# ResearchOnline@JCU



This file is part of the following work:

# Kenyon, Nicole (2008) Variable susceptibility to an emerging infectious disease, chytridiomycosis, in anurans. PhD Thesis, James Cook University.

Access to this file is available from: https://doi.org/10.25903/kr1j%2Ds920

Copyright © 2008 Nicole Kenyon

The author has certified to JCU that they have made a reasonable effort to gain permission and acknowledge the owners of any third party copyright material included in this document. If you believe that this is not the case, please email researchonline@jcu.edu.au

Variable Susceptibility to an Emerging Infectious Disease, Chytridiomycosis, in Anurans



Thesis submitted by Nicole KENYON BSc, JCU In July 2008

For the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Zoology and Tropical Ecology within the School of Marine and Tropical Biology James Cook University Townsville, QLD, Australia

#### STATEMENT OF SOURCES DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis is my own work and has not been submitted in any form for another degree or diploma at any university or other institution of tertiary education. Information derived from the published or unpublished work of others has been acknowledged in the text and a list of references is given. All research reported in this thesis received the approval of the ethics committees and the QPW.

Signature

Date

#### STATEMENT OF CONTRIBUTION OF OTHERS

Two publications have been submitted from Chapter 2. Dr. Andrea Phillott provided input on the manuscripts and generously provided her frog monitoring population to compare the true identity of the frogs via toe-tipping and photographic identification method. Professor Ross Alford gave valuable advice on statistical analysis and writing the manuscript.

Chapter 5 is in the process of being submitted to Journal of Zoology with the help of Professor Ross Alford. The design of this experiment was an ongoing development and Ross provided valuable input during that time, including the analysis.

Chapter 6 has been submitted to Journal of Herpetology with the help of Sara Bell and Professor Ross Alford. Sara Bell offered advice on culturing *Bd* and writing the manuscript. Professor Ross Alford gave valuable advice on the experimental design, statistical analysis and writing the manuscript, which is currently in revision.

The submission of several chapters to different journals required the use of different English styles and reference formats which were retained in this thesis.

#### **Declaration of ethics**

Animals were obtained and all data collected under Animal Ethics Approval A960 granted by James Cook University Animal Ethics Committee, and Scientific Purposes Permits WITK01932505 and WISP01764304 granted by Queensland Parks and Wildlife Service.

#### STATEMENT OF ACCESS

#### 

I, the undersigned, the author of this work, understand that James Cook University will make this thesis available for use within the University Library and, via the Australian Digital Theses network, for use elsewhere.

I understand that, as an unpublished work, a thesis has significant protection under the Copyright Act and I wish the following restrictions to be placed on this work:

All users consulting this thesis will have to sign the following statement: In consulting this thesis I agree not to copy or closely paraphrase it in whole or in part without the written consent of the author; and to make proper public written acknowledgment for any assistance that I have obtained from it.

I do not wish to place any further restriction on access to this work.

Signature

Date

### **ELECTRONIC COPY**

#### \*\*\*\*\*\*\*

I, the undersigned, the author of this work, declare that the electronic copy of this thesis provided to the James Cook University Library is an accurate copy of the print thesis submitted, within the limits of the technology available.

Signature

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thank you Ross Alford, my main supervisor, for allowing me to work on the chytridiomycosis project and giving me the freedom to create my own study. I have learnt so much from you! I greatly appreciate the time and effort you have put into explaining the odd world called "statistics" to me, your endless patience with English grammar and for tolerating my nagging and panic emails...  $\odot$ . Thanks to my co-supervisors, Andrea Phillott and Chris Johnson. Andrea, I would have never submitted that many papers without you! Thanks for your "give me update" emails and letting me use your monitoring frog population to test the photographic identification method. Chris, thank you for your kind, positive and constructive input when I needed it the most.

A special thanks goes to Sara Bell, my supervisor's research assistant, who has supported me in so many ways (the list is just too long) through out my PhD and Rob Gegg for helping me designing and building the terraria, but also for being there as a close friend, desperately trying to teach me diplomacy.... I had a great time with you and your patience and generosity is inspiring.

It has been a pleasure to work with the Amphibian Disease Ecology Group (ADEG) members and froggers, Andrea Phillott, Diana Mendez, Jamie Voyles, Keith McDonald, Lee Berger, Lee Skerratt, Rick Speare, Robert Puschendorf, Ross Alford, Sam Young, Sara Bell, Scott Cashins, Stephen Garland and many more! Special thanks to Stephen Garland for carrying out the PCR analysis, Scott Cashins for raising *L. genimaculata* eggs to tadpoles and Robert Puschendorf for creating the study site map.

I am grateful for the fantastic collaboration with Dr. Louise Rollins-Smith and Dr. Doug Woodhams at Vanderbilt University, Nashville, USA. Chapter 3 and 4 would not have been possible without their help. Also thank you to Dr. Kirsten Heimann at JCU for her

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I have been lucky to have received numerous financial contributions. Firstly, thank you to the mayor of my home town (Stein am Rhein, Switzerland), Franz Hostettmann, for providing me with a one semester scholarship (without me having to fill out any forms!) to start my postgraduate study which enabled me to pursue my dream. Secondly, thank you to the Department of Education, Science and Training, for the international postgraduate scholarship (IPRS), the Marine and Tropical Biology at James Cook University for contributing towards the IPRS and the Department of Environment and Water Resources for supporting my study.

I would not have been able to conduct my field work without the help of many volunteers. Special thanks to Ashley Pearcy, Dane Trembath, Jason Schaeffer and Sam Forbes for looking after my frogs. Thank you Bettina Mueller, Claire Bisseling, Joe Wilkinson, Martha Velasco, Max Sargeson, Peter Roth, Philip Smith, Sam Noonan, Susan North, Tom Jackson (thanks for the blog), Vickie Hickin and any others that I may have forgotten (sorry) for being such a great help in the field and putting up with leeches (even in the eye), wait a whiles, stinging trees, mosquitoes, long cold rainy nights and slippery rocks!

During this study I have gained so many new and wonderful friends. Thank you to Mahanta my dearest friend and role model, Bettina Mueller for all the laughter, tears and Sunday morning Eggs Benedict, Brian King for all the flowers, emails, SMS's and great hugs at the right times, Jacquie Shields for being such a great friend even though we live miles apart, Katrin Schmidt for chocolate and coffee breaks, Leonie Valentine for taking me into the field and introducing me to the most wonderful, warm and generous family – the Dreghorn Clan <sup>(2)</sup>, Noriko Iwai for saving my frogs when the airconditioner broke down on a Sunday morning, Peter Roth who is even more stubborn than me for all the dances and Swiss chats, to all the salsa dancers, the Dreghorn Clan for believing in me and many wonderful nights on the veranda with blue cheese and

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My final thanks and deepest gratitude goes to my mom and dad. Thank you for being with me from step one, visualizing something I thought was impossible to achieve, believing in me and supporting me in all levels, financially, emotionally and spiritually. Thanks for the trust, love and encouragement – you guys are inspiring and just the best!

#### ABSTRACT

I found evidence for all three mechanisms. In vitro, antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) of *Litoria genimaculata* (vulnerable to infection with *Bd* with highland population declines followed by recovery) and L. rheocola (vulnerable to infection with Bd with severe declines at higher elevations with little or slow recolonisation) can completely inhibit Bd growth. I also found large seasonal variation in antimicrobial peptide defences in both species. This may result from physiological shifts driven by temperature, or may reflect adaptation to seasonal fluctuations in the risk of infection. The proportion of L. genimaculata from high elevation populations, which have experienced strong viability selection pressure imposed by chytridiomycosis outbreaks, that produced AMPs that effectively inhibited *Bd in vitro*, was significantly higher than in low elevation populations, which have been protected from chytridiomycosis by environmental factors. There was also evidence that high elevation populations produced AMPs that differed slightly in chemical composition from those produced by low elevation populations. However, when individuals of either frog species produced AMPs that inhibited the growth of *Bd*, the effectiveness of AMPs from high and low elevation populations did not differ significantly. This suggests that any responses to selection may have occurred through an increase in the proportion of individuals producing effective AMPs, with no change in the types of AMPs produced. Antimicrobial peptide defences did not differ significantly between high and low elevation population of L. rheocola, suggesting that this species may have recolonised

IX

#### ABSTRACT

Thermal microenvironments selected in the laboratory corresponded to those expected from decline patterns observed in the wild. *Litoria caerulea* (vulnerable to infection with *Bd* but no population declines due to chytridiomycosis have been detected) selected warm and hot environments significantly more often than *L. genimaculata*. Additionally, although not significant, there was a trend that intensity of *Bd* infection in all three species was more likely to decrease over time in individuals that had a choice of hydric and thermal microenvironments than in frogs that were housed under standard environmental conditions. There was also evidence of disease avoidance behaviour; some *L. caerulea* and *L. genimaculata* chose uncontaminated water significantly more often than water that contained *Bd* zoospores. None of the frog species were able to completely avoid water containing *Bd* zoospores, possibly in part because their pond selection was also influenced by side fidelity.

My study demonstrates the complexity of host-pathogen interactions and that multiple factors, including innate immune defence, microenvironment selection and disease avoidance behaviour, can influence the progress of chytridiomycosis and should be considered when establishing species specific management plans.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

******	*****
TITLE PAGE	Ι
STATEMENT OF SOURCES DECLARATION	II
STATEMENT OF CONTRIBUTION OF OTHERS	III
STATEMENT OF ACCESS	IV
ELECTRONIC COPY	V
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	VI
ABSTRACT	IX
<b>CHAPTER 1: GENERAL INTRODUCTION</b>	
Diseases	1
Amphibians	5
Introduction	5
Chytridiomycosis	6
Aims of this study	15
CHAPTER 2: PHOTOGRAPHIC IDENTIFICATIO	N METHOD (PIM) AS A
MEANS OF RECOGNISING INDIVIDUAL ADULT	AND JUVENILE GREEN-
EYED TREE FROGS, <i>LITORIA GENIMACULATA</i> (A	ANURA: HYLIDAE).
Abstract	I /
Introduction	18
Materials and Methods	19
Results	23
Discussion	54
CHAPTER 3: HAVE ANURAN SKIN PEPTIDE DE	FENCES AGAINST THE
EMERGING AMPHIBIAN PATHOGEN BATRACH	OCHYTRIUM
DENDROBATIDIS RESPONDED TO NATURAL SE	LECTION?
Abstract	36
Introduction Materials and Mathada	37
Materials and Methods	39
Results	49
Discussion	03
CHAPTER 4: ANTIMICROBIAL PEPTIDE DEFEN	NCE AND PROFILE IN
LITORIA GENIMACULATA (ANURA: HYLIDAE): ( AMONGST FIVE DIFFERENT GEOGRAPHICAL L	COMPARISON
Abstract	66
Introduction	68
Materials and Methods	70
Results	74
Discussion	89

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

***************************************	******		
CHAPTER 5: A LABORATORY BEHAVIOUR STUDY ON THE EFF. MICROENVIRONMENT SELECTION BY ANURANS ON	ECT OF		
CHYTRIDIOMYCOSIS.			
Abstract	91		
Introduction	92		
Materials and Methods	94		
Results	100		
Discussion			
CHAPTER 6: DO FROGS AVOID WATER THAT CONTAINS			
BATRACHOCHYTRIUM DENDROBATIDIS ZOOSPORES?			
Abstract	118		
Introduction	119		
Materials and Methods	121		
Results	125		
Discussion	131		
CHAPTER 7: SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION			
Innate immune defences – Antimicrobial peptides	133		
Microenvironment selection	134		
Disease avoidance behaviour	135		
Future research	136		
LITERATURE SITED	138		
APPENDIX			
Appendix A. Wild amphibian species known to be infected with <i>Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis</i> as of 30 June 2008.	161		

# LIST OF FIGURES

*******	***************************************	****
Figure 1.1	Life cycle of Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis	7
Figure 1.2	Global distribution of amphibian species known to be	
C	infected with Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis as of 30 June 2008	9
Figure 2.1	Stage used to take digital images of Litoria genimaculata	20
Figure 2.2	Measured aspects of the dorsal pattern in <i>Litoria genimaculata</i>	
C	used to create binary state variables analysed in Figure 2.3	21
Figure 2.3	Non-metric multidimensional scaling ordination of Euclidean	
-	distances among individuals for dichotomised measurements of	
	Litoria genimaculata juvenile dorsal pattern	24
Figure 2.4	Photographs of two juvenile Litoria genimaculata and their	
	distinctive dorsal patterns	25
Figure 2.5	Persistence of the dorsal pattern in a juvenile <i>Litoria genimaculata</i>	
	at week one and week nine	26
Figure 2.6	Constant dorsal pattern, with temporal variation in colour,	
	of a juvenile Litoria genimaculata	27
Figure 2.7	The lack of a distinct dorsal hourglass and similar blotches	
	in two adult <i>Litoria genimaculata</i>	31
Figure 2.8	Dorsal pattern change of an adult Litoria genimaculata individual	
	within two months	32
Figure 2.9	Time taken to apply toe-tipping and photographic identification	
	method to adult frogs	33
Figure 3.1	Map of the location of three National Park areas (encompassing 5	
	study sites) in northern Queensland where Litoria genimaculata	
	and L. rheocola were captured to sample skin peptides	40
Figure 3.2	Prevalence of Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis in Litoria	
	genimaculata and L. rheocola individuals, at each site,	
	during summer and winter	50
Figure 3.3	Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis infection intensity in Litoria	
	genimaculata at high and low elevation, in winter and summer	51
Figure 3.4	Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis infection intensity in Litoria	
	rheocola at high and low elevation, in winter and summer	52
Figure 3.5	Relationship between total peptide secretion $(\mu g)$ and body mass $(g)$	
	in Litoria genimaculata and L. rheocola	53
Figure 3.6	Total peptides secreted ( $\mu g$ ) per surface area (cm <sup>2</sup> ) by	
	Litoria genimaculata at each site	54
Figure 3.7	Total peptides secreted ( $\mu g$ ) per surface area (cm <sup>2</sup> ) by	
	Litoria rheocola at each site	55
Figure 3.8	Total peptides secreted ( $\mu g$ ) per surface area (cm <sup>2</sup> ) by	
	Litoria genimaculata and L. rheocola in summer and winter	56
Figure 3.9	Proportion of peptide samples from <i>Litoria genimaculata</i> and	
	L. rheocola that did and did not inhibit Batrachochytrium	
	dendrobatidis during challenge assays	58
Figure 3.10	Proportion of peptide samples from <i>Litoria genimaculata</i> and	
	L. rheocola, in summer and winter, at four different inhibitory	
	concentrations	59
Figure 3.11	Overall protection afforded by antimicrobial peptides in	
	Litoria genimaculata and L. rheocola	60
		XIII

# LIST OF FIGURES

*******	***************************************	***
Figure 3.12	Overall protection afforded by antimicrobial peptides in infected and uninfected <i>Litoria genimaculata</i> at high and low elevation	61
Figure 3.13	Overall protection afforded by antimicrobial peptides in	()
Figure 11	Litoria rheocola at high and low elevation Matrix assisted laser desorption/ionization mass spectrometry	62
Figure 4.1	reading of skin peptides of a <i>Litoria genimaculata</i> individual	75
Figure 4.2	Litoria genimaculata at five sites	76
Figure 4.3	Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis infection intensity in	, 0
e	Litoria genimaculata at five sites	77
Figure 4.4	Total peptides secreted ( $\mu$ g) per surface area (cm <sup>2</sup> ) by infected and uninfected <i>Litoria genimaculata</i> at five sites	78
Figure 4.5	Correlation between Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis infection	
	intensity and peptide secretion ( $\mu g$ ) per surface area (cm <sup>2</sup> ),	~ ~
E:	In Litoria genimaculata	80
Figure 4.6	Litoria genimaculata at five different inhibitory concentrations	<b>Q</b> 1
Figure 47	Overall protection afforded by antimicrobial peptides in	01
1 15uit 4.7	Litoria genimaculata at five sites	83
Figure 4.8	Correlation between <i>Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis</i> infection	00
C	intensity and overall protection afforded by antimicrobial peptides	
	in Litoria genimaculata	85
Figure 4.9	Number of peaks counted by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionizatio	n
<b>D</b> <sup>1</sup> ( 10)	mass spectrometry of skin peptides from <i>Litoria genimaculata</i>	86
Figure 4.10	Multidimensional scaling ordination on matrix-assisted laser	
	Literia genimaculata at five sites	87
Figure 5.1	The design of the variable microenvironment terraria in which from	07
1 iguie 5.1	could choose between two hydric (low and high relative humidity)	
	and three thermal (cold, warm and hot) microenvironments	96
Figure 5.2	Relative humidity in three different terraria designs. 1= larger variable microenvironment terraria. 2= smaller variable microenvironment	
	terraria, 3= constant microenvironment terraria	102
Figure 5.3	Thermal microenvironments (cold, warm and hot) in the three	
	different terraria designs. 1= larger variable microenvironment	
	terraria, 2= smaller variable microenvironment terraria, 3= constant	
г <sup>.</sup> <i>с</i> 4	microenvironment terraria	103
Figure 5.4	Proportion of digital images in which <i>Litoria caerulea</i> were	
	and substrate selection (nond, wall and ground)	106
Figure 5.5	Proportion of digital images in which <i>Litoria caerulea L</i> , wilcoxii	100
1 19010 5.5	and <i>L. genimaculata</i> were observed during the day in each thermal environment (cold, warm and hot)	107
Figure 5.6	Proportion of digital images in which <i>Litoria caerulea L. wilcoxii</i>	107
	and <i>L. genimaculata</i> were observed during the day in each	
	combination of thermal and hydric environments (cold, warm and hot	
	at low and high humidity)	108
		XIV

# LIST OF FIGURES

******	***************************************	***
Figure 5.7	Proportion of digital images in which <i>Litoria caerulea</i> , <i>L. wilcoxii</i> and <i>L. genimaculata</i> were located during the day on each substrate	
	(pond, wall and ground)	109
Figure 5.8	Proportion of digital images in which <i>Litoria caerulea</i> , <i>L. wilcoxii</i> and <i>L. genimaculata</i> had moved (< body length, > body length or	
	no movement) during the day within the terraria	110
Figure 5.9	Proportion of digital images in which infected and uninfected Litoria wilcoxii movement pattern (< body length, > body length or	
	no movement), during the night, were observed	111
Figure 5.10	Proportion of digital images in which infected and uninfected	
	Litoria genimaculata were observed during the day on each	
	substrate (pond, wall and ground)	112
Figure 5.11	Proportion of digital images in which uninfected <i>Litoria caerulea</i> ,	
	L. WICOXII and L. genimaculata were observed during the night	112
Б <sup>.</sup> с 10	on each substrate (pond, wall and ground)	113
Figure 5.12	<i>L. wilcoxii</i> and <i>L. genimaculata</i> were observed during the night	
	on each substrate (pond, wall and ground)	114
Figure 6.1	Number of digital images of <i>Litoria caerulea</i> and <i>L. genimaculata</i> that chose a pond more than five times and their choice of	
	preferred pond (pond that was chosen by an individual more	
	frequently compared to the other pond) and not preferred pond	126
Figure 6.2	Distributions of the differences between the numbers of times	120
C	individual Litoria caerulea and L. genimaculata chose ponds without	
	and with Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis zoospores present	127
Figure 6.3	Differences between the number of images where a frog was	
C	submerged in water with <i>Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis</i> minus	
	number of images where a frog was submerged in water without	
	Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis zoospores present	129
Figure 6.4	Number of images of Litoria caerulea, L. wilcoxii and	
-	L. genimaculata found submerged in water with and without	
	Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis zoospores present	130

# LIST OF TABLES

*******	***************************************	****
Table 1.1 Table 2.1	Emerging infectious diseases and their impact on wildlife Temporal variation in dorsal pattern background colour of 20	3
	Litoria genimaculata juveniles during the nine-week study period	28
Table 2.2	Recognition of new and recaptured Litoria genimaculata in	
	Murray Upper National Park using photo identification method	30
Table 3.1	Locations and descriptions of the five study sites where Litoria	
	genimaculata and L. rheocola skin peptides were collected	41
Table 3.2	96-well plate layout for <i>Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis</i> growth	
	inhibition assay	46
Table 4.1	<i>Posthoc</i> analysis (unequal N HSD) of total amount of peptides ( $\mu$ g)	
<b>T</b> 11 1 0	secreted per surface area (cm <sup>2</sup> ) by <i>Litoria genimaculata</i> at five sites	79
Table 4.2	<i>Posthoc</i> analysis (unequal N HSD) of overall protection afforded	0.4
T 11 4 2	by antimicrobial peptides in <i>Litoria genimaculata</i> at five sites.	84
Table 4.3	Number of <i>Litoria genimaculata</i> skin peptide samples on which	
	matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization mass spectrometry	07
Table 1 1	The sum of Meaulatin pontides found in the skin secretion of	80
1 able 4.4	60 mala Litaria agaimagulata at fiyo sitas	00
Table 5 1	Torraria siza, frog species and sample size used during the	00
	six trials of the microenvironment experiment	06
Table 5.2	Infection status of <i>Litoria caerulaa L</i> wilcorii and	90
1 abic 5.2	<i>L genimaculata</i> individuals at the beginning of each trial	104
Table 5.3	<i>Changes in intensity of Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis infection</i>	104
14010 0.5	of <i>Litoria caerulea L</i> wilcoxii and <i>L</i> genimaculata individuals	
	before and after each trial	104
Table 6.1	Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis infection status of Litoria	101
	genimaculata, L. wilcoxii and L. caerulea individuals.	
	before and after each trial	126

#### 

#### Introduction

A disease can be defined as a reduction in health or loss of vital processes that is caused by a pathogen (Hale et al., 1995; Begon et al., 1996). Pathogens and diseases have coexisted with the world's flora and fauna throughout the history of life, and have probably strongly influenced biodiversity throughout evolutionary time. In evolutionary terms, it is not to the benefit of a pathogen to kill its host, as it then loses its own environment (Woodroffe, 1999), however, many factors, such as environmental conditions (Gubbins and Gilligan, 1997) or migration (Hosseini et al., 2004), can influence and change the dynamic interaction between a host and pathogen and may result in higher mortality rates of the host (Elliot et al., 2002; Berger et al., 2004; Hosseini et al., 2004; Ibelings et al., 2004). For example, drought in Asia forced rats to move from rice fields into human populated houses and their fleas transferred the bacterium Yersinia pestis, better known as "the plague", to the "naive" human population. This disease reduced the European human population by 25-33 percent in the fourteenth century (Stolley and Lasky, 1995). Today, infectious diseases such as acquired immune deficiency syndrome (AIDS), malaria, severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS), ebola virus, tuberculosis and more recently the avian influenza virus H5N1, are responsible for around 19% of all recorded human deaths (Morens et al., 2004; World Health Organization, 2004; Oner et al., 2006).

#### Emerging Infectious Diseases (EIDs)

All the above-mentioned diseases were at some time categorised as "emerging infectious diseases" (EIDs). Epidemiologists define an emerging infectious disease as one that has recently increased either or both of its geographical range or the range of host species it infects (Morse, 1995; Daszak et al., 2001; Dobson and Foufopoulos, 2001). In the past, research has focused mainly on EIDs that affect humans directly or result in economic losses, such as those affecting domestic and farmed fauna, including hoofed animals, crocodiles and fishes (Blake, 1968; Huchzermeyer et al., 1994; Cleaveland et al., 2001; Cheatwood et al., 2003; Caldow et al., 2004). Some wildlife diseases, such as chronic wasting disease (CWD) (Miller et al., 2006) and rinderpest

#### **INTRODUCTION**

It has been emphasised that wildlife are likely to be important reservoirs for many pathogens that affect humans or their livestock, and that closer and more frequent interactions between humans and wildlife may increase the risk that EIDs of wildlife pose for human health (Bosch and Martinez-Solano, 2006; Colizza et al., 2006; Cunningham, 2007). Additionally, the economic value of wildlife has increased as tourism has become more popular (Colizza et al., 2006; Daszak, 2006). As a consequence, EIDs of wildlife have lately received more attention (Berger and Speare, 1998; Daszak and Cunningham, 1999; de Castro and Bolker, 2005). In particular, commonly used conservation tools, such as re-introduction and translocation, have been investigated to understand their effects on spread and outbreaks of diseases (Tompkins et al., 2003; Walker et al., 2004; de Castro and Bolker, 2005).

Recognition of the importance of wildlife EIDs may have come too late; in the last few decades a number of EIDs have already caused large-scale declines in wildlife (Table 1.1). These include the rinderpest that devastated Africa's wildlife (Rossifer et al., 1987; Mariner et al., 2003; Gabre-Madhin and Haggblade, 2004); devil facial tumour disease (DFTD) in Tasmania, Australia (Hawkins et al., 2006; McCallum and Jones, 2006); and chytridiomycosis worldwide (Berger et al., 1998; Bosch and Martinez-Solano, 2006; Pounds et al., 2006).

#### 

**Table 1.1** Emerging infectious diseases (EIDs) and their impact on wildlife.

Disease	Area	First Reported	Mortality Rate	Hosts	Possible Source	Reference
HPAI avian influenza virus (includes the	World wide	1878, 18 outbreaks since 1959	150 million birds in Asia	Birds (Order Anseriformes and	Wild bird contact with	Alexander, 2000
Devil facial tumour disease (DFTD)	Tasmania, Australia	1996 to present	Up to 80% decline in areas of outbreak	Tasmanian devil Sarcophilus harrisii	Unknown	Hawkins et al., 2006; McCallum and Jones, 2006
Avian malaria ( <i>Plasmodium</i> sp), transmitted by mosquito	World-wide	Early 1900's	Extinction of 9 avian species	Birds	Introduction of intermediate host (mosquito)	Warner, 1968; van Riper et al., 1986
Crayfish plague 2, caused by fungus <i>Aphanomyces astaci</i>	Europe	1860s	Declines of all native freshwater crayfish	Freshwater crayfish	Introduction of infected North American crayfish in 1860s	Edgerton et al., 2004; Gherardi, 2006
Scabies transmitted by mites ( <i>Sarcoptes</i> <i>rupicaprae</i> )	Europe (mainly Austria, Italy and Slovenia)	1952	Declines between 49- 77% of chamois	Alpine chamois ( <i>Rupicapra</i> <i>rupicapra</i> ) and other sympatric ruminants	Unclear, bad weather, infestation of endo-parasites,	Fuchs et al., 2000; Rossi et al., 2007
Rinderpest caused by virus ( <i>Rinderpest</i> virus)	Africa	1979	Unknown	Ruminants	Unknown	Rossifer et al., 1987

#### EIDs in ectotherms

We have substantial knowledge of host-parasite dynamics in humans and similar endothermic animals but this understanding may not be transferable to the host-parasite dynamic of terrestrial ectotherms, which appear to differ substantially (Delajara, 1991; Waldmann, 2003). For example, water balance - especially in amphibians as their skin is highly permeable - and body temperature experience dramatic changes as ectotherms modulate their internal environment to conform to physiological needs through microhabitat selection and the use of external sources of heat (Lillywhite et al., 1998; Hillyard, 1999; Wolcott and Wolcott, 2001; Seebacher and Alford, 2002; Beck and Jennings, 2003). Body temperature can change by at least 15°C within one hour (Tattersall et al., 2006). Physical performance, innate and acquired immune defences, and digestive function, as well as other systems, may fluctuate as a result (Huey and Stevenson, 1979; Huey and Kingsolver, 1989; Blanford and Thomas, 1999; Freidenburg and Skelly, 2004).

Consequently, the use of external sources of heat and microhabitat selection can greatly affect the host-parasite interactions of ectotherms. Carruthers et al. (1992) showed that the clearwinged grasshopper (*Camnula pellucida*) eliminated infection by a fungal pathogen (*Entomophaga grylli*) by exploiting external sources of heat to increase its internal body temperature. It is not clear if *C. pellucida* increased its body temperature to higher than normal or if its natural temperature range caused the mortality of the

#### **INTRODUCTION**

#### Amphibians

#### Introduction

Amphibia (caecilians, frogs and salamanders) is a diverse class that consists of 5,948 recognized species from which around 5,067 described species belong to the Order Anura (frogs and toads) (Frost et al., 2006; Alford et al., 2007). The earliest frog fossil indicates that they have been on earth for more than 100 million years (Alford et al., 2007). In the early 1980s several herpetologists reported a dramatic series of amphibian declines from many parts of the world (Hayes and Jennings, 1986; Heyer et al., 1988; Barinaga, 1990; Blaustein and Wake, 1990) but mainly in protected, pristine high elevation areas, such as the montane rainforests of Australia, Central America and South America (Bradford, 1991; Hays et al., 1996; Berger and Speare, 1998; Berger et al., 1998; Lips, 1998; Daszak et al., 1999; Green et al., 2002). After years of debate it has been generally accepted that these declines occurred or are occurring at a greater rate than the overall global biodiversity crisis (Blaustein and Wake, 1990; Pechmann et al., 1991; Wake, 1991; Blaustein, 1994; Lips, 1998; Meyer et al., 1998; Stallard, 2001; Daszak et al., 2005). Today, it is recognised that more than 30 percent of amphibian species are declining, and these species make up a third of all critically endangered animals (IUCN, 2006; Smith et al., 2006).

Obvious potential causal factors for the amphibian declines, such as habitat loss and change (Adams, 1999), agricultural practices, harvesting of wild populations, effects of pesticides (Sparling et al., 2001; Blaustein et al., 2003; Davidson and Knapp, 2007), introductions of predators/competitors (Fellers and Drost, 1993; Hecnar and McLoskey, 1997) and climate change, including increased levels of UV-B radiation (Blaustein and Wake, 1995; Lips, 1998; Pessier, 2002; Blaustein et al., 2003; Reading, 2007; Van Uitregt et al., 2007; Wake, 2007; Whitfield et al., 2007), have been investigated and may have contributed to some declines. However, in the last decade it has become clear

#### **INTRODUCTION**

#### Chytridiomycosis

The fungus *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* (*Bd*) (Phylum Chytridiomycota) is the causative agent of the amphibian disease chytridiomycosis (Berger et al., 1998; Longcore et al., 1999). Berger et al. (1998) were the first scientists to demonstrate that *Bd*, in a controlled environment, is fatal to frogs. It is the first chytrid fungus known to cause mortality in vertebrates (Berger and Speare, 1998).

The asexual life cycle of *Bd* consists of dispersal, growth, amplification and infectious phases (Berger et al., 2005a). During dispersal, flagellated, motile zoospores may either re-enter the infected amphibian host or the aquatic environment (Figure 1.1). The longevity of zoospores and potential migration distance in natural aquatic environment, such as a fast flowing rainforest creek, is unknown. When the zoospore reaches a suitable host it encysts in the keratinised epidermis, which includes the mouthparts of tadpoles (Blaustein et al., 2005; Drake et al., 2007). Once encysted, it develops rhizoids (hair/root-like structures) in several directions and can develop into one or colonial (with separate divisions) sessile zoosporangia within several days (Longcore et al., 1999; Berger et al., 2005a). The amplification phase begins at this time. Between four and ten zoospores develop within the zoosporangium (Berger et al., 2000). The zoosporangium forms one discharge tubule that opens to the surface of the epidermis to allow the zoospores to be released (Longcore et al., 1999; Berger et al., 2000). A sexual life cycle has been observed in other Chytridiomycetes (Ibelings et al., 2004) and evidence suggests that there may also be sexual reproduction in Bd (Morgan et al., 2007), but further studies are needed to demonstrate this.

The mechanism by which *Bd* causes pathogenesis is unclear. As the colonisation of the skin by zoospores progresses, the described effects are similar among anuran species. These include loss of energy, appetite and reflexes, tetany, macroscopic lesions,

#### **INTRODUCTION**



**Figure 1.1.** Life cycle of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* (*Bd*), a fungus that causes chytridiomycosis in amphibians. Adapted from Woodhams (2003).

The worldwide occurrence of Bd has raised the question of its origin (Daszak et al., 2003; Weldon et al., 2004; Rachowicz et al., 2005; Fisher and Garner, 2007; Skerratt et al., 2007). To date, the earliest record of an amphibian diagnosed with Bd is from the african clawed frog (Xenopus laevis) from the western cape coastal lowland in 1938 (Weldon et al., 2004). This species was used for pregnancy tests and exported world wide between the 1930's and 1960's (Weldon et al., 2004). The lack of historical evidence of Bd in amphibians favours the novel or spreading pathogen hypothesis (Rachowicz et al., 2005), which states that Bd has a single local point of origin and spread globally over a short time period. If this is so, why was there not an outbreak in the 1960's? Why 20 to 30 years later? In order to reject the hypothesis of a novel or spreading pathogen, researchers have tried to trace the emergence of *Bd* by analysing tissue samples from museum specimens. To date, no study has found Bd in museum specimens from other areas than western cape Africa before the export of X. laevis (Burrowes et al., 2004; Ouellet et al., 2005). However, amphibians decompose quickly and hence the probability that an infected amphibian will be collected and preserved by a museum may be very low. The additional low likelihood of actually finding infected specimens within museum collections makes the complete resolution of the history of Bd almost infeasible.



