pore size of the resulting macroporous carbon materials could be easily controlled by varying the particle size of the silica spheres. Zakhidov et al. (23) synthesized various structured macroporous carbon materials using synthetic silica opals, which were made by the self-assembly of uniform sized silica spheres as templates and by the infiltration of a phenolic resin as carbon precursor.

Liu and coworkers (24) presented the preparation of monodisperse silica poly divinylbenzene (SiO₂/PDVB) and silica poly(ethyleneglycol dimethacrylate) (SiO₂/PEGDMA) core shell hybrid microspheres by encapsulation of the 3-methacryloxy-propyltrimethoxysilane (MPS) modified silica cores during the distillation precipitation polymerization of divinylbenzene (DVB) or ethyleneglycol dimethacrylate (EGDMA) in neat acetonitrile in the absence of any stabilizer or surfactant. This is a two stage reaction, in which the silica core with diameter of 238 nm was prepared according to the Stober method in the first stage. Silica particles were used as seeds in the second stage of reaction for the growth of core shell hybrid microspheres. Further, the corresponding polyDVB and polyEGDMA hollow microspheres having a well defined size and controlled shell thickness (9-33 nm) were developed after selective removal of the silica core in hydrofluoric acid. From their results, the shell thickness of the resultant polyEGDMA hollow microspheres
could be controlled by the amount of EGDMA monomer during the encapsulation of polyEGDMA over MPS modified silica core.

Recently, carbon cryogels have attracted much more attention as an activated carbon. Carbon cryogels can be synthesized through the sol-gel polycondensation of resorcinol with formaldehyde in a slightly basic aqueous solution followed by freeze drying and pyrolysis in an inert atmosphere. Carbon cryogels possess high surface areas and large mesopore volumes and their mesoporosity can be controlled by varying the amounts of the reactants, catalyst and water used in the sol-gel polycondensation. Feaver and co-workers reported the successful synthesis of carbon cryogels. (25) In their work, carbon cryogels have pores ranging from 2 to 20 nm in diameter and with a pore volume of 0.70 cm$^3$/g, and a surface area of 300 m$^2$/g.

While there is no doubt that silica templates play a vital role in the formation of replicated porous carbon materials, carbon precursors can greatly influence the final contents and surface properties. Generally, porous carbon materials were produced using sucrose (C$_{12}$H$_{22}$O$_{11}$), furfuryl alcohol (C$_5$H$_{6}$O$_2$), glucose (C$_6$H$_{12}$O$_6$), phenol (C$_6$H$_5$O), and resorcinol (C$_6$H$_6$O$_2$) as carbon precursor. As reported in literature, the synthesis of mesoporous carbon CMK-3 was performed using sucrose as the carbon source. (21) However, the presence of other elements in carbon precursors has been considered to produce novel porous carbon materials with designable and functional structure and surface properties.
There is considerable interest in research on the synthesis and characterization of nitrogen doped carbon nanostructures because of their new application as electrode materials for double layer capacitors and catalytic materials for proton exchange membrane fuel cells. (26) However, there are some challenges in its commercialization. One of the main problems is the production cost of component materials, for instance membranes, bipolar plates and carbon supported platinum and its alloys.

Carbon is an ideal support for electrocatalysts for fuel cell due to its high electronic conductivity, corrosion resistance, surface properties, easy reclaim of precious catalytic metals and the low cost. Moreover, it is generally believed that metal catalysts should be deposited on porous nanostructure materials in order to increase the specific surface area, which is the prerequisite to obtain an acceptable catalytic performance. (27,28) The combined physical and electrochemical properties of, porous carbon materials make them the stand out candidate among other materials.

Graphite nanofibers, (29) carbon nanotubes, (30) carbon nanohorns (31) and carbon nanocoils (32,33) have shown promising results towards fuel cell electrode reactions. But in terms of activity, cost and durability, current catalysts still need great improvement to meet the target requirements. It is found that the surface chemistry and the structure of the support materials can greatly influence the activity of the resultant catalysts. (34,35) This is because the interaction between the support and
metal catalyst can modify the electric structure of catalytic metals which in turn changes the catalytic activity, and the durability of the resultant catalysts depends on the metal-support interaction and the durability of the support materials. Moderate nitrogen content in the carbon matrix apparently produced a beneficial effect on capacitance behavior of the porous electrodes in acidic medium based on its faradaic reaction. (36-38)

There are two methods often used in producing nitrogen doped porous carbon materials. (35) One is doping directly during the synthesis procedure, and the other is post treatment of pre-synthesized carbon materials with nitrogen containing precursor. In recent years, many efforts are devoted on the template growth of nitrogen doped carbon materials. Xia and Mokaya (39) reported well ordered porous nitrogen doped carbon materials that possess graphitic pore walls prepared via chemical vapor deposition and pyrolysis with the pure silica SBA-15 or other mesoporous silica as solid templates. Hou et al (40) reported a nitrogen containing microporous carbon with a highly ordered structure synthesized by using zeolite Y as a template.

