

ResearchOnline@JCU

This file is part of the following reference:

Tian, Yuan (2012) *Development of advanced techniques for osteoarthritis diagnosis and assessment*. PhD thesis, James Cook University.

Access to this file is available from:

<http://eprints.jcu.edu.au/25117>

The author has certified to JCU that they have made a reasonable effort to gain permission and acknowledge the owner of any third party copyright material included in this document. If you believe that this is not the case, please contact ResearchOnline@jcu.edu.au and quote <http://eprints.jcu.edu.au/25117>

Development of advanced techniques
for osteoarthritis diagnosis and assessment

Thesis submitted by

Yuan TIAN MEng, University of Technology, Sydney

in March 2012

for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

in the School of Engineering and Physical Sciences

James Cook University

Statement of Access

I, the undersigned, author of this work, understand that James Cook University will make this thesis available for use within the University Library and, via the Australian Digital Theses network, for use elsewhere.

I understand that, as an unpublished work, a thesis has significant protection under the Copyright Act and; I do not wish to place any further restriction on access to this work.

Signature

Date

Name

Statement of Sources

I declare that this thesis is my own work and has not been submitted in any form for another degree or diploma at any university or other institution of tertiary education. Information derived from the published or unpublished work of others has been acknowledged in the text and a list of references is given.

Signature

Date

Name

Statement of Ethics

The research presented and reported in this thesis was conducted within the guidelines for research ethics outlined in the Australian code of practice for the care and use of animals for scientific purposes (2004), and in accordance with the Queensland Animal Care and Protection Act (2001), The Australian Code for the Responsible Conduct of Research (2007), and the James Cook University Code for the Responsible Conduct of Research (2009). The proposed research methodology received clearance from the James Cook University Animal Research Ethics Committee (approval number A1470).

Signature

Date

Name

Statement on the Contribution of Others

Nature of Assistance Contribution		Names, Affiliations of Co-Contributors
Intellectual support	Project initiation, framework and management; thesis supervision	Dr Zhongxiao Peng, University of New South Wales (UNSW) & James Cook University (JCU)
	Data Analysis	Mr Jian Wang, University of Huddersfield (UoH)
	Statistical support	Ms Meiling Wang, UNSW
	Editorial Assistance	Ms Katharine Fowler, JCU Ms Lauren Bearzatto, Townsville International English School
Financial support	Stipend	School of Engineering, JCU
	Write-up Grant	Australian Research Council Graduate Research School, JCU
	Research assistance	Ms Emma Carmichael, JCU Dr Davina Gorton, JCU Mr Kane Hart, JCU Prof Xiangqian Jiang, UoH Advanced Analytical Centre, JCU Prof Natkunam Ketheesan, JCU Ms Ruilan Liu, JCU Mr Xiulei Liu, Henan University, China Mr Laurie Raylie, JCU A/Prof Yin Xiao, Queensland University of Technology
Other	Family Members	Ultimate thanks to my parents and my wife Mrs Lin Gan for their full support during my study

List of Publications

The publications in this list were written during the PhD candidature and include the project outcomes.

Peer-Reviewed Journal Publications

Z. Peng, C. Yuan, X. Yan, Z. Li, **Y. Tian**, *Wear debris analysis for Osteoarthritis assessment*, Lubrication Engineering **35** (2010) 11-14.

Y. Tian, Z Peng, D Gorton, Y Xiao and N Ketheesan, *Immunohistochemical analysis of structural changes in collagen for the assessment of osteoarthritis*, Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part H: Journal of Engineering in Medicine **225** (2011) 680-687.

Y. Tian, J. Wang, Z. Peng, X. Jiang, *Numerical analysis of cartilage surfaces for osteoarthritis diagnosis using filed and feature parameters*, Wear **271** (2011) 2370-2378.

Y. Tian, J. Wang, Z. Peng, X. Jiang, *A new approach to numerical characterisation of wear particle surfaces in three-dimensions for wear study*, Wear **282-283** (2012) 59-68.

Peer-Reviewed Conference Publication

Y. Tian, Z.Peng, X. Liu, *Development of an expert system for automatic osteoarthritis diagnosis using numerical characterisation of articular cartilage and wear particles*, The 7th Australasian Congress on Applied Mechanics (ACAM 7), Adelaide Australia, 9-12 December 2012, Submitted.