\*\*\*\*\*\*

**Figure 1.2**. The global distribution of amphibian species known to be infected with *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* as of 30 June 2008.

The endemic pathogen hypothesis differs from the spreading pathogen hypothesis in that it implies that *Bd* has been in the environment for a longer period and other abiotic factors have negatively influenced the host-pathogen interaction and increased its virulence (Morehouse et al., 2003; Rachowicz et al., 2005; Pounds et al., 2006; Skerratt et al., 2007; Wake, 2007; Whitfield et al., 2007). These hypotheses may not be mutually exclusive in that the pathogen may have originated from *X. laevis* but additional changes in abiotic factors may have contributed to the later observed outbreaks. Molecular evidence supports both hypotheses (Fisher and Garner, 2007). Low genetic diversity, suggesting a recent global spread, was found on a global scale by comparing 35 *Bd* strains from Africa, Australia, North and Central America (Bosch and Martinez-Solano, 2006). Alternatively, Morgan et al. (2007) found, by comparing nine local *Bd* isolates (Sierra Nevada, California) with four *Bd* isolates of frog species from Africa, Australia, North and South America, greater genetic divergence on a local than global scale. It is important that current research investigates abiotic factors that may influence the prevalence and hence host-pathogen dynamics after an initial outbreak.

# 

One of the abiotic factors that has been intensively investigated to determine its potential influence on chytridiomycosis is climate. Laboratory study has shown that *Bd* is more virulent in conditions of high humidity (Woodhams, 2003) as *L. chloris* developed chytridiomycosis more slowly in dry environmental conditions than in wetter conditions. However, several field studies have detected a higher prevalence of *Bd* in Australian anuran species, including *L. genimaculata*, *L. rheocola* and *Nyctimystes dayi*, in winter (dry season) rather than summer (wet season) (Bradley et al., 2002; Berger et al., 2004; McDonald et al., 2005; Woodhams and Alford, 2005; Kriger and Herman, 2006). This suggests that temperature may have a greater influence on prevalence of *Bd* than does humidity.

The strong effects of temperature have been documented in several other studies. Woodhams et al. (2003) found that holding *L. chloris* at 37°C for less than 16 hours cured them of *Bd* infection. Additionally, Drew et al. (2006), who used field observations from 56 sites in Australia, found a significant relationship between *Bd* and temperature only, not elevation or rainfall. They concluded that *Bd* is more likely to occur at sites where the average summer maximum temperature is below 30°C.

While climate, especially temperature, has an impact on host-pathogen dynamics, there are large differences in susceptibility among anuran species living in the same geographical area, and it is not clear why this is so (McDonald and Alford, 1999; Martinez-Solano et al., 2003; Bell et al., 2004; Hale et al., 2005; La Marca et al., 2005; McDonald et al., 2005; Garcia et al., 2006).

#### Variation in susceptibility to chytridiomycosis

Studies have shown that chytridiomycosis can be lethal in many amphibian species but not in others (Retallick et al., 2004). There are distinct intra- and inter-specific patterns in susceptibility (McDonald and Alford, 1999; Blaustein et al., 2005; La Marca et al., 2005; Lips et al., 2006) suggesting three different responses to exposure:

1) The amphibian becomes infected with *Bd* and dies

**INTRODUCTION** 

2) The amphibian becomes infected with *Bd* and survives
3) The amphibian does not become infected even though it occurs in a habitat where *Bd* is present.

Life history, biology and ecology of anurans with varying susceptibility to chytridiomycosis have been compared in an effort to understand what traits make species more or less susceptible. Richards et al. (1993) first noted that declining amphibian species in the Australian Wet Tropics were associated with streams, and several studies since have suggested that stream-breeding frogs may experience higher rates of exposure to *Bd* than terrestrial species (McDonald and Alford, 1999; Lips et al., 2003; Kriger and Hero, 2007a). However, there is substantial variability even among stream-breeding species. Some of this may be due to differences in transmission rates caused by effects of innate immune responses and/or behaviour on exposure risk, including microenvironment selection, water selection and conspecific interactions.

#### Innate immune system defences

Innate immune defences include any mechanism that successfully limits initial microbial invasion (Hoffman et al., 1999). One mechanism known from all living organisms is the production of anti-microbial peptides (AMPs), short-chain proteins (Papagianni, 2003; Rolff and Siva-Jothy, 2003). In anurans, AMPs can exist internally or in the epidermal layer within the secretory granular glands (Bevins and Zasloff, 1990; Boman, 1995; Nicolas and Mor, 1995; Nicolas et al., 2003; Boland and Separovic, 2006).

AMPs of anurans became of particular interest to Csordas and Michl (1970) as they suspected some sort of anti-microbial activity on the skin of the European yellowbellied toad *Bombina variegate*, since most individuals did not suffer any infection after being operated under, and housed in, non-sterile conditions. They described the first AMP in anurans but were unaware of its broad spectrum inhibitory effect. Ten years later, Zasloff (1987) discovered that *X. laevis* possessed AMPs that successfully inhibited growth of bacteria and fungal species. Today, more than 300 AMPs have

#### **INTRODUCTION**

AMPs of anurans are all cationic (positively charged) with an alpha-helical structure that has both hydrophobic and hydrophilic segments (i.e., they are amphipathic). Being amphipathic allows the AMPs to penetrate the phospholipid membrane of an invading cell and disrupt its osmotic balance, thus killing it (Nicolas and Mor, 1995; Zasloff, 2002; Chan et al., 2006). However, different types of AMPs, depending on amino acid sequence and overall molecular charge, can vary in inhibitory function, being either broad spectrum, encompassing a variety of bacteria, protozoa and fungi, or narrow spectrum, affecting only one small group of microbiota (Simmaco et al., 1998; Batista et al., 1999; Bowie et al., 1999; Kim et al., 2001)

Considering the vast variety of AMPs, including their varying levels and specificity of function against micro-organisms, and that each frog species can produce up to at least 20 different types of AMPs, it has become clear that species may differ widely in their innate immune response (Vanhoye et al., 2003). This may contribute to the variable susceptibility of anurans to *Bd* (Rollins-Smith et al., 2003; Woodhams et al., 2005; Woodhams et al., 2006). For example, Woodhams et al. (2005) compared the AMPs of five different Australian frog species that have suffered from chytridiomycosis-related declines to very different extents. These included *L. lesueuri* (no detectable ill effects), *L. genimaculata* (experienced severe declines at higher elevations but recovered), *L. nannotis*, *L. rheocola* and *N. dayi* (severe declines at higher elevations with little and very slow recovery). Their results underlined what has been seen in the wild. *Nyctimystes dayi* and *L. rheocola* secreted smaller amounts of peptides per gram of bodyweight that was also less effective in inhibiting *Bd* growth than *L. lesueuri* and *L. genimaculata*. *Litoria nannotis* produced a larger amount of peptides; however greater concentrations were needed to inhibit *Bd* growth. Unfortunately, sample sizes

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Woodhams et al. (2006) compared the quantity and quality of skin peptides from twelve frog species from Panama that had not yet been exposed to *Bd* and used these results to predict likelihood of *Bd* associated declines. However, as the authors correctly pointed out, other factors, such as microenvironment selection or differences in exposure time, could also influence the progress of chytridiomycosis.

#### Microenvironment selection

As described previously, several studies (Woodhams et al., 2003; Drew et al., 2006; Woodhams et al., 2008) have demonstrated that environmental temperature can affect the progress of chytridiomycosis. Microenvironment selection by frogs could expose them to a range of environmental temperatures, even when species share a common macroenvironment.

Behavioural fever, which occurs when an organism increases its body temperature above normal by means of seeking external heat sources, has been observed in anurans at all life stages (Lefcort and Blaustein, 1995; Cabanac and Cabanac, 2004). For example, *Bufo marinus* and *Bombina bombina* increased their body temperature when injected with a bacterium (*Pseudomonas aeruginosa*) by seeking the heat lamps provided, clearly demonstrating behavioural fever (Cabanac and Cabanac, 2004). The authors failed to describe whether this behaviour cured the toads but did demonstrate that the rise in body temperature was solely due to seeking warmer microenvironments and not due to handling stress, which can influence and increase body temperatures in terrestrial ectotherms (Cabanac and Cabanac, 2000).

What is known so far is that in non-fluctuating, controlled environments that are optimal for *Bd* growth, chytridiomycosis becomes fatal to many frog species including *L. caerulea*, *L. chloris*, *Mixophyes faxciolatus* and *Xenopus tropicalis* (Berger et al., 1998; Parker et al., 2002; Bosch and Martinez-Solano, 2006). However, those species

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Rowley (2007) compared the microenvironment selection and body temperature of three anuran species, *L. lesueuri*, *L. genimaculata* and *L. nannotis*, that have suffered from chytridiomycosis-related declines to different extents. *Litoria lesueuri*, which has suffered the least decline in numbers, reached higher body temperatures and hence provided less favourable conditions for *Bd* growth compared with *L. genimaculata* and *L. nannotis*. She found no evidence that infected individuals elevated their body temperature, but did find that individuals within all three species that experienced higher body temperatures were less likely to be positive for *Bd*. Rowley's (2007) study underlines the importance of microenvironment selection and its impact on the host pathogen dynamics.

#### Difference in exposure

As discussed previously, variation among anuran species in their susceptibility to declines caused by chytridiomycosis can be related to their innate immune defences (Rollins-Smith, 2001; Rollins-Smith et al., 2002a; Rollins-Smith and Conlon, 2005; Bosch and Martinez-Solano, 2006; Woodhams et al., 2006) and/or their microenvironment selection (Lips et al., 2003; Woodhams et al., 2003; Rowley, 2007; Rowley and Alford, 2007a). Less attention has been given to another potential source of variation; differences in rate of exposure to *Bd*.

A variety of mechanisms may affect the exposure of frogs to *Bd* zoospores and thus their risk of infection. These include general levels of interaction with other frogs and possible avoidance of contact with infected frogs or contaminated water bodies. Rowley and Alford (2007a) observed interactions with conspecifics in three frog species, *L. nannotis*, *L. genimaculata* and *L. lesueuri*, and found the level of interaction

#### **INTRODUCTION**

If infection is often via contact with contaminated water bodies, it is possible that anurans could reduce the probability of acquiring an infection by detecting and avoiding water bodies containing *Bd* zoospores. Parasite avoidance behaviour has been observed in several mammal species (Lozano, 1991; Gilbert, 1997; Hutchings et al., 2001a; Hutchings et al., 2001b), mainly by separating feeding areas from faeces disposal areas. Even though faeces and parasite avoidance are not mutually exclusive, Hutchings et al. (1998) observed an increased faeces/parasite avoidance behaviour in parasitised animals versus "naïve" animals, suggesting that it is a parasite avoidance strategy.

#### Aims of this study

Studies of the amphibian disease chytridiomycosis provide an opportunity to gain insight into factors influencing the dynamic between an ectothermic host and its pathogen, as many anuran species have suffered from chytridiomycosis-related declines to very different extents, allowing comparative studies. I concentrated on factors that may alter the fate of an infected organism (such as innate immune defence and microenvironment selection) or affect the degree of exposure to infection by *Bd* (such as disease avoidance behaviour). To conduct some of these studies I first needed to trial a technique to recognise individual frogs in the field to prevent multiple sampling of individuals (Chapter 2). In detail, my four aims were to determine:

 Whether the amount of peptides produced and the degree to which they inhibit Bd differed seasonally and geographically between two sympatric anuran species L. rheocola and L. genimaculata. Both species declined at high elevation sites during Bd outbreaks but L. genimaculata subsequently showed greater recovery (Chapter 3), \*\*\*\*\*\*\*

- 2) Whether antimicrobial peptide profiles differ amongst geographically different populations of *L. genimaculata* (Chapter 4),
- Whether frogs of three species (*L. caerulea*, *L. wilcoxii* and *L. genimaculata*) select different microenvironments and how this selection may alter the progress of chytridiomycosis (Chapter 5),
- 4) Whether frogs of three species (*L. caerulea*, *L. wilcoxii* and *L. genimaculata*), which have suffered from chytridiomycosis-related declines to very different extents, select water bodies for rehydration based on whether they contain *Bd* zoospores (Chapter 6).

Host-pathogen dynamics in terrestrial ectotherms are complex and many factors may contribute to the progress of the disease. The findings of these studies will aid in understanding to what extent the innate immune system, microhabitat selection and differences in exposure influence the relationship between host and pathogen. It will give further insight into the three possible causes of differences in susceptibility (frog does not become infected when exposed to *Bd*, frog becomes infected after exposure to *Bd* and either survives or dies) and may help in future conservation decisions, such as captive breeding, translocation or re-introduction of amphibian species.

# Photographic identification method (PIM) as a means of recognising individual adult and juvenile green-eyed tree frogs, *Litoria genimaculata* (Anura: Hylidae)

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Modified version of:

The Photographic Identification Method (PIM) as a tool to identify adult *Litoria* genimaculata (Anura: Hylidae). N. Kenyon, A.D. Phillott and R.A. Alford. Submitted to *Wildlife Research*.
 Temporal variation in dorsal patterns of juvenile green-eyed tree frogs, *Litoria* genimaculata (Anura: Hylidae). N. Kenyon, A.D. Phillott and R.A. Alford. Submitted to *Herpetological Conservation and Biology*.

Abstract

Marking anurans by invasive methods has been strongly debated on grounds of ethics, public opinion, possible infection and potential impact on survival of anurans. Photographic identification of natural markings on amphibians is a non-invasive method of identifying individuals, but is only applicable to species that have persistent, distinguishable patterns. I evaluated whether the photographic identification method (PIM) can be used to identify juvenile and adult Litoria genimaculata individuals based on their dorsal pattern with sufficient consistency to be useful in field sampling. In the laboratory, I photographed 20 juvenile Litoria genimaculata for nine weeks after metamorphosis to determine whether individual frogs can be distinguished using dorsal pattern, and whether dorsal pattern changes over time. In the field I compared PIM with traditional toe-tipping of adult *L. genimaculata*. Each individual frog (juvenile or adult) had distinguishable dorsal markings, so identification through PIM was possible. In juvenile frogs I found no detectable change in the dorsal pattern during the nine-week period, although the colour of the pattern and the background did change. However, I observed a change in dorsal pattern of an adult frog after a two month period and conclude that PIM is unsuitable for longer term mark-recapture studies on this species. During short-term studies, 96 percent of new captures were correctly identified. Extra care has to be taken to prevent multiple sampling of individuals as 38.5 percent of recaptured frogs were misidentified as new captures.

#### 

Studies involving mark-recapture in amphibians use techniques that allow the identification of individuals, including toe-tipping and -clipping (Clarke, 1972; Waichman, 1992; Richards and Alford, 2005; Simoncelli et al., 2005), fluorescent marking (Anholt et al., 1998; Schlaepfer, 1998), and passive integrated transponder (PIT) tagging (Brown, 1997). All of these techniques are invasive, but some can provide additional valuable information on animal age and population genetics (Halliday, 1995; Funk et al., 2005) and researchers have to balance the possible effects of the marking procedure on individuals and populations against cost, time efficiency, and the value of information collected (Phillott et al., 2007).

To date, the photographic identification method (PIM), which uses variation in integument patterns to distinguish among individuals, is the only non-invasive technique for individual recognition of amphibians (Hagstrom, 1973; Bradfield, 2004). One of the limitations of this procedure is that its suitability is restricted to amphibians with individually recognizable natural features or markings (Bradfield, 2004). Even in those species, studies are needed to determine if their patterns are permanent or show temporal variation, in both juveniles and adults.

*Litoria genimaculata* is a stream dwelling rainforest frog that occurs between Townsville and Cooktown, Queensland, Australia. Adult dorsal colouration and pattern vary among individuals, usually consisting of a broad russet/brown pattern, and a bi- or tri-lobed band with irregularly dispersed patches of green and copper (Barker et al., 1995). This distinctive and variable patterning suggests that PIM may be successfully applied to adults. Juvenile *L. genimaculata* also possess a mottled dorsal pattern (Barker et al., 1995) but its persistence through ontogeny has not been examined. Ontogenetic pattern changes could render PIM unsuitable for studies of juveniles and sub-adults.

This study was necessary to determine whether PIM could be applied to *L. genimaculata* in the field to distinguish among individuals during my peptide surveys

#### PHOTOGRAPHIC IDENTIFICATION METHOD

#### **Materials and Methods**

#### Juvenile frogs

Litoria genimaculata were raised in the laboratory from eggs from one clutch that were collected at Birthday Creek, Paluma Range National Park, Queensland, Australia (S18°58′54″ E146°10′02″). Each individual juvenile frog (n=20) was digitally photographed using a Pentax Optio 33WR camera against a 0.5cm<sup>2</sup> grid to allow measuring snout-vent length (SVL). The camera was placed on a fixed stage (Figure 2.1) to standardise focal distance. Initial photographs were taken within two weeks of metamorphosis and then weekly for nine weeks so that I could examine the dorsal pattern through ontogeny. Dorsal patterns were categorised into a series of binary state variables as illustrated in Figure 2.2: A) the shape of the posterior line of the dorsal "hourglass" marking (categorized as either an "S" or "V" shape), (B) greatest length of dorsal hourglass, (C) narrowest width of dorsal hourglass, (D) the presence or absence of dots between the eyes, (E) the presence or absence of a straight line of the dorsal hourglass, and (F) the presence or absence of dorsal dark lined circles. Characteristics A and D-F are naturally binary. So that we could conduct an ordination of all characters on a common scale, we used measurements B and C to create three additional binary variables, one which had a value of 1 if the ratio C/B was less than or equal to 0.25, and 0 otherwise, one which was 1 if C/B was between 0.25 and 0.30, 0 otherwise, and one which was 1 if C/B was greater than or equal to 0.30, 0 otherwise. Examination of the data set showed that the features of each individual remained constant throughout the period of the experiment. To illustrate differences among individuals I ordinated the Euclidean distances among them using a non-metric multidimensional scaling analysis performed using the PROXSCAL procedure in SPSS version 14. I used Euclidean distances since shared zeros are informative. In addition to measuring quantitative
# CHAPTER 2 PHOTOGRAPHIC IDENTIFICATION METHOD



# **Figure 2.1** Stage, allowing constant focal distance, used to take digital images of *Litoria genimaculata*.

#### PHOTOGRAPHIC IDENTIFICATION METHOD



**Figure 2.2** Measured aspects of the dorsal pattern in *Litoria genimaculata* used to create binary state variables analysed in Figure 2.3.

- A-shape of the posterior line of the dorsal hourglass
- B- length of the dorsal hourglass along mid-dorsal line
- C- the narrowest part of the dorsal hourglass
- D- dots between eyes
- E- straight line within dorsal hourglass
- F- dorsal dark lined circles

#### Adult frogs

A population of adult *L. genimaculata* in Murray Upper National Park (S18°11',E145 52') that are currently being studied and toe-tipped by Dr. Andrea Phillott, was used to determine whether PIM was effective in the field. I conducted three field trips; the first trip in December 2006 for two nights, the second in February 2007 for two nights and the third in October 2007 for four nights. Frogs were toe-tipped in a unique manner according to Phillott (pers.comm.). During the first survey night, digital images of the dorsal pattern of every L. genimaculata captured were taken with the same camera and stage as described for photographing juvenile frogs. Each frog was held by its left hind foot and placed on a small plastic bag (changed between frogs to avoid pathogen transfer) on the stage. The digital image number and the toe-tip number were recorded on site. The next day all images were printed (using a HP Photosmart 325 printer) and labelled on the reverse with the toe-tip number. Images were categorised according to the transect distance and frog dorsal pattern (presence or absence of dorsal hourglass shaped lines, circular-shaped marks between the eyes, and triangular-shaped marks between the nares) and sorted accordingly in a small album that was used on successive nights.

All *L. genimaculata* captured during the following nights were compared with existing photographs. Records were kept for correctly recognising either "new captures" or "previously caught with correct recognition of individual". To avoid possible biases in identification of marked individuals, I was not present during the inspection of frogs for toe-tipping. When handling the frogs I held them by the hind feet to avoid observing if toe-tipping had occurred. I was unaware of the toe-tipping sequence used so could not identify frogs according to their unique number. After comparison of the frog with photographs of those captured on previous nights, I categorised frogs as previously marked or unmarked. During the second field trip (February 2007), frogs were compared with photographs from the first field trip (December 2006) to determine whether dorsal patterns changed during the two month recapture interval.

#### CHAPTER 2 PHOTOGRAPHIC IDENTIFICATION METHOD

#### Results

#### Juvenile frogs

The mean initial SVL of L. genimaculata metamorphs was 3.55cm (range=3.00-4.50cm). During the nine week study the 20 juvenile *L.genimaculata* grew an average of 0.84cm (range= 0.5-1.0cm). Most juvenile frogs possessed a dorsal pattern distinct from that of other individuals based on the character set I quantified; two pairs of individuals and one group of three did not (Figure 2.3). The juveniles that could not be distinguished quantitatively were easy to differentiate visually based on other aspects of their patterns, such as the locations of skin ridges and tubercles (Figure 2.4). The dorsal pattern remained constant through time within individuals (Figure 2.5), but overall colour varied considerably, changing from brown to green or the reverse in 75% of individuals over the course of the experiment (Figure 2.6, Table 2.1). No individual changed colour prior to week four, and at least one individual changed from brown to green and back to brown, and the reverse, within two weeks, indicating that at least after week three, colour can change in either direction within one week. Under the null hypothesis that colour change occurs in each frog at random times, the data for each week should reflect random alterations to the data for the preceding week. If colour changes are constrained to occur at similar times among frogs, either by internal developmental mechanisms or by subtle changes in the light environment in the

#### CHAPTER 2 PHOTOGRAPHIC IDENTIFICATION METHOD



**Figure 2.3** Results of non-metric multidimensional scaling ordination of Euclidean distances among individuals for dichotomised measurements of *Litoria genimaculata* juvenile dorsal pattern. Most individuals could be distinguished solely on these measurements, which did not change over the 9 week period of measurement.

\*\*\*\*

#### PHOTOGRAPHIC IDENTIFICATION METHOD

\*\*\*\*\* a)

\*\*\*\*\*





Figure 2.4 Dorsal pattern of two juvenile *Litoria genimaculata* - *Lg* 2 (a) and *Lg* 4 (b). In addition to the features we quantified (Figure 2.2), many distinctive ridges and tubercles were present.

#### PHOTOGRAPHIC IDENTIFICATION METHOD

a)





**Figure 2.5** Persistence of the dorsal pattern in a juvenile *Litoria genimaculata* (*Lg* 43) at week one (a) and week nine (b).

#### PHOTOGRAPHIC IDENTIFICATION METHOD





**Figure 2.6** Constant dorsal pattern with temporal variation in colour of a juvenile *L. genimaculata* (*Lg* 3) a) week two (pattern colour brown), b) week nine (pattern colour green).

#### CHAPTER 2 PHOTOGRAPHIC IDENTIFICATION METHOD

Frog	Week												
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9				
<i>Lg</i> 1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0				
Lg 2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1				
Lg 3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0				
Lg 4	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0				
Lg 5	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1				
Lg 6	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1				
Lg 7	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1				
Lg 8	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1				
Lg 9	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1				
<i>Lg</i> 10	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0				
<i>Lg</i> 14	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0				
<i>Lg</i> 15	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1				
<i>Lg</i> 18	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	0				
<i>Lg</i> 19	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1					
Lg 21	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1				
Lg 24	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1					
Lg 30	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1				
<i>Lg</i> 31	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	0				
Lg 42	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0				
Lg 43	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1				
Observed green	0	0	0	5	5	7	11	1	8				
Observed brown	20	20	20	15	15	13	9	19	10				
Expected green				4.4	6.1	6.7	6.9	7.0	6.3				
				9	2	1	2	0	2				
Expected brown				15.	13.	13.	13.	13.	11.				
Operatelle state state				51	88	29	80	00	68				
Contribution to chi-				0.0	0.2	0.0	3.6 0	7.9	0.6				
syuale				1	9	2	0	0	9				

#### Adult frogs

I photographed 63 adult *L. genimaculata* during the study. At capture, 98% were correctly recognised as new to the study (Table 2.2). PIM clearly performed much better than random assignment of individuals to categories (Table 2.2,  $\chi^2 = 20.19$ , df=3, p< 0.001). Only two new individuals were incorrectly regarded as previously captured due to the similarity in dorsal pattern and no distinction in hourglass pattern from a previously captured frog (Figure 2.7).

Twelve individuals were recaptured on 13 occasions. Correct recognition of these known individuals was low (62%; Table 2.2). In one frog this was due to a change in dorsal pattern as a black circular-shaped marking on the left flank was lost and a black line on the right flank was gained during the two month recapture interval (Figure 2.8). Incorrect recognition of the remaining individuals was due to human error; however, the majority of those individuals had no distinct hourglass pattern and instead possessed only random blotches. Overall, 53% of the frogs captured had no hourglass shape within their dorsal pattern present. No change in pattern colour was observed during the study. The toe-tip number was misread on one of the 13 recapture events.

The time taken to search through photographs and recognise an individual as new to the sample or previously photographed increased with the sample size (Figure 2.9). Time required to take a digital image was significantly greater than that to toe-tip a frog (t=3.549, df=31, p=0.001, Levene's tests for equality of variances satisfied). Similarly, searching images to recognise an individual was significantly slower (t=10.052, df=29.287, p=0.000, equal variances not assumed) than determining the unique number of a previously toe-tipped animal.

#### PHOTOGRAPHIC IDENTIFICATION METHOD

Trip	Night	New capture	e, identified as	Recaptures, identified as					
mp	Mgm	New capture	Recapture	New	Recapture				
1	1	10							
	2	14	0	0	1				
2	3	5	2	1	1				
	4	4	0	1	2				
3	1	7							
	2	5	0						
	3	6	0	1	2				
	4	10	0	2	2				
Total		61	2	5	8				
Total		44	2	5	8				
excluding									
nights 1									
Expected		35.86	10.14	10.14	2.86				
Contributi		1.85	6.53	2.60	9.21				
on to chi-									
square									

\*\*\*\*\*

#### PHOTOGRAPHIC IDENTIFICATION METHOD

\*\*\*\*\*

<image>

\*\*\*\*\*\*



**Figure 2.7** The lack of a distinct dorsal hourglass and similar blotches in two adult *Litoria genimaculata* resulted in the incorrect identification of the newly captured animals as a previously captured animals (false positive error), a) *Lg* 62, b) *Lg* 64.

a)





**Figure 2.8** Dorsal pattern change in a *Litoria genimaculata* individual within two months, a) December 2006 where a clear black circle is seen on the left flank and no black marking on the right flank, b) February 2007 with no black circle on the left flank and a black marking on the right flank.



\*\*\*\*\*

**Figure 2.9.** Time taken to apply toe-tipping and PIM (Photographic Identification Method) to adult frogs.

#### 

There was sufficient dorsal pattern differentiation among 20 juvenile *L. genimaculata* to visually distinguish among them and hence this age-group appears to be suitable for PIM. My results do not rule out the possibility that dorsal patterns may change over longer periods of time or with further growth.

I took care not to focus on colour as this can vary greatly within the same individual. Hoffman and Blouin (2000) suggested that the darkening and lightening of existing skin tones is fairly common in anurans, but that actual colour changes are rare, usually in one direction, and age related. However, I observed dorsal colour change in both directions (green to brown and vice versa) in juvenile frogs over the nine-week observation period. This was also observed by Hoffman and Blouin (2000) in laboratory populations of *Hyla regilla*.

Skin colour in amphibians is due to the presence of dermal chromatophore units, containing layers of xanthophores, iridiophores and melanophores (pigment cells). Colour changes occur when pigments are rearranged within the chromatophores, due to light, temperature, hormonal, and other stimuli (reviewed by Frost-Mason et al., 1994). The significant temporal correlations I found across juveniles indicate that they were responding with some degree of synchrony to either internal or external cues. These could be part of a regular ontogenetic pattern initiated by hormonal changes occurring at fixed times after metamorphosis. However, changes in weeks three to four through six to seven were only moderately synchronous, while during the week seven to eight period there was a much higher degree of synchrony, with all brown frogs remaining brown and all but one green frog becoming brown. This suggests that the frogs were responding to an external cue, perhaps a subtle change in the light environment in the laboratory.

When applied to adult *L. genimaculata*, PIM proved most reliable (98% correct recognition) when comparing a new individual with a collection of images of previously captured frogs, as overall pattern shape was used during the comparison. The one frog

#### CHAPTER 2 PHOTOGRAPHIC IDENTIFICATION METHOD

I did not observe any colour change in adults captured on both the first and second field trips, however, the sample size was limited (n=6) and occurred within the austral summer. Colour change in *Pseudacris regilla* (Pacific tree frogs) was attributed to seasonal change (Wente and Phillips, 2005) so a longer study period with more frequent observations would be required to detect colour polymorphism in adult *L. genimaculata*.

The reliability of PIM as a method to recognise previously captured adult *L. genimaculata* was lower (62% correct recognition) as smaller and more subtle markings were compared. Human error contributed to 4 of the 5 unrecognised frogs (false negative errors). One *L. genimaculata* in my study was not recognised as previously captured and photographed due to temporal variation in the dorsal pattern during the two month recapture interval. Other studies have found both substantial (Reaser, 1995) and only minor (Stephenson and Stephenson, 1957; Hagstrom, 1973; Healy, 1975; Denton and Beebee, 1993; Doody, 1995; Bradfield, 2004) change in adult markings over time. The causes of all of these pattern changes are unknown.

In conclusion, both studies suggest that PIM in *L. genimaculata* has to be used with care as a) dorsal markings did change and b) a large proportion of recaptured individuals were misidentified, mainly due to lack of a distinct hourglass pattern. The PIM method was successful in classifying individuals as previously uncaptured and hence, depending on the type and duration of the study, may be suitable. In order to use PIM during the peptide study (Chapter 3 and 4), extra precautions were included to avoid multiple sampling of frogs.

\*\*\*\*\*

# Have anuran skin peptide defences against the emerging amphibian pathogen *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* responded to natural selection?

#### Abstract

The disease chytridiomycosis, caused by the fungus Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis (Bd), has been implicated as the proximate cause of many amphibian declines around the globe. However, its effects vary widely among species; some can persist despite infection while others are driven to local extinction. One possible factor contributing to variable susceptibility is interspecific differences in innate immune defences, especially rate of production and effectiveness of antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) against Bd. I examined these in two sympatric species of Australian frogs, Litoria genimaculata and L. rheocola. I took samples from 216 frogs, from upland populations that suffered declines and disappearances caused by chytridiomycosis and have subsequently recovered and recolonised, and from lowland populations that did not decline, although chytridiomycosis is now endemic. I hypothesised that strong selection pressure exerted by Bd could have caused the AMPs of upland populations to diverge from those of lowland populations. I quantified peptide secretion using spectrophotometry and challenged Bd cultures with AMPs. Based on the concentration of AMPs needed to completely inhibit Bd growth (IC<sub>100</sub>), seasonal variation in peptides secreted per surface area and AMP effectiveness against Bd was detected in both anuran species, suggesting that their innate immune defences may be affected by seasonal changes in physiology, or may be adapted to seasonal fluctuations in environmental microbiota. Even though, overall protection (mL of AMPs at  $IC_{100}/cm^2$ ) of frogs against *Bd* did not differ significantly between high and low elevation populations of either species, more frogs had effective AMPs at high elevations, suggesting that the stronger selection imposed by Bd in high elevation populations has not led to the evolution of different and more effective AMPs, but may have led to a higher proportion of frogs possessing AMPs that are effective against Bd. If natural selection has acted to reduce the vulnerability of the high elevation populations to *Bd*, it has altered a different factor, such as microenvironment selection, frequency of frog to frog interactions, or avoidance of disease propagules.

