

ResearchOnline@JCU

This file is part of the following reference:

Gyuris, Emma (1993) *Insights into the ecology of hatchlings of the green turtle, *Chelonia mydas*: implications for the life histories of the marine turtles.* PhD thesis, James Cook University.

Access to this file is available from:

<http://eprints.jcu.edu.au/24107/>

The author has certified to JCU that they have made a reasonable effort to gain permission and acknowledge the owner of any third party copyright material included in this document. If you believe that this is not the case, please contact ResearchOnline@jcu.edu.au and quote <http://eprints.jcu.edu.au/24107/>

**Insights into the Ecology of Hatchlings of the
Green Turtle, *Chelonia mydas* :
Implications for the Life Histories of the
Marine Turtles.**

**Thesis submitted by
Emma Gyuris B.Sc., M. Sc. (Monash)
March 1993**

**for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy
in the Department of Zoology at
James Cook University of North Queensland**

Statement on Access to Thesis

I, the undersigned, the author of this thesis, understand that James Cook University of North Queensland will make it available for use within the University Library and, by microfilm or other photographic means, allow access to users in other approved libraries. All users consulting this thesis will have to sign the following statement:

"In consulting this thesis I agree not to copy or closely paraphrase it in whole or in part without the written consent of the author; and to make proper written acknowledgment for any assistance which I have obtained from it."

Beyond this I do not wish to place any restriction on access to this thesis.

Note: Copies of this dissertation may be obtained from the author on floppy disc should they be required. Macintosh or MS-DOS formats can be accommodated.

(Signature)

24.8.94
(date)

DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis is my own work and has not been submitted in any form for a degree or diploma at any university or other institution of tertiary education. Information derived from the published or unpublished work of others has been acknowledged in the text and a list of references is given.

E Gyuris
28 March 1993

Note: Part of the work presented in Chapter 3 is to be published by the journal "Coral Reefs" under the title "The rate of predation by fishes on the hatchlings of the green turtle (*Chelonia mydas*).". Part of the work presented in Chapter 4 has been published under the title "Factors controlling the timing of green turtle hatchling emergence from the nest" *Wildlife Research* 20(3): 345-353

PERMITS

Appropriate permits and approvals to carry out the experimental work described in this thesis were granted by the following state and federal agencies and authorities: Queensland National Parks and Wildlife Service; Fisheries Branch, Queensland Department of Primary Industries; the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority and The James Cook University of North Queensland Animal Research Ethics Committee.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to thank the following individuals for their help during my candidature.

Helene Marsh (JCU), took on the task of supervising this project. I am grateful for her advice, for making herself available whenever needed and most of all for her belief in me. Several times the reason to keep going was a sense of duty engendered by her trust and belief.

Barry Goldman (Research Associate, Australian Museum) and **Glen De'Ath** (JCU) gave guidance and invaluable advice on statistical analysis of my data. **Barry Goldman** functioned as surrogate supervisor during my lengthy absences from the University.

Col Limpus (Queensland Dept. of Environment and Heritage), introduced me to turtles, and was an integral part of this work in the initial stages of developing methodologies.

David Hannan (Coral Sea Imagery) gave his time, equipment and expertise to help make the short audiovisual presentation documenting some aspects of my work.

Ian Somers (CSIRO Div.of Fisheries) provided generous help by making his computerized population model available to me.

The comments of an **anonymous reviewer** facilitated significant improvements on the earlier drafts of Chapter 4.

Many student **volunteers** and some personal friends assisted in patrolling the beach, spearfishing and following the hatchling turtles in the water - often a tiring and sometimes scary exercise. Without these people the project would never have got off the ground. I hope they will remember the sights, sounds and scents of coral cays for many years to come.

In addition to the above I received help and assistance of a more personal nature from several people. I am particularly thankful to my husband, _____ for his support, encouragement, and the many discussions that generated some good ideas. Most of all for remaining cheerful while enduring several months on Yap alone, without his family.

I am indebted to my parents, _____, for taking loving care of our daughter, _____, during the last few months of the writing of this thesis. And to _____ for being a such a good little girl. Zoli Florian and Carden Wallace took me into their homes, looked after me and provided company during these last few months of completing this thesis.

