

Conjunction particles in Nakh-Daghestanian - topic, focus or something else?

Diana Forker (The Cairns Institute & University of Bamberg)

diana.forker@uni-bamberg.de

1. Introduction

Nakh-Daghestanian (Caucasus, Russia) languages make heavily use of conjunction particles. In this talk, I focus on two languages from different subbranches, Hinuq (particle =*n(o)*) and Sanzhi Dargi (= *ra*). The data comes from natural texts collected by myself.

Previous accounts

- Polinsky & Potsdam (2001) analyze Tsez = *n* as topic marker
- Nichols & Peterson (2010) present a survey of several languages and list ‘emphatic and/or contrastive focus’ as one of the possible functions
- van den Berg (2004) ‘additive focus marker’
- in Russian grammars described as ‘discourse coherence particle’, ‘intensifying particle’, ‘emphatic particle’

2. Functions of conjunction particles in Nakh-Daghestanian

- non-derivational functions:

1. coordination (phrase level)
2. clause chaining
3. additive function ‘also, even’
4. topic switch/contrastive function

- derivational uses:

5. concessive converbs
6. anterior converbs (Hinuq)
7. indefinite pronouns
8. collective numerals

and occasionally also

9. direct cardinal numerals 2-10 (Hinuq, Tsez, Bezhta, Dargi languages)
10. part of comitative marker (Godoberi, eventually Avar)

11. optative (in Avar imperative + conjunction particle)

Concessive clauses/converbs

- usually formed by adding the conjunction particle to the conditional converb (*also/even + if = even if, although, though*)

Indefinite pronouns

- WH-pronouns + conjunction particles
- positive polarity: universal indefinite pronouns (*who + also/even = everybody*)
- negative polarity: negative indefinite pronouns (*nobody, anybody*)

Collective numerals

- cardinal numeral + conjunction particle = both, all three, all four, etc.

3. Grammatical functions

3.1. Coordination

- at the phrase level, e.g. NPs

3.2. Clause chaining

- ‘and/then’ structures consisting of complex clauses with at least one adverbial clause (containing a converb) and one finite clause
- the conjunction particle occurs on an item in the adverbial clause
- the structure is semantically similar to coordination, but syntactically nevertheless subordination
- in Hinuq the chaining function is pretty much lexicalized and fixed (5a)
- in Sanzhi Dargi apparently less frequent (than in Hinuq)

(1) Sanzhi Dargi

c'il = **ra** hel-t:i bahla.bahlal ʁaj = **ra** d-aχ-ur-re,
then = and this-PL slowly language = and NPL-know-PRET-CVB

bahla.bahlal islužba = **ra** b-iq:-ul ...
slowly service = and N-carry.IPFV-ICVB

‘And then slowly I got to know this language, and I did my (military) service.’

4. Functions related to information structure

4.1. Additive function ‘also, even’

- similar to ‘focus sensitive’ additive particles, e.g. English *also* (cf. König 1990)
- > the speaker presupposes an alternative proposition in which the constituent in the scope of the additive particle is replaced by a contextually relevant alternative
- normally added to nominals, adverbials, adjectives, but in Sanzhi also to verbs (3b)
- ‘pure type’ fulfills the “identity requirement” (van Putten 2013)
- the pure type is only occasionally attested in texts (2a, b)

(2) Hinuq [People joked with the two friends.]

a. maxsara-mo-z hazey = **no** maxsara-mo-λ'o-ɬun žawab
joke-OB-DAT 1pl.ERG = and joke-OBL-SPR-AS answer

toλ-o zoq'we-n
give-ICVB be-UWPST

‘And they also answered a joke with a joke.’

b. Sanzhi Dargi [Was grandfather the oldest son?]

at:a-jar χula-te = **ra** b-irχ-i
father-AS big-ATTR.PL = and HPL-be.IPFV-PST.HAB

‘There were also older ones than grandfather.’

- for Hinuq or Sanzhi there does not seem to be an identity requirement (the same for other languages, e.g. Avatime, van Putten 2013)
- it is rather a more general requirement of similarity or compatibility
- the meaning of = *n* and = *ra* is broader and less specific than the meaning of ‘also’

(3) Hinuq [the stepmother sends the witch in order to poison the stepdaughter]

a. hibadu iši = **n** b-iž-o = λen eλi-n hayɬuz
this apple(III) III-take-IMP = QUOT say-CVB 3sg.F.DAT

‘And take also this apple for her!’

