# ResearchOnline@JCU

This file is part of the following reference:

Darke, Wendy (1991) Growth and growth form of the massive coral, Porites. PhD thesis, James Cook University.

Access to this file is available from:

http://eprints.jcu.edu.au/24102/

The author has certified to JCU that they have made a reasonable effort to gain permission and acknowledge the owner of any third party copyright material included in this document. If you believe that this is not the case, please contact <u>ResearchOnline@jcu.edu.au</u> and quote <u>http://eprints.jcu.edu.au/24102/</u>



# Growth and Growth Form of the Massive Coral Porites

PhD Thesis, March 1991

Wendy Darke

### GROWTH AND GROWTH FORM OF THE MASSIVE CORAL *PORITES*

## Thesis submitted by Wendy Marilyn DARKE BSc(Hons) (Bristol, UK) in March 1991

for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in the Marine Biology Department, School of Biological Sciences at James Cook University of North Queensland

i

I, the undersigned, the author of this thesis, understand that James Cook University of North Queensland will make it available for use within the University Library and, by microfilm or other photographic means, allow access to users in other approved libraries. All users consulting this thesis will have to sign the following statement:

> "In consulting this thesis I agree not to copy or closely para-phrase it in whole or in part without the written consent of the author; and to make proper written acknowledgement for any assistance which I have obtained from it."

Beyond this, I do not wish to place any restriction on access to this thesis.

25/3/91

(signature)

(date)

### ABSTRACT

Massive *Porites* colonies develop a bumpy growth surface as they increase in size. Development of a bumpy growth surface occurs when skeletal growth no longer provides the necessary increase in surface area to accommodate tissue growth. A massive *Porites* colony becomes bumpy when it reaches a critical size determined by the ratio of its tissue growth to its skeletal growth. This ratio also determines the degree of bumpiness which develops at the growth surface.

X-radiographs of skeletal slices cut from the vertical growth axis of massive *Porites* colonies display annual density banding and skeletal architecture associated with corallites, that is, skeleton deposited by individual polyps. Density bands outline former positions of the growth surface. Examination of X-radiographs of *Porites* shows that new corallites are initiated on, or towards, the summit of bumps, whilst older corallites are compressed and ultimately occluded at the bottom of valleys formed between bumps. X-radiographs show that it takes 4 to 7 years from the formation of a corallite to its occlusion. Polyps on the growth surface of a bumpy *Porites* colony must, therefore, be continually lost. All polyps are lost and replaced during a 4 to 7 year period. Consequently, tissue covering the growth surface of a massive *Porites* colony can be no older than 7 years, even though the colony may have been growing for several centuries.

Computer models designed to simulate growth of a massive *Porites* colony indicated that the growth form displayed by a *Porites* colony is determined by the ratio of tissue growth to skeletal growth. Models having a relatively faster tissue growth compared with skeletal growth developed a bumpy surface sooner, and the amount of bumpiness developed was greater, than for models having a relatively slower tissue growth compared with skeletal growth. Predictions from computer models accorded with observations and measurements made on actual colonies and on X-radiographs of skeletal slices cut from colonies. Thus, the ratio of tissue growth to skeletal growth determines important aspects of the growth form displayed by massive *Porites* colonies.

The ratio of tissue growth to skeletal growth was shown to significantly affect the rate of polyp loss and replacement in *Porites* colonies. The longevity of polyps is less in *Porites* colonies displaying a well developed bumpy growth surface than in colonies displaying a smoother growth surface. Hence, the age of polyps, and therefore the tissue, covering a bumpy growth surface is less than polyps and tissue covering a smooth growth surface.

Skeletal surface area in massive *Porites* colonies was shown to be a useful indicator of tissue biomass. Measurements of change in surface area of *Porites* colonies with increasing size show that the rate of tissue growth must decrease as the colony grows. Development of a bumpy growth surface alleviates this geometric restriction for only months to a couple of years. Development of a bumpy growth surface is an indication that tissue growth is becoming constrained by skeletal growth. Once a colony becomes bumpy, the tissue growth is almost totally constrained by the rate by skeletal extension.

