INTRODUCTION

In recent years emerging infectious diseases have been frequently reported in several cetacean species and populations worldwide, provoking large-scale die-offs, affecting reproduction and causing disfiguring skin lesions (Gulland & Hall 2007, Van Bressem et al. 2009a). Cutaneous nodular and granulomatous syndromes have been increasingly observed in odontocetes, in particular over the past 2 decades (Cald-
well et al. 1975, Kiszka et al. 2009, Reif et al. 2009, Van Bressem et al. 2009b, 2013). In some small communities of inshore and estuarine populations, these pathologies are highly prevalent, may progress to cover extensive body areas and have been associated with the death or disappearance of severely affected individuals, including calves (Simões-Lopes et al. 1993, Bossart et al. 2003, Van Bressem et al. 2007a, 2013, Kiszka et al. 2009, Daura-Jorge & Simões-Lopes 2011). However, their impact on the long-term viability of affected communities is still vastly unknown. The fungi *Lacazia loboi*, *Fusarium* spp., *Paracoccidioides brasiliensis* and *Trichophyton* spp. have been identified as the aetiological agents of some nodular disorders (Caldwell et al. 1975, Frasca et al. 1996, Van Bressem et al. 2007a, Esperón et al. 2012, Tanaka et al. 2012). Immune dysfunction is also known to play a role in the pathogenesis of *L. loboi* disease in coastal common bottlenose dolphins *Tursiops truncatus* from Florida, USA (Reif et al. 2009, Murdoch et al. 2010).

Irrawaddy dolphins *Orcaella brevirostris* occur in large rivers and marine appended lakes in South Asia, as well as in the coastal waters of the Indo-Pacific Ocean (Smith 2009). Estuarine and nearshore populations are distributed in tropical and subtropical waters of the Indo-Pacific Ocean, from eastern India to the Philippines (Dolar et al. 2002, Smith et al. 2006, Minton et al. 2011). Riverine populations have been documented in 3 major river systems: the Mahakam (Indonesia), the Ayeyarwady (Myanmar) and the Mekong (Laos, Cambodia and Vietnam) (Smith 2009). Their habitat preference exposes them to multiple anthropogenic threats, including gillnet entanglement, boat traffic, habitat loss and degradation and pollution (Jefferson et al. 2000, Dolar et al. 2002, Reeves et al. 2003, Baird & Beasley 2005, Smith et al. 2008, Van Waerebeek et al. 2008, Sutaria 2009). The species is classified as ‘Vulnerable’ in the IUCN Red List. However, the 3 riverine populations and those from Songkhla Lake and the Malampaya Sound, Philippines, have been listed as ‘Critically Endangered’ (IUCN 2008). Any source of enhanced morbidity and mortality or a reduction in reproductive capacity is therefore of concern for their conservation.

During the course of photo-identification studies in Sarawak, Malaysia, and in Chilika Lagoon, India, several skin nodules were observed in 2 *Orcaella brevirostris*. This prompted us to examine the macro- and microscopic features of this condition as well as its epidemiological characteristics in *O. brevirostris* populations from Malaysia, India and Bangladesh using images taken for photo-identification studies in 2004 to 2013, as described by Thompson & Hammond (1992). During this study one of the photo-identified (PI) dolphins from Sarawak, positive for cutaneous nodules, died following accidental gillnet entanglement, and was sampled in an attempt to elucidate the aetiology of the disease. Here we report on our findings.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Photo-identification surveys and study areas**

The photo-identification surveys were realized in Bangladesh, Malaysia and India between 2004 and 2013 (Table 1) following the methods described in Smith et al. (2006), Minton et al. (2011, 2013) and Sutaria & Marsh (2011). Dolphins were photographed with digital SLR cameras equipped with 70 to 400 mm zoom lenses, at distances ranging from a few to several hundreds of meters. Individuals were identified using natural marks on the dorsal fin (Würsig & Jefferson 1990).