36

#### 

Chytridiomycosis is a disease of amphibians, caused by the fungus *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* (*Bd*). It is defined as an emerging infectious disease (EID) as it has recently increased its geographical and host species ranges (Morse, 1995; Daszak et al., 2001; Dobson and Foufopoulos, 2001). Several studies have confirmed the fatal outcome of chytridiomycosis in various frog species including *Dendrobates tinctorius*, *Rana muscosa, Litoria caerulea, L. chloris* and *Mixophyes fasciolatus* (Berger et al., 1998; Nichols et al., 2001; Woodhams, 2003; Rachowicz et al., 2006). However, the effect of *Bd* infection varies widely among species; ranging from no apparent effect at the population level to local extinction (Richards et al., 1993; McDonald and Alford, 1999; Lips et al., 2005a).

Variation in innate immune defences among species could contribute to the observed variation in susceptibility. A key component of the innate immune system in amphibians is antimicrobial peptides (AMPs), short proteins that hinder initial microbial invasion of the epidermis (Hoffman et al., 1999). A single species can have 20 different types of AMPs, which vary in their effectiveness against viral, fungal and bacterial pathogens (Vanhoye et al., 2003), allowing numerous combinations of AMPs that provide unique innate defences for each species.

Several studies have shown that AMPs of frogs can kill or inhibit the growth of *Bd* zoospores (Rollins-Smith et al., 2002a; Rollins-Smith et al., 2003; Woodhams et al., 2007). There are several aspects of AMPs that can be compared among frog species (Woodhams et al., 2006; Woodhams et al., 2007). First, the quantity of peptides (which includes similar sized peptides and AMPs) a frog secretes per surface area, given a specific stimulus. Second, the effectiveness of AMPs at inhibiting the growth of *Bd* zoospores. This is measured as the minimal concentration of AMPs needed to absolutely inhibit the growth of *Bd* zoospores (IC<sub>100</sub>). Third, the overall level of protection against *Bd*, which considers both the amount of peptides secreted per surface area and the IC<sub>100</sub>. Woodhams et al. (2006) compared the amount of peptides secreted, effectiveness, and overall level of protection afforded by AMPs against *Bd* among five

#### ANTIMICROBIAL PEPTIDES

Northern Queensland is an ideal area to study chytridiomycosis as frog populations have been extensively monitored before, during and after epidemics, so their responses to these events are well documented. *Litoria genimaculata* and *L. rheocola* have both experienced population bottlenecks. *Litoria rheocola* suffered local extinctions at high elevation sites throughout its range during initial outbreaks of chytridiomycosis in the 1990s, while populations below 400 m were stable (McDonald and Alford, 1999). Populations of this species are slowly recovering at high elevations, most likely by recolonising from lowland populations (Woodhams and Alford, 2005). *Litoria genimaculata* populations at high elevations declined but did not reach local extinction and have subsequently recovered (McDonald and Alford, 1999; McDonald et al., 2005). The lack of declines in both species at low elevations is most likely due to less favourable environmental conditions for chytridiomycosis outbreaks (Berger et al., 2004; Woodhams and Alford, 2005; Kriger and Hero, 2007b).

I hypothesised that if AMPs played an important role in the survival of *L. genimaculata* and *L. rheocola* individuals infected with *Bd*, then strong selection pressure could have caused the AMPs of upland populations to diverge, increasing their innate protection against *Bd* when compared to lowland populations. I compared AMP production, effectiveness and overall protection against *Bd* between L. *genimaculata* and

#### ANTIMICROBIAL PEPTIDES

#### **Materials and Methods**

#### **Study Species**

*Litoria genimaculata* (Hylidae), the green-eyed tree frog, occurs between Townsville and Cooktown in Queensland, Australia (Barker et al., 1995). It is a stream dwelling rainforest species, with males frequently perching on vegetation along creeks and also higher in the canopy (Richards and Alford, 2005; Rowley and Alford, 2007b). *Litoria rheocola*, the common mistfrog, occurs between Murray Upper National Park and Cooktown (Frith and Frith, 1991) and shows a stronger association with rainforest streams than *L. genimaculata* (Richards et al., 1993).

#### Study sites

Skin peptides were collected from frogs at five sites at three different locations (Figure 3.1, Table 3.1). Each site consisted of a 400 meter transect along a creek. These sites were selected as frog monitoring data already exists. *Litoria genimaculata* at Birthday Creek (Paluma National Park) have been monitored at a variety of intervals since 1989 and regularly (two consecutive nights during winter and summer) since 2001, except in 2003 and 2004 (Richards and Alford, 2005; Woodhams and Alford, 2005). Standard frog surveys, two nights each in winter and summer, have been conducted since 2001 (except 2003 and 2004) at unnamed creeks at Bridge I and Bridge XI in Murray Upper National Park and Windin N Creek and Frenchman Creek in Wooroonooran National Park (Woodhams and Alford, 2005, Alford and Bell, unpubl.).

#### ANTIMICROBIAL PEPTIDES



\*\*\*\*\*

**Figure 3.1** Three National Park areas (encompassing 5 study sites) in northern Queensland where *Litoria genimaculata* and *L. rheocola* were captured to sample skin peptides.

National Park	Site	Elevation	GPS coordinates	Site description	Frog species
Paluma Range	Birthday Creek	800 m	S18°58′54″ E146°10′02″	Simple notophyll vine	L. genimaculata
				forest creek	
Murray Upper	Bridge I	100 m	S18°12′11″ E145°53′00″	Simple mesophyll	L. genimaculata
				vine forest creek	
Murray Upper	Bridge XI	850 m	S18°12′55″ E145°47′48″	Simple mesophyll	L. genimaculata
				vine forest creek	
Wooroonooran	Frenchman Creek	40 m	S17°18'32" E145°55'16"	Complex mesophyll	<i>L. genimaculata</i> and
				vine forest creek	L. rheocola
Wooroonooran	Windin N Creek	750 m	S17°21′57″ E145°42′54″	Complex mesophyll	<i>L. genimaculata</i> and
				vine forest creek	L. rheocola

**Table 3.1** Locations and descriptions of the five study sites where *Litoria genimaculata* and *L. rheocola* skin peptides were collected.

# Collection and processing of peptides

#### Field

Collection of male *L. genimaculata* and *L. rheocola* skin peptides occurred in 2006 and 2007. Only skin peptides of males were collected as sightings of females are rare and it would not have been possible to collect a sufficiently large sample to statistically compare the effects of sites, infection status and sex. Skin peptides from *L. genimaculata* were collected from all five sites in winter 2006 (non-breeding season) and summer 2007 (breeding season). Breeding season was determined according to the number of *L. genimaculata* males calling and presence of females, but is also in agreement with several studies (Woodhams and Alford, 2005; 2007). Skin peptides were collected from *L. rheocola* at only two sites (Windin N Creek and Frenchman Creek), as this species does not occur at the other sites. Skin peptides from *L. rheocola* were collected in summer 2007 and winter 2007. *Litoria rheocola* has a less well-defined breeding season than *L. genimaculata* (Phillott, pers. comm.). I heard male *L. rheocola* calling in both seasons.

Frogs were captured at night using small plastic bags inverted over the investigator's hand and transferred into larger 230mm × 305mm resealable bags (1 frog per bag). The resealable bags, containing the frogs, were numbered and the location of each frog on the transect was marked with flagging tape so that individuals could be released at the point of capture after peptide collection. For peptide collection, frogs were temporarily removed from the creek to a nearby vehicle because this process required chemicals that needed to be used away from the creek in case of accidental spillage. A maximum of ten frogs were sampled per night. Snout-vent length (SVL) of each frog was measured, the frog was weighed (using a high precision pocket balance PS-50) and swabbed using a sterile tubed dry swab (Medical Wire and Equipment, Corsham, Wiltshire UK) that was run across frog's hands, feet, thighs and ventral surface twice, for qPCR assay to quantify infection with *Bd* (Boyle et al., 2004). PCR analysis was carried out at the School of Veterinary and Biomedical Sciences, James Cook University.

#### ANTIMICROBIAL PEPTIDES

Immediately after frogs were removed from the specimen jar, 1 mL of 50% hydrochloric acid was added to the 50 mL collecting buffer to acidify the solution. The solution was then passed through two connected Sep-Pak filters (Plus C-18 cartridges) at approximately one drop per second to collect cationic and hydrophobic peptides. Prior to the field trip, the filters were activated with 10 mL methanol and 10 mL buffer A and stored in 50 mL plastic tubes with 2 mL buffer A. After use, the Sep-Pak filters were placed back into the labeled 50 mL plastic tubes, stored on ice at approximately 6°C and transported back to James Cook University for further processing (Rollins-Smith et al., 2006).

Following peptide collection, digital images of *L. genimaculata* were taken (for detailed description see Chapter 2) to allow use of the photographic identification method (PIM) to eliminate the possibility of taking samples from the same individuals on successive nights. A pilot study (Chapter 2) indicated that the photographic identification method can be a reliable means of avoiding re-sampling if a distinct hourglass shape in the dorsal pattern is present. To further decrease the chance of sampling individuals more than once, I avoided sampling individuals with any similarity in dorsal pattern to those captured on previous nights. After digital images were taken, frogs were released at their collection point on the transect. *Litoria rheocola* does not show a distinct ventral or dorsal pattern that allows recognition of individuals. To avoid re-sampling individuals, frogs of this species were either collected in one night, or at different locations along the creek. For both species it is possible that there may have been some recaptures between seasons, however, mark-recapture studies by other investigators

43

#### ANTIMICROBIAL PEPTIDES

#### Laboratory

The samples were eluted from the Sep-Pak filters with 21 mL buffer B (Rollins-Smith et al., 2006) using two peristaltic pumps (Gilson Minipuls). Of the total 21 mL sample, 20 mL was placed into a centrifuge tube and stored at - 4°C. The remaining 1 mL was added to a sterile Eppendorf microtube to determine total skin peptides per sample using a Micro Bicinchoninic Acid (BCA) protein assay (Pierce, Rockford, III., USA) following manufacturer's instructions (Biotechnology, 1997). Bradykinin synthetic peptides were used as standards instead of bovine serum albumin (BSA), due to better detection of smaller antimicrobial peptides (Rollins-Smith et al., 2002b). Each protein assay plate was read on a spectrophotometer at an absorbance of 540 nm, as recommended by the manufacturer, and concentration of the peptides was calculated.

The 20 mL peptide samples were concentrated using a rotary evaporator with a water bath, set at approximately 50°C, to remove the acetonitrile added during elution. Afterwards, samples were stored at - 80°C for at least 24 hours prior to freeze-drying (Freeze-dryer Model Operon). Peptides are partially hydrophobic and hence I freezedried samples to a volume that would allow me to reconstitute the samples to 5 mg/mL. This method differed from that of Rollins-Smith et al. (2006), where samples were completely dried prior to reconstitution.

The peptide samples were reconstituted with sterile high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) water to 5 mg/mL. Samples were filter sterilised using a 0.22  $\mu$ m Millex filter.

#### ANTIMICROBIAL PEPTIDES

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* Bd growth inhibition assays were performed to a) determine if AMPs were present in the peptide samples and to b) test and quantify their effectiveness against Bd cultures. Isolates of Bd (Tully-L. rheocola 06-LB-1) were cultured following the protocol of Longcore et al. (1999) but at a nutrient concentration of 50% as it increased Bd culture growth. Zoospores were harvested by flooding agar plates with 2 mL of TGhL for ten minutes (Boyle et al., 2003) followed by vacuum filtration through autoclaved 20 µm spectra/mesh nylon filters (Spectrum 722-05067-000) to remove any zoosporangia that might influence culture growth. The concentration of zoospores was determined by counting live and moving zoospores in three subsamples using a haemocytometer. TGhL was added until a final concentration of *Bd* zoospores of  $10 \times 10^6 \text{ mL}^{-1}$ . Peptide samples were diluted to 100, 250, 500 and 1000 µg/mL. Ninety-six-well tissue culture treated microtitre plates (Corning Incorporation) were used for growth inhibition assays. Each plate contained three peptide samples at each of the four dilutions (five replicates each) with Bd, ten replicates of Bd culture at  $5 \times 10^5 \,\mathrm{mL}^{-1}$  zoospores (positive control), five replicates of non-viable Bd culture that was heat treated for 30 minutes at 60 °C (negative control), and 19 replicates of the TGhL culture medium (background absorption correction; Table 3.2).

Each plate was read daily on the spectrophotometer at an absorbance of 492 nm until one day after maximum growth of the *Bd* culture (day x). Each well of the plate was observed daily under  $10 \times and 20 \times magnification$  and observations were recorded, including those of bacterial contamination and inhibition of *Bd*. Any well that was noted as contaminated was excluded from analysis as it resulted in higher spectrophotometer readings.

Percentage inhibition was calculated by first subtracting the average absorbance for the negative control from each well at day 0 and day × using the formula:

 $1 - [(day x - day 0)/(day x - day 0 + positive control)] \times 100$ An average was taken of all uncontaminated wells per peptide sample per concentration.

+ = positive control ( $50\mu l Bd + 50\mu l HPCL$  water)

- = negative control (50 $\mu$ l *Bd* heat killed + 50 $\mu$ l HPCL water)

\* = background absorption correction (100µl TGhL)

	1		2		3		4		5	,	6		7		8		9		1(	)	1	1	12	2
А	+		+		+	-	+	+		+		empty		empty		-		-			-		-	
В	+		+		+	-	+	+		+		*		* *		*	*		*		*		*	
	Peptide sample 1 (µg/ml)						Pep	ptide s	samj	ple 2 (	[μg/1	ml)		Peptide sample 3 (µg/ml)										
С	1000		50	00	2	250	1	100	1(	000	5	500	2	250	100		1000		500		250		100	
D																								
Е																								
F																								
G	<b>↓</b>		↓ ↓			7		,				7		<b>,</b>		<b>,</b>		7		7				↓ ◆
Н	*		*		*		*		*		*		*		*		*		*		*		*	

#### 

Total skin peptides secreted (determined by micro BCA protein assay):

To investigate whether body mass influenced total peptide secretion, linear regression between total peptides secreted ( $\mu$ g) and body mass (g) per frog was performed separately for each species. Total peptide secretion per surface area (cm<sup>2</sup>) was calculated by estimating surface area using the equation of McClanahan and Baldwin (1969).

Surface area (cm<sup>2</sup>) =  $9.9 \times$  (weight in grams) <sup>0.56</sup>

The data was log<sub>10</sub> transformed before any statistical analysis. I performed a Mann-Whitney U Test (MWU-test) to test for differences in total peptide secretion per surface area between *L. genimaculata* and *L. rheocola*. Because there were no infected *L. genimaculata* individuals in summer at low elevation, a three way ANOVA, including all three factors (infection status, elevation and season) could not be performed. I therefore performed two two-way ANOVAs (infection status and elevation) and a t-test between infected and uninfected *L. genimaculata* at low elevation in winter to test for any effect of infection and determine whether this variable could be safely excluded from further analyses. None of the analyses were significant and infection status was not included in the final two-way ANOVA (season and elevation). Only a few infected *L. rheocola* were found during the study and consequently infection status could not be incorporated into the factorial analysis for this species.

#### Inhibition:

Some samples for each species showed no evidence of inhibition of Bd at the concentrations tested. The results for these samples are not commensurate with the results of samples that did show inhibitory activity, but the proportions of samples that fell into each category are a potentially important measure of levels of protection against Bd. I therefore carried out a series of categorical analyses. I used Fisher's exact tests for each species to determine whether infection status affected the proportions of

#### ANTIMICROBIAL PEPTIDES

#### Effectiveness of AMPs

The standard statistic considered in medical and toxicological literature is the LC<sub>50</sub>, the concentration of an agent that is lethal to 50% of the target population (Sanchez-Bayo and Goka, 2007). However, this seems irrelevant in the study of AMPs; a frog surrounded by a concentration of AMPs that reached the LC<sub>50</sub> for *Bd* zoospores could presumably still become infected and possibly die as a result of chytridiomycosis. I therefore calculated and analysed the IC<sub>100</sub>, the concentration necessary to completely inhibit the growth of *Bd*. This is the same as the MIC (minimal inhibitory concentration) described in Rollins-Smith et al. (2003), but potentially less confusing. There were several samples at which no concentration of AMPs tested produced 100% inhibition of *Bd*. In these cases, I used extrapolation to predict peptide concentrations where 100% inhibition would occur ( $\mathbf{x} = (100/b)/m$ , where  $\mathbf{x}$  is the unknown concentration.

Because I measured the inhibitory activity of AMPs at few concentrations only and had to use extrapolation to estimate the  $IC_{100}$  for some samples, the data were not truly on an interval scale. For a conservative analysis, I categorised the estimates of  $IC_{100}$  into four groups, forming a log series of concentrations:  $1 = 50-174 \mu g/mL$ ,  $2= 175-374 \mu g/mL$ ,  $3= 375-749 \mu g/mL$  and  $4= 750+ \mu g/mL$ . I used Fisher's exact tests for each species' data to determine whether there were differences between the  $IC_{100}$ s of peptides produced by infected and uninfected frogs, between upland and lowland populations and between summer and winter (following the same procedure described in the previous section).

#### 

Once the  $IC_{100}$  was calculated, the overall protection of the frog against *Bd* was estimated as the total number of mL of solution at the  $IC_{100}$  that would be produced per unit surface area:

Overall protection (mL at  $IC_{100}$  per cm<sup>2</sup>) = (total mass of peptides/ SA × 1/IC<sub>100</sub>).

The data was  $log_{10}$  transformed before statistical analysis. I repeated the analysis as described in the "total skin peptides secreted" section to determine whether infection status significantly contributed to the variation.

#### Results

<u>qPCR assay to determine prevalence of *Bd* and intensity of infection A total of 160 *L. genimaculata* and 56 *L. rheocola* were captured to determine prevalence and intensity of infection with *Bd* and to collect skin peptides. Fifty-six *L. genimaculata* and 13 *L. rheocola* tested positive for *Bd*. Except for frogs at Paluma, prevalence of *Bd* was significantly lower in summer compared to winter (Fisher's exact test, p<0.01 and p<0.01, respectively; Figure 3.2). Intensities of *Bd* infection in low elevation *L. genimaculata* populations were generally, although not significant, higher during the winter season (Mann-Whitney U-test, Z=0.43, p=0.66; Figure 3.3). Higher prevalence of *Bd* in high elevation *L. rheocola* populations was found during winter at Windin N creek (Mann-Whitney U-test, Z=-0.64, p=0.4; Figure 3.4). Overall, *L. genimaculata* had a significantly higher intensity of infection with *Bd* than did *L. rheocola* (Mann-Whitney U-test, Z=4.17, p<0.001).</u>

#### Total skin peptides secreted

The total amount of peptides secreted by *L* genimaculata and *L*. rheocola were not significantly correlated with body mass ( $r^2=0.03$  and 0.001, respectively; Figure 3.5). Site (ANOVA,  $F_{4,150} = 4.58$ , p<0.01 and  $F_{1,52} = 4.60$ , P = 0.04) and season (ANOVA,  $F_{1,150} = 5.53$ , p=0.02 and  $F_{1,52} = 5.68$ , P = 0.02, respectively) had significant effects on total peptide secretion per surface area in both *L. genimaculata* and *L. rheocola* (Figures 3.6 and 3.7, respectively). In addition to the significant main effects, there were

#### ANTIMICROBIAL PEPTIDES



Figure 3.2 Prevalence of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* (means and 95% confidence limits) in *Litoria genimaculata* (■) and *L. rheocola* (■) individuals from which skin peptides were collected during two seasons at three high elevation sites (1= Birthday Creek, 2= Murray Upper Bridge XI, 3= Windin N Creek) and two low elevation sites (4= Murray Upper Bridge I, 5= Frenchman Creek).

\*\*\*\*

#### ANTIMICROBIAL PEPTIDES

\*\*\*\*\*



**Figure 3.3** *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* infection intensity in *Litoria genimaculata* during summer and winter at high (■) and low (□) elevations. In this Tukey (1977) boxplot, vertical bars indicate ranges, horizontal bar indicates median, boxes indicate upper and lower quartile boundaries.

#### ANTIMICROBIAL PEPTIDES

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*



**Figure 3.4** *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* infection intensity in *Litoria rheocola* during summer and winter at high (■) and low (□) elevations. In this Tukey (1977) boxplot, vertical bars indicate ranges, horizontal bar indicates median, boxes indicate upper and lower quartile boundaries.

\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*\*



**Figure 3.5** Relationship between total peptide secretion ( $\mu$ g) and body mass (g) in *Litoria genimaculata* (r = 0.2, p = 0.004; r<sup>2</sup> = 0.05) and *L. rheocola* (r = 0.03, p = 0.8; r<sup>2</sup> = 0.001).



**Figure 3.6** Total peptides secreted ( $\mu$ g) per surface area (cm<sup>2</sup>) by *Litoria genimaculata* (means and 95% confidence limits) at three lowland sites (Murray Upper Bridge I and Frenchman Creek) and two upland sites (Birthday Creek, Murray Upper Bridge XI and Windin N Creek). The lines between data points are to aid in visualisation of the results only.

CHAPTER 3

2.20

2.15

2.10

\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*\*

Windin N Creek

2.50 2.45 LOG<sub>10</sub>(Total peptides secreted (ug) per SA) 2.40 2.35 2.30 2.25



Frenchman's Creek



Winter Summer


**Figure 3.8**. Total amount of peptides secreted ( $\mu$ g) per surface area (cm<sup>2</sup>) by *Litoria genimaculata* and *L. rheocola* (means and 95% confidence limits) in winter and summer at low and high elevations. The lines between data points are to aid in visualisation of the results only.

## Proportion of samples showing inhibition of Bd

One hundred and twenty four of the 206 skin peptide samples showed at least some inhibition of *Bd*. The proportions of peptide samples that did and did not inhibit *Bd* differed significantly between *L. genimaculata* and *L. rheocola* (Fisher's exact test, p<0.01), where more peptide samples of *L. rheocola* inhibited *Bd*. The proportions of peptide samples that did and did not inhibit *Bd* did not differ significantly between infected and uninfected *L. genimaculata* (Fisher's exact test, p=0.06; Figure 3.9). However, the proportion of *L. genimaculata* samples inhibiting *Bd* was affected significantly by season (Fisher's exact test, p=0.01; Figure 3.9) and elevation (Fisher's exact test, p<0.01; Figure 3.9). During winter, a higher proportion of *L. genimaculata* peptide samples showed at least some inhibition of *Bd*. Infected and uninfected *L. rheocola* did not differ significantly in proportion of *Bd*. Infected and uninfected *L. rheocola*, there were also no significant differences between winter and summer (Fisher's exact test, p=0.5) and between high and low elevation sites (Fisher's exact test, p=0.3).

### Effectiveness of AMPs (IC100)

There was a significant overall difference in IC<sub>100</sub> between *L. genimaculata* and *L. rheocola* (Fisher's exact tests, p<0.01) where more peptide samples of *L. genimaculata* required higher concentrations to achieve total inhibition of *Bd*. This difference persisted when the data for each season were examined separately (Figure 3.10). In summer and winter more peptide samples of *L. genimaculata* required higher concentrations to achieve total inhibition of *Bd* than *L. rheocola* (Fisher's exact test, p=0.04; p=0.04, respectively). Within species, there was no significant difference in AMP effectiveness between infected and uninfected *L. genimaculata* and *L. rheocola* (Fisher's exact tests, p=0.6 and p=0.4, respectively). There was also no effect of site on AMP effectiveness for either species (Fisher's exact tests, p=0.2 and p=0.6, respectively). There was a significant seasonal effect on IC<sub>100</sub> in *L. genimaculata* (Fisher's exact test, p=0.03); more peptide samples in summer required higher concentrations to achieve total inhibition of *Bd* (Figure 3.10). There was no seasonal effect within *L. rheocola* samples (Fisher's exact test, p=0.2).

## Overall protection of frogs

*Litoria rheocola* had significantly higher levels of overall protection against *Bd* (mL of AMPs at  $IC_{100}$ /cm<sup>2</sup>) than *L. genimaculata* (Mann-Whitney U-test, p<0.01; Figure 3.11). *Litoria genimaculata* overall protection did not significantly differ among seasons or elevations (ANOVA,  $F_{1, 76}$  =2.98, p=0.09,  $F_{1, 76}$  =45.0, p=0.50, respectively) and was not affected by the infection status of frogs (Figure 3.12). There were no significant effects of season or elevation on overall AMP protection of *L. rheocola* (ANOVA,  $F_{1, 40}$  =3.04, p=0.09,  $F_{1, 40}$  =0.01, p=0.94, respectively), although the apparent interaction (Figure 3.13) between these factors (season and elevation) approached significance (ANOVA,  $F_{1, 40}$  =3.88, p=0.06).



**Figure 3.9**. Proportion of peptide samples from *Litoria genimaculata* (■) and *L. rheocola* (■) that did (positive value) and did not (negative value) inhibit *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* during challenge assays.

\*\*\*\*



**Figure 3.10**. Proportions of peptide samples from *Litoria genimaculata* in summer (a) and winter (b) and from *L. rheocola* in summer (c) and winter (d) at the four different inhibitory concentrations, 1 ( $\blacksquare$ ) = 0-174 µg/ml, 2 ( $\blacksquare$ ) = 175-374, 3 ( $\Box$ ) = 375-749 µg/ml and 4 ( $\boxtimes$ ) = 750+ µg/ml, that completely inhibited *Bd* (IC<sub>100</sub>).

#### ANTIMICROBIAL PEPTIDES



**Figure 3.11.** Overall protection afforded by AMPs (mass of peptides/  $SA \times 1mL/IC_{100}$ ) in *Litoria genimaculata* and *L. rheocola*. In this Tukey (1977) boxplot, vertical bars indicate ranges, horizontal bar indicates median, boxes indicate upper and lower quartile boundaries.

#### ANTIMICROBIAL PEPTIDES



**Figure 3.12.** Overall protection afforded by AMPs (total peptides secreted  $\times$  (1/IC<sub>100</sub>) / SA) of infected (positive for *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*) and uninfected (negative for *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*) *Litoria genimaculata* (means and 95% confidence limits) collected during two different seasons at high and low elevation sites. Infection status was determined by qPCR assay. The lines between data points are to aid in visualisation of the results only.



**Figure 3.13.** Overall protection afforded by AMPs (total peptides secreted  $\times$  (1/IC<sub>100</sub>) / SA) in *Litoria rheocola* (means and 95% confidence limits) from samples collected during summer and winter at high and low elevation sites.

Effects of season and elevation on the prevalence of *Bd*, which is usually greater in winter and at higher elevations, have been observed in multiple anuran species in Queensland and New South Wales, Australia (Berger et al., 2004; Retallick et al., 2004; McDonald et al., 2005; Woodhams and Alford, 2005; Kriger and Hero, 2007b). These patterns are most likely driven by temperature, since lower temperatures are more suitable for *Bd* survival and reproduction (Longcore et al., 1999; Berger et al., 2004). Lower prevalence of Bd infection was detected in summer at most sites, except at Birthday Creek, Paluma National Park, where a high prevalence occurred in L. genimaculata in summer. The reasons why frogs at Paluma demonstrated such high prevalence of Bd infection in summer remained unclear. Woodhams (2003), who used the same transects, calculated the prevalence to be 10 percent or less, which is much lower than recorded during the 2005-2007 period of my study. It is possible that previous studies may have underestimated prevalence (McDonald et al., 2005; Woodhams and Alford, 2005). This is because these studies used histology on removed toe clips to detect Bd infection rather than the more efficient qPCR assays (Annis et al., 2004; Hyatt et al., 2007), as the latter method had not been developed for detecting and quantifying Bd during the period in which they collected data. Low intensity of infection, as was observed in *L. rheocola*, may result in even lower prevalence estimates when histology is used as a diagnostic technique (Hyatt et al., 2007). Alternatively, environmental conditions during my study period may have been more favourable for Bd compared to the time period of McDonald et al. (2005) and Woodhams and Alford (2005) study.

*Litoria genimaculata* and *L. rheocola* peptide secretion per surface area differed among sites and seasons. Several hypotheses could explain the high seasonal variation. It may be an anuran physiological response to decreased temperatures in winter, resulting in smaller amount of peptide per surface area being produced or released during that season. Seasonal variation could also represent an adaptive response to changes in the abundance or composition of the microbial assemblage that the frogs are exposed to. Seasonal variation has been documented in microbial assemblages in the rainforests of

#### ANTIMICROBIAL PEPTIDES

Directional selection, resulting in novel antimicrobial peptide gene sequences, has been observed in *Rana pipiens* (Tennessen and Blouin, 2007). This study concluded that selection may result in affected species producing more effective AMPs against novel microbial organisms. The situation in *L. genimaculata* is more complex. When individuals possessed AMPs that were effective against *Bd*, AMPs from upland populations were not significantly more effective than AMPs from lowland populations, suggesting that selection has not altered the nature of the AMPs possessed by upland frogs. However, significantly greater proportions of individuals in upland populations possessed AMPs that were effective against *Bd*; and at both upland and lowland sites, the proportion of individuals with anti-*Bd* AMPs was significantly higher in winter. This suggests that selection may have acted to increase the production of existing anti-*Bd* AMPs during winter and in upland populations of *L. genimaculata*. Further studies are needed, investigating the composition of different suites of AMPs in *L. genimaculata* and *L. rheocola*, at high and low elevation sites, in order to determine whether their AMPs have been influenced by natural selection after exposure to *Bd*.

While *L. rheocola* demonstrated a slower population recovery than *L. genimaculata* after the appearance of chytridiomycosis, the species' innate immune defences appear likely to be more successful at inhibiting *Bd* infection than that of *L. genimaculata*. *Litoria rheocola* secreted more peptides per surface area, more individuals secreted peptides that inhibited *Bd*, and overall protection was significantly higher than in

#### ANTIMICROBIAL PEPTIDES

This is the first large study on AMPs of two sympatric frog species and results suggest that natural selection caused by the effects of chytridiomycosis on different populations may have acted on the amounts of peptides secreted by *L. genimaculata*. The fact that neither the effectiveness of peptides nor the proportions of individuals secreting effective peptides differed between upland and lowland populations of *L. rheocola* may support the idea that this species recolonised from lowland populations (Woodhams and Alford, 2005). The selection pressure experienced by this species while possible recolonising high elevation habitat, is unclear. The results suggest that perhaps they experienced a stronger natural selection pressure than *L. genimaculata*, resulting in more successful innate immune defences inhibiting *Bd* infection. On the other hand, it is possible that other factors, such as microenvironment selection, frequency of frog to frog interactions, or disease avoidance behaviour, may also influence the susceptibility of these frog species to *Bd*.

#### Abstract

Amphibians have been and are still declining globally. One of the contributing factors is chytridiomycosis, an amphibian skin disease caused by the fungus Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis (Bd). Host behaviour, including habitat selection, interaction with other individuals and microenvironment selection, possibly influence exposure time to Bd and the outcome of Bd infection, and may have contributed to the variable susceptibility to infection among amphibians observed in the wild. Antimicrobial peptides (AMPs), produced by the granular glands in amphibians, are a component of the amphibian innate immune defence against microbial organisms, including Bd. I took skin peptide samples from a total of 86 Litoria genimaculata, an Australian tree frog, from three upland populations that have suffered declines caused by chytridiomycosis and have subsequently recovered, and from two lowland populations that did not decline, although Bd is now endemic. I investigated the quantity of peptides that frogs secreted per surface area, how effective the peptides were against *Bd*, based on the concentration of AMPs needed to completely inhibit Bd growth (IC<sub>100</sub>) and the overall protection provided by AMPs to frogs (mL of AMPs at  $IC_{100}/cm^2$ ). Furthermore, I compared the AMP profiles (summary of all of the skin peptides secreted quantified by mass spectrometry) amongst the L. genimaculata populations. I hypothesised that if AMPs play an important role in the survival of L. genimaculata individuals infected with Bd, then strong selection pressure could have caused the AMP profiles of upland populations to diverge, resulting in possible increased innate protection against Bd compared to lowland populations. Total skin peptide secretion per surface area was significantly negatively correlated with prevalence of *Bd*. A similar trend was observed with overall protection. However, infected individuals did not always secrete smaller amounts of peptides per surface area or have less overall protection than uninfected individuals from the same site. This suggests that, while some aspects of innate immune defence are correlated with prevalence of Bd, once an individual is infected it may not necessarily change its peptide secretion or overall protection afforded by AMPs

### ANTIMICROBIAL PEPTIDE PROFILE

It is recognized that more than 30 percent of amphibian species are declining (IUCN, 2006; Smith et al., 2006), and that these declines occurred and are occurring at a greater rate than in any other animal group (Blaustein and Wake, 1990; Wake, 1991; Blaustein, 1994; Meyer et al., 1998; Daszak et al., 2005). Numerous studies have confirmed that chytridiomycosis, an amphibian disease caused by the fungus *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* (*Bd*), has contributed to the rapid amphibian declines (Mutschmann et al., 2000; Bosch et al., 2001; McDonald et al., 2005; Lips et al., 2006). At the same time, some amphibians persist despite infection (Richards et al., 1993; McDonald and Alford, 1999; Kriger and Herman, 2006).