In this chapter, spherical silica particles were chosen as templates to synthesize macroporous carbon materials which have large pore space for impregnating guest materials. Particularly, porous carbon materials presented in this chapter have been produced using melamine formaldehyde resin as nitrogen containing carbon
precursor and silicate colloidal spheres as hard templates prepared via a sol-gel polymerization method. The carbon precursor used in this process is commercially available and very cheap, which will be favorable in the preparation of porous carbon materials on a large scale. The resultant porous carbon materials show high specific surface areas and high pore volume, large pore size, and moderate content of nitrogen. It is anticipated that these prominent features can provide a new nanoscaffold for loading AB and influence the hydrogen release performance of AB confined in nanostructured scaffolds.

5.2. Experimental

Chemicals:

Chemicals including tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS, Reagent Plus 99%), ethanol (absolute AR.), aqueous ammonia (25wt% NH₃ in water), formaldehyde (36 wt% HCHO solution in water), melamine (C₃H₆N₆, purum, >99%), hydrochloric acid (2.0mol/L HCl solution in water), hydrofluoric acid (35wt% HF solution in water) were purchased from SIGMA-ALDRICH and were used as received without further purification. Aerosil 200 and Aerosil 300 were purchased from EVONIK DEGUSSA. Deionized water was used in all experiments.

Characterization:

The morphology and microstructure of the synthesized samples were characterized by N₂ absorption-desorption isotherms and scanning electron microscopy (SEM).
The BET surface areas and textural structure were measured using an automated adsorption analyzer Micromeritics Tristar ASAP 3000 system. The samples were degassed at 196 °C overnight on a vacuum line prior to analysis. The SEM photomicrograph of the samples was taken in a JEOL 6400 microscope with Pt coating.

The surface chemistry of samples was determined using a Kratos Axis ULTRA X-ray Photoelectron Spectrometer (XPS) incorporating a 165mm hemispherical electron energy analyser. The incident radiation was Monochromatic Al Kα X-rays (1486.6eV) at 150W (15kV, 10ma) and at 45 degrees to the sample surface. Photoelectron data was collected at a take-off angle of theta = 90°. Survey (wide) scans were taken at an analyser pass energy of 160eV and multiplex (narrow) high resolution scans at 20eV. Survey scans were carried out over 1200-0eV binding energy range with 1.0eV steps and a dwell time of 100ms. Narrow high-resolution scans were run with 0.05ev steps and 250ms dwell time. Base pressure in the analysis chamber was 1.0x10⁻⁹ torr and during sample analysis 1.0x10⁻⁸ torr. Atomic concentrations were calculated using the CasaXPS version 2.3.14 software and a linear baseline with Kratos library Relative Sensitivity Factors (RSFs).

5.2.1 Synthesis of spherical silica particles
Silica spheres were prepared according to the Stöber method,(41) a system of chemical reactions based on the fact that silica particles can be produced by chemical
reaction of tetraesters of silieic acid (tetraalkyl silicates) with certain solutions. The procedure involves ammonic catalyzed hydrolysis of TEOS in ethanol at room temperature, which leads to the precipitation of monodisperse spherical silica particles. The desired diameter of the silica particles from 150-450 nm can be controlled by careful variation of reagent conditions, such as the concentrations of water, ammonia and TEOS.

At the beginning of each preparation, ethanol, aqueous ammonia solution and water were mixed in sealed bottles in the desired concentrations of ammonia and water. Subsequently the siliceous solution was added and the bottles were put on a magnetic stirrer. The total amount of solution in each test varied between 50 mL and 100 mL. The condensation reaction generally started within 15 min and the total reaction time was varied between 12 hours and 24 hours.

In a typical synthesis, 6.24 g TEOS was first dissolved in 50 mL ethanol. 5.4 g ammonia, 4.0 g water with 50 mL ethanol were added to the mixture and stirred for 24 hours at room temperature. The siliceous products can be collected by centrifugation and washed with deionized water. The obtained silica precipitate was dried at room temperature in air and then was dispersed in deionized water with concentration of 5.0 g/100mL for further use.
5.2.2 Synthesis of nitrogen doped porous carbon materials

In our study, porous carbon materials were derived using melamine formaldehyde resin (MF) as nitrogen-containing carbon precursor and silicate colloid as a silica source via the sol-gel polymerization induced colloid aggregation method.

