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Can lianas assist in rainforest restoration?
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Abstract
Can the strategic incorporation of lianas (woody vines) into rainforest restoration plantings enhance biodiversity-conservation outcomes? Lianas are an integral component of primary tropical rainforests yet are often omitted from rainforest restoration plantings as they may damage trees and compete with them for resources. However, there is increasing evidence that many ecological and physiognomic characteristics of lianas may be of some value to restoration plantings, at least in certain contexts. We propose strategies for experimentally incorporating lianas into rainforest-restoration plantings to explore whether they can expedite rainforest establishment and enhance biodiversity-conservation outcomes.

Key words: Afforestation, Reforestation, Regeneration, Revegetation, Vines

Resumen
¿Puede la incorporación estratégica de lianas a plantaciones que buscan restaurar la vegetación de las selvas húmedas, mejorar los resultados para la conservación y la biodiversidad? Las lianas son un componente integral de las selvas húmedas tropicales, sin embargo, son omitidas frecuentemente en plantaciones que buscan restaurar bosques, ya que estas pueden dañar los árboles y competir con ellos por recursos. No obstante, evidencia creciente indica que muchas características ecológicas y físicas de las lianas pueden tener cierto valor para las plantaciones de restauración, por lo menos en algunos contextos. Nosotros proponemos estrategias para la incorporación experimental de lianas a las plantaciones de restauración, con el fin de explorar si las lianas pueden acelerar el establecimiento de la vegetación, mejorando así los resultados para la conservación y la biodiversidad.
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Introduction

Lianas limit seedling recruitment, damage saplings, compete with trees for limited resources and increase tree mortality [1-5], resulting in their deliberate exclusion from rainforest-restoration efforts. However, as knowledge of liana ecology increases [e.g. 6, 7, 8], it is becoming apparent that they often play an integral role in supporting local biodiversity and overall forest functioning. Consequently, it is possible that many of their ecological and physiognomic characteristics could be strategically exploited to enhance and accelerate rainforest-restoration processes. Here we propose questions to be answered by the experimental incorporation of strategic liana plantings into rainforest restoration efforts (Table 1) in the hope that these will be trialled and their value to restoration practitioners determined. Additionally, we suggest why we think these liana-planting strategies could potentially expedite rainforest establishment and improve biodiversity-conservation outcomes.

Table 1. Potential topics for experimental examination using strategic liana plantings in rainforest restoration plots

1. Does planting lianas on the edge of rainforest restoration plots result in the rapid obtainment of a preferential forest interior micro-climate leading to a decrease in shade-intolerant weed species incursions?
2. Does the planting of a liana and tree species mix expedite closed-canopy attainment and limit shade-intolerant weed abundance?
3. Does incorporating lianas into deciduous-rainforest restoration plantings assist in minimizing weed incursions?
4. Does the addition of lianas to restoration plantings increase nutrient turnover and soil biota diversity?
5. Does planting lianas in locations with exposed soil surfaces aid in soil erosion mitigation and limit localized shade-intolerant weed germination?
6. Does planting lianas on deciduous rainforest restoration plot edges result in a decrease in low-intensity fire incursions?
7. Does planting lianas on restoration plot edges lessen wind damage in early successional stages?
8. Does planting lianas at restoration sites containing a heavy undesirable tree species load decrease tree vigour, abundance and recruitment?
9. Could linear plantings of lianas within restoration corridors aid faunal dispersal?
10. Could faunal movement within restoration plantings be guided by densely-planting thorny lianas or rattans?
11. Does incorporating lianas into restoration plantings support enhanced mammalian and insect diversity through the provision of additional food resources?
12. Could including lianas within restoration plantings lessen herbivorous insect damage to planted trees?
13. Does the addition of liana species with conspicuous fruits and flowers to restoration site plantings aid in the passive introduction of tree species and novel genetic material?
14. Can the practical impediments to liana incorporation in restoration plantings be overcome?

Weed management, soil management and soil fauna support

1. Does planting lianas on the edge of rainforest restoration plots result in the rapid obtainment of a preferential forest interior micro-climate leading to a decrease in shade-intolerant weed species incursions?

