ResearchOnline@JCU

This file is part of the following reference:

Juniper, Peter Alexander (2000) Vulnerability of north Queensland rainforest plants to predispersal seed predation by insects. PhD thesis, James Cook University.

Access to this file is available from:

http://eprints.jcu.edu.au/24111/

The author has certified to JCU that they have made a reasonable effort to gain permission and acknowledge the owner of any third party copyright material included in this document. If you believe that this is not the case, please contact <u>ResearchOnline@jcu.edu.au</u> and quote <u>http://eprints.jcu.edu.au/24111/</u>



VULNERABILITY OF NORTH QUEENSLAND RAINFOREST PLANTS TO PREDISPERSAL SEED PREDATION BY INSECTS

Thesis submitted by

Peter Alexander JUNIPER BSc(Hons) Macquarie University

in March 2000

for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in the Department of Zoology and Tropical Ecology,

James Cook University of North Queensland

STATEMENT OF ACCESS

I, the undersigned, the author of this thesis, understand that James Cook University will make it available for use within the University Library and, by microfilm or other means, allow access to users in other approved libraries. All users consulting this thesis will have to sign the following statement:

In consulting this thesis I agree not to copy or closely paraphrase it in whole or in part without the written consent of the author; and to make proper public written acknowledgment for any assistance which I have obtained from it.

Beyond this, I do not wish to place any restriction on access to this thesis.

10/3/2000

Peter Alexander Juniper

ABSTRACT

Seed predation by animals is one of the major causes of death for seeds in tropical rainforests. By reducing seed availability in tropical rainforests seed predation may affect the recruitment of new plants, as has been shown in other types of ecosystems. Seed predation may therefore be one of the factors that determines the species composition of tropical rainforests. The purpose of this thesis was to determine what factors were associated with the vulnerability of rainforest plants to seed predation, what types of species were most vulnerable to seed predation and hence what types of species were most likely to be limited in abundance by seed predation. This study focussed on predispersal seed predation by insects in the tropical rainforests of north Queensland, on the Atherton Tablelands.

The presence and intensity of insect predispersal seed predation (IPSP) on the seed crops of rainforest plants was assessed and associations between the presence and intensity of IPSP, and species and site characteristics were tested.

Five factors were found to be associated with variations in IPSP:

1) Plants that produced fruit with a hard, thick pericarp layer (fruit wall) were less likely to be attacked by IPSPs than those with a thin hard pericarp or no hard pericarp at all.

2) The total fruit pericarp thickness was also inversely related to the likelihood that any seeds within were attacked. This relationship was caused by the confounding effects of hard pericarp thickness, which is positively correlated with total pericarp thickness. 3) Differences in the intensity of IPSP found among plants of different families suggest that the vulnerability of plants to IPSP was also associated with phylogeny. Plants belonging to the Lauraceae had particularly low intensities of IPSP while plants belonging to the Euphorbiaceae and the Sapindaceae had particularly high intensities of IPSP.

4) Native species of plant were more likely to be attacked by IPSP than exotic species. This was not due to any confounding phylogenetic differences.

5) There were indications that shade dwelling species of plant were less likely to be attacked by IPSPs than species that grew in well lit conditions. However, this association may be due to confounding differences in growth form.

Native species that grew in high light conditions and had soft and/or thin fruit pericarp layers were considered to be more vulnerable to IPSP than species that were exotic, grew in low light conditions and that had thick hard seed pericarp layers. Hence seed availability and in turn possibly seedling recruitment, was more likely to be limited by IPSP in the former species, particularly species in the Euphorbiaceae and the Sapindaceae.

The recruitment of plants is also affected by many other factors, hence the varying effect of IPSP on the recruitment of different species will be modified. The possible effects of IPSP on seed availability, and in turn recruitment, are discussed within the context of these other modifying factors, in particular - seed longevity and post-dispersal seed predation.

