RESEARCH ARTICLE



Open Access

Phylogeography and dispersal in the velvet gecko (*Oedura lesueurii*), and potential implications for conservation of an endangered snake (*Hoplocephalus bungaroides*)

Sylvain Dubey^{1*†}, Benjamin Croak^{2†}, David Pike^{2,3}, Jonathan Webb² and Richard Shine²

Abstract

Background: To conserve critically endangered predators, we also need to conserve the prey species upon which they depend. Velvet geckos (*Oedura lesueurii*) are a primary prey for the endangered broad-headed snake (*Hoplocephalus bungaroides*), which is restricted to sandstone habitats in southeastern Australia. We sequenced the ND2 gene from 179 velvet geckos, to clarify the lizards' phylogeographic history and landscape genetics. We also analysed 260 records from a longterm (3-year) capture-mark-recapture program at three sites, to evaluate dispersal rates of geckos as a function of locality, sex and body size.

Results: The genetic analyses revealed three ancient lineages in the north, south and centre of the species' current range. Estimates of gene flow suggest low dispersal rates, constrained by the availability of contiguous rocky habitat. Mark-recapture records confirm that these lizards are highly sedentary, with most animals moving < 30 m from their original capture site even over multi-year periods.

Conclusion: The low vagility of these lizards suggests that they will be slow to colonise vacant habitat patches; and hence, efforts to restore degraded habitats for broad-headed snakes may need to include translocation of lizards.

Keywords: Australia, Phylogeography, Dispersal, Reptile, Landscape genetics, Conservation

Background

To conserve an endangered species, we need to provide suitable habitat, shelter, prey items, and other resources (see e.g. [1-4]). Prey availability may be one of the most critical issues, especially for predators with specialized diets [5,6]. If management plans for endangered species include the restoration of habitat, we need to know if the endangered taxon itself is vagile enough to locate and colonise the newly-available sites. Evaluating the likelihood that significant prey species also will colonise restored areas is also important; if they do not do so (perhaps because of poor dispersal capacity), otherwise-



The Broad-headed snake (Hoplocephalus bungaroides, Elapidae) is a small elapid snake restricted to rocky areas (sandstone plateaux) within a 200 km radius of Sydney, in south-eastern Australia [6]. These snakes were abundant at the time of European colonisation 200 years ago, but have now disappeared from most of its former range [7,8]. The threatening processes include habitat degradation and fragmentation resulting from the removal and destruction of critical shelter sites (especially, exfoliated rock that forms thermally-suitable retreat sites during the coldest parts of the year: [8]), forest overgrowth [3,4,9] and illegal collection of animals for the pet trade [10]. Efforts at habitat restoration have produced encouraging results, with the snakes and their lizard prey rapidly colonising sites by themselves where artificial rocks have replaced stolen natural rocks [11] and where



© 2012 Dubey et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

^{*} Correspondence: sylvain.dubey@unil.ch

[†]Equal contributors

¹Department of Ecology and Evolution, Biophore Bld, University of Lausanne, Lausanne 1015, Switzerland

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

trimming of vegetation has allowed increased sunlight penetration [3,4]. However, these studies have focused on sites very close to extant populations of snakes and their prey; the prospectus for successful colonisation of more distant sites remains unclear.

For relatively isolated habitat patches to be colonised, both the snakes and their prey must be able to reach them. Landscape-genetic analyses have confirmed that broad-headed snakes often move between adjacent outcrops (distance between outcrops: 0.9 to 10.7 km), and thus are likely to rapidly find any restored habitat patches [12]. The probability of colonisation by the snakes' prey species has not been studied, and is the subject of the present paper. Broad-headed snakes consume a diversity of vertebrate prey taxa, but the most important taxon (especially during cooler months of the year, when the snakes are restricted to rock outcrops) is the velvet gecko (Oedura lesueurii, Diplodactylidae: [6]). Indeed, velvet geckos comprised 70% of prey items consumed by juvenile H. bungaroides [6]. Like H. bungaroides, O. lesueurii is restricted to rock outcrops [13,14]. The predator-prey interaction between these two taxa presumably has been a long-running one, because geckos from populations sympatric with this snake species are reported to display a suite of antipredator tactics not seen in conspecific geckos from populations allopatric to broad-headed snakes ([15]; but see [16] for data that challenge this conclusion). Local coadaptation is likely only when gene flow is restricted between populations (e.g. [15,17,18]), allowing the evolution of spatial heterogeneity in relevant traits.

