

This is the **Accepted Version** of a paper published in the  
journal *Research in Veterinary Science*:

Woodward, A., Berger, L., and Skerratt, L.F. (2014) In vitro  
sensitivity of the amphibian pathogen *Batrachochytrium*  
*dendrobatidis* to antifungal therapeutics. *Research in*  
*Veterinary Science*, 97 (2). pp. 364-366.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rvsc.2014.06.013>

# Accepted Manuscript

Title: Short communication: *in vitro* sensitivity of the amphibian pathogen *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* to antifungal therapeutics

Author: A. Woodward, L. Berger, L.F. Skerratt

PII: S0034-5288(14)00185-4

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.rvsc.2014.06.013>

Reference: YRVSC 2688

To appear in: *Research in Veterinary Science*

Received date: 24-8-2013

Accepted date: 28-6-2014



Please cite this article as: A. Woodward, L. Berger, L.F. Skerratt, Short communication: *in vitro* sensitivity of the amphibian pathogen *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* to antifungal therapeutics, *Research in Veterinary Science* (2014), <http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.rvsc.2014.06.013>.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

1 **Short communication: *In vitro* sensitivity of the amphibian pathogen *Batrachochytrium***  
2 ***dendrobatidis* to antifungal therapeutics**

3

4 **A. Woodward<sup>a\*</sup>, L. Berger<sup>b</sup>, L.F. Skerratt<sup>b</sup>**

5

6 <sup>a</sup> *Faculty of Veterinary Science, University of Melbourne, Werribee, Victoria 3030, Australia*

7 <sup>b</sup> *One Health Research Group, School of Public Health, Tropical Medicine and*

8 *Rehabilitation Sciences, James Cook University, Townsville, Queensland 4811, Australia.*

9

10 \*Corresponding author. Tel.: +61;

11 *E-mail address:* woodward.andrewp@gmail.com (A. Woodward).

12 **HIGHLIGHTS**

13 RVSC-13-844R1

14

15

16 1: we report the sensitivity of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* to 6 antimicrobials.

17 2: Voriconazole, itraconazole, and terbinafine had potent inhibitory effects.

18 3: Terbinafine and amphotericin B exposure killed zoospores rapidly.

19 4: The reported MIC and killing concentrations are useful for design of dosage regimens.

20

21 **Abstract**

22

23 Chytridiomycosis, a skin disease caused by *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*, has caused

24 amphibian declines worldwide. Amphibians can be treated by percutaneous application of

25 antimicrobials, but knowledge of *in vitro* susceptibility is lacking. Using a modified broth

26 microdilution method, we describe the *in vitro* sensitivity of two Australian isolates of *B.*

27 *dendrobatidis* to six antimicrobial agents. Growth inhibition was observed, by measurement

28 of optical density, with all agents. Minimum inhibitory concentrations ( $\mu\text{g/mL}$ ; isolate 1/2)

29 were - voriconazole 0.016/0.008; itraconazole 0.032/0.016; terbinafine 0.063/0.063;

1 fluconazole 0.31/0.31; chloramphenicol 12.5/12.5; amphotericin B 12.5/6.25. Killing effects  
2 on zoospores were assessed by observing motility. Amphotericin B and terbinafine killed  
3 zoospores within 5 and 30 min dependent on concentration, but other antimicrobials were not  
4 effective at the highest concentrations tested (100 µg/mL). This knowledge will help in drug  
5 selection and treatment optimization. As terbinafine was potent and has rapid effects, study of  
6 its pharmacokinetics, safety and efficacy is recommended.

7

8 *Key words: Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis; antifungal testing; treatment; chytridiomycosis*

9

10 Disclosure: this manuscript was presented in preliminary form at the Unusual and Exotic pet  
11 veterinarians (Australian Veterinary Association) annual conference, Melbourne, Australia,  
12 September 2012.

