

# ResearchOnline@JCU

This file is part of the following reference:

**Keesing, John Kenneth (1990) *Feeding biology of the crown-of-thorns starfish, Acanthaster planci (Linnaeus)*. PhD thesis, James Cook University.**

Access to this file is available from:

<http://eprints.jcu.edu.au/24112/>

*The author has certified to JCU that they have made a reasonable effort to gain permission and acknowledge the owner of any third party copyright material included in this document. If you believe that this is not the case, please contact [ResearchOnline@jcu.edu.au](mailto:ResearchOnline@jcu.edu.au) and quote <http://eprints.jcu.edu.au/24112/>*

**Feeding biology of the  
Crown-of-Thorns Starfish, Acanthaster planci (Linnaeus)**

**Thesis submitted by  
John Kenneth KEESING BSc(Hons)  
in March 1990**

**for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in  
the Department of Zoology at  
James Cook University of North Queensland**

I, the undersigned, the author of this thesis, understand that James Cook University of North Queensland will make it available for use within the university library and, by microfilm or by other photographic means, allow access to users in other approved libraries. All users consulting this thesis will have to sign the following statement.

"In consulting this thesis I agree not to copy or closely paraphrase it in whole or in part without the written consent of the author; and to make proper written acknowledgement for any assistance which I have obtained from it."

Beyond this, I do not wish to place any restriction on access to this thesis.

.....

.....

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am indebted to the many people who have assisted me during the course of this study. In particular, thanks to my supervisors Associate Professor John Lucas and Dr. David Klumpp for their guidance, helpful suggestions and discussions and for improving earlier drafts of this thesis through critical review. Special thanks to Dr. Peter Moran whose advice and support during this work was of great benefit.

I am grateful to the Director of the Australian Institute of Marine Science (AIMS), Dr. Joe Baker, and the AIMS council for providing facilities and support for the project. The Crown-of-Thorns Starfish Advisory Committee (COTSAC) and the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority (GBRMPA) made the funding available. This project was one of a number, on the crown-of-thorns starfish, coordinated by GBRMPA and AIMS and Dr. Leon Zann and Dr. Brian Lassig (GBRMPA) and Carol Hughes (AIMS) were particularly helpful.

I would like to thank the staff of the library, computing and marine operations sections at AIMS for their assistance. Thanks to Damien Burrows, Barbara Musso, Cassie Payn and Kirsten Wolcott who helped in the laboratory and to Dr. John Veron and Lyndon DeVantier for coral identification and to Dr. Ed Drew for the loan of the underwater light meter.

Many people assisted in the field during this study. Special thanks to Debbie and Robert Bass, Dr. John Benzie, Penny Brett, Michael Burke, Robyn Cumming, John Dahren, Paul Daniel, Ken Day, Shey Dekel, Lyndon DeVantier, Mark Hall, Murray Haseler, Eva-Jane Heron, Michael Howell, Carol Hughes, Peter Illidge, Patricia Ivanac, Elizabeth Jeffery, David Johnson, Andrew Mackley, Marianne McKenzie, David McKinnon, Bruce Miller-Smith, Dr. Lucien Montaggioni, Craig

Mundy, Dr. John Pandolfi, Robert Paterson, Chey Raynor, John Regazolli, Julia Shand, John Small, Peter Speare, Helen Sturmeay, Cocky Watkins, Karen Weaver and Peter Winters.

The study benefitted from helpful discussions with many people. In particular I would like to thank Drs. John Benzie, Roger Bradbury, Anthony Cheshire, Alan Dartnall, Craig Johnson, John Lawrence, Randy Olson, Rupert Ormond, John Pandolfi, Russell Reichelt, Jim Stoddart, Clive Wilkinson, Leon Zann, Lyndon DeVantier, Brett Kettle, Peter Speare and Mary Stafford-Smith.

Finally many thanks to my wife for her support during this study.

