

PRESENTATION

- point • point
- point • point

communication

assessment
exams

information

specific
general

section

- notes - notes - notes - notes
- notes - notes - notes - notes
- notes - notes - notes - notes

topic

- 1 content
- 2 content

ideas

*sources

knowledge

chapter
activity
essay
report
case

understanding

university
course

skills

listening
reading
writing

example: xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

{focus}

literature

essay

process → process → process → process → process → process

research
audience

!

problem
argument

- a list
- b list
- c list
- d list

questions?
questions?
questions?
questions?
questions?
questions?
questions?
questions?

questions?
questions?
questions?
questions?
questions?
questions?
questions?
questions?

GROUP

member 1: role
member 2: role
member 3: role

help

find

SECOND EDITION

ESSENTIAL ACADEMIC SKILLS

Kathy Turner
Lynette Ireland

Brenda Krenus
Leigh Pointon

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Ainley, M. (2004, November). What do we know about student motivation and engagement? Paper presented at the Australian Association for Research in Education International Education Research Conference, Melbourne. Retrieved from www.aare.edu.au/04pap/ain04760.pdf
- The American Psychological Association. (2001). *Publication manual of the American Psychological Association* (6th ed.). Washington, DC: Author.
- Andrusyszyn, M., & Davie, L. (1997). Facilitating reflection through interactive journal writing in an online graduate course: A qualitative study. *Journal of Distance Education/Revue de l'enseignement à distance* 12.1/2. Retrieved from www.ebscohost.com
- Anholt, R. (2005). *Dazzle 'em with style: The art of oral scientific presentation* (2nd ed.). Oxford, England: Elsevier Academic Press.
- APA Style Blog (2010, April 1). There's an art to it. Retrieved from <http://blog.apastyle.org/apastyle/2010/04/theres-an-art-to-it.html>
- Applegate, C., & Daly, A. (2005). The impact of paid work on the academic performance of students: A case study from the University of Canberra. *Centre for Labour Market Research (CLMR) Discussion Paper Series* (05/1). Retrieved from Curtin University of Technology, Curtin Business School, Centre for Labour Market Research website: www.business.curtin.edu.au/files/05_1.pdf
- Armbruster, B. (2000). Taking notes from lectures. In R. F. & D. C. Caverly (Eds.), *Handbook of college reading and study strategy research* (pp. 175–199). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Auer, N. J., & Krupar, E. M. (2001). Mouse click plagiarism: The role of technology in plagiarism and the librarian's role in combating it. *Library Trends*, 49, 415–433. Retrieved from www.press.jhu.edu/journals/library_trends
- Australian Government. Department of Finance and Administration. (n.d.). *Annual report 2002–2003*. Retrieved from www.finance.gov.au/publications/annual-reports/annualreport02-03/index.html
- Australian Government. Department of Industry, Tourism, and Resources. (2005). *Annual report 2004–2005*. Retrieved from www.industry.gov.au/annualreport/04_05/index.html
- Baik, C., & Greig, J. (2009). Improving the academic outcomes of undergraduate ESL students: The case for discipline-based academic skills programs. *Higher Education Research & Development*, 28(4), 401–416. doi: 10.1080/07294360903067005

- Barrass, R. (2002). *Study! A guide to effective learning, revision and examination technique* (2nd ed.). London, England: Routledge.
- Barrett, R., & Malcolm, J. (2005). *Embedding plagiarism education in the assessment process*. Paper presented at the 2nd Asia-Pacific Educational Integrity Conference, Educational Integrity: Values in Teaching, Learning & Research, University of Newcastle, Australia. Retrieved from www.ojs.unisa.edu.au/index.php/IJEI
- Beall, H. & Trimbur, J. (2000). *A short guide to writing about chemistry* (2nd ed.). Toronto, Canada: Longman.
- Bell, J. (2003). Statistics anxiety: The non-traditional student. *Education*, 124, 157-162. Retrieved from www.proquest.com
- Benzie, H. J. (2010). Graduating as a 'native speaker': International students and English language proficiency in higher education. *Higher Education Research & Development*, 29(4), 447-459. doi: 10.1080/07294361003598824
- Biber, D. & Gray, B. (2010). Challenging stereotypes about academic writing: Complexity, elaboration, explicitness. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes* 9(1), 2-20. doi: 10.1016/j.jeap.2010.01.001
- Biggs, J. (2003). *Teaching for quality learning at university* (2nd ed.). Buckingham, UK: The Society for Research into Higher Education & Open University Press.
- Biggs, J. (Ed.). (1991). *Teaching for learning: The view from cognitive psychology*. Hawthorn: The Australian Council for Educational Research.
- Birrell, B. (2006). Implications of low English standards among overseas students at Australian universities. *People and Place*, 14(4), 53-64. Retrieved from <http://elecpress.monash.edu.au/pnp>
- Boekaerts, M. (2001). Context sensitivity: Activated motivational beliefs, current concerns and emotional arousal. In S. Volet & S. Järvelä (Eds.), *Motivation in learning contexts: Theoretical advances and methodological implications* (pp. 17-31). Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Pergamon.
- Borchers, T. (1999). *Small group communication*. Retrieved from Allyn & Bacon, Communication Studies website: www.abacon.com/commstudies/groups/roles.html
- Bowden, J., & Marton, F. (2004). *The university of learning*. London, UK: RoutledgeFalmer.
- Brookfield, S. (1998). Critically reflective practice. *Journal of Continuing Education in the Health Professions*, 18, 197-205. doi: 10.1002/chp.1340180402
- Buck, G. (2001). *Assessing listening*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511732959
- Burns, T., & Sinfeld, S. (2003). *Essential study skills: The complete guide to success at university*. London, UK: Sage.
- Buzzan, T. (2005). *The ultimate book of mind maps*. London, UK: Thorsons.
- Byrd, K. L., & MacDonald, G. (2005). Defining college readiness from the inside out: First-generation college student perspectives. *Community College Review*, 33, 22-39. doi: 10.1177/009155210503300102
- Chu, C.-N. (1992). *Thick face black heart: The warrior philosophy for conquering the challenges of business and life*. Beaverton, OR: AMC Publishing.
- Chanock, K. (2007). What academic language and learning advisers bring to the scholarship of teaching and learning: problems and possibilities for dialogue with the disciplines. *Higher Education Research & Development*, 26, 269-280. doi: 10.1080/07294360701494294
- Clanchy, J., & Ballard, B. (1997). *Essay writing for students: A practical guide* (3rd ed.). Melbourne, Australia: Addison Wesley Longman.

