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Themes in Cultural and Heritage Tourism in the Asia Pacific Region

Bruce Prideaux and Dallen J. Timothy

Cultural and Heritage Tourism in Asia and the Pacific is the result of lengthy discussions between the editors and colleagues in many countries and is based partially on a double special issue of the Asia Pacific Journal of Tourism Research. The call for papers for the special issue generated such a large response in submissions that two issues of the Journal (Vol. 9(3), Heritage in the Asia Pacific and Vol. 9(4), Cultural Tourism in the Asia Pacific) were required to publish some of the papers submitted. There were still a number of excellent papers remaining, and given that there is an ongoing debate occurring on many of the issues raised, the editors decided to publish the collection of papers from the special issue with a number of new chapters as a book. We believe that collectively the contributions provide a benchmark of current scholarly research into the main issues of heritage and culture in the Asia Pacific Region. While we acknowledge that the collection of chapters is not a definitive statement of the breadth of research currently underway, it does provide a useful summary and highlights the ongoing nature of the issues that are the subject of scholarly debate.

The overall aim of the book is to create a collection of work that both enhances current understanding and provides a guide to future research. In developing this book the editors were mindful of the need to include chapters by scholars within the region, as well as those who observe from afar, to provide a range of contrasting perspectives. This introductory chapter outlines the structure of the book before undertaking a review of some of the many issues raised by contributing authors.

The Structure of the Book

Cultural and Heritage Tourism in Asia and the Pacific is organized into four parts that collectively contain 22 chapters. The book is organized in a format that introduces readers to many of the key questions, such as
authenticity, before challenging them to consider how authenticity can be retained in the face of the demands of the tourism industry to manage and market cultural heritage. The first part of the book, *Authenticity: The Search for the Real*, consists of seven chapters that examine a range of issues that encompass the debate surrounding the meaning of authenticity and how this can be achieved in a changing world. The issues canvassed in this part of the book influence the structure of cultural heritage tourism and include themes that are examined in greater detail in this chapter.

In the second part of the book the impacts of tourism on heritage and culture are examined in five chapters. It is apparent that many of the issues surrounding the debate on retaining authenticity are dependent on the degree to which the contemporary world is changing and how that change affects traditional expressions of culture and uses of place. Even traditional music undergoes change when it is played by traditional instruments but in non-traditional settings, such as hotels and cultural centres. Similarly, the use of places that have strong heritage values is often contested, as new uses seek to supplant or replace traditional ones.

In Part 3, *Planning, Managing and Enterprise*, five chapters consider issues relating to managing cultural and heritage assets, as well as their planning, and for many organisations involved in bringing culture and heritage to the tourism industry their ability to engage in the establishment and running of successful sustainable businesses. Issues raised in this part of the book have strong links back to the issues raised about authenticity in Part 1, as well as the impacts that cultural exhibitionism may have on culture and heritage as noted in Part 2.

The book's final section deals with issues of marketing. In a competitive world where many attractions seek to maximize their returns from tourism dollars, marketing has become a key activity that organizations must understand and successfully engage in. Marketing in this sense includes promotion and engaging with the distribution system to maximize exposure to potential clients in market regions. The book concludes with a summary and synthesis of the major issues relating to heritage and culture, as well as the identification of some priorities for future research in this challenging area of tourism research.

**The Significance of Heritage and Culture**

In tourism settings, heritage and culture may be used for a variety of purposes, including entertainment, preservation, information, education, profit and propaganda. For the society whose culture and heritage is the object of presentation to visitors, the themes may be ordinary and familiar, but to visitors these same themes may be unique, exotic and extraordinary, and characterized by differentness from the visitors' own normal environment. Heritage and culture therefore serve a variety of purposes, and the study of these purposes is important both from the perspective of providing a focus for guests to learn about the hosts' culture and for the hosts as a means of preserving and sharing their unique past and way of life with others. In recent decades, as the pace of tourism has increased, heritage has become an important selling point, but it is often sold to buyers who have little real interest in, or concern for, the meaning of the culture they are gazing upon. This book examines a range of issues that impact on the use of heritage and culture by the tourism industry in the Asia Pacific region. This chapter introduces a new
Figure 1 Impact on Culture as Tourism Moves from Small Scale to Mass Scale.

explanatory model that may be used to examine how culture is affected by tourism. Issues discussed in this chapter include authenticity, interpretation, heritage contestation, social exclusion, contested space, personal heritage, control and preservation. The heritage model, illustrated in Figure 1, may be used to classify heritage destinations and visitors using a spectrum that commences with the authentic and then plots the evolution of the authentic through commodification and ultimately the metamorphosis of the authentic into a new authenticity.

