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Nocturnal versus diurnal CO₂ uptake: how flexible is Agave angustifolia?
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Abstract

Agaves exhibit the water-conserving crassulacean acid metabolism (CAM) photosynthetic pathway. Some species are potential biofuel feedstocks because they are highly productive in seasonally dry landscapes. In plants with CAM, high growth rates are often believed to be associated with a significant contribution of C₃ photosynthesis to total carbon gain when conditions are favourable. There has even been a report of a shift from CAM to C₃ in response to overwatering a species of Agave. We investigated whether C₃ photosynthesis can contribute substantially to carbon uptake and growth in young and mature Agave angustifolia collected from its natural habitat in Panama. In well-watered plants, CO₂ uptake in the dark contributed about 75% of daily carbon gain. This day/night pattern of CO₂ exchange was highly conserved under a range of environmental conditions and was insensitive to intensive watering. Elevated CO₂ (800 ppm) stimulated CO₂ fixation predominantly in the light. Exposure to CO₂-free air at night markedly enhanced CO₂ uptake during the following light period, but CO₂ exchange rapidly reverted to its standard pattern when CO₂ was supplied during the subsequent 24 h. Although A. angustifolia consistently engages in CAM as its principal photosynthetic pathway, its relatively limited photosynthetic plasticity does not preclude it from occupying a range of habitats, from relatively mesic tropical environments in Panama to drier habitats in Mexico.
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Introduction

Most, if not all, of the approximately 200 species in the New World genus Agave (family Asparagaceae, subfamily Agavoideae; Chase et al., 2009; Govaerts et al., 2013) exhibit the nocturnal uptake of CO₂ and accumulation of malic acid characteristic of crassulacean acid metabolism (CAM) (Nobel, 2003, 1996a). In addition to a water-use-efficient carbon metabolism, these archetypal dry-land CAM plants sport a xerophytic, water-conserving morphology that includes succulent, angled, persistent leaves with thick cuticles, sunken stomata, and a rosette leaf configuration around a central stem that channels rain water and condensate to the base of the roots (Gentry, 1982).

As biomass becomes an increasingly valuable commodity for energy generation, there is realization that the seasonally dry or semi-arid landscapes inhabited by Agave, whilst not optimal for growing traditional water-demanding food crops, may nonetheless be suitable for biomass generation (Borland et al., 2009; Chambers and Holtum, 2010; Davis et al., 2011; Holtum et al., 2011; Yan et al., 2011). In such landscapes, water-efficient Agave can accumulate biomass at annual rates that approach those produced by C₄ plants like sugar cane and Miscanthus in higher rainfall regions (Nobel, 1991, 1996a; Somerville et al., 2010). For example, productivities...
of 25, 35, and 47–50 Mg dry weight ha\(^{-1}\) year\(^{-1}\) have been reported for the CAM species \textit{Agave tequilana}, \textit{Ananas comosus} (pineapple) and \textit{Opuntia ficus-indica}, respectively (Nobel, 1996a). \textit{A. tequilana} is now being trialed in the seasonally dry Australian tropics as a biofuel feedstock (Chambers and Holtum, 2010; Holtum et al., 2011).

The extent and capacity for day-time CO\(_2\) fixation in well-watered agaves tends to be limited, but its expression differs among species (see Nobel, 2003, for a review). Interestingly, well-watered plants of mature \textit{Agave deserti} did not exhibit afternoon CO\(_2\) fixation, but when ‘overwatered’ (watered daily for 10 weeks) they switched to overwhelmingly C\(_3\) photosynthesis (Hartsock and Nobel, 1976).

Using continuous whole-plant gas exchange, we explored here the potential for photosynthetic plasticity in \textit{Agave angustifolia}, a putative wild ancestor of the agronomically significant species \textit{Agave fourcroydes} and \textit{A. tequilana} (Gentry, 1982; Colunga-Garcia Marin et al., 1999). \textit{A. angustifolia} was chosen because, across its range from Mexico to Panama, it is found in habitats as diverse as coastal dunes at sea level to oak-pine forests at 2200 m (García-Mendoza and Chiang, 2003), and it grows in Panama (the site of this study) in relatively mesic environments where CO\(_2\) fixation in the light is expected to be more favoured than in drier habitats.