Comprising on average only 4-5% of the total biomass of a lowland moist rainforest [9, 10], lianas produce up to 40% of all leaves in the forest [10-13]. Hence, leaf-litter production from lianas in tropical forests is much greater than would be expected from their biomass contribution alone [14]. Additionally, lianas
produce leaves rapidly in comparison to most canopy-forming trees because their leaves typically have a low leaf-mass-to-area ratio (LMA) and a short lifespan [15-18]. The prodigious and rapid leaf output of lianas might be beneficial to the restoration process, and could be used to limit the incursion of shade-intolerant weeds into semi-established restoration plots. To test this idea, lianas would need to be planted on the forest edge to vegetatively ‘seal’ it [19-21], thereby creating a dark forest-interior, unsuitable for shade-intolerant weed colonization [21, 22]. Planting lianas along restoration-plot margins in conjunction with bushy tree or shrub species (to act as climbing trellises), might allow for faster and more complete ‘sealing’ of forest edges than would occur by using tree species alone [17, 23, 24]. If so, this edge sealing would be an important contribution to restoration efforts as weeds are “probably the most important obstacle to ecological restoration... and may completely stop ... or deflect succession” in restoration plots [22].

In support of this edge-planting strategy, lianas are known to be more abundant on primary and remnant forest edges than in their interiors [25-29]. Therefore, dense planting of lianas on restoration-plot edges may simply hasten edge sealing due to this underlying successional process [21, 30, 31]. As an added benefit, restoration plots that have been sealed by lianas may also suffer less from detrimental forest edge effects, such as increased light penetration and desiccation [32, 33]. However, if this planting strategy were undertaken, it is likely that the trees on the edge of the restoration plots would also suffer proportionally more deleterious impacts due to increased liana infestations, as occurs “naturally” for trees on the edge of primary and remnant forests [25]. This may be a trade-off regeneration practitioners would need to accept if they were to include lianas in restoration edge-plantings. Regardless, an experimental examination of the value of planting lianas on restoration plot edges would enable comparison of the costs and benefits of this planting strategy.

2. Does the planting of a liana and tree species mix expedite closed-canopy attainment and limit shade-intolerant weed abundance?

Planting lianas among juvenile trees in an existing restoration plot would allow one to assess their value for use as a means of reducing the time required until forest canopy-closure. A key goal for rainforest restoration is minimising the time to establish a closed-canopy because this helps to eliminate shade-intolerant weed species, thereby decreasing weed-management costs [34]. Additionally, restoration sites with a closed canopy may provide suitable conditions for the passive recruitment of shade-tolerant, forest-interior tree species [31], thereby increasing the biodiversity value of the site. Canopy establishment within rainforest-restoration sites using pioneer tree species alone often takes many years [22]. Lianas, due to their rapid growth rates [17, 23, 24], may significantly accelerate canopy closure as they can potentially cover large areas of forest canopy within short periods, as they have previously been found to do following a disturbance [35, 36]. An experiment to determine whether planting lianas within semi-established restoration plots accelerates canopy-closure, and at what cost to the resident trees this occurs, would provide restoration practitioners with the empirical data with which to assess the ecological value of lianas in this role. Additionally, experimentally evaluating lianas as a means of rapid canopy closure, would allow for the determination of the economic costs versus benefits associated with the differing planting strategies of either a dense tree seedling planting without lianas or a less dense tree spacing with them.

Admittedly, integrating liana and tree planting for faster canopy establishment would likely result in a lowered forest canopy height [30, 31]. Additionally, the greater abundance of lianas within plantings could potentially increase tree damage and reduce tree growth rates and fecundity [1-4]. As the restored forest approached maturity, however, liana abundance would likely decline due to natural successional
processes [37-39]. Moreover, if the desired outcome of the restoration process was to obtain a closed-canopy in the shortest possible time, a decrease in tree health may be a lesser concern. For example, rapid canopy closure at the expense of tree health may be the priority when creating a faunal movement corridor to link isolated remnant forest blocks [40]. Such a corridor might require rapid closed-canopy establishment at the expense of tree health to facilitate the earliest possible useage by animals, since the local extinction of animal species in isolated forest fragments can occur relatively quickly [41].