13

14

1           *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* (*Bd*) is the cause of chytridiomycosis (Berger et al.,  
2 1998) a skin disease that has caused global amphibian population declines and extinctions  
3 (Skerratt et al., 2007). *Bd* forms round sporangia that grow within epidermal cells of  
4 amphibian skin, and infective flagellated zoospores are released through discharge tubes that  
5 protrude through the skin surface (Berger et al., 2005). Treatment of chytridiomycosis is  
6 required to manage outbreaks of disease, reduce population impacts, and reduce the risk of  
7 spread in transport. Knowledge of *in vitro* drug sensitivity will optimize treatment regimens.

8           Previous *in vitro* studies showed growth inhibition of *Bd* by itraconazole and  
9 fluconazole (Berger et al., 2009), but the minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) are  
10 unknown. Voriconazole has potent inhibitory effects against European isolates *in vitro*  
11 (Martel et al., 2011). The antibiotics chloramphenicol (10-20 µg/mL); (Poulter unpub) was  
12 florfenicol (0.5-1.0 µg/mL), and sulfonamide (8 µg/mL) were effective, but macrolides and  
13 tetracyclines were not (Muijsers et al., 2012). Caspofungin had relatively high MICs (4-16  
14 µg/mL), varying non-significantly among isolates (Fisher et al., 2009).

15           Successful treatment with topical Itraconazole is reported in various amphibian  
16 species (Forzán et al., 2008, Tamukai et al., 2011, Lamirande and Nichols 2002, Une et al.,  
17 2012, Georoff et al., 2013), and tadpoles (Garner et al., 2009), but treatment failure and  
18 potential toxicity are also reported (Woodhams et al., 2012, Brannelly et al., 2012, Georoff et  
19 al., 2013). Fluconazole baths (25 µg/mL) extended the course of disease in *Litoria caerulea*  
20 but did not clear infection (Berger et al., 2009). Voriconazole topical solutions at low  
21 concentrations (1.25 µg/mL) successfully resolved infection in *Alytes cisternasii* (Martel et  
22 al., 2011). Chloramphenicol by continuous bath exposure was effective in subclinical and  
23 severe chytridiomycosis in *Litoria caerulea*, combined with electrolyte therapy (Young et al.,  
24 2012). Topical florfenicol sprays reduced burden of infection in *Alytes muletensis* but all  
25 remained infected (Muijsers et al., 2012).

1 Daily topical application of terbinafine (50 µg/mL) cleared infection in naturally infected  
2 *Lithobates catesbeiana*, and five other species, whereas 5 µg/mL was ineffective (Bowerman  
3 et al., 2010). *In vitro* effects were not described.

4 For the present study, the *in vitro* potency of six antimicrobial drugs against two  
5 Australian isolates of *Bd* was assessed by determining MIC with constant exposure, and  
6 observing effectiveness of short-duration, high concentration exposure on zoospores.

7 *Bd* isolates were cultured and cryoarchived by routine methods (Berger et al., 2009).  
8 Isolate 1 was collected from a temperate region in 2009 from a tadpole of *Limnodynastes*  
9 *peronii* (Couta Rocks, Tasmania; CoutaRocks-Limperonii--2009- LB1). Isolate 2 is from  
10 tropical rainforest and was collected in 2010 from a tadpole of *Litoria genimaculata* (Paluma,  
11 Queensland; Paluma-Lgenimaculata-2010-MW1). Cultures were maintained in TGhL  
12 medium (8g/L tryptone, 0.5g/L gelatine hydrolysate, 1g/L lactose; Sigma-Aldrich, Australia).  
13 After 7 days growth, about 1 mL of culture was spread onto a TGhL agar plate, air-dried,  
14 sealed with parafilm and incubated at 22°C. After 3 days, zoospores were collected by  
15 flooding the plate with up to 3 mL of TGhL medium for 15 min, counted in a  
16 haemocytometer, and diluted to approximately 10<sup>6</sup> zoospores/mL.