In order to determine photosynthetic pathway plasticity of \textit{A. angustifolia}, we examined 24 plants. Our goal was to explore conditions under which plants would markedly upregulate C\(_3\) photosynthetic CO\(_2\) uptake in the light. In two young plants, net CO\(_2\) exchange was continuously monitored for 234 and 281 day/night cycles, during which the plants were exposed to a range of perturbations (light, temperature, CO\(_2\), and watering regime) that have been reported to affect CO\(_2\) uptake in the light in other CAM plants. Furthermore, net CO\(_2\) exchange was monitored in two extremely well-watered mature plants in a naturally illuminated gas-exchange chamber for up to 16 d each, in order to document the effect of natural day-to-day variation in photon flux density (PFD) on light and dark CO\(_2\) fixation. Thirdly, a total of 20 young, well-watered plants were grown under two CO\(_2\) concentrations and two nutrient regimes to manipulate the relative contributions of day and night CO\(_2\) fixation to growth. Although the longer than 200 d gas-exchange experiments were not replicated in the strictest sense because of their duration, all experiments taken together permitted a reasonable assessment of the degree of phenotypic photosynthetic plasticity in \textit{A. angustifolia}. The results indicated that \textit{A. angustifolia} exhibits a conserved carbon fixation strategy rather than using the light and dark options of CO\(_2\) uptake in a highly flexible manner.

### Materials and methods

**Plant material**

\textit{A. angustifolia} Haw. was collected from Playa Majagual, Panamá \((8^\circ43^\prime\text{N}, 79^\circ45^\prime\text{E})\), and grown outdoors in forest topsoil at the Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, Santa Cruz Experimental Research Facility, Gamboa, Republic of Panama \((9^\circ07^\prime\text{N}, 79^\circ42^\prime\text{W})\). Opinions differ as to whether \textit{A. angustifolia} is a synonym of \textit{Agave vivipara} L. or whether the two are distinct species (Wijnands, 1983; Garcia-Mendoza and Chiang, 2003; Govaerts et al., 2013). Vouchers of the \textit{Agave} examined in this study were deposited in the herbarium of the University of Panama (JARANDA 4484A and 4484B).

**Measurement of \textit{CO}_2 exchange in the laboratory**

Bulbs of between 5 and 10 cm in height, comprising two to three leaves, were enclosed in a Perspex cuvette (internal dimensions \(11 \times 11 \times 10\) or \(20 \times 20 \times 15\) cm) by passing the base of a plantlet through a hole in the cuvette base and sealing the plantlet–cuvette interface with a non-porous synthetic rubber sealant (Tеростat VII; Henkel-Teroson, Heidelberg, Germany). The root-containing base of the plantlet outside the cuvette was planted in a 1 litre pot containing potting mix (Cactus, Palm and Citrus Soil; Miracle-Gro Lawn Products, Marysville, OH, USA) and 2 g of Osmocote Plus fertilizer (Scotts-Sierra Horticultural Products, OH, USA).

The gas-exchange cuvette was located inside a controlled-environment chamber (Environmental Growth Chambers, OH, USA) operating under 12 h light (28 °C)/12 h dark cycles (17 or 22 °C as specified). PFD was measured at the top of the cuvette. Air containing 200, 400, or 800 ppm CO\(_2\) was generated by a CO\(_2\)/CO\(_2\)-free-air mixing unit (Walz GmbH, Effeltrich, Germany). Net CO\(_2\) exchange of plantlets was measured in flow-through gas-exchange systems consisting of Walz components and LI-6252 CO\(_2\) analysers (Li-Cor, Lincoln, NE, USA) (Holtum and Winter 2003). Normal watering involved supplying water at least once every second day, and intensive watering involved supplying water twice per day. Drought treatments were imposed by withholding irrigation altogether.