To all these people I give my heartfelt thanks.

ABSTRACT

By reviewing the literature, I examined the validity of earlier explanations of the differences in body size, fecundity and other life history parameters amongst testudines. I concluded that while many life history parameters, such as survivorship, growth and age to maturity are similar for marine, terrestrial and freshwater turtles, the large body size and high fecundity of marine species are exceptional. In general, high fecundity is considered to occur because of high mortality during the early life stages. Yet, mortality of sea turtles to one year of age has been considered to be significantly lower than that of the less fecund freshwater turtles. Estimates of mortality however were based largely on beach-based studies as few empirical data on hatchling survival after entry into the sea have been available.

This study quantified survivorship of hatchlings after entry into the sea and during reef crossing at Heron and North-West Islands, two coral cays at the southern end of the Great Barrier Reef. Predation rates were quantified by two independent methods.

The first method involved following individual hatchlings ($n=1740$) tethered by a 10 m monofilament nylon line, as they swam from the water's edge towards the reef crest. Predation rates under particular combinations of environmental variables (tide, time of day, and moon phase) were measured in 84 separate, 10 minute trials, with 20 hatchlings in each. Predation rates varied from 0 to 85% with a mean of 31% (S. E. \pm 2.5%).

A series of nested logistic regression models was used to examine the effect of the environmental variables. Tide and moon phase had a significant effect on predation rate: Predation was lowest during the combination of new moon and high tide and was highest during low tide around the full moon.

The second method estimated hatchling mortality by quantifying, from a collection of 232 reef fishes, the proportion whose foreguts contained turtle hatchlings. Of the 89 fishes which had identifiable stomach contents, hatchlings were found in the guts of six specimens of *Lutjanus carponotatus* and one of *Epinephelus spp.* Extrapolating from data obtained in an unrelated study on the biomass of these species per unit area of reef flat, I estimated that these two species together consumed approximately 3800 (95% confidence interval 1593-7220) hatchlings, or 84% (42 - 100%) of the hatchlings that had entered the water during the study period. Around full moon, the same lunar phase during which the fish were collected by spearing, predation rates obtained by the tethering experiments varied, depending on the state of the tide, between 30-70%.

Although predation rates were significantly influenced by environmental variables, no correlation could be found between the timing of emergence from the nest and periods of reduced risk of predation in the water. Frequency distribution of emergence events were similar between nights that differed markedly in the times of high and low tides (Kolmogorov-Smirnov two sample test statistic = 0.20, $p = 0.68$).

Three possible models predicting the timing of emergence were generated and tested against the observed pattern. Hatchlings did not emerge uniformly throughout the diel cycle (Uniform emergence model, $X^2 = 59.986$, $df = 6$, $p < 0.001$) but emerged primarily in the early evening. The timing of emergence was found to be associated with changes in sand temperature gradients within the top ten centimeters of the sand column (Thermal gradient model, $X^2 = 4.239$, $df = 3$, $p \approx 0.25$) and not, as previously believed, by a given temperature of the surface of the sand (Threshold model, $X^2 = 12.736$, $df = 4$, $p < 0.025$). I concluded that nocturnal emergence is more likely an adaptation to reduce mortality caused by physiological stress of the day time heat than a predator avoidance mechanism. These findings were supported by experimental manipulation of temperature gradients within the top 10 cm of the sand column in an artificial hatchery.

Behavioral and morphological adaptations increasing survivorship after the hatchlings enter the water were identified: 1) qualitative observations suggest that rapid early dispersal of hatchlings increases their probability of survival; 2) experimental trials showed that predation was significantly greater upon hatchlings painted black on the ventral surface than on those displaying the natural, countershaded pattern (McNemar's symmetry test, $X^2 = 6.231$, $df = 1$, $p = 0.013$).

Using a population model based on the Lefkovitch stage class matrix, the intrinsic growth rate of the eastern Australian green turtle population was found to be more sensitive to changes in survivorship in the pelagic and juvenile stages than to changes in any other stages of the life cycle. This is primarily due to the relatively low annual survivorship and the

associated long duration of those two stages. Change in hatchling survivorship in the shallow water reefal habitats was also found to have a significant effect on the intrinsic growth rate of the population and was similar in effect to the doubling of fecundity. Restricting the release of hatchlings to periods of high tides would result in an approximately 2 % increase in annual population growth rate.