- there is nothing else that she should take

-> do this (= go there) and do that (= bring her the apple)

b. Sanzhi Dargi [a man and an official discuss a matter]

il q:ulluq: = **ra** arz-aq-an = da
this matter = and solve.IPFV-CAUS-OBLG = 1

‘We will also solve this matter.’

- in the context there is no other matter that was or needs to be solved
- > we have always solved problems, we will also solve this one

- scope: over the word to which it is added, or if this is the head of a phrase, then over the whole phrase

(3) Hinuq [the house of the youngest sister turned to ashes (i.e. burned down)]

a. yoʎodemuni essu-zo = **n** beʎe.s y-iq-no yoʎu
middle sister-GEN = and house.GEN IV-become-UWPST ashes(IV)

‘And also the house of the middle sister turned to ashes.’

- > 1) the same happened to another sister (scope: *middle sister*)
- > 2) the house of the middle brother burnt down (scope: *sister*)

b. Sanzhi Dargi [Grandfather did every work.]

libil-li-j kumek b-irq'-i = **ra**
all < HPL > -OBL-DAT help N-do.IPFV-PST.HAB = and

‘(He) also helped everybody.’

- another ‘focus sensitive’ use: scalar additive particle, e.g. English *even*
- presupposes that there are alternatives to the item marked with the particle, and the marked item is the least likely among the set of alternatives
- interacts with polarity, i.e. in a negative clause the marked item is the most likely among the alternatives
- in Sanzhi Dargwa frequently in the combination with *hati* ‘more’
- in Hinuq not very common (the language has another particle with this meaning)

(4) Hinuq [A boy tries hard to learn the Quran by heart, but he fails.]

hes roži = **n** rok'-ʎ'o r-iči-yo gom
one word = and heart.OBL-SPR V-be-ICVB be.NEG

‘He remembers not even one word.’

4.2. Topic switch/contrast

- the conjunction particles are used to switch the topic of the narration
- not very frequent in Hinuq and Sanzhi Dargi (Hinuq has other particles more commonly used in this function, but very often a simple topic switch is unmarked)
- despite a topic shift the utterance gets connected to the previous one by means of the particle (*X does something. And Y does something.* -> the action of X and Y are not disconnected, but relate to each other, e.g. because Y is the reaction to X, or Y is the result of X, etc.)

(5) Hinuq [After that the horse flies away.]

- a. uži = n [ɣwede = n qeši-n] ø-aq'-o iduddo
boy(I) = and day = and become.bright-CVB I-come-PRS home
'And the boy, when the day becomes bright, goes home.'

b. Sanzhi Dargi [The fox said to Patimat: Do such and such!]

- “ʔaʰh-le” r-ik'-ul = ca-r Pat'ima = ra razi
good-ADVZ F-say.IPFV-ICVB = be-F Patima = and agree

r-iχ-ub = ca-r

F-be.PFV-PRET = be-F

'And Patima agreed saying “Good.”'

- contrast: extreme case of a topic switch: the topic is not just simply switched (changed) but changed and contrasted
- contrast indicates the presence of alternatives (the present situation/referent is contrasted with an alternative situation/referent that is often already mentioned in the discourse) (Krifka 1999: 113)
- thus, semantically similar to additive particles
- contrast (Repp 2010): at least one identifiable alternative in the context available

(6) Hinuq [The king is calling for all young heroes to come to his palace. Three brothers talk about this.]

- a. elu-qo gulu-be = n gom, elu-qo-zo hayi
1pl.OBL-AT horse-PL = and be.NEG 1pl.OBL-AT-ABL2 such

hunar = **no** b-iq-me
ability = and III-happen-NEG

‘We do not have horses, and we do not have such an ability.’

- in contrast to the other men, the heroes

b. Sanzhi Dargi [the speaker talks about people from the neighboring village who have moved to the lowlands]

iti itwaj = **ra** ʔu^ʃrus:-e ʁunab-te = ca-b hana = **ra**
3pl like.this = and Russian-PL like-ATTR.PL = be-HPL now = and

‘And now also they are like Russians.’