Significant differences in growth and growth form characterised massive *Porites* colonies collected from different reef environments. Measurements made on the colonies suggested that differences in environmental conditions probably altered the ratio of tissue growth to skeletal growth and caused the colonies to grow in different ways. Differences in growth were reflected in the resulting growth form. Information about relative rates of tissue and skeletal growth within a massive *Porites* colony gained from observations and measurements of the growth form can be used to provide further information about coral growth and details of environmental conditions obtaining during growth.

# **CONTENTS**

L

CHAPTER 1: BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES
1.1. INTRODUCTION TO THESIS
1.1.1. Tissue growth to skeletal growth relationships in massive corals
1.1.2. Relationships between tissue growth:skeletal growth ratios and growth form.
1.1.3. Relationships between the environment of a <i>Porites</i> colony and aspects of its growth and growth form.
1.1.4. The choice of massive <i>Porites</i> for growth and growth form studies.
1.2. GROWTH AND GROWTH FORM CHARACTERISTICS OF <i>PORITES</i>
1.3. SITES SELECTED FOR COLLECTION OF <i>PORITES</i> 1
1.4. MASSIVE <i>PORITES</i> COLONIES AS ENVIRONMENTAL RECORDERS1

#### CHAPTER 2: PATTERNS OF SKELETAL GROWTH IN PORITES AS REVEALED BY X-RADIOGRAPHY

2.1. INTRODUCTION
-------------------

2.1.1. The annual nature of the banding pattern.	
2.1.2. The appearance of density bands in massive corals.	
2.1.3. Skeletal architecture associated with density bands.	
2.1.4. Sub-annual timing of density band formation.	
2.1.5. Environmental correlates of density banding.	
2.1.6. X-radiographs as records of colonial growth.	
2.2. MATERIALS AND METHODS	26
2.2.1. Collection of <i>Porites</i> colonies.	
2.2.2. Slicing of coral colonies and X-radiography of slices.	

2.2.3. Measurements of annual skeletal extension rates from

X-radiographs of skeletal slices.

,

2.2.4. Measurements of corallites apparent in X-radiographs. 2.2.5. Comparison of corallites on slices with those in	
X-radiographs.	
2.2.6. Statistical procedures.	
.3. RESULTS	32
2.3.1. Appearance of calices at the growth surface of colonies.	
2.3.2. Annual skeletal extension rate.	
2.3.3. Corallite longevity.	
2.3.4. Comparison of actual corallites with apparent corallites.	
.4. DISCUSSION	37
2.4.1. The X-ray image of corallites.	
2.4.2. The arrangement of corallites in <i>Porites</i> skeletons.	
2.4.3. The inevitable consequences arising from the formation	
of corallite fans.	
2.4.4. The age of polyps on the growth surface of massive	
Porites colonies.	
2.4.5. Links between growth rates, growth form and environmental	
factors associated with Porites.	
5 CONCLUSIONS	13
	r.)

#### CHAPTER 3: COMPUTER MODELS THAT SIMULATE GROWTH OF A MASSIVE PORITES COLONY

3.1.1. The concept of modularity in colonial corals.

3.1.2. Growth form variations within coral species.

3.1.3. Genetic constraints on coral growth and growth form.

3.1.4. Geometric constraints on coral growth and growth form.

#### 

3.2.1. Computer equipment used to build growth form models.

3.2.2. Design and operation of the computer models.

3.2.3. Measurements taken from computer models.

3.2.4. Statistical procedures.

3.3. RESULTS
<ul><li>3.3.1. General description of the growth form models produced.</li><li>3.3.2. Growth form models with the same width to length ratio (w/l).</li><li>3.3.3. Relationship between the width to length ratio and the growth form displayed by a range of computer models.</li></ul>
3.4. DISCUSSION
3.4.1. Similarities between architectural features displayed
by computer models and those displayed by massive
Porites colonies.
3.4.2. Similarities between the growth process displayed by
the computer models and that displayed in skeletal
slices from colonies of massive Porites.
3.4.3. The importance of the ratio of tissue growth:skeletal growth.
3.4.4. Tissue growth:skeletal growth ratio and colonial growth form.
3.4.5. Bumpiness and the coral's environment.
3.4.6. Tissue growth and change in the area of the colonial growth surface.
3.5. CONCLUSIONS