**Malaysia**

Images were analysed from 4 separate study sites (Kuching, Bintulu-Similajau, Kinabatangan-Segama river basin and Penang Island), 400 to 1500 km distant from each other (Fig. 1). Kuching and Bintulu-Similajau, in Sarawak State, were surveyed between February and October in 2008 to 2011, to avoid the monsoon season (November through January). The Kuching coastline is bounded roughly by 110° 13’ to 110° 50’ E and 1° 65’ to 1° 82’ N. It comprises complex and interconnected series of wide rivers and mangrove channels, which empty into 3 major estuaries (Minton et al. 2011). The Similajau study area follows a 15 km wide coastal strip centred at about 113° 00’ E and running from approximately 3° 30’ to 3° 80’ N. It is interspersed with rivers and streams of varying sizes. The Kinabatangan-Segama study site is located in Sabah, Malaysia’s eastern seaboard, and is bounded by 5° 25’ to 5° 30’ N and 118° 15’ to 118° 55’ E. This site, which lies in an internationally protected and largely undisturbed wetland area containing mangrove and rare peat swamp forest, was surveyed throughout 2011. Bounded to the north by 5° 28’ N, 100° 12’ E and to the west by 5° 29’ N, 100° 16’ E, the Penang study area receives freshwater input from the Pinang and Burung Rivers. Surveys commenced here in early 2013.
Table 1. *Orcaella brevirostris*. Characteristics of cutaneous fibropapillomas and nodules in *O. brevirostris* from 3 range states over various periods. No. of PI dolphins: number of photo-identified dolphins examined for skin nodules; LDF: left dorsal fin; RDF: right dorsal fin; NA: data not available; Unk.: unknown

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LDF</td>
<td>RDF</td>
<td>LDF</td>
<td>RDF</td>
<td>LDF</td>
<td>RDF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of PI dolphins</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. positive</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence (%)</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>8.30</td>
<td>9.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesion size</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small and medium</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium and large</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small to large</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of visible lesions</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6–10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease evolution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regression</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progression</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Association with skin traumas</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Number of PI dolphins is tentative*
In Penang, Kuching and Bintulu-Similajau images of the left (LDF) and right (RDF) sides of dorsal fins were treated as 2 separate datasets, and assigned separate sequences of individual identification (ID) numbers because, with the exception of severely disfigured individuals, it was not possible to match RDF and LDF accurately. As such, individual dolphins may have 2 separate ID numbers. In the Kinabatangan-Segama study site, LDF and RDF were matched when possible. On the basis of high site fidelity, large distances between the survey sites and of biological information for other *Orcaella brevirostris* populations, dolphins photographed in Kuching, Bintulu-Similajau and Sabah were considered as different sub-populations and analysed separately (Minton et al. 2011). The Penang population was not included in the statistical analysis, as the number of PI individuals is still very limited.

**India**

PI surveys were realized between November and April in 2004 to 2006 and in 2009 to 2011 in the Chilika Lagoon (Fig. 1), a large, brackish water body located in the Orissa region (19° 28’ to 19° 54’ N, 85° 05’ to 85° 38’ E). The lagoon water surface area varies from 1165 km² in the monsoon season (June to September) to 906 km² during the dry period (November to June). It is separated from the Bay of Bengal by an approximately 1.5 km wide and 60 km long sandy ridge. Surveys were carried out twice a month in the outer channel, in the central and southern sectors and in a dredged channel in the northern sector.

Whenever possible, both sides of the dorsal fin were photographed during the surveys, and each dolphin was assigned a single ID number (Sutaria & Marsh 2011). Adults or sub-adults (distinguished by relative size) closely accompanying calves and juveniles were assumed to be females (Sutaria 2009).