TABLE OF CONTENTS	Page
······································	
STATEMENT OF ACCESS	1
ABSTRACT	2
TABLE OF CONTENTS	4
STATEMENT OF SOURCES DECLARATION	10
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	11
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	13
1.1 Seed predation and the composition of tropical rainforest plant	
communities	14
1.2 General aim of thesis	18
1.3 Structure of thesis	18
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW FOR THE FACTORS THAT AFF	ЕСТ
PREDISPERSAL SEED PREDATION BY INSECTS	
Abstract	20
2.1 Introduction	21
2.1.1 What is seed predation?	21
2.1.2 What are seed predators?	22
2.1.3 The effects of seed predation on seed performance	
Seed-level effects	
Seed mortality	27
Seed germination	29
Crop-level effects	
Seed mortality	30
Seed dispersal	31
Seed survival	32
Seed germination	32

-

2.1.4 What is predispersal seed predation?	33
2.2 Factors affecting predispersal seed predation by insects	
2.2.1 Defining factors which affect insect predispersal seed	
predation	35
2.2.2 The factors that affect insect predispersal seed predation	
Proximal factors	38
Lower level factors	39
Temporally related factors	42
Spatially related factors	47
Static plant attributes	53
2.3 Discussion and conclusions	
2.3.1 The current state of knowledge on the factors that affect	
predispersal seed predation by insects	57
The actual significance of factors to predispersal seed predation by	
insects	59
The comparative effects of different factors to predispersal seed	
predation by insects	66
The comparative effect of factors on predispersal seed predation by	
insects across species	
2.3.2 Further study necessary for the factors that may affect	
predispersal seed predation by insects	67
CHAPTER 3: A DESCRIPTION OF THE STUDY REGION AND ITS	
RAINFORESTS	
Abstract	69
3.1 Physical geography and vegetation of the Atherton Tableland	70
3.1.1 Climate	72
3.1.2 Geology and soils	72
3.2 The rainforest of the Atherton Tableland	73
3.2.1 Rainforest Structure and Floristics - The Influence of	
Climatic and Edaphic Factors	75

3.2.2	Rainforest Structure and Floristics - The Influence of
	Anthropogenic Factors

CHAPTER 4: A SURVEY OF INSECT PREDISPERSAL SEED PREDATION IN NORTH QUEENSLAND TROPICAL RAINFOREST: RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN VULNERABILITY TO SEED PREDATION, AND PLANT AND HABITAT CHARACTERISTICS

Abstract	79
4.1 Introduction	80
4.1.1 What factors can affect insect predispersal seed predation?	80
4.1.2 Scope of study	82
4.1.3 Summary of hypotheses	93
4.2 Methods	
4.2.1 Study area and sampling	94
4.2.2 Rearing insect seed predators	95
4.2.3 Measuring seed predation	97
4.2.4 Recording fruit/seed morphological attributes	98
4.2.5 Defining parameters	98
4.2.6 Data analysis	101
4.3 Results	105
4.3.1 Seed predation and the seed predators	105
4.3.2 Univariate analysis	111
Correlations between parameters and the presence/absence of IPSP	
Hard pericarp thickness	111
Total pericarp thickness	114
Plant indigeneity	119
Univariate analysis: correlations between parameters and the	
intensity of IPSP	122
Plant taxonomic family	122
4.3.3 Results summary	125

6

4.4 Discussion	127
4.4.1 Significant parameters	
Hard pericarp thickness	127
Plant indigeneity	129
Plant taxonomic affiliation	130
4.4.2 Insignificant parameters	
Seed size	131
Seed sphericity	133
Seed number per fruit and seed mass per fruit	134
Fruit pericarp texture	135
Plant growth form	136
Light and phosphorus availability	137
Fruiting season	139
4.5 Summary and conclusions	140
HAPTER 5: HOST PLANT RESOURCE AVAILABILITY	
Abstract	142

\mathbf{C}

143 5.1 Introduction 147 5.1.1 Aim of study 5.2 Methods 5.2.1 Study Area 148 149 5.2.2 Assessing intensities of seed predation 5.2.3 Assessing defences against seed predators 150 150 5.2.4 Statistical Analysis 151 5.3 Results 153 5.3.1 Seed predation and resource availability 5.3.2 Seed predation and possible defences against seed predators 156 5.3.3 Anti-seed predator defences and resource availability 161 162 5.4 Discussion 166 5.4.1 Limitations of the data