Sea turtle biologists consider that hatchlings spend as short a time as possible in nearshore waters because of the high predation pressure in those habitats. The results of this study lend substantial support to that view. However, one species, *Natator depressus*, the only species of sea turtle without a pelagic phase in its life cycle, has apparently overcome the problem of predation in shallow water coastal habitats by producing larger, but fewer, hatchlings. No other sea turtle has taken up this evolutionary option and the costs and benefits of a life cycle involving a pelagic phase remain subject to speculation.

QUOTATION.

"I should not perhaps have got started talking this way about turtle eggs, because there is no end to it, really. But I feel strongly that everybody ought to know that the size of a complement of turtle eggs is no mere accident and not simply the payload that a lady turtle is able to swim with. It is a number packed with ecology and evolution. There are so many factors involved in setting it, in fact, that I think it may be worthwhile to try to make an inventory of them, to see how they work, and how they get so interwound with each other that thinking about them makes you finally feel that almost everything the race of turtle does, or that happens to it, is to some degree reflected in the number of eggs that the female drops into the hole she digs in the sand."

(The eloquent words of the late Archie Carr, in his classic chapter titled "A Hundred Turtle Eggs" In : So Excellent a Fishe, Anchor Natural History Books, 1973)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

STATEMENT ON ACCESS TO THESIS	ii
STATEMENT ON SOURCES: DECLARATION	iii
PERMITS	iv
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	v
ABSTRACT	vii
QUOTATION	xi
TABLE OF CONTENTS	xii
LIST OF TABLES	xviii
LIST OF FIGURES	xx
CHAPTER 1: GENERAL INTRODUCTION.	1
CHAPTER 2 : REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE.	8
2.1 INTRODUCTION TO THE TESTUDINES.	8
2.1.1 AN OVERVIEW OF THE EVOLUTIONARY HISTORY OF THE TESTUDINES.	9
2.2. INTRODUCTION TO THE MARINE TURTLES.	12
2.2.1 EVOLUTION, MORPHOLOGICAL AND PHYSIOLOGICAL ADAPTATION TO THE MARINE ENVIRONMENT.	12

2.2.2	MODERN SEA TURTLES: AN OVERVIEW.	14
2.2.2.1	Taxonomy.	14
2.2.2.2	Background information about the species.	14
2.3	TESTUDINE LIFE HISTORIES, WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO THE MARINE TURTLES.	17
2.3.1	INTRODUCTION.	17
2.3.2	REPRODUCTIVE RATES.	20
2.3.2.1	Frequency of Reproduction.	20
2.3.2.2	The Number and Size of Offspring.	22
2.3.2.2.1	Eggs.	22
2.3.2.2.2	Hatchlings.	30
2.3.2.2.3	Evolutionary considerations.	31
2.3.2.3	Growth and Maturity.	32
2.3.3	REPRODUCTIVE MIGRATIONS.	36
2.3.4	SURVIVORSHIP.	38
2.3.4.1	Survivorship of eggs and hatchlings.	39
2.3.4.2	Survivorship of juveniles to adults.	46
2.4	DISCUSSION.	49
2.4.1	BODY SIZE.	52
2.4.2	FECUNDITY.	54
2.5	SYNOPSIS.	57

CHAPTER 3 : PREDATION ON HATCHLINGS OF THE GREEN TURTLE IN CORAL REEF HABITATS.	60
3.1 GENERAL INTRODUCTION.	60
3.2. MEASURING PREDATION RATES DIRECTLY: THE TETHER METHOD.	62
3.2.1 MATERIALS AND METHODS.	62
3.2.1.1 Study site.	62
3.2.1.2 Collection of hatchlings.	64
3.2.1.3 Observations of free-swimming hatchlings.	64
3.2.1.4 Measuring predation using tethered hatchlings.	65
3.2.1.4.1 Preliminary trials.	65
3.2.1.4.2 Measuring predation	68
3.2.2 VALIDATION OF TECHNIQUES.	72
3.2.2.1 Effects of the monofilament line	72
3.2.2.2 Observer bias.	73
3.2.3 RESULTS.	74
3.2.3.1 Observations on the behaviour of free-swimming hatchlings and their aquatic predators.	74
3.2.3.2 Predation rates and environmental variables influencing predation.	78
3.2.3.3 Estimate of total predation over the reef flat.	87
3.2.4 DISCUSSION.	88
3.2.4.1 Justification of the method.	88
3.2.4.2 Effects of environmental variables.	89
3.2.4.3 Hatchling behaviour and predation rates.	91