Several behavioural factors, such as habitat selection, interaction with other individuals and microenvironment selection, have been suggested to possibly influence risk of exposure to *Bd* and the outcome of *Bd* infection (Woodhams et al., 2003; Rowley and Alford, 2007b; Rowley and Alford, 2007a). For example, Rowley and Alford (2007b) observed a more restricted habitat use and increased frog to frog interaction in *Litoria nannotis*, which has experienced severe declines due to chytridiomycosis, compared with two sympatric species with less or little decline, *L. genimaculata* and *L. lesueuri*. This suggests a correlation between vulnerability to *Bd* and habitat selection and frequency of frog to frog interactions. Even though no population decline due to *Bd* has been recorded in *L. lesueuri*, the species is highly susceptible to *Bd* infection with a prevalence of 26 percent (Kriger and Hero, 2007b; Kriger et al., 2007b), suggesting that in this species *Bd* infection may not be fatal at all times (Kriger and Herman, 2006).

One factor that may hinder initial microbial invasion, or influence *Bd* infection, is the action of antimicrobial peptides (AMPs), found in the granular glands of amphibians (Boman, 1995; Hoffman et al., 1999; Zasloff, 2002). AMPs of several anuran species kill *Bd* zoospores *in vitro* (Rollins-Smith et al., 2002a; Rollins-Smith et al., 2003; Rollins-Smith and Conlon, 2005; Woodhams et al., 2005; Woodhams et al., 2007). It is possible, although no study has confirmed this yet, that although AMPs may not completely prevent initial invasion of the epidermis by *Bd* zoospores, they may reduce

#### ANTIMICROBIAL PEPTIDE PROFILE

To date, several hundred unique amphibian AMPs have been isolated and characterised, including their molecular mass, sequence and inhibition of some microbes (see online database http://www.bbcm.univ.trieste.it). Each type of AMP can differ in inhibiting epidermal invasion by a variety of bacteria, protozoa and fungi (Nicolas and Mor, 1995; Simmaco et al., 1998; Batista et al., 1999; Bowie et al., 1999; Kim et al., 2001; Zasloff, 2002; Chan et al., 2006). Consequently, knowing a species' peptide profile (identified by mass spectrometry analysis), followed by identifying each peptide's inhibitory success against *Bd*, can assist in understanding a species' defence against *Bd*. Woodhams et al. (2006) conducted a matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionisation (MALDI) mass spectrometry analysis on several Panamanian anuran species and observed greater inter - than intra-specific variation.

Selection pressure has been found to change genes encoding for AMPs (Tennessen, 2005; Tennessen and Blouin, 2007), resulting in a diversification (Duda et al., 2002) which may increase effectiveness against a target pathogen. Investigating the AMP profiles and inhibitory success against *Bd* of one species, at different locations, with different decline histories and therefore different selection pressures, may give us a greater understanding of the importance of AMPs as a defence against *Bd* infection.

*Litoria genimaculata*, the green-eyed tree frog, is a rainforest stream dweller that occurs on the northern part of the east coast Australia (Cogger, 2000). Several studies have investigated the antibiotic activity and structure of Maculatin, a particular type of peptide produced by this species (Rozek et al., 1998; Chia et al., 2000; Brinkworth and Bowie, 2003; Niidome et al., 2004; Woodhams et al., 2005). Woodhams et al. (2005) challenged *Bd* with pure synthetic Maculatin 1.1 and, depending on the *Bd* isolate, achieved a total inhibition of zoospore growth at 25 to 50 µm. To date, no study has

#### ANTIMICROBIAL PEPTIDE PROFILE

## **Materials and Methods**

### Sites and frog species

For a detailed description of the study species and study sites see Chapter 3.

#### Collection and processing of skin peptides

Skin peptides of male *L. genimaculata* were collected during September 2005 at three high elevation sites (Birthday Creek at Paluma National Park; Bridge XI at Murray Upper National Park and Windin N Creek at Wooroonooran National Park) and two low elevation sites (Bridge I at Murray Upper National Park and Frenchman Creek at Wooroonooran National Park). All frogs were swabbed using a sterile tubed dry swab (Medical Wire and Equipment, Corsham, Wiltshire UK) that was run across frog's hands, feet, thighs and ventral surface twice, for qPCR assay to quantify infection with *Bd* (Boyle et al., 2004). Quantitative PCR analysis was carried out at the School of Veterinary and Biomedical Sciences, James Cook University.

The collection of the skin peptides was conducted as described in Chapter 3. The processing of the sep-pak filters was carried out in collaboration with Dr. L.A. Rollins-

#### ANTIMICROBIAL PEPTIDE PROFILE

### MALDI mass spectrometry readings of peptides

The matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization (MALDI) mass spectrometry of the skin peptides secreted *by L. genimaculata* was conducted at Vanderbilt University, Nashville, USA and followed the procedure of Woodhams et al. (2006).

#### **Statistical analysis**

#### Prevalence of Bd and intensity of infection

A Fisher's exact test was used to determine if there was a significant difference in prevalence of *Bd* between high and low elevation populations. A Mann-Whitney U-test was performed to determine if there was a significant difference in infection intensity between high and low elevation populations.

### Total skin peptides secreted

Total peptide secretion per surface area (SA)  $(cm^2)$  was calculated as per chapter three, using the equation from McClanahan and Baldwin (1969) to represent surface area.

Surface area (cm<sup>2</sup>) =  $9.9 \times$  (weight in grams)<sup>0.56</sup>

The data were  $log_{10}$  transformed before any statistical analysis. I performed a factorial ANOVA to test for the effect of infection and site on total peptides secreted per surface area. A *posthoc* (unequal N HSD) was performed to evaluate further effects. Additionally, I used a Pearson's R correlation analysis to explore the linear relationship between intensity of infection ( $log_{10}$  number of zoospore equivalents+1) and median peptide secretion per surface area for each site. A Spearman Rank correlation analysis was used to explore the relationship between prevalence and median peptide secretion per surface area (per site). The Spearman Rank correlation analysis was preferred as only five data points (sites) that exhibited a strong pattern were compared, but did not fulfil the assumption of Pearson's R correlation analysis.

## Effectiveness of AMPs

I calculated the effectiveness of AMPs as the inhibitory concentration where no *Bd* growth occurred (IC<sub>100</sub>), as described in Chapter 3. There were several samples in which no concentration produced 100% inhibition of *Bd*. In these cases, I used extrapolation to predict the peptide concentrations where 100% inhibition would occur ( $\mathbf{x} = (100/b)/m$ , where x is the unknown concentration of peptides, b = y intercept and m= slope of a regression of percent inhibition on concentration. I categorised the IC<sub>100</sub> into four groups, forming a log series of concentrations:  $1 = 50-174 \mu g/mL$ ,  $2 = 175-374 \mu g/mL$ ,  $3 = 375-749 \mu g/mL$  and  $4 = 750 + \mu g/mL$ . I used Fisher's exact tests to determine if there was a difference between the IC<sub>100</sub>s of peptides produced by infected and uninfected frogs, amongst sites and between highland and lowland populations.

### Overall protection of frogs

Once the  $IC_{100}$  was calculated, the overall protection of the frog against *Bd* was estimated as the total number of mL of solution at the  $IC_{100}$  that would be produced per unit surface area:

Overall protection (mL at  $IC_{100}$  per cm<sup>2</sup>) = (total mass of peptides/ SA × 1/IC<sub>100</sub>).

The data were  $log_{10}$  transformed before statistical analysis. I conducted a Pearson's R correlation analysis to explore the relationship between intensity of infection ( $log_{10}$  number of zoospore equivalents+1) and median overall protection of frogs at each site. I also conducted a Spearman Rank correlation analysis to explore the relationship between prevalence and median overall protection per site.

#### MALDI mass spectrometry analysis of peptides

The output file of the MALDI mass spectrometry readings consisted of an average of 50,000 molecular mass values (mass to charge ratio; m/z) per peptide sample as it determined molecular mass to the 6<sup>th</sup> decimal place. Studies on anuran peptides predominantly use molecular mass rounded to the nearest whole number (Rozek et al., 1998; Chia et al., 2000; Brinkworth and Bowie, 2003; Brinkworth et al., 2003; Niidome

#### ANTIMICROBIAL PEPTIDE PROFILE

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* et al., 2004; Woodhams et al., 2005). I therefore rounded the molecular mass to the nearest whole number and used the maximum intensity value (monoisotopic mass) for each subsequent group. The intensity value is greatly influenced by the volume of the sample used. Even though a consistent volume  $(0.5 \ \mu L)$  per sample was used, small pipetting differences may influence the intensity reading. Since it is the pattern of relative intensity at different molecular weights that is important. I adjusted the intensity value to fall within a range from 0 to 100. Graphically exploring the data before and after the adjustments showed that rounding the data to the nearest whole number and standardising intensity did not change the overall pattern of the mass spectrometry reading (Figure 4.1). Due to the nature of the data, with few peaks (representing intensity of specific AMPs) and a large amount of background noise, I created a presence/absence data set by molecular mass (peak present = 1, peak absent = 0). Each sample was graphically observed in order to determine where to set the breakpoint of relative intensity that separated background noise from genuine peaks. A two way ANOVA with site and infection status as categorical factors was conducted on the total number of peaks per sample using Statistica (version 8). Additionally, a dissimilarity distance matrix, using Euclidean distance measurements, was conducted and used for the multidimensional scaling (MDS) technique. Blossom statistical software (version 2007 12.21) was used to perform a multi-response permutation procedure (MRPP) on the coordinates of the data in the first two dimensions of the MDS, using site, elevation and infection status as the grouping variables.

Currently, five skin peptides of *L. genimaculata* have been described, including their molecular weight (MW); Maculatin 1.1 (MW= 2143 and 2145), Maculatin 1.1.1 (MW= 1973 and 1975), Maculatin 1.2 (MW 2358 and 2360), Maculatin 2.1 (MW = 1878) and Maculatin 3.1 (MW = 2392 and 2395) (Rozek et al., 1998; Brinkworth and Bowie, 2003). I used Fisher's exact tests to determine whether infection status, elevation or site affected the presence of these five known skin peptides.

#### gPCR assay to determine prevalence of Bd and intensity of infection

There was no significant difference in prevalence of *Bd* between high and low elevation site (Fisher's exact test, p=0.26). The highest prevalence of *Bd* was observed at a lowland site (Frenchman Creek; Figure 4.2). Intensities of infection with *Bd* were greater at the high elevation sites (Mann-Whitney U-test, Z=1.9, p=0.05; Figure 4.3).

## Total skin peptides secreted per surface area

Total peptides secreted per surface area did not differ significantly between infected and uninfected individuals (ANOVA,  $F_{1, 76} = 0.07$ , p=0.80), but varied significantly amongst sites (ANOVA,  $F_{4, 76} = 6.98$ , p<0.001; Figure 4.4). The *L. genimaculata* population at Frenchman Creek secreted significantly smaller amounts of peptides per surface area than individuals at any other sites (Table 4.1). The relationship between *Bd* infection intensity (Log<sub>10</sub> number of zoospore equivalents+1) and total peptide secretion (µg) per surface area (SA) was not significant at four sites (Birthday Creek, r = 0.06, p = 0.87; r<sup>2</sup> = 0.003; Bridge IX, r = -0.46, p = 0.21; r<sup>2</sup> = 0.21; Frenchman Creek, r = 0.0719, p = 0.8435; r<sup>2</sup> = 0.0052 and Windin N Creek, r = -0.8455, p = 0.3586; r<sup>2</sup> = 0.7149; Figure 4.5) but was unable to be calculated for the fifth site (Bridge I) as only one individual tested positive for *Bd*. There was a significant negative correlation across sites between prevalence of *Bd* and the total peptides secreted per surface area (Spearman Rank correlation, r<sub>s</sub>=-1, p=0.02).

## Effectiveness of peptides (IC<sub>100</sub>)

Of the 86 samples, only 61 could be tested for effectiveness; of these, four samples did not show any inhibition. The effectiveness of peptides was significantly different between infected and uninfected *L. genimaculata* (Fisher's exact test, p<0.01) with uninfected individuals having greater numbers of samples with more effective peptides (lower inhibitory concentrations needed to inhibit *Bd* growth; Figure 4.6). Infected individuals did not show a significant difference in the effectiveness of peptides (IC<sub>100</sub>) amongst sites (Fisher's exact test, p=0.28) or elevation (Fisher's exact test, p=0.79). Uninfected individuals did not show a significant difference in the effectiveness of

#### ANTIMICROBIAL PEPTIDE PROFILE



**Figure 4.1.** Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization (MALDI) mass spectrometry reading of skin peptides of a *Litoria genimaculata* individual. a) raw data and b) after molecular mass were grouped to the nearest whole number, reducing it from 50,000 to 3,000 values (note that the range stays the same) and intensity was standardised from 0 to 100.

\*\*\*\*



**Figure 4.2.** Prevalence of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in *Litoria genimaculata* individuals (means and 95% confidence limits) from which skin peptides were collected at three high elevation sites (Birthday Creek, Bride XI and Windin N Creek) and two low elevation sites (Bridge I and Frenchman Creek).



**Figure 4.3** *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* infection intensity in *Litoria genimaculata* at three high elevation sites (Birthday Creek, Bride XI and Windin N Creek) and two low elevation sites (Bridge I and Frenchman Creek). In this Tukey (1977) boxplot, vertical bars indicate ranges, horizontal bar indicates median, boxes indicate upper and lower quartile boundaries.

\*\*\*\*\*



**Figure 4.4**. Total amount of peptides secreted ( $\mu$ g) per surface area (cm<sup>2</sup>) by infected and uninfected *Litoria genimaculata* (means and 95% confidence limits) at three high elevation sites (Birthday Creek, Bridge XI and Windin N Creek) and two lowland sites (Bridge I and Frenchman Creek). The lines between data points are to aid in visualisation of the results only.

## ANTIMICROBIAL PEPTIDE PROFILE

<i>Posthoc</i> Unequal N HSD, df = 76					
Sites	Birthday	Bridge I	Bridge XI	Frenchman	Windin N
	Creek			Creek	Creek
Birthday Creek		0.16	0.81	0.02	0.63
Bridge I	0.12		0.84	<0.01	0.98
Bridge XI	0.81	0.84		<0.01	0.99
Frenchman	0.02	<0.01	<0.01		<0.01
Creek					<0.01
Windin N	0.62	0.08	0.00	-0.01	
Creek	0.03	0.98	0.99	<0.01	

**CHAPTER 4** 

\*\*\*\*\*



**Figure 4.5.** Relationship between *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* (*Bd*) infection intensity (Log<sub>10</sub> number of zoospore equivalents+1) in *Litoria genimaculata* and total peptide secretion (µg) per surface area (SA) at Birthday Creek (r = 0.06, p = 0.87;  $r^2 =$ 0.003), Bridge IX (r = -0.46, p = 0.21;  $r^2 = 0.21$ ) Frenchman Creek (r = 0.0719, p =0.8435;  $r^2 = 0.0052$ ) and Windin N Creek (r = -0.8455, p = 0.3586;  $r^2 = 0.7149$ ). Note that only one individual tested positive for *Bd* at Bridge I and no correlation was therefore calculated.

#### ANTIMICROBIAL PEPTIDE PROFILE



**Figure 4.6**. Proportion of peptide samples in infected (a) and uninfected (b) *Litoria genimaculata* in the five different inhibitory concentration groups, 1 ( $\blacksquare$ ) = < 100 µg/ml, 2 ( $\blacksquare$ ) = 100-174 µg/ml, 3 ( $\blacksquare$ ) = 175-374,µg/ml and 4 ( $\blacksquare$ ) = 375-749 µg/ml and 5( $\Box$ ) = 750+ µg/ml, where no *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* growth occurred (IC<sub>100</sub>).

## Overall protection of frog

Infection status had no significant effect on overall protection afforded by AMPs of the frog (ANOVA,  $F_{1, 61} = 0.27$ , p=0.61), however, overall protection afforded by AMPs varied significantly amongst sites (ANOVA,  $F_{4, 61}=4.45$ , p<0.01; Figure 4.7). Individuals at Frenchman Creek had the lowest overall protection and were significantly different compared with frogs at Bridge I (*posthoc* unequal HSD, df= 61, p<0.01) and Birthday Creek (*posthoc* unequal HSD, df= 61, p=0.02; Table 4.2). The overall protection of *L. genimaculata* was also significantly different between Bridge I and Bridge XI (*posthoc* unequal HSD, df= 61, p=0.01).

The relationship between *Bd* infection intensity ( $Log_{10}$  equivalent number of zoospores+1) and overall protection (mL of AMPs at IC<sub>100</sub> per cm<sup>2</sup>) was not significant at four sites (Birthday Creek, r = 0.2193, p = 0.5171; r<sup>2</sup> = 0.0481; Bridge IX, r = -0.5140, p = 0.2379; r<sup>2</sup> = 0.2642; Frenchman Creek, r = 0.1667, p = 0.6931; r<sup>2</sup> = 0.0278 and Windin N Creek, r = 0.0597, p = 0.9620; r<sup>2</sup> = 0.0036; Figure 4.8) and could not be calculated for the fifth site (Bridge I) as only one individual tested positive for *Bd*. There was no significant correlation between prevalence of infection and overall protection (Spearman Rank correlation,  $r_s$ =-0.7, p=0.23).

### Mass spectrometry analysis of peptides

The Vanderbilt Laboratory could not perform mass spectrometry analysis on all 86 peptide samples. A total of 69 samples were analysed (Table 4.3). There was a significant difference in the total number of peaks (indicating presence of specific peptides) amongst the five sites (ANOVA,  $F_{4, 59} = 4.9$ , p<0.01; Figure 4.9). Infection status of *L. genimaculata* did not have a significant effect on the total number of peaks quantified during mass spectrometry (ANOVA,  $F_{1, 59} = 0.9$ , p=0.35). MDS ordination (Euclidean distance measure) indicated a geographical effect (Figure 4.10). Statistically this was supported; there were significant differences in the total number of peaks amongst sites (MRPP,  $\delta = 0.97$ , p< 0.01) and between lowland and upland populations (MRPP,  $\delta = 1.18$ , p= 0.04). Infection status of the frogs did not significantly influence peptide expression in *L. genimaculata* (MRPP,  $\delta = 1.21$ , p= 0.55). Maculatin 1.1 was

## CHAPTER 4 ANTIMICROBIAL PEPTIDE PROFILE



**Figure 4.7**. Overall protection afforded by AMPs (total peptides secreted  $\times$  (1/IC<sub>100</sub>) / SA) in *Litoria genimaculata* (means and 95% confidence limits) at three high elevation sites (Birthday Creek, Bridge XI and Windin N Creek) and two lowland sites (Bridge I and Frenchman Creek).

## ANTIMICROBIAL PEPTIDE PROFILE

<b>Posthoc Unequal N HSD, df = 61</b>						
Sites	Birthday Creek	Bridge I	Bridge XI	Frenchman Creek	Windin N Creek	
Birthday Creek		0.69	0.16	0.02	0.66	
Bridge I	0.69		0.01	<0.01	0.09	
Bridge XI	0.16	0.01		0.89	0.85	
Frenchman Creek	0.02	<0.01	0.89		0.33	
Windin N Creek	0.66	0.09	0.85	0.33		

\*\*\*\*\*



**Figure 4.8**. Relationship between *Bd* infection intensity ( $Log_{10}$  number of zoospore equivalents+1) in *Litoria genimaculata* and overall protection afforded by AMPs (mL at  $IC_{100}$  per cm<sup>2</sup>) at Birthday Creek (r = 0.2193, p = 0.5171; r<sup>2</sup> = 0.0481), Bridge IX (r = -0.5140, p = 0.2379; r<sup>2</sup> = 0.2642) Frenchman Creek (r = 0.1667, p = 0.6931; r<sup>2</sup> = 0.0278) and Windin N Creek (r = 0.0597, p = 0.9620; r<sup>2</sup> = 0.0036). Note that only one individual tested positive for *Bd* at Bridge I and no correlation was therefore calculated.

#### ANTIMICROBIAL PEPTIDE PROFILE

Location	Elevation	+ for <i>Bd</i>	- for <i>Bd</i>
Birthday Creek	High	11	9
Bridge I	Low	1	17
Bridge XI	High	4	6
Frenchman Creek	Low	3	4
Windin N Creek	High	3	11
Total		22	47



**Figure 4.9.** Total numbers of peaks (means and 95% confidence limits) counted by MALDI mass spectrometry reading of the skin peptide samples collected from adult male *Litoria genimaculata* at three high elevation sites (Birthday Creek, Bridge XI and Windin N Creek) and two lowland sites (Bridge I and Frenchman Creek).



\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

**Figure 4.10.** MDS ordination (Euclidean distance measure) on MALDI mass spectrometry reading of skin peptide samples from *Litoria genimaculata* at five sites: Birthday Creek, Paluma National Park (N=20), Bridge I and Bridge XI at Murray Upper National Park (N=18, N=10, respectively) and Frenchman Creek and Windin N Creek at Wooroonooran National Park (N=7, N=14, respectively). Triangle symbols represent high elevation sites, circles low elevation sites.

Category		Maculatin 2.1 (MW= 1878)	Maculatin 1.1.1 (MW=1973+ 75)	Maculatin 1.1 (MW=2143+ 45)	Fisher's exact test
Infection	Negative for Bd	2	11	38	
Status	Positive for <i>Bd</i>	0	7	19	
					<b>P=0.7</b>
Site	Birthday Creek	0	5	18	
	Bridge I	1	0	11	
	Bridge XI	0	7	9	
	Frenchman Creek	1	0	7	
	Windin N Creek	0	6	12	
					P=0.02
Elevation	high elevation (>400m) low elevation	0	18	39	
	(<400m)	2	0	18	
					P<0.001

Number of individuals that had<br/>skin peptides at a particular<br/>molecular weight218

The prevalence of *Bd* infection in *L. genimaculata* differed amongst sites, with less of an elevational pattern than previously observed in Australian anurans (Berger et al., 2004; Woodhams and Alford, 2005; Kriger et al., 2007b). September is the beginning of the *L. genimaculata* breeding season (Richards and Alford, 2005) in which higher numbers of mature males and females aggregate along the rainforest creek, resulting in an increase in frog to frog interactions (Richards and Alford, 2005). This may result in an increase in prevalence of *Bd* at lowland sites, such as detected at Frenchman Creek in Wooroonooran National Park. However, the population at another lowland site, Bridge I at Murray Upper National Park, had a low prevalence of *Bd* at the same time. Perhaps the beginning of the breeding season, which largely depends on climatic conditions (Richards and Alford, 2005), and subsequent frog interactions varied amongst sites resulting in this difference, or climatic conditions were more favourable for the prolonged presence of *Bd* at Frenchman Creek when compared with Bridge I. This is assuming that *Bd* can be transferred directly from frog to frog during skin contact, which has not yet been demonstrated.

The prevalence of *Bd* in *L. genimaculata* at each site was correlated with the peptide secretion per surface area. For example, the frog population at Bridge I (Murray Upper National Park), which had lowest prevalence of *Bd*, secreted the largest amount of peptides per surface area; whereas the population at Frenchman Creek (Wooroonooran National Park) with the highest prevalence of *Bd*, secreted the smallest quantity of peptides per surface area. A similar pattern was observed with overall protection (mL of AMPs at  $IC_{100}$  per cm<sup>2</sup>), suggesting that the amount of peptide secreted per surface area and overall protection may affect vulnerability to *Bd* at the population level. It is possible, although there is no evidence yet, that *Bd* infection, which causes a thickening of the *stratum corneum* (Berger et al., 1998), may block ducts and hence reduce peptide secretion. On the other hand, within populations there were no significant correlations between intensity of infection and total peptide secretion per surface area or overall protection, which you would expect in the above mentioned scenario. Additionally, infected individuals did not always secrete smaller amounts of peptides per surface area

#### ANTIMICROBIAL PEPTIDE PROFILE

I hypothesised that if AMPs played an important role in the survival of L. genimaculata infected with Bd, then strong selection pressure could have caused the AMP profile of upland populations to diverge, resulting in a possible increase in innate protection against *Bd* compared to lowland populations. My data provided some support for this hypothesis, since the MRPP analysis of the coordinates of each individual on the first two MDS axes showed significant differences between individuals from upland and lowland sites. However, this analysis revealed a stronger and more easily visualised (Figure 4.10) latitudinal gradient. Frogs at Wooroonooran National Park region (Frenchman Creek and Windin N Creek) and Paluma National Park (Birthday Creek) showed two distinct groups in terms of their AMP profile, suggesting lower variability within a site but distinct differences amongst regions. It is unclear how these differences reflect the frog's immune system defence against Bd, as each AMP would have to be isolated and challenged against Bd. The challenge assays of the skin secretion, which includes all AMPs that were used for the MDS analysis, did not reveal a similar regional pattern. However, the combination of a significant correlation between peptide secretion per surface area and infection intensity, and the elevational differences in peptide profiles demonstrated by the MDS and MRPP analyses, suggests that AMPs do play a role in L. genimaculata innate immune defence against Bd but that there are other factors, perhaps microenvironment selection or disease avoidance behaviour, that contribute to the comparatively lower vulnerability to Bd of this species when compared to sympatric species.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

# A laboratory behaviour study on the effect of microenvironment selection by anurans on chytridiomycosis

#### Abstract

Experimental studies in the laboratory have established that elevated body temperatures, similar to those experienced by basking frogs, can clear individuals of chytridiomycosis, an amphibian disease caused by the fungus *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis (Bd)*. Field observations have also shown that infection status and prevalence may be correlated with microenvironment selection by frogs. We designed a laboratory environment that allowed frogs to select from a range of thermal and hydric microenvironments during disease studies and investigated whether Bd infections progress similarly in frogs (Litoria caerulea, L. genimaculata and L. wilcoxii) housed in variable environments that provided a choice of environmental conditions and in frogs housed in constant environments that provided only constant, standard laboratory environmental conditions. We also investigated whether the infection status of frogs affected their choice of microenvironments (cold, warm or hot temperatures and low or high humidity) and substrates (ground, pond or wall), and whether they exhibited similar movement patterns (moved less or more than a body length between observations or did not move). In each species, the proportion of infected frogs housed in variable environments that decreased their infection load was greater than that for frogs housed in constant environments, although due to small sample sizes this trend was not significant. Thermal microenvironment selection differed significantly amongst the three species studied and can be linked to some extent to the decline patterns observed in the wild. Litoria caerulea (vulnerable to infection with Bd but no population declines due to chytridiomycosis have been detected) selected warm and hot environments significantly more often than L. genimaculata (vulnerable to infection with Bd with highland population declines followed by recovery). At night, infected L. wilcoxii moved significantly less than uninfected individuals and L. genimaculata infected by Bd selected different substrates than uninfected individuals. Six adult L. genimaculata lost their infections within 18 days while housed in the laboratory in constant, standard conditions, suggesting that other mechanisms, such as antimicrobial peptides, also influence the progress of chytridiomycosis.
# 

Anurans experience repeated changes in body temperature and water balance as they modulate their internal environment through behavioural changes and microhabitat selection to meet their physiological needs (Duellmann and Trueb, 1994; Hillyard, 1999; Beck and Jennings, 2003). Since anurans' internal environments fluctuate daily, investigating their host-disease interactions in a constant laboratory environment is likely to lead to an inaccurate conclusion (Woodhams, 2003). However, in most previous work, this important aspect of amphibian biology has been largely ignored as the surrounding environment has been controlled and stabilised (Huchzermeyer et al., 1994; Cheatwood et al., 2003; Davidson et al., 2003). This may lead to imprecise descriptions of the impact of a disease at an individual and population level.

One emerging infectious disease that has been intensively investigated in the last decade is chytridiomycosis, caused by the fungus *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* (*Bd*) (Berger et al., 1998; Woodhams and Alford, 2005; Voyles et al., 2007). Studies have confirmed the fatal outcome of chytridiomycosis in several Australian frog species, including *Litoria caerulea*, *L. chloris* and *Mixophyes fasciolatus*, under stable environmental conditions (23°C and 100% relative humidity) (Berger et al., 1998; Woodhams et al., 2007) that are ideal for *Bd* growth and reproduction (Longcore et al., 1999). However, none of these species show population declines due to chytridiomycosis in the wild (McDonald and Alford, 1999).

The discrepancy between laboratory and field studies raised the question of what factors influence the host-pathogen interaction and possibly alter the outcome of an infection with *Bd* (Woodhams et al., 2003; Retallick et al., 2004). Temperature (Woodhams et al., 2003; Berger et al., 2004) and humidity (Woodhams, 2003) can both influence the progress of chytridiomycosis in anurans. Woodhams (2003) and Woodhams et al. (2003) observed that experimentally infected *L. chloris* exposed to higher temperatures  $(37^{\circ}C)$  were cured of infection and that *Bd* is more pathogenic in high humidity.

#### MICROENVIRONMENT SELECTION

Since microenvironment selection by infected frogs will alter the environment *Bd* is exposed to, and it is clear that the rates of growth and reproduction of *Bd* are influenced by temperature and might be affected by humidity; it is likely that frogs' microenvironment selection can affect the growth of *Bd* on individuals, or eliminate established infections. Rowley (2007) radio tracked and recorded body temperatures of wild *L. lesueuri*, *L. genimaculata* and *L. nannotis*, species which have experienced chytridiomycosis associated declines to different extents. Her study suggests that vulnerability of the three species to *Bd* infection may be correlated with their microenvironment selection.

Understanding the interactions of the pathogen *Bd* with wild frog populations requires a controlled and close study of the progress of chytridiomycosis in frogs exposed to a more naturalistic range of environmental conditions. This study was designed to evaluate whether allowing frogs access to a wide range of microenvironments alters the outcome of infections with *Bd*, and whether infection with *Bd* alters frogs' behaviour, including microenvironment selections and movement patterns. I examined three species that have experienced chytridiomycosis-associated declines to very different extents. All three Australian species, *L. caerulea*, *L. wilcoxii* and *L. genimaculata* are from the Family Hylidae. The green tree frog, *L. caerulea*, is a pond breeder and has been found positive for *Bd* in the wild but no populations have shown noticeable declines (Berger et al., 1998; Kriger and Hero, 2007a). The stony creek frog,

### MICROENVIRONMENT SELECTION

## **Materials and Methods**

# Experimental design

Trials of the experiment were carried out in two different types of terraria; Type I (constant environment) was designed to represent conditions normally experienced in laboratory studies where frogs have no hydric or thermal environmental choices. It consisted of a  $250 \times 350 \times 150$  mm plastic container with lid and airspace to allow ventilation. The containers were misted every second day to maintain a constant high humidity environment and had an open plastic container (115 mm diameter  $\times$  60 mm high) filled with rainwater as a pond. Type II (variable environment) allowed the frogs to select from a range of hydric and thermal conditions (Figure 5.1). We used three  $1500 \times 700 \times 500$  mm glass terraria that were divided halfway across the longest dimension with PVC walls to create six identical  $750 \times 700 \times 500$  mm terraria for the larger frogs, L. caerulea (average snout-vent length (SVL) 61mm, mass 21g). Smaller frogs, L. wilcoxii, (average SVL 38mm, mass 5.6g) and L. genimaculata (average SVL 39mm, mass 3.2g) were housed in 12  $600 \times 200 \times 300$  mm terraria. All terraria were divided lengthwise with a 4.5 mm acrylic wall to create separate high and low humidity areas. Two holes (50 diameter mm or 25 diameter mm for the smaller terraria) were drilled between these two sections to allow the frogs to freely move between them. The high humidity environment had an acrylic lid to trap moist air and maintain high relative humidity, whereas the less humid environment had a mesh lid, which allowed air exchange with the air-conditioned room, resulting in a dryer environment. A heat lamp (80 watt) was placed at one end of the terraria, centered over the wall between the high and low humidity areas, to create a thermal gradient. The larger terraria of type II

#### MICROENVIRONMENT SELECTION

A total of six trials were conducted (Table 5.1). Four trials used the larger Type II terraria for *L. caerulea* and each trial consisted of six individuals in the constant and six individuals in the variable environments, resulting in 48 frogs in total. Using the smaller Type II terraria, one trial with *L. genimaculata*, (12 frogs in the constant environment and 12 in the variable environment) and one trial with *L. wilcoxii* (6 frogs in the constant environment as no more frogs were available, and 12 in the variable environment) were conducted. Each trial lasted for a minimum of eight days, except that for *L. genimaculata* which, due to an air-conditioning failure, was terminated at day 5.

