## ResearchOnline@JCU

This is the **Accepted Version** of a paper published in the journal: Journal of Tropical Pediatrics

Harrison, Simone, Nowak, Madeleine, Devine, Susan, Saunders, Vicki, Smith, Annika, and Buttner, Petra (2013)

An intervention to discourage Australian mothers from unnecessarily exposing their babies to the sun for therapeutic reasons. Journal of Tropical Pediatrics, 59 (5).

pp. 403-406.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/tropej/fmt042



UNNE	CCESSARILY EXPOSING THEIR BABIES TO THE SUN FOR THERAPEUTI
	REASONS
Cimon	e Harrison, (1,2) Madeleine Nowak, (1,3) Susan Devine, (1) Vicki Saunders, (1) Annika
SIIIIOII( Smith	(1,4) Petra Buettner <sup>(1)</sup>
31111u1,	i ena Buetinei
(1)	Skin Cancer Research Group, Anton Brienl Centre, School of Public Health and Tropical Medicin
	James Cook University, Townsville Qld 4811
	QUT, Institute of Health and Biomedical Innovation (IHIBI), Kelvin Grove, Brisbane, QLD 4000
	School of Medicine & Dentistry, James Cook University, Townsville Qld 4811 Royal North Shore Hospital, Reserve Road, St Leonards, NSW 2065
(1)	Royal Notal Blood Hospital, Reserve Road, St Leonards, No W 2005
Corres	sponding author:
	Dr Simone Harrison
	Skin Cancer Research Group
	The Anton Breinl Centre
	School of Public Health, Tropical Medicine and Rehabilitation Sciences
	James Cook University
	Townsville Qld 4811
	Telephone: +61 7 44331749
	Fax: +61 7 44331767
	email: Simone.Harrison@jcu.edu.au
Word a	count: Summary 150
	count: Text 997

## **Summary:**

Parents play a key role in children's sun-protective behaviour, with good sun-protective habits established early tending to be sustained. We designed a maternity-hospital based educational intervention to reduce myths that could result in mothers intentionally sunning their babies. Interviews were conducted with two cross-sections of healthy post-partum inpatients in the maternity ward of a large regional public hospital. The first group (n=106) was recruited before the commencement of educational in-services for maternity nursing staff; the second group (n=203) was interviewed after the last staff in-service session. More pre-intervention than post-intervention women reported they would expose their baby to sunlight to: treat suspected jaundice (28.8% vs 13.3%; p<0.001) or help their baby's skin adapt to sunlight (10.5% vs 2.5%; p=0.003). Fewer post-intervention women indicated they would sun themselves to treat breastfeeding associated sore/cracked nipples (7.6% vs 2%; p=0.026). This educational intervention should be used to educate parents, health professionals and students.

- Key words: infants, perceived therapeutic benefits, sun-exposure, neonatal jaundice, nappy rash,
- 66 post-partum women.

67 68	Introduction
69	Childhood is a critical period for reducing the lifetime risk of skin cancer, 1,2, with an estimated two-
70	thirds of melanoma cases resulting from excessive sun-exposure in the first 15 years of life. <sup>3</sup>
71	
72	Despite a substantial investment in skin cancer prevention, particularly in Australia, 4,5 inappropriate
73	beliefs about the perceived therapeutic benefits of sun-exposure still prevail. More than half the
74	mothers of newborns in sunny Queensland (which has high skin cancer rates) favour deliberately
75	sunning babies to treat jaundice, nappy rash and prevent rickets. <sup>6,7</sup> Research suggests midwives and
76	paediatricians are major sources of inappropriate advice about sun-exposure. <sup>7,8</sup>
77	
78	Here we report the effectiveness of a maternity-hospital based education program to discourage
79	mothers from exposing themselves and their infants to sunlight for therapeutic reasons in an intense
80	ultraviolet radiation environment (average UV index: September-March >10; April-August ≥6). <sup>9</sup>
81 82	

M	[etl	n	Чe
TA1	Cu	ΙU	us

In 2002-3 midwives and nursing staff of maternity units of three public hospitals (1 intervention, 2 controls) in northern Queensland (Cairns 16°51'S; Townsville 19°16'S; Mackay 21°10'S) and post-partum in-patients from the intervention hospital, participated in the study (James Cook University Ethics Committee approval H1333).

Posters, bookmarks and brochures giving correct information about sun-exposure were developed for mothers<sup>10</sup> (Figure). The development of the educational intervention and resources, which was informed by aspects of the Communication-Behaviour Change Model,<sup>11</sup> has been described elsewhere.<sup>10</sup>All intervention hospital maternity nursing staff were invited to attend an educational workshop and/or receive resources outlining risks of therapeutic sun-exposure. Appropriate treatment of ailments commonly encountered in infancy and the post-partum period were discussed. A resource package received by staff included: a detailed literature review; a one page fact sheet; and educational pamphlets (Figure) to use in discussions with parents. The posters, bookmarks and brochures were displayed in the ward and included in bedside reading materials, baby sample packs and maternal record books distributed to all women giving birth in the intervention hospital.