- Coakley, C., & Wolvin, A. (1996). Listening in the educational environment. In M. Purdy & D. Borisoff. (Eds.), *Listening in everyday life: A personal and professional approach* (pp. 179–212). New York, NY: University Press of America.
- Comfort, J. (1995). *Effective presentations*. Oxford, England: Oxford University Press.
- Cooper, G. (2003). *The intelligent student's guide to learning at university*. Altona, Australia: Common Ground Publishing.
- Cottrell, S. (2003). *The study skills handbook* (2nd ed.). Basingstoke, UK: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Coxhead, A. (2000). A new Academic Word List. *TESOL Quarterly*, 34(2), 213–238. doi: 10.2307/3587951
- Crewe, W. J. (1990). The illogic of logical connectives. *ELT Journal*, 44(4), 316–325. doi: 10.1093/elt/44.4.316
- Crisp, D. T. (2004). Plagiarism and the reputation of the university: How to distribute effort between educating students on attribution and rigorous detection of cheating? *AUQA Occasional Publication*. Retrieved from www.auqa.edu.au/auqf/pastfora/2004/program/papers/Crisp.pdf
- Davies, M. (2004). BYU-BNC: The British National Corpus. Retrieved from: <http://corpus.byu.edu/bnc>
- Davis, B. G. (1993). *Collaborative learning: Group work and study teams*. Retrieved from University of California, Berkeley, Office of Educational Development website: <http://teaching.berkeley.edu/bgd/collaborative.html>
- Davis, L., & McKay, S. (1996). *Structures and strategies. An introduction to academic writing*. Melbourne, Australia: Macmillan Education.
- Denscombe, M. (2003). *The good research guide: For small scale social research projects* (2nd ed.). Maidenhead, England: Open University Press.
- DeVito, J. A. (2004). *The interpersonal communication book* (10th ed.). Boston, MA: Pearson.
- Diaz-Gilbert, M. (2005). Writing skills of advanced pharmacy practice experience students whose first or best language is not English. *American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education*, 69, Article 101. Retrieved from www.ajpe.org/aj6905/aj6905101/aj6905101.pdf
- DiBattista, D., & Gosse, L. (2006). Test anxiety and the immediate feedback assessment technique. *The Journal of Experimental Education*, 74, 311–328. Retrieved from www.tandf.co.uk
- Drucker, P. F. (1977). *Management*. London, England: Pan Books.
- Dundes, L. (2001). Small group debates: Fostering critical thinking in oral presentations with maximum class involvement. *Teaching Sociology*, 29, 237–243. doi: 10.2307/1318721
- Easton, G. (1992). *Learning from case studies* (2nd ed.). New York, NY: Prentice Hall.
- EndNote. Bibliographies made easy. (n.d.). Retrieved from Thomson Reuters website: www.endnote.com
- Exam preparation: Frequently asked questions. (n.d.). Retrieved from Edith Cowan University, Learning Advisors website: www.ecu.edu.au/student/student-learning/exam_preparation_faq.html
- Feinberg, J. (2009). Wordle. Available at www.wordle.net
- Find Websites. (n.d.). Retrieved from Berkeley University, Library website: www.lib.berkeley.edu/Help/search.html
- Flowerdew, J. (1994). *Academic listening: Research perspectives*. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.

- Flowerdew, J. & Li, Y. L. (2007). Language re-use among Chinese apprentice scientists writing for publication. *Applied Linguistics*, 28(3), 440–465. doi: 10.1093/applin/amm031
- Francis, G. (1994). Labelling discourse: An aspect of nominal-group lexical cohesion. In M. Coulthard (Ed.), *Advances in written text analysis*. (pp. 83–101). London, England: Routledge.
- Gilquin, G. & Paquot, M. (2008). Too chatty: Learner academic writing and register variation. *English Text Construction* 1(1), 41–61. doi: 10.1075/pp. 41–60
- Glesne, C., & Peshkin, A. (1992). *Becoming qualitative researchers*. London, England: Longman.
- Goodale, M. (1998). *Professional presentations*. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- Greetham, B. (2001). *How to write better essays*. Basingstoke, UK: Palgrave.
- Griffith University. (2008). *Institutional framework for promoting academic integrity among students*. Retrieved from Griffith University Policy Library website: www62.gu.edu.au/policylibrary.nsf/binders/03ee5c37f0926a0e4a25736f0063eaea?opendocument
- Hall, D., & Birkerts, S. (1998). *Writing well* (9th ed.). New York, NY: Longman.
- Hamilton, D. (1999). *Passing exams: A guide for maximum success and minimum stress*. London, England: Cassell.
- Hancioglu, N., Neufeld, S., & Eldridge, J. (2008). Through the looking glass and into the land of lexico-grammar. *English for Specific Purposes*, 27, 459–479. doi: 10.1016/j.esp.2008.08.001
- Handa, N. (2007). Proving, improving and (dis)approving internationalisation of higher education. In P. L. Jeffreys (Ed. & Compiler), *International Education Research Conference*. Conference conducted by the Australian Association for Research in Education, Fremantle, Australia. Retrieved from www.aare.edu.au/07pap/han07418.pdf
- Handa, N., & Power, C. (2005). Land and discover! A case study investigating the cultural context of plagiarism. *Journal of University Teaching and Learning Practice*, 3, 64–84. Retrieved from http://jutlp.uow.edu.au/2005_v02_i03b/pdf/handa_006.pdf
- Hay, I., Bochner, D., & Dungey, C. (2002). *Making the grade: A guide to successful communication and study* (2nd ed.). Melbourne: Oxford University Press.
- Haywood, S. (n.d.). *Academic Word List Highlighter*. Available from www.nottingham.ac.uk/~alzsh3/acvocab/awhlhighlighter.htm
- Heaton-Shrestha, C., May, S., & Burke, L. (2009). Student retention in higher education: What role for virtual learning environments? *Journal of Further & Higher Education*, 33(1), 83–92. doi: 10.1080/03098770802645189
- Howard, R. M. (1995). Plagiarisms, authorships, and the academic death penalty. *College English*, 57, 788–807. doi: 10.2307/378403
- Huang, J. (2004). Voices from Chinese students: Professors' use of English affects academic listening. *College Student Journal*, 38, 212–223.
- Hubbs, D. L., & Brand, C. F. (2005). The paper mirror: Understanding reflective journaling. *The Journal of Experiential Education*, 28, 60–71. Retrieved from www.aee.org
- Hughes, B. M. (2005). Study, examinations, and stress: Blood pressure assessments in college students. *Educational Review*, 57, 21–36. doi:10.1080/0013191042000274169
- Hui, L. (2005). Chinese cultural schema of education: Implications for communication between Chinese students and Australian educators. *Issues in Educational Research*, 15, 17–36. Retrieved from www.iier.org.au

