

ResearchOnline@JCU

This file is part of the following reference:

Martin-Smith, Keith Michael (1994) *The role of epifaunal crustaceans on Sargassum spp. at Magnetic Island, Great Barrier Reef, Australia.* PhD thesis, James Cook University.

Access to this file is available from:

<http://eprints.jcu.edu.au/24116/>

The author has certified to JCU that they have made a reasonable effort to gain permission and acknowledge the owner of any third party copyright material included in this document. If you believe that this is not the case, please contact ResearchOnline@jcu.edu.au and quote <http://eprints.jcu.edu.au/24116/>

**THE ROLE OF EPIFAUNAL
CRUSTACEANS ON *SARGASSUM*
SPP. AT MAGNETIC ISLAND, GREAT
BARRIER REEF, AUSTRALIA.**

Thesis submitted by
Keith Michael MARTIN-SMITH M. A. (Cantab.)
in January 1994

for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in
the Department of Marine Biology at
James Cook University of North Queensland.

"Ecology is the science that seeks to understand the distribution and abundance of life on earth. It is both an environmental and an evolutionary science, since it works to discover the ways in which environmental resources are divided among individuals of different species. In this process species are forged and kept distinct, males are separated from females and numbers are so regulated that the common stay common and the rare stay rare." Paul A. Colinvaux,

*"To see a World in a Grain of Sand,
And a Heaven in a Wild Flower,
Hold Infinity in the palm of your hand,
And Eternity in an hour."
Auguries of Innocence, William Blake*



Frontispiece. An insect of the sea? A juvenile *Cymodoce* forages on a *Sargassum* frond.

STATEMENT OF ACCESS

I, the undersigned, the author of this thesis, understand that James Cook University of North Queensland will make it available for use within the University Library and, by microfilm or other photographic means, allow access to users in other approved libraries. All users consulting this thesis will have to sign the following statement:

“In consulting this thesis I agree not to copy or closely paraphrase it in whole or in part without the written consent of the author; and to make proper written acknowledgement for any assistance which I have obtained from it”

Beyond this, I do not wish to place any restriction on access to this thesis.

Keith Martin-Smith: .

This day: 27:1:94

ABSTRACT

Generalisations about the community ecology of invertebrates associated with plant surfaces have been developed largely from studies on terrestrial insect-plant systems and by limited studies on temperate marine macroalgal systems. This study was designed to quantify the seasonal variation in populations of a tropical macroalga and its associated epifauna, to investigate the causal factors producing the phenological patterns and to relate these findings to the general area of plant-arthropod relationships. The system investigated was four sympatric species of the brown alga *Sargassum* and their mobile epifauna, living at Magnetic Island, Queensland, Australia (19°10'S, 146°50'E).

Over two annual cycles all species of *Sargassum* showed pronounced seasonality in size and reproduction but not in density; three of four species grew annual laterals from perennial axes in spring, reached maximum size in summer, reproduced and subsequently senesced, while the fourth species showed the opposite phenology. Epiphytic algae on the surface of *Sargassum* were primarily absent during the spring and summer periods of *Sargassum* growth but attained high abundance during the winter on the residual portions. Epifauna was diverse and abundant on all species of *Sargassum*, being dominated numerically by gammarid amphipods, sphaeromatid isopods, tanaids, errant polychaetes and gastropods. There were few significant differences between abundance of epifauna on different species of *Sargassum* and few or no representatives of the reef cryptofauna: this suggested that the epifauna was a distinct algal-associated community. All epifaunal taxa also showed distinct, repeated seasonal changes in abundance. Gammarid amphipods, sphaeromatid isopods, tanaids and polychaetes – together with many of the less abundant taxa – had abundance maxima in winter and minima in summer. Conversely, only one dominant taxon, gastropods, and two less abundant taxa had summer maxima and winter minima. At finer temporal scales, epifaunal abundance was consistent over a time scale of hours and days, and moderately variable over a scale of weeks. There were few significant day-night variations in abundance of epifauna.

