

# ResearchOnline@JCU

This file is part of the following reference:

**Munday, Philip L. (1999) *The relationship between habitat use and the population ecology of coral-dwelling fishes (genus *Gobiodon*)*. PhD thesis, James Cook University.**

Access to this file is available from:

<http://eprints.jcu.edu.au/24118/>

*The author has certified to JCU that they have made a reasonable effort to gain permission and acknowledge the owner of any third party copyright material included in this document. If you believe that this is not the case, please contact [ResearchOnline@jcu.edu.au](mailto:ResearchOnline@jcu.edu.au) and quote <http://eprints.jcu.edu.au/24118/>*

**THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN HABITAT USE  
AND THE POPULATION ECOLOGY OF CORAL-  
DWELLING FISHES (GENUS *GOBIODON*)**

**Thesis submitted by**

**Philip L. Munday BSc (Hons) JCU**

**October 1999**

**For the degree of Doctor of Philosophy  
in Marine Biology  
within the School of Marine Biology and Aquaculture  
James Cook University**

## STATEMENT OF ACCESS

I, the undersigned, the author of this thesis, understand that James Cook University will make it available for use within the University Library and, by microfilm or other means, allow access to users in other approved libraries. All users consulting this thesis will have to sign the following statement:

In consulting this thesis I agree not to copy or closely paraphrase it in whole or in part without the written consent of the author; and to make proper public written acknowledgement for any assistance which I have obtained from it.

Beyond this point, I do not wish to place any restriction on access to this thesis.

13/10/99

Philip L. Munday

## ABSTRACT

---

The role of resource availability and competition in determining the distributions and abundances of species remains one of the most controversial subjects in ecology. In particular, the spatial scales over which these factors influence patterns of distribution and abundance is unclear. In this thesis I examine the effects of habitat selection, habitat availability and competition for space on the distribution and abundance of obligate coral-dwelling gobies (genus *Gobiodon*) at multiple spatial scales. I also examine the link between habitat specialisation and competitive ability, and assess the fitness consequences of inhabiting different species of coral. To achieve this I used a combination of comparative studies and manipulative experiments within and among four geographic locations, extending from the southern Great Barrier Reef to northern Papua New Guinea.

In a broad sense, all species of *Gobiodon* included in this study were found to be habitat specialists. However, some species inhabited only one or two species of coral and exhibited very conservative patterns of habitat use at all spatial scales examined. Other species exhibited a more flexible pattern of habitat use, particularly among geographic locations. Variation in the abundance of most species of *Gobiodon*, within and among locations, was closely associated with variation in the abundance of the corals they usually inhabit. Therefore, habitat availability appears to play a major role in determining the abundances of *Gobiodon* species at both local and regional scales. However, abundances were also correlated with reef zones, reef types and geographic locations, independently to coral availability. Therefore, as spatial scales increase a variety of other factors influence patterns of distribution and abundance of coral-dwelling gobies. A multiscale model of *Gobiodon* distribution and abundance is presented that includes: 1. Geographical differences in abundance, 2. Broad scale habitat selection of reefs within locations, 3. Finer scale habitat selection for reef zones and then individual coral colonies within zones and, 4. Competition for space within reef zones.

In laboratory experiments, species of *Gobiodon* differed in their ability to compete for preferred corals. Body size and prior residency of coral colonies also had

a significant effect on competitive ability. A competitor removal experiment in the field demonstrated that some species of *Gobiodon* compete for space. Following the removal of a dominant competitor (*G. histrio*) from replicate patches of reef at Lizard Island (Great Barrier Reef), the abundances of two species, *G. axillaris* and *G. brochus*, significantly increased in abundance. Moreover, there was a very close relationship between the change in abundance of *G. histrio* and the change in abundance of *G. axillaris* and *G. brochus* combined. *G. axillaris* and *G. histrio* inhabit and compete directly for the same species of corals in the field but exhibit habitat partitioning at larger spatial scales (reef zones and reef types). *G. brochus* is apparently forced to use an inferior species of coral as a result of competition with *G. histrio*. Three other species of *Gobiodon* did not compete for space with *G. histrio*, either because they inhabit different species of coral or are able to co-habit coral colonies with *G. histrio*. The results of the competitor removal experiment were largely predictable from knowledge of overlap in habitat use and an understanding of these species' competitive abilities. Experiments at other geographic locations indicate that the intensity of competition appears to decline in locations where the relative abundance of preferred corals is high.