The breadth and depth of cultural heritage issues that communities in the Asia Pacific are involved with is enormous, evolving, and in some cases controversial. In many instances even the meaning of heritage and culture is disputed. In recent decades a substantial literature on heritage and cultural issues has emerged, paralleling the growth in recognition of the place that heritage and culture now hold in the tourism industry. The study region has an enormous variety of people who express themselves through their culture and reflect on their patrimony through both cultural expressions and preservation of relics of the past. The ensuing complex mosaic of cultural expressions has provided the tourism industry with a rich well of experiences on which to draw as an increasing number of countries, and regions within countries, recognize the potential of the tourism industry to create employment and wealth.

Tourism is, however, only one of many actors on the stage of national economic, social and cultural development. Tourism works best when uniqueness becomes a point of differentiation from competitors and creates an experience that is marketable because it is not easily substitutable by other places and events. Thus, for the tourism industry, heritage and culture must exhibit uniqueness and marketability; yet culture is rarely static, and the symbols of heritage may be needed for other more contemporary uses, creating tensions that must be resolved. Culture is a living expression of a way of life...
and people's relationships with each other, the environment in which they live, the religious expressions which give meaning to their life, and manners in which they cope with the forces of nature and politics.

Globalisation and its associated demands for modernisation offer many improvements in material welfare and health but often at the expense of traditional forms of economic organization and lifestyle. The process of globalization demands change and creates a tendency towards uniformity rather than diversity. Culture is often one of the victims of progress, and the rhythm of daily life that for millennia was determined by the demands of seasons must now change and be determined by a new rhythm created through membership in the global economy. As people migrate from the country to the city the need for harvest festivals and other symbols of rural life are replaced with more impersonal, globalised festivals. Thus, the impersonal experience of watching the soccer World Cup on a television set in one's lounge room has replaced the far more personal experience of participating in a harvest festival with one's neighbours. For these reasons culture is rarely static, as it responds by adapting to the many social, economic and political changes that shape and then reshape society. At which point in time a culture should be frozen to be packaged and exhibited to tourists is therefore an important question that will ultimately be decided by the major stakeholders and the level of demand by tourists for specific cultural experiences.

The dilemma facing communities attempting to attract visitors through their cultural uniqueness is that the changing nature of life is creating uniformity between diverse peoples on a global scale; however, the retention of uniqueness requires participation in traditional experiences that no longer reflect contemporary society. The arguments about authenticity thus take on new meanings because the present is often vastly different from the past. Tourism interest usually focuses on uniqueness, which was apparent in the past but which has been lost to the increasing uniformity of the present. Commodification thus becomes a necessity, and in the process authenticity is typically lost.

Against this background of cultural change communities must seek to build images and attractions that rely on cultural heritage and other elements of tourism interest to fashion a tourism experience. To model this process of change and provide a tool that can be used to measure change to culture quantitatively, Figure 1 illustrates how traditional culture, identified as authentic, undergoes a process of commodification as culture is adapted for exhibition to an increasingly mass tourism market. The left hand vertical axis represents the shift from traditional to global society while the horizontal axis measures change in consumption of culture from traditional forms of cultural expression that can be described as authentic to commodified forms of expression that appeal to mass markets. The curve illustrates the change in the consumptive pattern of culture. In its original form, where culture represented traditional values, tourism interest was low and confined to those who sort out unique cultures in their authentic form. As tourism grows the authentic undergoes change via a process of commodification to reach a new authenticity that represents the new form of cultural expression that is acceptable to the tourist and also fits into the newly globalised form of culture that the local community has adopted.
The patterns described here can be illustrated by examining cultural change in Bali. Traditional forms of dance such as the Legong and Sanghyang trance dances were central to village culture in the period before modernization and mass tourism. At that time tourists were able to view these dances but no allowances were made for the benefit of the tourist spectator. With modernization and the introduction of new entertainment media such as radio, motion film and television, the place once held by traditional forms of dance changed. Simultaneously, tourist interest in these forms of dance has increased with the presentations requiring considerable modification to fit the demands of tourism. Commodification occurred and the dance in a sense metamorphosed from a traditional form to a new tourist focused form.