To evaluate the history of this predator-prey interaction, we need to know the timeline not only for the predator's evolution [12] but also for the prey's evolution (current study). Because *O. lesueurii* is an important prey species for *H. bungaroides*, we also need to evaluate the potential for *O. lesueurii* to colonise newly restored areas of rocky habitat. We can clarify this issue with a study of landscape genetics (e.g., what are the spatial scales of current and historical rates of gene flow?) and direct measures of dispersal, based on mark-recapture fieldwork.

Results

Phylogenetic analyses and molecular dating

The 179 samples of *O. lesueurii* showed 29 haplotypes (H1-H29, [Genbank: JQ779339-JQ779366]) of 710 bp. The complete dataset included 369 variable sites of which 237 were parsimony-informative. As the two phylogenetic methods showed similar arrangements of the main branches, Figure 1 only shows the relationship between haplotypes for the ML analyses (see Additional file 1 for the MP tree). Three main lineages are present within the study area, the first (A) including populations

from the north and central areas (Putty, Malabar, and Cape Banks; ML and MP analyses show bootstrap support of 96% an 90% respectively), the second (B) restricted to populations from the south (Morton; ML and MP analyses show bootstrap support of 100% and 99%), and the third (C) strictly central populations (Dharawal and Royal NP sites; ML and MP analyses show support of 84% and 77%). The mean K2P distance between the lineages was 3.7, 3.5, and 4.3% for A-C, B-C, and A-B respectively.

Dating analyses based on the secondary calibration points revealed a first divergence within *O. lesueurii* about 5.68 million years ago (Ma; 95% HPD: 2.73 -10.76), with a split between haplotypes within lineages occurring 2.94 Ma (95% HPD: 1.21 - 5.18), 1.07 (95% HPD: 0.28-0.94), and 1.58 (95% HPD: 0.50 - 2.96) for A, B, and C respectively. Dating analyses based on a standard divergence rate of 1.3% (derived from numerous previous studies; see Methods section) gave similar results, with a first divergence within the species about 5.00 Ma (95% HPD: 2.88 - 8.06), with a split between haplotypes within lineages occurring 2.36 Ma (95% HPD: 1.30 -3.96), 0.83 Ma (95% HPD: 0.30 - 1.83), and 1.25 Ma (95% HPD: 0.56 - 2.53) for A, B, and C respectively.

Population and landscape genetic analyses

Overall, the ϕ_{ST} between populations varied from 0 to 1.0 (see Additional file 2, with a mean value of 0.81. The mean of the pairwise ϕ_{ST} value within each lineage was 0.62, 0.52, and 0.24 for A, B, C respectively.

Based on the Mantel and partial Mantel tests, the observed genetic structure (ϕ_{ST}) in Oedura lesueurii populations was best predicted by a combination of distance and minimum elevation between sites (AIC value = -257.78; AIC weight = 0.27; $R^2 = 57.87$; straight-line distance, partial corr.: 0.56; minimum elevation, partial corr.: -0.51; Table 1). The second-best model included the number of rivers, the minimum elevation and the distance between sites (AIC value = -257.72; AIC weight = 0.26; \mathbb{R}^2 = 58.85; rivers, partial corr.: -0.44; minimum elevation, partial corr.: -0.61; straight-line distance, partial corr.: 0.15). Three more models deviated from the best model by less than two units (i.e., $\Delta AIC < 2$), and all these models include the minimum elevation and the straight-line distance between sites as explanatory variables, further indicating the importance of these parameters. Because the true distance between sites was less informative than the straight-line distance (straightline distance, $R^2 = 31.48$; true distance, $R^2 = 20.78$), we used latter variable in our analyses (see Table 1).

The nucleotide diversity at a site tended to increase with latitude (i.e., was higher at more northern sites; $F_{1,19} = 13.88$, $P = 0.002^*$; Figure 2), and we did not detect any significant relationships between nucleotide diversity



and the number of samples, the elevation, or the longitude of sites.

The SAMOVA revealed high F_{CT} (among population groups) values for all the groups and small F_{SC} (within population group) values in cases of 9 to 19 groups, indicating very high population structure. For example, at K=9 the majority of variation (94.32%) is among groups, although 0.03% of variation at the level of among populations within groups still represents highly significant population structuring in the remaining population groups (P < 0.001). At K=2, the two clusters identified were the populations of lineage B (Morton) vs lineages A (Putty, Malabar, and Cape Banks) and C (Dharawal and Royal NP), and at K = 3, the three clusters were the populations of lineage A, B, and C.