-> they were earlier like Russians (as he described earlier in his narration)

- in contrast to us, we are different from them

5. Summary and cross-linguistic comparsion

- not really possible to give a unified account of all different functions (derivational, coordination, chaining, additive focus-sensitive use, topic switch/contrastive use)

- though the different functions seem to have a common core

- conjunction particles are not ‘topic markers’ or ‘focus markers’

- the functional load is nearly identical in Hinuq and Sanzhi, but frequencies differ

- Hinuq: 50 chaining examples, 17 ‘also/even’ examples from 100 clauses

- Sanzhi Dargi: 20 chaining examples, 33 ‘also/even’ examples from 100 clauses

- similar particles are attested in a number of other languages of the world, but the exact functional range is variable

- Turkish *-dA/-dE* (Haig 2001, Göksel & Özsoy 2003, Göksel & Kerlake 2005)

- Amharic *-mm* (Demeke & Meyer 2008)

- Central Kurdish *-iš* (Öpengin 2013)

- Laz, Kurmanji, Zazaki (Haig 2001)

- Persian *-hoem* (Stilo 2004)

- Sanskrit *api*, Dravidian **-um* (Emeneau 1980, Lehmann 1993) (e.g. Tamil = *um*, Malayam = *um*, Kannada *u*)

- Gooniyandi = *ngaddaya* (McGregor 1990: 478-482)

- Avatime (Kwa) *tsyε* (van Putten 2013)

- Karbi (Tibeto-Burman) = *tā* (Konnert 2013)

	coll. num.	indef.	concess.	'also'	topic switch	NP coordination	chaining
Hinuq, Sanzhi	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Amharic	distr.	+	+	+	+	+	
Tamil	+	+	+	+		+	
Turkish		-	+	+	+	+ (also clause coordination)	
Persian		(+)		+	+	clause coordination	
Gooniyandi		+		+			
Avatime				+	+		
Karbi				+	+		

- the existence of such particles has been claimed to constitute an areal feature for India (Emeneau 1980) and East Anatolia (Haig 2001)
- in the light of the frequency with which such particles occur these claims are dubious

References

- Demeke, Girma A. & Ronny Meyer. 2008. The enclitic -mm in Amharic: Reassessment of a multifunctional morpheme. *Linguistics* 46. 607–628.
- Emeneau, Murray B. 1980. The Indian linguistic area revisited. In Murray B. Emeneau (ed.), *Language and linguistic area*, 197-249. Stanford University Press: Stanford.
- Göksel, Aslı & A. Sumru Özsoy. 2003. *da*: a focus/topic associated clitic in Turkish. *Lingua* 113, 1143-1167.
- Göksel, Aslı & Celia Kerslake. 2005. *Turkish: A comprehensive grammar*. London: Routledge.
- Haig, Geoffrey. 2001. Linguistic diffusion in modern East Anatolia: from top to bottom. In Alexandra Aikhenvald & R. M. W. Dixon (eds.), *Areal diffusion and*

- genetic inheritance: Problems in comparative linguistics*, 195-224. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Haspelmath, Martin. 1997. *Indefinite pronouns*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- König, Ekkehard. 1991. *The meaning of focus particles: A comparative perspective*. London: Routledge.
- Konnerth, Linda. 2013. Additive particle and discourse contrast marker: Evidence from Karbi (Tibeto Burman) = *tā*. Talk at the workshop *Information structure in spoken language corpora*. Bielefeld.
- Krifka, Manfred. 1999. Additive particles under stress. In Devon Strolovitch & Aaron Lawson (eds.), *Proceedings of SALT VIII*, 111-128. Cornell: CLC Publications.
- Lehman Thomas. 1993. *A grammar of Modern Tamil*. Pondicherry: Pondicherry Institute of Linguistics and Culture.
- McGregor, William. 1990. *A functional grammar of Gooniyandi*. Amsterdam: Benjamins.
- Nichols, Johanna & David A. Peterson. 2010. Contact-induced spread of the rare Type 5 clitic. Talk given at the *LSA annual meeting*, 7 January 2010. Baltimore.
- Opengin, Ergin. 2013. Topicalisation in Central Kurdish. Talk at the workshop *Information structure in spoken language corpora*. Bielefeld.
- Polinsky, Maria & Eric Potsdam. 2001. Long-distance agreement and topic in Tsez. *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory* 19, 583–646.
- Repp, Sophie. 2010. Defining ‘contrast’ as an information-structural notion in grammar. *Lingua* 120, 1333-1345.
- Stilo, Don. 2004. Coordination in three Western Iranian languages: Vafsi, Persian and Gilaki. In Martin Haspelmath (ed.), *Coordinating constructions*, 269-330. Amsterdam: Benjamins.
- van den Berg, Helma. 2004. Coordinating constructions in Daghestanian. In Martin Haspelmath (ed.), *Coordinating constructions*, 197-226. Amsterdam: Benjamins.
- van Putten, Saskia. 2013. The meaning of the Avatime additive particle *tsyɛ*. In F. Bildhauer & M. Grubic (eds.), *Interdisciplinary studies on information structure* 17, 55-74.