## ABSTRACT

Field and laboratory studies were undertaken to examine aspects of the feeding biology of Acanthaster planci (Linnaeus) in the central region of the Great Barrier Reef. Day and night surveys were carried out to examine feeding periodicity, and measurements of feeding and movement rates were made by monitoring tagged animals. Diet and feeding preferences were assessed in the field and laboratory studies were undertaken to assess the importance of nutritional quality of food in governing feeding preferences.

Small starfish feed nocturnally and remain cryptic during the day. Large starfish are primarily diurnal feeders and are rarely cryptic. Starfish are most mobile around dawn and dusk. It is proposed that the observed behaviour patterns have evolved as a predator avoidance strategy with large starfish achieving a refuge in size. These size dependent behavioural patterns, together with changes in population size structure, have important implications for assessing the numbers of starfish remaining undetected in A. planci survey and control programs.

Rates of movement in A. planci are dependent on food availability. These are about 1 m.day<sup>-1</sup> in areas of high coral cover and about 4 m.day<sup>-1</sup> in patches of low coral cover. Starfish in extensive areas of depleted coral cover move at rates of about 10 m.day<sup>-1</sup>.

Feeding rates in A. planci are dependent on starfish size and season, being greatest prior to the summer spawning season. Starfish at Davies Reef in summer averaged 1.5 feeds per day, killing about 300 cm<sup>2</sup> of coral cover or 15 g DW (dry weight) of soft coral tissues per day. Biomass utilization is about 4 g DW or 90 kJ per day. Feeding rates in winter are about half those of summer.

Feeding rate measurements were applied to ecological and physiological considerations in A. planci. The magnitude of changes to coral communities in terms of area and biomass of coral killed during A. planci outbreaks is substantial. Outbreking populations (ca. 100000 starfish per reef) will kill thousands of square metres of coral; equivalent to hundreds of kilograms dry weight of soft tissues per day. Feeding rate measurements were used to predict a threshold population level of about 1000 starfish per km<sup>2</sup> which would cause minimal damage to coral communities on the Great Barrier Reef. It is evident that large scale fluctuations in A. planci populations can occur without causing extensive coral mortality. Comparison of physiological requirements with feeding rates confirms that, despite increased feeding rates in large adults, growth is determinant and senility of large A. planci can be expected. As suggested in previous studies, this occurs because as the starfish grows its capacity to feed cannot meet the demands of metabolising tissue.

The diet of A. planci is almost exclusively scleractinian corals (90 - 95 %). Non-coral prey are taken in increasing abundance in areas of low coral availability. A. planci exhibits strong feeding preferences in both the field and the laboratory. The scleractinian families Acroporidae and Pocilloporidae are most favoured. Non-preferred prey are taken in increasing abundance in areas depleted of favoured species. The nutritional value of different species of coral prey was assessed and related to the observed feeding preferences. Several attributes may affect a coral species suitability as food; these are surface area complexity, biomass, nutritional value and abundance. Prey preference was more closely related to a general assessment of food suitability than to the absolute predictions of optimal diet theory. It is proposed that the ability to feed more efficiently on certain prey types is the most important factor giving rise to observed and published patterns of prey selection.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### CHAPTER ONE

General Introduction .....	1
----------------------------	---

<u>Acanthaster planci</u> : the crown-of-thorns starfish	
Asteroid feeding biology and ecology	
Predatory asteroids	
Diets of predatory asteroids	
Feeding mechanisms	
Feeding behaviour	
i. Feeding rhythms	
ii. Aggregative feeding	
Food perception, prey preference and optimal foraging theory	
Feeding rate and digestion	
This study	

### CHAPTER TWO

Temporal patterns of behaviour in <u>Acanthaster planci</u>	
---	--

Introduction .....	16
Materials and Methods .....	17
Field Studies	
Statistical Procedures	
Aquarium Studies	
Light levels	
Day length manipulation	
Results .....	21
Population Size Structure	
Search Efficiency	
Diel Patterns in Cryptic behaviour	
Starfish size and time (day/night) effects	
Effects of month sampled	