As tourism reaches into more distant areas, bringing with it change and in some respects being changed, it is important for researchers, policy makers and the tourism industry to recognize the impacts that are occurring, to be conversant with strategies to manage change and to be sensitive to the needs of destination communities (Singh et al., 2003). This chapter explores some of these issues.

Several authors (e.g. Carter in this volume; Prideaux, 2003) have reported on aspects of the use and adaptation of national and regional heritage and culture in the Asia Pacific region. Carter for example models the impact of tourism as an agent for social and cultural change, noting that many communities face the temptation to trade cultural expression for the economic benefits that tourism can provide. The adaptation and elevation of elements of culture as marketing icons is one example of this trend. In Australia, Aboriginal dances and the didgeridoo, the Aborigines' unique musical instrument, have been largely removed from their tribal settings and promoted as an iconic expression but specifically packaged to meet the needs of the tourism industry. Conversely, cultures must adapt if they are to survive (Harrison, 1996), and to do otherwise may ultimately lead to extinction. In these and other ways discussed later in this chapter, national cultures and heritage are under pressure from the tourism industry. Some face the danger of trivialization and exploitation while others have responded by changing to meet the demands of the contemporary world. Without some form of education, tourists exposed to packaged culture and heritage experiences may return to their homes with little knowledge of the significance of the sites visited or of the cultures experienced.

Management of heritage and cultural sites has become an important issue in many nations as stakeholders have become aware of the difficulties of managing the preservation and development of sites while accommodating visitor needs and the interests of hosts (Vogt et al., this volume). Other issues that may occupy the attention of stakeholders include conflicting land uses, funding, ownership, interpretation and exhibition arrangements. Rejuvination and the need to build sustainable tourism industries are other issues that have received attention (Dredge and Carter in their respective chapters). Carter, for example, argues that a shift in tourism planning is required from outcome-focused to process-orientated where there is greater consideration between the market, product and destination community. The following discussion canvasses a range of issues that require extensive debate within destination communities, as well as in the commercial organizations that profit from these experiences and places.
Current Trends in Heritage Tourism

Authenticity

Despite its widespread popularity as a topic of debate in heritage tourism studies, authenticity is an elusive concept that lacks a set of central identifying criteria, lacks a standard definition, varies in meaning from place to place, and has varying levels of acceptance by groups within society. What is consistent in the debate on authenticity is its inconsistency. Timothy and Boyd (2003: 244-254) created a five-part typology of distorted pasts, which are indicative of the types of inauthenticity that exist most typically within the realm of heritage. The first type is invented places, wherein replicas of historic places, non-original renditions of the past, and imaginary or contrived places, people and events are created. In many cases, tourists travel in search of places that never really existed (e.g. the Land of Oz in Kansas, or Peter Rabbit’s garden in England). As a result, tourism takes these expectations and marks places and creates spaces that will satisfy tourists’ need to consume these make-believe locations (Herbert, 1995; Raivo, 2000).

The second form of inauthentic pasts is relative authenticity. Authenticity is a relative concept, influenced and defined by individual experience, social and cultural influences, politics, and official histories. In most cases, the meanings of historic artifacts derive from people’s collective and personal experiences rather than from the objects themselves (Burnett, 2001; McLean, 1998, Derrett and St Vincent Welch this volume). Lowenthal (1985: 215) “no account can recover the past as it was, because the past was not an account, it was a set of events and situations”. The past is therefore enigmatic and can only be comprehended using imprecise and socially constructed interpretations (Hewison, 1991).