3. Does incorporating lianas into deciduous-rainforest restoration plantings assist in minimizing weed incursions?

Incorporating lianas into deciduous-rainforest restoration plantings could potentially assist in minimizing weed incursions. Within seasonal rainforests, many canopy tree species are deciduous or dormant during periods of water stress [42]. When canopy trees shed leaves an increased penetration of light into the forest provides the ground-layer vegetation with an enhanced level of photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) [43, 44]. In addition, canopy trees are often “dormant” during periods of deciduousness and as such provide decreased competition for soil resources such as water and nutrients [45, 46]. As a consequence, deciduous forests often experience considerable weed incursions particularly during periods of water stress [47]. Lianas often retain their canopy [however see 12] and remain photosynthetically active during periods of water stress at locations where forest trees are deciduous [24]. They can remain evergreen and photosynthetically active due to their proportionately large root investment when compared to trees [17, 24, 48] and efficient vascular system, both of which enhances their ability to access and use ground water [24, 49]. Thus, lianas could potentially be used to minimize weed incursions at deciduous forest restoration sites, especially during periods of water stress, through limiting the availability of PAR and competing for limited soil resources [46, 50].

4. Does the addition of lianas to restoration plantings increase nutrient turnover and soil biota diversity?

The limited availability of soil nutrients, particularly plant-available nitrogen, commonly impedes restoration efforts [51, 52]. Nutrient limitation is often a result of slow mineralisation because of a lack of soil biota [53]. Soil organisms are imperative to ecosystem functioning and contribute significantly to nutrient cycling, decomposition, mineralisation, and maintenance of soil structure [54-56]. Soil organisms are often lacking in restoration sites as a consequence of previous site-management practices [56, 57]. The inclusion of lianas into restoration plantings could rapidly augment soil organic matter through fast leaf production and turnover [10-13, 15-18] which may in turn increase the abundance of soil fauna. As a consequence, improved soil health and nutrient mineralisation rates would result. If lianas were found to provide any improvement to soil health and nutrient mineralisation rates this function may be particularly beneficial for restoration sites located on nutrient limited soils.

Can lianas support beneficial soil arthropods in restoration plantings? Liana leaves differ from leaves of other plants in a variety of ways [17]. In general, liana leaves have lower leaf mass per unit area (LMA) and higher nutrient concentrations compared to leaves from trees and shrubs [14, 56, 58]. As a result, leaves from lianas may decompose faster [however see 59] and produce more nutritious organic matter for soil organisms [14]. This feature has been suggested to create a source of nutrients around the base of host trees [14], and may also provide some insight as to why lianas are often linked with nutrient-rich soils [11, 60, 61]. Encouraging the return of beneficial soil arthropods through decomposing liana leaf litter in restoration plantings could additionally, potentially promote the decomposition of associated tree litter.
5. Does planting lianas in locations with exposed soil surfaces aid in soil erosion mitigation and limit localized shade-intolerant weed germination?
Lianas could potentially assist in decreasing soil erosion at restoration sites through the increased addition of leaves to the soil surface. In the tropics, bare soils are often prone to erosion [62] and nutrient leaching due to heavy rainfall [e.g. 63]. The rapid addition of leaves to the soil surface of restoration sites by lianas could potentially act as a mechanism of “natural mulching”, limiting the impact of raindrops and decreasing soil erosion [64-66]. Additionally, any augmentation of vegetative material to the soil surface by lianas could potentially slow the overland flow of surface water during rainfall events and promote water infiltration into the soil [65, 66]. As a potential additional benefit, liana leaves on the soil surface may decrease the amount of bare soil available for weed species to colonize [67].

Site protection and management
6. Does planting lianas on deciduous rainforest restoration plot edges result in a decrease in low-intensity fire incursions?
Experimentally planting lianas within restoration plots would permit the determination of their value for improving several site protection and management issues, such as the minimization of low-intensity fire incursions. The ability of lianas to maintain an evergreen canopy during periods of water-stress [24, 49] as well as their production of new leaves along fire-vulnerable forest edges [68] may make combustion of these forests less likely [51, 69]. There are two reasons for this. First, an evergreen canopy may retain higher sub-canopy humidity levels through the trapping of transpired moisture [51, 69]. Second, the new leaves lianas produce along fire-vulnerable forest edges [68] are less flammable than older leaves due to their higher moisture contents [70]. One possible negative aspect of planting lianas on forest edges to limit fire incursions is that, as mentioned previously, lianas produce proportionately more leaf-litter than trees [10-13], which could potentially increase the fuel load of a restoration site. Consequently, experimentally determining whether lianas do indeed limit low-intensity fire incursions into restoration plots may be of significant value, particularly as fire is a major and increasing cause of forest damage in many tropical forest regions [68, 69, 71, 72].