Manipulative experiments were run to test hypotheses about factors influencing the abundance of epifauna. Recolonisation experiments showed that the populations of epifauna were extremely dynamic in space and time, equilibrium communities being re-established on defaunated plants in approximately two weeks. The influence of predation by fishes was examined with an eight-week exclusion experiment: no effect

of predation was detected although cage artifacts may have obscured abundance changes of small magnitude. The influence of habitat complexity and heterogeneity was examined using artificial plants with and without epiphytic algae: a very significant positive correlation was found between the abundance of epiphytic algae and the abundance of many taxa of epifauna. Analysis of the results at the community level revealed that communities became increasingly similar over the eight weeks of the experiment, as epiphytes accumulated on the originally epiphyte-free artificial plants. It is suggested, therefore, that the seasonal patterns of abundance of epifauna, both at the community and taxon level, are driven primarily by fluctuations in the abundance of epiphytic algae.

A detailed study of the sphaeromatid isopods was conducted to determine whether the above results and hypotheses were applicable at the species level, as opposed to the family or community level. Resolution of the seasonal pattern of abundance for the sphaeromatid family revealed that each of three common genera had distinct, unimodal phenologies: *Cerceis* and *Cymodoce* showed autumn maxima while *Neonaesa* had a winter maximum. Size-frequency distributions of all genera suggested that reproduction occurred continuously over extended periods of time and that adults emigrated from *Sargassum* upon reaching a certain size. For these isopods the *Sargassum* and epiphytes acted as a nursery habitat for juveniles, providing habitable space and a potential food source. A series of laboratory and field experiments with artificial substrata revealed that various aspects of habitat structure (size and colour) and habitat architecture (number, size and arrangement of habitable spaces) were important determinants of colonisation by *Cymodoce*. It is suggested that the observed patterns of abundance for sphaeromatid isopods on *Sargassum* were produced by the selective colonisation of epiphytes by juveniles in response to a complex set of habitat criteria.

Although complicated at a local scale, broad scale patterns in the *Sargassum*-epifauna system are similar to those in temperate macroalgal-epifauna interactions. *Sargassum* and its associated epifauna, in common with these other systems, appears to be a 'passive' system, wherein associations are facultative and unspecialised. This contrasts strongly with 'active' terrestrial systems where plants and arthropods commonly have highly specialised, often obligate relationships. Thus, paradigms developed from terrestrial systems about the role of factors such as habitat structure or secondary compounds will need to be revised before they can be applied to marine plant-arthropod interactions.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost my sincere apologies for anybody who I've forgotten: this work has benefited enormously from the input of so many people, I'm bound to leave somebody out. I will start by thanking all of the people who have generously donated time (and occasionally suffered seasickness) as volunteer field assistants at Magnetic Island – Lynda Axe, Steve Blake, Tim Cooper, Allen Chen, Jocelyn Davies, Phil Davies, Maria Eriksson, James Gilmour, Alison Green, Jo Goudie, Emma Hutchison, Micaela Hellström, Geoff Jones, Ester Koh, Anne Lee, Tim Lynch, Natalie Moltschaniwskyj, Jo Pitt, Guy Smith, Ben and Sarah Stobart, Ilona Stobutzki, Jeremy Taylor, Glenn Wilson and Julia Yeatman. For technical assistance at various stages thanks to Phil Osmond, Jon Morrison, Don Ross, Dr. Martin Jones at the GBRMPA Aquarium, Dr. Peter Arnold at the Museum of Tropical Queensland and Dr. Niel Bruce at the Queensland Museum. Thanks very much to Gnat for the statistical advice and Ali for the fish IDs (even if the world's greatest labrid expert isn't always right). Financial assistance was provided by a CFSP scholarship and a GBRMPA Augmentative Grant.