17 Amphotericin B (250 µg/mL solution) and chloramphenicol powder were supplied by  
18 Sigma-Aldrich. Terbinafine, fluconazole and voriconazole preparations were Lamisil AT  
19 (Novartis), Diflucan IV (Pfizer) and VFend IV (Pfizer) respectively, diluted to working  
20 concentrations in sterile single-distilled water. As itraconazole solution (Sporanox, Janssen  
21 Pharmaceutica) precipitated when it was diluted, a solution was prepared of analytic standard  
22 dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, 99%; Sigma-Aldrich), and diluted to final  
23 concentration in 0.1% DMSO solution.

24 For each drug, 50% dilution series were prepared in 96 well flat-bottom cell culture  
25 plates (Corning Costar, USA). In the short-exposure studies, duplicate series were prepared,

1 and control wells contained only TGhL and distilled water. In the growth inhibition studies, 8  
2 replicate series were prepared, with 8 positive control wells containing distilled water and  
3 TGhL only, and 8 negative controls with 0.1% F10SC disinfectant (F10 Biocare, UK) in  
4 distilled water. Positive growth controls also contained 0.1% DMSO, when assessing  
5 inhibitory effects of itraconazole dissolved in 0.1% DMSO. Finally, 50  $\mu$ L of zoospore  
6 suspension ( $5 \times 10^4$  zoospores) was placed into each well of the plates.

7 Plates were examined immediately after preparation to confirm presence of motile  
8 zoospores and absence of clumped sporangia. For short-exposure studies, wells were  
9 examined after 5 and 30 min. Absence of motile zoospores was considered to indicate a lethal  
10 effect, with wells recorded either as killed or alive. For growth inhibition studies, plates were  
11 incubated at 21-23°C. On day 7, optical density was measured using a spectrophotometer  
12 plate reader at 492 nm, as described previously (Rollins-Smith et al., 2002), and the cultures  
13 microscopically examined. Positive controls contained a dense monolayer on the bottom of  
14 wells, and all negative controls were killed.

15 Statistical analysis of optical density data was performed using IBM SPSS for  
16 Windows. Mean density from the 8 wells at each concentration was determined. The MIC  
17 was defined as the lowest concentration with mean optical density +1SD, at least 90% lower  
18 than the difference between positive and negative controls. Visual examination of Q-Q plots  
19 assessed normal distribution of optical density at each concentration.

20 In growth inhibition tests, isolates differed minimally in sensitivity (Table 1), with no  
21 more than one dilution difference between MIC for any agent. Voriconazole and itraconazole  
22 were most potent, terbinafine and fluconazole were intermediate, while amphotericin B and  
23 chloramphenicol had the lowest potency of the tested agents.

24 Optical density appeared to correlate well with microscopic observations as an  
25 indicator of growth inhibition. Density readings for positive and negative controls were

1 normally distributed. In experimental columns, optical density occasionally deviated from  
2 normal distribution, particularly at dilution stages immediately lower than MIC.

3         Comparison of optical density of killed controls was previously reported as an  
4 endpoint assessment (Gibble et al., 2008, Rollins-Smith et al., 2002). In the present study,  
5 mean density slightly greater than the 95% confidence interval of the mean negative control  
6 density were occasionally observed in cultures observed to have no growth. This is attributed  
7 to apparent partial development, as the zoospores settle and increase in size, but no  
8 development occurs. This may reflect fungistatic effects, rather than rapid killing of the  
9 controls. The criterion of 90% density inhibition compared to the positive control growth was  
10 elected *a posteriori*. Variable inhibition endpoints for optical density, from 50% (Fisher et al.,  
11 2009) to 80% (Gibble et al., 2008) have been previously applied. Our method is slightly more  
12 conservative.