Abstract

Osteoarthritis (OA) is a common joint wear degenerative disease mainly found in older people. In 2010, the disease affected approximately 1.6 million Australians, resulting in high OA related public health costs. OA patients suffer both physically and psychologically, with worsening chronic pain and increasing disability. Early and accurate OA diagnosis and assessment are not only beneficial to improve OA patients' quality of life, but also significantly reduce public health expenditure. Currently, clinical OA diagnosis is primarily based on specialists' judgment, gathered from qualitative instrumental images analysis including radiography, magnetic resonance imaging and arthroscopy.

In recent years, numerical analysis studies have been conducted to establish a quantitative and more objective OA evaluation technique. This summarized numerical analysis data could then be used to establish software for an automatic and accurate OA diagnosis. Numerical analysis and software establishment can potentially reduce the degree of specialist involvement and may be widely applicable in regional Australia. In this thesis, three sub-projects have been carried out, which are

- Immunohistochemical (IHC) staining technique to study collagen type II matrix within articular cartilage under OA development,
- Numerical analysis using International Organization for Standardization (ISO)/ Final Draft International Standard (FDIS) 25178-2: 2010 defined field and feature parameters for articular cartilage and wear particles evaluation,
- Development of an expert system for automatic OA diagnosis.

As OA progresses, articular cartilage within the joint deteriorates and its major component-collagen type II matrix loses its integrity. To distinguish the collagen II protein from other tissue types, the IHC staining method uses specific primary antibodies against collagen type II. This method was applied in the current study, in which sheep leg joints were tested. The sheep joints were selected because the weight of sheep is close to that of human beings. After the IHC staining procedures were completed, chromagen successfully illuminated the collagen type II network when observed under a microscope. With cartilage deterioration, the superficial surface layer of the collagen network starts abrading. Gradually, fissures reach the intermediate and deep zones of cartilage in middle- and late-OA stages. In addition, the IHC fluorescent staining technique was also implemented to study the collagen II meshwork under laser scanning confocal microscopy (LSCM), which displayed the target with powerful, three-dimensional image resolution. The IHC staining project offered a new approach to revealing the collagen type II matrix alteration, by eliminating background tissue interference.

As well as articular cartilage degrading due to OA, particles containing valuable wear information are released from the cartilage. To numerically analyze both articular cartilage and wear debris surface textures alteration during OA progression, ISO/FDIS 25178-2: 2010 suggested field and feature parameters should be applied. The two parameters include a summary of conventional engineering surface parameters and feature parameters which are defined as novel pattern recognition for describing sample surfaces with functional properties. It is the first time feature parameters were applied to cartilage and particles' surface characterization. After numerical analysis, the majority of field and feature parameters showed significant changes in the target surface textures. Through statistical

and correlation analysis, ten cartilage and seven particle key surface topographic parameters were determined to representatively evaluate the articular cartilage and wear particle surface alteration during OA development. In addition, a wear debris boundary morphology study was also conducted to enrich the previous particles planar studies data group. The current study showed that the ISO/FDIS 25178-2: 2010 defined parameters are appropriate for joint OA evaluation, and a high correlation exists between cartilage and particles under wear development.

From the numerical analysis of this project and previous studies, results were summarized for building up an expert system for intelligent OA diagnosis purposes. Using the key parameters selected from field and feature parameters, a knowledge base was established integrating previous numerical studies for articular cartilage and wear particles. The software process involved inputting data and utilizing predefined data within an inference engine. Using this data, the system makes a decision on the degree of OA. The program was also equipped with user-friendly graphical interfaces (GUIs) for easy data inputs and outputs. As current clinical OA assessment methods are completely based on cartilage description, the validity of using wear debris for disease evaluation was able to be examined by the software. This software function could promote the use of wear particles for OA characterization in the future. The resultant expert system offers an objective and reliable means for OA diagnosis, which has the potential to reduce the financial cost of OA. It is hoped this procedure will be widely implemented in regional Australia.