Monodisperse functional silica/polymer core-shell composite materials with silica as core and polymer as shell can be prepared by a two stage reaction. The silica core with different diameter was synthesized in the first stage. The polymer shell was then coated onto the silica core particles by sol gel polymerization. Carbonization by pyrolysis under an inert atmosphere resulted in the formation of carbon silica composite. After being dissolved in an HF solution, the silica template was removed and a porous carbon material was produced.

The silica samples with particle sizes of about 450 nm, 320 nm, 230 nm, and 150 nm were obtained in the above synthesis. Spherical silica materials with the particle size of 12 nm and 7 nm are commercial fumed silica Aerosil 200 and Aerosil 300 which have been used in our work as small size silica template.

In a typical synthesis, 3.15 g (25 mmol) melamine and 5.0 ml (66 mmol) formaldehyde solution (36 wt%) were firstly added into 12.5 ml deionized water and stirred at 85 °C to obtain a clear solution. After 20 minutes under stirring, the resulting precursor solution was cooled down to 40 °C and poured into 30 ml silica
colloid solution at 40 °C under magnetic stirring. After that, pH value of the mixtures was adjusted to 4.5 by addition of hydrochloric acid solution (2.0mol/L) and the mixtures were kept at a static condition for 20 hours to obtain the MF/silica composites. These composites were collected by filtration, washed with deionized water and ethanol, and dried in air at room temperature. In order to prepare the porous carbon materials, the MF/silica composites were firstly cured at 180 °C for 24 hours in air, then carbonized at up to 900 °C for 5 hours under a nitrogen flow in tube furnace to obtain the carbon/silica composites. The heating rate was 3°C/min. Finally, 20 wt% HF solution was used to etch the silica template at room temperature. The final porous carbon materials dispersed in a liquid was collected by filtration and washed with deionized water and dried at room temperature in air.

5.3 Results and discussion

5.3.1 Silica particles

In this procedure, ammonia is used as a morphological catalyst to induce the formation of spherical particles. The concentration of ammonia in the solution also influences the size of the particle formed. Our results are consistent with those from literature. SEM images of spherical silica particles with uniform size are shown in Figure 5.1, representing four silica samples obtained under comparable conditions. When the ammonia concentration is 1.8 mol/L, 1.3 mol/L, 0.8 mol/L, and 0.3 mol/L, the mean diameters of silica spheres are 450, 320, 230 and 150 nm, respectively. It is found that, as ammonia concentration increases, larger particle size obtained. When
the ammonia concentration decreases to a certain level, such as 0.1 mol/L in our experiments, almost no spherical particles can be obtained except few irregular small particles are observed under the electron microscopy.

Figure 5.1 SEM images of four spherical silica samples obtained in our experimental systems, with ammonia concentration a: 1.8 mol/L, b: 1.3 mol/L, c: 0.8 mol/L, and d: 0.3 mol/L.

During the reaction process after mixing TEOS with the other reactants, an increasing opalescence in the mixture solution can be observed as a function of reaction time, suggestive of the hydrolysis of TEOS to form silicic acid and the condensation of silicic acid to form condensed silica species. In order to avoid the
kinetic influence of reaction time on the particle size of silica spheres, the precipitates after 12 and 24 hours were collected by centrifugation and observed under SEM, showing inconspicuous differences in particle size for the four ammonia concentrations under investigation. Therefore, a reaction time of 24 hour was chosen in our experiments.

5.3.2 Porous carbon
A series of porous carbon materials have been synthesized under different experimental conditions and using various silica template of different particle size. SEM images displaying typical morphologies of porous carbon samples are shown in Figure 5.2. It can be seen that all presented porous carbon samples contain plenty of voids as well as open micropores. A loosely packed nanoframe constructs the whole material.
Nitrogen sorption isotherm analyses can provide detailed information about the surface area and pore volume of the porous carbon materials. The values of surface area and pore volume of these samples were shown in Table 5.1.
Table 5.1: Structural characteristics of porous carbon synthesized at different conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Silica particle size (nm)</th>
<th>Carbon surface area (m²/g)</th>
<th>Carbon pore volume (cm³/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>737</td>
<td>1.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>652</td>
<td>1.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>642</td>
<td>1.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nitrogen adsorption/desorption data of porous carbon materials shown in Figure 5.3 exhibit Type II isotherms and the capillary condensation steps occur at a relative pressure about \( P/P_0 > 0.45 \), indicative of porous materials consisting large voids or hollow particles with porous walls.
Figure 5.3 Nitrogen sorption isotherms of porous carbon samples S1-S6

The XPS result of one selected porous carbon sample (Sample 4) is shown in Figure 5.4. It shows that the nitrogen contents of Sample is 5.09 wt%. As reported previously, the performances of porous carbon materials are greatly influenced by the surface functional groups. The porous carbon materials obtained in our approach have moderate content of nitrogen, which can be used to entrap AB for hydrogen
storage. The performance of the nitrogen-doped porous carbons in the storage of hydrogen will be discussed in detail in the next chapter.