**Bangladesh**

Line-transect surveys and other studies were realized in 2006 to 2010 in the waterways of the Sundarbans (21° 50’ to 22° 5’ N, 89° 00’ to 90° 00’ E), very large contiguous mangrove forests, with an area of about 5770 km² in the delta of the Bay of Bengal extending into India (Fig. 1). Large rivers enter the forest from the north and flow south and are laterally connected by numerous smaller channels that are home to an estimated 397 *Orcaella brevirostris* (Smith et al. 2006). Images of *O. brevirostris* were taken opportunistically while carrying out the surveys, mostly in the eastern half of the Bangladesh Sundarbans. As there was no dedicated effort to photo-identify the dolphins, the number of individuals examined for skin diseases is only approximate.

**Data collection and analysis**

Photo-catalogues were searched for skin disorders by the catalogue holders at each study site (Table 1). Images of suspected positive dolphins were extracted and further examined by the first author. They were rated for usefulness and quality according to characteristics, including distance from the photographer, angle and sharpness, and were classified into 3 categories: good, average and poor. While only good and average images were used to diagnose the presence of nodules on individuals at a particular stage during their lifespan, poor images were utilized to confirm the presence of noticeable lesions in successive years, if no better alternative photograph was available.

Whenever possible, the gross external characteristics of the disease, its progression (i.e. increase in nodule size and/or number in successive images of the same body area) and regression (i.e. decrease in the number and/or size of the lesions in successive images of the same body area) were investigated for each individual over the duration of the survey periods. The greatest diameter of the lesions was estimated photogrammetrically on the comparative basis of a mean dorsal fin height of 62 mm in *Orcaella brevirostris* (Beasley et al. 2005). Estimated lesion size was classified as small (<7 mm), medium-sized (7 to 15 mm), or large (>15 mm).

Four skin nodules sampled in female KCH10-RDF-035 (Kuching), which accidentally died in a gillnet on 10 August 2012, were measured, collected and preserved either in 95% ethanol or frozen at −18°C. Two lesions were routinely processed for histology, embedded in paraffin, sectioned at 5 µm and stained with haematoxylin and eosin or Periodic Acid-Schiff.

**Epidemiology**

Prevalence of skin nodules in the communities was estimated as the ratio of the number of affected animals to the number of PI individuals. Prevalence levels should be considered as minima as, generally, only the upper body could be examined. Statistical significance of differences in prevalence between
communities ($\alpha = 0.05$ level) was verified with a chi-squared test. Prevalence of skin nodules in dolphins from eastern Malaysia was calculated separately for the right and left sides of the dorsal fin. Temporal variation in the prevalence of cutaneous nodules in *Orcaella brevirostris* from the Chilika Lagoon was examined with a McNemar’s test using exact binomial probability calculations (www.vassarstats.net/propcorr.html). We did not estimate prevalence levels for the dolphins of Penang and the Sundarbans, as PI studies in these areas are just beginning.

**RESULTS**

We examined over 5700 images of *Orcaella brevirostris* photographed from 2004 to 2013 in 3 range states (Fig. 1). Skin nodules were detected in the 6 populations examined, with prevalence levels ranging from 2.2% ($N = 46$; Bintulu-Similajau) to 13.9% ($N = 72$; Chilika Lagoon) in Malaysia and India (Table 1, Figs. 2 & 3). The earliest recognition of this condition dates back to January 2005. Characteristic tattoo skin disease (Geraci et al. 1979, Van Bressem et al. 2003) was not observed in these dolphins.

**Characteristics of the disease**

The cutaneous nodules appeared as circumscribed elevations of the skin, generally with a normal pigmentation and unbroken integument, and varied in size from 2 to >30 mm at the widest point (Fig. 2). They were sparse (1 to 10), or numerous (>10), occurred on all visible body areas (dorsum, flanks, tailstock and dorsal fin) and were sometimes associated with wounds or scars (Table 1, Figs. 2 & 3). The 2 index cases, A65 (Chilika Lagoon, India) and KCH11-LDF-009/RDF-008 (Sarawak, Malaysia), were the most extreme with >30 small to large cutaneous nodules on their visible body in 2009 and 2011, respectively (Figs. 2C & 3B).