The investigators invited healthy post-partum inpatients in the intervention hospital to participate in a short semi-structured interview after providing written informed consent. The first cross-section (106 women) was recruited prior to staff in-service workshops commencing; the second cross-section (203 women) was recruited after the last scheduled workshop. Seven in-service workshops and 11 individual sessions were conducted; 86.8% staff attended.<sup>10</sup>

Process evaluation of the workshops has been conducted previously.<sup>10</sup> Here we assess the effectiveness of the program among post-partum inpatients of the intervention hospital. Statistical analysis was conducted using unpaired t-tests, Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney-U tests, and approximate or exact Chi-square tests. Multivariable logistic regression analyses were conducted to determine independent predictors of mothers' intentions to expose themselves or their babies to the sun.

## Results

Pre- and post-intervention women (mean age 27.8±5.6 years, n=106; vs 27.0±5.7 years, n=203) were demographically similar except that fewer pre-intervention women had Caucasian ancestry (77.2% vs 84.4%; p=0.030). Of the 67 post-intervention women asked about the intervention materials displayed in the maternity ward of the intervention hospital, 71.6% reported seeing at least one of them.

More pre-intervention than post-intervention women reported they would "sun" their baby when asked what they would do if they suspected jaundice in their newborn (28.8% vs 13.3%; p<0.001), with a higher proportion of post-intervention than pre-intervention women (81.5% vs 46.7%) mentioning indirect/filtered sunlight. In a similar question, (possible responses agree/disagree/unsure), a higher proportion of pre-intervention than post-intervention women reported an intention to "sun" their child as treatment (28.6% vs 8.9%; p<0.001). This was confirmed by multivariable logistic regression analysis: POR=0.25, 95%CI [0.12, 0.52] p<0.001, adjusted for confounding effects of maternal skin colour and maternal education.

A higher proportion of women in the pre-intervention than post-intervention group considered it appropriate to intentionally expose their babies to help adapt their skin to sunlight (10.5% vs 2.5%; p=0.003). There was no significant change in the beliefs about "sunning" for the treatment of nappy rash (2.9% vs 2.0%; p=0.694) or to obtain adequate vitamin D (6.7% vs 4.4%; p=0.403) following the intervention.

When asked about remedies for sore or cracked nipples due to breastfeeding, a higher proportion of pre-intervention than post-intervention women suggested sunlight for treatment (7.6% vs 2%; p=0.026), with a quarter of participants from each group mentioning indirect/filtered sunlight. In a related question (agree/disagree/unsure), more pre-intervention than post-intervention women considered sunlight a good remedy for cracked nipples (8.6% vs 3.4%; p=0.055). The change in the response for sunlight for treatment for acne was similar (8.6% vs 3.4%; p=0.055).

142	Treatment group did not predict mothers intentions to sun themselves or their babies to synthesize
143	vitamin D (p=0.452) or treat nappy rash (p=0.281), and was borderline significant for treating acner
144	(p=0.057) and sore/cracked nipples (p=0.059).
145 146	

147	Discussion
148 149	This unique educational pilot program achieved its aim of improving the quality of information
150	available to post-partum women, with the intention of affecting their beliefs and behaviours about
151	using sunlight to treat neonatal jaundice, cracked nipples, acne and adapting baby skin to the sun. This
152	aspect of skin cancer prevention has not been addressed previously.
153	
154	Targeting early childhood is important in primary skin cancer prevention. Excesive sun-exposure
155	combined with inadequate sun-protection is common during childhood, <sup>2</sup> resulting in 82% of
156	Australian children experiencing a sunburn by age three. 12 While some progress has been made in sun-
157	protection of young Australian children, duration of sun-exposure did not decrease. <sup>13</sup> Strategies to
158	reduce melanoma risk, by curtailing development of melanocytic naevi, should begin in infancy. 14
159	
160	Parents play a key role in their child's sun-protective behaviour <sup>1,15,16</sup> and those who use sun-protection
161	themselves are more likely to protect their children. 16,17 Thus education about repercussions of
162	childhood sun-exposure should begin with the parents of neonates and be reinforced throughout
163	childhood and adolescence. 2,6,14,17,18 There are many skin cancer prevention strategies, yet little
164	emphasis is placed on sun-protection in infancy or correction of myths about the perceived benefits of
165	sun-exposure among either parents or health professionals. <sup>8</sup> However, health professionals influence
166	both parents and children, 6,19 thus their professional education should promote appropriate beliefs.
167	
168	This program could be used to educate: health professionals; parents in the pre-natal period and
169	during infancy; and adapted for undergraduate and post-graduate curricula and in-service training for

health professionals.