Figure 5.4 The XPS results of porous carbon S4

5.4 Conclusions

In this chapter, silica spheres with controlled diameters have been successfully synthesized. By using a series of silica particles as hard templates, N-doped porous carbon materials were prepared. These porous carbon materials have high pore volumes, adjustable pore sizes, and moderate content of nitrogen in the pore walls. It is anticipated that these porous carbon materials may be used as nano-scaffolds for loading AB and possess improved hydrogen release performance.
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Chapter 6  Ammonia borane confined within porous silica and carbon for hydrogen storage

6.1. Introduction

The physical and chemical properties of hydrogen make it an important potential synthetic energy for our future economy and society. In order to utilize hydrogen for transportation, it becomes obvious that vehicular hydrogen storage needs drastic improvement to be practical. The Department of Energy (DOE) of the United States has set capacity benchmark levels of above 6.0 wt% and a volumetric density of 63 kg H\textsubscript{2} m\textsuperscript{-3} by the year 2010, and a target of 9 wt% hydrogen by 2015, under moderate pressure and temperature for an onboard hydrogen storage and delivery system. Moreover, the onboard hydrogen storage system needs to operate at an acceptable working efficiency and condition. To date, few materials meet the long-term gravimetric requirements and provide rapid hydrogen release at a temperature between -20 and 85°C. Thus it is very important to explore new materials that have light weight and can store a high percentage of hydrogen with desirable delivery characteristics.

Hydrogen storage materials that release H\textsubscript{2} by chemical thermolysis without producing by-products or contaminants are a promising option. One material which has attracted much attention is ammonia borane NH\textsubscript{3}BH\textsubscript{3} (AB), owing to its remarkable stoichiometric hydrogen content (~19.6 wt %), moderate temperatures
for thermal decomposition, the exothermic nature of decomposition process, as well as the fact that it is thermally stable at ambient temperatures for store and transport.

The thermal decomposition of solid AB includes the following three steps. (1)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{NH}_3\text{BH}_3 & \rightarrow \text{NH}_2\text{BH}_2 + H_2 \quad <120^\circ C \\
\text{NH}_2\text{BH}_2 & \rightarrow \text{NHBH} + H_2 \quad >120^\circ C \\
\text{NHBH} & \rightarrow \text{NB} + H_2 \quad >500^\circ C
\end{align*}
\]

The first and second reactions release 6.5 and 6.9 wt% of hydrogen below 200°C, with the formation of polyaminobrane (\(\text{NH}_2\text{BH}_2\)_x) and polyiminoborane (\(\text{NHBH}\)_x), respectively. The third reaction can release 7.3 wt% of hydrogen. However, it is impractical for hydrogen fuel purpose because of the very high decomposition temperature (>500°C). During the thermal decomposition process, besides hydrogen, highly volatile products, involving borazine (\(\text{N}_3\text{B}_3\text{H}_6\)), monomeric (\(\text{BH}_2\text{NH}_2\)) and ammonia (\(\text{NH}_3\)), are also generated with different heating rates. (2)

With both protic N-H and hydridic B-H bonds and a strong B-N bond, three H atoms per main group element, and a low molecular weight (30.7 g/mol), AB has the potential to meet the stringent gravimetric and volumetric hydrogen storage capacity targets needed for transportation applications. Although AB is a strong candidate for on-board hydrogen storage, there are still some obstacles limiting its practical application. The major challenges are the slow dehydrogenation kinetics;
irreversibility and formation of volatile materials (trace borazine and ammonia). (3) In order to eventually satisfy all of the economic and technical requirements for use as a sustainable energy source, the AB system has to be able to release most of the available hydrogen at an appropriate rate, must produce a minimum of volatile by-products. An economically viable method for the regeneration of AB will also have to be developed before it can be used at the commercial scale.

Recently, much attention has been received to solve these problems. Nanostructured materials have the great potential for hydrogen storage because of their unique features such as adsorption on the surface, inter- and intra- grain boundaries, and bulk absorption. Nanostructured and nanoscale materials strongly influence the thermodynamics and kinetics of hydrogen absorption and dissociation by decreasing the H$_2$ dissociation temperature, increasing the diffusion rate and decreasing the required diffusion length. (4,5) Additionally, the materials at the nanoscale offer the possibility of tailoring material parameters independently of their bulk counterparts.