Transplant experiments demonstrated significant differences in growth and survival of fish inhabiting different species of coral. Furthermore, estimated lifetime reproductive success differed by more than an order of magnitude for fish inhabiting different species of coral. Habitat related differences in fitness might explain habitat preferences of *Gobiodon* species and the intense competition for some species of coral. Differences in habitat structure between species of coral may be the mechanism underlying habitat related differences in fitness. The consequences of inhabiting different species of coral were similar at two locations on the Great Barrier Reef (Lizard Island and One Tree Island) and, therefore, habitat related differences in fitness appear to have general relevance to habitat preferences and competition among species of *Gobiodon*. For at least some species of *Gobiodon*, the degree of habitat specialisation exhibited appears to be linked to their competitive abilities and the fitness consequences of inhabiting different species of corals.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

<b>Statement of access</b> .....	<b>i</b>
<b>Abstract</b> .....	<b>ii</b>
<b>Table of contents</b> .....	<b>iv</b>
<b>List of figures</b> .....	<b>vi</b>
<b>Statement on sources</b> .....	<b>ix</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b> .....	<b>x</b>
<b>Chapter 1: General Introduction</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>Chapter 2: Interactions between habitat use and patterns of abundance in coral-dwelling fishes</b>	
2.1. Abstract.....	10
2.2. Introduction .....	11
2.3. Methods .....	13
2.4. Results .....	18
2.5. Discussion.....	32
<b>Chapter 3: Habitat use of coral-dwelling fishes at multiple spatial scales</b>	
3.1. Abstract.....	37
3.2. Introduction .....	38
3.3. Methods .....	42
3.4. Results .....	48
3.5. Discussion.....	64
<b>Chapter 4: Interspecific competition in a guild of coral-dwelling fishes</b>	
4.1. Abstract.....	69
4.2. Introduction .....	70
4.3. Methods .....	73
4.4. Results .....	78
4.5. Discussion.....	93

**Chapter 5: Fitness consequences of habitat selection and competition among coral-dwelling fishes**

5.1. Abstract.....	99
5.2. Introduction .....	100
5.3. Methods .....	102
5.4. Results .....	106
5.5. Discussion.....	114

**Chapter 6: Experimental evaluation of geographic variability in recruitment and growth of coral-dwelling fishes**

6.1. Abstract.....	117
6.2. Introduction .....	118
6.3. Methods .....	121
6.4. Results .....	126
6.5. Discussion.....	140

**Chapter 7: General Discussion..... 144**

**References: ..... 149**

**Appendix 1. Guide to coral-dwelling gobies, genus *Gobiodon* (Gobiidae), from Papua New Guinea and the Great Barrier Reef**

**Appendix 2. The ecological implications of small body size among coral-reef fishes**

**Appendix 3. Comparative efficacy of clove oil and other chemicals in anaesthetization of *Pomacentrus amboinensis*, a coral reef fish**

**Appendix 4. Settlement strategies and distribution patterns of coral-reef fishes**

**Appendix 5. Enhancement of recruitment to coral reefs using light-attractors**

## LIST OF FIGURES

---

- Fig. 2.1. Map of Kimbe Bay, New Britain, Papua New Guinea showing sites sampled in this study. .... 27
- Fig. 2.2. Total number of coral colonies from each species of *Acropora* examined for the presence of coral-dwelling gobies in Kimbe Bay..... 28
- Fig. 2.3. Total number of each species of *Gobiodon* observed in each species of *Acropora* in Kimbe Bay.....29-30
- Fig. 2.4. Relationship between habitat specialisation and the total number of each species of *Gobiodon* recorded in Kimbe Bay.. .... 31
- Fig. 3.1. Predicted relationship between habitat abundance and fish abundance at two locations (open vs closed circles) where (a, b) habitat associations influence abundances in a similar manner between locations, (c) abundances between locations are also influenced by processes on large spatial scales and (d) habitat availability influences abundance at only one location.. .... 58
- Fig. 3. 2. Study sites at (1) Kimbe Bay, PNG, (2) Bootless Bay, PNG, (3) Lizard Island, Great Barrier Reef and, (4) One Tree Island, Great Barrier Reef..... 59
- Fig. 3.3. Total number of colonies of coral species commonly used by *Gobiodon* at each geographic location.. .... 60
- Fig. 3.4 Heterogeneity (mean  $\pm$  SE) of coral assemblage at each exposure and geographic location..... 61



Fig 3.5. Number of fish per transect (mean $\pm$ SE), for each species of <i>Gobiodon</i> , at each geographic location and exposure level..	62
Fig. 3.6 Number of individuals per transect (mean $\pm$ SE), for each species of <i>Gobiodon</i> , across reef zones at moderately exposed sites at south GBR, north GBR and north PNG..	63
Fig 4.1. Design of aquaria used to test habitat preference and competitive ability of <i>Gobiodon</i> .....	88
Fig 4.2. Percent of trials in which preferred habitat used by each species of <i>Gobiodon</i> in the absence and presence of <i>G. histrio</i> .....	89
Fig 4.3. Proportion of colonies of <i>Acropora nasuta</i> recolonised by <i>G. histrio</i> , <i>G. axillaris</i> and <i>G. quinquestrigatus</i> at Lizard Island.....	90
Fig 4.4. Mean change in abundance ( $\pm$ SE) of each species of <i>Gobiodon</i> in removal and control plots following the removal of <i>G. histrio</i> .....	91
Fig 4.5. Relationship between change in abundance of <i>G. histrio</i> and combined change in abundance of <i>G. axillaris</i> and <i>G. brochus</i> in all plots (removals and controls) between the start and end of the competitor removal experiment.....	92
Fig. 5.1. Mean standard length $\pm$ SD of <i>G. histrio</i> and <i>G. brochus</i> after 0, 4 and 10 months inhabiting <i>A. nasuta</i> and <i>A. loripes</i> .....	110
Fig. 5.2. Percent survival of <i>G. histrio</i> and <i>G. brochus</i> after 4 and 10 months inhabiting <i>A. nasuta</i> and <i>A. loripes</i> .....	111
Fig. 5.3. Lifetime reproductive success estimated for individuals of <i>G. histrio</i> and <i>G. brochus</i> inhabiting <i>A. nasuta</i> and <i>A. loripes</i> .....	112