The third type of distorted past is described as Ethnic intruders and refers to the situation where actors in a so called authentic reproduction or ethnic display do not belong to the ethnic or cultural group they are representing. This is not uncommon. In an example from the USA, the re-created Bavarian village located in Leavenworth, Washington, is staffed by people dressed as Bavarians but who are not of Bavarian descent.

The fourth type of inauthentic past classed as sanitized and idealized places and events is very common. According to Barthel (1990), historical accuracy is not always in agreement with aesthetic and sensory harmony for people can only see representations of the past (e.g. museums, living heritage villages, etc) with eyes of the present. Thus the unpleasant aspects of smell, dirt and so on are sanitized to make them acceptable to the expectations of contemporary tourists (Burnett, 2001; Hubbard and Lilley, 2000; Leong, 1989).

Finally, the unknown past implies that it is impossible to achieve true authenticity because people in the current era find it difficult to understand how people lived in the past. Even the most carefully written and preserved archival records and diaries only provide glimpses into what life might have been like in the past. According to Lowenthal (1985: 215) “no account can recover the past as it was, because the past was not an account, it was a set of events and situations”. The past is therefore enigmatic and can only be comprehended using imprecise and socially constructed interpretations (Hewison, 1991).

All of these types of distorted pasts in heritage tourism exist in the Asia Pacific region. For example, the Polynesian Cultural Center...
(PCC) in Hawaii has been criticized because the performances, costumes, and handicrafts are inauthentic, having been extensively modified to be entertaining and involving performers who are not from the appropriate islands. Thus, the authenticity of the PCC experience is diminished when costumes donned by the actors are more ornate than in the islands, Samoans make Tahitian crafts, and Tongans perform Hawaiian dances (Balme, 1998; Douglas and Douglas, 1991). Taman Mini, an Indonesian theme park, depicts representative villages from throughout the archipelago but, like the PCC, suffers from inauthenticity. Not all interpreters in the Balinese village are Balinese, and how can one be certain that the Tana Toraja long house is in fact representative of all long houses? The many cultural parks, museums and historic sites found throughout the Asia Pacific region must each face these questions and determine what level of authenticity they will strive to achieve.

Cooper et al. (this volume) remind us that buildings are often adapted over time and in the Japanese tradition the built form of a particular building has much less importance than the uses of that building over time. It is not unusual, for example, to see shrines and other significant heritage buildings refurbished on a regular basis using modern building materials such as concrete. In a context of this nature authenticity is not seen to be a function of the fabric of the building but more the purpose and use of the structure over time.

Jamal and Hill’s chapter addresses some of these issues by developing a typology for examining authenticity in cultural heritage tourism. Authenticity, they argue, can be viewed as multidimensional and include elements of time, space and theoretical approach in one dimension and the objective, constructive and personal in the other dimension. It is apparent that the debate on authenticity is ongoing and one that needs serious consideration by destination communities and other stakeholders.

In many areas cultures are facing two forces of change: globalization, which pushes towards uniformity, and tourism, which encourages commodification but still seeks uniqueness. In the first case, traditional material culture and self sufficiency are replaced by a new material culture based on interdependence, often on a global scale. Changing material culture creates a new authenticity. This can be illustrated by examining the use of the boomerang by Australian Aborigines. The authentic use of the boomerang is for hunting or as a weapon; however, in this setting the boomerang is neither visually attractive nor guaranteed to return to its owner after it is thrown. In the new authenticity, described as the new use of the boomerang as an object designed for tourism consumption, it is no longer used for hunting or as a weapon, but instead is used as a symbol to identify contemporary aboriginal culture and as an implement that can be thrown in the expectation that it will return. Thus, according to new authenticity, (see Figure 1) the boomerang has an entirely different use from its traditional purpose. This is demonstrated in Figure 2. The process of commodification of the old authenticity to create a new authenticity is a consequence of the tourism industry’s need for new icons that can be promoted as points of differentness or uniqueness. This process may preserve some form of the original but in a highly commodified way. In the case of the boomerang, if it had not been adopted as a new symbol of indigenous
Figure 2  An Example of the new authentic – in this case a hand painted souvenir boomerang. (Photo by Bruce Prideaux.)

culture it would likely have been replaced by newer weapons and ultimately lost. Commodification therefore need not be a negative force as it refashions elements of culture to provide a new symbol that can be used as a marketing icon.