The ideas and publications from this work have been sharpened by the input of the Coral Discussion Group at James Cook University, especially the slicing red pen of Dr. Terry Hughes. I would especially like to thank my supervisors, Dr. Geoff Jones and Prof. Howard Choat for their immense support, creative input and financial assistance in completing this thesis.

To Phil, thanks for the cups of tea, ideas and abuse; the nursing building crowd for the fun times (and coffee grounds!) - you know who you are (but a special hi to Vicki and Ali); Ben, Allen, Karen, Craig, Jo and Kate for making the lab. (and “tea-room”) the place to be. To the other PhDs of the “class of '94”, good luck, we are the future (scary thought!). Thanks also to the support from my flatmates and

I owe an enormous debt of gratitude to Ben who has provided ideas, helped with field work, brought in pastries to the lab. and even kept me up all night counting coral larvae – go forth and vanquish the nibble-pibblies!!

To my parents and thanks for being there; I love you very much.

Finally, to who taught me about the important things in life: friendship always.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Frontispiece	
Title	
Statement of Access	i
Abstract	ii
Acknowledgements.....	iv
Table of Contents	v
List of Tables.....	ix
List of Plates.....	x
List of Figures.....	xi
Declaration.....	xv
Chapter 1: Introduction: The Arthropod/Plant Interface	1
1.1 Historical Background.....	1
1.2 The Terrestrial System: Insects and Angiosperms.....	2
1.3 A Marine System: Crustaceans and Macroalgae	6
1.4 Comparisons and Contrasts: Are Crustaceans the Insects of the Sea?	9
1.5 Definition of Terms	13
1.6 The <i>Sargassum</i> -Epifauna System: Macroalgal-Crustacean Interactions in the Tropics	14
1.7 Aims and Objectives	15
Chapter 2: The Phenology of Four Species of <i>Sargassum</i>	17
2.1 Introduction	17
2.2 Aims and Objectives	21
2.3 Study Site Description	21
2.3.1 Species Identification.....	21
2.3.2 Study Site Location and Species Distribution	23
2.4 Materials and Methods.....	27
2.4.1 Sampling Dates.....	27
2.4.2 Destructive Phenological Measurements (Species Phenologies) ...	27
2.4.3 Non-Destructive (<i>In Situ</i>) Phenological Measurements (Population Measurements).....	32
2.4.4 Temperature Measurements.....	33
2.5 Results.....	33
2.5.1 Phenology of Individual <i>Sargassum</i> Species.....	33
2.5.1.1 Standing Crop and Growth.....	33

2.5.1.2	Reproduction	39
2.5.1.3	Epiphyte Loads.....	39
2.5.2	Population Demographic Parameters	46
2.5.2.1	Standing Crop and Growth.....	46
2.5.2.2	Loss Rates and Density	46
2.5.3	Seasonal Variation in Water Temperature.....	49
2.6	Discussion.....	49
Chapter 3: Phenology of Mobile Epifauna Associated with <i>Sargassum</i>		57
3.1	Introduction	57
3.2	Aims and Objectives	59
3.3	Materials and Methods.....	59
3.3.1	Development of Sampling Method.....	59
3.3.2	Sample Collection.....	61
3.3.3	Epifauna Identification	61
3.3.4	Epifaunal Community Data Analysis	63
3.4	Results.....	63
3.4.1	Epifauna Community Composition.....	63
3.4.2	Epifaunal Community Analysis by Sampling Date	64
3.4.3	Phenology of Epifauna on all <i>Sargassum</i> Spp Combined	66
(I)	Total Abundances.....	66
(II)	Crustacean Abundances (by Taxon).....	66
(III)	Non-Crustacean Abundances (by Taxon).....	69
3.4.4	Comparison between Epifaunal Communities on Different Species of <i>Sargassum</i>	74
3.5	Discussion.....	80
3.5.1	Epifaunal Phenology	80
3.5.2	Comparison between Epifaunal and <i>Sargassum</i> Phenologies.....	82
Chapter 4: Short-Term Temporal and Spatial Dynamics of Epifauna.....		84
4.1	Introduction	84
4.2	Aims and Objectives	87
4.3	Sampling of Drift <i>Sargassum</i>	87
4.3.1	Introduction and Method.....	87
4.3.2	Results and Discussions	88
4.4	Emergence Trap Sampling	91
4.4.1	Introduction and Method.....	91
4.4.2	Results and Discussion.....	92
4.5	Diel Epifaunal Sampling.....	96