Table of Contents

STATEMENT OF ACCESS.....	I
STATEMENT OF SOURCES.....	II
STATEMENT OF ETHICS	III
STATEMENT ON THE CONTRIBUTION OF OTHERS.....	IV
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	V
ABSTRACT	VI
LIST OF TABLES.....	XII
LIST OF FIGURES.....	XII
CHAPTER 1 LITERATURE REVIEW	1
1.1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.2 HUMAN JOINT	3
<i>1.2.1 Synovial Knee Joint</i>	<i>3</i>
<i>1.2.2 Articular Cartilage (AC).....</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>1.2.3 Wear Mechanism</i>	<i>9</i>
<i>1.2.4 Osteoarthritis (OA).....</i>	<i>13</i>
<i>1.2.5 Collagen.....</i>	<i>19</i>
<i>1.2.6 Wear Particles</i>	<i>23</i>
1.3 TECHNIQUES FOR OA ASSESSMENT AND DIAGNOSIS.....	23
<i>1.3.1 Commercial Techniques</i>	<i>23</i>
<i>1.3.2 OA study Using Animal Models.....</i>	<i>33</i>
<i>1.3.3 Staining Techniques.....</i>	<i>36</i>
<i>1.3.4 Images Acquisition.....</i>	<i>40</i>
<i>1.3.5 Qualitative Assessment</i>	<i>46</i>
1.4 WEAR PARTICLE NUMERICAL ANALYSIS FOR OA ASSESSMENT.....	49
<i>1.4.1 Boundary Morphology.....</i>	<i>49</i>
<i>1.4.2 Surface Topography.....</i>	<i>51</i>
1.5 ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE TECHNIQUE.....	58
<i>1.5.1 Expert system.....</i>	<i>59</i>
<i>1.5.2 Neural Network.....</i>	<i>67</i>

1.5.3 <i>Integration of Expert System and Neural Network</i>	70
1.5.4 <i>Artificial Intelligence for Fault Diagnose</i>	75
1.6 SUMMARY	77
CHAPTER 2 METHODOLOGY	80
2.1 INTRODUCTION	80
2.2 EXPERIMENTATION AND SAMPLE PREPARATIONS	81
2.2.1 <i>Apparatus and Tests</i>	81
2.2.2 <i>Sample Collections</i>	83
2.2.3 <i>Conventional Staining</i>	84
2.3 DEVELOPMENT OF IMMUNOHISTOCHEMICAL (IHC) STAINING TECHNIQUE FOR COLLAGEN II MATRIX STUDIES	84
2.3.1 <i>Trichrome Histological staining</i>	85
2.3.2 <i>IHC Staining Procedures</i>	86
2.4 NUMERICAL ANALYSIS OF DISTINCT WEAR FEATURES	89
2.4.1 <i>Cartilage and Particle Image Acquisition</i>	89
2.4.2 <i>Wear Debris Boundary Morphology Studies</i>	92
2.4.3 <i>Surface Characterization Using Field and Feature Parameters</i>	92
2.5 DEVELOPMENT OF EXPERT SYSTEM FOR OA ASSESSMENT	98
2.6 SUMMARY	103
CHAPTER 3 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS	104
3.1 IMMUNOHISTOCHEMICAL (IHC) STAINING TECHNIQUE FOR COLLAGEN II MATRIX INVESTIGATION	104
3.1.1 <i>Histological Study</i>	105
3.1.2 <i>Trichrome Staining Description</i>	106
3.1.3 <i>Immunohistochemical (IHC) Staining Analysis</i>	109
3.1.4 <i>IHC Fluorescent Staining Investigation</i>	111
3.2 NUMERICAL ANALYSIS OF CARTILAGE SURFACES AND WEAR PARTICLES	112
3.2.1 <i>Surface Topography of Articular Cartilage</i>	114
3.2.2 <i>Wear particle Analysis</i>	123
3.2.3 <i>Correlation Analysis of Cartilage Surfaces and Wear Debris Surfaces</i>	132
3.3 EXPERT SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT FOR OA DIAGNOSIS	135
3.3.1 <i>Knowledge Base Establishment</i>	136
3.3.2 <i>Inference Engine Training</i>	137
3.3.3 <i>Graphical user interfaces (GUIs) Design</i>	140

3.3.4 <i>System Evaluation</i>	140
3.4 SUMMARY	141
CHAPTER 4 DISCUSSION	143
4.1 IHC STAINING FOR CARTILAGE COLLAGEN II MATRIX STUDIES	143
4.2 NUMERICAL ANALYSIS WITH FIELD AND FEATURE PARAMETERS	147
4.2.1 <i>Articular Cartilage Surface Topography Study</i>	147
4.2.2 <i>Wear Particle Numerical Characterization</i>	150
4.3 EXPERT SYSTEM ESTABLISHMENT FOR AUTOMATIC OA DIAGNOSIS	155
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK	159
5.1 CONCLUSION.....	159
5.2 FUTURE WORK	161
REFERENCES.....	163
APPENDICES.....	188
APPENDIX 1: REPRESENTATIVE TRICHROME STAINED ARTICULAR CARTILAGE IMAGES.....	189
APPENDIX 2: REPRESENTATIVE IHC STAINED ARTICULAR CARTILAGE IMAGES.....	192
APPENDIX 3: TYPICAL LSCM IMAGES OF ARTICULAR CARTILAGE.....	196
APPENDIX 4: TYPICAL LSCM IMAGES OF WEAR PARTICLES	202
APPENDIX 5: REMAINING GRAPHICAL USER INTERFACES (GUIS) OF DESIGNED EXPERT SYSTEM.....	208

