

# ResearchOnline@JCU

This file is part of the following reference:

**Goudberg, Nicole Joanna (1990) *The feeding ecology of three species of North Queensland Upland rainforest ringtail possums, Hemibelideus lemuroides, Pseudocheirus herbertensis and Pseudocheirus archeri (marsupialia: petauridae)*. PhD thesis, James Cook University.**

Access to this file is available from:

<http://eprints.jcu.edu.au/24106/>

*The author has certified to JCU that they have made a reasonable effort to gain permission and acknowledge the owner of any third party copyright material included in this document. If you believe that this is not the case, please contact [ResearchOnline@jcu.edu.au](mailto:ResearchOnline@jcu.edu.au) and quote <http://eprints.jcu.edu.au/24106/>*

**THE FEEDING ECOLOGY OF THREE SPECIES OF  
NORTH QUEENSLAND UPLAND RAINFOREST RINGTAIL POSSUMS,  
*HEMIBELIDEUS LEMUROIDES*, *PSEUDOCHEIRUS HERBERTENSIS*  
AND *PSEUDOCHEIRUS ARCHERI* (MARSUPIALIA: PETAURIDAE)**

Thesis submitted by

**Nicole Joanna GOUDBERG B.Sc. (Hons.)**

in June 1990

for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in  
the Department of Zoology at  
James Cook University of North Queensland.

## DECLARATION

*I declare that this thesis is my own work and has not been submitted in any form for another degree or diploma at any university or other institution of tertiary education. Information derived from the published or unpublished work of others has been acknowledged in the text and a list of references is given.*

Nicole J. Goudberg

June 1990

STATEMENT ON ACCESS

I, the undersigned, the author of this thesis, understand that the following restriction placed by me on access to this thesis will not extend beyond three years from the date on which the thesis is submitted to the University.

I wish to place restriction on access to this thesis as follows:

**Access not to be permitted for a period of one year.**

After this period has elapsed I understand that James Cook University of North Queensland will make it available for use within the University Library and, by microfilm or other photographic means, allow access to users in other approved libraries. All users consulting this thesis will have to sign the following statement:

*In consulting this thesis I agree not to copy or closely paraphrase it in whole or in part without the written consent of the author; and to make proper written acknowledgement for any assistance which I have obtained from it.*

Nicole J. Goudberg

June 1990.

Frontispiece: Climbing to catch possums in their dens. (Steve Williams photo).



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This project was initially conceived following conversations with John Winter. I thank him, and also my supervisors Drs. G.E. Heinsohn and R.M. Murray, for valuable advice throughout the course of this project. John's help, both in the field and in discussions, is gratefully acknowledged. Sincere thanks are also due to Tony Irvine and Jeff Tracey who taught me botanical taxonomy from scratch with patience and good humour. For advice on numerical taxonomy I am indebted to Dr. Bill Williams; the Australian Institute of Marine Science kindly gave me access to their Numerical Taxonomy Package. Dr. Brian Green analysed my hard won blood samples and donated the tritiated water. Without his help I would not have attempted to measure field metabolic rates. Ken Nagy kindly allowed me to use several unpublished energetics equations. I would also like to thank Drs. George Heinsohn, John Winter, Rhondda Jones and especially Helene Marsh for help in beating the manuscript into shape; Tony Boniface and Claudia MacGrath for teaching me the necessary forage analyses and making my 6 months in the nutrition lab. an enjoyable experience. Claudia is especially thanked for analysing the nitrogen digests. The radio telemetry went smoothly thanks to the expertise of Reg Mercer and the staff of the electronics department.

To the numerous people who helped me in the field I give my thanks. Special thanks go to Tim Moore who taught me to climb; the JCUNQ rock climbing club and QNPWS for lending me extra gear; Les Moore and Steve Williams who helped with the climbing, the catching and the blood letting; Bill Laurance for teaching me heart puncture and remaining cheerful despite the 2am visits with possums in hand; and Keith Smith and family, the best possum catching team in Herberton.

That I survived the field work in almost continual rain, mud and leeches is due to the practical and moral support of Mac and Betty Harrison of Wondecla (suppliers of hot showers, battery charging facilities, wine and emergency vehicle repairs). I would have given up years ago but for their kindness.