Dispersal distances of free-ranging geckos

In total, we obtained records of the distances moved by 260 geckos, over time periods ranging from 24 to 928 days between recaptures (average time between recaptures = 203.1 days). We used ANOVA to compare gecko movements among regions and between sexes

Table 1 Results of mantel and partial mantel test of landscape genetics of the gecko *Oedura lesueurii*, with a listing of variables included in the models (number of rivers [River] and number of roads [Walking track; Dirt Road; Paved Road; All road] between sites, minimum elevation between sites sites [min. elevation], mean elevation of sites minus the minimum elevation between sites [Mean elevation - min. elevation], straight-line distance [Distance] and true distance between sites [True distance]), the number of parameters per model, R^2 (total variance explained by the model), coefficient of correlation, P-value of parameters (The level of significance for our tests was set at $\alpha = 0.0028$ (Bonferroni correction; i.e. 0.05/18 = 0.0028, where 18 represents the number of tests performed), AIC, Δ AIC, and AIC weight

Variable	К	R2	Coeff corr.	P-value	AIC	ΔΑΙΟ	AIC w
Distance & min. elevation	3	57.87			-257.78	1	0.2686
Distance			0.56	0.0001*			
Min. elevation			-0.51	0.0001*			
River & Min. elevation & distance	4	58.85			-257.72	0.06	0.2606
River			-0.44	0.0001*			
Min. elevation			-0.61	0.0001*			
Distance			0.15	0.0362			
River & Dirt road & Distance & Min. Elevation	5	59.13			-256.29	1.49	0.1275
River			-0.44	0.0001*			
Dirt road			0.19	0.0085			
Distance			0.37	0.0001*			
Min. elevation			-0.47	0.0001*			
Min. elevation & Dirt road & distance	4	58.08			-256.20	1.58	0.1219
Min. elevation			-0.75	0.0001*			
Dirt road			0.15	0.0465			
Distance			0.06	0.3982			
Distance & mean - min. elevation & min. elevation	4	57.87			-255.79	1.99	0.0993
Min. elevation			-0.75	0.0001*			
Mean - min. elevation			0.05	0.4932			
Distance			0.14	0.0516			
Min. elevation	2	55.59	-0.75	0.0001*	-255.44	2.34	0.0834
Min. elevation & mean - min. elevation		55.84			-253.91	3.87	0.0388
Min. elevation	3		-0.75	0.0001*			
Mean - min. elevation			0.05	0.4870			
Distance & mean elevation - min. elevation	3	39.71			-228.22	29.56	0.0000
Distance			0.56	0.0001*			
Mean elevation - min. elevation			0.29	0.0002*			
Distance & river	3	36.65			-224.13	33.65	0.0000
Distance			0.56	0.0001*			
River			-0.23	0.0019*			
Distance & dirt road	3	31.49			-217.67	40.11	0.0000
Distance			0.56	0.0001*			
Dirt road			0.01	0.9289			

Only Mantel and partial Mantel tests (total of 18) with the 10 best AIC values are shown.

In grey, best model (bold) and models with a Δ AIC < 2.

and age classes over time. There were no significant interaction effects, so we only describe main effects. *Oedura lesueurii* was highly sedentary. Marked lizards did not tend to move further away from their initial

capture site with increasing time ($F_{2,252} = 2.08$, P = 0.15), indicating that they have fixed home ranges. There was no difference in mean movement distances between male, female or juvenile geckos ($F_{2,252} = 1.84$, P = 0.16),



and the average distances moved were less than 30 m (Figure 3). The maximum dispersal distances recorded were 1.648 km for an adult male, 1.442 km for an adult female, and 1.577 km for a juvenile.

Discussion

Our study revealed ancient genetic divergences within *Oedura lesueurii* from southeastern Australia, beginning in the Miocene-Pliocene (5.68 – 5.0 Ma) and resulting in three geographically well-defined lineages (North, Central, and South; Figures 4, 1). Similarly, our population genetics analyses showed a strong spatial structure among our 20 populations as well as within lineages, with a lack of haplotype sharing between populations separated by only 3.7 km ($\phi_{ST} = 0.70$). In addition, our landscape genetic analyses identified distance as the major barrier to gene flow (ϕ_{ST}) between populations. In contrast, an absence of areas with low elevation between



sites (e.g. the absence of deep valleys separating populations) favoured dispersal. In this case, areas of high elevation between sites reflects continuous favourable habitat (e.g. rocky outcrops). Similarly, gene flow in the broad-headed snake H. bungaroides mostly occurs along sandstone plateaux rather than across the densely forested valleys that separate plateaux [12]. Consistent with these genetic analyses, our field data (5 years of mark-recapture studies) revealed that O. lesueurii are sedentary. Marked individuals typically remained within close proximity (tens of metres) to their original capture site for years, consistent with earlier reports that some females return to their natal sites to lay eggs [14]. Previous phylogenetic studies on southeastern Australian reptiles (e.g. [19,20]) have revealed similar ancient splits between populations.