13         Itraconazole and voriconazole had potent inhibitory effects (Table 1). The observed  
14 MIC of voriconazole (0.008-0.0016 µg/mL) is consistent with the 0.00625-0.0125 µg/mL  
15 range previously described (Martel et al., 2011). Fluconazole was less potent (MIC 0.31  
16 µg/mL), and this may explain its failure to treat chytridiomycosis in amphibians in a clinical  
17 trial when used topically at 25 µg/ml (Berger et al., 2009). Further trials with higher exposure  
18 rates may be valuable. For these agents, zoospores remained motile after 30 min at the  
19 highest concentrations tested (100 µg/mL). Short-duration topical exposure will not kill  
20 zoospores at the skin surface, even at concentrations greatly exceeding the MIC, and  
21 treatment efficacy will depend on persistence of adequate drug concentrations in the skin.  
22 This may contribute to the observed failure of short-duration itraconazole therapy in some  
23 instances (Georoff et al., 2013, Woodhams et al., 2012). Our data suggest that the frequency  
24 of itraconazole application, in addition to the applied concentration, is important to the  
25 clinical outcome. High potency of itraconazole and voriconazole support these drugs as

1 treatment choices, but the lack of rapid effect means that systemic therapy may be more  
2 appropriate than topical application.

3 Chloramphenicol was also inhibitory but with lower potency (Table 1). The observed  
4 MIC (12  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ ) is similar to a previous unpublished reported MIC of 10-20  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$  (Poulter  
5 unpub). Partial inhibition was observed below the stated MIC, but its significance is  
6 unknown. No effect on motility was observed after 30 minutes of high-concentration  
7 exposure. Severe chytridiomycosis in *L. caerulea* was treated by continuous exposure to 20  
8  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$  chloramphenicol for 28 days (Young et al., 2012), which is only slightly greater than  
9 the *in vitro* MIC. Due to its low potency, this agent is a poor candidate for intermittent  
10 application, and topical concentrations lower than 20 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$  are unlikely to be of clinical  
11 benefit.

12 Zoospore motility ceased after 5 min of exposure to terbinafine (6.25-12.5  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ )  
13 and amphotericin B (50  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ ), and 30 min at lower concentrations of terbinafine (3.12  
14  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ ) and amphotericin B (12.5  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ ). No difference was detected between isolates.  
15 Bowerman et al., 2010 report successful treatment of chytridiomycosis using topical  
16 terbinafine at 50-100  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ , well above the MIC (0.063  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ ) and slightly greater than  
17 that required to kill zoospores within 5 min. This rapid effect is likely to contribute  
18 substantially to the therapeutic outcome when intermittent topical therapy is used, as  
19 prolonged drug retention at the site of infection may be less important. Terbinafine is thus a  
20 strong candidate for further trials of intermittent topical treatment. However, further work is  
21 required to assess the lethal concentrations of this drug against sporangia, which may be more  
22 resistant. Amphotericin B was included as a model fungicidal agent; previous studies indicate  
23 it is too toxic for clinical use in amphibians (Martel et al., 2011).

24 Evaluation of optical density was chosen for determination of the study endpoint, as it  
25 was expected to provide a more quantitative evaluation than direct examination alone and

1 appears sensitive in comparing growth. However, the high starting zoospore density required  
2 was difficult to achieve. We suggest microscopic examination is an easier method for MIC  
3 screening and our observations suggest similar results are achieved (data not shown).

4 To optimize treatment regimes, pharmacokinetic studies and clinical trials are needed  
5 to examine absorption, and maintenance of drug concentration in the infected skin over time,  
6 and correlation with clinical outcome (Berger et al., 2010). The data presented in this study  
7 will aid in the interpretation of the clinical relevance of observed drug concentrations.

8 This study helps with selection of antifungal agents for clinical trials. Terbinafine is  
9 potent and apparently fungicidal to zoospores at low concentrations, and there is one report of  
10 it being effective and safe in a range of species (Bowerman et al., 2010). Therefore, we  
11 suggest further work is warranted to optimize its use, and compare with more widely used  
12 treatments.