Diel Patterns in Feeding Behaviour	
Starfish size and time (day/night) effects	
Effects of month sampled	
Diel Patterns in Movement	
Factors affecting feeding and emergence behaviour	
Depth	
Live coral cover (food availability)	
Starfish distribution (aggregation)	
Light levels	
Artificially controlled daylength	
Comparing the data to the models	
Discussion .....	33
Population Size Structure	
Diel patterns of activity in <u>Acanthaster planci</u>	
Factors affecting behaviour in <u>A. planci</u>	
Influence of light levels on behaviour	

**CHAPTER THREE**

Feeding rate of Acanthaster planci

Introduction .....	60
Materials and Methods .....	62
Monitoring individual feeding behaviour in the field	
Analysis of feeding rates	
Laboratory Procedures	
Coral soft tissue biomass	
Coral surface area measurements	
Coral soft tissue energy content	
Starfish biomass	
Statistical procedures employed	

Results .....	68
Feeding rates of <u>Acanthaster planci</u> in the field	
i. Number of feeding events per day	
ii. Area of coral cover killed per day	
iii. Real surface area of coral killed per day	
iv. Tissue biomass killed per day	
v. Tissue biomass consumed per day	
vi. Energy value of food consumed per day	
vii. Coral colonies eaten and killed per day	
Relationship between starfish size and feeding rate	
Weight specific feeding rate	
Feeding rate and metabolic requirement	
Discussion .....	75
Measurements of feeding rate	
Seasonal differences in feeding rate	
Size/Weight specific feeding rate	
Feeding rate and growth	

**CHAPTER FOUR**

Foraging behaviour of Acanthaster planci

Introduction .....	97
Materials and Methods .....	99
Field Studies	
Feeding preferences	
Foraging movements	
Aquarium Studies	
Feeding preferences	
Time taken to feed (digestion time)	
Comparison of food value of different species of coral	

Results .....	103
Characteristics of foraging	
Field studies	
Diet	
i. Effects of food availability on diet	
Feeding Preferences	
i. Effect of food availability on prey species preference	
Foraging movements	
i. Distance	
ii. Direction	
iii. Effect of food availability on movement	
Laboratory studies	
Feeding Preferences	
Prey recognition time	
Time taken to feed	
Feeding efficiency	
Prey characteristics	
i. Surface area	
ii. Biomass	
iii. Energy content	
iv. Protein content	
Comparison of food value of different corals to <u>A. planci</u>	
i. Tissue absorption	
ii. Energy and protein value	
iii. Food value as a function of time	
iv. Overall food potential of prey	
Discussion .....	111
Diet	
Movement in relation to feeding	
Time taken to feed	
Prey preference	

Prey preference and nutritional value of prey

**CHAPTER FIVE**

General Discussion ..... 130

Size dependent behavioural patterns in Acanthaster planci: Implications for survey and control programs.

Population surveys

Control programs

Size dependant behaviour in Acanthaster planci as a predator avoidance strategy.

Feeding rates in Acanthaster planci

Ecological and management implications

Physiological implications

Foraging characteristics of Acanthaster planci

**APPENDIX** ..... 145

**BIBLIOGRAPHY** ..... 176

**ENCLOSURE FOR OVERSIZE FIGURES** ..... inside back cover

## LIST OF FIGURES

### CHAPTER ONE General Introduction

no figures

### CHAPTER TWO Temporal patterns of behaviour in Acanthaster planci

Figure 1. Location of Wheeler Reef and survey sites.....38

Figure 2. Size frequency distribution of the Acanthaster planci population at Wheeler Reef for October 1986, January 1987 and June 1987.....39

Figure 3. The proportion of Acanthaster planci cryptic in each size class during day and night samples at Wheeler Reef in October 1986, January 1987 and June 1987.....40

Figure 4. The apparent population size structure of Acanthaster planci at Wheeler Reef in October 1986, January 1987 and June 1987.....41

Figure 5. The proportion of Acanthaster planci feeding in each size class during day and night samples at Wheeler Reef in October 1986, January 1987 and June 1987.....42

Figure 6. Illustration of morning and evening pulses of movement in Acanthaster planci at Wheeler Reef in October 1986, January 1987 and June 1987.....43