4.5.1 Introduction and Method.....	96
4.5.2 Results and Discussion.....	97
4.6 Recolonisation Experiments.....	99
4.6.1 Introduction.....	99
4.6.2 Methods.....	100
4.6.3 Results.....	101
4.6.4 Discussion.....	109
4.7 Conclusions.....	119
Chapter 5: Hypotheses to Explain Epifaunal Phenology.....	121
5.1 Introduction.....	121
5.2 Habitat Complexity and Heterogeneity.....	124
5.3 Predation.....	127
5.4 Interaction or Synergism between Habitat Complexity and Predation.....	129
5.5 Competition.....	130
5.6 Other Hypotheses.....	131
5.6.1 Recruitment.....	131
5.6.2 Abiotic Environmental Factors.....	132
5.6.3 Defensive Chemistry.....	132
5.7 Hypothesis Testing: Predation and Habitat Complexity.....	133
Chapter 6: The Role of Habitat Complexity and Fish Predation in Controlling Epifaunal Abundance: Hypothesis Testing.....	134
6.1 Introduction.....	134
6.2 Aims and Objectives.....	139
6.3 Methods.....	140
6.3.1 Effects of Habitat Complexity.....	140
6.3.2 Effects of Predation by Fishes.....	142
6.4 Results.....	146
6.4.1 Effects of Habitat Complexity.....	146
6.4.2 Effects of Predation by Fishes.....	158
6.5 Discussion.....	175
6.6 Conclusions.....	180
Chapter 7: Specific vs Holistic Ecology: Selection of Taxonomic Scale and Habitat Studies on Sphaeromatid Isopods.....	182
7.1 Introduction.....	182
7.1.1. Taxonomic Scale in Ecological Studies.....	182
7.1.2. Habitat Structure and Epifaunal Crustaceans.....	184

7.2 Aims and Objectives	186
7.3 Methods: Observational Data.....	186
7.3.1 Identification of Sphaeromatid Isopods	186
7.3.2 Seasonal Patterns of Sphaeromatid Isopods.....	188
7.4 Results: Isopod Seasonal Patterns.....	189
7.4.1. Seasonal Patterns of Abundance of Isopods.....	189
7.4.2. Seasonal Patterns of Reproductive Individuals and Size- Frequency Distributions.....	193
7.5 Discussion: Isopod Seasonal Patterns	202
7.6 Methods: Experimental Manipulation of Habitat Architecture.....	207
7.6.1 Determination of a Suitable Experimental System	207
7.6.2. Importance of Size and Colour of Habitat and the Presence of Conspecifics	208
7.6.3. Importance of Holes to <i>Cymodoce</i>	211
7.7. Results.....	214
7.7.1 Preliminary Experiment	214
7.7.2 Effects of Colour and Size of Habitat and Presence of Conspecifics	214
7.7.3 Effects of Size and Number of Holes in Habitat	217
7.8. Discussion: Importance of Habitat Structure to <i>Cymodoce</i>	221
7.9 Conclusions: Patterns and Processes within Populations of Sphaeromatid Isopods.....	226
 Chapter 8: Life on the Plant Surface: Conclusions from the <i>Sargassum</i> -Epifauna System	228
8.1 Specific Patterns and Processes	228
8.2 The Generality of Findings from the <i>Sargassum</i> -Epifauna System: Tropical/Temperate Comparisons	236
8.3 Plant-Animal Relationships Revisited: Implications and Speculations.....	239
8.4 Conclusions and Future Directions.....	247
 References	249