7. Does planting lianas on restoration plot edges lessen wind damage in early successional stages?
Determining the value of lianas as a means of reducing wind damage to restoration plantings is another site protection issue worthy of experimental exploration. Restoration sites are often forest fragments and as such suffer significantly more wind damage than do non-fragmented forests [73]. Lianas may help minimise some wind impacts as they are known to bind trees together, protecting them against wind damage [29], and this in turn reduces wind-induced gap formation in young forest stands [74]. However, lianas have also been found to enhance wind induced-tree falls in older forest stands and increase tree mortality by pulling down adjacent trees when a treefall does occur [29, 74, 75]. Consequently, experimental studies of lianas in restoration plots should determine both the overall value of lianas as a means of reducing wind damage to forests and the temporal management requirements to reduce negative effects i.e. when, and if, management is required to remove them as the forest ages.

8. Does planting lianas at restoration sites containing a heavy undesirable tree species load decrease tree vigour, abundance and recruitment?
Lianas could potentially be a useful restoration site management tool for decreasing woody-weed abundance and vigour prior to tree planting. Lianas compete strongly with trees for limited soil and light resources, increase tree mortality and decrease both tree establishment success and fecundity [1-5, 76]. Consequently, dense plantings of lianas at restoration sites containing an undesirable tree species composition may be a relatively inexpensive and efficient ancillary method of decreasing undesirable tree
species abundances. This practice could reduce management costs prior to site clearing and planting. Granted, the cost and labour requirements of planting lianas may be quite high and the lianas in turn may require removal themselves prior to site preparation. However, if a non-clonal liana species was used and the locations of the plantings were recorded (Table 2), liana removal could potentially be cheaper and less arduous than the management of the uncontrolled undesirable tree species.

Table 2. Cautionary notes on the experimental planting of lianas during rainforest restoration

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Lianas should be planted away from desirable trees to prevent underground competition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Lianas should be planted near desired trees only after the trees are established and structurally capable of supporting the weight of lianas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Preferentially use liana species that predominantly reproduce sexually to prevent excessive site colonization through the clonal pathway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Preferentially avoid using liana species that climb by main stem twinning as they may girdle and damage desirable trees. Other liana climbing types that may be substituted for main stem twiners include those that climb by tendrils, hooks/spines or adventitious roots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Preferentially use liana species that are indigenous to the local region as many exotic species of lianas are serious rainforest weeds. Additionally, indigenous liana species are likely to better handle localized climate, topographical and altitudinal conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>If lianas are to be removed once a fully functioning tree species canopy is established, then their location must be carefully recorded for future re-location. Additionally, single stemmed (non-clonal) species should be selected for efficient future removal (cutting)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Lianas can damage small trees and suppress natural succession if they are planted too early in the revegetation process or left on site without management</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Faunal conservation and lianas in restoration plots

9. Could linear plantings of lianas within restoration corridors aid faunal dispersal?

Facilitating the safe movement of endangered faunal species across fragmented landscapes is often a major reason for initiating rainforest restoration efforts [40, 77]. Consequently, restoration sites often exist as corridors between larger blocks of rainforest; created to aid animal movement [e.g. 40, 77, 78]. Experimental, strategic planting of lianas within restoration corridors could be done to determine whether they enhance faunal dispersal capabilities, as lianas are well known to function as both aerial pathways (i.e. natural rope bridges) and nesting sites for a diverse array of animal species (Fig. 1) [11, 79-82]. Furthermore, experimentation could determine whether lianas allow animals to traverse corridors while remaining in the canopy [83], thereby lessening the risk of ground predation by both wild and domestic predators [84, 85].