Figure 7. Relationship between cryptic and feeding behaviour in Acanthaster planci at Wheeler Reef in January 1987.....44

Figure 8. Relationship between depth and mean live coral cover at Wheeler Reef in January 1987.....45

Figure 9. Relationship between depth and size of Acanthaster planci at Wheeler Reef in January 1987.....45

Figure 10. Ambient light measurements at surface and various depths over a 24 hour period at Wheeler Reef in January 1987.....46

Figure 11. Activity patterns of small (7 - 24 cm) and large (29 - 37 cm) Acanthaster planci in aquaria and ambient light levels over a three day period in June 1987.....47

Figure 12. Experimental data and three theoretical responses of Acanthaster planci to alteration of daylength regimes.....located in folder at back of thesis

**CHAPTER THREE** Feeding rate of Acanthaster planci

Figure 1. Location of Davies Reef within the central Great Barrier Reef.....79

Figure 2. Mean number of feeding events per starfish per day  $\pm$  1S.E. at Davies Reef in October 1987, January 1988, June 1988 and October 1988.....80

Figure 3. Mean area of coral cover killed (cm<sup>2</sup>) per starfish per day  $\pm$  1S.E. at Davies Reef in October 1987, January 1988, June 1988 and October 1988.....81

Figure 4. Mean of real coral surface area killed (cm<sup>2</sup>) per starfish per day  $\pm$  1S.E. at Davies Reef in October 1987, January 1988, June 1988 and October 1988.....82

Figure 5. Mean coral tissue biomass killed (g DW) per starfish per day  $\pm$  1S.E. at Davies Reef in October 1987, January 1988, June 1988 and October 1988.....83

Figure 6. Mean coral tissue biomass consumed or utilized (g) per starfish per day  $\pm$  1S.E. at Davies Reef in October 1987, January 1988, June 1988 and October 1988.....84

Figure 7. Mean energy value of tissue consumed (kJ) per starfish per day  $\pm$  1S.E. at Davies Reef in October 1987, January 1988, June 1988 and October 1988.....85

Figure 8. Relationship between starfish size and mean area of coral cover killed (cm<sup>2</sup>) per day at Davies Reef in October 1987, January 1988, June 1988 and October 1988.  
.....86

Figure 9. Relationship between starfish size and mean coral tissue biomass utilized (g) per day at Davies Reef in October 1987, January 1988, June 1988 and October 1988.  
.....86

Figure 10. Relationship between starfish size and mean energy uptake (kJ) per day at Davies Reef in October 1987, January 1988, June 1988 and October 1988.....87

Figure 11. Relationship between diameter and wet weight (upper figure) and dry weight (lower figure) of A. planci collected from Davies Reef in May 1989.....88

Figure 12. Relationship for weight specific feeding rate (g DW coral tissue utilized/g starfish wet wt. [upper figure] and dry wt. [lower figure]).....89

Figure 13. Relationship between starfish size and the ratio of energy consumed to energy required.....90

**CHAPTER FOUR Foraging behaviour of Acanthaster planci**

Figure 1. Ratio of number of each prey family eaten and number of each family available. Ratios greater than one indicate preferred prey families, ratios less than one indicate non-preferred families. Data from tagged Acanthaster planci from Davies Reef, October 1987 - October 1988.....119

Figure 2. Ratio of number of colonies of each prey family eaten to the number of colonies of each family available at different categories of live coral cover. Ratios greater than one indicate preferred prey families, ratios less than one indicate non-preferred families. Data from tagged Acanthaster planci from Davies Reef, October 1987 - October 1988.....120

Figure 3. Percentage of total number of colonies of each prey family available at different categories of live coral cover. Data from tagged Acanthaster planci from Davies Reef, October 1987 - October 1988.....121

Figure 4. Sequential preference of four families of coral prey when offered in equal proportions to Acanthaster planci in the laboratory. The higher the ratio, the more preferred the family.....122

**CHAPTER FIVE General Discussion**

Figure 1. Model of predicted percent coral mortality in a 1 km<sup>2</sup> area over four years from feeding activities of different densities of Acanthaster planci. Model assumes daily feeding rate of 300 cm<sup>2</sup> per starfish per day.....143