In both of these taxa, a southern lineage (restricted to Morton NP) differs significantly from conspecifics in the Sydney area. Sumner et al. [20] suggested that the break between the southern and northern clade of H. bungaroides occurs in a geologically distinctive area where volcanic soils cover the sandstone plateaux [21], acting as a barrier to gene flow. The same may be true of other sandstone specialist species such as O. lesueurii. The strong genetic structure observed in this study is consistent with general patterns observed in various taxa distributed in eastern Australia [22] and could be attributed to the ancestral position of the mesic biome (which dominates eastern Australia), and hence allowed localized endemism from long term persistence of populations through multiple climatic cycles [22]. Finally, the observed gradient of genetic diversity in O. lesueurii throughout the study area (decreasing diversity with increasing latitude) may be the result of harsher historical



conditions in the southern part of the range (Last Glacial Maximum; [23]). The species reaches its current southern distributional limit close to our study sites in Morton NP [24].

Overall, the diversification of Australian geckos is ancient and may have originated from a Gondwanan vicariance (e.g. about 70 Ma for the diplodactyloids: Oliver and Sanders, 2009). In this respect the geckos differ from most other squamates, which colonized Australia from Asia more recently (e.g. [25-28]). Similarly, Australian geckos show relatively ancient intraspecific diversification (see e.g. [29-32]; this study). The diversification of at least one of the gecko's major predators (the broadheaded snake H. bungaroides) is much more recent, as the split between the genera Hoplocephalus and Paroplocephalus occurred less than 3 Ma [26], and the oldest split between H. bungaroides lineages about 0.8 Ma [20]. Consequently, O. lesueurii was established across much of its current range in southeastern Australia long before the evolutionary origin of H. bungaroides. Our results support the plausibility of the conditions required for natural selection to produce adaptive local differentiation in geckos: that is, genetic variation among populations and low gene flow between them [33,34].

Conclusions

From a conservation perspective, the low dispersal rates of O. lesueurii have two main implications. The first is that this gecko will be slow to recolonise any local areas from which it is extirpated (perhaps by chance abiotic events, predators, or human disturbance). Thus, habitat suitability for the endangered broad-headed snake may be spatially heterogeneous as a result of relatively ancient local events that reduced gecko numbers. Second, the low dispersal rates of the geckos need to be considered in any management plan that includes the restoration of degraded habitat previously hosting Н. bungaroides. The poor dispersal capacity of O. lesueurii (unlike H. bungaroides itself; [12]) likely will delay or prevent natural recolonisation of geckos in restored areas, unless those areas are very close to extant populations. Consequently, we may need to reintroduce

O. leseurii to such areas in order to guarantee successful habitat restoration for *H. bungaroides*.

Methods

Tissue collection

We collected tissue samples from 179 geckos representing 20 populations in southeastern Australia (see Figure 4 and Table 2), by turning rocks and capturing animals by hand. Tissues were collected by toe-clipping, or from voluntary tail autotomy. Tissue samples were placed in 100% ethanol, transported to the laboratory and stored below 0°C prior to processing.

DNA extraction and PCR amplification

We placed tissues in 200 mL of 5% Chelex containing 0.2 mg/mL of proteinase K, incubated them overnight at 56°C, boiled them at 100°C for 10 min, and centrifuged them at 13,300 g for 10 min. The supernatant, containing purified DNA, was then removed and stored at -20° C.

Double-stranded DNA amplifications of NADH dehydrogenase 2 (ND2) were performed with the primer pairs AT4882 (5'caacatgacaaaaattrgcccc 3'; see [35])/ ND2R2 (5' ratctaggaggccttakc 3'; specifically designed for this study). Amplification conditions included a hot start denaturation of 95°C for 3 min, followed by 35 cycles of 95°C for 1 min, 55°C annealing temperature for 1 min, 72°C for 1 minute 45 seconds. We then performed a final extension of 72°C for 7 min and visualized the sequence reactions on a 3730 xl DNA Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, CA, USA).

2.3 Phylogenetic analyses

We aligned sequences using BioEdit [36] and assessed them by eye. A sequence of *Crenadactylus ocellatus* ([GenBank:AY369016]; the basal species of the Diplodactylidae according to [28]) was used to root the tree. Additional sequences of Diplodactylidae were included in the analyses: *Pseudothecadactylus lindneri* [GenBank: AY369024], *Rhacodactylus chahoua* [GenBank:DQ533741], *Oedura marmorata* [GenBank:AY369015], *Diplodactylus taenicauda* [GenBank:AY369006], *Diplodactylus intermedius* [GenBank:AY369001], and *Strophurus williamsi* [GenBank: AY369007].