I would also like to thank Prof. D.M. Stoddart and the zoology post graduates at University of Tasmania, and A/Prof. A.K. Lee and the zoology post graduates at Monash University for hospitality, and enlightening discussions during my visits to both those institutions.

For help with computing I am indebted to Geoff Draper, Jamie Oliver and the staff at the JCUNQ Computer Centre.

For much needed and valued assistance with the graphics I thank Marietta Thyssen; she did a great job.

This project was funded by a Commonwealth Postgraduate Award with extra financial support from James Cook University special research grants to Drs. G.E. Heinsohn and H. Marsh and supporting grants from the Ecological Society of Australia and the M.A. Ingram Trust.

## ABSTRACT

The feeding behaviour of three species of upland rainforest ringtail possums (*Hemibelideus lemuroides*, *Pseudocheirus herbertensis* and *P. archeri*) was studied in the field for 30 months in 1982, 1983 and 1985. They were found to be selective folivores, choosing diverse diets that changed with the phenology of favoured food species. Mature leaves constituted the bulk of the diet of *P. archeri* and *H. lemuroides*, while *P. herbertensis* ate more young leaves. Tree species and seasonal food items (young leaves, fruits, flowers) were not exploited in the same proportion in which they occurred. Rather, condensed tannin and fibre content determined whether they were included in the diet. Protein was not an important correlate to species feeding preferences although *P. herbertensis* selected a higher protein diet than the other species. *H. lemuroides* selected for a low fibre diet, and *P. archeri* selected for high fibre.

Both *P. herbertensis* and *P. archeri* preferred to feed on continuously leafing tree species. The diets of all three species became more specialized during the more difficult dry season, and became increasingly opportunistic during the wet season when there was more suitable food available. Foods were partitioned almost completely at the species and item levels. No interference competition for food between or within the three possum species was ever observed.

The field energy requirements of *P. herbertensis* and *H. lemuroides* were determined by the doubly labelled water method during September-October 1985 (spring). Although both rainforest species have a similar food intake, *H. lemuroides* uses more energy for free existence than *P. herbertensis*, and obtains extra energy from a significantly higher quality diet than *P. herbertensis*. Behavioural adaptations reduce the energy needs of all three species.



## CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	i
ABSTRACT	ii
LIST OF TABLES	vi
LIST OF FIGURES	viii
1 GENERAL INTRODUCTION	1
<u>1.1 Foraging strategies</u>	1
<u>1.2 Leaves as a food source</u>	3
1.2.1 Structural compounds	4
1.2.2 Secondary compounds	4
1.2.3 Nutrient content	7
1.2.4 Variability	7
1.2.5 Ephemerality	7
<u>1.3 Digestion</u>	9
<u>1.4 The study</u>	12
2 THE STUDY ANIMALS	13
<u>2.1 Taxonomic relationships</u>	13
<u>2.2 Distribution</u>	14
<u>2.3 Background natural history</u>	16
2.3.1 <i>H. lemuroides</i>	16
2.3.2 <i>P. herbertensis</i>	17
2.3.3 <i>P. archeri</i>	19
<u>2.4 Previous studies</u>	20
3 THE STUDY SITE	26
<u>3.1 Location</u>	26
<u>3.2 Forest type</u>	26
<u>3.3 Temperature and rainfall</u>	27
4 PHENOLOGY	32
<u>4.1 Introduction</u>	32
4.1.1 Leafing phenology	32
4.1.2 Flowering phenology	33
4.1.3 Fruiting phenology	34
<u>4.2 Methods</u>	35
<u>4.3 Results</u>	37
4.3.1 Leafing phenology	38
4.3.2 Flowering phenology	40
4.3.3 Fruiting phenology	41
<u>4.4 Discussion</u>	43
4.4.1 Leafing	43
4.4.2 Flowering	45
4.4.3 Fruiting	46
<u>4.5 Summary</u>	47