In the sense described above, commodification is a process of cultural adaptation that occurs where the object or place is reinterpreted to give it a new meaning within the cultural norms of visitors. Thus, a Balinese shadow puppet performance which may take many hours to perform in its traditional setting is transformed into a 30-minute presentation for visitors. The temptation for communities to commercialize their heritage and culture as a means of tapping into the growing demand of the tourism industry for new attractions is strong. Given the global context that cultural change is occurring in and the rapidity with which that process is transforming all societies, commodification is necessary and indeed may be one mechanism via which all communities can retain at least part of their traditional culture and heritage that otherwise might be lost in the march of modernization and its passengers of uniformity and conformity.

Interpretation

Interpretation – a process of communicating to visitors the meaning and significance of the place being visited – is an important part of heritage tourism and can be a useful tool for managing heritage visitors and their impacts. In the context of heritage and culture, interpretation plays at least three major roles (Timothy and Boyd, 2003). First, it is an educational tool. From this perspective, interpretation is important for increasing awareness and appreciation of the resources being presented, which in theory at least should result in higher levels of respect for and understanding of historic events, places and artifacts (Light, 1995; Prentice et al., 1998; Tilden, 1977). Second, interpretation also includes an entertainment factor. Today, education specialists realize that entertainment and learning are not dichotomous terms; learning can in fact be very entertaining and needs to be recognized by heritage managers as an enjoyable experience (McAndrew, 1995; Schouten, 1995). Finally, interpretation is a useful tool for meeting conservation and sustainable development objectives through visitor management, positively influencing visitor spending and other economic benefits, promoting cultural heritage conservation, changing attitudes and values in positive ways, and involving destination communities in the provision of interpretation and other elements of the heritage product (Bramwell and Lane, 1993; Moscardo and Woods, 1998; Pearson and Sullivan, 1995).

In the Asia Pacific region, many issues can be identified in the provision of interpretive services for heritage and cultural tourists. Cultural differences are an important issue in the region, because there are so many different
ethnicities, nationalities, and cultures involved in tourism as both consumers and producers of the heritage product. As part of this, bi- and multi-lingual interpretation is an important element of heritage management in places where visitors come from a variety of countries. All too often interpretive signs and other media are printed only in one language – usually the language of the destination. From a service quality viewpoint, this is a problem and reduces visitor satisfaction. From another aspect, the destination loses out because the guest has failed to understand the cultural or heritage significance of the experience. Rectifying these problems is relatively simple but often ignored. In her chapter on the Maori people of New Zealand, Carr (this volume) examines how interpretations of cultural landscapes is able to enhance the heritage experience and in so doing how it is possible to assist visitors to understand the significance of the culture they are observing.

Heritage Contestation

Heritage dissonance, according to Tunbridge and Ashworth (1996), is discord over a lack of agreement and consistency. Heritage is inherently a contested phenomenon, especially when communities are comprised of multiple ethnic groups, belief systems, cultures, and social mores. In this case, then, questions always arise regarding what heritage should be, or is presently, conserved, promoted and interpreted (Ashworth, 2003). Dissonance, or contestation, occurs between groups when multiple groups share the same heritage, when there are heritage divisions within one group, and where overlapping heritages exist in the same places (Olsen and Timothy, 2002). Administrations in power have a tendency to support and portray the heritages and cultures that function best for their purposes. However, as Frost points out in his chapter about the heritage of the pearl industry in Broome, Western Australia, it is possible to integrate a number of cultural traditions and themes into an experience that adds to the understanding of the past while not excluding the history of minorities.

Social Exclusion

One of the most significant political implications of culture and heritage, and one of the most common forms of dissonant heritage, is the notion of social exclusion or societal amnesia. This political treatment of heritage typically entails the intentional forgetting or leaving out of some aspects of the past, wherein societies elect to ignore and eliminate certain elements of history that are embarrassing or uncomfortable (Timothy and Boyd, 2003). Ashworth (1995) terms this ‘disinheritance’, which means that certain non-powerful groups are written out of the libretto of history for a variety of ideological or political reasons. In the past, slavery and Native American heritages in the United States were good examples of this, although the climate is changing as heritage managers realize the need to include the pasts of African Americans and Native Americans, regardless of the painful reality of history in that country’s national heritage (Bartlett, 2001; Morgan and Pritchard, 1998; Smith, 2000). Similar issues are coming to the fore in South Africa as a new struggle to recognize the contributions of native Africans in the building of the Republic emerges (Goudie et al., 1996; Worden, 1997).