13

#### 14 **Acknowledgements**

15 The authors acknowledge R. Webb for laboratory assistance, J. Voyles, G. Marantelli  
16 and M. West for involvement in collecting isolates, B. Glass, S. Robertson and S. Bell for  
17 advice on study design and interpretation and I. Beveridge for his support. Financial support  
18 was provided by the Australian Research Council and James Cook University.

19

#### 20 **Conflict of interest statement**

21 None of the authors have a financial or personal relationship with other people or  
22 organizations which could inappropriately influence or bias the content of this paper.

23

24

- 1 Berger, L., Speare, R., Daszak, P., Green, D.E., Cunningham, A.A., Goggin, C.L., Slocombe,  
2 R., Ragan, M.A., Hyatt, A.D., McDonald, K.R., et al. (1998). Chytridiomycosis causes  
3 amphibian mortality associated with population declines in the rain forests of Australia and  
4 Central America. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* *95*, 9031–9036.
- 5 Berger, L., Hyatt, A.D., Speare, R., and Longcore, J.E. (2005). Life cycle stages of the  
6 amphibian chytrid *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*. *Dis. Aquat. Organ.* *68*, 51–63.
- 7 Berger, L., Speare, R., Marantelli, G., and Skerratt, L.F. (2009). A zoospore inhibition  
8 technique to evaluate the activity of antifungal compounds against *Batrachochytrium*  
9 *dendrobatidis* and unsuccessful treatment of experimentally infected green tree frogs (*Litoria*  
10 *caerulea*) by fluconazole and benzalkonium chloride. *Res. Vet. Sci.* *87*, 106–110.
- 11 Berger, L., Speare, R., Pessier, A., Voyles, J., and Skerratt, L. (2010). Treatment of  
12 chytridiomycosis requires urgent clinical trials. *Dis. Aquat. Organ.* *92*, 165–174.
- 13 Bowerman, J., Rombough, C., Weinstock, S.R., and Padgett-Flohr, G.E. (2010). Terbinafine  
14 hydrochloride in ethanol effectively clears *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in amphibians. *J.*  
15 *Herpetol. Med. Surg.* *20*, 24–28.
- 16 Brannelly, L., Richards-Zawacki, C., and Pessier, A. (2012). Clinical trials with itraconazole  
17 as a treatment for chytrid fungal infections in amphibians. *Dis. Aquat. Organ.* *101*, 95–104.
- 18 Fisher, M.C., Bosch, J., Yin, Z., Stead, D.A., Walker, J., Selway, L., Brown, A.P.J., Walker,  
19 L.A., Gow, N.A.R., Stajich, J.E., et al. (2009). Proteomic and phenotypic profiling of the  
20 amphibian pathogen *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* shows that genotype is linked to  
21 virulence. *Mol. Ecol.* *18*, 415–429.
- 22 Forzán, M.J., Gunn, H., and Scott, P. (2008). Chytridiomycosis in an Aquarium Collection of  
23 Frogs: Diagnosis, Treatment, and Control. *J. Zoo Wildl. Med.* *39*, 406–411.
- 24 Garner, T., Garcia, G., Carroll, B., and Fisher, M. (2009). Using itraconazole to clear  
25 *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* infection, and subsequent depigmentation of *Alytes*  
26 *muletensis* tadpoles. *Dis. Aquat. Organ.* *83*, 257–260.
- 27 Georoff, T.A., Moore, R.P., Rodriguez, C., Pessier, A.P., Newton, A.L., McAloose, D., and  
28 Calle, P.P. (2013). Efficacy of treatment and long-term follow-up of *Batrachochytrium*  
29 *dendrobatidis* PCR-positive anurans following itraconazole bath treatment. *J. Zoo Wildl.*  
30 *Med.* *44*, 395–403.
- 31 Gible, R.E., Rollins-Smith, L., and Baer, K.N. (2008). Development of an assay for testing  
32 the antimicrobial activity of skin peptides against the amphibian chytrid fungus  
33 (*Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*) using *Xenopus laevis*. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* *71*, 506–  
34 513.
- 35 Martel, A., Van Rooij, P., Vercauteren, G., Baert, K., Van Waeyenberghe, L., Debacker, P.,  
36 Garner, T.W.J., Woeltjes, T., Ducatelle, R., Haesebrouck, F., et al. (2011). Developing a safe  
37 antifungal treatment protocol to eliminate *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* from amphibians.  
38 *Med. Mycol.* *49*, 143–149.