Experimental results on nanoscaled AB systems have clearly demonstrated improvements in the reaction kinetics and/or thermodynamics of hydrogenation and dehydrogenation. As mentioned in the previous chapter, Autrey and co-workers (4) found that the kinetics of hydrogen release was significantly enhanced at low temperatures (50 °C) for a hybrid material, ammonia borane infused in a nanoporous silica, hexagonally ordered mesoporous silica SBA-15, and that the hydrogen purity
is increased. There are two notable effects resulting from the nanostructure of AB in the SBA-15 scaffold. First, the temperature threshold for hydrogen release is much lower than the temperature threshold for neat AB. Second, the yield of the side product, borazine, is significantly lower than that from neat solid state AB. These findings opened a new approach to on-board hydrogen storage by combining hydrogen-rich materials with nanoscaffolds.

Carbon AB nanoconfinement also offers promising alternative due to a number of potential benefits, e.g. a percolated carbon network serves as a thermal conduction pathway, adjustable pore diameter provides size confinement for hydride particles, and the carbon framework provides the powder with required mechanical strength with minimal added weight. Feaver et al. (5) studied coherent carbon cryogel AB nanocomposites for hydrogen storage and found a hydrogen release reaction with an appreciable reduction in the dehydrogenation temperature to \(< 90 \, ^\circ\text{C}\) as well as the suppression of borazine release. Particularly, as nano supports, the large pore size of 2 to 30 nm within the ordered mesoporous carbons are beneficial to mass transfer.

Recently, the release of hydrogen from AB by catalysis attracted much attention. In particular, catalysis of AB dehydrogenation by some metals (such as palladium and rhodium, (6-8) iridium, (9) cobalt and nickel (10-12)) presented notable improvements. Yao et al. (1) reported a new catalytic strategy using lithium and nanostructure confinement in mesoporous carbon (CMK-3) for the thermal
decomposition of AB. AB loaded on the 5% Li/CMK-3 framework released ~7 wt% of hydrogen at around 60 ºC, and entirely suppressed borazine and ammonia emissions that were harmful for proton exchange membrane fuel cells. The results suggest that the use of functional porous carbons with modified surface as scaffolds may enhance the properties of a solid state hydrogen storage material.

Despite the demonstrated effectiveness of concerted kinetic and thermodynamic improvements of the hydrides, the nano engineering approach is plagued by the capacity penalties imposed by the use of foreign scaffolds or metal catalysts. It will be desirable to directly synthesize porous carbons with functional surface that can enhance the hydrogen release behavior of AB, in the absence of impregnated catalysts. Moreover, the influences of the nanostructure parameters on the hydrogen release of AB, such as the pore diameters of the scaffolds, are not systematically reported. A deep understanding on the correlation between the hydrogen release behavior and the pore structure as well as wall composition of scaffolds will be important for the optimization of an AB/scaffold composite system for practical applications.

In this chapter, AB is encapsulated within two porous silica samples with tunable pore sizes and one N-doped porous carbon. The hydrogen release behaviour of AB in the three AB/scaffold systems is further investigated.
6.2 Experimental

6.2.1 Synthesis

Chemicals:

Chemicals including ammonia borane (assay 97%) and methanol (99%) were purchased from SIGMA-ALDRICH and were used as received without further purification.

Synthesis:

Encapsulation of AB within nanostructured frameworks such as porous silica and carbon materials has been prepared using a wet impregnation approach. Nanostructured scaffolds are the materials prepared in our previous work, including silica-1 from chapter 3, which has a helical structure and a pore diameter of 1.8 nm; silica 2 from chapter 4, which is the vesicular structure with a pore diameter of 18 nm, and a porous carbon from chapter 5, which was obtained using 320 nm silica particles as hard templates.

For a typical AB impregnation process, 500 mg AB was dissolved in 5 ml methanol and the same amount of nanoscaffold material was added to the solution under stirring. The methanolic solution appeared to fill the internal channels and open-window porous scaffold through a capillary action. The solvent were removed under vacuum to obtain the solid AB/nanoscaffold materials with an internal coating of
ammonia borane. After the AB impregnation process, the samples were named as AB/Silica-1, AB/Silica-2, and AB/Carbon, respectively.