- Hunt, S. (2003). Encouraging student involvement: An approach to teaching communication. *Communication Studies*, 54, 133-136. Retrieved from www.tandf.co.uk
- Jackson, P. (2009, September 25). What to use—the full document URL or home page URL? [Blog post]. Retrieved from the APA Style website: <http://blog.apastyle.org/apastyle/2009/09/what-to-use-the-full-document-url-or-home-page-url.html>
- Jackson, P. A. (2005). Incoming international students and the library: A survey. *Reference Services Review*, 33, 197-209. doi: 10.1108/00907320510597408
- Johnson, D., Johnson, R., & Holubec, E. (1998). *Cooperation in the classroom*. Edina, MN: Interaction Book Company.
- Johnson, M. (1999). *Archaeological theory: An introduction*. Oxford, UK: Blackwell.
- Jones, B., & Frydenberg, E. (2000). Coping with transition: A case for providing resources to first year university students. *Australian Journal of Guidance and Counselling*, 10, 81-93. Retrieved from www.australianacademicpress.com.au
- Jung, E. H. (2003). The role of discourse signalling cues in second language listening comprehension. *The Modern Language Journal*, 87, 562-577. doi: 10.1111/1540-4781.00208
- Kahn, N. (1998). *More learning in less time* (5th ed.). Gwynedd Valley, PA: Ways-to-Books.
- Karau, S. J., & Williams, K. D. (1993). Social loafing: A meta-analytic review and theoretical integration. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 65, 681-706. doi: 10.1037/0022-3514.65.4.681
- Kayfetz, J., & Stice, R. (1987). *Academically speaking*. Boston, MA: Heinle & Heinle.
- Kearns, H., & Gardiner, M. (2007). Is time well spent? The relationship between time management behaviours, perceived effectiveness and work-related morale and distress in a university context. *Higher Education Research & Development*, 26, 235-247. doi:10.1080/07294360701310839
- Krause, K.-L., & Coates, H. (2008). Students' engagement in first-year university. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 33, 493-505. doi: 10.1080/02602930701698892
- Larcombe, W., & Malkin, I. (2008). Identifying students likely to benefit from language support in first-year Law. *Higher Education Research & Development*, 27(4), 319-329. doi: 10.1080/07294360802406791
- Lashley, C., & Best, W. (2001). *12 steps to study success*. London, England: Continuum.
- Lea, M., & Street, B. (1998). Student writing in higher education: An academic literacies approach. *Studies in Higher Education*, 23(2), 157-173. doi: 10.1080/03075079812331380364
- The Learning Centre (n.d.). Writing a case study report in engineering. Retrieved from University of New South Wales, The Learning Centre website: www.lc.unsw.edu.au/case_study/index.htm
- Learning Support. (n.d.). *Sample report*. Retrieved from Monash University, Language Learning Online website: www.monash.edu.au/lls/llonline/writing/general/report/1.xml
- Lebauer, S. (1999). *Learn to listen, listen to learn: Academic listening and note-taking*. New York, NY: Pearson ESL.
- Lillis, T., & Turner, J. (2001). Student writing in higher education: Contemporary confusion, traditional concerns. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 6(1), 57-68. doi: 10.1080/13562510020029608

- Lynch, T. (1983). *Study listening*. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- Lynch, T., & Anderson, K. (1992). *Study speaking*. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- McCarthy, P., & Hatcher, C. (2002). *Speaking persuasively: The essential guide to dynamic presentations and speeches* (2nd ed.). Crows Nest, NSW, Australia: Allen & Unwin.
- McIlroy, D. (2003). *Studying @ university: How to be a successful student*. London, England: Sage.
- McKenzie, K., & Schweitzer, R. (2001). Who succeeds at university? Factors predicting academic performance in first year Australian university students. *Higher Education Research & Development*, 20, 21–33. doi:10.1080/07924360120043621
- Making exam anxiety work for you (n.d.). Retrieved from University of South Australia website: www.unisanet.unisa.edu.au/control_exam_anxiety
- Marriott, P., Edwards, J. R., & Mellett, H. (2004). *Introduction to accounting* (3rd ed.). London, England: Sage.
- Martin, M. (1997). Emotional and cognitive effects of examination proximity in female and male students. *Oxford Review of Education*, 23, 479–487. doi:10.1080/0305498970230404
- Marton, F., & Saljo, R. (1984). Approaches to learning. In F. Marton, D. Hounsell, & N. Entwistle (Eds.), *The experience of learning* (pp. 39–58). Edinburgh, Scotland: Scottish Academic Press.
- Marton, F., Dall'Alba, G., & Beaty, E. (1993). Conceptions of learning. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 19, 277–300. Retrieved from www.elsevier.com
- Mathony, M., & Poulis, A. (2004, November–December). Strengthening the nexus between teaching and learning through increased attention to feedback to students: A research-led teaching approach. In P. L. Jeffery (Compiler), *Australian Association for Research in Education Conference Papers*, Melbourne, Australia. Retrieved from www.aare.edu.au
- Maxwell, J.A. (1996). *Qualitative research design*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Meece, J., Anderman, E., & Anderman, E. (2006). Structures and goals of educational settings. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 57, 487–502. doi: 10.1146/annurev.psych.56.091103.070258
- Misra, R., & McKean, M. (2000). College students' academic stress and its relation to their anxiety, time management, and leisure satisfaction. *American Journal of Health Studies*, 16, 41–52. Retrieved from www.va-ajhs.com
- The National Committee of Enquiry into Higher Education. (1997). *Report of the national committee*. Retrieved from www.leeds.ac.uk/educol/ncihe
- Neuman, W. L. (2006). *Social research methods* (6th ed.) Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon.
- Nield, K. (2004). Questioning the myth of the Chinese learner. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 16, 189–196. doi:10.1108/09596110410531186
- Nisbett, R. (2003). *The geography of thought: How Asians and Westerners think differently—and why*. London, England: Nicholas Brealey.
- Nonis, S. A., & Hudson, G. I. (2006). Academic performance of college students: Influence of time spent studying and working. *Journal of Education for Business*, 81, 151–159. doi:10.3200/JOEB.81.3.151-159
- Novak, J. (2002). Meaningful learning: The essential factor for conceptual change in limited or appropriate propositional hierarchies (liphs) leading to empowerment of learners. *Science Education*, 86, 548–571. doi:10.1002/sce.10032