List of Tables

Table 1.1 Numerical analysis of wear particles using conventional engineering parameters under degrees of OA [110].	53
Table 2.1 Test conditions of the sheep knee joints [3].	82
Table 2.2 Scanning setting of articular cartilage and wear particles.	91
Table 2.3 Nesting indices of S-L filtration determined based on cartilage and particles sampling conditions.	95
Table 2.4 The 32 areal surface texture parameters classifications [235].	97
Table 3.1 Numerical analysis results of the cartilage surface using 32 field and feature parameters.	117
Table 3.2 24 Significant field and feature parameters according to ANOVA [234].	119
Table 3.3 The 24 significant parameters classification based on definitions	120
Table 3.4 Correlation matrix of ten key parameters [234].	121
Table 3.5 Wear particles boundary morphology analysis results [235].	124
Table 3.6 Wear particles numerical analysis results of total 32 field parameters and feature parameters.	127
Table 3.7 23 significant wear particles surface textures parameters categorized based on definitions [235].	128
Table 3.8 23 significant particles surface parameters correlation, and coefficients values between -0.7 and 0.7 are highlighted.	128
Table 3.9 23 significant parameters classification for three basic trend types under OA development [235].	131
Table 3.10 Correlation analysis between articular cartilage and wear particles surface topographic significant parameters.	133

Table 3.11 Seven determined key field and feature parameters [235].	135
Table 3.12 Key parameters mean values for describing articular cartilage surface topography, wear particles surface topography and boundary morphology information.	137

List of Figures

Figure 1.1 Anatomical model of the human knee joint [8].	4
Figure 1.2 Hyaline articular cartilage structure [14].	7
Figure 1.3 (a) A proteoglycan-rich pericellular region from human AC stained by toluidine blue, (b) An enzymatically isolated chonron of human AC imaged with differential interference contrast microscopy [15].	8
Figure 1.4 Motions along the three joint coordinate axes [22].	12
Figure 1.5 Schematic illustration of the proposed collagen structure model [19].	21
Figure 1.6 Schematic of X-ray Computer Tomography equipment [66].	25
Figure 1.7 With water stimulation, MRI illustrated small marginal (long arrow) and central (short arrow) osteophytes, cartilage erosion (thick arrow), popliteal cyst and joint effusion [79].	28
Figure 1.8 (a) A posterior flap tear of the medial meniscus with grade 3 changes in arthroscopy diagnose; (b) Nearly fixed meniscus using arthroscopy debridement electro-surgical techniques [30].	31
Figure 1.9 (a) Wear simulator; (b) Schematic simulator [3].	35
Figure 1.10 (a) Cartilage stained with 0.05g/L ACF for 1min; (b) A collagen sample stained in PSR for 48 hours; (c) Collagen matrix on certain part of wear particle surface. All images are shown under Laser Scanning Confocal Microscope (LSCM) [28, 109, 110].	37
Figure 1.11 (a) Schematic overview of the use of indirect IHC staining method; (b) Fluorescent IHC staining with Ampliflu Red fluorogen [111, 112].	38
Figure 1.12 Immunolocalization of type I, II and III collagens in medial (a,b,e,f and j) and lateral (c,d,g,h,k, and i) sheep tibial cartilage [56].	39
Figure 1.13 Schematic representation of LSCM [6].	42