6.2.2 Characterization

The impregnated samples were characterized by nitrogen absorption-desorption isotherms. The thermal analysis was determined by temperature programmed decomposition using a RGA MS system. All thermal analyses were made from room temperature to 300°C at a heating rate of 1 °C/min under Argon gas flow and the signal response is 3s. The surface chemistry of samples was determined with a Kratos Axis ULTRA X-ray Photoelectron Spectrometer (XPS) incorporating a 165mm hemispherical electron energy analyser. The incident radiation was Monochromatic Al Kα X-rays (1486.6eV) at 150W (15kV, 10ma) and at 45 degrees to the sample surface. Photoelectron data was collected at a take-off angle of theta = 90°. Survey (wide) scans were taken at an analyser pass energy of 160eV and multiplex (narrow) high resolution scans at 20eV. Survey scans were carried out over 1200-0eV binding energy range with 1.0eV steps and a dwell time of 100ms. Narrow high-resolution scans were run with 0.05ev steps and 250ms dwell time. Base pressure in the analysis chamber was 1.0x10⁻⁹ torr and during sample analysis 1.0x10⁻⁸ torr. Atomic concentrations were calculated using the CasaXPS version 2.3.14 software and a linear baseline with Kratos library Relative Sensitivity Factors (RSFs).
6.3 Results and discussion

6.3.1 Nitrogen sorption isotherms

Nitrogen sorption isotherms of mesoporous Silica-1 and Silica-2 before and after loaded with AB are presented in Figure 6.1. Mesoporous Silica-1 has a BET surface area of 573 m$^2$/g and a pore volume of 0.46 cm$^3$/g (see Table 6.1). However, after loading with AB, the AB/Silica-1 composite has a much decreased BET surface area of 21 m$^2$/g and a very low pore volume of 0.032 cm$^3$/g. The surface area and pore volume for mesoporous Silica-2 are 321 and 1.12 cm$^3$/g, respectively, which also decrease to 53 m$^2$/g and 0.143 cm$^3$/g for the AB/Silica-2. It is concluded that after loaded with AB, the surface area and pore volume are greatly reduced in both silica samples.
**Figure 6.1** Nitrogen sorption isotherms of Silica-1 and Silica-2 before and after AB loading

Table 6.1 Physicochemical property of silica and carbon before and after AB loading

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Pore size (nm)</th>
<th>Surface area (m²/g)</th>
<th>Pore volume (cm³/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Silica-1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>573</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB/Silica-1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silica-2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB/Silica-2</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB/Carbon</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>0.043</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The pore size distribution curves of both silica samples before and after loading with AB are shown in Figure 6.2. It is shown that after impregnation of AB, although the pore volume is greatly decreased in both samples, the pore size is almost the same for AB/Silica-1 compared with the pure silica sample before loading, indicating that at least part of the pores in silica-1 were not impregnated with AB, probably due to its ultrasmall pore size and inhomogeneous distribution of AB. On the contrary, the pore size of AB/Silica-2 is decreased from 18 nm in pure silica sample to 8 nm. The shrinkage of the pore size in AB/Silica-2 can be regarded as another support for the loading of AB into nanopores.
Figure 6.2 Pore size distribution curves of Silica-1 and Silica-2 before and after loading with AB

Nitrogen sorption isotherms of porous carbon before and after loaded with AB are presented in Figure 6.3. In the case of porous carbon, the BET surface area and pore volume are decreased from 355 m$^2$/g and 0.92 cm$^3$/g (before loading) to 47 m$^2$/g and 0.043 cm$^3$/g (after loading), respectively. The large pore should be impregnated with AB as the capillary condensation step at high relatively pressure is not observed. For a direct comparison, the pore size, pore volume and surface area of mesoporous Silica-1 and Silica-2 as well as porous carbon before and after loading with AB are listed in Table 6.1.
6.3.2 X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy

The surface properties of AB/nanocomposites were further investigated by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). Figure 6.4 shows the B 1s XPS results of AB/Silica-2 and AB/carbon. The peaks at 187.8 eV and 190.8 eV in the XPS pattern are attributed to B-H and B-O bonds, respectively. The formations of B-O bonds suggest the interaction of AB with the Si-OH group at the surface. In the case of AB/carbon system, the O is from the OH group located at the surface of carbon due to the synthesis procedure. Comparing AB/Silica-2 and AB/Carbon materials, it is shown the relative peak intensity of B-O versus B-H is much higher in AB/Silica-2, suggesting that OH group density is much less in the case of AB/Carbon material.