#### CHAPTER 4: AN ANALYSIS OF THE AMOUNT OF PROTEIN AND CHLOROPHYLL PER UNIT SKELETAL SURFACE AREA IN *PORITES*

4.1.1. The tissue biomass to skeletal surface area relationship.

4.1.2. Polyp density on the growth surface of a Porites colony.

4.1.3. The relationship of tissue protein and chlorophyll content.

#### 4.2. MATERIALS AND METHODS......77

4.2.1. Tissue samples collected.

4.2.2. Photographing and counting polyps on cores.

4.2.3. Extraction and quantification of chlorophyll pigments.

4.2.4. Protein extraction and determination.

4.2.5. Statistical procedures.

4.3. RESULTS
4.3.1. Protein analyses.
4.3.2. Polyp density analyses.
4.3.3. Chlorophyll analyses.
4.4. DISCUSSION
4.4.1. Estimating tissue biomass from skeletal surface area
within and between massive Porites colonies.
4.4.2. Factors affecting tissue protein per skeletal surface area.
4.4.3. Factors affecting polyp density.
4.4.4. Estimating tissue biomass from chlorophyll concentration.
4.4.5. Factors affecting chlorophyll concentration.
4.5. CONCLUSIONS101

#### *CHAPTER 5*: LINKS BETWEEN GROWTH AND GROWTH FORM OF *PORITES* AND THE ENVIRONMENT

5.1. INTRODUCTION
5.1.1. The characteristic bumpy growth surface displayed
by a massive <i>Porites</i> colony.
5.1.2. Tissue growth, skeletal growth and growth form in <i>Porites</i> .
5.1.3. Links between the environment and <i>Porites</i> growth.
5.2. MATERIALS AND METHODS106
5.2.1. Estimation of surface area displayed by a bumpy
Porites colony from X-radiographs.
5.2.2. Characteristics of growth and growth form measured
from X-radiographs of massive <i>Porites</i> .
5.2.3. Measurements made on colonies rather than X-radiographs.
5.2.4. Statistical procedures.
5.3. RESULTS
5.3.1. The effectiveness of bumpiness in accommodating tissue growth.
5.2.2 Moon growth and growth form variables

•

5.3.2. Mean growth and growth form variables.

<ul><li>5.3.3. Correlations amongst growth and growth form variables.</li><li>5.3.4. One-way analysis of variance tests on growth and growth form variables.</li></ul>
5.4. DISCUSSION124
<ul> <li>5.4.1. The extent to which development of a bumpy growth surface assists with the accommodation of tissue growth.</li> <li>5.4.2. Skeletal extension limitations imposed on tissue growth.</li> <li>5.4.3. Controls and constraints on colonial growth in <i>Porites</i> indicated by computer simulations and actual colonies.</li> <li>5.4.4. Environmental factors effecting <i>Porites</i> growth and growth form.</li> <li>5.4.5. Information to be gained from measurements of bumpiness in <i>Porites</i>.</li> </ul>
5.5. CONCLUSIONS

#### **CHAPTER 6: CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH**

6.1 CONCLUSIONS	
6.2. FUTURE RESEARCH	

APPENDIX	137
----------	-----

7

# **PLATES**

Plate		Page
1.1.	Specimen of <i>P. lobata</i> displaying calices on the upper growth surface	2
1.2.	Massive Porites colony at Myrmidon Reef central, G.B.R.	14
1.3.	Specimen of <i>P. lobata</i> displaying the thickness of the tissue layer within the skeleton	14
2.1.	X-radiograph positive of a 7 mm thick skeletal slice cut from the vertical growth axis of a <i>P. solida</i> colony collected from Rib Reef, central G.B.R	20
2.2.	Enlarged section of Plate 1.1. displaying formation of calices on or towards the summit of bumps and compression of calices at or towards the bottom of valleys formed between bumps on a colony of <i>P.lobata</i>	33
2.3.	Enlarged section of Plate 2.1. displaying formation and termination of apparent corallites on an X-radiograph positive of <i>P. solida</i>	40
5.1.	X-radiograph positive of a 7 mm thick skeletal slice cut from the vertical growth axis of a bumpy <i>P. lobata</i> colony collected from Rib Reef, central G.B.R	107
5.2.	X-radiograph positive of a 6 mm thick skeletal slice cut from the vertical growth axis of a smooth <i>P. lobata</i> colony collected from Rib Reef, central G.B.R.	108
5.3.	Enlarged section of Plate 2.1. displaying growth and growth form characteristics measured on X-radiographs of massive <i>Porites</i> colonies	112