-

5.4.2 Alternative interpretations of the results	167
Seed defences and seed predation	167
Light availability and seed predation	167
CHAPTER 6: HOST PLANT INDIGENEITY	
Abstract	172
6.1 Introduction	173
6.2 Methods	
6.2.1 Study area, species selection and field sampling	176
6.2.2 Assessing seed predation	178
6.2.3 Assessing the phylogenetic similarity of exotic species to the	
local native rainforest species	181
6.2.4 Rearing insect seed predators	182
6.3 Results	183
6.3.1 Selection of species for comparing natives versus exotics	183
6.3.2 Seed predation in the selected species	184
6.3.3 Phylogenetic similarity of exotic species to local native	
rainforest species	187
6.4 Discussion and conclusions	187
CHAPTER 7: GENERAL DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS	
Abstract	199
7.1 The vulnerability of plants to IPSP	200
7.2 Possible effects of IPSP on species abundance	201
7.3 Factors that may modify the effects of IPSP on species abundance	
7.3.1 Postdispersal seed predation	205
7.3.2 Seed longevity	206
7.4 Conclusions	212
7.5 Further research	
7.5.1 The vulnerability of rainforest plant species to IPSP	213
Host plant phylogeny	214
Light availability	214

.

7.5.2 The effects of IPSP on the population dynamics of rainforest	215
plants	
REFERENCES	217
APPENDIX 1	251
APPENDIX 2	254
APPENDIX 3	256

STATEMENT OF SOURCES DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis is my own and has not been submitted in any form for another degree or diploma at any university or other institution of tertiary education. Information derived from the published or unpublished work of others has been acknowledged in the text and a list of references is given.

10/3/2000

Peter Alexander Juniper

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by a Co-operative Research Centre for Tropical Rainforest Ecology and Management postgraduate research scholarship, from 1994 to 1997. Laboratory space and facilities were provided by James Cook University, Townsville and primarily the Tropical Forest Research Centre run by the Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation in Atherton.

Foremost among the many people who assisted me with my thesis were my supervisors Chris Hill (James Cook University, Townsville), Mike Hopkins (CSIRO, Atherton) and Jamie Seymour (James Cook University, Cairns). I thank Chris for helping me during my initial time in Townsville and for comments on some of my chapters. I thank Mike for his many ideas and comments, and particularly for showing me how to think in a structured and critical manner. Thanks also go to Jamie Seymour for his comments on draft chapters during the long last haul of writing up.

The Tropical Forest Research Centre was a great place to be working in, the staff and visiting scientists were very friendly and a good source of intellectual stimulus. I am grateful in particular to Andrew Graham and Bob Hewett for their field knowledge and logistic support. I also had help from Tony Irvine, Regel Jensen and the staff of the Division of Plant Industries herbarium at the TFRC with plant identification.

Without the expertise of taxonomists, almost all of my insect specimens would have remained unnamed. Chris Lyal at the British Natural History Museum identified most of my beetle specimens, Marianne Horak at the Australian National Insect Collection identified most of my moth specimens and Ross Storey at the Queensland Department of Primary Industries (Mareeba) helped my identify a variety of insect specimens. Without the good will of many private land holders on the Atherton Tablelands I would not have collected many seed samples from which these insect specimens were reared. Among these I thank John Mackenzie, and Grant and Leslie Jenkins for allowing me to tramp through their forests. I must also thank Grant for his saw chain with which I was able to cut down fruit laden branches at ridiculous heights in the forest canopy.

The people with whom I spent most of my working time with were my comrades and friends Jodi Isaacs and John Kanowski. I was very lucky to have had Jodi and John as lab mates, who provided for many interesting discussions, much encouragement and much good humor.

Many from outside of work people were also important during my time of study. I thank my parents, for their moral and financial support. Most important of all, to my five years of study in Atherton, were my friends. My heart goes to Douglas Tait, Segun Osunkoya, Ian and Tonya Whittaker, Dale Diffenbach, Kristi Silber, Sue Jenkins, Geoff Middleton, Juliette Bloor, Trevor Parker, Carol Schmidt and Miya Isherwood for making life enjoyable and meaningful.

Finally, were it not for the inspiration and peace provided by the mountains and forests of the wet tropics, I would not be here at all.