**Measurement of whole-plant CO\(_2\) exchange under natural light**

A mature plant, established in forest topsoil containing 50 g of Osmocote Plus fertilizer (Scotts-Sierra Horticultural Products), in a 1901 pot was placed inside a ventilated, naturally illuminated chamber constructed of glass panels and an aluminium framework (internal volume 8.8 m\(^3\)). A blower (model 4C054; Grainger Industrial Supply, OH, USA) supplied external air to the chamber at 10.5 m\(^3\) min\(^{-1}\).

Within the chamber, air was circulated by four fans and temperature was regulated by a split air-conditioning system (model V1124CH; Innovair, FL, USA). Whole-plant gas exchange was quantified at 30 min intervals from the rate at which the CO\(_2\) concentration inside the chamber changed when air flow into the chamber was blocked for 5 min, thereby converting the chamber into a closed system. Changes in the CO\(_2\) concentration inside the chamber were measured using a LI-7500 open-path CO\(_2\) analyser (Li-Cor). Calculations of net CO\(_2\) exchange were based on chamber volume that had been corrected for the volumes of the pot, plant, and equipment inside the chamber, and the rate at which the CO\(_2\) concentration changed during the period when the chamber was isolated. CO\(_2\) measurements were corrected for changes of temperature and humidity. Measurements of PFD were taken outside the chamber. PFD inside the chamber was approximately 15% below that outdoors. For further details of methods, see Winter et al. (2009). The experiment was repeated for a second mature plant (data not shown).

**Growth of plants at 280 and 800 ppm CO\(_2\)**

Twenty plants in 19 l pots were grown with daily watering in forest topsoil for 166 d inside two naturally illuminated glasshouses (internal volume 57.8 m\(^3\) each). Five of the 10 pots in each chamber were supplemented with 5 g of Osmocote Plus fertilizer (Scotts-Sierra Horticultural Products). One glasshouse was maintained at 280±10 ppm (range) CO\(_2\) by passing chamber air through soda lime to lower [CO\(_2\)]. An above-ambient CO\(_2\) concentration of 800±20 (range) ppm was achieved in the second glasshouse by releasing pulses of CO\(_2\) gas into the chamber from a high-pressure cylinder in conjunction with a feedback control system. Within each glasshouse, air was circulated by five fans, and a split air-conditioning system maintained temperatures at close to ambient (Cernusak et al., 2011).
Leaf discs punched from the centre of fully expanded leaves using a cork borer at the end of the light and dark periods were frozen in liquid nitrogen. Organic acids were extracted by sequentially boiling samples in 50% ethanol and water for 5 min. Extracts were cooled to room temperature and titrated with 10 mM KOH to pH 6.5 (Holtum et al., 2004).

Stable isotope analysis

The δ\(^{13}\)C values of finely ground homogenous powder from the pooled dried leaves of whole plants were measured in an isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Delta V; Thermo Fisher Scientific) in the Stable Isotope Laboratory of the Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute. The abundance of \(^{13}\)C in each sample was calculated relative to the abundance of \(^{13}\)C in standard CO\(_2\) that had been calibrated against Pee Dee belemnite (Belemnitella americana). Relative abundance was determined using the relationship:

\[
\delta^{13}C (\%) = \left[ \frac{(^{13}C / ^{12}C \text{ of sample})}{(^{13}C / ^{12}C \text{ of standard})} - 1 \right] \times 1000.
\]

The δ\(^{13}\)C values of two C\(_4\) plant species, Saccharum spontaneum and Portulaca oleracea, grown in each glasshouse and outside in the open air were used to correct for differences in the δ\(^{13}\)C value of the source CO\(_2\) (Cernusak et al., 2011). The CO\(_2\) purchased for CO\(_2\) enrichment was from a natural CO\(_2\) spring and a correction of 2‰ was applied.

Results

CO\(_2\) fixation in the dark (CAM phase I; Osmond, 1978) contributed 78% of the carbon gain in a young well-watered A. angustifolia for which CO\(_2\) uptake was monitored continuously during 281 consecutive 12h light/12h dark cycles (Fig. 1). The predominance of dark fixation was maintained under 12h PFDs of 400 μmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) (17.3 mol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)), 1500 μmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) (64.8 mol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)), and 2300 μmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) (99.4 mol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)).