**APPENDIX**

Figure I. The cryptic proportion of each size class of A. planci versus time of day at Wheeler Reef during October 1986.....145

Figure II. The cryptic proportion of each size class of A. planci versus time of day at Wheeler Reef during January 1987.....146

Figure III. The cryptic proportion of each size class of A. planci versus time of day at Wheeler Reef during June 1987.....147

Figure IV. The cryptic proportion of A. planci in each size class during the morning, day and evening samples at Wheeler Reef in October 1986, January 1987 and June 1987. ....148

Figure V. The proportion feeding of each size class of A. planci versus time of day at Wheeler Reef during October 1986.....149

Figure VI. The proportion feeding of each size class of A. planci versus time of day at Wheeler Reef during January 1987.....150

Figure VII. The proportion feeding of each size class of A. planci versus time of day at Wheeler Reef during June 1987.....151

Figure VIII. The proportion of A. planci moving during morning, day, evening and night samples at Wheeler Reef in October 1986, January 1987 and June 1987.....152

Figure IX. The proportion of A. planci cryptic at different depths at Wheeler Reef in January 1987 day and night samples for each size class.....153

Figure X. The proportion of A. planci feeding at different depths at Wheeler Reef in January 1987 day and night samples for each size class.....154

Figure XI. The proportion of A. planci cryptic at different categories of live coral cover at Wheeler Reef in January 1987 day and night samples for each size class. ....155

Figure XII. The proportion of A. planci feeding at different categories of live coral cover at Wheeler Reef in January 1987 day and night samples for each size class. ....156

Figure XIII. The proportion of aggregated and non-aggregated A. planci showing cryptic behaviour during day and night samples at Wheeler Reef in January 1987.....157

Figure XIV. The proportion of aggregated and non-aggregated A. planci feeding during day and night samples at Wheeler Reef in January 1987.....158

Figure XV. Components of diet of Acanthaster planci in areas of differing live coral cover. Wheeler Reef, October 1986.....159

Figure XVI. Components of diet of Acanthaster planci in areas of differing live coral cover. Wheeler Reef, January 1987.....160

Figure XVII. Components of diet of Acanthaster planci in areas of differing live coral cover. Wheeler Reef, June 1987.....161

Figure XVIII. Relationship between planar area of coral and digestion time for Acanthaster planci feeding on six species of corals in the laboratory. Regression lines indicate significant linear relationships.....162

## LIST OF TABLES

### CHAPTER ONE General Introduction

no tables

### CHAPTER TWO Temporal patterns of behaviour in Acanthaster planci

Table 1. Proportion, mean size and standard deviation of A. planci in each size class at Wheeler Reef in October 1986, January 1987 and June 1987.....49

Table 2. Summary of analyses comparing numbers of A. planci found per hour search time during the day and night samples at Wheeler Reef during each month.....50

Table 3. Three-way ANOVA table summarising analysis of the effects of starfish size, time of day and month sampled on the level of cryptic behaviour in A. planci at Wheeler reef.....51

Table 4. Summary of G-test analyses on numbers of A. planci cryptic during morning, day and evening samples from Wheeler Reef in October 1987, January 1987 and June 1987.....52

Table 5. Three-way ANOVA table summarising analysis of the effects of starfish size, time of day and month sampled on the level of feeding activity in A. planci at Wheeler reef.....53

Table 6. ANOVA table summarising the effects of starfish size, time of day and month sampled on the level of feeding activity in A. planci at Wheeler reef. October 1986 and January 1987 data only.....54

Table 7. Two-way ANOVA table analysing the effects of time of day and the month sampled on the level of cryptic behaviour in 20 - 39 cm A. planci at Wheeler reef.....55

Table 8. Summary of tests of independence (G - test, Sokal and Rohlf, 1981) to determine whether rates of movement are independent of time of day.....56

Table 9. Summary of chi-square analyses on frequencies of A. planci cryptic at different depths during day and night samples from Wheeler Reef in January 1987.....57