- Novak, J. D., & Cañas, A. J. (2006). *The theory underlying concept maps and how to construct them* [Technical Report IHMC CmapTools 2006-01]. Retrieved from Florida Institute for Human and Machine Cognition website: <http://cmap.ihmc.us/publications/researchpapers/theorycmaps/theoryunderlyingconceptmaps.htm>
- Ostler, S. (1987). English in parallels: A comparison of English and Arabic prose. In U. Connor & R. Kaplan (Eds.), *Writing across languages: Analyses of L2 texts*. (pp. 169-184). Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Owl Online Writing Lab. (n.d.). Getting started with your report. Retrieved from Purdue University, The Owl at Purdue website: <http://owl.english.purdue.edu/workshops/hypertext/reportW/index.html>
- Page, S., Farrington, S., & DiGregorio, K. (1999, July). Promoting academic success through valuing and supporting diversity amongst Indigenous students in a block mode health science program. Paper presented at HERDSA Annual International Conference, Melbourne, Australia. Retrieved from the HERDSA website: www.herdsa.org.au/wp-content/uploads/conference/1999/pdf/Page.PDF
- Payne, B. K., & Monk-Turner, E. (2006). Students' perceptions of group projects. The role of race, age and slacking. *College Student Journal*, 40(1), 132-139. Retrieved from web.ebscohost.com
- Problem solving exams. (n.d.). Retrieved from The University of Melbourne, Language and Learning Skills Unit website: www.courseworks.unimelb.edu.au/researchandwriting/problemsolvingexams.php
- Problem solving techniques (n.d.). Retrieved from www.mindtools.com/pages/main/newMN_TMC.htm
- Purdy, M. (1996a). Intrapersonal and interpersonal listening: Self listening and conscious action. In M. Purdy & D. Borisoff (Eds.), *Listening in everyday life: A personal and professional approach* (pp. 21-54). New York, NY: University Press of America.
- Purdy, M. (1996b). What is listening. In M. Purdy & D. Borisoff (Eds.), *Listening in everyday life: A personal and professional approach* (pp. 1-20). New York, NY: University Press of America.
- Ramsay, S., Barker, M., & Jones, E. (1999). Academic adjustment and learning processes: A comparison of international and local students in first-year university. *Higher Education Research & Development*, 18(1), 129-144. doi: 10.1080/0729436990180110
- Randall, K., Hoppes, S., & Bender, D. (2008). Developing an honor statement for university students in graduate professional programs. *Journal of Allied Health*, 37, 121-124. Retrieved from <http://findarticles.com>
- Redman, P. (2001). *Good essay writing: A social sciences guide* (2nd ed.). Milton Keynes, UK: Open University with Sage.
- Reinhart, S. (2002). *Giving academic presentations*. Ann Arbor MI: University of Michigan Press.
- Rendle-Short, J. (2005). Managing the transitions between talk and silence in the academic monologue. *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 38, 179-218. doi: 10.1207/s15327973rlsi3802_3
- Rignall, M., & Furneaux, C. (1997). *English for academic study series: Speaking*. London, England: Prentice Hall.
- Roig, M. (1997). Can undergraduate students determine whether text has been plagiarized? *The Psychological Record*, 47, 113-123. Retrieved from <http://thepsychologicalrecord.siuc.edu>