**Figure 6.3** Nitrogen sorption isotherms of porous carbon before and after loading with AB
Figure 6.4 The B 1s XPS results of AB/Silica-2 and AB/Carbon

6.3.3 Thermal decomposition performance

The thermal decomposition performances of AB, AB/Silica-1 and AB/Silica-2 (two silicas with the same composition but different pore sizes), and AB/Carbon, have
been measured by thermogravimetry (TG) combined with mass spectroscopy. The TG curve of AB loaded in Silica-1 is measured and shown in Figure 6.5. For comparison, the TG curve of neat AB is also shown in this figure. Below 150 °C, the weight loss from neat AB is 29.12% and AB/Silica-1 is 30.23%. This is much larger than the theoretical hydrogen release capacity in neat AB via two steps of reaction, which is 13.4 wt% in total. This observation can be attributed to the formation of volatile products other than hydrogen gas from AB. Moreover, it can be seen that AB loaded in Silica-1 has a similar decomposition behavior to that of neat AB. This is in accordance with the nitrogen sorption analysis results. As Silica-1 has a small mean pore diameter of 1.8 nm, it is very likely that only very limited amount of AB entered the nanopores. Most of the AB that is associated with the silica particles must be deposited on the surface thus showing a similar decomposition behavior to that of neat AB. This result suggests that nanoporous silica with small pore diameters is not a good candidate for loading AB.

![Figure 6.5 Thermogravimetric results of neat AB and AB/Silica-1](image)

Figure 6.5 Thermogravimetric results of neat AB and AB/Silica-1
The TG curve of AB loaded in Silica-2 is shown in Figure 6.6. It is seen different from that for AB/Silica-1, the weight loss from AB/Silica-2 is ~ 20% below 150 °C, much smaller than that from neat AB and AB/Silica-1. Importantly, the decomposition behavior can be seen to be very different from that of neat AB. To understand clearly, the products of the decomposition were sent to a mass spectroscopy for analysis. The mass spectra of the products are shown in Figure 6.7. The figure shows that, below 150 °C, the main release product is hydrogen with a small amount of ammonia while the release of borazine cannot be detected from our measurement. The release of ammonia explains the observation that the weight loss from AB/Silica-2, which is ~ 20%, is higher than the theoretical amount of hydrogen that can be released from AB, which is ~ 13.4%.

Figure 6.6 Thermogravimetric results of neat AB and AB/Silica-2
Figure 6.7 The MS result of AB/Silica-2

Figure 6.8 compares the hydrogen release behavior from neat AB and AB/Silica-2. It can be seen that neat AB starts to decompose at above 100°C and has two release peaks at around 110°C and 150°C, respectively. This is consistent with results from literature.(4) Compared with neat AB, the decomposition of AB loaded in nanostructured Silica-2 shows an almost one-step process for the release of hydrogen, the second peak at relatively higher temperature is greatly reduced. Moreover, compared with neat AB, AB/Silica-2 releases hydrogen at a lower temperature with a desorption peak at 97 °C, almost 13 °C lower compared to neat AB. It is also noted that compared with neat AB, the release of ammonia is greatly suppressed,(1) although a little amount of ammonia is still detected (Figure 6.7).
The products released from AB loaded in the porous carbon material are shown in Figure 6.9. The mass spectra suggest that neither ammonia nor borazine can be detected, indicating that the unnecessary byproducts from AB decomposition has been totally suppressed. It is noted that when AB is loaded in a mesoporous carbon, CMK-3, the release of ammonia and borazine was detected as reported in a previous literature,(1) showing the advantage of N-doped carbon composition in our material. The release of hydrogen appears with the first desorption peak at 90 °C, about 20 °C lower than that of neat AB. From Figure 6.9, the total amount of hydrogen released is measured to be 6 wt%.
6.4 Conclusions

In this chapter, the hydrogen release behaviour of AB encapsulated within two porous silicas with tunable pore sizes and one N-doped porous carbon has been studied. In the case of porous silicas as nanoscaffolds, when the pore diameter is too small, such as 1.8 nm (Silica-1), AB cannot be efficiently impregnated into the nanopores and the thermal decomposition of AB is associated with the formation of volatile by-products. In the case of a large pore Silica-2 (18 nm), it is a relatively better candidate compared to Silica-1 with small pore because the formation of most by-products is suppressed and the first hydrogen desorption peak is lowered by 13 °C compared to neat AB. However, a small amount of released ammonia is still observed, thus Silica-2 is not an ideal candidate. In the case of a macroporous N-doped carbon material, the total amount of hydrogen released is 6 wt%, while the formation of both ammonia and borazine are suppressed. More importantly, the first
release peak of hydrogen is 90 °C, about 20 °C lower than that of neat AB, which is better than a pure carbon material CMK-3 and equivalent to a Li-CMK-3 material.(1) Our results have shown that in addition to the influence of pore size, the composition of the host material is another important factor. It is anticipated that highly porous N-doped carbon materials with further optimized pore parameters will be ideal candidates for AB immobilization and hydrogen storage.
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Chapter 7  Conclusions and Recommendations

7.1 Conclusions

Several strategies have been developed to synthesize porous silica and carbon materials with controlled pore size and composition as new candidates for hydrogen storage.