Uptake of CO\(_2\) in the dark remained the principal contributor to net carbon gain during 234 day/night cycles of gas exchange when a young A. angustifolia was subjected to 300 or 900 μmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) light; 200, 400 or 800 ppm CO\(_2\); when well-watered, overwatered, or non-watered; and when night temperatures were 17 or 22 °C (Fig. 2). CO\(_2\) uptake in the afternoon (CAM phase IV) was also always present, whereas the contribution of dawn CO\(_2\) fixation (CAM phase II) to plant carbon gain was minimal. Net CO\(_2\) loss was consistently observed during midday stomatal closure (CAM phase III) except for small CO\(_2\) gains on those days when CO\(_2\) was withheld during the dark while the plant was exposed to 800 ppm CO\(_2\). Over the course of the experiment (Fig. 2), the contributions to net carbon gain by phases I, II, III, and IV were 78.5, 1.7, –4.2, and 24%, respectively.

The contributions of light and dark CO\(_2\) uptake to net carbon gain under 200 or 800 ppm CO\(_2\) differed (Fig. 2). When the CO\(_2\) concentration was reduced from 400 to 200 ppm, CO\(_2\) uptake at a PFD of 300 μmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) was reduced by 62% during phase IV and by 16% in the dark. The reductions were 56 and 25%, respectively, at 900 μmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\). In contrast, when the atmospheric CO\(_2\) concentration was increased from 400 to 800 ppm, CO\(_2\) uptake by the plant at 300 μmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) increased by

![Fig. 1. Net CO\(_2\) balance of the shoot of a young individual of A. angustifolia during 281 consecutive 12h light/12h dark cycles. Carbon balances are shown for the light and dark periods. The following treatments were applied: (A) PFD was 400 μmol photons m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) during the initial 103 d, 2300 μmol photons m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) between d 104 and 122, and 1500 μmol photons m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) thereafter. (B) Irrigation was increased from once every 2 d to twice per day between d 85 and 122 ("overwatered").](http://jxb.oxfordjournals.org/Downloaded from http://jxb.oxfordjournals.org/)
64% during phase IV and remained unchanged during phase I. At a PFD of 900 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹, the increases in CO₂ uptake during phases IV and I were 73 and 10%, respectively.

Withholding water from the plant for 54 d also differentially influenced CO₂ fixation in the light and in the dark (Fig. 2). Overall net carbon gain by the plant was initially stimulated but was subsequently reduced as water in the pot became limiting. During the initial 34 d without watering, as CO₂ uptake increased by 46% in the dark and decreased by 17% during phase IV in the light, the contribution of nocturnal uptake to net carbon gain rose from 74 to 85%. Subsequently, CO₂ exchange fell during the light and the dark. The decrease was proportionally less in the dark such that, at the end of the drought treatment, dark fixation contributed 88% to net carbon gain. In contrast to drought, intensive watering of the plant for 30 d did not affect the relative contributions of light and dark CO₂ fixation to carbon gain, nor did decreasing the night temperature from 22 to 17 °C.

CO₂ assimilation in the light was upregulated when CO₂ was removed from the air supply during the preceding dark period (Fig. 2, d 141 and 142). Fig. 3 details how both the extent and pattern of CO₂ exchange in the light differed following exposure to CO₂-free air during the night. CO₂ was assimilated throughout the light principally via the contribution of an extended phase IV (Fig. 3B). Phase III was transient, and phase II did not increase in duration although the rate of CO₂ uptake did increase. During the subsequent dark/light cycle, CO₂ exchange returned to the patterns observed prior to the CO₂-free treatment (Fig. 3C).

Nocturnal CO₂ uptake was the principal contributor to carbon gain in fully mature, well-watered A. angustifolia grown outdoors under natural sunlight (Fig. 4). Day-time CO₂ uptake occurred mainly during the late afternoon (phase IV). The contribution of phase II CO₂ uptake was variable but generally small, whereas net CO₂ loss was consistently observed during phase III midday stomatal closure.