We performed ML heuristic searches and bootstrap analyses (1000 replicates) with phyml [37] and we selected the model of DNA substitution using jModelTest 0.1.1 [37,38]. The HKY + G model [39] best fitted the dataset with a Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC; [40]). Finally, we used Paup* 4.0b10 [41] to perform maximum parsimony (MP) analyses using 100 random additions of sequences followed by tree bisection and

Table 2 Number of tissue samples of the gecko *Oedura lesueurii*, and the longitude, latitude, and elevation, length and width of the collecting site, the number of samples and of haplotypes, and the nucleotide diversity at that site

				1 11 1					
Sites	Long.	Lat.	Elevation (m)	Length (m)	Width (m)	# samples	# hapl.	Nuc div.	
Dharawal Site 6_	150.8739	-34.2025	334	93	44	13	2	0.000403	
Dharawal Site 12	150.8873	-34.2213	439	71	60	11	2	0.00026	
Dharawal Site 13	150.8807	-34.2139	382	73	60	7	2	0.000408	
Dharawal Site 15	150.8709	-34.1801	268	234	191	7	2	0.00084	
Dharawal Site 18	150.8726	-34.2027	335	105	76	4	2	0.000714	
Climb_Morton	150.3770	-34.9505	393	340	20	11	2	0.000779	
Nerrigera_Morton	150.4695	-34.9973	201	800	50	12	2	0.000716	
B_Morton	150.4583	-34.9248	234	172	25	10	1	0	
J_Morton	150.4752	-34.9109	211	187	15	11	1	0	
MonkeyGum	150.3919	-35.0420	367	5000	10	11	2	0.00026	
Yarramunmun site 1	150.3666	-34.9788	395	1100	50	12	2	0.000584	
Yarramunmun site 4	150.3724	-34.9719	392	700	50	10	1	0	
Yarramunmun site 2	150.3777	-34.9475	395	1200	50	11	2	0.000781	
Putty_site 7	150.7251	-33.2068	271	216	97	4	2	0.001433	
Putty_site 15	150.6998	-33.0697	266	77	30	5	3	0.002873	
Putty_site 5	150.6954	-33.0987	325	178	40	9	3	0.007716	
Putty_site 1	150.6769	-33.1084	294	241	42	8	5	0.012807	
Malabar	151.2602	-33.9666	15	350	80	4	3	0.002933	
Cape Banks	151.2496	-33.9983	15	950	60	11	1	0	
Royal NP	151.0816	-34.1297	117	400	10	8	3	0.004823	

reconnection branch swapping, and retaining at most 100 trees at each replicate. We estimated branch support using 1000 bootstrap replicates with the same heuristic settings.

Population and landscape genetic analyses

We estimated population structure between all sites sampled by calculating ϕ_{ST} , taking into account haplotype frequencies and the genetic distance between haplotypes, in Arlequin 3.0 [42]. We used the Kimura two-parameter genetic distance (K2P; [43]) as our genetic model.

We performed Mantel and partial Mantel tests [44] using the software FSTAT Version 2.9.3.2 [45], with genetic distance as the dependent variable. The independent variables were the number of intervening rivers (River; i.e. the number of rivers crossing the strait-line distance between two locations) and roads (Walking track; Dirt Road; Paved Road; All roads) between sites, the minimum elevation between sites, the mean elevation of sites minus the minimum elevation between sites, the straight-line distance and true distance between site (i.e., by calculating the surface length of a line connecting each pair of sites while incorporating an underlying digital elevation model at a resolution of 25 m; implemented using the 3D Analyst Tool in ArcMap 9.3, 9). P-values were calculated after 10,000 randomizations. The level of significance for our tests was set at $\alpha = 0.0028$ (Bonferroni correction; i.e. 0.05/18 = 0.0028, where 18 represents the number of tests performed). Based on the results of the Mantel and partial Mantel tests, we selected the best model using Akaike's information criterion (AIC; [46]; based on the variance of the residuals). We compared each candidate model based on its AIC scores and weights. The best supported models are those with high Akaike weights, and that deviate from the best model by less than two units (i.e., $\Delta AIC < 2$; [47]).

We used the program SAMOVA 1.0 [48] to characterise population structure and to define groups of populations using genetic criteria. Given an *a priori* number of clusters (*K*), the software uses a simulated annealing procedure to define the cluster composition in which populations within a cluster are as genetically homogeneous as possible (F_{SC} minimised) and clusters are maximally differentiated from each other (F_{CT} maximised; [48]). The analysis was run for K=2 to K=19 and the significance of fixation indices was tested by 1023 permutations.