# **FIGURES**

### Figure

1.1.	Diagrammatic representation of a longitudinal section through a bump on the growth surface of a massive <i>Porites</i> colony
1.2.	Hemispherical, coral growth form model displaying a constant rate of tissue growth
1.3.	Hemispherical, coral growth from model displaying a constant rate of skeletal extension
1.4.	Massive coral growth forms displaying different relative rates of skeletal extension7
1.5.	Hemispherical and plate-like growth forms displaying the same amount of tissue but considerably different amounts of skeleton
1.6.	Map of the North Queensland coast and Great Barrier Reef displaying the reefs selected for collecting <i>Porites</i> colonies (Chapters 2 & 5) and tissue samples (Chapter 4)16
2.1.	Diagrammatic representation of the bumpy growth surface of a massive <i>Porites</i> colony displaying formation and loss of calices from the growth surface as skeletal extension occurs
3.1.	Three modular units
3.2.	Two modular units displaying co-ordinates calculated to construct trapeziums
<b>3.3</b> .	Inclination of a line55

3.4.	Rules governing the selection procedure of the appropriate New Point co-ordinate (x4,y4)	56
3.5.	Orientation of a line	57
3.6.	Rules governing the selection procedure of the appropriate <i>End Point</i> co-ordinate (x5,y5) in both the right hand (R) and left hand (L) modules	58
3.7.	Growth sector marked out on a computer model from which measurements were taken	62
3.8.	Computer generated growth form model with a model width to length $(w/l)$ ratio of 0.4	65
3.9.	Computer generated models designed to simulate growth forms of 3 massive <i>Porites</i> colonies with width to length $(w/l)$ ratios of 0.25, 0.5 and 0.75	66
4.1.	Protein concentration (mg 100 mm <sup>-2</sup> ); mean $\pm$ S.E. for 18 massive <i>Porites</i> colonies representing 2 species collected from 2 reefs.	89
4.2.	Protein concentration (mg 100 mm <sup>-2</sup> ); least-square mean $\pm$ S.E., for the 3 distance categories in 18 massive <i>Porites</i> colonies	90
4.3.	Protein concentration (mg 100 mm <sup>-2</sup> ); least-square mean $\pm$ S.E., for 3 reef and species groups	92
4.4.	Polyp density (number of polyps per 100 mm <sup>2</sup> ); least-square mean $\pm$ S.E., for 3 distance categories in 16 massive <i>Porites</i> colonies	93
4.5.	Polyp density (number of polyps per 100 mm <sup>2</sup> ); mean $\pm$ S.E. for 16 massive <i>Porites</i> colonies	94
4.6.	Chlorophyll-a concentration ( $\mu$ g 100 mm <sup>-2</sup> ); mean ± S.E. of 18 massive <i>Porites</i> colonies representing 2 species collected from 2 reefs.	95
<b>4.7.</b>	Chlorophyll-a concentration ( $\mu$ g 100 mm <sup>-2</sup> ); least-square mean values $\pm$ S.E., for 3 distance categories in 18 massive <i>Porites</i> colonies	96

5.1.	Estimation of the theoretical radius for a colony from the angle of the sector and the length of colony surface falling within that sector
5.2.	Annual increase in surface area of a bumpy and smooth colony from Rib Reef compared with the theoretical annual increase in surface area of 2 hemispheres with the same annual linear extension rates as the 2 colonies
5.3.	Summary diagram showing the links between the environment and <i>Porites</i> growth and growth form

,

.

#### DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis is my own work and has not been submitted in any form for another degree or diploma at any university or other institution of tertiary education. Information derived from the published or unpublished work of others has been acknowledged in the text and a list of references is given.