Where this issue has been confronted, the ethnic richness of the minorities has become
a major selling point and in some instances elevated to iconic status. New Zealand has a strong Maori culture that has become a focal point of its cultural tourism industry and to a lesser extent Australia has also recognized the 'selling power' of its aboriginal cultures. Incorporating indigenous and other minority groups into mainstream tourism is an issue greater than the commercial value of the experience and, as noted in the chapter by McIntosh et al., tourism of this nature must be sensitive to the culture on display.

**Contested Space**

Many significant cultural and heritage sites compete for space with growing populations and a range of land uses. Where this occurs, the value of the heritage site may be less than the value of competing land uses and as a consequence faces the possibility of damage or destruction. In Liang Zhu, China, for example, Dredge (this volume) notes the potential for conflict between residents and the need to preserve the area’s rich Neolithic heritage and argues that there is a need to develop cooperative planning to mitigate these problems. As urbanization increases, particularly in areas where there is a long history of human habitation, these problems will continue and will need to be addressed by governments as well as the commercial users of these sites.

**Personal Heritage**

Attractions most closely related to personal heritage draw people who possess emotional attachments to a particular place, person or event. Often this entails genealogy-related travel to do family history research, to visit communities where ancestors came from, and other places of significance to the individual and his/her family (Timothy, 1997). A recent manifestation of this that is beginning to receive considerable attention in the tourism literature is diaspora-related travel. This ranges from people of a specific race or ethnicity traveling from their present home country to visit the lands of their ancestors and can take the form of visiting friends and relatives if they are first- or second-generation migrants. For others, the trip tends to be one of discovery where people travel to find their roots, learn about their own heritage, or be able to find their place in modern society.

This form of heritage is particularly important in the Asia Pacific region, for there have long been transnational migrations between countries and islands in the region. Diaspora travel among overseas Chinese is an important element of tourism in China, for instance, wherein Chinese populations from Southeast Asia, Australia, New Zealand, North America and Europe travel back to China to visit the lands of their ancestors or to visit relatives who might still be living. Likewise, a growing portion of the urban populations of New Zealand and Australia is comprised of Pacific Islanders from various islands in the region. Fijians, Samoans, Tongans, and Cook Islanders, for instance, make up some of the largest non-Maori and non-European populations of New Zealand. Among these people, traveling back to the home islands is usually undertaken for family purposes, but these trips might also include elements of personal heritage. The same is true of the various diasporic populations in other countries in the region (Coles and Timothy, 2004; Hall and Duval, 2004; Lew and Wong, 2004; Nguyen and King, 2004).
Control

Control of cultural and heritage resources is a significant issue (Ho and McKercher, this volume). Restoration and preservation are expensive and many local communities find the task beyond their resources. While some sites of world significance, Borobudur Temple for example, may attract international funds (Hawkins, this volume), other smaller sites face challenges that may result in the loss of control of aspects of their culture and heritage to others. Li (this volume) cites a range of issues that have emerged as the central authorities in China have devolved power to the regions. In Xishuang Banna the Dai Yuan have struggled to retain control of aspects of their culture they wish to share with visitors. The power of tour operators to select which attractions are patronized has resulted in a de facto power transfer from the local community to commercial interests in a pattern found in other parts of the Asia Pacific. This trend needs to be reversed if local communities are to benefit from tourism development. Leong and du Cross (this volume) examine these issues from a Chinese perspective and emphasize the advantages of local empowerment in decision making. Chakravarty (this volume) also reinforces the need for community participation in tourism even if public participation demands considerable resources and time and may prolong the planning process.