All experimental trials were conducted at the freshwater compound, James Cook University, in one air-conditioned room. Room temperature fluctuated between 18-21°C at night and 21-24°C during the day. The light was automatically switched on at 7.30 am and off at 7.30 pm.



**Figure 5.1** The design of the variable microenvironment terraria in which frogs could choose between two hydric (low and high relative humidity) and three thermal (cold, warm and hot) microenvironments.

**Table 5.1** Terrarium size, frog species and sample size used during the six trials to monitor microenvironment selection and the progress of chytridiomycosis. In Type I terraria frogs were housed at a constant environment, Type II terraria frogs had a choice of hydric and thermal microenvironments.

Trial	Frog species	Size of type II terraria	Sample size	Sample size
		(variable environment)	Type II	Type I
			terraria	terraria
1	L. caerulea	Large	6	6
2	L. caerulea	Large	6	6
3	L. caerulea	Large	6	6
4	L. caerulea	Large	6	6
5	L. wilcoxii	Small	12	6
6	L. genimaculata	Small	12	6

# Study animals

Litoria caerulea was the only species available as captive bred individuals at the time of the study. Subadults were obtained from captive populations maintained by Brendan Tiernan (Morphett Vale, South Australia) and Gerry Marantelli (Amphibian Research Centre, ARC, North Coburg, Victoria). In order to minimise impact on wild frog populations, we collected most L. wilcoxii and L. genimaculata from the wild in their aquatic life history stages and raised them to subadults. Litoria wilcoxii were raised from tadpoles collected at Crystal Creek, Paluma Range National Park, Queensland, Australia (S18°58'54" E146°12'01"). Litoria genimaculata were raised from eggs or collected as adult male frogs from Birthday Creek, Paluma Range National Park (S18°58'54" E146°10'02"). All frogs were swabbed (sterile tubed dry swab from Medical Wire and Equipment, Corsham, Wiltshire UK) before and after the experiment for diagnostic quantitative PCR analysis (Boyle et al., 2004) to determine their infection status for Bd. In order to eliminate the possibility of unintentional infection with Bd, all terraria and water containers were cleaned and waste water diluted with F10 Veterinary Disinfectant (Webb et al., 2007). Gloves were worn at all times and changed between each frog and terrarium handled. Frogs received crickets (Acheta domesticus) twice a week; once a week 0.5 mL of a liquid supplement mixture (2 mL Calcivet/100 mL rainwater) was applied dorsally to each frog. Calcivet (Vetafarm) contains 33 g/L calcium borogluconate, 2 g/L magnesium sulphate and 25,000 i.u./L vitamine D<sub>3</sub> and appears to be more effective than coating crickets with a phosphorus free calcium and vitamin D3 supplement powder. Frogs were provided with rainwater (collected and stored in a water tank) that was changed at least once a week.

# Culture of Bd and experimental infection of frogs

Isolates of *Bd* (*L. les donna* 06-LB-1) were cultured in the School of Veterinary and Biomedical Science, James Cook University, following the protocol of Longcore et al. (1999) but using only half of the nutrients recommended as this appeared to increase culture growth. Two different methods were used to infect the frogs with *Bd. Litoria caerulea* were exposed to *Bd* zoospores in a bath following the procedure of Berger et al. (2005b). Zoospores were harvested by flooding agar plates containing *Bd* cultures

#### MICROENVIRONMENT SELECTION

Unfortunately, when we applied the same procedure to L. genimaculata all individuals, including the control animals, died within the first four hours of the 24 hour Bd exposure period. Due to time constraints, we then collected 24 adult male L. genimaculata from the wild at the same location where we collected the eggs, so this study could be continued immediately. Frog populations at Birthday Creek, Paluma National Park, have been monitored for several years (Richards and Alford, 2005; Woodhams and Alford, 2005) and removing 24 adult frogs had a minimal impact on the population. Infection status was determined by qPCR assay of sterile tubed dry swabs (Medical Wire and Equipment, Corsham, Wiltshire UK) which were run across frogs' hands, feet, thighs and ventral surface twice. However, to avoid further mortality, we exposed the uninfected L. genimaculata and all L. wilcoxii to Bd using a sterile cotton swab that had been rolled three times across agar plates with Bd cultures and then wiped on the ventral surface of the frog. The control group were swiped with a sterile cotton swab that was rolled on sterile agar plates. No frog died using this exposure procedure; however the disadvantage was the unknown number of Bd zoospores to which the frogs were exposed. It has been observed that frogs develop clinical signs of chytridiomycosis at varying intensities of infection with Bd (Voyles et al., 2007) and for the purpose of this study it was sufficient to compare behaviour of frogs positive for Bd (but at a variable intensity of infection) with uninfected frogs.

# Housing after experimental infection

All frogs were individually housed in constant environments (Type I terraria) for 10 days after their experimental exposure to Bd. I-button recordings were used to verify stable and constant hydric and thermal environments. Each frog was swabbed as

#### MICROENVIRONMENT SELECTION

# Statistical analysis

The temperature and humidity conditions in each type of terrarium prior to the study commencing were initially measured placing multiple i-button data loggers in each terrarium. In both the humid and less humid halves of the variable environment terraria, zones were determined that corresponded to three ranges of temperatures: cold, warm, and hot (during daylight hours when the heat lamps were on; temperature ranges for each zone are presented in results). For each trial of the experiment, the position of each frog within each terrarium was determined in each half-hourly photograph. For analysis, we divided the data into two main groups, 1) day data where a thermal and hydric gradient was present.

The effect of environment on infection was evaluated by determining, for each frog that was exposed to *Bd*, whether intensity of infection as measured by qPCR assay, increased or decreased during each trial. Frogs that did not become infected were not included in the analysis. We used an exact Zelen's test for homogeneity of odds ratios to evaluate if we could combine the data set for all species. We then used Fisher's exact test to evaluate whether the intensity of *Bd* infections changed differently in frogs housed in constant environment (Type I terraria) than it did in frogs that had a choice of thermal and hydric environments (Type II terraria).

From each digital image, data obtained for frogs in the variable-environment terraria were: which humidity environment they occupied (high or low), which temperature zone within that humidity environment (cold, warm or hot, during the day only), the

#### MICROENVIRONMENT SELECTION

We used Blossom statistical software (version 2007 12.21) for analysis and conducted a multi-response permutation procedure (MRPP) on the proportional data for each species separately using infection status as the grouping variable. We further investigated, using the same technique, differences in frog behaviour (using all different categories, described previously) among species and between night and day.

### Results

# The microenvironment within terraria

Eight days of hourly i-button readings of small and large Type II (variable environment) terraria designs showed that they presented similar ranges of microenvironments for frogs to select from. Relative humidity in the high humidity environment ranged from 70 to 90%, with an average of 84% for the small variable terraria and 92% for the large variable terraria. The relative humidity in the lower humidity environment was usually below 70%, with an average of 46% in the small, and 62% in the large, variable terraria (Figure 5.2). The relative humidity in the constant environment terraria ranged from 80-97% with an average of 91%.

The hot environment temperature fluctuated during the day from  $28.0^{\circ}\text{C} - 40.0^{\circ}\text{C}$  in the small and  $29.5^{\circ}\text{C} - 39.5^{\circ}\text{C}$  in the large variable terraria (Figure 5.3). The warm

### MICROENVIRONMENT SELECTION

During trial 6 with *L. genimaculata*, which was terminated early because of a failure of the air-conditioner in the laboratory, temperature in the terraria reached 36.5°C.

## gPCR assay to determine infection status before and after each trial

Experimental infections with *Bd* of all three species were not always successful (Table 5.2). It is unclear whether frogs did not become infected when exposed to *Bd* zoospores, or rapidly cleared infections within 10 days, prior to swabbing for the qPCR assay. Of the 24 adult *L. genimaculata* we collected in the wild, 13 were positive for *Bd* at the time of collection, but six tested negative 18 days later. During that period, frogs were housed in small containers where humidity was high and constant. Temperature in the laboratory was not recorded during that period, however there were no equipment failures and temperatures in the same room at other times ranged from  $21.0^{\circ}$ C to  $26.0^{\circ}$ C, with an average of  $23.0^{\circ}$ C.

# Changes in intensity of infection

The exact Zelen's test for homogeneity of odds ratios was not significant (ZE = 0.384, p=1.0), indicating that the pattern of changes in intensity of infection did not differ significantly among the three species. We therefore combined the data for all three species (Table 5.3) and conducted a single Fisher's exact test of the hypothesis that the proportion of frogs whose intensity of infection increased and decreased during the trials was independent of whether individuals were housed in Type I or Type II terraria. Across all three species, there was a trend, although not significant (Fisher's exact test, p=0.17), that more individuals that had a choice of thermal and hydric environments (Table 5.3). In total, 11 frogs lost their initial *Bd* infections, of which seven had a choice of hydric and thermal microenvironments.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*



**Figure 5.2**. Relative humidity in the terraria used to monitor microenvironment selection by frogs. 1= larger variable microenvironment terraria (Type II), 2= smaller variable microenvironment terraria (Type II), 3= constant microenvironment terraria (Type I). The variable microenvironment terraria had both high relative humidity  $\Box$  and low relative humidity  $\Box$ . The constant microenvironment terraria had only high relative humidity  $\Box$ . In this Tukey (1977) boxplot, vertical bars indicate ranges, horizontal bar indicates median, boxes indicate upper and lower quartile boundaries).

# MICROENVIRONMENT SELECTION



**Figure 5.3** The three thermal microenvironments (cold, warm and hot) in the three different terraria designs. 1= larger variable microenvironment terraria (Type II), 2= smaller variable microenvironment terraria (Type II), 3= constant microenvironment terraria (Type I). In this Tukey (1977) boxplot, vertical bars indicate ranges, horizontal bar indicates median, boxes indicate upper and lower quartile boundaries.

**Table 5.2** Infection status at the beginning of each trial determined by qPCR assay, of *Litoria caerulea*, *L. wilcoxii* and *L. genimaculata* individuals that were housed either in terraria Type I (constant environment) or terraria Type II (variable environment) during the microenvironment selection study.

Species	Terrarium type	Total <i>Bd</i> negative	Total <i>Bd</i> positive
L caerulea	Ι	7	5
L. cucrimea	II	15	8
L wilcoxii	Ι	3	3
2. Wheeshi	II	8	4
L genimaculata	Ι	7	5
2. Sentinactituta	II	8	4

**Table 5.3** Changes in intensity of infection between the start and end of trials for each species in the microenvironment selection experiment. Frogs in Type I terraria were housed under constant environmental conditions, whereas frogs in Type II terraria had a choice of thermal and hydric environments.

Species	Terrarium	Decrease of	Increase of	Fisher's
	type	Bd infection	Bd infection	exact test
All three species	Ι	6	10	P=0.17
	II	11	6	
Litoria caerulea	Ι	2	7	P=0.38
Litoria caerinea	II	4	5	
Litoria wilcoxii	Ι	4	1	P= 0.33
	II	4	0	
Litoria	Ι	3	2	P= 1.00
genimaculata	II	3	1	

A total of 15,522 day images were analysed. Within each species, thermal and hydric environment selection, substrate selection and movement pattern did not differ significantly between infected and uninfected individuals. *Litoria caerulea* was the only species that chose thermal microenvironments in a pattern significantly different from random (MRBP,  $\delta = 0.29$ , p< 0.01;  $\delta = 0.37$ , p= 0.25 for *L. wilcoxii*;  $\delta = 0.29$ , p= 0.14 for *L. genimaculata*). All three species, *L. caerulea*, *L. wilcoxii* and *L. genimaculata*, selected substrates in the less humid or high humid environment in a non-randomly pattern (MRBP,  $\delta = 0.35$ , p< 0.01;  $\delta = 0.32$ , p< 0.01;  $\delta = 0.32$ , p< 0.01;  $\delta = 0.35$ , p= 0.01, respectively; Figure 5.4).

The three species differed significantly in patterns of use of thermal microenvironments (MRPP,  $\delta = 0.43$ , p< 0.01). *Litoria caerulea* chose the warm and hot environments more often than *L. wilcoxii* and *L. genimaculata* (Figure 5.5). *Litoria wilcoxii* spent the least time in the warm and hot environments. Hydric environment selection did not significantly differ among the three species (MRPP,  $\delta = 0.61$ , p= 0.20), however, in combination with thermal microenvironments, *L. wilcoxii* spent more time in the high relative humidity environment at cold temperatures than did *L. genimaculata* and *L. caerulea* (MRPP,  $\delta = 0.67$ , p< 0.01; Figure 5.6).

Substrate selection differed significantly among the three frog species (MRPP,  $\delta = 0.14$ , p< 0.01). *Litoria wilcoxii* was found mostly on the ground, whereas *L. caerulea* and *L. genimaculata* were mostly observed on the wall (Figure 5.7). The overall tendency to move differed significantly among species (MRPP,  $\delta = 0.25$ , p<0.01): *L. genimaculata* moved (more than its body length) most frequently, and *L. caerulea* remained in the same location across the highest proportion of images (Figure 5.8).

### Microenvironment selection during the night

A total of 13,511 night images were analysed. No thermal gradient was present at night. Infected and uninfected *L. caerulea* did not differ significantly in hydric environment selection (MRPP,  $\delta = 0.55$ , p=0.09), substrate selection (MRPP,  $\delta = 0.48$ ,

#### MICROENVIRONMENT SELECTION

Substrate selection differed significantly among uninfected *L. caerulea*, *L. wilcoxii* and *L. genimaculata* (MRPP,  $\delta = 0.38$ , p<0.01). *Litoria wilcoxii* spent most of its time at night on the ground (Figure 5.11). *L. caerulea* spent more time in the pond than did the other two species. A similar pattern was observed in the data for infected *L. caerulea*, *L. wilcoxii* and *L. genimaculata* (Figure 5.12); this pattern was also significant (MRPP,  $\delta = 0.45$ , p<0.01).



**Figure 5.4** Hydric environment and substrate selection by *Litoria caerulea* during the day. In this Tukey (1977) boxplot, vertical bars indicate ranges, horizontal bar indicates median, boxes indicate upper and lower quartile boundaries.

CHAPTER 5

### MICROENVIRONMENT SELECTION









108

# MICROENVIRONMENT SELECTION

\*\*\*\*\*











**Figure 5.9** Proportion of digital images in which infected (Bd +) and uninfected (Bd -)*Litoria wilcoxii* movement pattern (< body length, > body length or no movement) during the night were observed. In this Tukey (1977) boxplot, vertical bars indicate ranges, horizontal bar indicates median, boxes indicate upper and lower quartile boundaries.

MICROENVIRONMENT SELECTION



**Figure 5.10** Proportion of digital images in which infected (Bd +) and uninfected (Bd -)*Litoria genimaculata* were observed on each substrate (pond, wall or ground) during the night. In this Tukey (1977) boxplot, vertical bars indicate ranges, horizontal bar indicates median, boxes indicate upper and lower quartile boundaries.

**CHAPTER 5** 

### MICROENVIRONMENT SELECTION

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*





MICROENVIRONMENT SELECTION





# 

Six L. genimaculata that tested positive for Bd at the time of capture tested negative in the laboratory 18 days later. Kriger and Herman (2006) observed recovery from Bd infection in wild L. wilcoxii. The mechanism that enables a frog to clear itself of Bd infection is unclear. The innate immune defences of frogs, predominantly antimicrobial peptides (AMPs), can kill Bd zoospores in vitro (Rollins-Smith et al., 2002b; Woodhams et al., 2007). This may result in a reduced rate of self infection that possibly reduces the progress of chytridiomycosis sufficiently to prevent mortality. Elevated body temperature, which can be achieved through warmer microenvironment selection, can also help frogs to clear infection with Bd (Woodhams et al., 2003). In the present study, intensity of infection in all three species was more likely to decrease over time in individuals that were able to choose their thermal and hydric microenvironments, although small sample sizes did not allow this trend to reach statistical significance. In addition, seven of the eleven individuals that cleared themselves of Bd infection during the experiment had a choice of thermal and microenvironments. On the other hand, several of the L. genimaculata in this study that were housed in stable climatic conditions (high humidity and an average temperature of 23°C) that was suitable for Bd growth, also cleared infections, suggesting that other mechanisms, such as antimicrobial peptides, may have been involved in this process. Quantitative PCR uses rDNA, which does not decompose as quickly as RNA (Matsuo et al., 1999), to determine the infection status of a frog. It is possible that the number of zoospore equivalents detected at capture of the frogs may not necessarily indicate an active infection as Bd zoospores do not have to be viable to result in a positive qPCR assay. This aspect has been largely ignored in recent discussions on qPCR as a technique to determine the infection status of frogs with Bd (Kriger et al., 2007a; Smith, 2007) but needs to be taken into consideration in studies where infection status is a factor in the design.

Thermal environment selection differed significantly amongst the three species and can be linked to some extent to the decline pattern observed in the wild. *Litoria caerulea*, which has not experienced any population declines due to chytridiomycosis, spent more time in the hot and warm environments compared to *L. wilcoxii* and *L. genimaculata*.

#### MICROENVIRONMENT SELECTION

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* *Litoria genimaculata*, which has experienced chytridiomycosis-associated declines, spent little time in the hot thermal environment. However, this environment was selected even less often by L. wilcoxii, even though this species has not declined due to chytridiomycosis. A radio-tracking study by Rowley (2007) found that L. lesueuri (which could either be L. wilcoxii or L. jungguy as the two are morphologically indistinguishable; Donnellan and Mahony, 2004) chose warmer temperatures than L. genimaculata. The average summer body temperature of L. lesueuri was around 25°C (Rowley, 2007), lower than both the warm and hot thermal environments we provided. It appears, however, that L. lesueuri and L. wilcoxii can select microenvironments that are suitable for Bd growth, and studies have shown high Bd prevalence in the wild (Retallick et al., 2004; Kriger and Hero, 2007b). Because this species has not shown population declines attributable to chytridiomycosis, this suggests that it has other mechanisms to survive Bd infection, possibly more effective antimicrobial peptides as shown by Woodhams et al. (2005), avoidance behaviour, or low risk of transmission that reduces the time being exposed to Bd (Rowley and Alford, 2007b; Rowley et al., 2007).

*Litoria wilcoxii* spent long periods of time on the ground (the floors of the terraria), whereas *L. caerulea* and *L. genimaculata* spent more time on the walls of the terraria. This is similar to the results of Rowley and Alford (2007b), who found that *L. lesueuri* (possibly *L. wilcoxii*) spent substantial amounts of time on the ground and on leaf litter, while *L. genimaculata* was more arboreal. Being above ground in a less sheltered habitat increases a frog's opportunity to increase its body temperature by basking. It also, assuming *Bd* does not exist outside water bodies, reduces time of exposure to *Bd*. At this time there is no published evidence of the existence of viable or saprobic *Bd* outside of the stream environment, such as in the soil or forest leaf litter.

Individuals of all three species, *L. caerulea*, *L. wilcoxii* and *L. genimaculata*, regardless of their infection status, chose thermal environments that were above 32°C at some time during the experiment. Berger (2001) observed *Bd* zoospore mortality *in vitro* at 32 °C. Laboratory experiments have shown a reduction of infection with *Bd* at 27 °C in

# MICROENVIRONMENT SELECTION

Most frog species, including *L. caerulea* (pers. obs.), *L. wilcoxii* and *L. genimaculata*, are predominantly nocturnal (Rowley and Alford, 2007b). At night, uninfected *L. wilcoxii* moved significantly more often than individuals that tested positive for *Bd*. Infected *L. genimaculata* spent significantly less time on the ground than uninfected individuals. This is the first study showing behavioural differences between individuals with differing infection status. These differences may not reflect adaptive responses to infection; they could both result from generally lower tendencies of infected frogs to move substantial distances, for example. It is unclear whether these changes in behaviour would affect the course of *Bd* infection in either captive or wild frogs. Radio-tracking of frogs of these species did not demonstrate such differences in behaviour (Rowley, 2007). Further studies comparing nocturnal behaviour in species, that have and have not suffered declines due to chytridiomycosis, are needed to understand the possible role of microenvironment selection in the progress of chytridiomycosis.

DISEASE AVOIDANCE BEHAVIOUR

Do frogs avoid water that contains Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis zoospores?

Nicole Kenyon <sup>1,2,3</sup>\*, Ross A. Alford<sup>1,2</sup> and Sara Bell<sup>1,2</sup>

In revision - Journal of Herpetology

### Abstract

Chytridiomycosis, caused by the fungus Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis (Bd), has been implicated as a proximate cause of many amphibian declines around the globe. However, its effects vary widely among species; some species can persist despite infection while others are driven to local extinction. Species specific variation may be partly caused by factors such as immune function and microenvironment. Less is known about factors determining the vulnerability of species to initial infection. Because infection is caused by contact of waterborne zoospores with the skin, one possible source of variation in infection risk is an ability to detect and avoid water containing Bd zoospores. We examined whether frogs of three species, Litoria caerulea, L. genimaculata and L. wilcoxii, which have suffered to different degrees from chytridiomycosis, avoid water contaminated with Bd zoospores. Individual frogs were presented with a choice of Bd-contaminated and non-contaminated water bodies (ponds) to use for hydration. The initial choice of all three species appeared not to be influenced by pond contamination, however, significantly more L. caerulea subsequently chose non-contaminated ponds more often, and L. genimaculata showed a similar but not statistically significant trend. Overall, L. caerulea spent significantly more time submerged in water than L. genimaculata and L. wilcoxii and was the only species in which some individuals became infected by Bd during the experiment.

# 

Chytridiomycosis is an amphibian skin disease caused by the fungus *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* (*Bd*). It has been implicated as a proximate cause of many amphibian declines, many in relatively pristine habitats around the globe (Berger et al., 1998; Mutschmann et al., 2000; Ron and Merino, 2000; Bosch et al., 2001; Bradley et al., 2002; Garner et al., 2005; Lips et al., 2006; Skerratt et al., 2007). In Australia, chytridiomycosis was listed in 2002 as a key threatening process under the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act (EPBC) because approximately 57 native anuran species had been found with *Bd*, of which approximately 30% have suffered declines (Speare and Berger, 2005).

Frog populations in northern Queensland, Australia were monitored before, during and after outbreaks of *Bd* in the early 1990's (McDonald and Alford, 1999). This revealed that the impact of *Bd* on populations varied among species. Some species, such as *Litoria caerulea, L. xanthomera, L. wilcoxii* and *L. jungguy* suffered no detectable ill effects, while at elevations above 400m in the Wet Tropics, *L. genimaculata* declined substantially and *L. nannotis* declined to local extinction (Richards et al., 1993; McDonald and Alford, 1999; McDonald et al., 2005). Several years after the declines, upland populations of *L. genimaculata* recovered, whilst other species, such as *L. nyakalensis* and *L. lorica*, failed to reappear (Ingram and McDonald, 1993; McDonald et al., 2005). Similar patterns of variation in susceptibility have also been reported from North and South America (Hale et al., 2005; Lips et al., 2005b; Garcia et al., 2006) and New Zealand, where the terrestrial *Leiopelma archeyi* appeared to decline due to *Bd*, while a sympatric semi-aquatic species, *L. hochstetteri*, showed no dramatic declines (Bell et al., 2004).

Variation among amphibian species in their susceptibility to declines caused by chytridiomycosis is often thought of as being related to differences in the fates of infected animals (Daszak et al., 1999; Lips et al., 2003; Lips et al., 2005b). For example, species may have stronger or weaker innate immune responses that affect progression of the disease (Rollins-Smith and Conlon, 2005; Woodhams et al., 2006), or

### DISEASE AVOIDANCE BEHAVIOUR

# CHAPTER 6

A variety of mechanisms may affect the exposure of frogs to Bd zoospores and thus their risk of infection. These include general levels of interaction with other frogs (Rowley and Alford, 2007a) and possible avoidance of contact with infected frogs or contaminated water bodies. It is known that Bd can be transmitted to frogs and tadpoles via contaminated water (Parris, 2004; Rachowicz and Vredenburg, 2004). If infection is often via contaminated water bodies, it is possible that anurans could reduce the probability of acquiring an infection by detecting and avoiding water bodies containing Bd zoospores. Parasite avoidance behavior has been observed in several mammal species (Lozano, 1991; Gilbert, 1997; Hutchings et al., 2001a); Hutchings et al. (1998) observed an increase in such avoidance behavior in parasitized animals. Several studies (Resetarits and Wilbur, 1989; Egan and Paton, 2004; Rieger et al., 2004) have demonstrated that anurans can detect predator cues and use them to avoid ovipositing in water bodies containing predators. This detection is generally assumed to be via olfaction (Duchamp-Viret et al., 1996; Jorgensen, 2000). Given that frogs may detect the presence of predators in water through olfaction, it is possible that at least some frog species may detect *Bd* metabolites and use them as cues to discriminate between contaminated and non-contaminated water bodies. Additionally, it is possible that frogs may experience some degree of skin irritation as Bd encyst within the epidermis (Berger et al., 2005a) and use this cue in a similar way.

### DISEASE AVOIDANCE BEHAVIOUR

### **Materials and Methods**

In order to minimize impact on frog population dynamics, our permit conditions required us to collect L. wilcoxii and L. genimaculata from aquatic life stages, as these two species were not available from captive breeders. Litoria wilcoxii were raised from tadpoles (no eggs were found) collected at Crystal Creek, Paluma Range National Park, Queensland, Australia (S18°58'54" E146°12'01"). This species is part of the L. lesueuri group, which was recently split into three species (L. wilcoxii, L. jungguy and L. lesueuri) and occurs in rainforest habitat along the east coast of Australia (Donnellan and Mahony, 2004). Individuals of the sympatric species, L. genimaculata, were raised from eggs collected at Birthday Creek, Paluma Range National Park (S18°58'54" E146°10'02"). Litoria caerulea is a widespread Australian pond breeder (Barker, et al. 1995) and subadults were supplied from a captive bred population (Brendan Tiernan, Morphett Vale, South Australia). All frogs were swabbed using a sterile tubed dry swab (Medical Wire and Equipment, Corsham, Wiltshire UK) that was run across frog's hands, feet, thighs and ventral surface twice, before and after each trial for diagnostic quantitative PCR analysis, which was carried out at the School of Veterinary and Biomedical Sciences, James Cook University.

Isolates of *Bd* (Tully-*L. rheocola* 06-LB-1) were cultured on agar plates in the School of Veterinary and Biomedical Science, James Cook University, following the protocol of Longcore et al. (1999), but using half of the recommended nutrients as this appeared to increase culture growth.

The experiment was designed to determine whether the presence of *Bd* zoospores affected the use of ponds by frogs and each trial ran for 8 days. During each trial, frogs were individually housed in glass terraria, each provided with two containers ("ponds";

#### DISEASE AVOIDANCE BEHAVIOUR

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 115 mm diameter  $\times$  60 mm high), one at each end of the long axis and centered on the short axis. Each pond contained 120-200 mL for smaller sized species (L. genimaculata and L. wilcoxii) or 500-600 mL for larger sized species (L. caerulea) of rainwater. One pond was denoted the contaminated pond and the other the non-contaminated pond. The infectious pond was inoculated with 8,000-12,000 Bd zoospores per mL of rainwater. Zoospores were harvested by flooding plates with 2 mL of dilute salt solution (DS) (Boyle et al., 2003) for 10 minutes. The concentration of zoospores was determined by counting live and moving zoospores in three subsamples using a haemocytometer. The concentration of Bd zoospores in Australian rainforest streams is unknown. We chose to inoculate ponds with this concentration of zoospores because exposure to zoospores at this concentration has been used successfully to infect Australian frogs with Bd (Woodhams et al., 2003; Woodhams et al., 2007). Dilute salt solution with Bd zoospores was added to the rainwater to create the contaminated pond whilst an equal volume of DS solution without Bd zoospores was added to the same volume of rainwater to create the non-contaminated pond. For the control ponds, plates without Bd zoospores were flooded with the same amount of DS. The amount of DS added varied each time ponds were inoculated, as it depended on the number of zoospores per mL harvested from the agar plates. To exclude biases of frogs preferring particular sides of terraria, location of the infectious pond (either right or left side of terrarium) was determined randomly at the beginning of each trial. To minimize crosscontamination and ensure the presence of viable Bd zoospores, the water (with or without fresh *Bd* zoospores) and pond container were changed, and the positions of experimental and control ponds were reversed, every second day. Terraria and pond containers were disinfected between trials using F10 Veterinary Disinfectant which has been shown to be highly effective at killing *Bd* (Webb et al., 2007). Three digital cameras (Pentax Optio 33WR) were used to photograph the locations of frogs within the terraria at 30 minute intervals. A pilot study indicated that this was sufficient to capture most frog movements (Kenyon and Alford, unpublished). The first three trials on L. caerulea were conducted using six large terraria ( $750 \times 350 \times 500$  mm) with one frog per terrarium. In order to increase sample size per experiment, we used twelve smaller  $(600 \times 200 \times 300 \text{ mm})$  terraria for all other trials. All trials were conducted in an air-

### DISEASE AVOIDANCE BEHAVIOUR

# Statistical analysis

We analyzed data combined across all trials of the experiment for each species. Any effects of the change in the size of the terraria during the trials with *L. caerulea* should contribute to the error variation in these analyses, so our results are conservative. We also performed additional analyses, where sample size permitted, within species in which we separately examined the data from individuals with different *Bd* infection histories. The analysis proceeded through several stages.

1) Each photograph was examined and the location of the frog was noted. Frogs were scored as having chosen a pond if they made contact with the interior of the pond container (either submerged or not submerged in water) and if they were not in contact with that pond during the previous digital image. In most analyses we included data only for frogs for which we recorded five or more choices during the eight days of the trial; this is the minimum number needed for any bias to be detectable if the null hypothesis is that pond choice by individuals is a binomial random variable with p = 0.5.

2) There is no simple way to simultaneously test the hypothesis across all frogs that individuals show biases towards one end of the terrarium. We therefore carried out independent binomial tests on the data for each frog to determine whether it showed a significant bias. We then used Fisher's combined probability test (Sokal and Rohlf, 1995) to evaluate the overall hypothesis that there is a tendency for frogs to exhibit a bias.

### DISEASE AVOIDANCE BEHAVIOUR

4) We next tested the hypothesis that the presence of Bd might affect each frog's initial choice of pond. We did this to definitively eliminate the influence of any possible bias that might have influenced subsequent choices (e.g., after initially choosing the pond at one end of the terrarium, based on the presence or absence of Bd, the frog might have continued to choose the pond at that end of the terrarium regardless of the presence of Bd), using a simple chi-squared goodness-of-fit test. All frogs that made at least one choice were included in this analysis.

5) For the next three analyses we included data where frogs were observed to be submerged in the pond water rather than simply making contact with the pond container. First, we used Wilcoxon's matched-pairs tests on the data for each species to determine whether the time spent in water with and without *Bd* zoospores differed consistently within individuals. We illustrated this graphically by subtracting the total number of images of each individual frog submerged in water with *Bd*. Second, we used Kruskal-Wallis tests to determine , whether *L. caerulea* with different infection status, spent different amount of times in ponds without *Bd* zoospores spent more time in the pond with or without *Bd*, compared to individuals that individuals that initially chose ponds with *Bd* zoospores, using Mann-Whitney U tests.

6) Finally we determined whether the total time spent in water of any sort (log transformed) differed among the three frog species using a Kruskal-Wallis test.

124

# 

The qPCR assays showed that no *L. genimaculata* or *L. wilcoxii* were infected with *Bd* before or after the trials (Table 5.1). Seven *L. caerulea* tested positive for *Bd* infection before the trial; of these two tested negative at the end. Twenty-three *L. caerulea* were initially uninfected; of these three tested positive at the end of the trial.

1) No *L. wilcoxii* made five or more choices of ponds, so data for this species were excluded from most analyses.

2) Individuals of *L. caerulea* and *L. genimaculata* that chose a pond more than five times showed a tendency to choose the pond at one end of the terrarium (preferred pond) more frequently, regardless of whether *Bd* zoospores were present, than would be expected by chance (*L. caerulea*, n= 12,  $\chi^2$ = 57.63, df= 24, p≤ 0.001; *L. genimaculata*, n=4,  $\chi^2$ = 25.70, df= 8, p≤ 0.002; Figure 6.1).