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Title of Table	Page No.
1.I	Types of interactions between insects and plants.	3
1.II	Factors controlling insect abundance.	5
1.III	Types of interactions between crustaceans and plants	8
1.IV	Comparisons and contrasts between insects and crustaceans.	10-11
2.IA	Literature survey: phenology of tropical <i>Sargassum</i> .	18
2.IB	Literature survey: phenology of temperate <i>Sargassum</i> .	19
2.II	Estimation of epiphyte levels on <i>Sargassum</i> .	31
2.III	Length and weight maxima and minima for <i>Sargassum</i> spp.	36
2.IV	Wet weight against length regressions for <i>Sargassum</i> spp.	36
2.V	Rates of loss of tagged <i>Sargassum</i> .	49
3.I	Maximal and minimal abundance of epifauna.	76
3.II	Significance of <i>Sargassum</i> species to abundance of epifauna.	78
4.I	Abundance of epifauna on benthic and drift <i>Sargassum</i> .	91
4.II	Significance of differences in diel abundance of epifauna.	97
4.III	Experimental design for recolonisation experiments.	101
4.IV	Recolonisation patterns of epifauna.	109
5.I	Literature survey: phenology of epifauna.	122-3
5.II	Literature survey: habitat complexity in marine epifaunal systems.	125-6
5.III	Literature survey: predation in marine epifaunal systems.	128
6.I	Feeding habits of common fish at Magnetic Island.	143
6.II	Gut contents of <i>Halichoeres</i> .	162
6.III	ANOVA results: effect of fish exclusion on epifauna.	166
7.I	Presence of adult male sphaeromatids on <i>Sargassum</i> .	194
7.II	Literature survey of isopod phenology.	203-4
7.III	ANOVA results: effect of sponge size and colour on colonisation by <i>Cymodoce</i> .	215
7.IV	ANOVA results: effect of sponge size on colonisation by <i>Cymodoce</i> .	219
7.V	ANOVA results: effect of day, colour and presence/ absence of conspecifics on colonisation by <i>Cymodoce</i> .	220
7.VI	ANOVA results: effect of size and number of holes on colonisation by <i>Cymodoce</i> .	222

LIST OF PLATES

Plate No.	Title of Plate	Page No.
Frontispiece	An insect of the sea? A juvenile <i>Cymodoce</i> forages on a <i>Sargassum</i> frond.	
2.I	Photograph of Florence Bay to show location of reef.	24
2.II	Photograph of Alma Bay to show location of reef.	25
2.III	Photograph of Geoffrey Bay to show location of reef.	26
6.I	Temporal variation in levels of epiphytes on <i>Sargassum</i> .	136
7.I	Juvenile <i>Cymodoce</i> feeding on <i>Sargassum</i> .	187