Table 10. Summary of chi-square analyses on frequencies of A. planci feeding at different depths during day and night samples from Wheeler Reef in January 1987.....57

Table 11. Summary of chi-square analyses on frequencies of A. planci cryptic at different categories of live coral cover during day and night samples from Wheeler Reef in January 1987.....58

Table 12. Summary of chi-square analyses on frequencies of A. planci feeding at different categories of live coral cover during day and night samples from Wheeler Reef in January 1987.....58

Table 13. Summary of chi-square analyses on frequencies of aggregated and non-aggregated A. planci showing cryptic behaviour in day and night samples from Wheeler Reef in January 1987.....59

Table 14. Summary of chi-square analyses on frequencies of aggregated and non-aggregated A. planci feeding in day and night samples from Wheeler Reef in January 1987.....59

**CHAPTER THREE Feeding rate of Acanthaster planci**

Table 1. Mean size  $\pm$  1S.E. of A. planci monitored in each month at Davies Reef.....91

Table 2. Mean number of coral colonies eaten and killed  $\pm$  1S.E. per day by A. planci monitored in each month at Davies Reef.....92

Table 3. Summary results of regression analyses of size versus feeding rate.....93

Table 4. Summary statistics of ANCOVA comparing significant lines of regression (size of A. planci versus coral cover killed) between month sampled.....94

Table 5. Summary statistics of ANCOVA comparing significant lines of regression (size of A. planci versus biomass utilized) between month sampled.....95

Table 6. Summary statistics of ANCOVA comparing significant lines of regression (size of A. planci versus energy uptake) between month sampled.....96

**CHAPTER FOUR Foraging behaviour of Acanthaster planci**

Table 1. Prey item categories for A. planci observed feeding at Wheeler Reef.....123

Table 2. Mean movement rates ( $\text{m}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ ) of tagged A. planci during foraging.....124

Table 3. Mean movement rates ( $\text{m}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ ) and size of tagged A. planci in areas of contrasting food availability (live coral cover) during foraging.....124

Table 4. Feeding efficiency of A. planci on various coral species in terms of ash-free dry weight.....125

Table 5. Food value of several coral species in terms of energy and protein obtained from a feeding bout on a 100 cm<sup>2</sup> colony.....126

Table 6. Food value of several coral species in terms of energy and protein obtained per hours feeding time from a feeding bout on a 100 cm<sup>2</sup> colony.....127

Table 7. Comparative rates of energy uptake by A. planci feeding on different coral species at two levels of food availability when search time is taken into consideration. ....128

Table 8. Qualitative assessment of coral prey characteristics.....129

**CHAPTER FIVE** General Discussion

Table 1. Potential problems in within and between reef comparisons of visual survey data of Acanthaster planci distribution and abundance and coral mortality, which may result from ignoring size related and temporal variability in starfish behaviour.....144

**APPENDIX**

Table I. Prey genus preference data for tagged A. planci from Davies Reef.....163

Table II (A - D). Prey genus preference data for tagged A. planici from Davies Reef. Observations for four field trips pooled and presented for contrasting food availability (live coral cover).....164

Table III. Sequential species prey preference of A. planici during laboratory experiment. Table values are ratios of prey eaten to prey available.....168

Table IV. Mean digestion times per unit area for A. planici feeding on different species of coral in the laboratory. ....169

Table V. Efficiency of tissue extraction for A. planici feeding on different coral species in the laboratory...170

Table VI. Table of surface area complexity indices for different coral species preyed upon by A. planici.....171

Table VII. Soft tissue biomass of different coral species preyed upon by A. planici.....172

Table VIII. Ash content of different species of scleractinian corals.....173

Table IX. Coral tissue energy content for different species of scleractinian corals.....174

Table X. Coral tissue protein content for different species of scleractinian corals.....175



## DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis is my own work and has not been submitted in any form for another degree or diploma at any university or other institution of tertiary education. Information derived from the published or unpublished work of others has been acknowledged in the text and a list of references is given.

J K Keesing  
7 March 1990