- 1 Muijsers, M., Martel, A., Van Rooij, P., Baert, K., Vercauteren, G., Ducatelle, R., De Backer,  
2 P., Vercammen, F., Haesebrouck, F., and Pasmans, F. (2012). Antibacterial therapeutics for  
3 the treatment of chytrid infection in amphibians: Columbus's egg? *BMC Vet. Res.* 8, 175.
- 4 Rollins-Smith, L.A., Carey, C., Longcore, J., Doersam, J.K., Boutte, A., Bruzgal, J.E., and  
5 Conlon, J.M. (2002). Activity of antimicrobial skin peptides from ranid frogs against  
6 *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*, the chytrid fungus associated with global amphibian  
7 declines. *Dev. Comp. Immunol.* 26, 471–479.
- 8 Skerratt, L., Berger, L., Speare, R., Cashins, S., McDonald, K., Phillott, A., Hines, H., and  
9 Kenyon, N. (2007). Spread of Chytridiomycosis Has Caused the Rapid Global Decline and  
10 Extinction of Frogs. *EcoHealth* 4, 125–134.
- 11 Tamukai, K., Une, Y., Tominaga, A., Suzuki, K., and Goka, K. (2011). Treatment of  
12 Spontaneous Chytridiomycosis in Captive Amphibians Using Itraconazole. *J. Vet. Med. Sci.*  
13 73, 155–159.
- 14 Une, Y., Matsui, K., Tamukai, K., and Goka, K. (2012). Eradication of the chytrid fungus  
15 *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in the Japanese giant salamander *Andrias japonicus*. *Dis.*  
16 *Aquat. Organ.* 98, 243–247.
- 17 Woodhams, D., Geiger, C., Reinert, L., Rollins-Smith, L., Lam, B., Harris, R., Briggs, C.,  
18 Vredenburg, V., and Voyles, J. (2012). Treatment of amphibians infected with chytrid  
19 fungus: learning from failed trials with itraconazole, antimicrobial peptides, bacteria, and heat  
20 therapy. *Dis. Aquat. Organ.* 98, 11–25.
- 21 Young, S., Speare, R., Berger, L., and Skerratt, L.F. (2012). Chloramphenicol with fluid and  
22 electrolyte therapy cures terminally ill green tree frogs (*Litoria caerulea*) with  
23 chytridiomycosis. *J. Zoo Wildl. Med.* 43, 330–337.

24

1

2 **Table 1:** Minimum Inhibitory Concentrations of formulations against *Batrachochytrium*  
 3 *dendrobatidis*, resulting in at least 90% inhibition compared with positive controls.

**Minimum Inhibitory Concentrations ( $\mu\text{g/mL}$ )**

	<b>Amphotericin</b>	<b>Chloramphenicol</b>	<b>Terbinafine</b>	<b>Fluconazole</b>	<b>Voriconazole</b>	<b>Itraconazole</b>
<b>Isolate 1*</b>	12.5	12.5	0.063	0.31	0.016	0.031
<b>Isolate 2**</b>	6.25	12.5	0.063	0.31	0.0078	0.016

4

5

6 \* Limperonii- CoutaRocks-2009- LB1

7 \*\* Lgenimaculata- Paluma-2010-MW1

8

9

10

Accepted Manuscript