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Title of Figure	Page No.
1.1	Relationships between studies on <i>Sargassum</i> , epiphytes and epifauna.	16
2.1	Summary figure of Florence Bay.	28
2.2	Summary figure of Alma Bay.	29
2.3	Summary figure of Geoffrey Bay.	30
2.4	Length and weight of <i>S. fissifolium</i> and <i>S. linearifolium</i> .	34
2.5	Length and weight of <i>S. oligocystum</i> and <i>S. tenerrimum</i> .	35
2.6	Growth rates of <i>S. fissifolium</i> and <i>S. linearifolium</i> .	37
2.7	Growth rates of <i>S. oligocystum</i> and <i>S. tenerrimum</i> .	38
2.8	Reproduction of <i>S. fissifolium</i> and <i>S. linearifolium</i> .	40
2.9	Reproduction of <i>S. oligocystum</i> and <i>S. tenerrimum</i> .	41
2.10	Epiphytes on <i>S. fissifolium</i> .	42
2.11	Epiphytes on <i>S. linearifolium</i> .	43
2.12	Epiphytes on <i>S. oligocystum</i> .	44
2.13	Epiphytes on <i>S. tenerrimum</i> .	45
2.14	Length and weight of all <i>Sargassum</i> . spp. combined	47
2.15	Growth rates of all <i>Sargassum</i> . spp. combined	48
2.16	Mortality of tagged <i>Sargassum</i> .	50
2.17	Density of <i>Sargassum</i> .	51
2.18	Seasonal variation in sea water temperature.	52
3.1	Epifauna sampler.	60
3.2	CDA of seasonal epifaunal communities.	65
3.3	Seasonal abundance of all organisms and crustaceans.	67
3.4	Seasonal abundance of gammarids and sphaeromatids.	68
3.5	Seasonal abundance of decapods and cumaceans.	70
3.6	Seasonal abundance of tanaids and other isopods.	71
3.7	Seasonal abundance of caprellids and pycnogonids.	72
3.8	Seasonal abundance of polychaetes and gastropods.	73
3.9	Seasonal abundance of ophiuroids and anemones.	75
3.10	CDA of epifaunal communities by <i>Sargassum</i> species.	77
3.11	Seasonal abundance of caprellids on different <i>Sargassum</i> species.	79
3.12	Seasonal abundance of sphaeromatids on different <i>Sargassum</i> species.	81
4.1	Abundance of epifauna on benthic and drift <i>Sargassum</i> .	89

4.2	CDA of epifaunal communities on benthic and drift <i>Sargassum</i> .	90
4.3	Diagram of emergence trap.	93
4.4	Abundance of invertebrates in emergence traps (raw data).	94
4.5	Abundance of invertebrates in emergence traps (standardised data).	95
4.6	Diel abundance of epifauna.	98
4.7	CDA of recolonisation experiment 1.	103
4.8	Bubble plot of gammarids and sphaeromatids from CDA of recolonisation experiment 1.	104
4.9	Bubble plot of polychaetes and gastropods from CDA of recolonisation experiment 1.	105
4.10	CDA of recolonisation experiment 2.	106
4.11	Bubble plot of gammarids and sphaeromatids from CDA of recolonisation experiment 2.	107
4.12	Bubble plot of polychaetes and gastropods from CDA of recolonisation experiment 2.	108
4.13	Abundance of gammarids in recolonisation experiments.	110
4.14	Abundance of polychaetes in recolonisation experiments.	111
4.15	Abundance of sphaeromatids in recolonisation experiments.	112
4.16	Abundance of decapods in recolonisation experiments.	113
4.17	Abundance of gastropods in recolonisation experiments.	114
4.18	Abundance of caprellids and other isopods in recolonisation experiment 2.	115
4.19	Abundance of tanaids and cumaceans in recolonisation experiment 2.	116
4.20	Abundance of anemones in recolonisation experiment 2.	117
6.1	Experimental design for effects of habitat complexity on epifauna.	141
6.2	Experimental design for effects of fish exclusion on epifauna.	144
6.3	CDA (1st two axes) of epifaunal communities in habitat complexity experiment.	147
6.4	CDA (axes 1 and 3) of epifaunal communities in habitat complexity experiment.	148
6.5	Bubble plots of gammarids and sphaeromatids on CDA from Fig. 6.3.	149