Preservation

The growing engagement between dissimilar cultures on all levels with the forces of modernization and more recently globalization has placed enormous pressure on many traditional cultures. In an effort to preserve the past, some communities have turned to tourism as a means of preserving the past through a process of commercializing aspects of cultures and heritage that are threatened. However, the commercial imperatives of tourism, where products prosper or fail according to demand, mitigate against genuine preservation and encourage selective preservation of those elements that have a commercial value.

Moreover, cultures are not static and change over time in response to larger changes in society, the organization of the economic system and the form and reach of political organization. In one sense, culture is the contemporary telling of the stories of the present as well as the past. To label the authentic as only that which exists at a given point in time and is representative of all aspects of a target culture is to discount the need for culture to adapt and transform as the world that the culture represents undergoes change.

In a more general sense the issue of representing culture is significant. The neo-colonialist view that tourism is a destructive influence has been challenged but ultimately it is the owners of the culture and heritage who must decide how to present their culture and how much this presentation is representative of their core cultural values. In a discussion on the potential for using tourism as a vehicle to fund the preservation and development of traditional arts in Southern China, Hang’s chapter points out that the design and then re-design of experiences may need to occur to meet changing visitor needs. Is this a case of culture being adapted for ‘sale’ as a tourism commodity or a process of a culture recognizing the pressure of the contemporary world on traditional society and adopting a solution that incorporates both?
Management

Management is an important issue particularly where the ability to present culture and heritage is dependent on financial sustainability. Selling heritage and culture will entail trade-offs as previously discussed. However, in the long run the trade-offs may mean the difference between preservation with commodification or loss because of lack of funds. This is an issue that must be grappled with by stakeholders and the customary custodians of culture. Aside from these issues, which have been debated previously in this chapter, other management issues need to be addressed. For example, Pegg and Stumbo (this volume) remind readers of the need to consider the needs of the disabled traveler. From yet another perspective, Ross (this volume) discusses the significance of identifying visitor motivations, in this case senior travelers.

Access

Access to heritage sites can be discussed from several perspectives, including physically traveling to the site and the ability of tourists to gain admittance once they have arrived at the site. Heritage sites may be located in a variety of settings that may not enjoy easy access to public transport. In Australia for example, many Aboriginal rock paintings are located in remote areas that have few roads and may require walking some distance. Similarly, in the Pacific Islands many cultural sites are located in remote regions that are poorly serviced, if at all, by public transport such as airlines. This is an issue that must be addressed by site managers as the ability of tourists to reach a site will often be the major factor determining the ability of the site to attract tourists. Pegg and Stumbo (this volume) remind us that access not only includes transport access but also the ability of tourists to enter and move around a site. Exclusion from places or events may occur for a number of reasons including ethnicity, ability to pay, social status, physical impairments or personal economic circumstances. The growing demand for travel by disabled persons will lead to increased demand by members of this tourism sector to visit sites, and is a trend that should not be neglected by managers. Similarly, it is important that local residents also have access to their cultural heritage and are not excluded because of entry costs or social status. It is therefore important for site managers to identify barriers of the nature discussed and attempt where possible to implement policies to mitigate the impacts.

Conclusion

This chapter has summarized many of the major issues facing the development of cultural and heritage resources in the Asia Pacific region, although there are clearly many more which have yet to be addressed in detail. While no solutions are offered, the identification of these issues followed by education (Hawkins, this volume) in its broadest sense are necessary first steps towards resolution. The issues raised are being experienced in many countries of the Asia Pacific region. Other issues have not been covered, not because they lack importance but because of the enormity of the range of issues that surround the development of heritage and culture for tourism purposes.

A danger that many communities in the region face is the rush to modernize and
exploit the unique heritage and cultural elements of the destination for short-term commercial gain. However, this approach is rarely sustainable in the long run. Conversely, living cultures and heritage sites do undergo change and the point at which authenticity is lost is an issue that needs additional debate. The many issues raised indicate the extent of the problem and breadth of research required to assist stakeholders to achieve a sustainable balance between competing forces, including conservation and commercialization.

It is apparent that there is considerable scope for future research in the area of culture and heritage in the Asia Pacific, both from a thematic approach and from the perspectives of specific countries. This collection should therefore be seen as an introduction to discussions on heritage and culture in the Asia Pacific, not the final word.
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