- Rose, D., Lui-Chivizhe, L., McKnight, A., & Smith, A. (2003). Scaffolding academic reading and writing at the Koori Centre. *Australian Journal of Indigenous Education*, 32, 41-49. Retrieved from www.uq.edu.au/atsis/ajie/docs/2003324149.pdf
- Rost, M. (2002). Listening. In R. Carter & D. Nunan (Eds.), *The Cambridge guide to teaching English to speakers of other languages* (pp. 7-13). Retrieved from <http://assets.cambridge.org>
- Rothwell, J.D. (2004). *In the company of others: Communicating in small groups*. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill.
- Scanlon, P. M., & Neumann, D. R. (2002). Internet plagiarism among college students. *Journal of College Student Development*, 43, 374-386. Retrieved from www.jcsdonline.org
- Scollon, R. (1995). Plagiarism and ideology: Identity in intercultural discourse. *Language in Society*, 24, 1-28. doi: 10.1017/S0047404500018388
- Siler, T. (1996). *Think like a genius*. New York, NY: Bantam Books.
- Silva, T., & Leki, I. (2004). Family matters: The influence of applied linguistics and composition studies on second language writing studies: Past, present, and future. *The Modern Language Journal*, 88(1), 1-13. doi: 10.1111/j.0026-7902.2004.00215.x
- Silvey, D. (2005). *The reader's voice: Developing your understanding and enjoyment of college reading*. New York, NY: Pearson/Longman.
- Simpson, O. (2008). Motivating learners in open and distance learning: Do we need a new theory of learner support? *Open Learning*, 23(3), 159-170. doi: 10.1080/02680510802419979
- Şirin, A., & Güzel, A. (2006). The relationship between learning styles and problem solving skills among college students. *Educational Sciences: Theory & Practice*, 6(1), 255-264. Retrieved from <http://web.ebscohost.com>
- Smith, D., Campbell, J., & Brooker, R. (1999). The impact of students' approaches to essay writing on the quality of their essays. *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, 24(3), 327-338. doi: 10.1080/0260293990240306
- Soto, J. G., Anand, S., & McGee, E. (2004). Plagiarism avoidance: An empirical study examining teaching strategies. *Journal of College Science Teaching*, 33, 42-48. Retrieved from www.nsta.org/college
- Style manual: for authors, editors and printers* (6th ed.) [Revised by Snooks & Co.]. (2002). Milton, Queensland, Australia: John Wiley & Sons.
- SWOT Analysis: Discover new opportunities: Manage and eliminate threats (n.d.). Retrieved from www.mindtools.com/pages/article/newTMC_05.htm
- Taillefer, G. (2005). Reading for academic purposes: The literacy practices of British, French and Spanish Law and Economics students as background for study abroad. *Journal of Research in Reading*, 28(4), 435-451. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9817.2005.00283.x
- Tang, E., & Ng, C. (1995). A study on the use of connectives in ESL students' writing. *Perspectives*, 7(2), 105-122. Retrieved from www.google.com.au
- Thomlison, T. Dean. (1996). Intercultural listening. In M. Purdy & D. Borisoff (Eds.), *Listening in everyday life* (pp. 79-120). New York, NY: University Press of America.
- Thompson, C. (2003). Information illiterate or lazy: How college students use the web for research. *Portal: Libraries and the Academy*, 3, 259-268. doi: 10.1353/pla.2003.0047
- Todd, T., & Eveline, J. (2004). *Report on the review of the gender pay gap in Western Australia*. Retrieved from www.commerce.wa.gov.au/labourrelations/PDF/Publications/Gender_Pay_Final_Rep.pdf
- Toohey, S. (1999). *Designing courses for Higher Education*. Buckingham, UK: The Society for Research into Higher Education and Open University Press.

- Trueman, M., & Hartley, J. (1996). A comparison between the time-management skills and academic performance of mature and traditional-entry university students. *Higher Education*, 32, 199-215. doi: 10.1007/BF00138396
- Tuckman, B. W. (1965). Developmental sequence in small groups. *Psychological Bulletin*, 63, 384-399. doi: 10.1037/h0022100
- Turner, H. & Williams, R. L. (2007). Vocabulary development and performance on multiple-choice exams in large entry-level courses. *Journal of College Reading and Learning*, 37(2), 64-81. Retrieved from www.eric.ed.gov
- Verderber, R. F., & Verderber, K. S. (2003). *The challenge of effective speaking*. Melbourne, Australia: Thomson.
- Volet, S., & Renshaw, P. (1996). Chinese students at an Australian university: Adaptability and continuity. In D. Watkins & J. B. Biggs (Eds.), *The Chinese learner: Cultural, psychological and contextual influences* (pp. 205-220). Hong Kong, China: Comparative Education Research Centre.
- Vygotsky, L. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Wiersma, W. (1991). *Research methods in education* (5th ed.). Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon.
- Winckel, A., & Hart, B. (2002). *Style guide for engineering students* (4th ed.) [Revised and updated by M. Behrend & B. Kokkinn]. Retrieved from the University of South Australia Flexible Learning Centre website: www.unisa.edu.au/ame/research/doc/ReportWritingStyleGuideforEngineer.pdf
- Wong, J. K.-K. (2004). Are the learning styles of Asian international students culturally or contextually based? *International Education Journal*, 4, 154-166. Retrieved from <http://ehlt.flinders.edu.au/education/iej>
- Yeo, S. (2007). First-year university science and engineering students' understanding of plagiarism. *Higher Education Research & Development*, 26, 199-216. doi: 10.1080/07294360701310813

INDEX

Page references in **bold** indicate definitions.

- abbreviations 188, **191**
- abstract (executive summary) 188, **192**, 292, **299**
- academic language
 - academic style and 26–8
 - disciplinary terminology in 17–22
 - features of 17
 - vocabulary used in 22–6
 - see also lectures; reading; writing
- academic penalties 98
- academic register 17, **41**
- academic style 26–8
- The Academic Word List (AWL) 22–6
- academic writing see writing
- acknowledging sources see citations; reference lists
- acknowledgments (in reports) 188, **191**
- active listening 205, **217**
- active voice 26–7
- aggressive behaviour 205–6, **217**
- analysis and discussion (in case studies) 177–8, 317–18
- anecdotes 224, **235**
- annotated bibliographies 106, **127**
- anxiety 232–3
- APA 6th referencing style
 - explained 108–10
 - guidelines 300–14
- appendix/appendices 189, 190, **191**
- argument line 141, **148**
- argument statement 151–3, 155–8, **167**
- argument/s
 - coherence and writing 28
 - critical thinking and 9–11
 - defined/explained **16**, 138–9, **148**
 - in essays 150
 - evidence and 140
 - examining 153–4
 - position and 139–40
 - in presentations 220
 - reading/identifying 85, 87
 - in research reports 297
 - understanding 99, 100–1
 - writing 140–5
- articles **94**
 - see also journal articles
- assertiveness 205–6, **217**
- assignments
 - argument in 138–44
 - keywords in 71–2
 - organising ideas/information in 134–8
 - planning/structure 145–6
 - understanding tasks/topics in 129–32
 - writing drafts 146–7
- attending (in listening) 44–7, **63**
- attire (in presentations) 231
- audience engagement 220–5, **235**
- authored books 67, **94**
- awareness (in reflection) 159–61
- AWL (The Academic Word List) 22–6
- back-channelling cues 50, **63**
- background
 - in case studies 177
 - defined **167**
 - in essays 151–2
 - in presentations 220
 - in reports 181–2
- background sources 72
- background/introductory sentences 121–2
- bibliographic details see reference lists
- bibliographies 106, 112, **127**
- body
 - of an essay 154–7, **168**
 - of presentations 221
 - of reports 181–2