6.6	Bubble plots of polychaetes and gastropods on CDA from Fig. 6.3.	150
6.7	Bubble plots of tanaids on CDA from Fig. 6.3.	151
6.8	Abundance of gammarids in habitat complexity experiment.	153
6.9	Abundance of sphaeromatids in habitat complexity experiment.	154
6.10	Abundance of tanaids in habitat complexity experiment.	155
6.11	Abundance of caprellids and other isopods in habitat complexity experiment.	156
6.12	Abundance of decapods and cumaceans in habitat complexity experiment.	157
6.13	Abundance of polychaetes in habitat complexity experiment.	159
6.14	Abundance of gastropods in habitat complexity experiment.	160
6.15	Abundance of <i>Halichoeres</i> at Magnetic Island.	161
6.16	CDA (1st two axes) of epifaunal community from fish exclusion experiment.	164
6.17	CDA (axes 1 and 3) of epifaunal community from fish exclusion experiment.	165
6.18	Abundance of gammarids in fish exclusion experiment.	167
6.19	Abundance of caprellids in fish exclusion experiment.	168
6.20	Abundance of sphaeromatids in fish exclusion experiment.	169
6.21	Abundance of other isopods in fish exclusion experiment.	170
6.22	Abundance of tanaids in fish exclusion experiment.	171
6.23	Abundance of decapods and cumaceans in fish exclusion experiment.	172
6.24	Abundance of polychaetes in fish exclusion experiment.	173
6.25	Abundance of gastropods in fish exclusion experiment.	174
7.1	Components of habitat complexity.	185
7.2	Seasonal abundance of sphaeromatids.	190
7.3	Seasonal abundance of <i>Cerceis</i> , <i>Cymodoce</i> and <i>Neonaesa</i> (per plant).	191
7.4	Seasonal abundance of <i>Cerceis</i> , <i>Cymodoce</i> and <i>Neonaesa</i> (per 100 g WW).	192
7.5	Size-frequency distributions of <i>Cerceis</i> for 1990-1.	195
7.6	Size-frequency distributions of <i>Cerceis</i> for 1991-2.	196
7.7	Size-frequency distributions of <i>Cymodoce</i> for 1990-1.	197
7.8	Size-frequency distributions of <i>Cymodoce</i> for 1991-2.	198
7.9	Size-frequency distributions of <i>Neonaesa</i> for 1990-1.	199

7.10	Size-frequency distributions of <i>Neonaesa</i> for 1991-2.	200
7.11	CA on size-frequency distributions of sphaeromatids.	201
7.12	Experimental design for effects of colour and size on colonisation by <i>Cymodoce</i> .	209
7.13	Experimental design for effects of colour and presence/absence of conspecifics on colonisation by <i>Cymodoce</i> .	210
7.14	Experimental design for effects of size and number of holes on colonisation by <i>Cymodoce</i> .	212
7.15	Abundance of epifauna colonising artificial habitats.	213
7.16	Abundance of <i>Cymodoce</i> colonising green and yellow sponge of differing sizes.	215
7.17	Size-frequency distributions of <i>Cymodoce</i> colonising green sponge of differing sizes.	216
7.18	CA on size-frequency distribution of <i>Cymodoce</i> from green sponge of differing sizes.	218
7.19	Abundance of <i>Cymodoce</i> colonising green sponge of differing sizes.	219
7.20	Abundance of <i>Cymodoce</i> colonising yellow and green sponges with and without conspecifics.	220
7.21	Abundance of <i>Cymodoce</i> colonising polystyrene with differing numbers and sizes of holes.	222
7.22	Size-frequency distribution of <i>Cymodoce</i> colonising polystyrene with differing numbers and sizes of holes.	223
7.23	CA on size-frequency distributions of <i>Cymodoce</i> from polystyrene with differing numbers of sizes of holes.	224
7.24	Aspects of habitat complexity.	227
8.1	Factors and relationships with regard to <i>Sargassum</i> -epifauna system.	229
8.2	Model of epifaunal population dynamics.	232
8.3	Possible trophic relationships at Magnetic Island.	235

DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis is my own work and has not been submitted in any form for another degree or diploma at any university or other institution of tertiary education. Information derived from the published or unpublished work of others has been acknowledged in the text and a list of references is given.

K.M. Martin-Smith

This day: 27.1.94