Figure 1.14 Confocal 3D reconstruction of OA cartilage [142].....	44
Figure 1.15 Lamellar, Rod-shape, Chunky, Osseous particles and their origination from cartilage zones [2].....	48
Figure 1.16 Schematic of field parameters and feature parameters sets. (a) S-set parameters; (b) V-set parameters; (c) Feature parameters [165].	55
Figure 1.17 General structure of knowledge-based expert system [181].....	60
Figure 1.18 Schematic of SVM separating hyperplane.....	63
Figure 1.19 Schematic of SVM mechanism.....	64
Figure 1.20 A general structure of neural network with single hidden layer [181].	67
Figure 1.21 A rule of weighted connection network [175].	72
Figure 1.22 A simple schematic of NEULONET [182].....	73
Figure 1.23 The structure of the expert system for wear particle analysis [207].	76
Figure 2.1 The project flowchart of the established expert system for OA diagnosis.	80
Figure 2.2 Wear simulator at the School of Engineering, JCU.	82
Figure 2.3 Cartilage slides on incubation chamber base.	87
Figure 2.4 The BioRad Radiance 2000 (BioRad, Gladesville, Australia) LSCM at advanced analytical centre, JCU.....	89
Figure 2.5 Illustration of cartilage and particle multi-band surface textures; (a) Joint 3 cartilage surface texture measurement; (b) Wear particle surface representation from same knee joint [234, 235].....	93
Figure 2.6 The flow chart of knowledge-based expert system.....	99
Figure 2.7 Schematic illustration of wear particles (WP) and articular cartilage (AC) correlation within expert system.	101

Figure 3.1 Trichrome- and IHC-stained articular cartilage subjected to 10, 000 testing cycles (joint 1, Table 2). (a) A Gomori’s trichrome stained collagen matrix, (b) a M.S.B. trichrome stained collagen network, (c) IHC negative control without primary antibody, no staining was spot, and (d) IHC with Fast Red Chromagen stained collagen meshwork. Scale bar = 50 μm [113]...... 106

Figure 3.2 Cartilage collagen network stained with Gomori’s trichrome (a, c, f, g) and M. S. B. trichrome (b, d, e, h). (a) Control joint 0, no wear testing cycles; (b) joint 1, 10,000 cycles; (c, d) joint 2, 12,000 cycles; (e, f) joint 3, 17,000 cycles; and (g, h) Joint 5, 37,000 cycles. Scale bars = 50 μm [113]. 107

Figure 3.3 Light microscope graphs of IHC-stained collagen II matrix within articular cartilage tissue subjected to growing wear testing loads.(a) Control joint 0, free of wear testing cycles; (b) joint 1, 10,000 testing cycles; (c) joint 2, 12,000 testing cycles; (d) joint 3, 17,000 testing cycles; (e) joint 4, 26,000 testing cycles; and (f) joint 5, 37,000 testing cycles. Scale bar = 50 μm [113]...... 109

Figure 3.4 Immunofluorescent (IF) stained articular cartilage collagen structure under LSCM imaging. (a) Control joint, no wear testing cycles; (b) joint 4, 26,000 testing cycles; (c) joint 5, 37,000 testing cycles; and (d) the HEI of joint 1, 10,000 testing cycles. Scale bar = 50 μm [113]......111

Figure 3.5 Demonstration of typical MBI and HEI cartilage and wear debris images: (a) MBI image (40x) of a cartilage surface collected from the control Joint ; (b) HEI image (40x) of the control cartilage surface shown in (a); (c) MBI image (100x) of Joint 5 wear particle image; (d) HEI image of particle in (c). For (a)-(d) scale bar = 50 μm114

Figure 3.6 Representations of S-L filtration processed articular cartilage surface textures: (a) Control joint; (b) Joint 1; (c) Joint 2; (d) Joint 3; (e) Joint 4; and (f) Joint 5 [234]. 115

Figure 3.7 Typical surface topographic parameters trends [234]...... 122

Figure 3.8 Representative S-L filtration processed samples from 6 representative joints wear particles: (a) Control; (b) Joint 1; (c) Joint 2; (d) Joint 3; (e) Joint 4; and (f) Joint 5 [235]. 126