- books
 - authored and edited 67-8
 - recognising suitable 81-2
 - searching libraries for 73-9
- Boolean logical operators 75-6, 80, 94
- brainstorming 175, 214, 259-60
- bullet points 183-4, 191
- calculations 250, 252
- call numbers 78, 94
- case studies
 - defined/explained 169-70, 191
 - exercises in analysing 317-18
 - identifying problems in 174-5
 - linking case to the course 172-3
 - reordering case details in 173-4
 - solutions/recommendations and 175-7
 - structure of 177-9
 - understanding the case 170-1
 - see also assignments; reports
- case study questions (in examinations) 248-9, 252, 254
- case study research 280, 283
- catalogues 74, 77-9, 94
- categorisation 134, 148
- chapters see books
- citations
 - APA 6th style guide for 300-14
 - in background/introductory sentences 121
 - case studies and 177
 - defined 127
 - multiple sources 144-5
 - plagiarism and 97
 - in presentations 221
 - in sentences carrying claims 122
 - writing 107-9
 - see also reference lists
- claims
 - acknowledging sources of 107-9, 300-14
 - in background/introductory sentences 121
 - critical reading of 87-9
 - defined 127
 - objectivity in 96-7
 - paragraph writing and 118
 - paraphrasing 99-103
 - quoting 103-6
 - summarising 106-7
 - writing sentences using 122
 - see also the literature
- class (participation in) 58-9, 60-2, 64
- closed book examinations 244, 254
- closed questions 234, 235, 283
 - see also questionnaires
- coding 265-7, 283
- coherence (in writing)
 - connectives and 36-40
 - defined 41
 - importance of 28
 - labels and 30-3
 - paragraphs 124-5
 - point of view and 33-6
 - repetition and 29-30
- collaborative group work 194
- collaborative learning 240
 - see also group work
- collaborative problem solving 215
- colloquial expressions/colloquialisms 43, 63, 96
- collusion 98, 127, 208, 209
- communication
 - assertiveness vs. aggressive 205-6
 - in group work 204-5, 207, 211-16
 - listening as form of 43-4
- communicator role 231, 235
- comparison (compare and contrast) 136-7, 148
- computer software (for referencing) 116-17
- concept maps
 - assignment writing and 130, 174-5
 - defined 94
 - lecture revision and 58, 239
 - reading and 89-90
- conception of learning 2-4, 16
- conclusions
 - case studies 177, 178
 - defined 168
 - essays 157-8
 - presentations 221-2
 - reports 181, 182
 - research reports 298
- conference papers 69, 94
- conflict/conflict management 203-8
- connecting/linking words 132, 148
- connectives 26-8, 36-40, 41, 54
- consensus 207-8, 218
- consultation time 63, 240
- content keywords see keywords
- content paragraphs see paragraphs
- controlling idea 119, 127
- convenience sampling 274-5, 283
- cooperative group work 194-5
- course terminology see disciplinary terminology
- creativity (in essay writing) 159

- Critical Incident Questionnaire 165
 critical reading 87–9, 262
 critical thinking 7–11, 16
- data
 coding/analysing 265–7
 communicating findings from 295–7
 defined 283
 quantitative vs. qualitative 263
 recording 265
 selecting sources of 264
 data collection methods
 case studies as 280
 interviews as 279
 questionnaires as 275–8
 selecting 263
 surveys as 275
 see also research
 databases 68–9, 79–81, 95
 decision-making (in group work) 215
 deep approach to learning 5–7, 16, 239
 deferred examinations 253, 254
 demographic questions 283
 see also questionnaires
 diagrams 184, 192
 Digital Object Identifier (DOI) 68–9, 95
 see also APA 6th referencing style
 disciplinary terminology
 course terminology and 239
 explained 17–18, 41, 95
 learning 92–3
 paraphrasing and 99–100
 in research reports 290–1
 summarising and 106
 understanding 18–21, 84
 using 21–2, 96
 disclaimer (in reports) 185, 192
 discussion, leading a 234–5, 236
 discussion sections (in research reports) 297, 299
 division of work 213–14, 218
 DOI (Digital Object Identifier) 68–9, 95
 see also APA 6th referencing style
 drafts/draft assignments 146–7, 148
 dynamic (environments) 60, 63
 dysfunctional behaviour 215–16
 dysfunctional roles 197, 199–200, 218
- edited books 67–8, 95
 editing 130, 146–7, 148
 embedded sources 109, 113, 127
 endmatter 189–90, 192
 essay format 150, 168
 essay questions (in examinations) 249–50, 252, 254
- essays
 arguments and 150
 defined 168
 importance of 149–50
 reflective 166–7
 structure 151–8
 writing 158–9
 see also assignments
 ethics (in research) 280–2
 evaluation
 defined 148
 organising ideas/information in 137–8
 peer 208–9
 reflective writing and 164
 evidence 140
 examinations
 continual learning for 238–40
 illness/crisis and 253
 practising 242–3
 preparation before 250
 question types in 244–50
 reasons for 237–8
 requesting remarking 253
 revision 240–1
 sitting/procedures 251
 types of 244
 writing 251–2
 executive summary (abstract) 188, 192, 292, 299
 external validity 270, 283
 eye contact (in presentations) 231
- feedback 50–1, 63
 fields (in reference lists) 113–14, 127
 figures 184, 192
 fill-in-a-blank questions 247, 252
 final examinations 244
 final sentences (in paragraphs) 123–4
 finding sources *see* search strategies
 findings sections 295–7, 299
 focus (in listening) 45–7
 focused learning 240–3
 formal group work 195, 218
 forming stage 201–2, 218
 formulae 239
 free loading/social loafing 208–9, 218
 full text 73, 95
- generalisations 271, 283
 gestures 230–1, 235
 glossaries
 defined 41, 95
 disciplinary terminology and 18–19
 in reports 189, 190, 192
 in textbooks 53