Fig. 5.4. Lifetime reproductive success estimated for individuals of <i>G. brochus</i> inhabiting only <i>A. loripes</i> only <i>A. nasuta</i> or moving from <i>A. loripes</i> to <i>A. nasuta</i> after 4 months.....	113
Fig 6.1. Proportion of <i>A. nasuta</i> colonies occupied by <i>G. histrio</i> , <i>G. quinquestrigatus</i> and other species on sheltered reefs at One Tree Island, Lizard Island, and Kimbe Bay.....	134
Fig 6.2. Proportion of coral colonies previously occupied by <i>G. histrio</i> that were recolonised by <i>G. histrio</i> , <i>G. quinquestrigatus</i> and other species of <i>Gobiodon</i> at Kimbe Bay, Lizard Island and One Tree Island.....	135
Fig 6.3. Proportion of coral colonies previously occupied by <i>G. quinquestrigatus</i> that were recolonised by <i>G. histrio</i> , <i>G. quinquestrigatus</i> and other species of <i>Gobiodon</i> at Kimbe Bay, Lizard Island and One Tree Island.....	136
Fig 6.4. Mean increase in standard length (SL) $\pm$ of <i>G. histrio</i> and <i>G. brochus</i> transplanted to <i>A. nasuta</i> and <i>A. loripes</i> at Lizard Island and One Tree Island.....	147
Fig 6.5. Mean increase in standard length (SL) $\pm$ of <i>G. histrio</i> transplanted to <i>A. nasuta</i> at Kimbe Bay, Lizard Island and One Tree Island.....	148
Fig 6.6. Mean interbranch space for <i>A. nasuta</i> and <i>A. loripes</i> at Kimbe Bay, Lizard Island and One Tree Island.....	149

## STATEMENT ON SOURCES

---

### DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis is my own work and has not been submitted in any form for another degree or diploma at any university or other institution of tertiary education. Information derived from the published or unpublished work of others has been acknowledged in the text and a list of references is given.

13/10/99

Philip L. Munday

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

---

Firstly, thanks to my supervisors, Geoff Jones and Julian Caley for their assistance and advice when needed and for giving me the freedom to take my research in the directions I thought best. Geoff Jones, Julian Caley, Mark McCormick, Terry Hughes, Jason Tanner, Douglas Morris, Mark Hixon, Rob Brooks, Nick Gust, and several anonymous referees provided helpful comments on the manuscripts that form the chapters of this thesis. Discussion with Howard Choat, David Bellwood, Dave Booth, Peter Doherty, Mike Kingsford, Jeff Leis and Garry Russ were also helpful in the development of this thesis. Bryan Manly, Dan Ryan, Glen DeAth and Marcus Sheaves advised on various statistical techniques, particularly log linear modelling and multiple regression.

Special thanks to Carden Wallace and Jackie Wolstenholme for teaching a fish biologist how to identify corals and to Tony Harold, Rick Winterbottom and Doug Hoese for their continued supply of information on goby taxonomy. Max Benjamin, Shannon Seeto, and staff at Mahonia Na Dari Research Station, Walindi Diving, Walindi Plantation, and Motupore Island Research Department assisted greatly with research and travel in Papua New Guinea.

Thanks to Glen Barrall, Rob Cirocco, Marlene Davey, Janelle Eagle, Gillian Goby, Nick Gust, Andrew Hoey, Frederieke Kroon, Katherine Munday, Anika Persson, Marie-Lise Schlappy, Melissa Schubert, Shannon Seeto, Tsai Sin and Shaun Wilson for all their help in the field and to Sue Reilly for assistance with histology.

Thanks to Max Benjamin of Walindi Plantation for continued support of my research in New Britain, PNG. A Lizard Island Doctoral Scholarship from the Australian Museum, a Mazda Foundation/One Tree Island Doctoral Fellowship and funding from James Cook University supported field work at Lizard Island, One Tree Island and Motupore Island respectively. Further funding came from James Cook University merit research grants and an Australian Coral Reef Society Fellowship.

Marcus Ohman give me the opportunity and financial support to speak at the Marine Ecology conference at Stockholm University. Thanks also to staff at Mahonia Na Dari Research Station, Walindi Diving, Lizard Island Research Station, One Tree Island Research Station and Motupore Island Research Station, it would not have been possible without your help.

Most importantly, thanks to \_\_\_\_\_ for her love and support throughout.