Molecular dating

We performed dating analyses using Beast 1.6.2 [49] with an uncorrelated lognormal relaxed clock and a coalescent tree prior. The coefficient of variation frequency histogram did not abut against zero, meaning that there was among-branch rate heterogeneity within our data [50]. Consequently, as suggested by Drummond et al. [50], we used a relaxed molecular clock.

We used two secondary calibration points from a robust phylogeny focusing on Australasian geckos [28]: (1) The oldest split within the Diplodactylidae (i.e. between *Crenadactylus ocellatus* and the other members of the family: 66.2 Ma [95% HPD: 46.6-87.0]) and (2) the split between *Pseudothecadactylus* and the New Caledonian *Rhacodactylus chahoua* and the remaining members of the Diplodactylidae (60.3 Ma [95% HPD: 41.5-79.2]).

The analysis was performed with two independent chains and 20 million generations; chains were sampled every 1000 generations with a burn-in of 2 million generations. Additional simulations were run with the same dataset and the same models, but strictly based on a rate of divergence of 1.3% derived from numerous studies as e.g. Zamudio & Greene's [51] study on snake mtDNA and from Macey's et al. ([52]; also used in e.g. [35,53,54]) work on lizards.

Dispersal distances of free-ranging geckos

We conducted mark-recapture surveys on velvet geckos by turning rocks and measuring, individually marking (by toe-clipping) and releasing any geckos found. These studies were conducted in and around Morton National Park (Morton) on a monthly basis between March 2007 and October 2009, and in Dharawal Conservation area (Dharawal) and Yengo and Wollemi National Park (collectively, Putty) from March 2008 until November 2010. We classified geckos as adult males if they were > 40 mm snout-vent length (SVL) with overt hemipenial bulges; adult females if they were > 40 mm SVL and without such bulges; and juveniles if they were < 40 mm SVL. We determined the distance between rocks used by individual *O. lesueurii* using GPS co-ordinates imported into ArcGIS 10.0 [55].

Additional files

Additional file 1: Maximum parsimony (MP) consensus tree (50% majority rule).

Additional file 2: Pairwise *ΦST* values *between populations* and *p-values*.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

SD, BC, DP, JW and RS contributed with the conceptual development of the work and the writing of the manuscript. SD, DP, BC, and JW collected samples and data in the field. SD, BC, DP carried out the analyses. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Australian Research Council and the Swiss National Science Foundation for funding, and Reid Tingley for assistance with GIS analyses. The work was authorized by the University of Sydney Animal Care and Ethics Committee.

Author details

¹Department of Ecology and Evolution, Biophore Bld, University of Lausanne, Lausanne 1015, Switzerland. ²School of Biological Sciences, University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia. ³School of Marine and Tropical Biology, James Cook University, Townsville, QLD, Australia.