10. Could faunal movement within restoration plantings be guided by densely-planting thorny lianas or rattans?

Restoration practitioners often wish to focus animal movements; for example, by directing them towards strategically placed road culverts, or away from dangers such as nearby roads. This is frequently achieved via the erection of expensive artificial barriers such as fences [86]. Dense stands of liana or rattan species that possess prodigious thorns or spines often form an almost impenetrable “wall” of vegetation that limits both large-animal and human movement (M. Campbell, pers. obs.). Thorny lianas are often especially prevalent in areas of past disturbance such as treefall gaps (Fig. 1) [7, 87]. Consequently, strategic linear “wall” plantings of thorny liana or rattan species in areas of high disturbance such as the
forest edges of restoration sites [19, 21, 33, 88, 89], could be trialled as a short-term, cost-effective and natural alternative to artificial barrier erection.

Fig. 1. Upper photo left: The flowers of the Burny Bean (Mucuna gigantea) liana host aphids which in turn are farmed for their “honey dew” by Green Ants (Oecophylla smaragdina); photo credit: Mason Campbell. Upper right photo: A Green Ring Tail Possum (Pseudochirops archeri) uses a liana to traverse the rainforest canopy; photo credit: Mason Campbell. Bottom-left photo top: A recent treefall clearing is fully colonized by the rattan known as Yellow Layer Cane (Calamus moti) preventing large animal and human movement; photo credit: Mason Campbell. Bottom-right photo: The fearsome spines on the canes of the Yellow Layer Cane (Calamus moti); photo credit: Mason Campbell.
Lianas as a food source and a distraction for herbivores

11. Does incorporating lianas into restoration plantings support enhanced mammalian and insect diversity through the provision of additional food resources?

Lianas produce leaves that are less chemically and/or structurally protected than those of many tree species [15, 17, 18]. As a result, lianas often provide an important component of the overall food intake of mammalian folivores (leaf eaters), particularly under localized conditions where tree diversity is reduced (i.e. degraded forest fragments) [79, 82, 90-92]. Restoration plots are often tree-species poor due to time and resource constraints [22]. Consequently, the experimental addition of liana species to restoration plantings could determine whether their presence results in an increase in the abundance and rate of site usage of mammalian folivores.

Lianas also play an important role in the structuring and maintenance of local arthropod diversity. Many phytophagous beetles and Lepidoptera are intimately linked to lianas and depend solely on their availability for survival [93-95]. Lianas aid insect diversity by creating a variety of complex and suitable habitats (Fig. 1) [96, 97] and are at least as important a food source for herbivorous insects as canopy trees [94]. This importance may be due to the fact that, as mentioned above, liana leaves in general contain less foliar biochemical defences than tree leaves [15]. Additionally, in general, lianas direct greater concentrations of nitrogen and phosphorus to their leaves than trees [14, 18, 58, 98] both of which are important for supporting many energetic and cellular processes in insects [99, 100]. Liana leaves are thus more nutritious and pose a considerably lower threat to insects than tree leaves. Additionally, lianas turnover leaves faster than trees [15-18] and young leaves are generally attacked by insects more often than are older leaves, presumably because of their higher palatability and digestibility [101, 102]. It is likely these features are of great importance to maintaining insect herbivore assemblages, particularly during the dry season when new leaves and other food sources may be scarce. Consequently, experimentally including lianas within restoration plantings may be used to determine whether they are of assistance in enhancing localized arthropod diversity and conservation.

12. Could including lianas within restoration plantings lessen herbivorous insect damage to planted trees?

Herbivory can often be problematic during the early stages of regeneration, especially for young trees. Intensively grazed individuals may suffer reduced developmental rates [103] and a lowered capacity to compensate for other environmental stressors [104-106]. Lianas could potentially decrease insect herbivory of trees within restoration sites by acting as a “distraction” to herbivorous insects. Again, this might be expected as a function of liana leaves representing a high quality and quantity food source (as described above). For instance, Foaham [107] found that insect herbivory on trees was greatest in forests where lianas had been removed, suggesting liana presence within restoration plots could potentially aid in mitigating insect herbivory of trees. Furthermore, there are potential flow-on benefits. For example, if lianas were found to lessen insectivorous herbivore pressure on trees, restoration practitioners could potentially decrease insecticide usage, possibly resulting in less accidental negative impacts on important non-targeted insect species such as predatory insects and beneficial soil arthropods.

Lianas as an attraction for seed dispersers

13. Does the addition of liana species with conspicuous fruits and flowers to restoration site plantings aid in the passive introduction of tree species and novel genetic material?