174	Funding
175	This work was supported by a James Cook University Merit Research grant. Simone Harrison and
176	Madeleine Nowak received some salary support from Queensland Health.
177	
178	Acknowledgements
179	The authors wish to acknowledge; Dr William Frischman (paediatrician) for his expert medical
180	advice, and Ms Judy Woosnam and Margot Morrison for data collection.
181	

п	- P -		
ĸ		rer	ices

- 182 183
- 184 1. Marks R. An overview of skin cancers. Incidence and causation. *Cancer* 1995;75(2 Suppl):607-12.
- Lowe JB, McDermott LJ, Stanton WR, Clavarino A, Balanda KP, McWhirter B. Behavior of
   caregivers to protect their infants from exposure to the sun in Queensland, Australia.Health
   *Educ Res* 2002;17:405-14.
- 3. Giles GG, Armstrong BK, Burton RC, Staples MP, Thursfield VJ. Has mortality from melanoma stopped rising in Australia? Analysis of trends between 1931 and 1994. *BMJ* 1996;312:1121-5.
- 4. Buettner PG, Raasch BA. Incidence rates of skin cancer in Townsville, Australia. *Int J Cancer* 1998;78:587-93. Erratum in: Int J Cancer 2001 Jul 15;93(2):302-3.
- 5. Sinclair C, Foley P. Skin cancer prevention in Australia. Br J Dermatol 2009; 161 Suppl
   3:116-23.
- 6. Harrison SL, Buettner PG, MacLennan R. Why do mothers still sun their infants? J Paediatr Child Health 1999;35:296-9.
- 7. Harrison S, Büttner P, Nowak M. Maternal beliefs about the reputed therapeutic uses of sun exposure in infancy and the post-partum period. Aust J Midwifery 2005;18:22-28.
- 8. Harrison S, Hutton L, Nowak M. An investigation of professional advice advocating therapeutic sun exposure. *Aust N Z J Public Health* 2002;26:108-15.
- 9. Australian Radiation Protection and Nuclear Safety Agency.
   http://www.arpansa.gov.au/uvindex/models/towuvmodel.htm Accessed 13-03-13.
- 10. Devine SG, Harrison SL, Buettner PG. Building capacity of maternity staff to discourage the use of sunlight therapy in the post-partum period and infancy. *Women Birth* 2008;21:107-11. Epub 2008 Jul 23.
- 207 11. McGuire J. Theoretical foundations of campaigns. In: Rice RE, Atkin C, editors. Public communication campaigns. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage; 1989.

210	12. Stanton WR, Chakma B, O'Riordan DL, Eyeson-Annan M. Sun exposure and primary
211	prevention of skin cancer for infants and young children during autumn/winter. Aust N Z J

- 212 *Public Health* 2000;24:178-84.
- 213 13. Smith A, Harrison S, Nowak M, Buettner P, Maclennan R. Changes in the pattern of sun
- 215 2012 Dec 22. doi:pii: S0190-9622(12)01197-8. 10.1016/j.jaad.2012.10.057. [Epub ahead of

exposure and sun protection in young children from tropical Australia. J Am Acad Dermatol

216 print].

214

- 217 14. Harrison SL, MacKie RM, MacLennan R. Development of melanocytic nevi in the first 3

  218 years of life. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2000;92(17):1436-8.
- 219 15. Weinstein JM, Yarnold PR, Hornung RL. Parental knowledge and practice of primary skin cancer prevention: gaps and solutions. *Pediatr Dermatol* 2001;18:473-7.
- 16. O'Riordan DL, Geller AC, Brooks DR, Zhang Z, Miller DR. Sunburn reduction through parental role modeling and sunscreen vigilance. *J Pediatr* 2003;142:67-72.
- 17. Grob JJ, Guglielmina C, Gouvernet J, Zarour H, Noé C, Bonerandi JJ. Study of sunbathing habits in children and adolescents: application to the prevention of melanoma. *Dermatology* 1993;186:94-8.
- 18. Sancho-Garnier H, Pereira B, Césarini P. A Cluster Randomized Trial to Evaluate a Health
  Education Programme "Living with Sun at School". *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2012

  July; 9(7): 2345–2361.
- 19. Worsley A. Perceived reliability of sources of health information. *Health Educ Res* 1989;4:367-76.

232	Figure Legend:
233	Posters and a pamphlet produced for the educational intervention to discourage mothers from
234	inappropriately intentionally sunning their babies.
235	