5	<b>DIET AND FOOD SELECTION</b>	57
	<u>5.1</u> <b>Introduction</b>	57
	5.1.1 The primate arboreal folivores	57
	5.1.2 Marsupial arboreal folivores	60
	5.1.3 General considerations	65
	<u>5.2</u> <b>Methods</b>	68
	5.2.1 Duration of field work	68
	5.2.2 Spotlighting techniques	68
	5.2.3 Capture and marking of animals	70
	5.2.4 Telemetry techniques	71
	5.2.5 Statistical methods	73
	<u>5.3</u> <b>Results</b>	76
	5.3.1 Dietary composition	77
	5.3.2 Leafing and food preference	83
	5.3.3 Dietetic diversity and homogeneity	85
	5.3.4 Use of individual tree species	88
	5.3.5 Dietary overlap between species	90
	5.3.6 Seral partitioning	91
	5.3.7 Feeding activity	92
	5.3.8 Feeding heights	93
	<u>5.4</u> <b>Discussion</b>	94
	5.4.1 Evaluating the foraging strategies	95
	5.4.2 Competition	98
6	<b>FOOD QUALITY</b>	121
	<u>6.1</u> <b>Introduction</b>	121
	6.1.1 Factors governing leaf choice	121
	6.1.2 Adaptations to a folivorous diet	124
	<u>6.2</u> <b>Methods</b>	126
	6.2.1 Analytical methods	127
	6.2.2 Statistical methods	131
	<u>6.3</u> <b>Results</b>	131
6.3.1	Variability of leaf quality	132
	6.3.2 Food quality & feeding preferences	133
	6.3.3 Gut measurements	136
	<u>6.4</u> <b>Discussion</b>	136
	6.4.1 Diet selection	136
	6.4.2 Foraging strategies	139
6.4.3	Distribution of secondary compounds	140
	6.4.4 Conclusions	141
7	<b>ENERGETICS</b>	149
	<u>7.1</u> <b>Introduction</b>	149
	7.1.1 The doubly labelled water method	149
	7.1.2 Field metabolic rate	150
	<u>7.2</u> <b>Methods</b>	151
	7.2.1 Field methods	151
	7.2.2 Laboratory methods	152
	7.2.3 Equations	153

7.3	<u>Results</u>	153
7.3.1	Free water availability	153
7.3.2	Field production of carbon dioxide	154
7.3.3	Water turnover in the field	154
7.3.4	Relationship between CO <sub>2</sub> production and water influx	155
7.3.5	Metabolic scope	156
7.3.6	Food consumption	156
7.4	<u>Discussion</u>	157
7.4.1	Carbon dioxide production	157
7.4.2	Basal metabolic rate	158
7.4.3	Field metabolic rate	159
7.4.4	Scope of metabolism	161
7.4.5	Water relations	161
7.4.6	Food consumption	163
7.5	<u>Conclusions</u>	164
8	<b>ASSESSING THE POSSUM FORAGING STRATEGIES</b>	170
8.1	<u>Food selection by possums</u>	171
8.2	<u>Energetic considerations</u>	173
8.3	<u>Food procurement</u>	175
8.4	<u>Possum ecology: Implications for conservaton</u>	177
8.5	<u>The original hypotheses</u>	179
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	181
	<b>APPENDIX A</b> List of families and species of plant observed at monthly intervals.	201
	<b>APPENDIX B</b> <u>Table 1</u> Relative densities of the common tree species and important food species at L.G.S.F.	204
	<u>Table 2</u> Feeding records for <i>H. lemuroides</i> , <i>P. herbertensis</i> and <i>P. archeri</i> .	205
	<b>APPENDIX C</b> Members of the 21 groups of plants identified by cluster analysis.	208
	<b>APPENDIX D</b> <u>Table 1</u> Allelochemical content of leaves of selected species.	211
	<u>Table 2</u> Fibre fractions & crude protein of young and mature foliage.	212
	<u>Table 3</u> Water content & leaf toughness of young and mature foliage.	214
	<u>Table 4</u> Ten most preferred food items ranked by number of feeding observations.	216
	<u>Table 5</u> Nutritional factors affecting ranking of food items in the diets of the three possum species.	217

## LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Studies of arboreal folivores from the wet tropics of Australia.	22
2.2	Diets of <i>P. herbertensis</i> and <i>P. archeri</i> taken from the literature.	23
3.1	The twenty most common tree species in the study site.	29
4.1	Correlation between leaf flush in understorey and overstorey species and rainfall that fell in the same month and the previous month.	49
4.2	Periods of major leaf flush of core food species.	50
4.3	The time during which ripe fruit is available on individual overstorey and understorey tree at Longland's Gap State Forest.	51
5.1	The proportion of plant items in the diets of <i>H. lemuroides</i> , <i>P. herbertensis</i> and <i>P. archeri</i> .	101
5.2	Core food plants in the diets of <i>H. lemuroides</i> , <i>P. herbertensis</i> and <i>P. archeri</i> .	102
5.3	The proportion of young foliage from two core species ( <i>Flindersia brayleyana</i> , <i>Sloanea langii</i> ) in the diet of <i>H. lemuroides</i> .	103
5.4	Seasonality in frequency of feeding on core food species by <i>H. lemuroides</i> .	104
5.5	Non leaf items included in the diets of <i>H. lemuroides</i> , <i>P. herbertensis</i> and <i>P. archeri</i> .	105
5.6	The proportion (%) of young and matures age leaves in the diets of <i>H. lemuroides</i> , <i>P. herbertensis</i> and <i>P. archeri</i> .	106
5.7	Percentage of young leaf feeding records in the diet of <i>H. lemuroides</i> during flush periods compared with the overall proportion of young leaf feeding records for this species for the whole study.	107
5.8	Families of plants used for food by the three possum species.	108

Table	Page	
5.9	Core food species shared by two or more possum species shown as number of feeding records.	109
5.10	The seral classification of core food plants eaten by the three possum species.	110
5.11	Number of plant species eaten and percent feeding time (in one night) of radio-tracked animals.	111
6.1	Comparison of nutritional factors between ten leaf items most preferred by each species and eight items never eaten.	142
6.2	The proportion of feeding observations from Type I and Type II foods.	143
6.3	A comparison of mean nutritional quality of the top four food items on which the species are most specialized, with the mean nutritional quality of the minor species in the diet.	144
6.4	Average lengths of parts of the digestive tract of adult specimens of the three species, in centimetres.	145
7.1	Assumptions made in measuring water and energy flux using the doubly labelled water method.	151
7.2	Metabolic rate and water turnover in free-living <i>P. herbertensis</i> and <i>H. lemuroides</i> in August/September at Longland's Gap State Forest.	165
7.3	Estimated food consumption and energy metabolism of free-living possums.	166
7.4	Comparison of metabolic rates of forest dwelling, arboreal marsupials.	167
7.5	Comparison of water turnover rates in forest dwelling marsupials.	168
7.6	Weight of pouch young and condition of lactating ringtail possums.	169

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
	Frontispiece: Climbing to catch possums in their dens.	
2.1	<i>H. lemuroides</i> , <i>P. herbertensis</i> and <i>P. archeri</i> .	24
3.1	Location of study site in Longlands Gap State Forest.	30
3.2	Temperature and rainfall records for Herberton, the nearest weather station to the study site.	31
4.1	Phenological grouping of 55 tree species based on leafing characteristics observed over 30 months.	53
4.2	Phenological grouping of 55 tree species based on flowering characteristics observed over 30 months.	54
4.3	Leafing and major flush periods of understorey and overstorey tree.	55
4.4	Flowering phenology of understorey and overstorey species.	55
4.5	Extended and seasonally flowering species in the overstorey.	55
4.6	Extended and seasonally flowering species in the understorey.	55
4.7	Fruiting phenology of understorey and overstorey plant species.	55
4.8	Phenological grouping of 55 plant species based on fruiting characteristics observed over 30 months.	56
5.1	Number of days per month spent in the field during 1982, 1983 and 1985. Total number of feeding observations collected each month during 1982, 1983 and 1985.	112
5.2	Monthly number of feeding records of core food species collected for <i>H. lemuroides</i> during the study.	113

Figure		Page
5.3	Monthly number of feeding records of core food species collected for <i>P. herbertensis</i> during the study.	114
5.4	Monthly number of feeding records of core food species collected for <i>P. archeri</i> during the study.	115
5.5	Dietary diversity and evenness indices for <i>H. lemuroides</i> . Dietary diversity and evenness indices for <i>P. herbertensis</i> . Dietary diversity and evenness indices for <i>P. archeri</i> .	116
5.6	Feeding electivities of the three possum species and relative densities of common tree species at Longland's Gap State Forest.	117
5.7	Activity schedules of <i>H. lemuroides</i> , <i>P. herbertensis</i> and <i>P. archeri</i> in the wet season and dry season.	118
5.8	Feeding heights in trees of <i>H. lemuroides</i> , <i>P. herbertensis</i> and <i>P. archeri</i> .	119
6.1	Dendrogram resulting from cluster analysis of nutrition data.	147