Received: 15 March 2012 Accepted: 2 May 2012 Published: 14 May 2012

References

- Finnoff D, Tschirhart J: Protecting an endangered species while harvesting its prey in a general equilibrium ecosystem model. Land Economics 2003, 79:160–180.
- Hanson MB, Baird RW, Ford JKB, et al: Species and stock identification of prey consumed by endangered southern resident killer whales in their summer range. Endang Species Res 2010, 11:69–82.
- Pike DA, Webb JK, Shine R: Removing forest canopy cover restores a reptile assemblage. Ecol Appl 2011, 21:274–280.
- Pike DA, Webb JK, Shine R: Chainsawing for conservation: ecologicallyinformed tree removal for habitat management. *Ecol Manag Restor* 2011, 12:110–118.
- 5. Boyles JG, Storm JJ: **The perils of picky eating: dietary breadth is related to extinction risk in insectivorous bats.** *PLoS One* 2007, **2**:e672.
- Webb JK, Shine R: Ecological characteristics of a threatened snake species, Hoplocephalus bungaroides (Serpentes, Elapidae). Anim Conserv 1998, 1:185–193.
- Krefft G: The Snakes of Australia: An Illustrated and Descriptive Catalogue of all the Known Species. Government Printer, Sydney: Thomas Richards; 1869.
- Shine R, Webb JK, Fitzgerald M, Sumner J: The impact of bush-rock removal on an endangered snake species, *Hoplocephalus bungaroides* (Serpentes: Elapidae). *Wildlife Res* 1998, 25:285–295.
- Pringle RM, Webb JK, Shine R: Canopy structure, microclimate and habitat selection by a nocturnal snake, *Hoplocephalus bungaroides*. *Ecology* 2003, 10:2668–2679.
- Webb JK, Brook BW, Shine R: Collectors endanger Australia's most threatened snake, the broad-headed snake Hoplocephalus bungaroides. Orvx 2002, 2:170–181.
- 11. Croak BM, Pike DA, Webb JK, Shine R: Using artificial rocks to restore nonrenewable shelter sites in human-degraded systems: colonization by fauna. *Restor Ecol* 2010, 4:428–438.
- Dubey S, Sumner J, Pike DA, Keogh JS, Webb JK, Shine R: Genetic connectivity among populations of an endangered snake species from southeastern Australia (*Hoplocephalus bungaroides*, Elapidae). Ecol Evol 2011, 1:218–227.
- Schlesinger CA, Shine R: Choosing a rock: perspectives of a commercial bush-rock collector and a saxicolous lizard. *Biol Conserv* 1994, 67:49–56.
- Webb JK, Pike D, Shine R: Population ecology of the velvet gecko, *Oedura lesueurii* in southern Australia: implications for the persistence of an endangered snake. *Aust Ecol* 2008, 33:839–847.
- Downes S, Adams M: Geographic variation in antisnake tactics: The evolution of scent-mediated behavior in a lizard. *Evolution* 2001, 3:605–615.
- Webb JK, Du WG, Pike DA, Shine R: Chemical cues from both dangerous and non-dangerous snakes elicit antipredator behaviours from a nocturnal lizard. *Anim Behav* 2009, 77:1471–1478.
- 17. Riechert SE: Investigation of potential gene flow limitation of behavioral adaptation in an arid lands spider. *Behav Ecol Sociobiol* 1993, **32**:355–363.
- Pafilis P, Foufopoulos J, Poulakakis N, Lymberakis P, Valakos ED: Tail shedding in island lizards [Lacertidae, Reptilia]: decline of antipredator defenses in relaxed predation environments. *Evolution* 2009, 63:1262–1278.
- 19. Dubey S, Shine R: Evolutionary diversification of the lizard genus *Bassiana* (Scincidae) across southern Australia. *PLoS One* 2010, 5:e12982.
- Sumner J, Webb JK, Shine R, Keogh JS: Molecular and morphological assessment of Australia's most endangered snake, *Hoplocephalus bungaroides* reveals two evolutionary significant units for conservation. *Conserv Genet* 2010, 11:747–758.
- Branagan D, Packham G: Field Geology of New South Wales. Revised editionth edition. UNSW: NSW Department of Mineral Resources; 2000.
- Byrne M, Steane DA, Joseph L, Yeates DK, Jordan GJ, Crayn D, Aplin K, Cantrill DJ, Cook LG, Crisp MD, Keogh JS, Melville J, Moritz C, Porch N,

Sniderman JMK, Sunnucks P, Weston PH: Decline of a biome: evolution, contraction, fragmentation, extinction and invasion of the Australian mesic zone biota. *J Biogeogr* 2011, **38**:1635–1656.