- Google Scholar 74, 80–1
- graphic presentation (in reports) 185, 295–7
- group leaders 209–11
- group presentations 233
- group work
 - benefits of 195–6
 - defined 218
 - and group development 201–3
 - meetings 212–13
 - roles in 197–200
 - types of 194–5
- group work challenges
 - conflict management 203–8
 - explained 203
 - lack of leadership as 209–11
 - social loafing as 208–9
- group work micro processes
 - creating safe-ideas climate 214
 - dealing with dysfunctional behaviour 215–16
 - decision making/problem solving 215
 - defined 218
 - division of work 213–14
 - explained 211–12
 - managing meetings 212–13
 - project timelines/completion 214, 216
- headings (in reports) 182–3, 191, 192
- help, asking for 14–15
- hitchhiking/social loafing 208–9, 218
- hooks 228–9, 236
- hurdles 238, 254
- illustrations, list of 187
- independent learning 11–15, 16
- in-depth understanding 84–7
- informal group work 195
- informative presentations *see* presentations
- inner speech 45–7, 59, 64
- instruction words 129, 132–3, 145, 148
- interactive communication 58, 60, 64
- intercultural competence 207, 218
- internal speech *see* inner speech
- internal validity 270, 283
- interpreting (in listening) 48–50, 64
- interviews 279, 283
- in-text citations *see* citations
- introductions
 - case studies 177
 - defined 168
 - essays 151–4
 - presentations 220
 - reports 181
 - research reports 292–3
- introductory/background sentences 121–2
- invigilators 251, 254
- issue numbers 68, 95
- jargon *see* disciplinary terminology
- journal articles
 - exercises in understanding 319–21
 - features of 68–9
 - recognising suitable 81–2
 - searching for
- journals
 - defined 68, 95
 - reflective 165–6
- keywords
 - in assignment/exam questions 130–1, 249
 - defined 95
 - finding sources using 71–5
- labels 30–3, 41
- language *see* academic language
- leadership 209–11
- leading a discussion 234–5, 236
- learning
 - collaborative 240
 - critical thinking and 7–11
 - deep vs. surface approach to 5–7
 - focused 240–3
 - independently 11–13
 - nature of 1
 - strategies and conceptions of 2–4
 - throughout the course 238–40
- lectures
 - listening in 54–8
 - preparing for 52–4
 - revising/reflecting after 58
 - see also* academic language
- letter of transmittal 185–6, 192
- libraries 70, 73–9, 117
- limiting words 71–2, 95, 130
- linguistic features 43, 64
- linking sentences 123–4
- linking/connecting words 132, 148
- list
 - of abbreviations (in reports) 188
 - defined 148
 - of illustrations (in reports) 187
 - organising ideas/information using 134–6
 - of recommendations (in reports) 189
 - see also* reference lists
- listening
 - active 205, 207, 218
 - in different contexts 51–2

- listening cont.
 - in group work 204-5
 - lectures and 52-8
 - skills 42-4
 - in tutorials 58-63
- listening processes
 - attending 44-7
 - interpreting 48-50
 - perceiving 47-8
 - remembering 50
 - responding 50-1
- literature reviews
 - defined 299
 - in research process 261-3
 - in research reports 285, 293-5
 - summarising and 98, 106
- the literature
 - critical approach to 158-9
 - research process and 261-3
 - supporting arguments with 142-5
 - understanding assignment tasks using 130-1
 - writing from 97-9
 - see also claims
- loafing see social loafing
- logical operators (Boolean) 75-6, 80, 94
- long-term study 238-40
- magazines see newspapers/magazines
- maintenance roles 197, 198-9, 218
- margin notes 89
- meetings see group work micro processes
- memorising techniques 241
- methodology see research
- methods see data collection methods
- methods sections 177, 293
- micro processes see group work micro processes
- mid-term examinations 244
- mnemonics 228, 241
- motivation 4, 5, 45
- multiple choice questions (in examinations) 245-6, 252, 254
- negative inner speech see inner speech
- newspapers/magazines 70-1, 255-7
- non-periodicals 113, 127
- non-probability sampling 264-5, 283
- norming stage 202, 218
- note-taking
 - assignment writing and 130
 - lectures and 55-8
 - reading and 90-1
- objective/objectivity 96-7, 127
- open book examinations 243, 244, 254
- open questions 234, 236
- open-ended questions 283
- oral presentations see presentations
- outlines (for presentations) 225-8, 236
- pace 223, 230, 236
- page numbering
 - in citations 109
 - of report sections 185, 189, 190, 191
- papers 95
 - see also conference papers; journal articles
- paragraphs
 - background/introductory sentences in 121-2
 - essay body 154-7
 - exercises in writing 125-6
 - final sentences in 123-4
 - sentences carrying claims in 122
 - structure 118
 - topic sentences in 118-21
 - writing coherent 124-5
- paraphrasing
 - defined 128
 - disciplinary terminology and 99-100
 - exercises in 102-3
 - explained 99-101
 - paragraphs and 122
 - quoting and summarising vs. 98-9, 107
- participation (in class) 58-9, 60-2, 64
- passive voice 26-7
- patching/patchwriting 97
- peer evaluation 208-9, 218
- penalties, academic 98
- perceiving (in listening) 47-8, 64
- performer role 231, 236
- performing stage 203, 218
- periodicals 113, 128
- perusal/perusal time 251, 254
- phrase searching 75-6, 95
- plagiarism
 - defined 128
 - disciplinary terminology and 99-100
 - explained 97-8
- planning (in reflection) 162-3
- point of view 33-6, 41
- populations see target populations
- position 139-40, 148
- PowerPoint 225
- preliminary matter 185-9, 192
- presentation scripts 225-8, 236
- presentations
 - anxiety and 188-9
 - citations in 221
 - delivery techniques 229-31

- presentations cont.
 - explained 219–20
 - leading discussions 234–5
 - organising content delivery 223–5
 - outlines/scripts 225–8
 - practising 231–2
 - preparation 228–9
 - structure 220–2
 - team/group 233
 - see also assignments
- primary sources 66, 95
- probability sampling 264, 283
- problem-solving
 - case studies and 170–7
 - in group work 215
- procedural roles 197, 200, 218
- project timelines 214, 218
- proofread 130, 147, 148, 298
- publication details see reference lists
- purposive sampling 274, 283

- qualitative data 263, 283, 296
- qualitative research 263, 269–70
- quantitative data 263, 283, 295–7
- quantitative research 263, 268–70
- question time 222, 236
- questionnaires 275–8
- quizzes 244
- quotations/quoting
 - defined 128
 - elaborating claims using 122
 - paraphrasing and summarising vs. 98–9, 107
 - selecting/formatting 103–6, 109