Firstly, a facile but effective method to synthesize helical mesostructures with small pore size and adjustable pitch size has been developed. The influence of trifluoroacetic acid, acetic acid and their salts in the synthesis of helical mesoporous materials has been systematically investigated. Helical mesostructures have been successfully obtained when CF$_3$COO$^-$ anions are used as additives with an additive/CTAB molar ratios range of 0.1-0.375 for the CF$_3$COOH/CTAB templating system and a relatively wider molar ratio of additive/CTAB 0.1-0.5 for the CF$_3$COONa/CTAB system. The pitch sizes of the helical mesostructures can be finely controlled by varying the additive/CTAB ratios. Our results further indicate that in order to synthesize helical mesostructures in a wide synthesis window, i.e. a wider range of additive/CTAB ratios, the perfluorinated salt with a short fluorocarbon chain is necessary.
Secondly, an approach to synthesize large pore siliceous materials with desired structures and pore diameters through the addition of TMB has been explored. It is demonstrated that a change in the TMB addition time to a nonionic block copolymer templating system can cause a phase transformation from multilamellar vesicles to an ordered hexagonal mesostructure. While previously TMB was used to facilitate the formation of a microemulsion template or as a swelling agent for mesostructured materials, it is used in our work as an agent to change the packing parameter of cooperatively self-assembled mesostructured or vesicular materials. A significant implication of our work is that, through simple manipulation of the addition sequence as well as the addition time of organic cosolvents, the self-assembly processes can be tuned among microemulsion templating, cooperative vesicular templating and cooperative liquid crystal templating, from which complex inorganic materials with controlled and tunable pore structures can be obtained with the same synthesis system. This finding may pave the way for a simple approach to the synthesis of versatile porous materials with different structures, which have potential applications in catalysis, immobilization and controlled release of large biomolecules.

Thirdly, the classical Stöber method has been adopted to prepare silica spheres with controlled particle size, which are then used as the templates to synthesize macroporous carbon materials with large and open pore space. Meanwhile, melamine formaldehyde resin has been introduced as a nitrogen containing carbon precursor to adjust the surface chemistry in the final products. The obtained porous carbon
materials in our work show high specific surface areas and pore volume, large pore size, and moderate content of nitrogen.

Finally, the influence of pore structure and composition of nanoporous materials on the hydrogen release behavior of AB being loaded in the nanoporous scaffolds has been studied. It is shown that in the case of a small pore (~ 2 nm), AB cannot be efficiently impregnated into the pore channels, and the thermal decomposition of AB is associated with the formation of volatile by-products. In the case of a large pore Silica-2 (18 nm), it is a relatively better candidate compared to the small pore silica because the formation of most by-products is suppressed and the first hydrogen desorption peak is lowered by 13 °C compared to neat AB. However, a small amount of released ammonia is still observed. In the case of a macroporous N-doped carbon material, the total amount of hydrogen released is 6 wt%, while the formation of both ammonia and borazine are suppressed. More importantly, the first release peak of hydrogen is 90 °C, about 20 °C lower than that of neat AB, which is better than pure carbon material and equivalent to a Li-CMK-3 material. Our results show that in addition to the influence of pore size, the composition of the host material is another important factor. It is anticipated that highly porous N-doped carbon materials with further optimized pore parameters will be ideal candidates for AB immobilization and hydrogen storage.
7.2 Recommendations

Through this systematic study, several new methods have been developed to finely tune the morphology, pore structure and wall composition of nanoporous materials. More importantly, I have arrived at several important conclusions concerning the influence of the pore diameter and wall composition of nanoporous materials on the thermal decomposition behavior of AB confined in nanoporous scaffolds. However, due to the time limit of this research, there are several imperfect aspects that should be further improved. Recommendations for the improvements based on the current project as well as potential directions for improving understanding or extending the applications of the nanostructure confined AB system are outlined below.

1. The observation that N-doped macroporous carbon can improve the thermal decomposition performance of AB appears very promising and implies a new mechanism, which needs further investigation. A reasonable comparison could be made among a series of carbon materials with the same pore architectures, but controlled N content, as well as silica porous materials with the same macroporous structure.

2. It will be interesting to investigate the performance of hydrogen release of AB confined in porous N-doped carbon materials with systematically tunable pore sizes, especially in the mesopore range. In my experiments, I used the surfactant
templating approach to synthesize mesoporous N-doped carbon, but failed. Other approaches should be designed to obtain such a nanoporous substrate to obtain optimized hydrogen release performance.

3. Other structure parameters, such as the particle size, pore topology, pore volume as well as surface properties should be systematically manipulated and studied for their influence on the AB loading and subsequent thermal decomposition behaviors.