Many restoration sites are established using a framework-species approach because of the cost-efficient nature of this method [108]. This restoration technique aims to incorporate a few highly fecund and often conspicuous, flower- or fruit-producing tree species within plantings to attract seed dispersers [usually
frugivorous birds or bats]; with the aim of increasing the likelihood of further passive introductions of tree species [through droppings] and genetic material to the site [22, 108, 109]. Many liana species are both prolific flower and fruit producers [110-112], providing copious food resources that attract both pollinators and frugivores [79, 93, 113-116]. For instance, Boulter et al. [111] found liana flowers to be, on average, more colourful than those of the resident tree species in the rainforest of Australia’s Wet Tropics bioregion. Similarly, Ansell et al. [116] found logged Bornean rainforests with a high abundance of lianas contained higher bird species richness, in particular obligate frugivores, than forests with a low liana abundance. Furthermore, lianas may have the potential to enhance the sustained attraction of seed dispersers to forests as Wright and Calderon [110] found in their long-term study (17 years) of the Barro Colorado Island forest where lianas have exhibited a significantly greater increase in both flower and fruit production over time than the resident tree species. Consequently, the experimental inclusion of lianas into restoration plantings could enable the determination of their value for attracting pollinators and frugivorous seed dispersers to restoration sites as a means of facilitating passive tree species and genetic diversity introductions in both the immediate and long-term.

14. Can the practical impediments to liana incorporation in restoration plantings be overcome?

As well as determining the ecological value of lianas to rainforest restoration, resolving the practical and economic constraints of liana usage would need to occur prior to their regular incorporation into restoration plantings. For instance, it is likely that lianas would not be easy to maintain in a plant nursery setting because their growth habit would require regular cutting back and structural support prior to planting out. However, this restriction may not be overly onerous as climbing plants are widely used in the horticultural trade [112] and as such initial practical advice may be sought there and subsequently built upon.

In addition to the maintenance of lianas within nurseries, ascertaining the appropriate time to plant them during restoration trials would be vital if the strategy is to be successful. In particular, lianas require a tree trunk or foliage (trellis) of a suitable diameter to climb [29] and in certain cases these may not be available until planted trees are several years old. Conversely, if lianas were introduced at the initial tree planting stage their vigorous growth may overwhelm and smother tree seedlings as they do in forest treefall gaps [2, 5, 7]. Consequently, trials of liana plantings during different restoration successional phases and in conjunction with different trellis partners (e.g. shrubs, trees and fallen logs) would likely allow strategy conferring maximum efficiency and effectiveness to be determined.

As well as determining the correct temporal usage of lianas in restoration plantings, understanding their effective spatial usage could be an initial practical and economic consideration. For example, determining how many lianas should be incorporated into a planting and how this changes depending upon the required outcome or goal (as per sub-headings above) would enable increased efficiency in their ecological and economic usage. Additionally, determining how planting density interacts with the scale of the restoration effort, is vital foundation knowledge especially when determining the economic viability of the practice.

Discussion

Since the reinvigoration of liana ecological research in the 1970s, evidence of the negative impacts of lianas on rainforest trees have been accumulating [1-5]. It is now abundantly clear that lianas damage saplings, compete with trees for limited resources, prevent tree recruitment in canopy gaps and increase tree mortality [e.g. 1-5]. However, this strong flow of empirical evidence may be masking the fact that
Liana species (and the ecological strategies they employ) are often nearly as diverse as the tree species with which they compete [e.g. 7, 11, 117]. Thus, complete exclusion of all liana species from restoration plantings in response to the potential threat that individual species or climbing guilds display ignores the now equally abundant fact that some lianas, under certain conditions, can support considerable biodiversity [79, 82, 90-95, 113-116], assist in regulating forest microclimate [17, 118, 119] and are invaluable in forest wide processes such as nutrient turnover through enhanced and rapid leaf litter production [10-14, 17, 23, 24].