- random sampling 272–3, 283
- reading
 - cases/case studies 171–2
 - critically 87–9
 - importance of writing when 89–91, 129–30
 - for in-depth understanding 84–7
 - presenting vs. 225–8
 - processes 83
 - research articles 319–21
 - in research process 261–3
 - stages 82–4
 - widely 65
 - see also academic language; sources
- reading list 72, 95
- recommendations
 - in case studies 175–7
 - defined 192
 - in reports 182, 189
- refereed sources 70

- reference citation see citations
- reference lists
 - acknowledging sources in 108
 - APA 6th style guide for 300–14
 - creating/formatting 112–17
 - defined 95, 128
 - in essays 158
 - exercises in writing 315–16
 - finding sources in 73
 - in reports 189
 - see also citations
- reflection
 - aim of 159, 164
 - defined 16
 - independent learning and 13–14
 - after lectures 58
 - process 159–64
 - after tutorials 63
- reflective essays 166–7, 168
- reflective journals 165–6, 168
- reflective writing
 - aims of 159
 - reflection process and 159–64
 - types of 165–7
 - see also assignments
- register 17, 41
- reliability 270, 283
- remembering (in listening) 50
- replication 256, 283
- report text
 - defined 192
 - formatting/presentation 182–4
 - page numbering for 185
 - structure/sections 181–2
- reports
 - endmatter section in 189–90
 - headings/page numbering in 191
 - preliminary matter section in 185–9
 - report text section in 181–5
 - structure/format 179–80
 - see also case studies; research reports
- representative samples 272, 273, 283
- research
 - critical reading of 87–9, 319–21
 - defined 283
 - ethics 280–2
 - generalisation and 271
 - importance/types of 255–7
 - issues/questions for 257–8, 259–60
 - literature search and review in 261–3
 - methodology vs. method 258
 - process 258
 - quantitative vs. qualitative 268–70
 - scientific rigour and 270
 - statements 260–1

- research cont.
 - topic selection in 259
 - see also data collection methods; sampling techniques
- research data *see* data
- research methods 257–8, 284
- research reports
 - as assessment 289
 - defined 299
 - described 285–6
 - features of sections in 292–8
 - outline of sections in 286–9
 - proofreading 298
 - writing styles for 289–92
 - see also reports
- research statements 260–1
- research tools *see* data collection methods
- resources (for presentations) 225
- responding (in listening) 50–1
- results sections *see* findings sections
- revision 58, 240–3
- rhetorical questions 224, 236
- rigour/scientific rigour 256, 270, 284
- role-plays 224–5, 236
- roles 197–200, 218
- samples 264, 284
- sampling frame 272, 284
- sampling techniques
 - choosing 264–5
 - convenience samples 274–5
 - generalisation and 271
 - purposive sampling 274
 - representative samples 272
 - simple random sampling 272
 - stratified random sampling 273
 - target populations and 264, 271
 - see also research
- scientific rigour/rigour 256, 270, 284
- search strategies
 - Boolean logic and 75–6
 - databases and 73, 79–81
 - Google Scholar and 73, 74, 80–1
 - keyword 71–2
 - libraries and 73–9
 - phrase 75
- search terms 73, 95
- secondary sources
 - assessing suitability of 69–71, 81–2
 - defined 95
 - primary vs. 66
 - types of academic 67–9
- semester, learning throughout 238–40
- seminar papers *see* presentations
- sentences
 - background/introductory 121–2
 - coherency in 124–5
 - final/linking 123–4
 - topic 118–21
 - writing claims in 122
- short answer questions 247–8, 252, 254
- simple random sampling 272
- social loafing 208–9, 218
- software (for referencing) 116–17
- solutions (in case studies) 175–7
- sources
 - APA 6th style guide for referencing 300–14
 - assessing suitability of 69–71
 - background 72
 - selecting suitable 81–2
 - types of 66–9
 - see also reading; search strategies
- special consideration (in examinations) 253, 254
- specialist terminology *see* disciplinary terminology
- speech
 - confident vs. hesitant 61
 - inner 45–7, 59
 - linguistic features of writing vs. 43
 - and voice in presentations 230
- stand-alone sources 113, 128
- statement of ethics approval
- storming stage 202, 218
- stratified random sampling 273, 284
- stress, coping with 242
- structured plans 145–6
- subheadings *see* headings (in reports)
- summarising/summary 98–9, 106–7, 128
- surface approach to learning 5–6, 16
- surveys 275
- SWOT analysis 173–4, 176, 192
- symbol 188, 192
- synonyms 100–1
- table of contents
 - in authored vs. edited books 67–8
 - defined 95, 192
 - in reports 187
- tables 184, 192
- take-home examinations 243, 244, 254
- taking notes *see* note-taking
- target populations
 - defined 284
 - external validity and 270
 - generalisation and 271
 - identifying 264
 - and representative sample size 272–3

- task roles 197–8, 218
- team presentations 233, 236
- terminology *see* disciplinary terminology
- terms of reference (in reports) 185, 186, 192
- textbooks *see* academic language
- textual clues 18–19
- theory/theories 5, 16
- thesis statement *see* argument statement
- thinking (in reflection) 162
- time management 12–13
- timelines 173, 192, 214
- timing presentations 223
- title page
 - in authored vs. edited books 67–8
 - defined 192
 - in reports 185
- topic sentences
 - coherency in 124–5
 - defined 128
 - in sources 85–6
 - writing 118–21
- triangulation 264, 284
- true/false questions 246–7, 252, 254
- trying (in reflection) 163
- tutorials 58–63
- understanding, in-depth 84–7
- validity 270
- vocabulary *see* academic language
- voice *see* speech
- volume numbers 68, 95
- web databases 80–1
- websites 69
- whole body movement 230
- word cloud 20–1, 41
- word family 22–3, 41
- word map 20–2, 41
- writing
 - coherently 28–40, 124–5
 - creativity in essay 159
 - draft assignments 146–7
 - linguistic features of speech vs. 43
 - objectively 96–7
 - for primary audience 291–2
 - reading and 89–90
 - stages 129–30
 - see also* academic language