Figure 3.9 Three typical trends (blue solid curves) and corresponding corrected trends (red dashed curves) of 23 significant field and feature parameters for wear particles surface texture studies. The error bar is set up from the maximum and minimum of each joint particles parameters data range [235].....	131
Figure 3.10 Cartilage and particles key parameters for knowledge base establishment [245].	136
Figure 3.11 Updated expert system interface framework after articular cartilage (AC) and wear particles (WP) numerical analysis [245].....	138
Figure 3.12 Input values and outcome recorded in a text file.	139
Figure 3.13 Main interface of designed expert system [245].....	140
Figure 4.1 The four typical trends of field and feature parameters and classified OA degrees [234].	148
Appendix figure 1 Gomori's Trichrome Images Panel: (1-3) Control joint, no wear testing cycles; (4-6) joint 1, 10 000 wear testing cycles; (7-9) joint 2, 12 000 wear testing cycles; (10-12) joint 3, 17 000 cycles; and (13-15) joint 5, 37 000 cycles. Scale bars=50 μm	190
Appendix figure 2 M.S.B. Trichrome Images Panel: (1-3) Control joint, no wear testing cycles; (4-6) joint 1, 10 000 wear testing cycles; (7-9) joint 2, 12 000 wear testing cycles; (10-12) joint 3, 17 000 cycles; and (13-15) joint 5, 37 000 cycles. Scale bars=50 μm	191
Appendix figure 3 IHC Coloristic Staining Images: (1-3)) Control joint, no wear testing cycles; (4-6) joint 1, 10 000 wear testing cycles; (7-9) joint 2, 12 000 wear testing cycles; (10-12) joint 3, 17 000 cycles; (13-15) joint 4, 26 000 cycles; and (16-18) joint 5, 37 000 cycles. Scale bars=50 μm	193
Appendix figure 4 IF-stained collagen matrix shown under LSCM including Matlab processed ExHEI images(3,6,9,12,15,18): (1-3) Control joint, no wear testing cycles; (4-6) joint 1, 10 000 wear testing cycles; (7-9) joint 2, 12 000 wear testing cycles; (10-12) joint 3, 17 000 cycles; (13-15) joint 4, 26 000 cycles; and (16-18) joint 5, 37 000 cycles. Scale bars=50 μm	195

Appendix figure 5 Control joint, no wear testing cycles: (1) Surface image; (2-5) Matlab processed Height Encode Images (HEIs); and (6) Maximum Brightness Image (MBI). Scale bars=50 μm .	196
Appendix figure 6 Joint 1, 10 000 wear testing cycles: (1) Surface image; (2-8) Matlab processed Height Encode Images (HEIs); and (9) Maximum Brightness Image (MBI). Scale bars=50 μm .	197
Appendix figure 7 Joint 2, 12 000 wear testing cycles: (1) Surface image; (2-8) Matlab processed Height Encode Images (HEIs); and (9) Maximum Brightness Image (MBI). Scale bars=50 μm .	198
Appendix figure 8 Joint 3, 17 000 wear testing cycles: (1) Surface image; (2-8) Matlab processed Height Encode Images (HEIs); and (9) Maximum Brightness Image (MBI). Scale bars=50 μm .	199
Appendix figure 9 Joint 4, 26 000 wear testing cycles: (1) Surface image; (2-8) Matlab processed Height Encode Images (HEIs); and (9) Maximum Brightness Image (MBI). Scale bars=50 μm .	200
Appendix figure 10 Joint 5, 37 000 wear testing cycles: (1) Surface image; (2-8) Matlab processed Height Encode Images (HEIs); and (9) Maximum Brightness Image (MBI). Scale bars=50 μm .	201
Appendix figure 11 Control joint, no wear testing cycles: (1) Surface image; (2-8) Matlab processed Height Encode Images (HEIs); and (9) Maximum Brightness Image (MBI). Scale bars=50 μm .	202
Appendix figure 12 Joint 1, 10 000 wear testing cycles: (1-2) Surface image; (3-8) Matlab processed Height Encode Images (HEIs); and (9) Maximum Brightness Image (MBI). Scale bars=50 μm .	203
Appendix figure 13 Joint 2, 12 000 wear testing cycles: (1) Surface image; (2-8) Matlab processed Height Encode Images (HEIs); and (9) Maximum Brightness Image (MBI). Scale bars=50 μm .	204

Appendix figure 14 Joint 3, 17 000 wear testing cycles: (1) Surface image; (2-8) Matlab processed Height Encode Images (HEIs); and (9) Maximum Brightness Image (MBI). Scale bars=50 μm	205
Appendix figure 15 Joint 4, 26 000 wear testing cycles: (1) Surface image; (2-8) Matlab processed Height Encode Images (HEIs); and (9) Maximum Brightness Image (MBI). Scale bars=50 μm	206
Appendix figure 16 Joint 5, 37 000 wear testing cycles: (1) Surface image; (2-8) Matlab processed Height Encode Images (HEIs); and (9) Maximum Brightness Image (MBI). Scale bars=50 μm	207
Appendix figure 17 Articular Cartilage (AC) key parameters input interface.	208
Appendix figure 18 AC and Wear Particle (WP) assessment option interface.	209
Appendix figure 19 3 WP only for OA diagnosis option interface [245].	210
Appendix figure 20 Designed expert system help menu.	211
Appendix figure 21 Expert system development information.	211