In addition to supporting biodiversity and aiding ecological and geochmical processes, the fact that many liana species are themselves rare [11, 25, 120, 121] and threatened with localized extinction due to anthropological threats, may alone, justify their inclusion in biodiversity restoration plantings. For instance, numerous studies of geographically distinct rainforests have found that lianas make up a considerable proportion of the local woody plant diversity [e.g. 7, 11, 117] of which rare liana species often comprise a substantial fraction [11, 25, 120, 121]. As such, it is highly likely that they are threatened by the same deleterious effects of deforestation and forest degradation (e.g. fragmentation) as rare tree species [122-124]. Consequently, excluding all liana species from restoration efforts, and in particular rare liana species, may result in the loss of considerable localized liana diversity with likely flow-on effects to reliant faunal species.

Selectively utilizing the morphological features and ecological functions of liana species by strategically incorporating (Table 1, 2 and 3) them within rainforest restoration efforts may have the potential to considerably enhance restoration efficiency and biodiversity conservation outcomes. However, the magnitude of any benefit can only be determined through the outcomes of experimental plantings. It is clear that including lianas in restoration efforts will be costly in terms of funds, time and labour. Moreover, if done incorrectly (Table 2), excessive on-site liana abundance could occur [125, 126]. Yet, the current practice of complete liana exclusion from restoration sites followed by eventual self-recruitment is equally fraught with costs. For instance, allowing for liana species self-recruitment is likely to result in a resident liana community composition that is non-representative of the landscape-wide species composition [25, 28, 122, 123] as it is determined by dispersal capabilities and site locality [123, 124]. Intrinsically, a local liana community that represents a small subset of the landscape-wide community is likely to support lower levels of biodiversity, especially in forests where a high degree of mutualism exists [127]. Additionally, allowing self-recruitment may result in a high abundance of lianas occurring in non-preferred areas of restoration plots increasing overall management costs. Conversely, deliberate planting of lianas allows for the spatial location and liana community composition to be determined, at least to a reasonable extent, A priori and thus species and their relative distributions can be tailored to match management goals.

Until the benefits and costs of strategic liana usage in restoration efforts are experimentally quantified we can only guess at their potential value for restoration practitioners and ultimately restored forests. As such, we propose that selected lianas (Table 3) be experimentally and strategically incorporated into rainforest restoration plantings (Tables 1 and 2) to assess whether they can enhance biodiversity conservation and expedite rainforest restoration efforts. As primary rainforests throughout the world continue to be deforested and degraded [128-130], maximising the efficiency and effectiveness of rainforest restoration techniques is becoming increasingly essential for the long-term sustainability of this ecosystem and its constituent biota.

Table 3. Desirable liana traits for restoration experimentation
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Desirable trait</th>
<th>Potential benefits for experimental exploration*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. High leaf production and turnover</td>
<td>-Enhance forest edge sealing and shade-intolerant weed species exclusion (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-Increase nutrient turnover and soil biota diversity (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-Lessen soil erosion (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Rapid growth rate</td>
<td>-Enhance forest edge sealing, forest canopy closure and shade-intolerant weed species exclusion (1, 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. High nutrient content in leaves</td>
<td>-Increase nutrient turnover and soil biota diversity (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-Support faunal site usage and abundance (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-Lessen herbivorous insect damage to planted trees (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Evergreen canopy with regular new leaf production</td>
<td>-Decrease weed abundance in deciduous rainforests (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-Decrease low-intensity fire incursions (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-Lessen soil erosion (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Good inter-tree linkage capabilities</td>
<td>-Limit wind damage to young forests (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-Enhance faunal dispersion capabilities and lessen their predation by ground dwelling predators (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. High competitive resource capture rate and negative impacts on trees#</td>
<td>-Decrease undesirable tree: vigour, abundance and recruitment (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Heavily armed stems and leaves with a capability to grow in dense stands</td>
<td>-Guide animal movement (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Palatable foliage with low levels of structural and chemical defence</td>
<td>-Enhanced mammalian and insect diversity through food provision (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-Lessen herbivorous insect damage to planted trees through distraction (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Species possessing animal dispersed, conspicuous fruits and flowers with high nectar and other &quot;attractant&quot; properties</td>
<td>-Attract seed dispersers and pollinators to aid in the passive introduction of tree species and novel genetic material (13)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

# Note this trait is desirable solely for restoration sites containing a heavy undesirable tree species load and is not compatible with the other proposed usages of lianas in restoration plantings

*Numbers in brackets represent the experimental topic for investigation as per Table 1
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