- Markgraf V, McGlone M, Hope G: Neogene paleoenvironmental and paleoclimatic change in southern temperate ecosystems - a southern perspective. *Trends Ecol Evol* 1995, 10:143–147.
- 24. Wilson S, Swan G: A complete guide to reptiles of Australia. New Holland Publisher: Third edition; 2010.
- Hugall AF, Foster R, Hutchinson M, Lee MSY: Phylogeny of Australasian agamid lizards based on nuclear and mitochondrial genes: implications for morphological evolution and biogeography. *Biol J Linn Soc* 2008, 93:343–358.
- Sanders KL, Lee MSY, Leys R, Foster R, Keogh JS: Molecular phylogeny and divergence dates for Australasian elapids and sea snakes (hydrophiinae): evidence from seven genes for rapid evolutionary radiations. *J Evol Biol* 2008, 3:682–695.
- 27. Skinner A, Lee SYM, Hutchinson MH: Rapid and repeated limb loss in a clade of scincid lizards. *BMC Evol Biol* 2008, 8:310.
- Oliver PM, Sanders KL: Molecular evidence for Gondwanan origins of multiple lineages within a diverse Australasian gecko radiation. *J Biogeogr* 2009, 36:2044–2055.
- Oliver P, Hugall A, Adams M, Cooper SJB, Hutchinson M: Genetic elucidation of cryptic and ancient diversity in a group of Australian diplodactyline geckos: the *Diplodactylus vittatus* complex. *Mol Phylogenet Evol* 2007, 44:77–88.
- Oliver PM, Adams M, Doughty P: Molecular evidence for ten species and Oligo-Miocene vicariance within a nominal Australian gecko species (*Crenadactylus ocellatus*, Diplodactylidae). *BMC Evol Biol* 2010, 10:386.
- Oliver PM, Bauer AM: Systematics and evolution of the Australian knobtail geckos (*Nephrurus*, Carphodactylidae, Gekkota): plesiomorphic grades and biome shifts through the Miocene. *Mol Phylogenet Evol* 2011, 59:664–674.
- 32. Dubey S, Shine R: Geographic variation in the age of temperate-zone reptile and amphibian species: southern Hemisphere species are older. *Biol Letters* 2011, **7**:96–97.
- 33. Endler JA: Natural selection in the wild. NJ: Princeton Univ. Press; 1986.
- Urban MC: Microgeographic adaptations of spotted salamander morphological defenses in response to a predaceous salamander and beetle. *Oikos* 2010, 119:646–658.
- 35. Dubey S, Shine R: Restricted dispersal and genetic diversity in populations of an endangered montane lizard (*Eulamprus leuraensis*, Scincidae). *Mol Ecol* 2010, **19**:886–897.
- Hall TA: BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucleic Acids Symp Ser 1999, 41:95–98.
- 37. Guindon S, Gascuel O: A simple, fast, and accurate algorithm to estimate large phylogenies by maximum likelihood. *Syst Biol* 2003, **52**:692–704.
- Posada D: jModelTest: phylogenetic model averaging. Mol Biol Evol 2008, 25:1253–1256.
- Hasegawa M, Kishino H, Yano T: Dating of the human-ape splitting by a molecular clock of mitochondrial DNA. J Mol Evol 1985, 22:160–174.
- 40. Schwarz G: Estimating the dimension of a model. Ann Stat 1978, 6:461–464.
- 41. Swofford DL, PAUP*: *Phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (*and other methods). Version 4.0b10.* Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates; 2001.
- 42. Excoffier L, Laval G, Schneider S: Arlequin ver. 3.0: an integrated software package for population genetics data analysis. *Evol Bioinform Online* 2005, 1:47–50.
- Kimura M: A simple method for estimating evolutionary rate of base substitution through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. J Mol Evol 1980, 16:111–120.
- 44. Mantel N: Detection of disease clustering and a generalized regression approach. *Cancer Res* 1967, **27:**209.
- 45. Goudet J, FSTAT: A Program to Estimate and Test Gene Diversities and Fixation Indices, Version 2.9.3.2. 2002. Available at: http://www.unil.ch/Jahia/ site/dee/op/edit/pid/36921.
- Akaike H: Information theory as an extension of the maximum likelihood principle. In Second International Symposium on Information Theory. Edited by Petrov BN, Csaki F. Budapest: Akademiai Kiado; 1973:267–281.
- Burnham KP, Anderson DR: Model Selection and Inference: A Pratical Information-Theoretic Approach. New York: Springer; 1998.

- Dupanloup I, Schneider S, Excoffier L: A simulated annealing approach to define the genetic structure of populations. *Mol Ecol* 2002, 11:2571–2581.
- 49. Drummond AJ, Rambaut A: *BEAST v1.4*. 2006. Available at: http://beast.bio.ed.ac.uk.
- Drummond AJ, Ho SYW, Rawlence N, Rambaut A: A rough guide to BEAST 1.4. 2007. Available at: http://beast.bio.ed.ac.uk.
- Zamudio KR, Greene HW. Phylogeography of the bushmaster (*Lachesis muta*: Viperidae): implications for Neotropical biogeography, systematics, and conservation. *Biol J Linn Soc* 1997, 62:421–442.
- Macey JR, Schulte JA, Larson A, Tuniyev BS, Orlov N, Papenfuss TJ: Molecular phylogenetics, tRNA evolution, and historical biogeography in anguid lizards and related taxonomic families. *Mol Phylogenet Evol* 1999, 12:250–272.
- Daniels SR, Mouton PFN, Du Toit DA: Molecular data suggest that melanistic ectotherms at the south-western tip of Africa are the products of Miocene climatic events: evidence from cordylid lizards. J Zool Lond 2004, 263:373–383.
- Torres-Carvajal O, de Queiroz K: Phylogeny of oplocercine lizards (Squamata: Iguania) with estimates of relative divergence times. Mol Phylogenet Evol 2009, 50:31–43.
- ESRI: ArcGIS Desktop: Release 9. Redlands, CA: Environmental Systems Research Institute; 2011.

doi:10.1186/1471-2148-12-67

Cite this article as: Dubey *et al.*: Phylogeography and dispersal in the velvet gecko (*Oedura lesueurii*), and potential implications for conservation of an endangered snake (*Hoplocephalus bungaroides*). *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 2012 **12**:67.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar

) BioMed Central

• Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit