

# ResearchOnline@JCU

This file is part of the following reference:

**Williams, Stephen E. (1998) *Spatial patterns of vertebrate biodiversity and assemblage structure in the rainforests of the Australian wet tropics*. PhD thesis, James Cook University.**

Access to this file is available from:

<http://eprints.jcu.edu.au/24133/>

*The author has certified to JCU that they have made a reasonable effort to gain permission and acknowledge the owner of any third party copyright material included in this document. If you believe that this is not the case, please contact [ResearchOnline@jcu.edu.au](mailto:ResearchOnline@jcu.edu.au) and quote <http://eprints.jcu.edu.au/24133/>*

**Spatial Patterns of Vertebrate Biodiversity and  
Assemblage Structure in the Rainforests of the  
Australian Wet Tropics**

**Stephen E. Williams B. Sc. (Hons.)**

**1998**

**Submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy  
in the Department of Zoology and Tropical Ecology,  
Cooperative Research Centre for Tropical Rainforest Ecology and Management  
and the Department of Tropical Environmental Studies and Geography,  
James Cook University of North Queensland  
Townsville, Qld 4811  
Australia**

## STATEMENT OF ACCESS

I, the undersigned, the author of this thesis, understand that James Cook University of North Queensland will make it available for use within the library and, by microfilm or other photographic means, allow access to users in other approved libraries. All users consulting this thesis will have to sign the following statement:

'In consulting this thesis I agree not to copy or closely paraphrase it in whole or in part without the written consent of the author; and to make proper written acknowledgment for any assistance which I have obtained from it.'

Beyond this, I do not wish to place any restriction on access to this thesis.

(signature)

..... 7/4/98  
(date)

## DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis is my own work and has not been submitted in any form for another degree or diploma at any university or other institution of tertiary education. Information derived from the published or unpublished work of others has been acknowledged in the text and a list of references is given.

(signature)

..... 7/4/98  
(date)

## Abstract

*This study examined the spatial patterns of vertebrate biodiversity, with an emphasis on mammals, in the Australian Wet Tropics biogeographic region over a range of spatial scales. Regional patterns of diversity were described on the basis of a review and collation of all available data on vertebrate distributions. The highest species diversity of vertebrates was found in sclerophyll habitats (approximately 388 species). Rainforest was considerably less species-rich with about 259 vertebrate species; however, regional endemism was much higher in rainforest (25%) than in the combined sclerophyll habitats (4%). Although there was no consistent latitudinal or altitudinal cline in diversity, there was a consistent turnover in the assemblage composition of vertebrates, both altitudinally and latitudinally. Habitat diversity at the landscape scale was consistently important in explaining the variance in patterns of species richness.*

*The number of regionally endemic species of vertebrates and the proportion of regional endemics present in each sub-region were both related to the geographic shape and area of sub-regional patches of rainforest. Shape had a more significant influence on regional endemism than area, while area had a stronger influence on species richness. These patterns were similar for all terrestrial vertebrate classes.*

*Mammal assemblages were examined in more detail: multivariate analyses suggested five different geographically separated rainforest mammal assemblages. The most diverse was found in the central uplands (Atherton Tableland) with a decrease in diversity to the north and south, and with decreasing altitude. The most diverse areas were characterised by large areas of rainforest with a rounder shape (low shape index), high annual rainfall, consistent rainfall in the dry season and a diversity of rainfall regimes.*

*The combination of rainforest area and shape explained most of the variance ( $r^2 = 0.74$ ) in the patterns of species richness of rainforest mammals. Various measures of habitat diversity were also dependent on area, and a similar degree of the variance in species richness ( $r^2 = 0.78$ ) was explained by using rainforest shape and habitat*

*diversity variables (rainfall and vegetation diversity) and excluding area. This suggests that the effect of area on the patterns of species richness was primarily due to its positive influence on habitat heterogeneity. Analysis of the mammalian guild structure indicated that it was the number of species within guilds that most strongly affected patterns of species richness, although the number of guilds also had an effect. Most of the variance in species richness could be attributed to three guilds: arboreal folivores, small scansorial and small scansorial folivore-omnivores .*

*The results suggest that habitat heterogeneity and patterns of localised extinctions (species sifting) during historical contractions of the rainforest have been extremely important processes in determining regional patterns of vertebrate biodiversity in Australia's wet tropical rainforests.*

*An investigation of the local-scale patterns of mammal diversity was undertaken on the southern Atherton Tableland. Spotlighting and live trapping were used to examine the relationships between the composition of the mammal assemblage and habitat structure over several spatial scales. The results showed that the structure of the mammal assemblages was closely correlated with vegetation structure. The presence or absence of specific guilds was related to vegetation complexity, although total species richness was not. Local species richness of ground-dwelling mammals was mostly a product of the spatial variability in assemblage structure ( $^p$ diversity), which was related to the spatial variability in vegetation structure.*

*The effect of spatial scale is crucial to the understanding of the generality of processes which limit or promote biodiversity. Each spatial scale represents a nested hierarchy within the larger scales. The available species pool at a given spatial scale constrains the upper limit of species richness possible at the smaller scales while spatial patterns within a scale are determined by processes acting at that scale. This study examined patterns of diversity over a range of spatial scales and conceptual models are presented which describe the different spatial scales and the variety of processes which act at each spatial scale.*

## Table of Contents

STATEMENT OF ACCESS .....	ii
DECLARATION .....	ii
ABSTRACT .....	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS .....	v
DEDICATION .....	viii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	ix
<b>CHAPTER 1: GENERAL INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1</b>
Background .....	1
Terminology .....	5
Approach of this study .....	6
<b>CHAPTER 2: DISTRIBUTIONS AND BIODIVERSITY OF THE TERRESTRIAL VERTEBRATES OF AUSTRALIA'S WET TROPICS: A REVIEW OF CURRENT KNOWLEDGE.....</b>	<b>10</b>
INTRODUCTION .....	10
<i>Global context</i> .....	12
<i>National context</i> .....	12
<i>Regional context</i> .....	13
Mammals .....	14
Birds .....	15
Reptiles .....	16
Frogs .....	18
<i>What is known about the determinants or processes underlying the patterns in vertebrate biodiversity in the Wet Tropics?</i> .....	19
<i>What is not known about vertebrate biodiversity in the Wet Tropics ?</i> .....	21
METHODS .....	22
Geographic definition of subregions .....	22
Compilation of distribution data .....	23
Environmental data .....	24
Shape Index .....	24
Multiple regression analysis .....	25
RESULTS .....	26
<i>Spatial patterns of regional diversity</i> .....	29
Very Important Species .....	29
Mammals .....	30
Birds .....	30
Reptiles .....	30
Frogs .....	31
<i>Environmental correlates of spatial patterns of species richness</i> .....	31
DISCUSSION .....	34
<b>CHAPTER 3: REGIONAL PATTERNS OF VERTEBRATE ENDEMISM IN THE WET TROPICS.....</b>	<b>40</b>
INTRODUCTION .....	40
METHODS .....	41
<i>Multiple regression models of the effects of rainforest area and shape</i> .....	42
<i>Nestedness</i> .....	42
RESULTS .....	43
<i>Species richness in rainforest (all species)</i> .....	43
<i>Species richness in rainforest (regional endemics only)</i> .....	43

<i>Patterns of endemism</i> .....	47
<i>Does the effect of shape decrease in larger areas of rainforest ?</i> .....	47
<i>Nestedness</i> .....	50
DISCUSSION .....	50
<b>CHAPTER 4: REGIONAL PATTERNS OF MAMMAL BIODIVERSITY</b> .....	<b>55</b>
INTRODUCTION .....	55
METHODS .....	56
<i>Analytical methods</i> .....	56
RESULTS .....	57
<i>Overall mammal diversity (all habitats)</i> .....	57
<i>Mammal diversity in rainforest</i> .....	58
Assemblage analysis.....	58
Subregion analysis.....	58
Species richness of endemic rainforest mammals .....	64
Guild structure .....	64
Which guilds have the most influence on these patterns ? .....	68
Nestedness of spatial distribution patterns .....	68
DISCUSSION .....	68
<i>Rainforest mammals</i> .....	69
Summary of hypothesis .....	74
<b>CHAPTER 5: SPATIAL PATTERNS IN MAMMAL ASSEMBLAGE STRUCTURE AT THE LOCAL / LANDSCAPE SCALE</b> .....	<b>76</b>
INTRODUCTION .....	76
METHODS .....	81
<i>Study Area</i> .....	81
<i>Site selection criteria</i> .....	81
<i>Sampling methods</i> .....	84
Trapping .....	84
Spotlighting .....	84
Miscellaneous .....	85
<i>Spatial and temporal scales of data combination</i> .....	86
<i>Description of assemblage structure</i> .....	87
<i>Vegetation / habitat sampling methodology</i> .....	87
Spatial scales .....	88
<i>Analytical methods</i> .....	89
<i>Bias analysis</i> .....	91
Identification problems ( <i>Rattus fuscipes</i> / <i>Rattus leucopus</i> ) .....	93
Combining trapping, spotlighting and miscellaneous observations .....	94
RESULTS .....	95
<i>Vegetation structure</i> .....	95
Broad trends across the habitat gradient from rainforest to open forest.....	95
Point scale .....	95
Local scale .....	97
<i>Gradient in Mammal Assemblage Structure</i> .....	98
Trapping results.....	98
Spotlighting results .....	99
<i>Small mammal assemblage structure - trapping data (samples pooled over time)</i> .....	102
Point (grid) scale.....	102
Local (site) scale .....	104
<i>Mammal assemblage structure - spotlighting data (samples pooled over time)</i> .....	107
Point (200m segment) scale .....	107
Local (site) scale .....	109
<i>Relationship between mammal assemblage structure and vegetation structure</i> .....	110
<i>Point (grid) Scale</i> .....	110
Trapping results.....	110
<i>Local (site) scale</i> .....	114
Trapping results.....	114
Spotlighting results.....	115
Combined trapping and spotlighting results .....	117

DISCUSSION .....	122
<i>Small mammal trapping</i> .....	122
Vegetation structure and mammal assemblage structure - point scale .....	122
Vegetation structure and mammal assemblage structure - site scale .....	123
Sampling considerations.....	124
<i>Spotlighting</i> .....	126
Vegetation structure and mammal assemblage structure - site scale .....	126
<i>Combined trapping / spotlighting data - site scale</i> .....	127
<i>Community saturation?</i> .....	129
<i>The relationships between mammal assemblage structure and vegetation structure</i> .....	130
<i>Mass effect</i> .....	131
<i>Edge effects</i> .....	133
<i>Spatial scale</i> .....	134
<i>Productivity</i> .....	135
<i>The benefits of using a multi-technique sampling approach</i> .....	135
<i>Summary</i> .....	136
<b>CHAPTER 6: GENERAL DISCUSSION .....</b>	<b>139</b>
<i>Summary of main conclusions</i> .....	139
<i>Specific questions posed in the General Introduction</i> .....	141
<i>The pervasiveness of the effects of spatial scale: the whole is greater than the sum of the parts</i> .....	144
<b>REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>150</b>
<b>APPENDICES.....</b>	<b>165</b>
Appendix 1: Mammals	
Appendix 2: Birds	
Appendix 3: Reptiles	
Appendix 4: Frogs	
Appendix 5: Special references for appendices 1-4.	
Appendix 6: Non-volant mammal species known to occur on the Atherton Tableland.	
Appendix 7: Habitat proforma used in local scale mammal study.	
Appendix 8: Additional papers:	Williams 1995
	Williams & Hero, 1998
	Williams & Hero, in review
	Williams & Marsh, 1998
Appendix 9: Mammal guilds used in the guild analyses (from Braithwaite et al. 1985)	



## **Dedication**

I would like to dedicate this thesis to my daughter Anna. She has been my most loyal and loving supporter for the last eight years. Anna taught me the truth in something that was said by Charles Darwin ..... ‘Talk of fame, honor, pleasure, wealth, all are dirt compared with affection’.

## Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my family, Yvette and Anna, for making my life happy and for putting up with my absences in the field and the office. It has only been through their support and help that I have been able to complete this thesis, and they have my love and deep gratitude. I would also like to thank my family for their long-term moral support, especially Mum, Dad, Bron, Paula and Jo. I would also like to thank some people who have been special friends and who have helped me in many ways, especially Karl, Jacqui, Danny, Karen L., Ivan, Marc, Richard, Emily, Daniyella (this is not a typo), Karen W. and Martin.

My supervisors have been everything they should, and much, much more. I would particularly like to thank Helene and Richard for believing in me and trusting me to get the job done despite the numerous distractions that I have been involved in over the last few years. John has taught me so much about the Wet Tropics, the rainforest and the wonderful animals that live there that I could not possibly list them all here, thank you John. I must also thank Richard and Helene for bearing the brunt of many dreary drafts without hardly a complaint. All three have been more like extremely supportive friends than formal supervisors and I have greatly appreciated it.

I would like to give special thanks to the many people and organisations listed in the special reference section (Appendix 5) who made their observations available for inclusion in the chapters on regional patterns of diversity, especially J. Winter, K. McDonald, F. Crome, L. Moore, G. Werren, M. Trenerry, S. Richards and J-M. Hero. Much of this study would not have been possible without their help. In particular I would like to thank John Winter for his enormous contributions in data, time and invaluable discussion.

Thanks to the Department of Zoology and Tropical Ecology, Department of Tropical Environmental Studies and Geography and the Cooperative Research Centre for Tropical Rainforest Ecology and Rainforest for their many faceted support throughout my studies. Thanks also to the Australian Research Council for my APA scholarship.

Australian Geographic also helped with funding, so everyone go out and buy their magazine. I'd also like to thank the Department of Forestry, the Department of Environment and the WTMA for the permits etc. which allowed me to conduct the field studies.

Another special thanks has to go to the Wet Tropics Management Authority (WTMA). Much of the field work was supported by funding from the WTMA and I would like to personally thank Steve Goosem and Arnon Accad for interesting discussions and GIS and database support. Thanks to Alan McIlwee and Ivan Lawler for helping with the preparation of some of the maps, and to Brett and Warwick at CRC-TREM for the vegetation map.

To all of the people who have commented on or discussed various bits of this thesis with me, many thanks: Richard Pearson, Helene Marsh, John Winter, Richard Retallick, Karl Vernes, Jacqui Coughlan, Jiro Kikkawa, Michael Cunningham, Chris Schneider, Craig Moritz, Jean-Marc Hero, Bill Laurance, Nigel Stork, Chris Johnson, Keith McDonald, Francis Crome, Les Moore, Dolph Schluter and Yvette Williams.

Field work in the rainforest is never easy but it was made much easier and more pleasurable by the help and company of many people, many thanks guys: Karl Vernes, Jeff Middleton, Jacqui Coughlan, Eric, James Reiss, Jenny Goldberg, Steve Comport, Stephanie White, Yvette Williams, Lisa Kellogg, Martin Cohen, Caroline Christie, Paula Randall, Frederick Volpi, Christine Schmidt, Niall Connolly, Jane Blackwood, John Winter, Collin, Danny Spence, Les Moore, Bevan, Kirsty, Kylie Freebody and Larry. Field work, especially during the wet season, was made much easier by the tractor shed that I lived in, so thanks to Brian Smith for that privilege. I also appreciated the many hot showers, the food, the company, and the electricity to charge batteries (both mine and the ones for spotlighting) that were provided by John and Helen, Jane Blackwood, Kylie Freebody, Amy Jansen, Jodie Isaacs and Karl Vernes.

*On patterns in nature ...*

*".. causality would be merely a name for something that exists only in our partial and biased mental reconstructings. The pattern which it indexes, however, would be real, but not intellectually apperceivable because the pattern goes everywhere and is everything and cannot be encompassed by finite mind or by anything short of life - which it is."*

John Steinbeck (1958), p.212 in "Log from the Sea of Cortez"