3) Despite tending to prefer one end of the terrarium, seven *L. caerulea* individuals chose ponds that did not contain *Bd* significantly more frequently, two chose both types with equal frequency and three chose contaminated ponds more often (n= 12,  $\chi^2$ =37.47, df= 24, p= 0.04; Figure 6.2). Although *L. genimaculata* did not show a significant tendency to avoid ponds containing *Bd* (n= 4,  $\chi^2$ =4.00, df= 8, p= 0.64), in both species more than twice as many individuals chose non-contaminated ponds more frequently than ponds that contained *Bd* (Figure 6.2).

4) Two *L.caerulea* were not observed to visit the pond throughout the experiment. The initial pond choice of each species was not significantly influenced by the presence of *Bd* (*L. caerulea*, n= 28,  $\chi^2$ =0.03, df= 2, p= 0.99; *L. genimaculata* n= 24,  $\chi^2$ = 0.75, df= 2, p= 0.69; *L. wilcoxii*, n= 19,  $\chi^2$ = 0.05, df= 2, p= 0.98).

# DISEASE AVOIDANCE BEHAVIOUR

		After trial		
			+ for $Bd$	- for <i>Bd</i>
Litoria	Pafara trial	+ for $Bd$	0	0
genimaculata	Belore triar	- for <i>Bd</i>	0	24
T : 4: 1:	xii Before trial	+ for $Bd$	0	0
Litoria wiicoxii		- for <i>Bd</i>	0	24
		$\pm$ for $Pd$	5	2
Litoria caerulea	Before trial	$\pm$ 101 <i>Dd</i>	3	2
Litoria caeraica	Delote that	- for <i>Bd</i>	3	20

**Table 6.1** Infection status of *Litoria genimaculata*, *L. wilcoxii* and *L. caerulea*, as

 determined by qPCR assay before and after a trial.








#### DISEASE AVOIDANCE BEHAVIOUR

6) Overall, *L. caerulea* spent significantly more time in water than did *L. genimaculata* or *L. wilcoxii* (Kruskal Wallis test, n=71, H= 25.82, p < 0.01) (Figure 6.4).

# CHAPTER 6

#### DISEASE AVOIDANCE BEHAVIOUR





CHAPTER 6



**Figure 6.4** Number of images of frogs of the species *Litoria caerulea*, *L. wilcoxii* and *L. genimaculata* found submerged in water. In this Tukey (1977) boxplot, vertical bars indicate ranges, horizontal bar indicates median, boxes indicate upper and lower quartile boundaries.

# 

Because we sampled the behavior of frogs by determining their locations using photographs taken every 30 minutes, our data may underestimate the number of times they visited water. We also cannot determine whether animals that avoided water containing *Bd* zoospores actually did not enter the water at all, or entered it for a short period only. Although our data do not show any *L. wilcoxii* making five or more decisions to become associated with ponds, it is possible that they visited ponds for short periods of time only. However, our pilot study on *L. wilcoxii*, using four minute intervals, recorded the same number of pond visits; this suggests that this species visits water infrequently. This has also been observed in the field; Rowley and Alford (2007b) found that individuals of the *Litoria lesueuri* complex (a mixture of *L. wilcoxii* or *L. jungguy*) only infrequently made contact with water.

Individuals of both of *L. caerulea* and *L. wilcoxii*, tended to select the pond at one end of the terrarium significantly more often than the other pond. Because the locations of ponds containing and not containing *Bd* zoospores were swapped each time water was changed, this bias would not have led to frogs selecting ponds with or without *Bd* at different rates. Selecting ponds at random or consistently choosing the pond at one end of the terrarium would both have led to equal numbers of choices for ponds with and without *Bd*. However, side fidelity did not prevent *L. caerulea* from significantly avoiding ponds containing *Bd* zoospores and *L. genimaculata* showed a similar but not statistically significant trend. In order for the avoidance to be genuinely advantageous to frogs, the detection of *Bd* would have to be rapid enough to reduce rates of colonization of zoospores.

*Litoria caerulea* was the only species that became infected with *Bd* during the study even though *L. genimaculata* and *L. wilcoxii* are susceptible in the wild (McDonald and Alford, 1999) and all individuals were at some point submerged in contaminated water containing a higher concentration of zoospores than is known to occur in natural water bodies (Kirshtein et al., 2007). It is possible that the availability of non-contaminated water may reduce the severity of infections. *Litoria caerulea* that were infected before

# CHAPTER 6

#### DISEASE AVOIDANCE BEHAVIOUR

Overall, *L. caerulea* spent significantly more time than other species sitting in water and was the only species becoming infected during the study. This is consistent with the hypothesis that species that spend more time in water are more likely to become infected. None of our species completely avoided water containing *Bd* zoospores, possibly in part because their pond choices were influenced by side fidelity, and possibly also because they needed to enter ponds to determine their contamination status. However, *L. caerulea* significantly avoided water containing *Bd* zoospores, suggesting that detection and avoidance of contaminated water may play a role in determining the vulnerability of some species to infection with the amphibian chytrid.

# 

The amphibian disease chytridiomycosis, caused by the fungus *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* (*Bd*), provides an opportunity to gain insight into the interaction between an ectothermic host and a pathogen – a dynamic interaction that is less understood and differs substantially from that of pathogen and endothermic host (Delajara, 1991; Waldmann, 2003). A pathogen like *Bd* that invades the keratinised epidermal cells of adult amphibians and mouthparts of tadpoles (Berger et al., 2005a) is exposed to daily and sometimes hourly fluctuations of the host's water balance and body temperature (Lillywhite et al., 1998; Seebacher and Alford, 2002). The host-pathogen dynamic can therefore be influenced by features of the amphibian's behaviour (Myhre et al., 1977; Blanford et al., 1998; Blanford and Thomas, 1999; Elliot et al., 2002; Woodhams et al., 2003), such as microenvironment selection, as this changes the external environment of the pathogen but also the host's physical performance and innate immune defences (Huey and Berrigan, 2001).

Three different host-pathogen interactions in amphibians exposed to *Bd* have been recorded: the amphibian does not become infected even though it occurs in a habitat where *Bd* is present, or the amphibian becomes infected and either dies or survives. What factors contribute to the variable susceptibility to infection and mortality rates we observe in sympatric wild amphibian species? My project aimed to increase our understanding of the factors that contribute to interspecific variation in vulnerability to *Bd*. I investigated the hypotheses that such variation could be the result of differences in innate immune defences (antimicrobial peptides), innate or adaptive selection of microenvironment, or behavioural avoidance of infective water. I found evidence for all three mechanisms.

## Innate immune defences - AMPs

AMPs may either prevent a frog from being colonised by *Bd* zoospores on the epidermis, or reduce the rate of self-infection and hence prevent the initial infection from becoming fatal (Rollins-Smith and Conlon, 2005). *In vitro*, AMPs from *L. genimaculata* and *L. rheocola* completely inhibited *Bd* growth, although some frogs

## CHAPTER 7

#### SUMMARY AMD CONCLUSION

The high seasonal variation I documented in antimicrobial peptide defences of L. genimaculata and L. rheocola, may result from physiological shifts driven by temperature, or may reflect adaptation to seasonal fluctuations in the risk of infection. I found evidence that the composition of AMPs secreted differs between high and low elevation populations. I did not find that the AMPs of individuals in high elevation populations, that produced AMPs effective against *Bd*, were more effective than those from low elevation populations. Combined, these findings suggest that although there is some differentiation between populations that have experienced differing levels of natural selection due to chytridiomycosis, there is little evidence for the appearance of new forms of AMPs with higher effectiveness against Bd. However, a significantly and substantially higher proportion of individuals of L. genimaculata in high elevation populations, produced AMPs with anti-Bd activity than in low elevation populations. This suggests that selection may have acted to increase the overall rates of production of anti-Bd AMPs in these populations, without affecting the chemistry of the compounds produced. Antimicrobial peptide defences did not differ significantly between high and low elevation population of Litoria rheocola, suggesting that this species may have recolonised upland areas. It is unclear under what selection pressure this species was, however, it had more effective and more successful antimicrobial peptide defences against Bd than L. genimaculata, suggesting a stronger selection pressure after the emergence of chytridiomycosis.

# 

The effects of temperature and humidity on the progress of chytridiomycosis in anurans have been well documented (Woodhams, 2003; Woodhams et al., 2003; Berger et al., 2004; Drew et al., 2006). It is therefore likely that a frog's selection of microenvironment can alter and potentially inhibit the growth of *Bd*, and may eliminate established infections. Additionally, infected individuals may demonstrate behavioural fever, which has been observed in anurans at all life stages (Lefcort and Blaustein, 1995; Cabanac and Cabanac, 2004), although no previous study has investigated possible behaviour fever in association with *Bd* infection.

While I did not observe behavioural fever in the three study species (L. caerulea, L. wilcoxii and L. genimaculata), a higher proportion of infected frogs in each species decreased their intensity of Bd infection when housed in variable environment than frogs housed in constant environments. It is possible that in the wild, frogs infected with Bd, may not always have the option of selecting microenvironments that would decrease the intensity of *Bd* infection, supporting the strong link between habitat use and vulnerability to Bd observed in Australia (Rowley and Alford, 2007a). The thermal microenvironment selection observed in this study could be linked, to some extent, to decline patterns observed in the wild. *Litoria caerulea* (vulnerable to infection with *Bd* but no population declines due to chytridiomycosis have been detected) selected warm and hot environments significantly more often compared to L. genimaculata (vulnerable to infection with *Bd* with highland population declines followed by recovery). Two species, L. wilcoxii and L. genimaculata, significantly altered their nocturnal behaviour when infected with Bd, but in ways that would not be expected to affect the progress of chytridiomycosis. Nevertheless, it does indicate that infected individuals are able to change their behaviour and perhaps other species that have not been studied yet, may express behavioural changes that affect the progress of chytridiomycosis. Seven of the eleven individuals that cleared themselves of Bd infection during the experiment had a choice of microenvironments. However, while housed in the laboratory at constant, standard climatic conditions, six adult L. genimaculata lost their infection within 18

# CHAPTER 7

#### SUMMARY AMD CONCLUSION

## Disease avoidance behaviour

Because infection results from contact with waterborne zoospores, one possible source of anuran interspecific variation in susceptibility to infection with chytridiomycosis is an ability to detect and avoid water containing *Bd* zoospores. I found evidence that frogs avoided, although not completely, ponds containing *Bd* zoospores. Significantly more *L. caerulea* chose un-contaminated ponds more often, and *L. genimaculata* showed a similar but not statistically significant trend. *Litoria caerulea* spent significantly more time submerged in water than *L. genimaculata* and *L. wilcoxii*, and was the only species in which some individuals became infected by *Bd* during the experiment.

None of the frog species were able to completely avoid water containing *Bd* zoospores, as their pond decisions appeared to be influenced in part by side fidelity within the terrarium. However, *L. caerulea* significantly selected uncontaminated water more often than water bodies containing *Bd* zoospores, suggesting that detection and avoidance of contaminated water may play a role in determining the vulnerability of species to infections with the amphibian chytrid fungus.

#### Future research

More than thirty percent of amphibian species are declining (IUCN, 2006; Smith et al., 2006). Globally, there is an effort to create rescue facilities and captive breed some of the most vulnerable species (Gewin, 2008). However, one of the causes of the decline cannot be removed: *Bd* would still be present in the environment. Consequently, in order for the conservation effort to be successful long term, we need to gain knowledge of the host-pathogen interaction and what factors influence this relationship.

My study has shown that the host-pathogen dynamic is a complex system and that multiple factors, such as innate immune defences and behaviour of anurans, can

# CHAPTER 7

# SUMMARY AMD CONCLUSION

#### 

## References

- Adams M. J. (1999) Correlated factors in amphibian decline: exotic species and habitat change in western Washington. *Journal of Wildlife Management* **63**: 1162-1171.
- Alexander D. J. (2000) A review of avian influenza in different bird species. *Veterinary Microbiology* **74**: 3-13.
- Alford R. A., Richards S. J. and McDonald K. R. (2007) Biodiversity of amphibians. In: *Encyclopedia of Biodiversity* (ed. S. A. Levin) pp. 159-169. Academic Press, Sydney.
- Ali M. F., Knoop F. C., Vaudry H. and Conlon J. M. (2003) Characterization of novel antimicrobial peptides from the skins of frogs of the Rana esculenta complex. *Peptides* 24: 955-961.
- Anholt B. R., Negovetic S. and Som C. (1998) Methods for anaesthetizing and marking larval anurans. *Herpetological Review* **29**: 153-154.
- Annis S. L., Dastoor F. P., Ziel H., Daszak P. and Longcore J. E. (2004) A DNA-based assay identifies *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in amphibians. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* 40: 420-428.
- Apponyi M. A., Pukala T. L., Brinkworth C. S., Maselli V. M., Bowie J. H., Tyler M. J., Booker G. W., Wallace J. C., Carver J. A., Separovic F., Doyle J. and Llewellyn L. E. (2004) Host-defence peptides of Australian anurans: structure, mechanism of action and evolutionary significance. *Peptides* 25: 1035-1054.
- Barinaga M. (1990) Where have all the froggies gone? Science 247: 1033-1034.
- Barker J., Grigg G. and Tyler M. (1995) *A Field Guide to Australian Frogs*. Surrey Beatty and Sons, Chipping Norton NSW.
- Batista C. V. F., Rosendo da Silva L., Sebben A., Scaloni A., Ferrara L., Paiva G. R., Olamendi-Portugal T., Possani L. D. and Bloch Jr C. (1999) Antimicrobial peptides from the Brazilian frog *Phyllomedusa distincta*. *Peptides* 20: 679-686.
- Beck D. D. and Jennings R. D. (2003) Habitat use by gila monster: the importance of shelters. *Herpetological Monographs* **17**: 111-129.
- Begon M., Harper J. L. and Townsend C. R. (1996) *Ecology*. Blackwell Science Ltd, Oxford, England.

- Bell B. D., Carver S., Mitchell N. J. and Pledger S. (2004) The recent decline of a New Zealand endemic: how and why did populations of archey's frog *Leiopelma archeyi* crash over 1996-2001? *Biological Conservation* **120**: 189-199.
- Berger L. (2001) Diseases in Australian Frogs. In: School of Public Health and Tropical Medicine. PhD Thesis. James Cook University, Townsville, Australia.
- Berger L., Hyatt A. D., Speare R. and Longcore J. E. (2005a) Life cycle stages of the amphibian chytrid *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms* 68: 51-63.
- Berger L., Marantelli G., Skerratt L. F. and Speare R. (2005b) Virulence of the amphibian chytrid fungus *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* varies with the strain. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms* 68: 47-50.
- Berger L. and Speare R. (1998) Chytridiomycosis: a new disease of wild and captive amphibians. *ANZCCART Newsletter* **11**: 1-3.
- Berger L., Speare R., Daszak P., Green D. E., Cunningham A. A., Goggin C. L.,
  Slocombe R., Ragan M. A., Hyatt A. D., McDonald K. R., Hines H. B., Lips K. R.,
  Marantelli G. and Parkes H. (1998) Chytridiomycosis causes amphibian mortality
  associated with population declines in the rain forests of Australia and Central
  America. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 95: 9031-9036.
- Berger L., Speare R., Hines H. B., Marantelli G., Hyatt A. D., McDonald K. R., Skerratt L. F., Olsen V., Clarke J. M., Gillespie G., Mahony M., Sheppard N., Williams C. and Tyler M. J. (2004) Effect of season and temperature on mortality in amphibians due to chytridiomycosis. *Australian Veterinary Journal* 82: 434-439.
- Berger L., Speare R. and Hyatt A. (1999) Chytrid fungi and amphibian declines: overview, implications and future directions. In: *Declines and disappearances of Australian frogs*. (ed. A. Campbell) pp. 23-33, Environment Australia, Canberra.
- Berger L., Speare R. and Kent A. (2000) Diagnosis of chytridiomycosis in amphibians by histological examination. *Zoo's Print Journal* **15**: 184-190.
- Bevins C. L. and Zasloff M. (1990) Peptides from frog skin. Annual Review of Biochemestry 59: 395-414.

Biotechnology P. (1997) Microplate BCA Protein Assay. In:

http://www.piercenet.com/files/1997as4.pdf.

Blake J. T. (1968) Etiology of brisket disease cattle. Cornell Veterinarian 58: 305-314.

Blanford S. and Thomas M. B. (1999) Host thermal biology: the key to understanding host-pathogen interactions and microbial pest control? *Agricultural and Forest Entomology* 1: 195-202.

- Blanford S., Thomas M. B. and Langewald J. (1998) Behavioural fever in the senegalese grasshopper, *Oedaleus senegalensis*, and its implications for biological control using pathogens. *Ecological Entomology* 23: 9-14.
- Blaustein A. R. (1994) Chicken little or nero's fiddle? A perspective on declining amphibian populations. *Herpetologica* **50**: 85-97.
- Blaustein A. R., Romansic J. M., Kiesecker J. M. and Hatch A. C. (2003) Ultraviolet radiation, toxic chemicals and amphibian population declines. *Diversity and Distributions* 9: 123-140.
- Blaustein A. R., Romansic J. M., Scheessele E. A., Han B. A., Pessier A. P. and Longcore J. E. (2005) Interspecific variation in susceptibility of frog tadpoles to the pathogenic fungus *Batracbochytrium dendrobatidis*. *Conservation Biology* **19**: 1460-1468.
- Blaustein A. R. and Wake D. B. (1990) Declining amphibian populations a global phenomenon. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **5**: 203-204.
- Blaustein A. R. and Wake D. B. (1995) The puzzle of declining amphibian populations. *Scientific American* **272**: 52-57.
- Boland M. P. and Separovic F. (2006) Membrane interactions of antimicrobial peptides from Australian tree frogs. *Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta-Biomembranes* 1758: 1178-1183.
- Boman H. G. (1995) Peptide antibiotics and their role in innate immunity. *Annual Review of Immunology* **13**: 61-92.
- Bonaccorso E., Guayasamin J. M., Mendez D. and Speare R. (2003) Chytridiomycosis as a possible cause of population declines in *Atelopus cruciger* (Anura: Bufonidae). *Herpetological Review* 34: 331-334.

- Bosch J. and Martinez-Solano I. (2006) Chytrid fungus infection related to unusual mortalities of *Salamandra salamandra* and *Bufo bufo* in the Penalara Natural Park, Spain. *Oryx* **40**: 84-89.
- Bosch J., Martinez-Solano I. and Garcia-Paris M. (2001) Evidence of a chytrid fungus infection involved in the decline of the common midwife toad (*Alytes obstetricans*) in protected areas of central Spain. *Biological Conservation* **97**: 331-337.
- Bowie J. H., Wegener K. L., Chia B. C. S., Wabnitz P. A., Carver J. A., Tyler M. J. and Wallace J. C. (1999) Host defence antibacterial peptides from skin secretions of Australian amphibians. The relationship between structure and activity. *Protein and Peptide Letters* 6: 259-269.
- Boyle D. G., Boyle D. B., Olsen V., Morgan J. A. T. and Hyatt A. D. (2004) Rapid quantitative detection of chytridiomycosis (*Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*) in amphibian samples using real-time Taqman PCR assay. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms* 60: 141-148.
- Boyle D. G., Hyatt A. D., Daszak P., Berger L., Longcore J. E., Porter D., Hengstberger
  S. G. and Olsen V. (2003) Cryo-archiving of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* and other chytridiomycetes. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms* 56: 59-64.
- Bradfield K. S. (2004) Photographic identification of individual Archey's frogs, Leiopelma archeyi, from natural markings. DOC Science Internal Series. Department of Conservation, Wellington. 181: 36.
- Bradford D. F. (1991) Mass mortality and extinction in a high-elevation population of *Rana muscosa. Journal of Herpetology* **25**: 174-177.
- Bradley G. A., Rosen P. C., Sredl M. J., Jones T. R. and Longcore J. E. (2002) Chytridiomycosis in native Arizona frogs. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* **38**: 206-212.
- Brinkworth C. S. and Bowie J. H. (2003) Negative ion electrospray mass spectra of the maculatin peptides from the tree frogs *Litoria genimaculata* and *Litoria eucnemis*. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry* 17: 2215-2225.
- Brinkworth C. S., Carver J. A., Wegener K. L., Doyle J., Llewellyn L. E. and Bowie J.
  H. (2003) The solution structure of frenatin 3, a neuronal nitric oxide synthase inhibitor from the giant tree frog, *Litoria infrafrenata*. *Biopolymers* **70**: 424-434.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

- Brown L. J. (1997) An evaluation of some marking and trapping techniques currently used in the study of anuran population dynamics. *Journal of Herpetology* **31**: 410-419.
- Burrowes P. A., Joglar R. L. and Green D. E. (2004) Potential causes for amphibian declines in Puerto Rico. *Herpetologica* **60**: 141-154.
- Cabanac A. J. and Cabanac M. (2000) Heart rate response to gentle handling of frog and lizard. *Behavioral Processes* **52**: 89-95.
- Cabanac A. J. and Cabanac M. (2004) No emotional fever in toads. *Journal of Thermal Biology* **29**: 669-673.
- Caldow G., Gunn G., Crawshaw M., Rusbridge M. and CMcDiarmid J. (2004) Can test and cull be a part of Johne's disease control? *Cattle Practice* **12**: 249-253.
- Carruthers R. I., Larkin T. S., Firstencel H. and Feng Z. D. (1992) Influence of thermal ecology on the mycosis of a rangeland grasshopper. *Ecology* **73**: 190-204.
- Chan D. I., Prenner E. J. and Vogel H. J. (2006) Tryptophan- and arginine-rich antimicrobial peptides: structures and mechanisms of action. *Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta-Biomembranes* 1758: 1184-1202.
- Cheatwood J. L., Jacobson E. R., May P. G., Farrell T. M., Homer B. L., Samuelson D. A. and Kimbrough J. W. (2003) An outbreak of fungal dermatitis and stomatitis in a free-ranging population of pigmy rattlesnakes (*Sistrurus miliarius barbouri*) in Florida. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* 39: 329-337.
- Chen L., Yuhong L., Jianxu L., Xueqing X., Ren L. and Quanming Z. (2007) An antimicrobial peptide with antimicrobial activity against *Helicobacter pylori*. *Peptides* 28: 1527-1531.
- Chen T., Zhou M., Rao P., Walker B. and Shaw C. (2006) The Chinese bamboo leaf odorous frog (*Rana (Odorrana) versabilis*) and north American rana frogs share the same families of skin antimicrobial peptides. *Peptides* **27**: 1738-1744.
- Chia B. C. S., Carver J. A., Mulhern T. D. and Bowie J. H. (1999) The solution structure of uperin 3.6, an antibiotic peptide from the granular dorsal glands of the Australian toadlet, *Uperoleia mjobergii*. *Journal of Peptide Research* **54**: 137-145.
- Chia B. C. S., Carver J. A., Mulhern T. D. and Bowie J. H. (2000) Maculatin 1.1, an anti-microbial peptide from the Australian tree frog, *Litoria genimaculata*. Solution structure and biological activity. *European Journal of Biochemistry* **267**: 1894-1908.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Clarke R. D. (1972) The effect of toe clipping on survival in Fowler's toad (*Bufo woodhousei fowleri*). *Copeia* **1972**: 182-185.

- Cleaveland S., Hess G. R., Dobson A. P., Laurenson M. K., McCallum H. I., Roberts M. G. and Woodroffe R. (2001) The role of pathogens in biological conservation. In: *The ecology of wildlife diseases* (eds. P. J. Hudson, A. Rizzoli, B. T. Grenfell, H. Heesterbeek & A. P. Dobson) pp. 139-150. Oxford University Press, Oxford, England.
- Cogger H. G. (2000) *Reptiles and Amphibians of Australia*. Reed New Holland, Sydney, Australia.
- Colizza V., Barrat A., Marthélemy M. and Vespigmani A. (2006) The modeling of global epidemics: stochastic dynamics and predictability. *Bulletin of Mathematical Biology* 68: 1893-1921.
- Csordas A. and Michl H. (1970) Isolierung und strukturaufklärung eines hämolytisch wirkenden polypeptides aus dem abwehrsekret europäischer unken. *Monatshefte für Chemie* **101**: 182-189.
- Cunningham A. A. (2007) A walk on the wild side emerging wildlife diseases. *British Medical Journal* **331**: 1214-1215.
- Daszak P. (2006) Emerging infectious diseases and the socia-ecological dimension. *EcoHealth* **2**: 239-240.
- Daszak P., Berger L., Cunningham A. A., Hyatt A. D., Green D. E. and Speare R. (1999) Emerging infectious diseases and amphibian population declines. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 5: 735-748.
- Daszak P. and Cunningham A. A. (1999) Extinction by infection. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 14: 279-279.
- Daszak P., Cunningham A. A. and Hyatt A. D. (2001) Anthropogenic environmental change and the emergence of infectious diseases in wildlife. *Acta Tropica* 78: 103-116.
- Daszak P., Cunningham A. A. and Hyatt A. D. (2003) Infectious disease and amphibian population declines. *Diversity and Distributions* **9**: 141-150.

- Daszak P., Scott D. E., Kilpatrick A. M., Faggioni C., Gibbons J. W. and Porter D. (2005) Amphibian population declines at savannah river site are linked to climate, not chytridiomycosis. *Ecology* 86: 3232-3237.
- Davidson C. and Knapp R. A. (2007) Multiple stressors and amphibian declines: dual impacts of pesticides and fish on yellow-legged frogs. *Ecological Applications* 17: 587-597.
- Davidson H. W., Parris M., Copllins J. P., Longcore J. E., Pessier A. P. and Brunner J. (2003) Pathogenicity and transmission of chytridiomycosis in tiger salamanders (*Ambystoma tigrinum*). *Copeia* 3: 601-607.
- de Castro F. and Bolker B. (2005) Mechanisms of disease-induced extinction. *Ecology Letters* **8**: 117-126.
- Delajara J. J. (1991) Promotion of health and prevention of disease new orientations. *Revista Medica De Chile* **119**: 205-213.
- Denton J. S. and Beebee T. J. C. (1993) Reproductive strategies in a female-biased population of natterjack toads, *Bufo calamita*. *Animal Behaviour* **46**: 1169-1175.
- do Amaral J. P. S., Marvin G. A. and Hutchison V. H. (2002) The influence of bacterial lipopolysaccharide on the thermoregulation of the box turtle *Terrapene carolina*. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology* **75**: 273-282.
- Dobson A. and Foufopoulos J. (2001) Emerging infectious pathogens of wildlife. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series B-Biological Sciences 356: 1001-1012.
- Donnellan S. C. and Mahony M. J. (2004) Allozyme, chromosomal and morphological variability in the *Litoria lesueuri* species group (Anura : Hylidae), including a description of a new species. *Australian Journal of Zoology* **52**: 1-28.
- Doody J. S. (1995) A photographic mark-recapture method for patterned amphibians. *Herpetological Review* **26**: 19-21.
- Drake D. L., Altig R., Grace J. B. and Walls S. C. (2007) Occurrence of oral deformities in larval anurans. *Copeia*: 449-458.
- Drew A., Allen E. J. and Allen L. J. S. (2006) Analysis of climatic and geographic factors affecting the presence of chytridiomycosis in Australia. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms* **68**: 245-250.

- Duchamp-Viret P., Palouzier-Paulignan B. and Duchamp A. (1996) Odor coding properties of frog olfactory cortical neurons. *Neuroscience* **74**: 885-895.
- Duda T. F. J., Vanhoye D. and Nicolas P. (2002) Roles of diversifying selection and coordinated evolution in the evolution of amphibian antimicrobial peptides. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 19: 858-864.
- Duellmann W. E. and Trueb L. (1994) *Biology of Amphibians*. The John Hopkins University Press, Baltimore.
- Edgerton B. F., Henttonen P., Jussila J., Mannonen A., Paasonen P., Taugbol T., Edsman L. and Souty-Grosset C. (2004) Understanding the causes of disease in European freshwater crayfish. *Conservation Biology* 18: 1466-1474.
- Egan R. S. and Paton P. W. C. (2004) within-pond parameters affecting oviposition by wood frogs and spotted salamanders. *Wetlands* **24**: 1-13.
- Elliot S. L., Blanford S. and Thomas M. B. (2002) Host-pathogen interactions in a varying environment: temperature, behavioural fever and fitness. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B-Biological Sciences* **269**: 1599-1607.
- Fellers G. M. and Drost C. A. (1993) Disappearance of the cascades frog *Rana cascadae* at the southern end of its range, California, USA. *Biological Conservation* 65: 177-181.
- Fellers G. M., Green D. E. and Longcore J. E. (2001) Oral chytridiomycosis in the mountain yellow-legged frog (*Rana muscosa*). *Copeia* 2001: 945-953.
- Fisher M. C. and Garner T. W. J. (2007) The relationship between the emergence of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*, the international trade in amphibians and introduced amphibian species. *British Mycological Society* 21: 2-9.
- Freidenburg L. K. and Skelly D. K. (2004) Microgeographical variation in thermal preference by an amphibian. *Ecology Letters* **7**: 369-373.
- Frith C. and Frith D. (1991) *Australian tropical reptiles and frogs*. Frith and Frit Books, Malanda, Australia.
- Frost-Mason S., Morrison R. and Mason K. (1994) Pigmentation. In: *Amphibian Biology. The Integument, Volume 1. Pp. 64-97* (ed. H. Heatwole (ed.)). Surrey Beatty & Sons, Chipping Norton, N.S.W, Australia.

- Frost D. R., Grant T., Faivovich J., Bain R. H., Haas A., Haddad C. F. B., De Sa R. O., Channing A., Wilkinson M., Donnellan S. C., Raxworthy C. J., Campbell J. A., Blotto B. L., Moler P., Drewes R. C., Nussbaum R. A., Lynch J. D., Green D. M. and Wheeler W. C. (2006) The amphibian tree of life. *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History*: 8-+.
- Fuchs K., Deutz A. and Gressmann G. (2000) Detection of space–time clusters and epidemiological examinations of scabies in chamois. *Veterinary Parasitology* **92**: 63-73.
- Funk W. C., Donnelly M. A. and Lips K. R. (2005) Alternative views of amphibian toeclipping. *Nature* 433: 193-193.
- Gabre-Madhin E. Z. and Haggblade S. (2004) Successes in African agriculture: results of an expert survey. *World Development* **32**: 745-766.
- Garcia T. S., Romansic J. M. and Blaustein A. R. (2006) Survival of three species of anuran metamorphs exposed to UV-B radiation and the pathogenic fungus *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms* 72: 163-169.
- Garner T. W. J., Walker S., Bosch J., Hyatt A. D., Cunningham A. A. and Fisher M. C. (2005) Chytrid fungus in Europe. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 11: 1639-1641.

- Gherardi F. (2006) Crayfish invading Europe: the case study of *Procambarus clarkii*. *Marine and Freshwater Behaviour and Physiology* **39**: 175-191.
- Gilbert K. A. (1997) Red howling monkey use of specific defecation sites as a parasite avoidance strategy. *Animal Behaviour* **54**: 451-455.
- Glushakova A. M. and Chernov I. Y. (2007) Seasonal dynamic of the numbers of epiphytic yeasts. *Microbiology* **76**: 590-595.
- Green D. E., Converse K. A. and Schrader A. K. (2002) Epizootiology of sixty-four amphibian morbidity and mortality events in the USA, 1996-2001. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* **969**: 323-339.
- Gubbins S. and Gilligan C. (1997) Persistence of host-parasite interactions in a disturbed environment. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* **188**: 241-258.

Gewin V. (2008) Riders of a modern-day ark. Plos Biology 6: e24.

- Hagstrom T. (1973) Identification of newt specimens (Irodela, Triturus) by recording the belly pattern and a description of photographic equipment for such registrations. *British Journal of Herpetology* **4**: 321-326.
- Hale S., Rosen P., Jarchow J. and Bradley G. (2005) Effects of the chytrid fungus on the tarahumara frog (*Rana tarahumarae*) in Arizona and Sonora, Mexico. USDA Forest Service Proceedings RMRS-P-36.
- Hale W. G., Margham J. P. and Saunders V. A. (1995) *Collins Dictionary of Biology*. Harper Collins Publishers, Glasgow.
- Halliday T. (1995) More on toe-clipping. Froglog 12: 3.
- Hawkins C. E., Baars C., Hesterman H., Hocking G. J., Jones M. E., Lazenby B., Mann D., Mooney N., Pemberton D., Pyecroft S., Restani M. and Wiersma J. (2006)
  Emerging disease and population decline of an island endemic, the Tasmanian devil *Sarcophilus harrisii. Biological Conservation* 131: 307-324.
- Hayes M. P. and Jennings M. R. (1986) Decline of ranid frog species in western north America: are bullfrogs (*Rana catesbeiana*) responsible? *Journal of Herpetology* 20: 490-509.
- Hays J. B., Blaustein A. R., Kiesecker J. M., Hoffman P. D., Pandelova I., Coyle D. and Richardson T. (1996) Developmental responses of amphibians to solar and artificial UVB sources: a comparative study. *Photochemistry and Photobiology* 64: 449-456.
- Healy W. R. (1975) Terrestrial activity and home range in efts of *Notophthalmus* viridescens. American Midland Naturalist **1975**: 131-138.
- Hecnar S. J. and McLoskey R. T. (1997) The effects of predatory fish on amphibian species richness and distribution. *Biological Conservation* **79**: 123-131.
- Heyer W. R., Rand A. S., Cruz C. A. G. d. and Peixoto O. L. (1988) Decimations, extinctions, and colonizations of frog populations in southeast Brazil and their evolutionary implications. *Biotropica* **20**: 230-235.
- Hillyard S. D. (1999) Behavioral, molecular and integrative mechanisms of amphibian osmoregulation. *Journal of Experimental Zoology* **283**: 662-674.
- Hoffman E. A. and Blouin M. S. (2000) A review of colour and pattern polymorphisms in anurans. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **70**: 633–665.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Hoffman J. A., Kafatos F. C., Janeway C. A. and Ezekowitz R. A. B. (1999)

Phylogenetic perspectives in innate immunity. Science 284: 1313-1318.

- Hoskin C. J. (2007) Description, biology and conservation of a new species of Australian tree frog (Amphibia : Anura : Hylidae : *Litoria*) and an assessment of the remaining populations of *Litoria genimaculata* Horst, 1883: systematic and conservation implications of an unusual speciation event. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **91**: 549-563.
- Hosseini P. R., Dhondt A. A. and Dobson A. (2004) Seasonality and wildlife disease: how seasonal birth, aggregation and variation in immunity affect the dynamics of *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* in house finches. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B-Biological Sciences* 271: 2569-2577.
- Huchzermeyer F. W., Gerdes G. H., Foggin C. M., Huchzermeyer K. D. A. and Limper L. C. (1994) Hepatitis in farmed hatchling nile crocodiles (*Crocodylus-niloticus*) due to chlamydial infection. *Journal of the South African Veterinary Association* 65: 20-22.
- Huey R. B. and Berrigan D. (2001) Temperature, demography, and ectotherm fitness. *American Naturalist* **158**: 204-210.
- Huey R. B. and Kingsolver J. G. (1989) Evolution of thermal sensitivity of ectotherm performance. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **4**: 131-135.
- Huey R. B. and Stevenson R. D. (1979) Intergrating thermal physiology and ecology of ectotherms: a discussion of approaches. *American Zoologist* **19**: 357-366.
- Hutchings M. R., Gordon I. J., Kyriazakis I. and Jackson F. (2001a) Sheep avoidance of faeces-contaminated patches leads to a trade-off between intake rate of forage and parasitism in subsequent foraging decisions. *Animal Behaviour* 62: 955-964.
- Hutchings M. R., Kyriazakis I., Anderson D. H., Gordon I. J. and Coop R. L. (1998)
  Behavioural strategies used by parasitised and non-parasitised sheep to avoid ingestion of gastro-intestinal nematodes associated with faeces. *Animal Science* 67: 97-107.
- Hutchings M. R., Kyriazakis I. and Gordon I. J. (2001b) Herbivore physiological state affects foraging trade-off decisions between nutrient intake and parasite avoidance. *Ecology* 82: 1138-1150.

- Hyatt A. D., Boyle D. G., Olsen V., Boyle D. B., Berger L., Obendorf D., Dalton A.,
  Kriger K., Hero M., Hines H., Phillott R., Campbell R., Marantelli G., Gleason F. and
  Colling A. (2007) Diagnostic assays and sampling protocols for the detection of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms* 73: 175/192.
- Ibelings B. W., De Bruin A., Kagami M., Rijkeboer M., Brehm M. and van Donk E. (2004) Host parasite interactions between freshwater phytoplankton and chytrid fungi (Chytridiomycota). *Journal of Phycology* **40**: 437-453.
- Ingram G. J. and McDonald K. R. (1993) An update on the decline of Queensland's frogs. In: *Herpetology in Australia* (eds. D. Lunney & D. Ayers). Royal Zoological Society of New South Wales, Mosman.
- IUCN (2006) Numbers of threatened species by major groups of organisms (1996– 2006). International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources. http://www.iucnredlist.org/info/stats.
- Jorgensen C. B. (2000) Amphibian respiration and olfaction and their relationships: from Robert Townson (1794) to the present. *Biological Reviews* **75**: 297-345.
- Kim J. B., Iwamuro S., Knoop F. C. and Conlon J. M. (2001) Antimicrobial peptides from the skin of the Japanese mountain brown frog, *Rana ornativentris*. *Journal of Peptide Research* 58: 349-356.
- Kirshtein J. D., Anderson C. W., Wood J. S., Longcore J. E. and Voytek M. A. (2007) Quantitative PCR detection of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* DNA from sediments and water. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms* **77**: 11-15.
- Kriger K. M., Ashton K. J., Hines H. B. and Hero J. M. (2007a) On the biological relevance of a single *Batrachochytrium dentrobatidis* zoospore: a reply to Smith (2007). *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms* **73**: 257-260.
- Kriger K. M. and Herman J. R. (2006) Survivorship in wild frogs infected with chytridiomycosis. *EcoHealth* **3**: 171-177.
- Kriger K. M. and Hero J. M. (2007a) The chytrid fungus *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* is non-randomly distributed across amphibian breeding habitats. *Diversity and Distributions* 13 (6): 781-788
- Kriger K. M. and Hero J. M. (2007b) Large-scale seasonal variation in the prevalence and severity of chytridiomycosis. *Journal of Zoology* 271: 352-359.

- Kriger K. M., Pereoglou F. and Hero J. M. (2007b) Latitudinal variation in the prevalence and intensity of chytrid (*Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*) infection in Eastern Australia. *Conservation Biology* 21: 1280-1290.
- La Marca E., Lips K. R., Lotters S., Puschendorf R., Ibanez R., Rueda-Almonacid J. V., Schulte R., Marty C., Castro F., Manzanilla-Puppo J., Garcia-Perez J. E., Bolanos F., Chaves G., Pounds J. A., Toral E. and Young B. E. (2005) Catastrophic population declines and extinctions in neotropical harlequin frogs (Bufonidae : Atelopus). *Biotropica* 37: 190-201.
- Lefcort H. and Blaustein A. R. (1995) Disease, predator avoidance, and vulnerability to predation in tadpoles. *Oikos* **74**: 469-474.
- Lillywhite H. B., Mittal A. K., Garg T. K. and Das I. (1998) Basking behavior, sweating and thermal ecology of the Indian tree frog, *Polypedates maculatus*. *Journal of Herpetology* **32**: 169-175.
- Lips K. R. (1998) Decline of a tropical montane amphibian fauna. *Conservation Biology* **12**: 106-117.
- Lips K. R., Brem f., Brenes R., Reeve J. D., Alford R. A., Voyles J., Carey C., Livo L., Pessier A. P. and Collins J. P. (2006) Emerging infectious disease and the loss of biodiversity in a neotropical amphibian community. *PNAS* **103**: 3165-3170.
- Lips K. R., Burrowes P. A., Mendelson J. R. and Parra-Olea G. (2005a) Amphibian declines in Latin America: widespread population declines, extinctions, and impacts. *Biotropica* 37: 163-165.
- Lips K. R., Burrowes P. A., Mendelson J. R. and Parra-Olea G. (2005b) Amphibian population declines in Latin America: a synthesis. *Biotropica* **37**: 222-226.
- Lips K. R., Reeve J. D. and Witters L. R. (2003) Ecological traits predicting amphibian population declines in Central America. *Conservation Biology* **17**: 1078-1088.
- Longcore J. E., Pessier A. P. and Nichols D. K. (1999) *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* gen. et sp. nov., a chytrid pathogenic to amphibians. *Mycologia* **91**: 219-227.
- Lozano G. A. (1991) Optimal foraging theory a possible role for parasites. *Oikos* **60**: 391-395.

- Mariner J. C., Jeggo M. H. and van't Klooster G. G. M. (2003) Rinderpest surveillance performance monitoring using quantifiable indicators. *Revue Scientifique Et Technique De L Office International Des Epizooties* **22**: 837-847.
- Martinez-Solano I., Bosch J. and Garcia-Paris M. (2003) Demographic trends and community stability in a montane amphibian assemblage. *Conservation Biology* 17: 238-244.
- Matsuo S., Sugiyama T., Okuyama T., Yoshikawa K., Honda K., Takahashi R. and Maeda S. (1999) Preservation of pathological tissue specimens by freeze-drying for immunohistochemical staining and various molecular biological analyses. *Pathology International* 49: 383-390.
- Mazzoni R., Cunningham A. A., Daszak P., Apolo A., Perdomo E. and Speranza G.
  (2003) Emerging pathogen of wild amphibians in frogs (*Rana catesbeiana*) farmed for international trade. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 9: 995-998.
- McCallum H. and Jones M. (2006) To lose both would look like carelessness: Tasmanian devil facial tumour disease. *Plos Biology* **4**: 1671-1674.
- McClanahan L. J. and Baldwin R. (1969) Rate of water uptake through the integument of the desert toad, *Bufo punctatus*. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology* **28**: 381-389.
- McDonald K. and Alford R. (1999) A review of declining frogs in northern Queensland.In: *Declines and Disappearances of Australian Frogs* pp. 14-22. Environment Australia, Canberra.
- McDonald K. R., Mendez D., Mueller R., Freeman A. B. and Speare R. (2005) Decline in the prevalence of chytridiomycosis in frog populations in North Queensland, Australia. *Pacific Conservation Biology* 11: 114-120.
- Meyer A. H., Schmidt B. R. and Grossenbacher K. (1998) Analysis of three amphibian populations with quarter-century long time-series. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B-Biological Sciences* **265**: 523-528.
- Miller M. W., Hobbs N. T. and Tavener S. J. (2006) Dynamics of prion disease transmission in mule deer. *Ecological Applications* **16**: 2208-2214.

- Morehouse E. A., James T. Y., Ganley A. R. D., Vilgalys R., Berger L., Murphy P. J. and Longcore J. E. (2003) Multilocus sequence typing suggests the chytrid pathogen of amphibians is a recently emerged clone. *Molecular Ecology* **12**: 395-403.
- Morens D. M., Folkers G. K. and Fauci A. S. (2004) The challenge of emerging and reemerging infectious diseases. *Nature* **430**: 242-249.
- Morgan J. A. T., Vredenburg V. T., Rachowicz L. J., Knapp R. A., Stice M. J., Tunstall T., Bingham R. E., Parker J. M., Longcore J. E., Moritz C., Briggs C. J. and Tayler J. W. (2007) Population genetics of the frog-killing fungus *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*. *PNAS* 104: 13845-13850.
- Morse S. S. (1995) Factors in the emergence of infectious Diseases. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 1: Perspective.
- Muths E., Corn P. S., Pessier A. P. and Green D. E. (2003) Evidence for disease-related amphibian decline in Colorado. *Biological Conservation* **110**: 357-365.
- Mutschmann F., Berger L., Zwart P. and Gaedicke C. (2000) Chytridiomycosis on amphibians - first report from Europe. *Berliner Und Munchener Tierarztliche Wochenschrift* 113: 380-383.
- Myhre K., Cabanac M. and MYhre G. (1977) Fever and behavioral temperature regulation in the frog *Rana esculenta*. *Acta Physiosology Scandinavia* **101**: 219-229.
- Nichols D. K., Lamirande E. W., Pessier A. P. and Longcore J. E. (2001) Experimental transmission of cutaneous chytridiomycosis in dendrobatid frogs. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* 37: 1-11.
- Nicolas P. and Mor A. (1995) Peptides as weapons against microorganisms in the chemical defense system of vertebrates. *Annual Review of Microbiology* **49**: 277-304.
- Nicolas P., Vanhoye D. and Amiche M. (2003) Molecular strategies in biological evolution of antimicrobial peptides. *Peptides* **24**: 1669-1680.
- Niidome T., Kobayashi K., Arakawa H., Hatakeyama T. and Aoyagi H. (2004) Structure-activity relationship of an antibacterial peptide, maculatin 1.1, from the skin glands of the tree frog, *Litoria genimaculata*. *Journal of Peptide Science* **10**: 414-422.
- Oner A. F., Bay A., Arslan S., Akdeniz H., Sahin H. A., Cesur Y., Epcacan S., Yilmaz N., Deger I., Kizilyildiz B., Karsen H. and Ceyhan M. (2006) Avian influenza A

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

(H5N1) infection in eastern Turkey in 2006. *New England Journal of Medicine* **355**: 2179-2185.

- Ouellet M., Mikaelian I., Pauli B. D., Rodrigue J. and Green D. M. (2005) Historical evidence of widespread chytrid infection in North American amphibian populations. *Conservation Biology* **19**: 1431-1440.
- Papagianni M. (2003) Ribosomally synthesized peptides with antimicrobial properties: biosynthesis, structure, function, and applications. *Biotechnology Adv.* **21**: 465-499.
- Parker J. M., Mikaelian I., Hahn N. and Diggs H. E. (2002) Clinical diagnosis and treatment of epidermal chytridiomycosis in African clawed frogs (*Xenopus tropicalis*). *Comparative Medicine* 52: 265-268.
- Parris M. J. (2004) Hybrid response to pathogen infection in interspecific crosses between two amphibian species (Anura: Ranidae). *Evolutionary Ecology Research* 6: 457-471.
- Paulus B. C., Kanowski J., Gadek P. A. and Hyde K. D. (2006) Diversity and distribution of saprobic microfungi in leaf litter of an Australian tropical rainforest. *Mycological Research* **110**: 1441-1454.
- Pechmann J. H. K., Scott D. E., Semlitsch R. D., Caldwell J. P., Vitt L. J. and Gibbons J. W. (1991) Declining amphibian populations: The problem of separating human impacts from natural fluctuations. *Science* 253: 892-896.
- Pessier A. P. (2002) An overview of amphibian skin disease. *Seminars in Avian and Exotic Pet Medicine* **11**: 162-174.
- Phillott A. D., Skerratt L. F., McDonald K., Lemckert F. L., Hines H. B., Clark J. M., Alford R. A. and Speare R. (2007) Toe-clipping as an acceptable method of identifying individual anurans in mark recapture studies. *Herpetological Review* 38: 305-308.
- Pounds J. A., Bustamante M. R., Coloma L. A., Consuegra J. A., Fogden M. P. L.,
  Foster P. N., La Marca E., Masters K. L., Merino-Viteri A., Puschendorf R., Ron S.
  R., Sanchez-Azofeifa G. A., Still C. J. and Young B. E. (2006) Widespread amphibian
  extinctions from epidemic disease driven by global warming. *Nature* 439: 161-167.
- Rachowicz L. J., Hero J., Alford R. A., Taylor J. W., Morgan J. A. T., Vredenburg V.T., Collins J. P. and Briggs C. J. (2005) The novel and endemic pathogen hypotheses:

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Competing explanations for the origin of emerging infectious diseases of wildlife. *Conservation Biology* **19**: 1441-1448.

- Rachowicz L. J., Knapp R. A., Morgan J. A. T., Stice M. J., Vredenburg V. T., Parker J.
  M. and Briggs C. J. (2006) Emerging infectious disease as a proximate cause of amphibian mass mortality. *Ecology* 87: 1671-1683.
- Rachowicz L. J. and Vredenburg V. T. (2004) Transmission of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* within and between amphibian life stages. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms* 61: 75-83.
- Reading C. J. (2007) Linking global warming to amphibian declines through its effects on female body condition and survivorship. *Oecologia* **151**: 125-131.
- Reaser J. (1995) Marking amphibians by toe-clipping: a response to Halliday. *Froglog* 12: 2.
- Resetarits W. J. and Wilbur H. M. (1989) Choice of Oviposition Site by Hyla-Chrysoscelis - Role of Predators and Competitors. *Ecology* **70**: 220-228.
- Retallick R. W., McCallum H. and Spear R. (2004) Endemic infection of the amphibian chytrid fungus in a frog community post-decline. *Plos Biology* **2**: 1965-1971.
- Richards S. J. and Alford R. A. (2005) Structure and dynamics of a rainforest frog (*Litoria genimaculata*) population in northern Queensland. *Australian Journal of Zoology* 53: 229-236.
- Richards S. J., McDonald K. R. and Alford R. A. (1993) Declines in populations of Australia's endemic tropical rainforest frogs. *Pacific Conservation Biology* 1: 66-77.
- Rieger J. F., Binckley C. A. and Resetarits W. J. (2004) Larval performance and oviposition site preference along a predation gradient. *Ecology* **85**: 2094-2099.
- Rolff J. and Siva-Jothy M. T. (2003) Invertebrate ecological immunology. *Science* **301**: 472-475.
- Rollins-Smith L. A. (2001) Neuroendocrine-immune system interactions in amphibians
   implications for understanding global amphibian declines. *Immunologic Research* 23: 273-280.
- Rollins-Smith L. A., Carey C., Conlon J. M., Reinert L. K., Doersam J. K., Bergman T., Silberring J., Lankinen H. and Wade D. (2003) Activities of temporin family peptides

- Rollins-Smith L. A., Carey C., Longcore J., Doersam J. K., Boutte A., Bruzgal J. E. and Conlon J. M. (2002a) Activity of antimicrobial skin peptides from ranid frogs against *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*, the chytrid fungus associated with global amphibian declines. *Developmental and Comparative Immunology* 26: 471-479.
- Rollins-Smith L. A. and Conlon J. M. (2005) Antimicrobial peptide defenses against chytridiomycosis, an emerging infectious disease of amphibian populations. *Developmental and Comparative Immunology* 29: 589-598.
- Rollins-Smith L. A., Reinert L. K., Miera V. and Conlon J. M. (2002b) Antimicrobial peptide defenses of the Tarahumara frog, *Rana tarahumarae*. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* 297: 361-367.
- Rollins-Smith L. A., Reinert L. K., O'Leary C. J., Houston L. E. and Woodhams D. C. (2005) Antimicrobial peptide defenses in amphibian skin. *Integrative and Comparative Biology* 45: 137-142.
- Rollins-Smith L. A., Woodhams D. C., Reinert L. K., Vredenburg V. T., Briggs C. J., Nielsen P. F. and Conlon J. M. (2006) Antimicrobial peptide defenses of the mountain yellow-legged frog (*Rana muscosa*). *Developmental and Comparative Immunology* 30: 831-842.
- Ron S. R. and Merino A. (2000) Amphibian declines in Ecuador: Overview and first report of chytridiomycosis from South America. *Froglog* **42**.
- Rossi L., Fraquelli C., Vesco U., Permunian R., Sommavilla G. M., Carmignola G., Da Pozzo R. and Meneguz P. G. (2007) Descriptive epidemiology of a scabies epidemic in chamois in the Dolomite Alps, Italy. *European Journal of Wildlife Research* 53: 131-141.
- Rossifer P. B., Taylor W. P. and Bwangamoi B. (1987) Continuing presence of rinderpest virus as a threat in East-Africa, 1983-1985. *Veterinary Record* **120**: 59-62.
- Rowley J. J. L. (2007) Why does chytridiomycosis drive some frog populations to extinction and not others? The effects of interspecific variation in host behaviour. In: *Marine and Tropical Biology Department*. James Cook University, Townsville.

- Rowley J. J. L. and Alford R. A. (2007a) Behaviour of Australian rainforest stream frogs may affect the transmission of chytridiomycosis. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms* 77: 1-9.
- Rowley J. J. L. and Alford R. A. (2007b) Movement patterns and habitat use of rainforest stream frogs in northern Queensland, Australia: implications for extinction vulnerability. *Wildlife Research* 34: 371-378.
- Rowley J. J. L., Skerratt L. F., Alford R. A. and Campbell R. (2007) Retreat sites of rain forest stream frogs are not a reservoir for *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in northern Queensland, Australia. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms* 74: 7-12.
- Rozek T., Bowie J. H., Wallace J. C. and Tyler M. J. (2000) The antibiotic and anticancer active aurein peptides from the Australian Bell Frogs *Litoria aurea* and *Litoria raniformis*. Part 2. Sequence determination using electrospray mass spectrometry. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry* 14: 2002-2011.
- Rozek T., Waugh R. J., Steinborner S. T., Bowie J. H., Tyler M. J. and Wallace J. C. (1998) The maculatin peptides from the skin glands of the tree frog *Litoria genimaculata*: A comparison of the structures and antibacterial activities of maculatin 1.1 and caerin 1.1. *Journal of Peptide Science* 4: 111-115.
- Sanchez-Bayo F. and Goka K. (2007) Simplified models to analyse time- and dosedependent responses of populations to toxicants. *Ecotoxicology* **16**: 511-523.
- Schlaepfer M. A. (1998) Use of a fluorescent marking technique on small terrestrial anurans. *Herpetological Review* **29**: 25-26.
- Seebacher F. and Alford R. A. (2002) Shelter microhabitats determine body temperature and dehydration rates of a terrestrial amphibian (*Bufo marinus*). *Journal of Herpetology* **36**: 69-75.
- Simmaco M., Mignogna G. and Barra D. (1998) Antimicrobial peptides from amphibian skin: what do they tell us? *Peptide Science* **47**: 435-450.
- Simoncelli F., Fragotti A., Dall'Olio R., Vagnetti D., Pascolini R. and Di Rosa I. (2005) Evidence of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* infection in water frogs of the *Rana esculenta* complex in Central Italy. *EcoHealth* 2: 307-312.

- Singh J. S. and Kashyap A. K. (2007) Contrasting pattern of methanotrophs in dry tropical forest soils: Effect of soil nitrogen, carbon and moisture. *Microbiological Research* 162: 276-283.
- Skerratt L. F., Berger L., Speare R., Cashins S., McDonald K. R., Phillott A. D., Hines H. B. and Kenyon N. (2007) Spread of chytridiomycosis has caused the rapid global decline and extinction of frogs. *EcoHealth* **4**: 125-134.
- Smith K. F., Sax D. F. and Lafferty K. D. (2006) Evidence for the role of infectious disease in species extinction and endangerment. *Conservation Biology* **20**: 1349-1357.
- Smith K. G. (2007) Use of quantitative PCR assay for amphibian chytrid detection: comment on Kriger et al. (2006a,b). *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms* **73**: 253-255.
- Sokal R. R. and Rohlf F. J. (1995) *Biometry: the principles and practice of statistics in biological research*. W.H. Freeman and Co., New York.
- Sparling D. W., Fellers G. M. and McConnell L. L. (2001) Pesticides and amphibian population declines in California, USA. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 20: 1591-1595.
- Speare R. and Berger L. (2005) Chytridiomycosis in amphibians in Australia. http://www.jcu.edu.au/school/phtm/PHTM/frogs/chyspec.htm.
- Stallard R. F. (2001) Possible environmental factors underlying amphibian decline in eastern Puerto Rico: Analysis of US government data archives. *Conservation Biology* 15: 943-953.
- Stephenson E. M. and Stephenson N. G. (1957) Field observations on the New Zealand frog *Leiopelma* Fitzinger. *transactions of the Royal Society of New Zealand* 84: 867-882.
- Stolley P. and Lasky T. (1995) *Investigating disease patterns. The science of epidemiology*. Scientific American Library, New York.
- Tattersall G. J., Eterovick P. C. and de Andrade D. V. (2006) Tribute to R.G. Boutilier:
  Skin colour and body temperature changes in basking *Bokermannohyla alvarengai*(Bokermann 1956). *Journal of Experimental Biology* 209: 1185-1196.
- Tennessen J. A. (2005) Molecular evolution of animal antimicrobial peptides: widespread moderate positive selection. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 18: 1387/1394.

- Tennessen J. A. and Blouin M. S. (2007) Selection for antimicrobial peptide diversity in frogs leads to gene duplication and low allelic variation. *Journal of Molecular Evolution* 65: 605-615.
- Tompkins D. M., White A. R. and Boots M. (2003) Ecological replacement of native red squirrels by invasive greys driven by disease. *Ecology Letters* **6**: 189-196.
- van Riper C., van Riper S. G., Goff M. L. and Laird M. (1986) The epizootiology and ecological significance of Malaria in Hawaiian land birds. *Ecological Monographs* 56: 327-344.
- Van Uitregt V. O., Wilson R. S. and Franklin C. E. (2007) Cooler temperatures increase sensitivity to ultraviolet B radiation in embryos and larvae of the frog *Limnodynastes peronii*. *Global Change Biology* 13: 1114-1121.
- Vanhoye D., Bruston F., Nicolas P. and Amiche M. (2003) Antimicrobial peptides from hylid and ranin frogs originated from a 150-million-year-old ancestral precursor with a conserved signal peptide but a hypermutable antimicrobial domain. *European Journal of Biochemistry* 270: 2068-2081.
- Voyles J., Berger L., Young S., Speare R., Webb R., Warner J., Rudd D., Campbell R. and Skerratt L. F. (2007) Electrolyte depletion and osmotic imbalance in amphibians with chytridiomycosis. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms* 77: 113-118.
- Waichman A. V. (1992) An alphanumeric code for toe-clipping amphibians and reptiles. *Herpetological Review* **23**: 19-21.
- Wake D. B. (1991) Declining amphibian populations. Science 253: 860.
- Wake D. B. (2007) climate change implicated in amphibian and lizard declines. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*104: 8201-8202.
- Waldmann T. A. (2003) Immunotherapy: past, present and future. *Nature Medicine* 9: 269-277.
- Walker B. L., Naugle D. E., Doherty K. E. and Cornish T. E. (2004) From the field: outbreak of West Nile virus in greater sage-grouse and guidelines for monitoring, handling, and submitting dead birds. *Wildlife Society Bulletin* 32: 1000-1006.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

- Walker S. F., Baldi Salas M., Jenkins D., Garner T. W. J., Cunningham A. A., Hyatt A.
  D., Bosch J. and Fisher M. C. (2007) Environmental detection of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in a temperate climate. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms* 77: 105-112.
- Warner R. E. (1968) The role of introduced diseases in the extinction of the endemic Hawaiian avifauna. *The Condor* **70**: 101-120.
- Webb R., Mendez D., Berger L. and Speare R. (2007) Additional disinfectants effective against the amphibian chytrid fungus Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms* **74**: 13-16.
- Weldon C., du Preez L. H., Hyatt A. D., Muller R. and Speare R. (2004) Origin of the amphibian chytrid fungus. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 10: 2100-2105.
- Wente W. H. and Phillips J. B. (2005) Seasonal color change in a population of Pacific Treefrogs (*Pseudacris regilla*). *Journal of Herpetology* **39**: 161-165.
- Whitfield S. M., Bell K. e., Phillippi T., Sasa M., Bolanos F., Chaves G., Savage J. M. and Donnelly M. A. (2007) Amphibian and reptile declines over 35 years at La Selva, Costa Rica. *PNAS* **104**: 8352-8356.
- Wilcove D. S., Rothstein D., Dubow J., Phillips A. and Losos E. (1998) Quantifying threats to imperiled species in the United States. *Bioscience* **48**: 607-615.
- Wolcott T. G. and Wolcott D. L. (2001) Role of behavior in meeting osmotic challenges. *American Zoologist* **41**: 795-805.
- Woodhams D. C. (2003) The Ecology of Chytridiomycosis and the Emerging Infectious
   Disease of Australian Rainforest Frogs. PhD Thesis. James Cook University,
   Townsville, QLD, Australia.
- Woodhams D. C. and Alford R. A. (2005) Ecology of chytridiomycosis in rainforest stream frog assemblages of tropical Queensland. *Conservation Biology* 19: 1449-1459.
- Woodhams D. C., Alford R. A., Briggs C. J., Johnson M. and Rollins-Smith L. A. (2008) Life-history trade-offs influence disease in changing climates: strategies of an amphibian pathogen. *Ecology* 89: 1627-1639.
- Woodhams D. C., Alford R. A. and Marantelli G. (2003) Emerging disease of amphibians cured by elevated body temperature. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms* 55: 65-67.

- Woodhams D. C., Ardipradja K., Alford R. A., Marantelli G., Reinert L. K. and Rollins-Smith L. A. (2007) Resistance to chytridiomycosis varies among amphibian species and is correlated with skin peptide defenses. *Animal Conservation* 10: 409-417.
- Woodhams D. C., Rollins-Smith L. A., Carey C., Reinert L., Tyler M. J. and Alford R.
  A. (2005) Population trends associated with skin peptide defenses against chytridiomycosis in Australia. *Oecologia* 146: 531-540.
- Woodhams D. C., Voyles J., Lips K. R., Carey C. and Rollins-Smith L. A. (2006)
  Predicted disease susceptibility in a panamanian amphibian assemblage based on skin peptide defenses. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* 42: 207-218.
- Woodroffe R. (1999) Managing disease threats to wild mammals. *Animal Conservation* 2: 185-193.
- World Health Organization (2004) The world health report 2004. World Health Organization (WHO), www.who.int/en/.
- Zasloff M. (1987) Magainins, a class of antimicrobial peptides from Xenopus skin:

Isolation, characterization of two active forms, and partial

cDNA sequence of a precursor. PNAS 84: 5449-5453.

Zasloff M. (2002) Antimicrobial peptides of multicellular organisms. *Nature* **415**: 389-395.

# APPENDIX A

				1
Order	Family *	Species *	Area	Reference
Anura	Bufonidae	Bufo funereus	Africa	Goldberg et al., 2007
Anura	Hyperoliidae	Hyperolius kivuensis	Africa	Goldberg et al., 2007
Anura	Hyperoliidae	Leptopelis christyi	Africa	Goldberg et al., 2007
Anura	Hyperoliidae	Leptopelis kivuensis	Africa	Goldberg et al., 2007
Anura	Pipidae	Xenopus gilli	Africa	Weldon et al., 2004
Anura	Pipidae	Xenopus laevis	Africa	Weldon et al., 2004
Anura	Pipidae	Xenopus meulleri	Africa	Weldon et al., 2004
Anura	Pipidae	Xenopus wittei	Africa	Goldberg et al., 2007
Anura	Ranidae	Afrana fuscigula	Africa	Lane et al., 2003
Anura	Ranidae	Phrynobatrachus graueri	Africa	Goldberg et al., 2007
Anura	Ranidae	Ptychadena anchietae (Rana angolensis)	Africa	Parker et al., 2002
Anura	Ranidae	Ptychadena mascareniensis	Africa	Goldberg et al., 2007
Anura	Bufonidae	Rhinella (Chaunus, Bufo) marinus	Australia	Berger, 2001
Anura	Hylidae	Cyclorana platycephala	Australia	Berger et al., 2004
Anura	Hylidae	Litoria adelaidensis	Australia	Berger et al., 1999
Anura	Hylidae	Litoria aurea	Australia	Berger et al., 2004
Anura	Hylidae	Litoria barringtonensis	Australia	Berger et al., 2004
Anura	Hylidae	Litoria booroolongensis	Australia	Berger, 2001
Anura	Hylidae	Litoria burrowsae	Australia	Pauza and Driessen, 2008
Anura	Hylidae	Litoria caerulea	Australia	Hunter, pers. comm.

Appendix A. Wild amphibian species known to be infected with *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* as of 30 June 2008.

# APPENDIX A

#### 

Anura	Hylidae	Litoria chloris	Australia	Berger et al., 1999
Anura	Hylidae	Litoria ewingii	Australia	Berger et al., 1999
Anura	Hylidae	Litoria genimaculata	Australia	McDonald et al., 2005
Anura	Hylidae	Litoria gracilenta	Australia	Mendez, pers. comm.
Anura	Hylidae	Litoria infrafrenata	Australia	Speare and Berger, 2005
Anura	Hylidae	Litoria lesueuri	Australia	Berger, 2001
Anura	Hylidae	Litoria moorei	Australia	Berger et al., 1999
Anura	Hylidae	Litoria nannotis	Australia	Berger et al., 1998
Anura	Hylidae	Litoria pearsoniana	Australia	Berger et al., 1999
Anura	Hylidae	Litoria peronii	Australia	Berger, 2001
Anura	Hylidae	Litoria raniformis	Australia	Berger, 2001
Anura	Hylidae	Litoria rheocola	Australia	Berger et al., 1998
Anura	Hylidae	Litoria spenceri	Australia	Berger et al., 1998
Anura	Hylidae	Litoria verreauxii	Australia	Berger et al., 2004
Anura	Hylidae	Litoria wilcoxii	Australia	Kriger and Hero, 2007
Anura	Hylidae	Litoria wilcoxii or jungguy	Australia	Berger et al., 1998
Anura	Hylidae	Nyctimystes dayi	Australia	McDonald et al., 2005
Anura	Microhylidae	Cophixalus ornatus	Australia	Kriger and Hero, 2006
Anura	Myobatrachidae	Adelotus brevis	Australia	Berger et al., 1999
Anura	Myobatrachidae	Crinia georgiana	Australia	Aplin, pers. comm.
Anura	Myobatrachidae	Crinia glauerti	Australia	Aplin, pers. comm.
Anura	Myobatrachidae	Crinia insignifera	Australia	Aplin, pers. comm.
Anura	Myobatrachidae	Crinia pseudinsignifera	Australia	Berger et al., 1999
Anura	Myobatrachidae	Crinia signifera	Australia	Pauza and Driessen, 2008

# APPENDIX A

#### 

Anura	Myobatrachidae	Crinia subinsignifera	Australia	Aplin, pers. comm.
Anura	Myobatrachidae	Crinia tasmaniensis	Australia	Pauza and Driessen, 2008
Anura	Myobatrachidae	Geocrinia rosea	Australia	Aplin, pers. comm.
Anura	Myobatrachidae	Geocrinia vitellina	Australia	Aplin, pers. comm.
Anura	Myobatrachidae	Heleioporus australiacus	Australia	Berger et al., 1999
Anura	Myobatrachidae	Heleioporus barycragus	Australia	Aplin, pers. comm.
Anura	Myobatrachidae	Heleioporus eyrei	Australia	Aplin, pers. comm.
Anura	Myobatrachidae	Lechriodus fletcheri	Australia	Berger et al., 2004
Anura	Myobatrachidae	Limnodynastes fletcheri	Australia	Berger et al., 1999
Anura	Myobatrachidae	Limnodynastes dorsalis	Australia	Berger et al., 1999
Anura	Myobatrachidae	Limnodynastes dumerili	Australia	Berger et al., 1998
Anura	Myobatrachidae	Limnodynastes peronii	Australia	Berger et al., 2004
Anura	Myobatrachidae	Limnodynastes tasmaniensis	Australia	Berger et al., 1998
Anura	Myobatrachidae	Limnodynastes terraereginae	Australia	Berger, 2001
Anura	Myobatrachidae	Mixophyes carbinensis	Australia	Bell, pers. comm.
Anura	Myobatrachidae	Mixophyes fasciolatus	Australia	Symonds et al., 2007
Anura	Myobatrachidae	Mixophyes fleayi	Australia	Symonds et al., 2007
Anura	Myobatrachidae	Mixophyes iteratus	Australia	Kriger et al., 2006
Anura	Myobatrachidae	Mixophyes schevilli	Australia	Bell, pers. comm.
Anura	Myobatrachidae	Myobatrachus gouldii	Australia	Aplin, pers. comm.
Anura	Myobatrachidae	Neobatrachus pelobatoides	Australia	Spear and Berger, 2005
Anura	Myobatrachidae	Pseudophryne corroboree	Australia	Spear and Berger, 2005
Anura	Myobatrachidae	Pseudophryne pengilleyi	Australia	Spear and Berger, 2005
Anura	Myobatrachidae	Taudactylus acutirostris	Australia	Berger et al., 1998
Anura	Myobatrachidae	Taudactylus eungellensis	Australia	Berger et al., 1998
--	--	---	----------------------	---
Anura	Alytidae (Discoglossidae)	Alytes muletensis	Europe	Fisher and Garner, 2007
Anura	Alytidae (Discoglossidae)	Alytes obstetricans	Europe	Bosch et al., 2001
Anura	Bombinatoridae	Bombina pachypus	Europe	Stagni et al., 2002
Anura	Bufonidae	Bufo bufo	Europe	Bosch and Martinez-Solano, 2006
Anura	Ranidae	Lithobates (Rana) catesbeianus	Europe	Garner et al., 2006
Anura	Ranidae	Pelophylax lessonae	Europe	Fisher and Garner, 2007
Anura	Ranidae	Rana arvalis	Europe	Mutschmann et al., 2000
Anura	Ranidae	Rana esculenta	Europe	Simoncelli et al., 2005
Caudata	Salamandridae	Mesotriton alpestris	Europe	Fisher and Garner, 2007
Caudata	Salamandridae	Salamandra salamandra	Europe	Bosch and Martinez-Solano, 2006
Caudata	Salamandridae	Euproctus platycephalus	Europe	Fisher and Garner, 2007
Garner et al. (2005) stated that 20 European amphibian species			n found positive for	<i>Bd</i> , however, the complete list of all species
		has not been published as	of 30 June 2008.	
Anura	Amphignathodontidae (Leptodactylidae)	Gastrotheca cornuta	Latin America	Lips et al., 2006
Anura	Aromobatidae (Dendrobatidae)	Allobates (Colostethus) olfersioides	Latin America	De Queiroz Carnaval et al., 2006
Anura	Aromobatidae (Dendrobatidae)	Allobates (Colostethus) talamancae	Latin America	Lips et al., 2006
Anura	Aromobatidae (Dendrobatidae)	Mannophryne olmonae	Latin America	Alemu et al., 2008
Anura	Brachycephalidae	Craugastor (Eleutherodactylus)	Latin America	Puschendorf et al., 2006

	(Leptodactylidae)	aurilegulus		
Anura	Brachycephalidae (Leptodactylidae)	Craugastor azueroensis	Latin America	Lips et al., 2006
Anura	Brachycephalidae (Leptodactylidae)	Craugastor bransfordii	Latin America	Lips et al., 2006
Anura	Brachycephalidae (Leptodactylidae)	Craugastor crassidigitus	Latin America	Lips et al., 2006
Anura	Brachycephalidae (Leptodactylidae)	Craugastor (Eleutherodactylus) emcelae	Latin America	Berger et al., 1998
Anura	Brachycephalidae (Leptodactylidae)	Craugastor (Eleutherodactylus) fitzingeri	Latin America	Puschendorf and Bolanos, 2006
Anura	Brachycephalidae (Leptodactylidae)	Craugastor gollmeri	Latin America	Lips et al., 2006
Anura	Brachycephalidae (Leptodactylidae)	Craugastor megacephalus	Latin America	Lips et al., 2006
Anura	Brachycephalidae (Leptodactylidae)	Craugastor noblei	Latin America	Lips et al., 2006
Anura	Brachycephalidae (Leptodactylidae)	Craugastor podiciferus	Latin America	Lips et al., 2006
Anura	Brachycephalidae (Leptodactylidae)	Craugastor punctariolus	Latin America	Lips et al., 2006
Anura	Brachycephalidae (Leptodactylidae)	Craugastor tabasarae	Latin America	Lips et al., 2006
Anura	Brachycephalidae (Leptodactylidae)	Craugastor talamancae	Latin America	Lips et al., 2006
Anura	Brachycephalidae (Leptodactylidae)	Limnophys (Craugastor) bufoniformis	Latin America	Lips et al., 2006
Anura	Brachycephalidae	Pristimantis achatinus	Latin America	Cashins, pers. comm

Anura	Brachycephalidae (Leptodactylidae)	Pristimantis (Craugastor) cerasinus	Latin America	Lips et al., 2006
Anura	Brachycephalidae (Leptodactylidae)	Pristimantis (Eleutherodactylus) caryophyllceus	Latin America	Lips et al., 2006
Anura	Brachycephalidae	Pristimantis crenunguis	Latin America	Cashins, pers. comm.
Anura	Brachycephalidae (Leptodactylidae)	Pristimantis (Eleutherodactylus) cruentus	Latin America	Berger et al., 1998; Lips et al., 2006
Anura	Brachycephalidae (Leptodactylidae)	Pristimantis (Eleutherodactylus) diastema	Latin America	Lips et al., 2006
Anura	Brachycephalidae (Leptodactylidae)	Pristimantis (Eleutherodactylus) elegans	Latin America	Ruiz and Rueda-Almonacid, 2008
Anura	Brachycephalidae	Pristimantis latidiscus	Latin America	Cashins, pers. comm.
Anura	Brachycephalidae (Leptodactylidae)	Pristimantis (Eleutherodactylus) museosus	Latin America	Lips et al., 2006
Anura	Brachycephalidae (Leptodactylidae)	Pristimantis (Eleutherodactylus) ridens	Latin America	Lips et al., 2006
Anura	Brachycephalidae (Leptodactylidae)	Pristimantis (Eleutherodactylus) vocator	Latin America	Lips et al., 2006
Anura	Bufonidae	Atelopus varius	Latin America	Puschendorf and Bolanos, 2006
Anura	Bufonidae	Atelopus bomolochos	Latin America	Berger et al., 1999; Ron and Merino, 2000
Anura	Bufonidae	Atelopus cruciger	Latin America	Bonaccorso et al., 2003
Anura	Bufonidae	Atelopus ignescens	Latin America	Ron and Merino, 2000
Anura	Bufonidae	Atelopus mittermeieri	Latin America	Ruiz and Rueda-Almonacid, 2008
Anura	Bufonidae	Atelopus mucubajiensis	Latin America	Lampo et al., 2007
Anura	Bufonidae	Atelopus zeteki	Latin America	Lips et al., 2006

Anura	Bufonidae	Bufo haematiticus	Latin America	Berger et al., 1998; Lips et al., 2006
Anura	Bufonidae	Ollitis coniferus	Latin America	Lips et al., 2006
Anura	Bufonidae	Peltophryne (Bufo) longinasus	Latin America	Lips et al., 2006
Anura	Bufonidae	Rhinella (Chaunus, Bufo) marinus	Latin America	Lips et al., 2006
Anura	Centrolenidae	Centrolene ilex	Latin America	Lips et al., 2006
Anura	Centrolenidae	Centrolene prosoblepon	Latin America	Lips et al., 2006
Anura	Centrolenidae	Cochranella albomaculata	Latin America	Berger et al., 1998; Lips et al., 2006
Anura	Centrolenidae	Cochranella euknemos	Latin America	Berger et al., 1998
Anura	Centrolenidae	Cochranella prosoblepon	Latin America	Berger et al., 1998
Anura	Centrolenidae	Hyalinobatrachium colymbiphyllum	Latin America	Berger et al., 1998
Anura	Ceratophryidae	Telmatobius atacamensis	Latin America	Barrionuevo and Mangione, 2006
Anura	Ceratophryidae	Telmatobius marmoratus	Latin America	Seimon et al., 2007
Anura	Ceratophryidae	Telmatobius pisanoi	Latin America	Barrionuevo and Mangione, 2006
Anura	Ceratophryidae (Leptodactylidae)	Telmatobius niger	Latin America	Ron and Merino, 2000
Anura	Cycloramphidae (Leptodactylidae)	Thoropa miliaris	Latin America	De Queiroz Carnaval et al., 2006
Anura	Dendrobatidae	Colostethus panamensis	Latin America	Lips et al., 2006
Anura	Dendrobatidae	Colostethus pratti	Latin America	Lips et al., 2006
Anura	Dendrobatidae	Dendrobates auratus	Latin America	Lips et al., 2006
Anura	Dendrobatidae	Hyloxalus (Colostethus) awa	Latin America	Cashins, pers. comm.
Anura	Dendrobatidae	Mannophryne olmonae	Latin America	Alemu et al., 2008
Anura	Dendrobatidae	Oophaga (Dendrobates) vicentei	Latin America	Lips et al., 2006

Anura	Dendrobatidae	Phyllobates lugubris	Latin America	Lips et al., 2006
Anura	Dendrobatidae	Silverstoneia (Colostethus) flotator	Latin America	Lips et al., 2006
Anura	Dendrobatidae	Silverstoneia (Colostethus) nubicola	Latin America	Lips et al., 2006
Anura	Hylidae	Agalychnis callidryas	Latin America	Lips et al., 2006
Anura	Hylidae	Agalychnis litodryas	Latin America	Cashins, pers com
Anura	Hylidae	Agalychnis moreletii	Latin America	Felger et al., 2007
Anura	Hylidae	Bokermannohyla gouveai	Latin America	De Queiroz Carnaval et al., 2006
Anura	Hylidae	Ecnomiohyla miliaria	Latin America	Lips et al., 2006
Anura	Hylidae	Hyla microcephala,	Latin America	Lips et al., 2006
Anura	Hylidae	Hylomantis lemur	Latin America	Lips et al., 2006
Anura	Hylidae	Hyloscirtus bogotensis	Latin America	Ruiz and Rueda-Almonacid, 2008
Anura	Hylidae	Hyloscirtus colymba	Latin America	Lips et al., 2006
Anura	Hylidae	Hyloscirtus palmeri	Latin America	Lips et al., 2006
Anura	Hylidae	Hyloscirtus (Hyla) psarolaima	Latin America	Ron and Merino, 2000
Anura	Hylidae	Hypsiboas freicanecae	Latin America	De Queiroz Carnaval et al., 2006
Anura	Hylidae	Smilisca phaeota	Latin America	Lips et al., 2006
Anura	Hylodidae (Leptodactylidae)	Crossodactylus caramaschii	Latin America	De Queiroz Carnaval et al., 2006
Anura	Leiuperidae	Pleurodema marmoratum	Latin America	Seimon et al., 2007
Anura	Leiuperidae	Physalaemus pustulosus	Latin America	Lips et al., 2006
Anura	Leptodactylidae	Leptodactylus pentadactylus	Latin America	Lips et al., 2006
Anura	Leptodactylidae	Leptodactylus ocellatus	Latin America	Herrera et al., 2005
Anura	Leptodactylidae	Leptodactylus pentadactylus	Latin America	Lips et al., 2006

Anura	Microhylidae	Nelsonophryne aterrima	Latin America	Lips et al., 2006
Anura	Plethodontidae (Microhylidae)	Bolitoglossa colonnea	Latin America	Lips et al., 2006
Anura	Plethodontidae (Microhylidae)	Bolitoglossa schizodactyla	Latin America	Lips et al., 2006
Anura	Plethodontidae (Microhylidae)	Oedipina collaris	Latin America	Lips et al., 2006
Anura	Plethodontidae (Microhylidae)	Oedipina cf. parvipes	Latin America	Lips et al., 2006
Anura	Ranidae	Lithobates catesbeianus (Rana catesbiana)	Latin America	Mazzoni et al., 2003
Anura	Ranidae	Lithobates (Rana) maculata	Latin America	Felger et al., 2007
Anura	Ranidae	Lithobates (Rana) pipiens	Latin America	Lips et al., 2006
Anura	Ranidae	Lithobates (Rana) tarahumarae	Latin America	Rollins-Smith et al., 2002
Anura	Ranidae	Lithobates (Rana) warszewitschii	Latin America	Lips et al., 2006
Caudata	Plethodontidae	Plethodon neomexicanus	Latin America	Cummer et al., 2005
Anuran	Hylidae	Litoria raniformis	New Zealand	Waldman et al., 2001
Anuran	Hylidae	Litoria ewingii	New Zealand	Bishop, 2000
Anuran	Leopelmatidae	Leiopelma archeyi	New Zealand	Bell et al., 2004
Anura	Bufonidae	Anaxyrus (Bufo) americanus	North America	Longcore et al., 2007
Anura	Bufonidae	Anaxyrus (Bufo) baxteri	North America	Green et al., 2002
Anura	Bufonidae	Anaxyrus (Bufo) boreas	North America	Green et al., 2002
Anura	Bufonidae	Anaxyrus (Bufo) californicus	North America	CCADC, 2007
Anura	Bufonidae	Anaxyrus (Bufo) canorus	North America	Carey et al., 1999

Anura	Bufonidae	Bufo boreashalophilus	North America	CCADC, 2007
Anura	Hylidae	Acris crepitans	North America	Pessier et al., 1999
Anura	Hylidae	Exerodonta melanomma	North America	Frias-Alvarez et al., 2008
Anura	Hylidae	Hyla arenicolor	North America	Bradley et al., 2002
Anura	Hylidae	Hyla euphorbiacea	North America	Frias-Alvarez et al., 2008
Anura	Hylidae	Hyla eximia	North America	Frias-Alvarez et al., 2008
Anura	Hylidae	Hyla versicolor	North America	Ouellet et al., 2005
Anura	Hylidae	Hyloscirtus (Hyla) psarolaima	North America	Ron and Merino, 2000
Anura	Hylidae	Pseudacris triseriata	North America	Ouellet et al., 2005
Anura	Hylidae	Pseudacris (Hyla) regilla	North America	Green et al., 2002
Anura	Brachycephalidae (Leptodactylidae)	Eleutherodactylus coqui	North America	Beard and O'Neill, 2005
Anura	Ceratophryidae (Leptodactylidae)	Telmatobius niger	North America	Berger et al., 1999
Anura	Ranidae	Lithobates (Rana) palustris	North America	Ouellet et al., 2005
Anura	Ranidae	Lithobates (Rana) berlandieri	North America	Sredl and Cardwell, 2002
Anura	Ranidae	Lithobates (Rana) blairi	North America	Sredl and Cardwell, 2002
Anura	Ranidae	Lithobates (Rana) catesbeianus	North America	Pearl et al., 2007
Anura	Ranidae	Lithobates (Rana) chiricahuensis	North America	Morell, 1999
Anura	Ranidae	Lithobates (Rana) clamitans	North America	Ouellet et al., 2005
Anura	Ranidae	Lithobates (Rana) megapoda	North America	Frias-Alvarez et al., 2008
Anura	Ranidae	Lithobates (Rana) montezumae	North America	Frias-Alvarez et al., 2008
Anura	Ranidae	Lithobates (Rana) neovolacanica	North America	Frias-Alvarez et al., 2008

Anura	Ranidae	Lithobates (Rana) pipiens	North America	Green et al., 2002
Anura	Ranidae	Lithobates (Rana) septentrionalis	North America	Ouellet et al., 2005
Anura	Ranidae	Lithobates (Rana) spectabilis	North America	Frias-Alvarez et al., 2008
Anura	Ranidae	Lithobates (Rana) sphenocephala	North America	Green et al., 2002
Anura	Ranidae	Lithobates (Rana) sylvatica	North America	Ouellet et al., 2005
Anura	Ranidae	Lithobates (Rana) tarahumarae	North America	Cashins, pers. comm
Anura	Ranidae	Lithobates (Rana) yavapiensis	North America	Green et al., 2002
Anura	Ranidae	Rana aurora	North America	Pearl et al., 2007
Anura	Ranidae	Rana boylii	North America	CCADC, 2007
Anura	Ranidae	Rana cascadae	North America	CCADC, 2007
Anura	Ranidae	Rana draytonii	North America	CCADC, 2007
Anura	Ranidae	Rana luteiventris	North America	Pearl et al., 2007
Anura	Ranidae	Rana muscosa	North America	Green et al., 2002
Anura	Ranidae	Rana pretiosa	North America	Pearl et al., 2007
Caudata	Ambystomatidae	Ambystoma altamirani	North America	Frias-Alvarez et al., 2008
Caudata	Ambystomatidae	Ambystoma californiense	North America	CCADC, 2007
Caudata	Ambystomatidae	Ambystoma granulosum	North America	Frias-Alvarez et al., 2008
Caudata	Ambystomatidae	Ambystoma macrodactylum croceum	North America	Green et al., 2002
Caudata	Ambystomatidae	Ambystoma maculatum	North America	Ouellet et al., 2005)
Caudata	Ambystomatidae	Ambystoma rivulare	North America	Frias-Alvarez et al., 2008
Caudata	Ambystomatidae	Ambystoma tigrinum	North America	Davidson et al., 2000
Caudata	Ambystomatidae	Ambystoma velasci	North America	Frias-Alvarez et al., 2008

### 

Caudata	Salamandridae	Notophthalmus viridescens	North America	Ouellet et al., 2005
Caudata	Salamandridae	Pseudotriton ruber	North America	Speare and Berger, 2005
Caudata	Salamandridae	Taricha torosa torosa	North America	CCADC, 2007

\* Names in parentheses are those recognized previously

## References for Appendix A

- Alemu J. B., Cazabon N. E., Dempewolf L., Hailey A., Lehtinen R. M., Mannette R. P., Naranjit K. T. and Roach A. C. J. (2008) Presence of the chytrid fungus *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in populations of the critically endangered frog *Mannophryne olmonae* in Tobago, West Indies. *EcoHealth* **5**: 34-39.
- Barrionuevo S. and Mangione S. (2006) Chytridiomycosis in two species of *Telmatobius* (Anura : Leptodactylidae) from Argentina. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms* **73**: 171-174.
- Beard K. H. and O'Neill E. M. (2005) Infection of an invasive frog Eleutherodactylus coqui by the chytrid fungus *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in Hawaii. *Biological Conservation* **126**: 591-595.
- Bell B. D., Carver S., Mitchell N. J. and Pledger S. (2004) The recent decline of a New Zealand endemic: how and why did populations of archey's frog *Leiopelma archeyi* crash over 1996-2001? *Biological Conservation* **120**: 189-199.
- Berger L. (2001) Diseases in Australian Frogs. In: School of Public Health and Tropical Medicine. PhD Thesis. James Cook University, Townsville, Australia.
- Berger L., Speare R., Daszak P., Green D. E., Cunningham A. A., Goggin C. L., Slocombe R., Ragan M. A., Hyatt A. D., McDonald K. R., Hines H. B., Lips K. R., Marantelli G. and Parkes H. (1998) Chytridiomycosis causes amphibian mortality associated with population declines in the rain forests of Australia and Central America. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 95: 9031-9036.
- Berger L., Speare R., Hines H. B., Marantelli G., Hyatt A. D., McDonald K. R., Skerratt L. F., Olsen V., Clarke J. M., Gillespie G., Mahony M., Sheppard N., Williams C. and Tyler M. J. (2004) Effect of season and temperature on mortality in amphibians due to chytridiomycosis. *Australian Veterinary Journal* **82**: 434-439.

- Berger L., Speare R. and Hyatt A. (1999) Chytrid fungi and amphibian declines: overview, implications and future directions. In: *Declines and disappearances of Australian frogs*. (ed. A. Campbell) pp. 23-33, Environment Australia, Canberra.
- Bishop P. (2000) chytrid fungi identified from dying frogs in New Zealand. DAPTF Working Group New Zealand.
- Bonaccorso E., Guayasamin J. M., Mendez D. and Speare R. (2003) Chytridiomycosis as a possible cause of population declines in *Atelopus* cruciger (Anura: Bufonidae). *Herpetological Review* **34**: 331-334.
- Bosch J. and Martinez-Solano I. (2006) Chytrid fungus infection related to unusual mortalities of *Salamandra salamandra* and *Bufo bufo* in the Penalara Natural Park, Spain. *Oryx* **40**: 84-89.
- Bosch J., Martinez-Solano I. and Garcia-Paris M. (2001) Evidence of a chytrid fungus infection involved in the decline of the common midwife toad (*Alytes obstetricans*) in protected areas of central Spain. *Biological Conservation* **97**: 331-337.
- Bradley G. A., Rosen P. C., Sredl M. J., Jones T. R. and Longcore J. E. (2002) Chytridiomycosis in native Arizona frogs. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* **38**: 206-212.
- Carey C., Cohen N. and Rollins-Smith L. (1999) Amphibian declines: an immunological perspective. *Developmental and Comparative Immunology* 23: 459-472.
- CCADC (2007) California species affected. http://www.ccadc.us/docs/AffectedSpecies.pdf.
- Cummer M. R., Green D. E. and O'Neill E. M. (2005) Aquatic chytrid pathogen detected in terrestrial plethodontid salamander. *Herpetological Review* **36**: 248-249.
- Davidson E. W., Pessier A. P., Longcore J. E., Parris M., Jancovich J., Brunner J., Schock D. and Collins J. P. (2000) Abstract: Chytridiomycosis in Arizona (USA) Tiger Salamanders. In: *Conference Proceedings: Getting the Jump on Amphibian Disease, Cairns, Australia, 26-30 August, 2000*, available at http://www.jcu.edu.au/school/phtm/PHTM/frogs/gjoad.htm.
- De Queiroz Carnaval A. C. O., Puschendorf R., Peixoto O. L., Verdade V. K. and Rodrigues M. T. (2006) Amphibian chytrid fungus broadly distributed in the Brazilian Atlantic Rain Forest. *EcoHealth* **3**: 41-48.
- Felger J., Enssle J., Mendez D. and Spear R. (2007) Chytridiomycosis in El Salvador. Salmandra 43: 122-127.
- Fisher M. C. and Garner T. W. J. (2007) The relationship between the emergence of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*, the international trade in amphibians and introduced amphibian species. *British Mycological Society* **21**: 2-9.
- Frias-Alvarez P., Vredenburg V. T., Familiar-Lopez M., Longcore J. E., Gonzalez-Bernal E., Santos-Berrera G., Zambrano L. and Parra-Olea G. (2008) Chytridiomycosis survey in wild and captive mexican amphibians. *EcoHealth* **5**: 18-26.
- Garner T. W. J., Perkins M. W., Govindarajulu P., Seglie D., Walker S., Cunningham A. A. and Fisher M. C. (2006) The emerging amphibian pathogen *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* globally infects introduced populations of the North American bullfrog, *Rana catesbeiana*. *Biological Letters* **2**: 455-459.

### 

- Garner T. W. J., Walker S., Bosch J., Hyatt A. D., Cunningham A. A. and Fisher M. C. (2005) Chytrid fungus in Europe. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 11: 1639-1641.
- Goldberg T. L., Readel A. M. and Lee M. H. (2007) Chytrid Fungus in Frogs from an Equatorial African Montane Forest in Western Uganda. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* **43**: 521-524.
- Green D. E., Converse K. A. and Schrader A. K. (2002) Epizootiology of sixty-four amphibian morbidity and mortality events in the USA, 1996-2001. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* **969**: 323-339.
- Herrera R. A., Steciow M. M. and Natale G. S. (2005) Chytrid fungus parasitizing the wild amphibian Leptodactylus ocellatus (Anura : Leptodactylidae) in Argentina. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms* **64**: 247-252.
- Kriger K. M., Hero J. and Ashton K. J. (2006) Cost efficiency in the detection of chytridiomycosis using PCR assay. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms* **71**: 419-154.
- Kriger K. M. and Hero J. M. (2006) Cophixalus ornatus (Ornate nursery frog). Chytridiomycosis. Herpetological Review 37: 443.
- Kriger K. M. and Hero J. M. (2007) The chytrid fungus *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* is non-randomly distributed across amphibian breeding habitats. *Diversity and Distributions*.
- Lampo M., Barrio-Amoros C. and Han B. A. (2007) *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* infection in the recently rediscovered Ateloopus mucubajiensis (Anura, Bufonidae), a critically endangered frog from the VenezuelanAndes. *EcoHealth* **3**: 299-302.
- Lane E. P., Weldon C. and Bingham J. (2003) Histological evidence of chytridiomycete fungal infection in a free-ranging amphibian, *Afrana fuscigula* (Anura: Ranidae). *Journal of the South African Veterinary Association* **74**: 20-21.
- Lips K. R., Brem f., Brenes R., Reeve J. D., Alford R. A., Voyles J., Carey C., Livo L., Pessier A. P. and Collins J. P. (2006) Emerging infectious disease and the loss of biodiversity in a neotropical amphibian community. *PNAS* **103**: 3165-3170.
- Longcore J. R., Longcore J. E., Pessier A. P. and Halteman W. A. (2007) Chytridiomycosis widespread in anurans of northeastern United States. *Journal of Wildlife Management* **71**: 435-444.
- Mazzoni R., Cunningham A. A., Daszak P., Apolo A., Perdomo E. and Speranza G. (2003) Emerging pathogen of wild amphibians in frogs (*Rana catesbeiana*) farmed for international trade. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* **9**: 995-998.
- McDonald K. R., Mendez D., Mueller R., Freeman A. B. and Speare R. (2005) Decline in the prevalence of chytridiomycosis in frog populations in North Queensland, Australia. *Pacific Conservation Biology* **11**: 114-120.

Morell V. (1999) Are pathogens felling frogs? Science 284: 728-731.

Mutschmann F., Berger L., Zwart P. and Gaedicke C. (2000) Chytridiomycosis on amphibians - first report from Europe. *Berliner Und Munchener Tierarztliche Wochenschrift* **113**: 380-383.

- Ouellet M., Mikaelian I., Pauli B. D., Rodrigue J. and Green D. M. (2005) Historical evidence of widespread chytrid infection in North American amphibian populations. *Conservation Biology* **19**: 1431-1440.
- Parker J. M., Mikaelian I., Hahn N. and Diggs H. E. (2002) Clinical diagnosis and treatment of epidermal chytridiomycosis in African clawed frogs (*Xenopus tropicalis*). *Comparative Medicine* **52**: 265-268.
- Pauza M. and Driessen M. (2008) Distribution and potential spread of amphibian chytrid fungus Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis in the Tasmanian wilderness world heritage area. *online*.

Pearl C. A., Bull E. L., Green D. E., Bowerman J., Adams M. J., Hyatt A. and Wente W. H. (2007) Occurrence of the Amphibian Pathogen *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in the Pacific Northwest. *Journal of Herpetology* **41**: 145-149.

- Pessier A. P., Nichols D. K., Longcore J. E. and Fuller M. S. (1999) Cutaneous chytridiomycosis in poison dart frogs (*Dendrobates* spp.) and white's tree frogs (*Litoria caerulea*). Journal of Veterinary Diagnostic Investigation **11**: 194-199.
- Puschendorf R. and Bolanos F. (2006) Detection of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in Eleutherodactylus fitzingeri: Effects of skin sample location and histologic stain. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* **42**: 301-306.
- Puschendorf R., Castaneda F. and McCranie J. R. (2006) Chytridiomycosis in wild frogs from Pico Bonito National Park, Honduras. *EcoHealth* **Online First**: 1-4.
- Rollins-Smith L. A., Reinert L. K., Miera V. and Conlon J. M. (2002) Antimicrobial peptide defenses of the Tarahumara frog, *Rana tarahumarae*. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* **297**: 361-367.
- Ron S. R. and Merino A. (2000) Amphibian declines in Ecuador: Overview and first report of chytridiomycosis from South America. *Froglog* **42**.
- Ruiz A. and Rueda-Almonacid J. V. (2008) *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* and chytridiomycosis in anuran amphibians of Colombia. *EcoHealth*.
- Seimon T. A., Seimon A., Daszak P., Halloy S. R. P., Schloegel L. M., Aguilar C. A., Sowell P., Hyatt A. D., Konecky B. and Simmons J. E. (2007) Upward range extension of Andean anurans and chytridiomycosis to extreme elevations in response to tropical deglaciation. *Global Change Biology* 13: 288-299.
- Simoncelli F., Fragotti A., Dall'Olio R., Vagnetti D., Pascolini R. and Di Rosa I. (2005) Evidence of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* infection in water frogs of the *Rana esculenta* complex in Central Italy. *EcoHealth* **2**: 307-312.
- Speare R. and Berger L. (2005) Chytridiomycosis in amphibians in Australia. http://www.jcu.edu.au/school/phtm/PHTM/frogs/chyspec.htm. Sredl M. J. and Cardwell D. (2002) Update on chytrid surveys of frogs from Arizona. *Tucson Herpetological Newsletter* **13**: 1.
- Stagni G., Scoccianti C. and Fusini R. (2002) Segnalazione di chytridiomicosi in popolazioni di *Bombina pachypus* (Anura, Bombinatoridae) dell'Appennino tosco-emiliano. In: *Abstracts IV; Congresso della Societas Herpetologica Italica; Napoli: Societas Herpetologica Italica.*

- Symonds E. P., Hines H. B., Bird P. S., Morton J. M. and Mills P. C. (2007) Surveillance for *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* using *Mixophyes* (Anura: Myobatrachidae) larvae. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* **43**: 48-60.
- Waldman B., van de Wolfshaar K. E., Klena J. D., Andjic V., Bishop P. J., Norman R. and North P. (2001) Chytridiomycosis in New Zealand frogs. *Surveillance* 28.
- Weldon C., du Preez L. H., Hyatt A. D., Muller R. and Speare R. (2004) Origin of the amphibian chytrid fungus. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* **10**: 2100-2105.