Nocturnal CO₂ uptake decreased substantially following overcast days (Fig. 4) For example, the extremely overcast d 4 light period was followed by a night during which there was no net CO₂ uptake. Less extreme examples of this trend were evident on d 2, 8, and 12. On days following overcast days, CO₂ uptake during the afternoon tended to be more pronounced.

Fig. 5 quantifies the relationships between day-time and night-time CO₂ exchange and daily PFD for the mature A. angustifolia illustrated in Fig. 4. Nocturnal CO₂ uptake was correlated with the integrated PFD during the preceding light period and contributed predominately to net CO₂
uptake at all light intensities that supported positive daily carbon gain. Following sunny days, when the integrated PFD exceeded about 30 mol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$, CO$_2$ uptake at night was saturated, providing 70–85% of the daily carbon gain. Below 30 mol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$, total carbon gain fell and the proportional contribution of nocturnal CO$_2$ uptake to 24 h carbon gain rose. Day-time CO$_2$ exchange became negative at around 21 mol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$, whereas night-time CO$_2$ exchange became negative at about 10 mol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$.

The biomass of *A. angustifolia* grown in unfertilized soil at 800 ppm CO$_2$ was double that of plants grown at 280 ppm CO$_2$, whereas leaf acidities were similar on both mass and leaf-area bases (Table 1). Fertilization increased the biomass 2.5-fold in plants grown at 280 ppm CO$_2$ and 1.7-fold in plants grown at 800 ppm CO$_2$. For both unfertilized and fertilized treatments, the $\delta^{13}$C values for plants at 800 ppm CO$_2$ were 1.8‰ more negative than for plants grown at 280 ppm CO$_2$. In comparison to unfertilized plants, fertilized...
plants exhibited greater nocturnal accumulation of H⁺ per unit leaf area, but H⁺ accumulation per unit leaf mass was unchanged.

Discussion

Despite an ability to occupy contrasting habitats, photosynthetic flexibility in A. angustifolia does not appear to be exceptional in terms of the proportional contributions to carbon gain of CO₂ uptake in the dark and light. Nocturnal CO₂ uptake was the principal source of carbon in mature A. angustifolia and in young plants once they had established. The proportional contribution to daily carbon gain of nocturnal CO₂ uptake remained a remarkably consistent 70–85%, although the amount of CO₂ fixed per day by well-watered plants varied with light intensity and nutrient status. In contrast to A. deserti (Hartsock and Nobel, 1976), the day/night pattern of CO₂ exchange in A. angustifolia did not shift towards a C₃ pattern when the supply of water was effectively unlimited.

Drought affected plant carbon gain and increased the proportional contribution of nocturnal CO₂ uptake to it (Fig. 2). Two weeks after the cessation of irrigation, drought stress manifested itself as a continuous decline in light CO₂ fixation. Most importantly, the initial 25 d of the decline of CO₂ uptake in the light was accompanied by an increase in the rate of dark CO₂ fixation. This drought-induced upregulation of CAM is a typical feature of facultative CAM. Facultative CAM or facultative components of CAM are not restricted to metabolically flexible annuals such as Mesembryanthemum crystallinum (Winter and von Willert, 1972) and Calandrinia polyandra (Winter and Holtum, 2011), and perennials such as some species of Clusia (Winter et al., 2009), but have also been observed in juveniles of constitutive CAM succulents such as O. ficus-indica and Opuntia elatior (Winter et al., 2008, 2011).

An attempt to force A. angustifolia into a C₃-like photosynthetic pattern by exposing it to 800 ppm CO₂ was partially successful in that daily carbon gain was enhanced and the proportional contribution of CO₂ uptake in the light rose, for example from 29 to 40% during an 11 d treatment (Fig. 2). The ability to maintain this pattern of CO₂ exchange was confirmed following a 166 d exposure to 800 ppm CO₂ after which tissue δ¹³C values were close to those predicted by Winter and Holtum (2002) for a 40% contribution to carbon gain of CO₂ fixation in the light.