The evolution of the nodules could be evaluated in 8 PI dolphins from the Chilika Lagoon ($N = 2$) and Sarawak ($N = 6$) for which sequential images of the...
same body area were available. Regression was not observed. In Sarawak, the disease remained stable in 2 dolphins and progressed in 4 other specimens over a time period of 4 to 24 mo. It also progressed in the 2 adult dolphins from Chilika (A65 and A1) during 49 and 61 mo, respectively (Table 1, Fig. 3). Frequently sighted in 2004 to 2006, the index case A65 had 3 small cutaneous nodules on its right flank in January 2005 (Fig. 3A). Numerous, small to medium lesions had developed on its dorsal fin, back and flank in February 2009 (Fig. 3B). The dolphin was again photographed in March 2009, but available images were too dark to assess disease evolution. It was not re-sighted in the period from April 2009 to December 2011, and its fate is unknown.

Histologically, the lesions sampled in female KCH10-RDF-035 (Fig. 2B) were non-encapsulated, fairly circumscribed, raised nodules involving the superficial dermis and formed by thick collagen bundles (Fig. 4). There was some moderate epithelial hyperplasia with hydropic degeneration in the stratum intermedium (Fig. 4A). The rete pegs were irregular, rounder, shorter and larger than in the normal epithelium and extended down into the dermis. There was also a mild increase in cellularity, with minimal perivascular inflammatory cells predominantly composed of mature lymphocytes (Fig. 4B). Special stain Periodic Acid Schiff for fungal bodies was negative. Areas of necrosis, atypical cells, increased mitosis, or malignant changes were not detected. The nodules were diagnosed as fibropapillomas following the nomenclature of Weedon (2002) and Schulman et al. (2003).

Epidemiology

Malaysia

Minimal prevalence levels varied between 2.2% (N = 46; Bintulu-Similajau) and 9.1% (N = 11; Kinabatangan) in the 3 O. brevirostris populations from the states of Sabah and Sarawak in eastern Malaysia (Table 1). There was no significant difference in prevalence between the 3 sites using RDF ($\chi^2 = 1.45, df = 2, p = 0.48$) or LDF ($\chi^2 = 2.84, df = 2, p = 0.24$) images. On Penang Island, 5 dolphins were found to have skin nodules (Table 1).

India

Minimum prevalence of skin nodules in O. brevirostris from the Chilika Lagoon was 6.3% (N = 80) in 2004 to 2006 and 13.9% (N = 72) in 2009 to 2011. In 72 dolphins photo-identified during both periods, there were more new cases of infection (N = 8) than cases of remission (N = 0), and prevalence was significantly higher (McNemar test, $p = 0.00078$) in 2009 to 2011 (13.9%) than in 2004 to 2006 (2.8%). As the same photo-identification protocol was followed, with similar image quality and effort in image assessment during both periods, we believe that this result reflects a true tendency. Also, the stage with ‘numerous nodules’ was first observed only in the period from 2009 to 2011 (A65). All positive PI dolphins were adults; 5 were females and 8 were of unknown sex. Positive dolphins were observed in the outer channel (n = 12) and in the southern sector (N = 1) of the lagoon.
DISCUSSION

This is the first report of a cutaneous disorder in free-ranging, estuarine and riverine *Orcaella brevirostris*. Aside from a recent communication on bacterial infection in a specimen maintained in captivity in Beijing, China (Yu & Xia 2013), there are, to our knowledge, no published papers on diseases in *O. brevirostris*.

Lesions sampled in a dead *Orcaella brevirostris* from Kuching had histological features consistent with fibropapillomas (fibroblastic proliferations with slight overlying epithelial hyperplasia; Schulman et al. 2003). It is possible that the nodules observed in the other *O. brevirostris* individuals were also fibropapillomas, as macroscopical features were identical (small to large, persistent cutaneous masses with a normal pigmentation and an intact overlying skin; Figs. 2 & 3). However, this remains to be investigated. Other inflammatory, infectious, or neoplastic diseases can at this stage not be ruled out. Though genital, cutaneous and oral papillomas (localized epithelium hyperplasia with a defined boundary and an intact basement membrane; Shah & Howley 1990) have been frequently described in odontocetes (Geraci et al. 1987, Lamberts et al. 1987, Bossart et al. 1996, Van Bressem et al. 1996, 1999, 2007b, Rehtanz et al. 2006, Gottschling et al. 2011), to the best of our knowledge, there are no published papers on cutaneous fibropapillomas in these marine mammals. Often caused by viruses in the family *Papillomaviridae*, fibropapillomas are commonly diagnosed on the skin of many terrestrial mammals including deer, camels, horses and zebras where they can be plentiful and reach large sizes (Shope et al. 1958, Sundberg & Nielsen 1981, Schulman et al. 2003, Nel et al. 2006). Whether papillomaviruses are implicated in the aetiology of fibropapillomas in *O. brevirostris* is possible, but requires further research. Proliferative fibropapillomas linked to herpesviruses plague marine turtles around the world, including along the coasts of Malaysia and Indonesia (Herbst 1994, Adnyana et al. 1997), however, the histology of the nodules sampled in dolphin KCH10-RDF-035 did not evoke herpesvirus infection. While not detected histologically in this study, other known aetiological agents of skin nodules in odontocetes include fungi (*Lacazia loboi*, *Fusarium* spp., *Paracoccidioides brasiliensis* and *Trichophyton* spp.) and the bacteria *Streptococcus iniae* (Caldwell et al. 1975, Frasca et al. 1996, Bonar & Wagner 2003, Esperón et al. 2012, Tanaka et al. 2012).

Cutaneous nodules were encountered in all 6 *Orcaella brevirostris* populations examined in Malaysia, India and Bangladesh, with minimal prevalence levels varying between 2.2 and 13.9%. In addition, they were also observed in the critically endangered sub-population from the Mahakam River, East Kalimantan, Indonesia (D. Kreb, pers. comm. to G. Minton and M.-F. Van Bressem on 17 May 2012). The most severe cases, as well as disease progression and increase in prevalence over time, were seen in Kuching and the Chilika Lagoon. Persistent organic pollutants were detected in *O. brevirostris* from the Chilika Lagoon in 2001 to 2002 (Kannan et al. 2005). By depressing the immune system these compounds increase the risk of infectious diseases in marine mammals (Aguilar & Borrell 1994, Ross et al. 2000, Hall et al. 2006, Reif et al. 2009). Whether they are involved in boosting the development of cutaneous nodules in *O. brevirostris* is currently unknown.

During the present study, dolphin A65 (India), regularly sighted in 2004–2006, was re-photographed only once after becoming affected with generalized skin nodules in February 2009, and dolphin KCH11-LDF-009/KCH11-RDF-008 (Malaysia) was never re-sighted after it was observed to have numerous cutaneous nodules in March 2011. However, because of the complex geographical features of the study areas and the elusive movements of the dolphins, it was not possible to determine whether the individuals had died, escaped detection when the surveys were conducted, or had moved out of the study areas. Interestingly, off the coast of Amakusa-Shimoshima Island, Japan, a resident mature male Indo-Pacific bottlenose dolphin *Tursiops aduncus*, regularly sighted since 1994, disappeared after having developed a high number of cutaneous nodules by July 2007 (Van Bressem et al. 2013, M. Shirakihara and M. Nishita, pers. comm. to M.-F. Van Bressem in September 2013). Further PI studies are necessary to assess the impact of cutaneous nodules on the long-term health of affected *Orcaella brevirostris*.

The emergence of a novel disease in geographically distant, vulnerable and critically endangered *Orcaella brevirostris* populations, the progression in severity in some individuals and the increase in prevalence in dolphins from the Chilika Lagoon over years are of concern. Diseases are important factors in the decline of vulnerable and endangered species (Smith et al. 2009) and should be taken into account in action plans for the conservation of *O. brevirostris*.

4 In horses and zebras fibropapillomas are referred to as sarcoids (Schulman et al. 2003)

2 Photo-identification surveys in this area were conducted on a regular basis from 1994 to 2013
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