3.3	MEASURING PREDATION INDIRECTLY: EXAMINATION OF FISH STOMACH CONTENTS.	93
3.3.1	MATERIALS AND METHODS.	93
	3.3.1.1 Study site.	93
	3.3.1.2 Collection and processing of specimens.	95
	3.3.1.3 Analysis and interpretation of results.	96
3.3.2	RESULTS.	96
	3.3.2.1 Fish stomach contents.	98
	3.3.2.2 Number of hatchlings crossing the reef.	101
	3.3.2.3 Estimate of total predation over the reef flat.	101
3.3.3	DISCUSSION.	104
	3.3.3.1 Most frequent predators of hatchling <i>C. mydas</i> .	104
	3.3.3.2 The importance of hatchlings in fish diets.	106
	3.3.3.3 Effects of fish predation on hatchling survival.	108
3.4	GENERAL CONCLUSIONS.	107
3.4.1	AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE ESTIMATED RATES OF PREDATION DERIVED BY THE TWO METHODS.	109
3.4.2	BETWEEN YEAR VARIATION IN PREDATION RATES.	109
3.4.3	GEOGRAPHICAL VARIATION IN PREDATION RATES.	110
3.4.4	THE EVOLUTIONARY SIGNIFICANCE OF PREDATION ON HATCHLINGS	111

CHAPTER 4 : PREY DEFENCE MECHANISMS OF GREEN TURTLE HATCHLINGS.	112
4.1 GENERAL INTRODUCTION.	112
4.2 BEHAVIORAL DEFENCES: THE TIMING OF EMERGENCE .	113
4.2.1 INTRODUCTION.	113
4.2.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS.	116
4.2.2.1 Study site.	116
4.2.2.2 Counting of emerged hatchlings.	116
4.2.2.3 Sand temperature measurements.	118
4.2.2.4 Tides.	119
4.2.3 MODELS OF HATCHLING EMERGENCE.	120
4.2.4 RESULTS.	122
4.2.4.1 Sand temperatures.	122
4.2.4.2 Observed patterns of emergence.	127
4.2.4.3 Expected pattern of emergence: The uniform emergence model.	128
4.2.4.4 Expected pattern of emergence: The threshold model.	130
4.2.4.5 Expected pattern of emergence : The gradient model.	132
4.2.5 DISCUSSION.	134
4.3 THE NATURE OF THE THERMAL CUE.	137
4.3.1 INTRODUCTION.	137
4.3.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS.	137
4.3.2.1 Manipulation of sand temperature.	138
4.3.2.2 Emergence behavior.	142

4.3.3	RESULTS.	142
4.3.3.1	Sand temperatures.	142
4.3.3.2	Hatchling emergence.	146
4.3.4	DISCUSSION.	148
4.4	PREY DEFENCE MECHANISMS IN THE SEA.	152
4.4.1	COUNTERSHADING.	152
4.4.1.1	Introduction.	152
4.4.1.2	Materials and methods.	153
4.4.1.3	Results and discussion.	154
4.5	ADAPTATIONS TO REDUCE EARLY HATCHLING MORTALITY: RECAPITULATION.	156
	CHAPTER 5 : HATCHLING SURVIVORSHIP, POPULATION DYNAMICS AND MANAGEMENT.	159
	CHAPTER 6 : CONCLUDING REMARKS.	166
	LITERATURE CITED.	176

APPENDIX 1	Life history parameters of testudines compiled from the literature.	208
APPENDIX 2	Results of predation trials over the reef flat.	213
APPENDIX 3	Results of predation trials over the reef slope.	215
APPENDIX 4	Fish predators of sea turtle hatchlings, additional to those given by Stancyk (1982).	216
APPENDIX 5	Locality map of the Capricornia section of the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park, enclosing the Capricorn-Bunker group of reefs.	217
APPENDIX 6	A ten minute audiovisual video presentation. Appended.	218