In the short-term 800 ppm CO₂ fumigation treatments, CO₂ uptake in the dark was not or was only slightly enhanced (Fig. 2); in the longer-term experiment, there was no enhancement (Table 1), as nocturnal acidification remained unchanged. The contribution of nocturnal CO₂ fixation to carbon gain in CAM tissues is variably responsive to environmental stimuli,
which include night temperature, day-length, light intensity, and atmospheric CO2 concentration (Neales, 1973; Drennan and Nobel, 2000; Borland et al., 2011). Increased CO2 assimilation in the light but not the dark has been reported in Ananas grown at 700 ppm CO2 under a 30/20 °C day/night regime that was optimal for nocturnal CO2 uptake at ambient CO2 (Zhu et al., 1999). However, when Ananas was grown at higher night temperatures that were less than optimal for dark CO2 uptake at ambient CO2, the growth of plants at 700 ppm CO2 increased CO2 uptake in both the light and the dark. Increases in both light and dark CO2 fixation following exposure to high concentrations of atmospheric CO2 have been reported for A. deserti (Graham and Nobel, 1996) and Agave salmiana (Nobel, 1996b; Nobel et al., 1996), and for the stem succulents, O. ficus-indica (Nobel and Israel, 1994) and Stenocereus queretaroensis (Nobel, 1996b).

A. angustifolia could be shifted towards a C3-like light-only CO2 uptake pattern by an extreme treatment that required withholding CO2 during the dark and supplying 800 ppm CO2 in the light (Fig. 3). In the light, the duration of phase IV increased at the expense of phase III, presumably because small amounts of acid formed at night would be rapidly consumed and the inhibition of stomatal opening by the resulting high internal CO2 concentration would be transitory. In the 24 h cycle during which CO2-free air was supplied at night, daily carbon gain fell because the increase in CO2 gain in the light did not offset the lack of uptake of atmospheric CO2 during the night.

The stimulation of light fixation following exposure to CO2-free air at night lasted only during the light period following the treatment. Remarkably, no evidence of a metabolic memory of the CO2-free treatment was evident in the subsequent night and the day that followed it.

It has been suggested that the feasibility of cultivating strong-CAM plants such as Agave or Opuntia for biofuel feedstock in seasonally dry environments could be improved by developing plants that would fix a greater proportion of CO2 in the light during the moister parts of the year (Borland et al., 2011). In effect, the proposal is to push the proportional contribution of CO2 fixation in the light into the vicinity of 50–60%, a proportion that large surveys of CAM plants have revealed as being uncommon in the natural environment (Winter and Holtum, 2002; Crayn et al., 2004; Silvera et al., 2010).

As in A. angustifolia, 24 h CO2 exchange by the most highly productive CAM species grown in commercial plantations in warm and temperate subtropical dry-land environments, A. tequilana, O. ficus-indica, A. salmiana and Agave mapisaga, is dominated year round by nocturnal CO2 fixation (Nobel, 1996b).
1996a; Nobel et al., 1992; Pimienta-Barrios et al., 2001, 2006). In *A. tequilana*, environmental factors that limited growth and productivity in the field were water during the cool dry winter and PAR during the warm wet summer (Nobel and Valenzuela, 1987), not CO₂ fixation in the light. Under these field limitations, a shift towards an increase in the capacity for CO₂ fixation in the light is unlikely to result in significantly increased productivity. Rather, productivity might be expected to be higher if plants were grown at sites that were less cloudy in the summer and more moist in the winter.

Proposals to grow *Agave* as biofuel feedstocks in seasonally dry regions have emphasized their suitability for so-called marginal lands (Borland et al., 2009; Somerville et al., 2010; Davis et al., 2011). Land that is marginal for growing traditional crops may well support high growth rates of water-use efficient, high-temperature-tolerant CAM species, but it remains to be seen whether *Agave* can produce commercially relevant yields on truly marginal lands that are nutrient poor and severely water limited.
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