W M Darke 25 March 1991

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Many people have given their time, understanding and expertise to enable me to convert an assortment of ideas, into the scientific research presented in this thesis. I hope that this work does justice to all of the people who have contributed to my project.

Thanks and appreciation to Dr. David Barnes and Dr. John Collins who have provided never-ending support, enthusiasm and encouragement. I consider myself to have been very fortunate in having such a complementary set of supervisors. Their guidance, wealth of knowledge and kindness has played a major role in the culmination of this work. A special thank you to David Barnes for his patience and dedication to the improvement of my writing skills, and to John Collins for his understanding of the difficulties associated with embarking on new research.

I was also very fortunate to have Barry Tobin tutor me in computers and computer programming when I first arrived at the Australian Institute of Marine Science (AIMS). I am especially grateful to Barry for relaying his expertise of computing and for his assistance and patience with computer problems encountered during this study. Thanks to Dr. Janice Lough for her helpful comments with many aspects of this research, but particularly for her advice and expertise on statistical matters associated with this work.

I have been extremely impressed with the outstanding level of technical support that Monty Devereux has given me with many of the experimental procedures performed in this study; particularly with the painstaking task of processing over 500 protein and chlorophyll samples. I am also especially grateful to Monty for the kindness and moral support he has shown me during the past three years. Jane Wu Won has also provided excellent assistance with experimental aspects of this work for which I am very grateful. I would also like to thank, the staff of the computer section at AIMS, Nick Harcock, Malcolm McKenzie, Bob McDonald and Coral Graham, who have all provided help with computer problems. Monty and Jane, along with all of the other AIMS staff who have helped me with aspects of this research have never failed to impress me with their willing attitude to help and their dedication to their work.

There have been many people who have stepped in at various stages of this research and provided much needed and valuable contributions to the work; Dr. Bruce Chalker provided the necessary equations required for the chlorophyll determination, and very helpful comments on several parts of the research. Dr. Michel Pichon provided assistance with the difficult task of *Porites* species identification. In addition to Dr. Janice Lough, Dr. Glen De'arth, Dr. Ross Alford and Debbie Rae provided help and advise with statistical procedures used. Hua Wang helped me with the mathematical equations used for the computer models. Dr. John Chisholm and Dr. Jean-Pierre Gatusso have both provided very useful comments and criticisms relating to several aspects of this work. I am especially grateful to all of these people for the contributions they have made to this thesis.

I was very impressed with the excellent quality of photographic plates and figures presented in this thesis. Thanks to Karen Handley for producing the photographic plates and to Marietta Eden for helpful suggestions for improving and for drawing the figures. I would also like to thank Dr. Peter Moran for the frontispiece photograph and Dr. Peter Isdale for initiating the idea. Thanks also to Steve Clarke for arranging the text on the frontispiece.

I wish to thank the crews of the AIMS research vessels, R.V. Lady Basten, R.V. Sirius, R.V. Pegasus and especially the crew of the R.V. Harry Messel who have provided such a high standard of logistical support. I would also like to thank John Hardman of the AIMS marine operations unit for his help with supplying of equipment used for field work.

I wish to thank the Science and Engineering Research Council, U.K. for providing a 3 year PhD scholarship which has enabled me to undertake this research.

I am very grateful to Dr. Joe Baker, the Director of AIMS, for giving me the opportunity to carry out research at AIMS where I have been able to make full use of the excellent research facilities and expertise of the AIMS staff. I would also like thank Prof. Howard Choat, of the Marine Biology Department James Cook University, and the Science and Engineering Research Council for contributing to the cost of field trips and conferences I have been fortunate enough to attend.

Finally, there are many people who I would very much like to thank, who have cared about me, and have provided very important personal encouragement. These people have helped me to keep everything in perspective, so that I have enjoyed life and enjoyed doing my research. Very special thanks to my parents, family and friends back in England who have maintained close supportive links via correspondence. I would also especially like to thank Patricia Crowle, Pornsook Chongprasith and Kay Johnston for their friendship, kindness and invaluable personal support and encouragement, particularly when it was most needed. I wish to thank Chris Platt for his encouragement throughout this research and to thank Steve O'Reilly and Danielle Johnston for providing personal support and encouragement during the final stages of the thesis write-up.