LIST OF TABLES

2.1	Estimates of annual fecundity among sea turtles.	27
2.2	Recorded long distance breeding migrations of several freshwater and marine turtles.	37
2.3	Examples of the rates of nest predation found among freshwater turtles.	42
2.4	Predation rates on and predators of sea turtle eggs.	43
3.1	The fate of 57 free-swimming <i>C. mydas</i> hatchlings which were followed by snorkel divers during daytime.	77
3.2	Summary of logistic regression analysis of predation trials over the reef flat.	80
3.3	Survival rates (observed and estimated) of hatchling turtles after 10 minutes swim over reef flat under various environmental conditions.	81
3.4	Summary of logistic regression analysis of predation trials over the reef flat showing deviance and degrees of freedom that are displayed in the coefficient table.	84
3.5	Summary of logistic regression analyses of predation trials over the reef slope showing deviance and degrees of freedom that are displayed in the coefficient table.	86
3.6	Fish collected by selective spearfishing at North-West Island between 17 and 24 January 1989	97
3.7	Details of the foregut contents of fish sampled at North-West Island.	99

3.8	Summary of the number of nightly nest emergences, number of fish caught daily, and particulars of turtles in fish guts.	100
4.1	Observed pattern of hatchling emergence events and that expected under assumptions of uniform emergence, the temperature gradient and temperature threshold (31°C) models.	129
4.2	ANOVA table for examining variation in ΔT .	144
4.3	Time lag between the appearance of hatchlings' heads at the surface and emergence.	148
4.4	Data obtained in the 'painted hatchlings' experiments cast in a 2x2 contingency table which was used in McNemar's test for symmetry.	155
5.1	Hypothetical sea turtle population model.	162

LIST OF FIGURES

2.1	Histogram showing the mean or usual clutch size of 109 species and subspecies of turtles.	25
2.2	A conceptual diagram summarizing the relationship between body size and fecundity of sea turtles.	59
3.1	Heron Island and Reef.	63
3.2	Position of monofilament line passed through one post-central scute and tied in a knot on the dorsal surface.	66
3.3	Transect line with hatchlings attached.	67
3.4	Dispersal pattern of hatchlings from beach.	76
3.5	Overall predation rates of tethered hatchling green turtles over reef flat during various moon phases.	79
3.6	Overall predation rates of tethered hatchling green turtles over the reef flat during various tides.	79
3.7	Mean and 95% confidence intervals for expected mortality / survival rates of hatchlings swimming for ten minutes over the reef flat at Heron Island Reef.	82
3.8	North-West Island and reef.	94
3.9	North-West Island Reef, showing area within which predation on hatchlings is estimated.	103
4.1	Fence line with pit traps in position on the northern beach of Heron Island, February 1990.	117
4.2	Sand surface temperatures at different times of the day during early February 1990.	124

4.3	Sand temperatures at 10 cm depth at different times of the day during early February 1990.	125
4.4	Changes in sand temperature gradients with time of day.	126
4.5	Window for emergence: Threshold model.	131
4.6	Window for emergence: Gradient model.	133
4.7	Site plan of hatchery.	140
4.8	Hatchery during daytime and during evening with infrared lamps operating and Styrofoam boxes in place.	141
4.9	Temperature profiles (mean temperatures over six days) within the four quadrants of the hatchery. Symbols indicate different times of the day.	143
4.10	Two hourly measures of ΔT with time averaged over six days for each three sites within the test and three sites within the control plots.	145
4.11	Distribution of hatchling emergence events within the test and the control plots.	147
4.12	A "test" hatchling with ventral surface painted black (right) and a "control" hatchling displaying the natural colour scheme (left).	154
5.1	Changes in population growth rate resulting from changes in survivorship and fecundity in various life stages of a hypothetical sea turtle population.	163
6.1	Relationship between fecundity and stage 1 survivorship.	170
6.2	A comparison of the number of hatchlings surviving during 40 minutes of reef crossing when clutch size and predation vary.	172